

(51) International Patent Classification:
C01B 31/04 (2006.01)(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2009/066685(22) International Filing Date:
4 December 2009 (04.12.2009)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
61/140,555 23 December 2008 (23.12.2008) US(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA** [US/US]; 3160 Chestnut Street, Suite 200, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6283 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **LUO, Zhengtang** [CN/US]; 500 Cold Spring Road, Apt. E306, Rocky Hill, CT 06067 (US). **JOHNSON, Alan, T.** [US/US]; 1030 Christian Street, Philadelphia, PA 19147 (US).(74) Agents: **CALDWELL, John, W.** et al.; Woodcock Washburn LLP, Cira Centre, 12th Floor, 2929 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

— as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))


— as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: HIGH YIELD PREPARATION OF MACROSCOPIC GRAPHENE OXIDE MEMBRANES

Figure 2



(57) Abstract: Disclosed are graphene oxide membrane materials of high surface area, which membranes suitably have a surface area of above about 200 μm and exhibit electrical conductivity in excess of about 200 S/m. Also provided are methods of synthesizing such membranes, as well as devices and sensors that incorporate these novel graphene materials.

HIGH YIELD PREPARATION OF MACROSCOPIC GRAPHENE OXIDE MEMBRANES

RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application claims the benefit of United States Application Ser. No. 61/140,555, “High Yield Preparation of Macroscopic Graphene Oxide Membranes,” filed December 23, 2008, the entirety of which is incorporated herein by reference for all purposes.

STATEMENT OF GOVERNMENT RIGHTS

[0002] The government may have certain rights in this invention. The work underlying this invention was supported by the Joint Science and Technology Office (JSTO), Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA), and Army Research Office grant W911NF-06-1-0462, and by the Nano/Bio Interface Center through the National Science Foundation grant DMR-0425780.

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0003] The present invention relates to graphene oxide membranes and to methods of synthesizing such materials.

BACKGROUND

[0004] Graphene is a single-atom thick, two-dimensional material that has attracted attention because of its unique electronic, mechanical, and thermal properties. Because of these characteristics, graphene is useful in a range of electronic devices – such as sensors – and there is a corresponding interest in methods of producing graphenic materials.

[0005] Existing methods of synthesizing graphene oxide (“GO”) materials, however, yield only comparatively small (sub-micron) GO flakes that possess unremarkable electronic properties. Accordingly, there is a need in the field for methods of reliably synthesizing macroscopic GO membranes. The value of such methods would be further enhanced if the synthesis methods produced GO materials exhibiting enhanced electrical, mechanical, or thermal properties.

SUMMARY

[0006] In meeting the challenges described above, the present invention first provides methods of synthesizing a graphene oxide membrane, such methods comprising exposing graphite to microwave radiation so as to exfoliate at least a portion of the graphite into a layered

structure; and oxidizing the layered structure so as to give rise to a graphene oxide membrane having an upper surface and a lower surface.

[0007] Also provided are membranes, such membranes comprising a graphene oxide membrane having at least an upper surface and a lower surface, the upper surface, the lower surface, or both comprising a surface area of up to about $2500\ \mu\text{m}^2$.

[0008] Further disclosed are sensor devices, said devices comprising a reduced graphene oxide membrane characterized as having an electrical conductivity in the range of from about 200 S/m to about 400 S/m; a biomolecule in electrical connection with the graphene oxide membrane; and a detector in electrical communication with the graphene oxide membrane, wherein the interaction between a biomolecule and its environment changes an electrical characteristic of the detector, the change being capable of being transmitted along at least a portion of the graphene oxide membrane to the detector.

[0009] Additionally provided are methods of detecting an analyte, said methods comprising providing a sensor device comprising a graphene oxide membrane having an electrical conductivity in the range of from about 200 S/m to about 400 S/m and a biomolecule in electrical connection with the graphene oxide membrane, the biomolecule being capable of binding to an analyte, wherein the binding of the analyte gives rise to an electrical change within the sensor device; exposing the biomolecule to the analyte to give rise to the analyte binding to the biomolecule; and monitoring a change in the electrical conductivity of the graphene oxide membrane effectuated by the binding of the analyte to the biomolecule.

[0010] Also disclosed are composite materials, said materials comprising a graphene oxide membrane having at least an upper surface and a lower surface, the upper surface, the lower surface, or both comprising a surface area of up to about $2500\ \mu\text{m}^2$, the graphene oxide membrane being incorporated into a polymeric material by way of one or more bonds to a repeat unit of the polymer.

[0011] Further provided are membranes, comprising a graphene oxide membrane, the membrane having an electrical conductivity of from about 200 to about 500 S/m.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0012] The summary, as well as the following detailed description, is further understood when read in conjunction with the appended drawings. For the purpose of illustrating the invention, there are shown in the drawings exemplary embodiments of the invention; however, the invention is not limited to the specific methods, compositions, and devices disclosed. In addition, the drawings are not necessarily drawn to scale. In the drawings:

[0013] FIG. 1 depicts UV-vis absorption spectra of aqueous GO solution before and after filtration (pore size 0.45 μ m), inset: photograph of the viscous, 0.3% (w/w) GO solution in an inverted test tube;

[0014] FIG. 2 depicts (a) AFM image of a graphene oxide membrane on a SiO₂/Si substrate, (b) Height profile along the lines shown in (a), (c) Histogram of sheet thickness;

[0015] FIG. 3 depicts (a) Optical micrograph of reduced GO on SiO₂/Si, (b) Size distribution of GO membranes, solid curve is a Gaussian fit;

[0016] FIG. 4 depicts I-V_g characteristic of a reduced, single-layer graphene oxide (GO) sample (V_{ds} =10mV), inset: schematic of GO field effect transistor;

[0017] FIG. S1 depicts (a & b) Pictures and SEM image of graphite samples before and after microwave expansion, (c) Zoomed region in (b);

[0018] FIG. S2 depicts (a & b) X-ray diffraction pattern of graphene oxide membranes and their microwave-assisted expanded graphite;

[0019] FIG. S3 depicts SEM (a & b) and optical (c & d) image of graphene oxide membranes on SiO₂/Si substrate; and

[0020] FIG. S4 depicts an AFM image of a typical graphene oxide Field effect transistor.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENTS

[0021] The present invention may be understood more readily by reference to the following detailed description taken in connection with the accompanying figures and examples, which form a part of this disclosure. It is to be understood that this invention is not limited to the specific devices, methods, applications, conditions or parameters described and/or shown herein, and that the terminology used herein is for the purpose of describing particular embodiments by way of example only and is not intended to be limiting of the claimed invention. Also, as used in the specification including the appended claims, the singular forms “a,” “an,” and “the” include the plural, and reference to a particular numerical value includes at least that particular value, unless the context clearly dictates otherwise. The term “plurality”, as used herein, means more than one. When a range of values is expressed, another embodiment includes from the one particular value and/or to the other particular value. Similarly, when values are expressed as approximations, by use of the antecedent “about,” it will be understood that the particular value forms another embodiment. All ranges are inclusive and combinable.

[0022] It is to be appreciated that certain features of the invention which are, for clarity, described herein in the context of separate embodiments, may also be provided in combination in

a single embodiment. Conversely, various features of the invention that are, for brevity, described in the context of a single embodiment, may also be provided separately or in any subcombination. Further, reference to values stated in ranges include each and every value within that range.

[0023] First provided are methods of synthesizing graphene oxide membranes. These methods entail exposing graphite to microwave radiation so as to expand/exfoliate at least a portion of the graphite into a layered structure, and then oxidizing the layered structure so as to give rise to a graphene oxide membrane having an upper surface and a lower surface.

[0024] The disclosed methods are suitably performed on graphite starting materials that comprise one or more acids intercalated within. Expandable graphites – such as Grafgard 160-50N (www.graftech.com) – that include acids such as sulfuric acid, nitric acid, and acetic acid are considered suitable. Other acids may be used to intercalate within such graphite materials; suitable acids will be readily identified by those of skill in the art without undue experimentation.

[0025] Suitable graphite materials, like the aforementioned Grafgard, are easily obtained. The materials are typically in the form of layered flakes or particles. Expandable graphite may also be molded or formed into various shapes as needed to meet the user's needs.

[0026] The microwave radiation used in the claimed methods is suitably of a frequency of between about 1 GHz and about 3 GHz, although higher frequencies of radiation may be used. As is known in the art, commercially-available microwave ovens – such as those used in homes – supply microwave radiation at a frequency of about 2.45 GHz, which frequency is considered suitable for these disclosed methods. The optimal power of the microwave radiation being applied will be easily determined by those of skill in the art, and can vary from 0.01 GHz to about 10 or even 50 GHz. Radiation in the range of from about 1 GHz to about 10 GHz, or even from about 2 GHz to about 7 GHz is considered especially suitable. Microwave ovens suitable for home use are known to provide microwave radiation having a power in the ranges of tens, hundreds or even thousands of watts, which power levels are suitable for the claimed methods.

[0027] As is described elsewhere herein, the expandable graphite may be purged with a neutral gas – such as nitrogen – before application of the microwave radiation. Other species, including inert or even noble gases, are considered suitable purging agents. Without being bound to any particular theory, it is believed that this removes impurities or other species that may adversely participate in the expansion process, and thus results in an expanded graphite product that is of greater purity and regularity of structure than that produced by other processes known in the art. This theory is confirmed – as discussed in the Examples section below – by the fact that GO membranes produced according to the claimed methods exhibit improved electrical

properties as compared to GO membranes produced by other, existing methods. Thus, it is believed that application of the microwave radiation effects rapid gasification of the intercalated species, and fewer defects are then caused by oxidation from the intercalated compounds or from oxygen that is otherwise absorbed.

[0028] Under microwave radiation, the expandable graphite may expand by 10, 50, 100, 200, or even – in some cases – up to 500 times its original volume, to form a layered structure. Once the graphite is expanded by application of microwave energy, the material may be separated into flakes. Such flakes may suitably have the same lateral dimension (*e.g.*, width, diameter) as the graphite starting material, but may also be about 100 times thinner than the graphite starting material. Graphite that does not fully expand under exposure to microwave radiation may be picked out or separated from the expanded graphite.

[0029] The microwave radiation is suitably applied to the graphite for from between about 0.1 and about 5 or even about 10 seconds. Application of the radiation for only 2 seconds has been shown effective, as described in the Examples section elsewhere herein. The optimal combination of microwave frequency, power, and time-of-application will be easily determined by those of skill in the art, and may be dictated by the particular characteristics of the expandable graphite starting materials used.

[0030] Once the graphite is expanded, graphene oxide is suitably formed by oxidizing the expanded, layered material. Such oxidation processes will be known to those in the art, and may include the known Hummers method, the known Staudenmeier method, and other oxidation methods in the field. Oxidizing is suitably accomplished – as described in the Examples section further herein – by exposing the expanded graphite to sulfuric acid, nitric acid, potassium chlorate, potassium permanganate, potassium persulfate, hydrogen peroxide, phosphorus pentoxide, sodium chloride oxide, or other oxidizers, singly or in combination. The oxidation is suitably performed with care, as certain oxidizers are hazardous and should be handled with caution.

[0031] Oxidation may, in some embodiments, be performed in a medium that is substantially water-free, although such a medium is not critical to the success of the disclosed methods. The result of the described synthesis methods is a comparatively large grapheme oxide membrane, which membranes can be from between 10 to 3000 μm^2 in size, or from about 100 to about 1000 μm^2 in size, or even from about 500 to about 750 μm^2 in size. The membranes may also have a thickness of from about 0.5 to about 2.0 nm, or even about 0.6 to about 1 nm, or even 0.80 or about 0.83 nm.

[0032] Once the expanded graphite is oxidized to form the graphene oxide membranes, the resulting membranes is suitably centrifuged and washed, e.g., with deionized (“DI”) water. In some embodiments, the membrane is washed until a stabilized pH value of about 5, 5.5, 6, or 6.5 is reached. A change in viscosity is observed with this process, which indicates that exfoliation has occurred.

[0033] Ultrasonication or other physical force applied to the membranes to separate them is not necessary. In some embodiments, however, ultrasonication may be used to separate the membranes or, in some cases, to modify the size of the membranes by imposing mechanical stress on the membranes.

[0034] A user of graphene oxide membranes made by the disclosed methods may, in some cases, desire an increased visual contrast between the membrane and the visual background. To achieve such a contrast, the membranes may be exposed to hydrazine, which results in a graphene oxide membrane that is easily visualized without resort to inefficient and potentially destructive methods, such as atomic force microscopy (AFM) or scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Membranes exposed to hydrazine, on the other hand, are easily imaged on a substrate of 300 nm SiO₂/Si, as shown in **FIG. 3**. The increased optical contrast provided by this method enables the user to locate a membrane and locate one or more regions within the membrane for fabrication of GO-based devices.

[0035] Along with their comparatively large size, the membranes produced by the disclosed methods also exhibit useful electrical properties. The membranes produced according to the claimed methods display particularly high conductivity values, which values can be from about 10, 50, 100 200, 400, or even up to about 500, about 1000, or even about 5000 S/m. In one embodiment (shown in **FIG. 4** and also described in the Examples section elsewhere herein), a GO membrane exhibited a calculated conductivity of about 440 S/m, which is superior to the values of 5-200 S/m that have been previously reported. GO membranes made according to the claimed methods are also within the scope of the invention.

[0036] Also described are GO membranes. These membranes include a graphene oxide membrane having at least an upper surface and a lower surface, the upper surface, the lower surface, or both comprising a surface area of up to about 3000 μm^2 . In exemplary membranes, the upper or lower surface of the membrane has a surface area in the range of from about 400 μm^2 to about 3000 μm^2 , or from about 800 to about 2000 μm^2 , or even about 1750 μm^2 .

[0037] The membranes are also characterized by useful electrical properties, and suitably possess electrical conductivity values of from about 3 S/m to about 500 S/m, or from about 100 to about 450 S/m, or even about 400 S/m. Existing graphene fabrication methods, by

contrast, produce graphene with conductivity values of only about 5 S/m to about 200 S/m (Gilje *et al.*, *Nano Lett.* 2007, 7, 3394; Gomez-Navarro *et al.*, *Nano Lett.* 2007, 7, 3499). The membranes may also be divided into subunits or smaller pieces, as needed; techniques for doing such division will be known to those of skill in the art.

[0038] In some embodiments, the GO membranes have a surface area of less than about 2500 μm^2 . In other embodiments, the GO membranes have an electrical conductivity value of at least about 300 S/m. In some embodiments, the conductivity is from about 200 to about 500 S/m. In these embodiments, the membranes are of a comparatively lower surface area form, such as a strip, rectangle, curve, or other configuration.

[0039] Owing to their comparatively large surface areas, the disclosed membranes also suitably comprise a diameter/thickness ratio in the range of from about 100 to about 40,000, or from about 500 to about 10,000, or from about 1000 to about 3000. As described elsewhere herein, the membranes are based upon layers derived from expanding graphite, and these layers may have a thickness that, in some embodiments, is only 1/100 or less than the thickness of the graphite starting material.

[0040] The present invention also provides sensor devices. Such devices suitably include a reduced graphene oxide membrane characterized as having an electrical conductivity in the range of from about 150 S/m to about 500 S/m, or from about 200 to about 400 S/m, a biomolecule in electrical connection with the graphene oxide membrane; and a detector in electrical communication with the graphene oxide membrane, wherein the interaction between a biomolecule and its environment effects a change in an electrical characteristic of the detector, the change being capable of being transmitted along at least a portion of the graphene oxide membrane to the detector.

[0041] The graphene oxide membranes used in these sensors are suitably the graphene membranes described elsewhere herein. The membranes may be of varying size, and, in some embodiments, may be larger than 2000 μm^2 , or even larger than 2500 μm^2 or 3500 μm^2 in surface area.

[0042] In some embodiments, the GO membranes include one or more functional groups (*e.g.*, -COOH, -OH). Depending on the functional group, such groups may be added before or after the oxidation step. The groups may be useful in linking biomolecules or other species to the GO membrane, and the chemistry used to perform such linkage will be known to those of skill in the art.

[0043] The sensors suitably include one or more biomolecules is bound to the membrane. The biomolecule is suitably on the basis of an ability to bind to an analyte of

interest. For example, if the user desires to detect the presence of a particular antigen might bind to the membrane an antibody known to be complementary to that antigen. Ligands, receptors, oligonucleotides, and the like are all considered suitable biomolecules.

[0044] Biomolecules can be nucleic acids, proteins, antibodies, antigens, and the like. Ligands and receptors may also be used. While biomolecules are useful because of their ability to bind specifically to a particular analyte, it is to be understood that the disclosed detectors are not limited to the use of only biomolecules to bind or assay for the presence of a particular analyte. Other species – such as synthetically-constructed oligomers, polymers, and the like – may also be used in place of or with biomolecules to detect the presence of analytes in a particular medium.

[0045] Single-stranded DNA (known as “ssDNA”) is a biomolecule of particular utility. Because the sequence of the ssDNA is easily modified, ssDNA can be tailored to a variety of configurations. Because it is known that particular sequences of ssDNA are specifically responsive to various analytes, one or more sequences of ssDNA can be bound or otherwise disposed on a GO membrane to allow for parallel detection of multiple analytes. Because ssDNA is easily synthesized, those of skill will encounter little difficulty in synthesizing ssDNA fragments of the desired sequence.

[0046] As discussed, biomolecules may be chosen based on their ability to bind to a specific target. In some embodiments, the biomolecule may be chosen based on its ability to bind to a class of targets. For example, a user may employ a biomolecule that binds to the entire family of *Streptococcus* bacteria, not just to a single member of that family. Biomolecules may be bound to the GO membranes by various known methods; the user of skill in the field will encounter little difficulty in selecting a binding method by which to attach a biomolecule to the GO membrane. In some embodiments, the bond or linkage between the biomolecule and the GO membrane is electrically conductive; in such embodiments, interactions between a biomolecule and its intended target or targets will effect a change in some electrical characteristic of the sensor assembly that is detectable by way of an electrical connection to the GO membrane. Thus, the detector is preferably constructed such that it is capable of detecting a signal evolved from the binding of the biomolecule to a target.

[0047] In some embodiments, the detector may be used to detect the release or un-binding of a target from a biomolecule located on the sensor. In other embodiments, the detector may include multiple biomolecules. In these embodiments, the biomolecules are selected so as to permit parallel detection of analytes. For example, a sensor may be constructed with two different biomolecules, each of which exhibits a different electronic signature when exposed to

the analyte to which the biomolecule is complementary. By detecting the presence of these differing electronic signatures, the binding of two – or more – analytes can be detected in parallel. Biomolecules, analytes, or both, may also be labeled with fluorescent, magnetic, or radioactive labels so as to enable the user to detect binding or lack thereof.

[0048] The disclosed sensors are suitably in electrical connection with a computer or other analysis device. The analysis device suitably receives one or more signals from the detector and displays, records, or otherwise processes the signals to determine the presence of a particular analyte. The sensors and associated equipment may be calibrated by detecting an analyte known to be present and then comparing that signal to the signal evolved when the sensors are contacted with a medium containing an unknown analyte.

[0049] The detectors are suitably exposed to the analyte in a fluid medium. The medium may be flowed over the detector, in other cases, the detector may be immersed in the medium. Electrical signals related to the binding of analytes to the biomolecules on the detectors may be monitored in real time, or recorded for later analysis. The analytes may be present in a solid or vaporous medium, in some embodiments.

[0050] The electrical signals evolved from the binding of an analyte to the biomolecule on the detector may be a change in current, voltage, resistance, capacitance, and the like. Binding of the analyte to the biomolecule can effect a change in two or more electrical characteristics.

[0051] In addition to their use as sensors, the disclosed materials can be used in other electronic devices to take advantage of their favorable electronic properties. As one example, the membranes can be used in transistors and other devices.

[0052] The GO membranes described herein are also suitable for use in composite materials. Such materials suitably include a GO membrane as described elsewhere herein, with the membrane being incorporated into a polymeric material by way of one or more bonds to a repeat unit of the polymer.

[0053] Suitable polymers will be known to those of skill in the art. A non-limiting listing of such materials includes polyolefins, polyamides, polycarbonate, polyesters, and the like. Suitably polyolefins include polyethylene, polypropylene, polybutene, cyclic polyolefin, or any combination thereof. The polymer may be a homopolymer, a copolymer, a block copolymer, a branched polymer, a graft polymer, a star polymer, a dendrimer, or combinations thereof.

[0054] The GO membranes, as described in the Examples section below, are also known to be water-soluble. This was shown by washing the membranes evolved from oxidizing

the microwave-expanded graphite in water and observing that the GO membranes suspended in the water (**FIG. 1**). That the GO membranes are water-soluble makes them particularly suitable for incorporation into polymeric materials. By contrast, carbon nanotubes are known to stick together and aggregate, which characteristic makes such nanotubes unsuitable for certain applications and dispersions.

NON-LIMITING EXAMPLES AND EXEMPLARY EMBODIMENTS

[0055] Graphene is a single-atom thick, two-dimensional material that has attracted great attention because of its remarkable electronic, mechanical, and thermal properties. This has led to renewed interest in methods to chemically functionalize and exfoliate graphite in bulk. However, previously reported methods resulted in the production of very small (sub-micrometer) flakes of graphene oxide (GO) with only moderate quality electronic transport properties after chemical reduction. The small flake size is a major obstacle that hinders fundamental studies and limits applications of this material. A practical method appropriate for large-scale production of single layer GO with large size and high quality electric properties is an important step towards the rapid and large-scale fabrication of graphene-based devices, and it will facilitate applications in the areas of electronics, molecular sensors and composite materials.

[0056] Described here is a GO synthesis method that involves pre-exfoliation of the graphite by microwave heating. With this approach are produced gram quantities of large single layer GO membranes, up to $2000\text{ }\mu\text{m}^2$ in size, with a yield exceeding 90%. When the large membranes are deposited on appropriate SiO_2/Si wafer and chemically reduced, they can be visualized optically, so electronic devices may be efficiently fabricated. Electronic transport measurement on such samples indicates that their conductivity is significantly higher than previously reported single layer GO devices.

[0057] In a synthesis according to the disclosed invention, microwave-assisted heating is used to expand the graphite into thinner layer structure. Specifically, a small amount of expandable graphite (*e.g.*, Grafguard 160-50N), is sealed in a glass vial, purged with ultra high purity nitrogen for 2 hours, and then heated in a microwave oven for less than 2 seconds. The high polarizability of graphene layers cause them to heat rapidly under microwave irradiation. The intercalated species gasify rapidly, and, without being bound to any particular theory, fewer point defects are generated by oxidation from intercalated compounds and robustly absorbed oxygen compared to conventional thermal expansion. The graphite expands to ~ 200 times its original volume, (see FIG. S1), and is separated into flakes whose thickness is 100 times less

than the starting material, but whose lateral dimension is effectively unchanged relative to the starting material.

[0058] Using such pre-expanded few layer graphite as a starting material, GO is then prepared using the Hummers method, although other methods of oxidation known in the art are also suitable. Because of the pre-expansion process, high viscosity saturated solutions are produced using only 0.5% (w/w) of microwave expanded graphite, in contrast to conventional methods, where ~50 times more graphite is required. The product after oxidation is centrifuged and washed with DI water extensively until the pH stabilizes at ~5.5. No ultrasonication is used, which is an advantage over existing methods that do require a sonication step, which sonication can disrupt, fracture, or otherwise damage the graphenic materials and can break flakes down into smaller, less-useful fragments. During the water wash, a significant viscosity change was observed, indicating that exfoliation continues in this process. The addition of salt to the resulting solution induces aggregation of the membranes, suggesting that they are charge-stabilized. Only minute amounts (<1%) of un-exfoliated graphite are observed. A single sharp peak is observed in the X-ray diffraction from a filter cake (FIG. S2), corresponding to GO layer-layer distance of ~0.94 nm, as expected for complete exfoliation.

[0059] FIG. 1 illustrates the UV-vis absorption spectrum of a 0.003% (w/w) GO solution, compared with the absorption of the filtrate through 0.45 μm pore size filter. Two main features are seen: (1) a peak at 233 nm, which is due to $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ of C=C, and (2) a shoulder at ~290-300 nm, corresponding to $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition of C=O bond. The absorbance at 233 nm of the filtrate is less than 10% of that original solution, corroborating visual observation that the filtrate is clear and colorless. These data indicate that the majority of GO flakes are significantly larger than 1 μm in size, consistent with Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM; see below). The material is soluble in many solvents, *e.g.*, acetone, methanol, ethanol, dimethylformamide, tetrahydrofuran, N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone, and dimethyl sulfoxide.

[0060] FIG. 2 is a typical tapping mode AFM image of a GO membrane deposited on SiO_2/Si substrate by spin-coating. The areas of the upper and lower are approximately 160 and 110 μm^2 , the equivalent of lateral diameters of 14 and 11 μm , respectively. The membrane height is ~1 nm (FIG. 2b). A GO membrane thickness histogram compiled from multiple AFM images (FIG. 2c) reveals peaks centered at 0.96 and 1.79 nm. The first peak is assigned to the average height of single layer GO on SiO_2 , consistent with previous reports of 0.8-1.5 nm. The peak-to-peak difference of 0.83 nm is in the range of interlayer distances of 0.68 – 1.0 nm inferred from x-ray diffraction measurements of GO powder and very close to the 0.82 nm value obtained from a theoretical structure model of hydroxylated graphene. Residual un-exfoliated

graphite is insignificant in this sample, since its presence would lead to peaks separated by 0.34 nm in FIG. 2c, and multiple peaks in the XRD pattern, which is not seen.

[0061] Another obstacle preventing fabrication of GO nano-scale devices is the difficulty of locating individual flakes without using inefficient and potentially destructive methods, such as AFM or scanning electron microscopy (SEM). GO membranes reduced by exposure to hydrazine are readily imaged on the surface of 300 nm SiO₂/Si. *CAUTION: Hydrazine is extremely corrosive and should be handled with care.* The significant optical contrast and the very large membrane size make it straightforward to locate membranes and to select a region that is optimized for fabrication of GO-based devices. FIG. 3(b) shows a size histogram of GO membranes observed using optical microscopy and HRSEM (see FIG. S3). The majority of the GO membranes are 2-3 orders of magnitude larger in area than those produced using previously reported methods.

[0062] The high-yield production of macroscopic GO membranes does not derive simply from the use of large starting graphite material, since sub-micrometer flakes are produced by recently reported methods even when large grain (400 μ m) starting material is used. Microwave-assisted pre-expansion is critical to the process; without this step, very few large GO membranes are obtained. The microwave pre-expansion yields a fluffy material with graphite flakes that are 2-3 orders of magnitude thinner than the bulk (see FIG. S1). Without being bound to any single theory, this high yield of large single sheets may be due to the fact that stronger reaction conditions usually required for graphite exfoliation (e.g. ultrasonication) are not used in the present methods. Microwave pre-exfoliation of graphite enables faster, more uniform functionalization under milder conditions.

[0063] Electron beam lithography and thin film evaporation were used to fabricate Au/Cr source and drain electrodes in a field effect transistor (FET) configuration, with the doped silicon substrate used as a back gate (see FIG. S4). Measurable drift was observed during the first few current-gate voltage (I - V_g) sweeps, after which the I - V_g measurement stabilized. This may be attributed to unbinding of weakly bound oxidative groups in the presence of the gate electric field. FIG. 4 shows a typical, stabilized I - V_g curve of a single-layer GO sample that was measured under ambient conditions (source-drain bias voltage $V_{ds} = 10$ mV). The “V” shape of the I - V_g curve indicates that the reduced GO shows ambipolar behavior, similar to that observed for single layer graphene. Taking into account the sample geometry (29 μ m length, 3 μ m source and drain separation, and assumed thickness of 0.83 nm), the conductivity of the GO membrane is 440 S/m (370 S/m if a layer thickness of 1 nm is assumed), significantly greater than previous reports of 5 - 200 S/m. This observation is consistent with the suggestion that the microwave

pre-expansion step preserves the graphite layer structure and reduces the number of defects introduced during the chemical oxidation step.

[0064] In sum, provided is a high-yield synthetic protocol for single layer GO membranes, with sizes of several thousand square micrometers. GO membranes reduced in hydrazine can be located optically on SiO₂/Si substrates, enabling rapid fabrication of electronic devices. Electronic transport measurements indicate that the GO conductivity is significantly higher than previous reports. The ability to create solutions of such large membranes with high yield should enhance the utility of GO for electronic applications. In addition, the accessibility of dense, aqueous solutions of structures with an unprecedentedly high diameter/thickness ratio (up to 40,000) will enable further experiments probing fundamental physics and chemistry in this unusual size regime.

[0065] Additional Details

[0066] Chemicals. Acid intercalated expandable graphite (Grafguard 160-50N, mean particle size: 350 μ m, the graphite was intercalated with organic acid and sulfuric acid and nitric acid.) was kindly provided by Graftech Inc. Concentrated sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄), Potassium peroxodisulfate (K₂S₂O₈), Phosphorus(V) oxide (P₂O₅), Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), anhydrous hydrazine (N₂H₄) was purchased from Aldrich. All chemical were used as received unless otherwise stated.

[0067] Microwave assisted expansion of expandable graphite. A small amount of expandable graphite was sealed in a glass vial and purged with high purity nitrogen for 2 hours. The vial was then heated in a microwave oven (Panasonic, model NN-S547WA, 60Hz) for less than 2 s. Graphite that had not expanded fully was carefully picked out.

[0068] Oxidation and exfoliation of expanded graphite. Graphene oxide (GO) was prepared according to the Hummers method, although other oxidation methods known in the art are suitable. K₂S₂O₈ (5 g) and P₂O₅ (5 g) were mixed with concentrated sulfuric acid (30 ml) at 90 °C. Then 150 mg of microwave-expanded graphite was carefully added to the solution while stirring. The reaction was keep at 80 °C for 4.5 hours. On completion of the reaction, the mixture was added to excess de-ionized water, followed by filtration through a 0.2 micron Nylon Millipore filter and washing with excess water until the pH of the filtrate was close to neutral. The fluffy graphite oxide was dried in air at 60°C for 3 hours. The dried sample was then added to concentrated sulfuric acid (100 ml) at 0 °C. KMnO₄ (60 g) was then added slowly until dissolved. The reaction was kept at 35 °C for 2 hours. Again, the mixture was added to 1L de-ionized water and stirred for 2h. Then 50 ml of 30% H₂O₂ was added very slowly , and the

mixture allowed to settle for 24 hours. *Warning: this solution is very corrosive and must be treated with extreme caution; it reacts violently with organic material.* The sediment was decanted and the remaining solution was then centrifugated and washed with a total of 1 L of 10% HCl solution three times, then centrifugated again and washed with 1 L of DI water 10 times. The final graphene oxide solution is then concentrated to ~230 ml, yielding a very viscous, brownish transparent solution with concentration 0.30% (w/w). Trace amounts of ions are then removed by dialysis against deionized water for 60 days, using tubing with a 12,000MW cutoff (Fisher Scientific).

[0069] X-ray diffraction. A small amount of graphene oxide membrane solution was dried at room temperature under vacuum for 12 hours until no further weight loss was observed. The x-ray diffraction (XRD) of this material was performed on a Rigaku GiegerFlex D/Max-B X-ray diffractometer at 40 kV and 20 mA for monochromatized Cu K α ($\lambda = 0.15416$ nm) radiation. For graphene oxide the film, an INEL CPS 120 powder diffractometer with position-sensitive detector covering 120 degree range of 2θ at 40kV, 20mA with Cu target (1.5416 angstroms) and graphite HOPG low resolution monochromator. The graphene oxide sample was rotated continuously during data accumulation to average out the effects of preferred orientation in the diffracting plane. Basal distances of (002) planes were calculated according to the Bragg equation.

[0070] Deposition of graphene membrane on SiO₂/Si substrate. Alignment markers were fabricated on the oxidized silicon substrate (SiO₂ thickness of 300 nm) using photolithography and thin film evaporation of 3 nm Chromium and Gold. 20 μ l of sample solution was diluted with 2 ml of DI water. 100 μ l of the diluted solution was spin-coated onto the substrate at 3000 r.p.m. for 1 minute. The GO/substrate and an open vial of 200 μ l hydrazine was then sealed in large glass container and kept at 50°C for 24 hours to reduce the graphene oxide.

[0071] Atomic Force Microscope (AFM). Tapping mode AFM was used to acquire the images under ambient conditions (Digital Instruments Multimode AFM).

[0072] High resolution Scanning Electron Microscope (HRSEM). SEM images were acquired with a JSM-7500F (JOEL), using a 2 kV accelerating voltage in gentle beam mode (bias voltage applied to the specimen stage).

[0073] Fabrication of GO transistor using electron beam lithography. Source and drain electrodes were patterned by electron beam lithography using polymethylmethacrylate PMMA as the e-beam resist. Single layer graphene oxide membranes on an oxidized silicon

substrate (300 nm SiO₂ thickness with pre-fabricated alignment markers; see above) were first identified and located by optical microscopy. Then, 100 µl of 5% PMMA (relative molecular mass, 495K) chlorobenzene solution was applied to the substrate at 3000 rpm, followed by baking at 180°C for 2 minutes. Based on spin curves provided by the manufacturer (Microchem Corp., www.microchem.com), the PMMA thickness is about 300 nm. Electron-beam patterning was done using a JOEL SEM 6400 operated at 30 kV with a Raith Elphy Plus controller, with an exposure dosage 500 µA/cm². The exposed PMMA was developed with a methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK) and isopropyl alcohol (1:3) solution. Chromium (3nm from R.D. Mathis company) and Gold (50 nm) were then deposited onto the substrate in a thermal evaporator with a cryopump producing a base pressure of 10⁻⁷ Torr. The deposited films were then lifted off in acetone bath for 12 h at 70°C and rinsed extensively with isopropyl alcohol.

[0074] Electronic transport measurement. The bulk of the heavily doped p⁺⁺ (conducting) silicon substrate was used as the gate electrode, with contact made to the chip with silver paint. Source, drain and gate electrodes were contacted using three individual probes in a homemade, small-signal probe station, which is controlled using Labview. A DAC card (National Instruments) installed in a PC (Dell) was used to output source-drain voltage, and a Keithley 6517A current meter was used to read the source-drain current and to output the gate voltage.

What is Claimed:

1. A method of synthesizing a graphene oxide membrane, comprising:

exposing graphite to microwave radiation so as to exfoliate at least a portion of the graphite into a layered structure; and

oxidizing the layered structure so as to give rise to a graphene oxide membrane having an upper surface and a lower surface.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the graphite comprises an acid intercalated therein.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the acid comprises an organic acid, sulfuric acid, nitric acid, or any combination thereof.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the microwave radiation comprises a frequency of between about 1 GHz and about 3 GHz.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein the microwave radiation is applied for about 0.1 to about 5 seconds.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein the oxidizing is accomplished by exposure to sulfuric acid, nitric acid, potassium chlorate, potassium permanganate, potassium persulfate, hydrogen peroxide, phosphorus pentoxide, sodium chloride oxide, or any combination thereof.
7. The method of claim 6, wherein the exposure takes place in a medium that is substantially water-free.
8. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of exposing the graphene oxide membrane to hydrazine.
9. The method of claim 1, wherein the volume of the layered structure is at least about 200 times the volume of the graphite.
10. The method of claim 1, wherein the upper surface of the membrane, the lower surface of the membrane, or both, comprises a surface area in the range of from about 400 μm^2 to about 3000 μm^2 .
11. The method of claim 1, wherein the graphene oxide membrane has an electrical conductivity of about 400 S/m.
12. A graphene oxide membrane made according to the method of claim 1.
13. A membrane, comprising:

a graphene oxide membrane having at least an upper surface and a lower surface, the upper surface, the lower surface, or both comprising a surface area of up to about 2500 μm^2 .

14. The membrane of claim 13, wherein the upper or lower surface of the membrane comprises a surface area in the range of from about 400 μm^2 to about 3000 μm^2 .
15. The membrane of claim 14, wherein the upper or lower surface of the membrane comprises a surface area in the range of from about 1000 μm^2 to about 2000 μm^2 .
16. The membrane of claim 13, wherein the membrane is characterized as having an electrical conductivity in the range of from about 3 S/m to about 400 S/m.
17. The membrane of claim 16, wherein the membrane is characterized as having an electrical conductivity in the range of from about 200 S/m to about 400 S/m.
18. The membrane of claim 13, wherein the membrane comprises a diameter/thickness ratio in the range of from about 100 to about 40,000.
19. A sensor device, comprising:
 - a reduced graphene oxide membrane characterized as having an electrical conductivity in the range of from about 200 S/m to about 400 S/m;
 - a biomolecule in electrical connection with the graphene oxide membrane; and
 - a detector in electrical communication with the graphene oxide membrane, wherein the interaction between a biomolecule and its environment changes an electrical characteristic of the detector, the change being capable of being transmitted along at least a portion of the graphene oxide membrane to the detector.
20. The sensor device of claim 19, wherein the biomolecule comprises a nucleic acid, a protein, an antibody, an antigen, or any combination thereof.
21. The sensor device of claim 19, wherein the detector is capable of detecting a signal evolved from the binding of the biomolecule to a target.
22. A method of detecting an analyte, comprising:
 - providing a sensor device comprising a graphene oxide membrane having an electrical conductivity in the range of from about 200 S/m to about 400 S/m and a biomolecule in electrical connection with the graphene oxide membrane,
 - the biomolecule being capable of binding to an analyte, wherein the binding of the analyte gives rise to an electrical change within the sensor device;
 - exposing the biomolecule to the analyte to give rise to the analyte binding to the biomolecule; and
 - monitoring a change in the electrical conductivity of the graphene oxide membrane effectuated by the binding of the analyte to the biomolecule.
23. The method of claim 22, wherein the medium is flowed over the sensor device.

24. The method of claim 22, wherein the biomolecule binds specifically to the analyte of interest.
25. The method of claim 24, wherein the electrical change comprises a change in current, voltage, resistance, capacitance, or any combination thereof.
26. A composite material, comprising:
- a graphene oxide membrane having at least an upper surface and a lower surface, the upper surface, the lower surface, or both comprising a surface area of up to about 2500 μm^2 ,
- the graphene oxide membrane being incorporated into a polymeric material by way of one or more bonds to a repeat unit of the polymer.
27. The composite material of claim 26, wherein the graphene oxide membrane is characterized as having a conductivity of about 400 S/m.
28. The composite material of claim 26, wherein the polymeric material comprises a polyolefin, a polyamide, polycarbonate, a polyester, [lot's more classes of polymers...] or any combination thereof.
29. The composite material of claim 26, wherein the polyolefin comprises a polyethylene, a polypropylene, a polybutene, a cyclic polyolefin, or any combination thereof.
30. The composite material of claim 26, wherein the polymer comprises a homopolymer, a copolymer, a block copolymer, a branched polymer, a graft polymer, a star polymer, a dendrimer, or any combination thereof.
31. A membrane, comprising:
- a graphene oxide membrane, the membrane having an electrical conductivity of from about 200 to about 500 S/m.

Figure 1
1/8




Figure 2
2/8




Figure 3
3/8




Figure 4
4/8




Figure S1
5/8





Figure S2
6/8

Figure S3
7/8





Figure S4
8/8



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 09/66685

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(8) - C01B 31/04 (2010.01) USPC - 423/448 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																				
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) USPC - 423/448 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched IPC(8) - C01B 31/04; B32B 5/12 (2010.01) USPC - 428/105, 367, 408; 428/129, 231.8 Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) PubWEST(USPT, PGPB, USOC, EPAB, JPAB), Google Patents, Google: graphene, graphite, graphitic, oxide, sheet, film, membrane, microwave, hydrazine, organic, solvent, dispersion, medium, hertz, kilohertz, gigahertz, surface area, volume																				
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category*</th> <th>Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages</th> <th>Relevant to claim No.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X ---- Y</td> <td>US 2007/0092432 A1 (Prud'Homme et al.) 26 April 2007 (26.04.2007). Entire document, particularly para [0060], [0061], [0065], [0067], [0131], [0139].</td> <td>1-6, 9-12 --- 7, 8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>US 2007/0131915 A1 (Stankovich et al.) 14 June 2007 (14.06.2007). Para [0055]; Abstract.</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>US 2008/0258359 A1 (Zhamu et al.) 23 October 2008 (23.10.2008). Para [0053].</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>US 2008/0206124 A1 (Jang et al.) 28 August 2008 (28.08.2008). Para [0062].</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>Paredes et al. Graphene Oxide Dispersions in Organic Solvents. Langmuir, 29 August 2008, 24 (19), pp 10560-10564. Abstract only.</td> <td>1-12</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	X ---- Y	US 2007/0092432 A1 (Prud'Homme et al.) 26 April 2007 (26.04.2007). Entire document, particularly para [0060], [0061], [0065], [0067], [0131], [0139].	1-6, 9-12 --- 7, 8	Y	US 2007/0131915 A1 (Stankovich et al.) 14 June 2007 (14.06.2007). Para [0055]; Abstract.	7	Y	US 2008/0258359 A1 (Zhamu et al.) 23 October 2008 (23.10.2008). Para [0053].	8	A	US 2008/0206124 A1 (Jang et al.) 28 August 2008 (28.08.2008). Para [0062].	5	A	Paredes et al. Graphene Oxide Dispersions in Organic Solvents. Langmuir, 29 August 2008, 24 (19), pp 10560-10564. Abstract only.	1-12
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.																		
X ---- Y	US 2007/0092432 A1 (Prud'Homme et al.) 26 April 2007 (26.04.2007). Entire document, particularly para [0060], [0061], [0065], [0067], [0131], [0139].	1-6, 9-12 --- 7, 8																		
Y	US 2007/0131915 A1 (Stankovich et al.) 14 June 2007 (14.06.2007). Para [0055]; Abstract.	7																		
Y	US 2008/0258359 A1 (Zhamu et al.) 23 October 2008 (23.10.2008). Para [0053].	8																		
A	US 2008/0206124 A1 (Jang et al.) 28 August 2008 (28.08.2008). Para [0062].	5																		
A	Paredes et al. Graphene Oxide Dispersions in Organic Solvents. Langmuir, 29 August 2008, 24 (19), pp 10560-10564. Abstract only.	1-12																		
☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐																				
<table border="0"> <tr> <td> * Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed </td> <td> "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family </td> </tr> </table>			* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family																
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family																			
Date of the actual completion of the international search 9 March 2010 (09.03.2010)		Date of mailing of the international search report 16 MAR 2010																		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. 571-273-3201		Authorized officer: Lee W. Young PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774																		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 09/66685

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

Please continue to the supplemental page.

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☒ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
1-12

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.
- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 09/66685

Continued from Box III - Observations where unity of invention is lacking

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

The inventions listed as Groups I - III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group I - Claims 1-12, directed to methods of synthesizing graphene oxide membranes by exfoliating graphite with microwave energy.

Group II - Claims 13-18 and 26-30, directed to graphene oxide membranes with surface areas of at least 2500 micrometers squared.

Group III - Claims 19-21, 22-25 and 31, directed to sensor devices comprising graphene oxide membranes with conductivity of 200-400 S/m or 200-500 S/m, biomolecules and detectors.

US 2007/0092432 (Prud'Homme et al.) discloses thermally exfoliating graphene oxide (para [0060]) into films (para [0118], [0154], [0145], [0079]) using microwave energy (para [0065]). Prud'Homme further teaches the conductivity can be 0.01 - 1000 S/m (para [0055]) and surface areas can be 800 (para [0144]) and 1500 m²/g (para [0143]).

In view of Prud'Homme, the special technical features of these groups are:

Group I - Prud'Homme anticipates at least Claim 1 (para [0060], [0065]). None of the other groups require microwave treatment.

Group II - Prud'Homme anticipates at least claims 13 and 26 (para [0144] and [0143]). None of the other groups specify a minimum surface area.

Group III - Sensor devices comprising graphene oxide membranes with conductivity of 200-400 or 200-500 S/m, biomolecules and detectors. None of the other groups specify the conductivity, a sensor, or require the presence of either a biomolecule or a detector.

Because these groups do not share a special technical feature, there is no unity of invention.