



(43) International Publication Date
22 September 2016 (22.09.2016)

- (51) International Patent Classification:
H04B 7/185 (2006.01) *H04B 7/204* (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/EP2015/055807
- (22) International Filing Date:
19 March 2015 (19.03.2015)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (71) Applicant: EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY (ESA)
[FR/FR]; 8-10 rue Mario Nikis, F-75738 Paris Cedex 15 (FR).
- (72) Inventors: PIERO, Angeletti; Keplerlaan 1, NL-2200 AG Noordwijk (NL). FRANCESC, Coromina; Keplerlaan 1, NL-2200 AG Noordwijk (NL). PIERO, Gabellini; Keplerlaan 1, NL-2200 AG Noordwijk (NL). NICOLA, Gatti; Keplerlaan 1, NL-2200 AG Noordwijk (NL).
- (74) Agent: NEDERLANDSCH OCTROOIBUREAU; Anna van Buerenplein 21 A, 2595 DA The Hague (NL).
- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.


- (84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:
— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

WO 2016/146195 A1

(54) Title: RECONFIGURABLE RF FRONT END CIRCUIT FOR A MULTI-BEAM ARRAY FED REFLECTOR ANTENNA SYSTEM

Fig. 2



(57) Abstract: Reconfigurable RF front-end circuit for a multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system having a first plurality of N_B input beam signals and a second plurality of N_E radiating elements (RE), and method of operating such a front-end circuit. The front-end circuit comprises a reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN), having a set of N_B input ports and distributing each input port signal to a plurality of N_A output ports with phase and amplitude control, a plurality of N_A high power amplifiers (HPA) connected to the plurality of N_A output ports of the reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN) and an output network (ONET, OSN), arranged for recombining signals output by the high power amplifiers (HPA) and feeding the recombined signals to the second plurality of N_E radiating elements (RE). The high power amplifiers (HPA) are variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA).

Reconfigurable RF front end circuit for a multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system**Field of the invention**

The present invention relates to a reconfigurable RF front-end circuit for a multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system having a first plurality of N_B input beam signals and a second plurality of N_E radiating elements (RE), the front-end circuit comprising a reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN), having a set of N_B input ports and distributing each input port signal to a plurality of N_A output ports with phase and amplitude control; a plurality of N_A high power amplifiers (HPA) connected to the plurality of N_A output ports of the reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN); and an output network (ONET, OSN), arranged for recombining signals output by the high power amplifiers (HPA) and feeding the recombined signals to the second plurality of N_E radiating elements (RE).

In a further aspect, the present invention relates to a method of operating such an RF front-end circuit.

Prior art

US patent 6,993,299 discloses the use of variable bias HPA's in array antennae, however, using an a posteriori control of the variable bias.

US patent 5,115,248 discloses a solid state power amplifier with dynamically adjusted operating points. The output power from such an RF High-Power-Amplifier can be adjusted by changing its bias conditions.

Summary of the invention

The present invention seeks to provide an improved RF front-end circuit, especially suitable for satellite applications, such as in the fields of satellite communications, remote sensing and global navigation systems.

According to the present invention, a reconfigurable RF front-end circuit according to the preamble defined above is provided, wherein the high power amplifiers (HPA) are variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA). This allows to improve poor efficiency of the prior art amplification sections when amplitude and phase beam forming is applied, in order to achieve maximization of radiation performance.

In a further aspect, there is provided a method of operating a reconfigurable RF front-end circuit according to one of the present invention embodiments, comprising controlling the bias condition of each of the variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) individually. This allows to optimize operation in such a way that the amplitude and phase excitations of all the beams simultaneously satisfy the radiative required performances together with the constraint that the load for each amplifier is kept within a range that allow to operate the amplifier with a good DC-to-RF efficiency and the overall RF-Front-End in an optimum DC-to-EIRP efficiency condition.

10 **Short description of drawings**

The present invention will be discussed in more detail below, using a number of exemplary embodiments, with reference to the attached drawings, in which

Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of a first embodiment of the front-end circuit according to the present invention;

15 Fig. 2 shows a schematic diagram of a second embodiment of the front-end circuit according to the present invention;

Fig. 3 shows a typical set-up of a plurality of radiating elements as used in an exemplary implementation of a multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system according to the present invention;

20 Fig. 4 shows the footprints of the elementary beams generated by the configuration of a plurality of radiating elements of Fig. 3;

Fig. 5 shows an exemplary coverage with different shaped beams to be realised by appropriately amplitude and phase combining the elementary beams of Fig.4; and

25 Fig. 6 shows an embodiment of an output network as can be applied for feeding the radiating elements.

Detailed description of exemplary embodiments

Satellite systems for multimedia broadcasting to mobiles have been proposed to adopt multiple shaped beams antennas realizing coverages, e.g. coinciding with different linguistic geographical regions.

30 Outstanding satellite performances are required to provide high data rates and quality of service to low-cost and small-size mobile terminals. Considering the noticeable effective aperture required in S-band from a geostationary orbit, the most

promising antenna architecture is based on large size reflectors fed by complex arrays of radiating elements (RE), i.e. an Array Fed Reflector (AFR).

In multi-beam satellite payloads based on Array Fed Reflectors, the high power section is responsible for RF power generation and distribution to feeds. In a generic
5 array fed reflector antenna system, the feed array comprises a plurality of radiating elements (REs) typically arranged in a regular grid pattern (e.g. on a hexagonal lattice). The feed array is located at a reflector focal plane or at an offset distance. The feed array produces a wavefront which is reflected off the reflector to a coverage area. Importantly, there is direct correspondence between each radiating element of the feed
10 array and the elementary beam location produced by that radiating element on the coverage area.

The capability to realize very large reflectors apertures is just the first element to account for an effective design of the overall satellite payload architecture. An equally difficult task is that of assuring high RF power handling capability as well as
15 flexibility in its allocation.

A fundamental goal that has been taken into consideration for the development of the present invention embodiments (also indicated below as architecture E), is the power utilization efficiency. The limited availability of power sources in satellites make it preferable for the on-board High Power Amplifiers (HPAs) to work close to the
20 saturation point in order to improve the DC-to-RF power conversion efficiency and to take full advantage of their power-handling capabilities.

In allocating the power to the radiating elements RE in a multi-beam or reconfigurable antenna, if a radiating element is not participating to the formation of the beam due its position, its power would be wasted. By using Variable-Bias High-
25 Power-Amplifiers (VB-HPAs) the power supplied to less-used amplifiers can be reallocated to other amplifiers providing useful contribution. In a Variable-Bias High-Power-Amplifier the bias can be adjusted to provide high efficiency in a wide range of RF output power.

Finally, by increasing the DC-to-EIRP efficiency, the required saturated power
30 level of the HPAs can be reduced while still achieving the required EIRP levels.

Some or all aspects of the present invention may be suitable for being implemented in form of software, in particular a computer program product. Such computer program product may comprise a storage media, such as a memory, on which

the software is stored. Also, the computer program may be represented by a signal, such as an optic signal or an electro-magnetic signal, carried by a transmission medium such as an optic fiber cable or the air. The computer program may partly or entirely have the form of source code, object code, or pseudo code, suitable for being executed
5 by a computer system. For example, the code may be executable by one or more processors.

The examples and embodiments described herein serve to illustrate rather than limit the invention. The person skilled in the art will be able to design alternative embodiments without departing from the scope of the claims. Reference signs placed in
10 parentheses in the claims shall not be interpreted to limit the scope of the claims. Items described as separate entities in the claims or the description may be implemented as a single or multiple hardware items combining the features of the items described.

Fig. 1 illustrates a schematic diagram of a first embodiment of the front-end circuit according to the present invention as applied in a multi-beam array fed reflector
15 antenna system.

The multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system of Fig. 1 comprises a low-level reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN), a layer of variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPAs), a layer of lossless multiport hybrid matrices (output network, ONET), a fixed output scrambling network (OSN), a layer of radiating
20 elements (REs), and a reflector. The layer of radiating elements (REs) has a number N_E of radiating elements. Thus, in an embodiment of the present invention, a reconfigurable RF front-end circuit is provided for a multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system having a first plurality of N_B input beam signals and a second plurality of N_E radiating elements RE. The front-end circuit comprises a reconfigurable beam
25 forming network LLRBFN, having a set of N_B input ports and distributing each input port signal to a plurality of N_A output ports with phase and amplitude control; a plurality of N_A high power amplifiers HPA connected to the plurality of N_A output ports of the reconfigurable beam forming network LLRBFN; an output network (ONET, OSN) arranged for recombining signals output by the high power amplifiers HPA and
30 feeding the recombined signals to the second plurality of N_E radiating elements RE. The high power amplifiers HPA are variable bias high power amplifiers VB-HPA.

The LLRBFN of Fig. 1 has a number N_B of input ports and a number N_A of output ports configured to receive a number N_B of beam signals and to distribute them

to a number N_A of output ports. The number N_B of input ports is equal to the number N_B of input beams and each input port of the N_B input ports is configured to receive one of the N_B beam signals. The number N_A of output ports is equal to the number N_A of variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPAs). The LLRBFN of Fig. 1 is further
5 configured to transmit an input injected into one of the input ports to N_A different output ports.

The low-level reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN) of Fig. 1 comprises a first layer of N_B Signal Dividers SD, a second layer of $N_A \times N_B$ variable attenuators elements WE AMP, a third layer of $N_A \times N_B$ phase shifters elements WE, a
10 fourth layer of N_A Signal Combiners SC for combining the signal channels into the plurality of N_A output ports. Thus, in a first embodiment of the present invention, the reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN) comprises a plurality of signal dividers (SD) for distributing each input port signal to a plurality of N_A signal channels, each signal channel further comprising an amplitude weighting element (WE AMP)
15 and a phase weighting element (WE PHI), and a plurality of N_A signal combiners (SC) for combining the signal channels into the plurality of N_A output ports.

The order of the amplitude and phase weighting elements can be interchanged, or amplitude and phase weighting may be implemented in an integrated weighting element.

20 The first layer of N_B Signal Dividers SD of Fig. 1 has a number N_B of input ports and a number $N_A \times N_B$ of output ports, and is configured to receive the N_B input beam signals and to split each input signal in N_A output signals.

The second layer of $N_A \times N_B$ variable attenuators elements WE AMP of Fig. 1 has a number $N_A \times N_B$ of input ports connected to the outputs of the layer SD and a
25 number $N_A \times N_B$ of output ports.

The third layer of $N_A \times N_B$ phase shifters elements WE PHI of Fig. 1 has a number $N_A \times N_B$ of input ports connected to the outputs of the variable attenuators elements layer and a number $N_A \times N_B$ of output ports.

The fourth layer of N_A Signal Combiners (SC) has a number $N_A \times N_B$ of input
30 ports for combining the signals at the output of the phase shifter layer into the plurality of N_A output ports; and is configured in such a way that each signal combiner has N_B input signals corresponding to each N_B beam signals and combine them in an output signals.

The layer of variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPAs) of Fig. 1 comprises N_A variable bias high power amplifiers each one having an input and an output. The N_A inputs of the active variable bias high power amplifiers are connected to the N_A output ports of the LLRBFN. The variable bias high power amplifier VB-HPA is a flexible or variable bias solid-state power amplifier (flex-SSPA) in a specific embodiment, or it can be implemented as a flexible or variable bias travelling wave tube amplifier (flex-TWTA).

The layer of lossless multiport hybrid matrices (ONET) of Fig. 1 comprises a plurality of lossless multiport hybrid matrices, a number N_A of input ports and a number N_E of output ports. Each lossless multiport hybrid matrix may be a Butler network (see description below) or any other suitable device. The number N_A of input ports is equal to the number of variable bias high power amplifiers VB-HPA and each input port of the N_A input ports is connected to a different output port of the N_A output ports of the layer of variable bias high power amplifiers VB-HPA. The number N_E of output ports of the ONET is equal to the number N_E of radiating elements RE.

The fixed output scrambling network (OSN) of Fig. 1 connects each output port of the N_E output ports of the ONET to a radiating element RE. The OSN interconnection between each lossless multiport hybrid matrix of the ONET and the radiating elements RE is such to obtain an almost uniform distribution of power among the amplifiers independently from the power assigned to the beams.

Fig. 2 illustrates a schematic diagram of a second embodiment of the front-end circuit applied in a multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system according to a further embodiment of the present invention.

The multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system of Fig. 2 comprises a first layer of N_B channel amplifiers CA (each with a voltage gain a_m), in addition to a low-level reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN) (with voltage gains $b_{n,m}$), a layer of variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPAs, with normalised voltage gain c_n), a layer of lossless multiport hybrid matrices (output network, ONET), a fixed output scrambling network (OSN), a layer of radiating elements (REs), and a reflector (as in the Fig. 1 embodiment). Furthermore a control and power sub-system (CPSS) is provided connected to the reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN) and the variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA).

Each one of the N_B channel amplifiers CA comprises one input configured to receive one of the N_B beam signals and one output connected to the LLRBFN. Or in other words, the front-end circuit further comprises a set of channel amplifiers (CA) each connected to one of the set of N_B input ports of the reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN).
5

The LLRBFN of Fig. 2, similar to the embodiment of Fig. 1, has a number N_B of input ports and a number N_A of output ports, and is configured to receive the N_B outputs of the CA and to transmit an input injected into an input port to N_A different output ports. The layer of variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPAs), the layer of lossless multiport hybrid matrices (ONET), the fixed output scrambling network (OSN) and the layer of radiating elements (REs) of Fig. 2 are similar in structure and function to the VB-HPAs, the ONET, the OSN and the REs of Fig. 1.
10

The CPSS of Fig. 2 is configured to provide amplitude and phase settings for the LLRBFN and for the bias of the VB-HPA, and to supply a DC power associated to the LLRBFN and VB-HPA states, wherein the DC power is less than a power needed to simultaneously operate all the power amplifiers at full power.
15

In the Fig. 2 embodiment, the LLRBFN distributes the input beam signals to all the VB-HPAs with appropriate amplitude and phase. The ONET linearly recombines the amplified sub-signals and the OSN associates them to respective radiating elements REs. Finally, focusing means, e.g. a concave reflector, form the transmitted beams whose direction, shape and EIRP depends on the position of the radiating element RE within the array, on the applied amplitude and phase excitations and the bias conditions of the VB-HPAs. The cascade of the LLRBFN, VB-HPAs, ONETs and OSN, i.e. the reconfigurable RF front-end circuit, controls amplitude and phase excitations of the Radiating Elements REs.
20
25

Fig. 3 illustrates a typical set-up of a plurality of radiating elements as used in an exemplary implementation of a multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system according to the present invention. This exemplary radiating elements configuration uses thirty two radiating elements. The target coverage shown in Fig. 3 may aim at covering different linguistic zones. The baseline number of radiating elements in Fig. 3 may be selected by an appropriate trade-off aimed at fitting the array with the target multi-beam coverage.
30

Fig. 4 illustrates the footprint of the elementary beams generated by the configuration of thirty two radiating elements of Fig. 3, typical for a geostationary satellite covering the surface of Western Europe. The spherical coordinate system used in Fig. 4 refers to the satellite orbital location pointing toward the Earth centre, the horizontal and vertical axes represents the projections of the spherical versor along the north-south and east-west axes in degrees. A thirty two radiating elements array may offer a good solution for reconfiguration over a large orbital sector since as it can be seen in Fig. 4, the footprint of the elementary beams generated by the radiating elements supply an acceptable coverage all over the required angular sector due to a proper reflector (or platform) repointing depending on the selected satellite position. The reconfiguration capability related to the satellite position may be managed by means of antenna re-pointing while the reconfiguration capability related to the beam shaping within the service area may be managed optimizing both the beam forming network weights and the amplifier biasing conditions.

The baseline RF-Front-End circuit and Antenna architecture used for the configuration of RE's in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 is similar to the one illustrated in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

Within the target area (Western Europe in the example), the service is provided by means of shaped beams covering a given region or language homogeneous area.

Fig. 5 illustrates an exemplary Western Europe coverage with different shaped beams. The shaped beams are formed by means of appropriately amplitude and phase combining individual elementary beams.

Fig. 6 illustrates an exemplary 4×4 hybrid matrix constituting the ONET as possibly used in the embodiments of Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, as well as in the embodiment of Fig. 6 described below. The hybrid matrix of Fig. 6 has four inputs, four outputs, and four directional coupler blocks of 3dB/90 degrees. As it can be seen in Fig. 6, the hybrid matrix linearly combines and phase shifts the inputs.

The OSN interconnection between each lossless multiport hybrid matrix of the ONET and the radiating elements RE is such to obtain an as uniform as possible distribution of power among the amplifiers independently from the power assigned to the individual beams.

The table below illustrates an exemplary OSN interconnection of a plurality of lossless multiport hybrid matrices of Fig. 6 to obtain an almost uniform distribution of

power among the amplifiers for elementary beam of Fig.4 and the shaped beams exemplified in Fig. 5 as used in the embodiments of Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

Lossless multiport hybrid matrix	Radiating Elements
A	1, 5, 16, 30
B	2, 17, 28, 32
C	3, 14, 18, 27
D	4, 15, 19, 29
E	6, 10, 21, 25
F	7, 11, 22, 26
G	8, 12, 23, 31
H	9, 13, 20, 24

5 In view of the above, it is clear that the present invention also extends to a further aspect, i.e. a multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system comprising a first plurality of N_B input beam signal inputs, an RF front-end circuit according to any one of the embodiment described herein, and further comprising a reflector receiving radiated signals from the second plurality of N_E radiating elements (RE).

10 From a mathematical point of view, the relationship between signals at the input ports and signals at the output ports of the RF front end circuit embodiments of Fig. 1, 2 and 6 can be expressed generally by the equation:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}$$

wherein \mathbf{x} is the ($N_B \times 1$) input signal vector, \mathbf{y} is the ($N_E \times 1$) output signal vector and
 15 \mathbf{T} is the input-output ($N_E \times N_B$) transfer matrix.

The LLRBFN has maximal reconfigurability. The set of output ports N_A is equal to the number of high power amplifiers, and the LLRBFN is configured to transmit an input signal injected into an input port to N_A different output ports.

In a different embodiment of the invention the LLRBFN, or the combination of
 20 the CA and LLRFBFN, can be built as a Digital Beamforming Network (DBFN).

The cascade of the LLRBFN and the high power amplifiers can be modelled by an equivalent complex signal transfer matrix \mathbf{G} with entries of square module normalized to the HPAs' saturated powers P_n^A , as:

$$g_{n,m} = c_n b_{n,m} a_m \exp(j\phi_{n,m}) \quad (1)$$

- 5 The RF-power at the output port of the n -th High Power Amplifier, P_n^A , assuming uncorrelated beam signals, is

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^A &\approx P_n^{\text{SAT}} \sum_{m=1}^{N_B} |g_{n,m}|^2 = \\ &= P_n^{\text{SAT}} c_n^2 \sum_{m=1}^{N_B} b_{n,m}^2 a_m^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- where P_n^{SAT} is a reference power level for the n -th High Power Amplifier that will be assumed to correspond to the maximum deliverable power (e.g. saturation). The RF-
10 power at the input port of the n -th High Power Amplifier, $P_n^{\text{A-IP}}$, is:

$$P_n^{\text{A-IP}} \approx \sum_{m=1}^{N_B} b_{n,m}^2 a_m^2 \quad (3)$$

The gain of a power amplifier is the ratio of the output RF-power to the input RF-power, and for the n -th High Power Amplifier:

$$\frac{P_n^A}{P_n^{\text{A-IP}}} = c_n^2 \quad (4)$$

- 15 The available power for the m -th beam, P_m^B , is:

$$\begin{aligned} P_m^B &\approx \sum_{n=1}^{N_A} P_n^{\text{SAT}} |g_{n,m}|^2 = \\ &= a_m^2 \sum_{n=1}^{N_A} P_n^{\text{SAT}} c_n^2 b_{n,m}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

For the total RF power we have, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\text{TOT}} &= \sum_{n=1}^{N_A} P_n^A = \sum_{n=1}^{N_A} \left(P_n^{\text{SAT}} c_n^2 \sum_{m=1}^{N_B} b_{n,m}^2 a_m^2 \right) = \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{N_B} P_m^B = \sum_{m=1}^{N_B} \left(a_m^2 \sum_{n=1}^{N_A} \left(P_n^{\text{SAT}} c_n^2 b_{n,m}^2 \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The overall transfer matrix \mathbf{T} between the beam input ports and the input ports of the radiating elements (RE-IP) can be represented as the matricial product,

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{HPG} \quad (7)$$

where

5 \mathbf{P} is the diagonal matrix with entries $\sqrt{P_n^{\text{SAT}}}$

\mathbf{G} is the complex transfer matrix of the cascade of the channel amplifiers (CA), low-level reconfigurable BFN (LLRBFN) and high power amplifiers (HPAs)

\mathbf{H} is the complex transfer matrix of the cascade of the layer of lossless multiport hybrid matrices (**ONET**), and the Output Scrambling Network (**OSN**) representing the
10 **ONET-to-RE** mapping (i.e. in effect a permutation matrix).

A power amplifier efficiency is defined as the ratio of the output RF-power of a power amplifier to the DC-power it consumes. The amplification process introduces nonlinearities that affect the quality of the signal. For this reason, the operating point of the power amplifiers must be selected by trading efficiency versus linearity. Basically,
15 the output power of power amplifiers can be controlled by changing the input power level.

Furthermore, in variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPAs), for a desired maximum output power, the efficiency can be controlled by adjusting the bias condition of the power amplifier. The power consumption of the power amplifier
20 results are thus determined by the combination of the power amplifier bias conditions and output power. Thus in a general aspect of the present invention, a method is provided of operating an RF front-end circuit according to any one of the embodiments described above, comprising controlling the bias condition of each of the variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) individually.

25 To quantify these effects we must introduce quantities related to the actual operating point of the amplifier VB-HPA.

The first parameter, referred to as Saturation Back-Off (SBO) is defined as the ratio of the maximum achievable saturation power, P_n^{SAT} , with the given power amplifier, to the adjustable saturation power P_n^{ASAT} according to the adjustable biasing
30 conditions.

12

$$SBO_n = \frac{P_n^{\text{SAT}}}{P_n^{\text{ASAT}}} \quad (8)$$

By adjusting the saturation power of each power amplifier according to changing power requirements the efficiency of each power amplifier can be optimized. So, in a further method embodiment, the bias condition is controlled to vary a saturation back-off (SBO) parameter according to an actual power requirement of each of the variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) individually, the saturation back-off parameter (SBO) being defined as the ratio of a maximum achievable saturation power to an adjustable saturation power. Consequently, one or more of the power amplifiers can be operated at different Saturation Back-Off (SBO) values related to the output power actually needed for the power amplifier in consideration.

The other parameter is the Output Back-Off (OBO) defined as the ratio of the actual saturation power of the amplifier (i.e. the adjustable saturation power) to the output power in the operational condition under consideration.

$$OBO_n = \frac{P_n^{\text{ASAT}}}{P_n^{\text{A}}} \quad (9)$$

Thus, a further embodiment is provided, wherein the bias condition is controlled to vary an output back-off (OBO) parameter for each of the variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) individually, the output back-off parameter (OBO) being defined as the ratio of an adjustable saturation power to the actual output power.

The two quantities can be combined together in the following formula,

$$P_n^{\text{A}} = \frac{P_n^{\text{SAT}}}{OBO_n SBO_n} \quad (10)$$

The amplifier power consumption, W_n^{A} , will depend on

$$W_n^{\text{A}} = W_n^{\text{A}}(P_n^{\text{A}}, P_n^{\text{ASAT}}) = W_n^{\text{A}}(OBO_n, SBO_n) \quad (11)$$

It is worth noting that the linearity performances of a power amplifier are dominated by the OBO much more than by the SBO.

Generally speaking, to maintain a certain signal quality (e.g. defined by the ratio of the useful signal power to the intermodulation products power), a minimum Output Back-Off (OBO_{\min}) must be exceeded,

$$OBO_{\min} \leq \min_n(OBO_n) \quad (12)$$

5 and substituting,

$$\max_n(P_n^A) \leq \frac{P_n^{\text{SAT}}}{OBO_{\min}} \quad (13)$$

Thus, in a further embodiment, the output back-off (OBO) parameter of the plurality of N_A variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) is equal to or higher than a minimum output back-off parameter value (OBO_{\min}).

10 In these expressions it is assumed that the linearity performances of the different amplifiers VB-HPA (possibly with different saturation powers) are similar in term of OBO such that a single value of Minimum Output Back-Off, OBO_{\min} , can be determined. Nevertheless these expressions can be easily extended considering the need of different values of Minimum Output Back-Offs per amplifier.

15 Considering that the adjustment of the saturated power of a High Power Amplifier can be achieved with a negligible degradation in efficiency within a limited range (e.g. 3-4 dB saturation power control in space qualified Travelling Wave Tube Amplifiers - TWTAs), it is desirable (or mandatory due to the technological constraints) to limit the Saturation Back-Off (SBO) to a maximum value,

$$20 \quad \max_n(SBO_n) \leq SBO_{\max} \quad (14)$$

Or, in a further method embodiment, the saturation back-off (SBO) parameter for each of the plurality of N_A variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) is equal to or lower than a maximum saturation back-off parameter value (SBO_{\max}).

25 Also in this case it is assumed that the Maximum Saturation Back-Off, SBO_{\max} of different amplifiers (possibly with different saturation powers) is identical. Similarly to what is noted above these expressions can be easily extended considering the need of different values of Maximum Saturation Back-Off per different amplifier classes.

Considering that the power amplifier efficiency is typically a monotone decreasing function of the Output Back-Off, the output power per amplifier should preferably satisfy the condition:

$$P_n^A = \frac{P_n^{\text{ASAT}}}{\text{OBO}_{\min}} \quad (15)$$

5 which in summary reads,

$$\frac{P_n^{\text{SAT}}}{\text{OBO}_{\min} \text{SBO}_{\max}} \leq P_n^A \leq \frac{P_n^{\text{SAT}}}{\text{OBO}_{\min}} \quad (16)$$

and substituting,

$$\frac{1}{\text{OBO}_{\min} \text{SBO}_{\max}} \leq c_n^2 \sum_{m=1}^{N_B} b_{n,m}^2 a_m^2 \leq \frac{1}{\text{OBO}_{\min}} \quad (17)$$

Thus in a further embodiment, the saturation back-off parameter for each of the
 10 plurality of N_A variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) is set according to formula (17) wherein n denotes an index for each of the plurality of N_A variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA), m denotes an index for each of the plurality of N_B input beams, a_m is the gain contribution of the respective channel amplifier CA, $b_{n,m}$ is the gain contribution of the respective reconfigurable beam forming network
 15 (LLRBFN), and c_n is the gain contribution of the variable bias high power amplifier (VB-HPA).

It is assumed that the output power of each amplifier may not be the same for all the power amplifiers, and may vary with changes. Any given power amplifier may be required to amplify at an output power level up to a maximum saturation power level.
 20 For N_A amplifiers the total available RF power could reach the sum of the maximum saturation power levels of each single amplifier (under the linearity constraint).

$$P_{\text{TOT}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_A} P_n^A \leq \frac{1}{\text{OBO}_{\min}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_A} P_n^{\text{SAT}} \quad (18)$$

This equality must hold to reach the maximum deliverable power limit (i.e. the power per amplifier must be compliant with the minimum back-off constraint).

25 The radiative performances of the antenna are also taken into account. Each of the N_E Radiating Elements (RE) generates a co-polar far-field voltage pattern $f_k(\vartheta, \varphi)$

(beamlet) normalized, for convenience, to a total power of 4π watts which means that the integrated power over the sphere becomes:

$$\iint_{4\pi} |f_k(\vartheta, \varphi)|^2 d\Omega = 4\pi \quad (19)$$

This normalisation is convenient since the feed directivity is then simply given
5 by $|f_k(\vartheta, \varphi)|^2$.

Each Radiating Elements (RE) is fed by an excitation coefficient $t_{k,m}$.

The normalised voltage beam pattern,

$$B_m(\vartheta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N_E} |t_{k,m}|^2}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_E} t_{k,m} f_k(\vartheta, \varphi) \quad (20)$$

is such that, if power coupling between the Radiating Elements can be neglected (as it
10 is typically the case), the beam directivity is simply given by $|B_m(\vartheta, \varphi)|^2$.

Assuming that the cascade of the ONET and the scrambling matrix representing the ONET-to-RE mapping satisfies a lossless condition (neglecting the Ohmic dissipative losses) and assuming the coupling effects between the radiating Elements to be negligible, the power available for the m -th beam would be conserved at the output
15 of the stack of High Power Amplifiers (A-OP) and at the input ports of the radiating elements (RE-IP) such that the following relation holds true,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N_E} |t_{k,m}|^2 = P_m^B \quad (21)$$

Combining equation (20) with equation (21) we obtain that the Effective Isotropic Radiated Power (EIRP) of the m -th beam can be expressed as follows:

$$20 \quad EIRP_m(\vartheta, \varphi) = P_m^B |B_m(\vartheta, \varphi)|^2 = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_E} t_{k,m} f_k(\vartheta, \varphi) \right|^2 \quad (22)$$

For a given beam m with an assigned coverage, Ω_m , in the (ϑ, φ) domain, it is convenient to report the minimum $EIRP_m(\vartheta, \varphi)$ within the coverage as $EIRP_m$,

$$EIRP_m = \min_{(\vartheta, \varphi) \in \Omega_m} EIRP_m(\vartheta, \varphi) \quad (23)$$

Rendering explicit the feeding coefficients $t_{k,m}$ between the beam input ports and the radiating elements input ports (RE-IP),

$$\begin{aligned} t_{k,m} &= \sum_{n=1}^{N_A} \left(h_{k,n} \sqrt{P_n^{\text{SAT}}} g_{n,m} \right) = \\ &= a_m \sum_{n=1}^{N_A} \left(h_{k,n} \sqrt{P_n^{\text{SAT}}} c_n b_{n,m} \exp(j\phi_{n,m}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

the attention can focus on the optimization of the EIRP,

$$5 \quad EIRP_m(\vartheta, \varphi) = a_m^2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_E} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_A} \left(h_{k,n} \sqrt{P_n^{\text{SAT}}} c_n b_{n,m} \exp(j\phi_{n,m}) \right) \right) f_k(\vartheta, \varphi) \right|^2 \quad (25)$$

by means of the available degrees of freedom $a_m, b_{n,m} \exp(j\phi_{n,m}), c_n$.

Once a satisfying solution is found and the required power per amplifier, P_n^A , is determined, the amplifiers' biasing conditions are determined with the aim of minimizing the power consumption:

$$\begin{aligned} (OBO_n, SBO_n) &= \underset{OBO_n, SBO_n}{\operatorname{argmin}} W_n^A(OBO_n, SBO_n) \\ 10 \quad \text{subject to} \quad & OBO_n \geq OBO_{\min} \\ & P_n^A = \frac{P_n^{\text{SAT}}}{OBO_n SBO_n} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Under the preferred condition of maximum efficiency with prescribed linearity,

$$\frac{1}{OBO_{\min} SBO_{\max}} \leq c_n^2 \sum_{m=1}^{N_B} b_{n,m}^2 a_m^2 \leq \frac{1}{OBO_{\min}} \quad (27)$$

and under the simplifying assumption of monotonicity of $W_n^A(OBO_n, SBO_n)$ with respect to the back-offs OBO_n, SBO_n , we obtain:

$$15 \quad SBO_n = \frac{1}{OBO_{\min} c_n^2 \sum_{m=1}^{N_B} b_{n,m}^2 a_m^2} \quad (28)$$

Finally, in case the technological implementation of the variable bias high power amplifiers doesn't allow for a continuous variation of the levels of the Saturation Back-off, the selected value is chosen as the nearest approximation of the required SBO to the ceiling available value:

$$SBO_n = \lceil SBO_n \rceil_{SBO} \quad (29)$$

In other words, the Saturation Back-Off (SBO) operating point of at least one variable bias high power amplifiers of the plurality of N_A variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) is calculating by selecting the ceiling value.

5 The final objective of the optimization is that the amplitude and phase excitations of all the beams are simultaneously optimized in such a way that the radiative performances are met together with the constraint that the load for each amplifier is kept within a range that allow to operate the amplifier with a good DC-to-RF efficiency and the overall RF-Front-End in an optimum DC-to-EIRP efficiency
10 condition.

 The amplitude and phase optimization procedure consists in a simultaneous optimization of all the LLRBFN coefficients aiming at meeting edge-of-coverage (EOC) EIRP and beam-to-beam isolation for all the active beams taking into account the constraint on the amplifiers' load. An example of such kind of optimization is
15 presented in the following paragraph. The amplitude and phase optimization, with constrained VB-HPA load, can be managed by means of consolidated optimization tools. Thus, in a further embodiment, the method further comprises a simultaneous optimization of the coefficients controlling the reconfigurable beam forming networks (LLRBFN).

20 The CPSS (as described above with reference to the embodiment of Fig. 2, but which can also be applied in the embodiment of Fig. 1) regulates the amount of power supplied to each amplifier by varying their bias conditions in accordance with the amplifier load. The power amplifier is designed to maintain their efficiency over a range output power.

25 In order to assess the advantages of the present invention embodiments, a comparison has been made between some prior art implementations and the present invention embodiments.

 Further to known array fed reflector systems with an active control and beam forming approaches, having either an Unconstrained Amplitude and Phase or a Phase
30 Only control, semi-active multi-matrix based systems are also known.

 In configuration C (semi-active multi-matrix – unconstrained amplitude and phase), the introduction of a stack of Butler-like hybrid matrices allows a partial reshuffling of the amplitude and phase degrees of freedom at the input of the hybrids

with respect to those at radiating element level. Furthermore an appropriate selection of the radiating elements to connect to the hybrids allows achieving an equalization of the powers to be generated by the amplifiers, thus increasing the power efficiency. Full exploitation of the amplitude and phase degrees of freedom is retained with good radiation performances. Losses are introduced proportionally ($N \times \log N$) to the order (N) of the hybrids (which are typically composed of directional couplers and waveguide structures realizing proper phase shifts).

Configuration D (Semi-Active Multi-Matrix - Phase Only) is similar to the previous configuration C, but with phase only beam forming. The configuration D showed almost full freedom in power-to-beam allocation. Thanks to an advanced antenna numerical optimization it has been proven possible to achieve good gain maximization while maintaining control of the beam-to-beam isolation. Nevertheless the attractive feature of full power reconfigurability offered by the Semi-Active Multi-Matrix Phase Only (configuration D) is paid in terms of reduced radiation efficiency with respect to the Semi-Active Multi-Matrix Amplitude & Phase (configuration C), which conversely leads to HPAs oversize and then to reduced efficiency of the amplification section. This reduced radiation performance is one of the drawbacks of Multi-Matrix Phase-Only beam-forming, especially in case of use of hybrid matrices of low orders, since the unwanted emissions must be properly controlled in order to avoid the degradation of isolation levels.

		Conf C	Conf D	Conf E (present invention)
	$\langle G \rangle$ [dB]	<u>39.2</u>	37.7	<u>39.1</u>
	$P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{RF}}/P^{\text{Sat}}$ [lin]	10.9	<u>20.2</u>	13.7
	$\langle \text{EIRP} \rangle / P^{\text{Sat}}$ [dB]	40.5	<u>41.7</u>	<u>41.5</u>
TWTA	$P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{DC}}/P^{\text{Sat}}$ [lin]	33.6	42.3	36.5
	$P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{Diss}}/P^{\text{Sat}}$ [lin]	22.8	22.1	22.8
	$\eta = P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{RF}}/P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{DC}}$	32%	48%	38%
	$\langle \text{EIRP} \rangle / P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{DC}}$ [dB]	25.2	25.5	<u>25.9</u>
	$\langle \text{EIRP} \rangle / P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{Diss}}$	26.9	28.3	<u>27.9</u>
Flex-TWTA	$P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{DC}}/P^{\text{Sat}}$ [lin]	27.4	42.3	31.9
	$P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{Diss}}/P^{\text{Sat}}$ [lin]	16.6	22.1	18.2
	$\eta = P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{RF}}/P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{DC}}$	39%	48%	43%
	$\langle \text{EIRP} \rangle / P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{DC}}$ [dB]	26.1	25.5	<u>26.5</u>
	$\langle \text{EIRP} \rangle / P_{\text{Tot}}^{\text{Diss}}$	28.3	28.3	<u>28.9</u>

This summary table compares the results of the three different configurations in terms of directivities, power consumptions, EIRPs and power dissipation.

- 5 Configurations C and D are prior art configurations, used for comparison purposes. Configuration E is an exemplary embodiment according to the present invention.

As expected, the average gain $\langle G \rangle$ is best for configuration C that can exploit all the available degrees of freedom for pattern shaping and it is worst for configuration D that is limited to use only the phase degrees of freedom. The amplitude constraints imposed accordingly to the present invention (i.e. configuration E) do not affect significantly the radiation performance.

10

Contrarily, configuration D achieves the maximum total RF power (P_{Tot}^{RF} / P^{SAT}) and configuration C the worst, with configuration E achieving an intermediate value.

Another interesting quantity to assess is the TWTA power rating necessary to achieve a certain target average EIRP ($\langle EIRP \rangle$). In the table shown above this is

5 quantified by the ratio between the average EIRP and the maximum saturated power of the TWTAs, $\langle EIRP \rangle / P^{SAT}$. With respect to this criterion, the configuration D perform better and configuration C worst. Nevertheless the configuration according to the present invention almost achieve the optimal performances of configuration D.

One of the most important figure of merit relates to the DC-to-EIRP efficiency and
10 quantifies the amount of average EIRP that can be obtained consuming the required amount of total DC power P_{Tot}^{DC} , it is expressed by the ratio $\langle EIRP \rangle / P_{Tot}^{DC}$. For sake of completeness we compare the three configurations both for conventional TWTAs and for Variable Bias TWTAs. The result is that the configuration proposed accordingly to the invention description outperforms all the others.

15 Another important figure of merit relates to the power dissipation and can be quantified in terms of the amount of average EIRP that can be obtained dissipating a required amount of power P_{Tot}^{Diss} , it is expressed by the ratio $\langle EIRP \rangle / P_{Tot}^{Diss}$. Also in this case, for sake of completeness we compare the three configurations both for conventional TWTAs and for Variable Bias TWTAs. The result is that also for this figure of merit,
20 the configuration proposed accordingly to the invention description outperforms all the others.

The present invention embodiments have been described above with reference to a number of exemplary embodiments as shown in the drawings. Modifications and alternative implementations of some parts or elements are possible, and are included in
25 the scope of protection as defined in the appended claims.

CLAIMS

1. Reconfigurable RF front-end circuit for a multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system having a first plurality of N_B input beam signals and a second plurality of N_E radiating elements (RE),
5 the front-end circuit comprising
a reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN), having a set of N_B input ports and distributing each input port signal to a plurality of N_A output ports with phase and amplitude control;
10 a plurality of N_A high power amplifiers (HPA) connected to the plurality of N_A output ports of the reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN);
an output network (ONET, OSN), arranged for recombining signals output by the high power amplifiers (HPA) and feeding the recombined signals to the second plurality of N_E radiating elements (RE),
15 wherein the high power amplifiers (HPA) are variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA).
2. Reconfigurable RF front-end circuit according to claim 1, wherein the variable bias high power amplifier (VB-HPA) is a flexible solid-state power amplifier (flex-SSPA).
20
3. Reconfigurable RF front-end circuit according to claim 1, wherein the variable bias high power amplifier (VB-HPA) is a variable bias travelling wave tube amplifier (flex-TWTA).
25
4. Reconfigurable RF front-end circuit according to any one of claims 1-3, further comprising a set of channel amplifiers (CA) each connected to one of the set of N_B input ports of the reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN).
- 30 5. Reconfigurable RF front-end circuit according to any one of claims 1-4, wherein the reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN) comprises a plurality of signal dividers (SD) for distributing each input port signal to a plurality of N_A signal channels, each signal channel further comprising a phase weighting element

(WE PHI) and an amplitude weighting element (WE AMP), and a plurality of N_A signal combiners (SC) for combining the signal channels into the plurality of N_A output ports.

- 5 6. Reconfigurable RF front-end circuit according to any one of claims 1-5, further comprising a control and power sub-system (CPSS) connected to the reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN) and the variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA).
- 10 7. Reconfigurable RF front-end circuit according to any one of claims 1-6, wherein the output network (ONET, OSN) comprises 4×4 hybrid matrices.
8. Reconfigurable RF front-end circuit according to any one of claims 1-7, wherein the reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN) is a digital beam forming network.
- 15 9. Method of operating an RF front-end circuit according to any one of claims 1-8, comprising controlling the bias condition of each of the variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) individually.
- 20 10. Method according to claim 9, wherein the bias condition is controlled to vary a saturation back-off (SBO) parameter according to an actual power requirement of each of the variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) individually, the saturation back-off parameter (SBO) being defined as the ratio of a maximum achievable saturation power to an adjustable saturation power.
- 25 11. Method according to claim 10, wherein the saturation back-off (SBO) parameter for each of the plurality of N_A variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) is equal to or lower than a maximum saturation back-off parameter value (SBO_{\max}).
- 30 12. Method according to claim 9, 10 or 11, wherein the bias condition is controlled to vary an output back-off (OBO) parameter for each of the variable bias high power

amplifiers (VB-HPA) individually, the output back-off parameter (OBO) being defined as the ratio of an adjustable saturation power to the actual output power.

13. Method according to claim 12, wherein the output back-off (OBO) parameter of the plurality of N_A variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) is equal to or higher than a minimum output back-off parameter value (OBO_{\min}).

14. Method according to claim 13, wherein the saturation back-off parameter for each of the plurality of N_A variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA) is set according to

$$SBO_n = \frac{1}{OBO_{\min} c_n^2 \sum_{m=1}^{N_B} b_{n,m}^2 a_m^2}$$

wherein n denotes an index for each of the plurality of N_A variable bias high power amplifiers (VB-HPA), m denotes an index for each of the plurality of N_B input beams, a_m is the gain contribution of the respective channel amplifier CA,

15 $b_{n,m}$ is the gain contribution of the respective reconfigurable beam forming network (LLRBFN),

and c_n is the gain contribution of the variable bias high power amplifier (VB-HPA).

15. Method according to any one of claims 9-14, further comprising a simultaneous optimization of the coefficients controlling the reconfigurable beam forming networks (LLRBFN).

16. Multi-beam array fed reflector antenna system comprising a first plurality of N_B input beam signal inputs, an RF front-end circuit according to any one of claims 1-8, and further comprising a reflector receiving radiated signals from the second plurality of N_A radiating elements (RE).

Fig. 1




Fig. 2





Fig. 3






Fig. 4

Fig. 5





Fig. 6



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2015/055807

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. H04B7/185 H04B7/204
ADD.
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
H03F H04B
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	EP 2 296 225 A1 (EUROP AGENCE SPATIALE [FR]) 16 March 2011 (2011-03-16) abstract paragraphs [0156] - [0173] figures 24-26 claims	1-16
Y	US 2004/224633 A1 (COROMINA FRANCESC [NL] ET AL) 11 November 2004 (2004-11-11) abstract paragraphs [0001] - [0005] paragraph [0010] paragraphs [0014] - [0017] paragraphs [0023] - [0024] paragraph [0026] figure 2 claims	1-16
	----- -/--	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search 2 December 2015	Date of mailing of the international search report 10/12/2015
--	--

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Dejonghe, Olivier
--	---

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2015/055807

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 2005/122264 A1 (COROMINA FRANCESC [NL]) 9 June 2005 (2005-06-09) abstract paragraphs [0001] - [0002] paragraphs [0010] - [0011] paragraph [0015] paragraphs [0032] - [0034] paragraphs [0037] - [0041] paragraphs [0047] - [0049] figures 1,5 claims -----	1-16
A	EP 1 447 922 A2 (BOEING CO [US]) 18 August 2004 (2004-08-18) abstract paragraphs [0002] - [0006] paragraphs [0019] - [0024] figures claims -----	1-16
A	EP 0 473 299 A2 (HUGHES AIRCRAFT CO [US]) 4 March 1992 (1992-03-04) the whole document -----	1-16

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No PCT/EP2015/055807

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 2296225	A1	16-03-2011	EP 2296225 A1 16-03-2011
			US 2011102263 A1 05-05-2011

US 2004224633	A1	11-11-2004	NONE

US 2005122264	A1	09-06-2005	CA 2483251 A1 03-04-2005
			FR 2860648 A1 08-04-2005
			US 2005122264 A1 09-06-2005
			US 2010291866 A1 18-11-2010

EP 1447922	A2	18-08-2004	EP 1447922 A2 18-08-2004
			US 2004157552 A1 12-08-2004

EP 0473299	A2	04-03-1992	CA 2045259 A1 01-03-1992
			DE 69116268 D1 22-02-1996
			DE 69116268 T2 23-05-1996
			EP 0473299 A2 04-03-1992
			JP 2695072 B2 24-12-1997
			JP H04262608 A 18-09-1992
			US 5119042 A 02-06-1992
