

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
3 September 2009 (03.09.2009)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2009/106812 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:
A61B 19/00 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/GB2009/000512

(22) International Filing Date:
26 February 2009 (26.02.2009)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
0803725.1 29 February 2008 (29.02.2008) GB

(71) Applicants (for all designated States except US):
DEPUY INTERNATIONAL LTD [GB/GB]; St Anthony's Road, Beeston, Leeds LS11 8DT (GB). **DEPUY ORTHOPÄDIE GMBH** [DE/DE]; Kapellenstr. 10, 85622 Feldkirchen (DE).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **BURGER, Thorsten** [DE/DE]; DEPUY ORTHOPÄDIE GMBH,


Kapellenstr. 10, 85622 Feldkirchen (DE). **FOLEY, Frank** [GB/GB]; DEPUY INTERNATIONAL LTD, St Anthony's Road, Beeston, Leeds LS11 8DT (GB). **SŁOMCZYKOWSKI, Michal** [PL/GB]; DEPUY INTERNATIONAL LTD, St Anthony's Road, Beeston, Leeds LS11 8DT (GB).

(74) Agent: **ALTON, Andrew**; Urquhart-Dykes & Lord LLP, Tower North Central, Merrion Way, Leeds LS2 8PA (GB).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: SURGICAL APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE



(57) Abstract: A computer assisted surgery method and apparatus for determining a change in a property of a joint of a patient caused by an arthroplasty procedure is described. The relative position of a first bone and a second bone of the joint is determined with the joint in a first position. The position of a pre-operative centre of motion of the joint relative to the first bone is determined. The position of the second bone relative to the pre-operative centre of motion is determined using the relative position of the first and second bones. The position of a post-operative centre of motion resulting from a prosthetic component to be used in the joint is determined. The position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion is determined. Any change in the property of the joint, is determined from the difference between the position of the second bone relative to the pre-operative centre of motion and the position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion.



(84) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))

Surgical Apparatus and Procedure

The present invention relates generally to surgery, and in particular to methods, computer implemented methods and computer assisted surgery apparatus for orthopaedic
5 arthroplasty procedures. The invention is particularly applicable to arthroplasty procedures for ball and socket joints, such as hips and shoulders.

In orthopaedic arthroplasty procedures, it is generally desirable to be able to determine the outcome of the procedure. For example, the intention of the procedure may be to recreate
10 the state of the joint immediately prior to the procedure, the intention may be to put the joint into an original ideal or preferred state, or the intention may be to put the joint into a state which is preferred for the particular patient's anatomy or for other reasons.

Whatever the specific intention of any particular procedure it is generally of used to be able accurately to determine the effect of the procedure on the joint.

15

For example, when carrying out a hip procedure, it is often desirable to be able to determine any changes in the leg length and/or offset caused by the procedure.

US-6,711,431 describes a computer assisted surgical procedure which can be used to
20 determine any change in leg length and offset. The system includes a locating system and a computer and a software module, executable on the computer. A pelvic tracking marker is fixed to the pelvic bone and a femoral tracking marker is securely attached to the femur of the patient. The position of the femur is determined before the operation with the femur in a specific reference position. The position of the femur in the same reference
25 position is determined after the operation and the system detects changes in leg length and femoral offset. However, the accuracy of the determination depends on whether the femur has been returned to the same reference position and so in practice is subject to errors.

30 It is therefore desirable to provide a method having improved accuracy and reliability of determination of any change in a joint after a surgical procedure on the joint.

According to a first aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method for determining a change in a property of a joint of a patient caused by an arthroplasty procedure carried out on the joint, the method being carried out by a computer assisted surgery system, and wherein the joint comprises a first bone and a second bone, the

5 method comprising: determining the relative position of the first bone and the second bone with the joint in a first position; determining the position of a pre-operative centre of motion of the joint relative to the first bone; determining the position of the second bone relative to the pre-operative centre of motion using the relative position of the first bone and second bone; determining the position of a post-operative centre of motion of the

10 joint resulting from a prosthetic component to be used in the joint; determining the position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion; and determining the difference between the position of the second bone relative to the pre-operative centre of motion and the position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion to determine any change in the property of the joint.

15

As the method determines the relative positions of the bones toward the beginning of the method, and as the centre of motion is common to the bones of the joint, it is possible to determine how changes to either or both of the bones by a prosthetic implant will change the joint as the original relationship between the bones is known.

20

The method can be applied to any joint having a common centre of motion for the bones of the joint. Preferably, the centre of motion is a centre of rotation.

25

The method can be applied to a variety of different types of joints. Preferably, the joint is a ball and socket type joint. The joint can be a hip joint or a shoulder joint.

30

The property of the joint can be any geometric property associated with the joint, such as a direction of a part of the joint, a position of a part of the joint or a length of a part of the joint.

When the joint is a hip, the first bone can be a part of the pelvis and the second bone can be the femur.

When applied to the hip joint, the property of the joint can be the leg length and/or the offset

- The property can be a relative position of the first and second bones, such as the
5 combined anteversion when in the first position..

The method can further comprise capturing the positions of a plurality of anatomical points generally defining a coronal plane of the patient's body. The position of the coronal plane can be determined from the plurality of anatomical points. The difference
10 between the position of the second bone relative to the pre-operative centre of motion and the position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion can be projected onto the coronal plane to determine the leg length and/or offset. The leg length can be the difference in a inferior-superior direction of the patient. The offset can be the difference in the medial-lateral direction of the patient.

15

Determining the position of a post-operative centre of motion of the joint resulting from a prosthetic component to be used in the joint can further comprise determining the position of the post-operative centre of motion of the first bone relative to a trackable marker attached to the first bone.

20

Determining the position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion can further comprise determining the position of the post-operative centre of motion of the second bone relative to a trackable marker attached to the second bone.

- 25 Determining the difference between the position of the second bone relative to the pre-operative centre of motion and the position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion can include mapping the position of the post-operative centre of motion of the second bone onto the post-operative centre of motion of the first bone.

- 30 The post-operative centre of motion can be defined by a property of a prosthetic implant. The property of the implant can be its size, position or an aspect of its geometry. For

example, the property of the implant can be the centre of rotation of an acetabular cup or the centre of rotation for a head of a femoral implant.

The method can further comprise determining whether any change in the property of the joint is acceptable. If not, then a change to a property of the prosthetic component to be used in the joint which will make the property of the joint closer to a target property can be determined. The change in the property of the prosthetic component, or a part of a prosthetic component, can include a number of attributes, and combinations of such attributes, of the component, such as the type of implant, the size of the implant, the position of the implant in the bone and the geometry of the implant.

The target property can be a pre-operative property of the joint or can be a preferred property of the joint such as a property which will correct, ameliorate or reduce a disease state or deformity of the joint.

The method can further comprise re-determining the change in the property of the joint resulting from the change to the property of the prosthetic component.

The method can further comprise automatically identifying a change in the property of the prosthetic component which will minimise the change in the property of the joint.

A further aspect of the invention provides a computer assisted surgery system for determining a change in a property of a joint of a patient caused by an arthroplasty procedure carried out on the joint, the system comprising at least one data processing device in communication with at least one storage device, the storage device storing instructions executable by the data processing device to cause the computer assisted surgery system to carry out any of the method aspects of the invention.

A further aspect of the invention provides a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium storing computer readable instructions executable by a data processing device to carry out any of the method aspects of the invention.

An embodiment of the invention will now be described, by way of example only, and with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

5 Figures 1A and 1B show a process flow chart illustrating aspects of a surgical method and a computer implemented method, both according to the invention;
Figure 2 shows a schematic frontal view of a pelvis and femur illustrating the relative positions of the pelvic and femoral markers;
Figure 3 shows a schematic frontal view of the pelvis and femur illustrating the capture of anatomical positions defining a plane of the body;
10 Figure 4 shows a schematic frontal view of the pelvis and femur illustrating the determination of the pre-operative centre of rotation and resection of the femur;
Figure 5 shows a vector diagram illustrating the relationships between the pelvic marker, femoral marker and pre-operative centre of rotation;
Figure 6 shows a vector diagram similar to Figure 5 and including the post-
15 operative centre of rotation;
Figure 7 shows a schematic frontal view of the femur illustrating navigated positioning of the femoral implant;
Figure 8 shows a vector diagram illustrating the relationships between the pelvic post-operative centre of rotation, pre-operative position of the femur relative to
20 the pre-operative centre of rotation and post-operative position of the femur relative to the femoral implant centre of rotation; and
Figure 9 shows a vector diagram for the reconstructed hip joint illustrating the change in leg length and offset derived from the pre-operative femoral position and the post-operative femoral position.

25

Similar items in different Figures share common reference numerals unless indicated otherwise.

30 The invention will be described with reference to a hip joint, but it will be appreciated that it can be applied to other types of joints and in particular to other ball and socket type joints, such as the shoulder. The invention can in general be applied to any joint where there is some functional entity created by at least two bones. For example in the shoulder

joint the invention can be applied to the centre of shoulder rotation, for the knee joint the invention can be applied to the joint line, for the ankle joint the invention can be applied to the joint line, and for the elbow the invention can be applied to the centre of rotation (off joint) and also the joint line.

5

The invention provides an accurate and reliable way to determine any changes in the geometry of the joint, such as the leg length and/or off set. The aim of the surgical procedure might be to restore the bio-mechanical function of a patient's hip by restoring the pre-operative centre of rotation as well as the leg length and offset. The invention is

10 realised as part of a computer assisted surgery (CAS) based procedure using a CAS system, including a tracking system which allows the positions of the patient's limbs or other body parts to be tracked within a reference frame of the tracking system. Various types of tracking technologies are known and the details or specific tracking technology used are not important. The embodiment described below uses an optical or infra-red
15 wireless tracking system in which marker arrays are attached to the patient to allow the positions of the body parts to be tracked.

The invention will be described below in terms of a CAS workflow carried out by a surgeon. It will be appreciated that at various stages in the workflow, the CAS system
20 will carry out various calculations and procedures under control of suitable software as counterpart steps of a method of operation of a CAS system. Hence aspects of the invention relate to the surgical procedure, the data processing operations carried out by the CAS system, a suitably programmed CAS system and computer programs and computer program products embodying the instructions for controlling the CAS system.

25

Figures 1A and 1B show a flow chart illustrating a CAS method 100 carried out by a surgeon using a CAS system according to the invention. At step 102, the surgeon attaches a first trackable marker 202 (the pelvic marker) to the patient's pelvis 204 as illustrated schematically in Figure 2. The surgeon also attaches a second trackable
30 marker 205 (the femoral marker) to a proximal part of the patient's femur 206 as also shown in Figure 2. The pelvic and femoral markers are each distinguishable by the CAS system. The surgeon places the limb, in this instance the leg, in full extension, which can

be done with the patient either supine or in a lateral position. The CAS system is then instructed to determine and store the relative positions of the pelvic and femoral markers in the reference frame or co-ordinate system of the CAS system at step 104. The relative positions of the pelvic and femoral markers is represented by vector **a** 208 in Figure 2.

5

Then at step 106, the surgical site is opened by the surgeon and the surgeon captures the positions of a number of anatomical points which are used roughly to define the coronal plane of the patient. For example, the surgeon can use a trackable pointer to identify the positions of a number of anatomical points and the CAS system captures the positions of those points in its reference frame. Before making the incision, the surgeon can capture the positions of the epicondylar points 210, 212 on each side of the femur as illustrated in Figure 3, and, after the incision, the position of the greater trochanter or the piriformis fossa point 214. These points, together with the later acquired centre of rotation (COR) are used to establish a rough coronal plane that is used in the final leg length and offset change calculation.

10
15

In other embodiments, different ways of determining the coronal plane can be used which are generally known in the art, such as pelvic plane registration or intra-operative imaging.

20

Then the hip joint is separated and at step 108, the femur is resected to prepare for the prosthetic implant, for example, by removing the femoral head as illustrated in Figure 4. It will be appreciated that the precise resection will depend on the type of prosthetic implant to be used in the surgical procedure.

25

At step 110, the position of the centre of rotation (COR) of the acetabular cup is determined and captured in the reference frame of the CAS system. This can be achieved in a number of ways, for example, by using a special templating tool or a navigated insertion tool with a trial cup. The CAS system tracks the position of the templating tool or navigated insertion tool and captures the position of the pre-operative COR of the pelvis. The COR is pre-operative in the sense that although it is captured during the

30

operation, the acetabulum has not yet been operated on and so it is anatomically the same as before the operation began.

5 In other embodiments, different approaches to determining the centre of rotation can be used. For example, a trackable pointer can be moved over the surface of the acetabulum to "paint" the surface of the acetabulum from which the shape of the acetabulum can be reconstructed and the COR determined. Alternatively, the first bone can be moved relative to the second bone and the COR determined by tracking the position of the second bone as it is pivoted in order to determine the COR.

10

The CAS system also determines the position of the pelvic marker when the position of the COR is determined so that the position of the COR relative to the pelvic marker can be determined in the reference frame of the tracking system. The position of the pre-operative COR relative to the pelvic marker is represented by vector c 216 in Figure 4.

15 As the relative position of the pelvic and femoral markers was determined at step 104, the virtual position of the pre-operative COR relative to the femur, when the hip joint was still assembled, can be determined from the vector sum $a + c$ and is vector f 218 illustrated in Figure 5.

20 Then at step 112, the acetabulum is prepared and the acetabular prosthetic component is implanted into the pelvis. This will give rise to a new post-operative centre of rotation COR_{po} for the acetabulum. The position of COR_{po} is then determined by the CAS system relative to the pelvic marker, as represented by vector c_{po} 220 in Figure 6.

25 Then at step 114, the femur is prepared to receive the femoral prosthetic component 222 as illustrated in Figure 7. The position of the centre of the head of the femoral implant 222 is then determined relative to the position of the femoral marker 205. This can be done in a number of ways, for example by attaching a marker 224 to the prosthetic femoral head, as illustrated in Figure 7, or by navigating a broach tool so that the position
30 of the cavity accepting the implant is known and hence the position of the head of the implant once implanted will be known. The centre of the head of the femoral implant is

the centre of rotation for the femur and will also be the centre of rotation for the joint once re-assembled, as the head is designed to work with the acetabular cup component.

When the invention is applied to the shoulder joint, it will be appreciated that the 'ball and socket' do not necessarily have an identical COR (as the corresponding 'head' and 'cup' of the shoulder joint have different radii), but the principle of the invention can still be applied if pre- and post-operatively the same COR (either that of the ball or the socket) is used.

- 10 The relative position between the post-operative centre of rotation of the femoral component and the femoral marker is determined and is represented by vector $\mathbf{f}_{po}$ 226 in Figure 8.

Then at step 116 the difference between the pre-operative position of the femur relative to the pre-operative centre of rotation and the post-operative position of the femur relative to the post operative centre of rotation is used to determine any changes in leg length and offset. Since in the assembled joint, the femoral centre of rotation will be identical to the acetabular centre of rotation for the prosthetic joint, the vector $\mathbf{f}_{po}$ is simply transferred or mapped, as illustrated by arrow 228, onto COR_{po} so that the situation illustrated in Figure 9 is arrived at. Figure 9, includes a magnified view of the relevant parts of the vectors. As illustrated in the magnified view in Figure 9, the difference between $\mathbf{f}_{po}$ 226' for the common post-operative centre of rotation and the pre-operative relative position of the femur $\mathbf{f}$ 218, when projected onto the coronal plane in the medial-lateral direction and the superior-inferior direction, give any change in offset 232 and leg length 234, respectively.

25

Further the component of the difference of the vectors in the direction perpendicular to the coronal plane can also be determined and gives any change in the anterior-posterior direction. This itself can be used or can be used to calculate any relevant angular properties of the joint such as the anteversion.

30

Once any change in leg length or offset or in the anterior-posterior direction has been determined at step 118, it can be determined whether those changes are acceptable or not at step 120. For example, the CAS system may use threshold values or an expert system to determine whether the changes are acceptable or not. In another embodiment, the surgeon may decide that the changes are acceptable or not. If the CAS system determines at step 120 that either or both of the changes are not acceptable, then at step 122 the CAS system determines a modification to one or both of the implants which will reduce the change or changes. For example, the CAS system may determine that an implant of a different size, *e.g.* smaller or larger, may help reduce the change in offset and/or leg length. Additionally, or alternatively, the CAS system may determine that a different implant position may help reduce the change in offset and/or leg length. Additionally, or alternatively, the CAS system may suggest other modifications to the implants, *e.g.*, different combinations of liner and/or neck and/or head of the femoral component. This is helpful to reduce changes that result from broaching of the femur.

If one of the joint properties is the combined cup and stem anteversion, then modular necks or other geometries of the artificial joint can be suggested to change anteversion.

The method can then be generally repeated using the modified implants until it is determined that the change in leg length and/or offset are acceptable as sufficiently closely restoring the patient to their original condition. Then the hip joint is reduced at step 124 using the implant configuration selected in order to minimize any changes in leg length and offset. Finally at step 128, the change in leg length and offset for the reduced hip joint are determined in order to verify the acceptability of the joint.

In the above described embodiment, the target property of the joint is the pre-operative state of the joint. However, in other embodiments, the target property of the joint may not be to simply recreate the immediately pre-operative state of the joint. For example, the intention of the procedure may be to correct a disease state in which case the joint may need to be reconstructed to be closer to a particular preferred state. That may involve increasing or decreasing any of the properties of the joint to be closer to a target property which may be different to the immediately pre-operative property of the joint.

For example, for whatever reason, a target property of the joint may be to make the joint, e.g. x mm longer, in which cases changes to the prostheses and/or their positions may be determined which make the property of the joint closer to this target property.

5 Generally, embodiments of the present invention employ various processes involving data stored in or transferred through one or more computer systems. Embodiments of the present invention also relate to an apparatus for performing these operations. This apparatus may be specially constructed for the required purposes, or it may be a general-purpose computer selectively activated or reconfigured by a computer program and/or
10 data structure stored in the computer. The processes presented herein are not inherently related to any particular computer or other apparatus. In particular, various general-purpose machines may be used with programs written in accordance with the teachings herein, or it may be more convenient to construct a more specialized apparatus to perform the required method steps.

15

In addition, embodiments of the present invention relate to computer readable media or computer program products that include program instructions and/or data (including data structures) for performing various computer-implemented operations. Examples of computer-readable media include, but are not limited to, magnetic media such as hard
20 disks, floppy disks, and magnetic tape; optical media such as CD-ROM disks; magneto-optical media; semiconductor memory devices, and hardware devices that are specially configured to store and perform program instructions, such as read-only memory devices (ROM) and random access memory (RAM). The data and program instructions of this invention may also be embodied on a carrier wave or other transport medium. Examples
25 of program instructions include both machine code, such as produced by a compiler, and files containing higher level code that may be executed by the computer using an interpreter.

It will also be appreciated that the invention is not limited to the specific combinations of
30 structural features, data processing operations, data structures or sequences of method steps described and that, unless the context requires otherwise, the foregoing can be altered, varied and modified. For example different combinations of structural features

can be used and features described with reference to one embodiment can be combined with other features described with reference to other embodiments. Similarly the sequence of the method steps can be altered and various actions can be combined into a single method step and some methods steps can be carried out as a plurality of individual
5 steps. Also some of the structures are schematically illustrated separately, or as comprising particular combinations of features, for the sake of clarity of explanation only and various of the structures can be combined or integrated together or different features assigned to other structures. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize other variants, modifications and alternatives in light of the foregoing discussion.

CLAIMS:

1. A method for determining a change in a property of a joint of a patient caused by an arthroplasty procedure carried out on the joint, the method being carried out by a computer assisted surgery system, and wherein the joint comprises a first bone and a second bone, the method comprising:

determining the relative position of the first bone and the second bone with the joint in a first position;

- determining the position of a pre-operative centre of motion of the joint relative to the first bone;

determining the position of the second bone relative to the pre-operative centre of motion using the relative position of the first bone and second bone;

determining the position of a post-operative centre of motion of the joint resulting from a prosthetic component to be used in the joint;

- determining the position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion; and

determining the difference between the position of the second bone relative to the pre-operative centre of motion and the position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion to determine any change in the property of the joint.

20

2. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the centre of motion is a centre of rotation.

3. A method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the joint is a ball and socket joint.

25

4. A method as claimed in claim 3, wherein the joint is a hip, the first bone is a part of the pelvis and the second bone is the femur.

5. A method as claimed in claim 4, wherein the property of the joint is the leg length and off set.

30

6. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the property of the joint includes or is a distance in the anterior-posterior direction.

7. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the property of the joint is the
5 anteversion of the joint.

8. A method as claimed in claim 5 or 6, and further comprising:
capturing the positions of a plurality of anatomical points generally defining a coronal plane of the patient's body;

10 determining the position of the coronal plane from the plurality of anatomical points; and

projecting the difference between the position of the second bone relative to the pre-operative centre of motion and the position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion onto the coronal plane to determine the leg length and offset.

15

9. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein determining the position of a post-operative centre of motion of the joint resulting from a prosthetic component to be used in the joint further comprises determining the position of the post-operative centre of motion of the first bone relative to a trackable marker attached to the first bone.

20

10. A method as claimed in claim 9, wherein determining the position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion further comprises determining the position of the post-operative centre of motion of the second bone relative to a trackable marker attached to the second bone.

25

11. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein determining the difference between the position of the second bone relative to the pre-operative centre of motion and the position of the second bone relative to the post-operative centre of motion includes mapping the position of the post-operative centre of motion of the second bone onto the
30 post-operative centre of motion of the first bone.

12. A method as claimed in a claim 1, wherein the post-operative centre of motion is defined by a property of a prosthetic implant.

13. A method as claimed in claim 1, and further comprising:

5 determining whether any change in the property of the joint is acceptable, and if not, then identifying a change to a property of the prosthetic component to be used in the joint which will make the property of the joint closer to a target property of the joint.

14. A method as claimed in claim 13, further comprising:

10 re-determining the change in the property of the joint resulting from the change to the property of the prosthetic component.

15. A method as claimed in claim 13 or 14, where the target property of the joint is a pre-operative property of the joint.

15

16. A computer assisted surgery system for determining a change in a property of a joint of a patient caused by an arthroplasty procedure carried out on the joint, the system comprising at least one data processing device in communication with at least one storage device, the storage device storing instructions executable by the data processing device to
20 cause the computer assisted surgery system to carry out the method of any preceding claim.


17. A computer program product comprising a computer readable medium storing computer readable instructions executable by a data processing device to carry out the

25 method of any of claims 1 to 15.

- 1/5 -


100

Fig 1A





- 2/5-

Fig 1B.



-3/5-



-4/5-




FIG. 4





FIG. 5

FIG. 6






FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/GB2009/000512

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

INV. A61B19/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

A61B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2008/051910 A1 (KAMMERZELL SERGEJ [DE] ET AL) 28 February 2008 (2008-02-28) abstract	16, 17
X	US 2006/293614 A1 (RADINSKY ILIYA [CA] ET AL) 28 December 2006 (2006-12-28) paragraph [0034] - paragraph [0047]	16, 17
X	US 2005/149050 A1 (STIFTER JAN [CH] ET AL) 7 July 2005 (2005-07-07) paragraph [0092] - paragraph [0098]	16, 17
X	WO 2005/000140 A (MURPHY STEPHEN B [US]) 6 January 2005 (2005-01-06) page 6, line 5 - page 7, line 25	16, 17
	----- -/--	



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

& document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

13 July 2009

Date of mailing of the international search report

21/07/2009

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Mayer-Martenson, E

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/GB2009/000512

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 1 870 053 A (ORTHOSOFT INC [CA]) 26 December 2007 (2007-12-26) paragraph [0036] - paragraph [0061] paragraph [0074] -----	16,17
X	US 2003/105470 A1 (WHITE MICHAEL R [US]) 5 June 2003 (2003-06-05) paragraph [0068] - paragraphs [0072], [0073]; figure 2 -----	16,17
A	US 2008/045839 A1 (DRUMM PETER [DE]) 21 February 2008 (2008-02-21) abstract -----	16,17

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/GB2009/000512

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☒ Claims Nos.: 1-15
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Rule 39.1(iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers allsearchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.
- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No
PCT/GB2009/000512

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2008051910 A1	28-02-2008	WO 2008017648 A1	14-02-2008
US 2006293614 A1	28-12-2006	AU 2006254688 A1	07-12-2006
		CA 2607036 A1	07-12-2006
		WO 2006128301 A1	07-12-2006
		EP 1885274 A1	13-02-2008
		JP 2008545481 T	18-12-2008
US 2005149050 A1	07-07-2005	AT 378000 T	15-11-2007
		AU 2003242528 A1	02-12-2003
		DE 10306793 A1	04-12-2003
		WO 03096870 A2	27-11-2003
		EP 1507472 A2	23-02-2005
		JP 2005525858 T	02-09-2005
WO 2005000140 A	06-01-2005	AT 429185 T	15-05-2009
		AU 2004251653 A1	06-01-2005
		CA 2527955 A1	06-01-2005
		EP 1628590 A1	01-03-2006
EP 1870053 A	26-12-2007	NONE	
US 2003105470 A1	05-06-2003	AU 2002361621 A1	26-05-2003
		WO 03041611 A2	22-05-2003
US 2008045839 A1	21-02-2008	EP 1889584 A1	20-02-2008