

NOTICE OF ENTITLEMENT
(To be filed before acceptance)

I/~~We~~ WALLACE JOHN SIMPSON

*being authorised by R & C PRODUCTS PTY LTD

of 3 HOPE STREET, ERMINGTON NEW SOUTH WALES 2115
AUSTRALIA

the applicant in respect of an application for a patent for an invention
entitled INSECT REPELLENT

filed under Application No. _____, state the following:-

Part 1 - Must be completed for all applications.

The person(s) nominated for the grant of the patent:

☐ ~~*is/*are the actual inventor(s)~~

or

☒ has, for the following reasons, gained entitlement from the actual inventor(s):

The nominated person would, on the grant of
a patent for the invention, be entitled to
have the patent assigned to the nominated person.

Part 2 - Must be completed if the application is associated with one or more provisional applications.

The person(s) nominated for the grant of the patent *is/*are:

☒ the applicant(s) of the provisional application(s) listed on the patent request form

or

☐ entitled to make a request under Section 113 of the Act in relation to the provisional application(s) listed on the patent request form.

Part 3 - Must be completed if the application is a Convention application.

The person(s) nominated for the grant of the patent *is/*are:

☒ the applicant(s) of the basic application(s) listed on the patent request form

or

☐ entitled to rely on the basic application(s) listed on the patent request form by reason of the following:

Part 4 - must be completed if the application was made under the PCT and claims priority.

The person(s) nominated for the grant of the patent *is/*are:

☒ the applicant(s) of the application(s) listed in the declaration under Article 8 of the PCT

or

(continued over)

~~[] entitled to rely on the application(s) listed in the declaration under Article 8 of the PCT by reason of the following:-~~

~~_____~~
~~_____~~
~~_____~~

Part 5 - Must be completed if the application is a Divisional application.
The person(s) nominated for the grant of the patent *is/*are:

- ~~[] the applicant(s)/patentee(s) of the original application/patent~~
~~or~~
~~[] entitled to make a request under Section 113 of the Act in relation to the original application/patent.~~

Part 6 - Must be completed if the application relates to a microorganism and relies on Section 6 of the Act.

The person(s) nominated for the grant of the patent *is/*are:

- ~~[] the depositor(s) of the deposits listed hereafter (by number, depositary institution and date)~~
~~or~~
~~[] entitled to rely on the deposits listed hereafter (by number, depositary institution, date, and depositor's name and address) for the following reasons:-~~

~~_____~~
~~_____~~
~~_____~~

Part 7 - Must be completed if the application is a Convention application, or the application was made under the PCT and the applicant made a declaration under Article 8 of the PCT in respect of the basic application.

- ~~[] Except as stated in the next paragraph, the basic application(s) *listed on the patent request form/*referred to in the declaration under Article 8 of the PCT *is/*are the application(s) first made in a Convention country in respect of the invention.~~

- ~~[] A request has been made under Section 96 of the 1990 Act (or Section 142AA of the 1952 Act) to disregard the following application.~~

~~_____~~
~~_____~~

- ~~[] The basic application(s) *listed on the patent request form/*referred to in the declaration under Article 8 of the PCT *is/*are not the first application(s) made in a Convention country in respect of the entire disclosure of the present application. An application, No. _____ made in _____ on _____ disclosed in part the subject matter of the present application. Convention priority from the basic application(s) is only claimed in respect of subject matter first disclosed in the said basic application(s) and not previously disclosed in the earlier application No. _____~~

Signed: W. J. Simpson

Date: 12-1-93

COMPANY

Status: SECRETARY



AU9183376

(12) PATENT ABRIDGMENT (11) Document No. AU-B-83376/91
(19) AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE (10) Acceptance No. 650042

(54) Title
INSECT REPELLENT

International Patent Classification(s)
(51)⁵ A01N 043/32 A01N 031/06

A61K 007/40

(21) Application No. : 83376/91

(22) Application Date : 05.08.91

(87) PCT Publication Number : WO92/02136

(30) Priority Data

(31) Number	(32) Date	(33) Country
PK1581	06.08.90	AU AUSTRALIA

(43) Publication Date : 02.03.92

(44) Publication Date of Accepted Application : 09.06.94


(71) Applicant(s)
R & C PRODUCTS PTY. LIMITED

(72) Inventor(s)
BRYAN DAVID LETT; HAROLD SAMUEL KRAUS

(74) Attorney or Agent
F B RICE & CO , 28A Montague Street, BALMAIN NSW 2041

(57) Claim


1. An insect-repellent composition comprising one or more insect repellents of the formula X:



wherein R_3 is hydrogen or an alkyl group containing 1-4 carbon atoms; and R_4 and R_5 are the same or different and are alkyl groups containing 1-4 carbon atoms; and a synergistic amount of at least one compound of the formula Z:

(11) AU-B-83376/91
(10) 650042

-2-



Z

wherein R₃, R₄ and R₅ are as defined above,
characterized in that the composition is
substantially free of insect repellents having a boiling
point of less than about 80°C at a pressure of 4mm Hg.

OPI DATE 02/03/92

APPLN. ID

83376 / 91



AOJP DATE 09/04/92

PCT NUMBER PCT/AU91/00343

INTER

ON TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : A01N 43/32, 31/06	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 92/02136 (43) International Publication Date: 20 February 1992 (20.02.92)
--	----	--

(21) International Application Number: PCT/AU91/00343

(22) International Filing Date: 5 August 1991 (05.08.91)

(30) Priority data:
PK 1581 6 August 1990 (06.08.90) AU

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): R & C PRODUCTS PTY. LIMITED [AU/AU]; 33 Hope Street, Ermington, NSW 2115 (AU).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): LETT, Bryan, David [AU/AU]; 40 Kanimbla Cres., Bilgola Plateau, NSW (AU). KRAUS, Harold, Samuel [AU/AU]; 11 Alinda Close, Dural, NSW 2158 (AU).

(74) Agent: F.B. RICE & CO.; 28A Montague Street, Balmain, NSW 2040 (AU).

(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), BR, CA, CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), GR (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, LK, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), SE (European patent), US.


Published

With international search report.

Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.

65004

(54) Title: INSECT REPELLENT



(57) Abstract

An insect-repellent composition is disclosed which includes an insect repellent such as p-menthane-3,8-diol and a synergistic amount of an acetal of formula (Z). A method of forming a preferred composition is also disclosed in which citronellal undergoes an acid-cyclization reaction to yield an impure mixture of p-menthane-3,8-diols and acetals. The impure mixture is subjected to fractional distillation to remove a low boiling point fraction and to thereby yield a remaining fraction which constitutes the preferred composition.

- 1 -

INSECT REPELLENT

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to synergistic insect repellent compositions, in particular compositions based
5 on insect repellents such as p-menthane-3,8-diols and as synergist acetals, particularly those resulting from the acid catalysed cyclization of citronellal.

BACKGROUND ART

Compositions that repel insects, particularly insect
10 pests such as mosquitoes, flies and fleas, are widely used to prevent animals from being bitten by such insects. The importance of preventing biting is essentially two-fold. Firstly, there are a number of insects which are capable of infecting animals with disease causing parasites, an
15 example being the transmission of Plasmodium by mosquitoes to cause malaria. Secondly, in many cases whether disease is transmitted or not, the bite can be extremely irritating.

Of course, man is one animal that suffers from biting
20 insects. Man also suffers irritation from non-biting, annoying insects such as domestic flies and from insects that transmit disease by contact.

The prior art discloses many compositions having repellency to some or a number of insect species. Of
25 these compositions, the most widely used includes the active ingredient N,N-diethyl-m-toluamide (DEET).

Generally, compositions based on DEET are in the form of lotions or aerosols to be applied or sprayed onto the exposed skin. Such compositions may include a variety of
30 other ingredients such as film forming agents to enhance substantivity to the skin and potentiators such as those disclosed in WO89/06904-A.

Although DEET containing compositions are relatively effective particularly in repelling domestic flies and
35 mosquitoes, concerns have been expressed about its toxicity to humans.

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

- 2 -

Other compositions that are known repellents include various extracts of naturally occurring compounds obtained from eucalyptus species. One series of naturally occurring compounds obtained from *Eucalyptus citriodora* are the p-menthane-3,8-diols. These compounds are known to exert a repellent effect on insect pests such as mosquitoes and fleas.

Thus in Nishimura et al. Agric. Biol. Chem. 46(1) 319 (1982), the authors disclose the isolation of cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diols in the form of racemic mixtures from *Eucalyptus citriodora*. In addition (+) and (-) cis and (+) and (-) trans isomers were synthesized. All compounds were tested for their effectiveness as plant growth regulators but no mention is made of any insect repellent properties.

In an earlier publication, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75, 2367 (1953), Zimmerman and English report the synthesis of the cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diols, designated Va and Vb respectively, through the acid cyclization of citronellal. Again no mention is made of any insect repellent properties.

In JP60-199804-A (Nippon Kayaku KK), it is disclosed that the cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diols are effective insect repellents, as are a number of analogues. This patent application teaches that the p-menthane-3,8-diols may be prepared using the method disclosed in J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75, 2367 (1953). Using (+)-citronellal as the starting material and a reaction time of 27 hours at room temperature cis:trans p-menthane-3,8-diols in a ratio of 5:2 are obtained. These compounds are obtained in pure form following chromatographic separation and recrystallization. There is no mention of the use of the so-purified p-menthane-3,8-diols with any other insect repellent.

EP 367140-A (Takiron Co. Ltd) also relates to the use

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

- 3 -

of p-menthane-3,8-diols as insect repellents. This application teaches slow-release compositions wherein the diols are included in compositions with an ethylene/vinyl acetate copolymer.

5 Although the p-menthane-3,8-diols are effective insect repellents, even the most efficient routes of synthesis currently known results in products that are so costly that repellent compositions including these menthanediols are too expensive compared with known
10 alternatives.

 However, in view of the effectiveness of the menthanediols, considerable effort has been put into developing more cost efficient routes of synthesis.

 To that end the present applicants in investigating
15 more efficient routes of synthesis pursued a synthetic route in which the starting material, citronellal, was subjected to acid catalysed cyclization (Prins reaction). This reaction causes the cyclization of the citronellal to produce an impure mixture of 40-45% cis and trans
20 p-menthane-3,8-diols and is essentially the method disclosed in J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75, 2367 (1953). This impure mixture also includes unreacted citronellal, compounds having a boiling point about the same as or lower than citronellal and high boiling point compounds.

25 As it has been found that the cis isomer is more active than the trans isomer, the cis isomer may be isolated from the above mixture and used in its pure form. For the purposes of comparison, the cost of the impure mixture is significantly lower than that of cis
30 isomer of 99% purity.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION


 Because of the relatively low cost of the aforementioned impure mixture, the present applicants evaluated the insect repellency of the mixture. It was
35 found to be unsatisfactory. It was, however, found that

SUBSTITUTE SHEET |


- 4 -

when the compounds of boiling point about the same as citronellal or below were removed from the impure mixture, the resultant composition possessed an exceptionally high level of repellency. In fact the level of repellency
5 observed was somewhat greater than would have been expected in view of the increased concentration of the p-menthane-3,8-diols.

The present invention therefore provides an insect-repellent composition comprising one or more insect
10 repellents of the formula X:



wherein R_3 is hydrogen or an alkyl group containing 1-4
25 carbon atoms; and R_4 and R_5 are the same or different and are alkyl groups containing 1-4 carbon atoms; and a synergistic amount of at least one compound of the formula Z:




SUBSTITUTE SHEET

- 5 -

wherein R_3 , R_4 and R_5 are as defined above,
characterized in that the composition is
substantially free of insect repellents having a boiling
point less than about 80°C at a pressure of 4mm Hg.

5 Such a composition may be prepared by the acid
catalysed cyclization of the citronellal derivative

10



15


20 followed by partial purification of the reaction mixture.
The diol X results from the reaction of the protonated
starting material with water. Alternatively, the diol X
may reversibly react with further starting material under
the acid-catalysed conditions to give the bicyclic
25 acetal Z.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

The present inventors have found that it is a group
of high molecular weight compounds of boiling point
substantially higher than the p-menthane-3,8-diols that
30 are synergistic with the p-menthane-3,8-diols. This high
molecular weight group includes two main components which
are acetals, of the formula C and D:

- 6 -


5



C

10

15



D

20

25 Each of these components comprises a mixture of two
diastereoisomeric compounds, epimeric at the acetal
carbon. It is to be understood that relative
stereochemistry only is depicted in the structures: no
limitation as to absolute configuration is intended, and
30 the enantiomers of the structures depicted also fall
within the scope of the invention.

It is believed that by removing the unreacted
citronellal and other low boiling point compounds,
synergism between the p-menthane-3,8-diols and these
35 acetals was able to be observed as the low boiling point

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

- 7 -

compounds did not interfere by displacing the p-menthane-3,8-diols and/or acetals from the repellent air layer formed immediately above the skin. Displacement occurs owing to the greater volatility of the low boiling point compounds. This explanation is entirely theoretical and it may well be that repellency of the synergistic mixture occurs as a result of an entirely different mechanism. In particular, it should be noted that citronellal is in itself a repellent, though its effectiveness is relatively low and short-lived. One might therefore expect that a mixture of citronellal and p-menthane-3,8-diols would have an enhanced repellency but this was found not to be the case. This suggests that citronellal acts as an antagonist in these compositions.

These acetals are known compounds being disclosed by Stoll and Bolle, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 31, 1 (1948). This paper discloses on page 1, a compound (II) being an acetal that may be formed by the acid hydrolysis of the bisulphite addition compound of citronellal. On page 4, the synthesis of acetal (II) is described where the compound is found to have a boiling point of 127-130°C at 0.03mm Hg. However, there is no hint or suggestion in this paper as to any utility of this acetal. It must also be realized that the authors did not isolate one pair of diastereoisomers from the other and in fact did not even acknowledge the existence of more than one diastereoisomer. Accordingly, components C and D mentioned above, having only now been isolated, are novel over the disclosure of this paper.

It should be further noted that none of the aforementioned disclosures, *Agric. Biol. Chem.* 46 (1) 319 (1982), *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 75, 2367 (1953), JP60-199804-A or EP367140-A teach or suggest the combination of these acetals with the p-menthane-3,8-diols. Those references, in using the acid cyclization of citronellal to form the p-menthane-3,8-diols, could inherently include these

- 8 -

acetals in combination with the diols on completion of the cyclization reaction. However, the completed reaction mixtures will also include unreacted citronellal and, as taught above, this compound must be removed in order to
5 obtain the synergism between the p-menthane-3,8-diols and the acetals.


In this regard it should be particularly noted that in JP60-199804-A, the cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diols are isolated and purified in a manner such that, if
10 acetals were present in the reaction mixture, the so-obtained purified diols would be acetal-free.

Similarly, Clark et al., J. Org. Chem. 49 4557 (1984) in studying reaction rate enhancement and stereochemical course of reaction of the acid catalysed cyclization of
15 citronellal to form cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diols identify two isomeric isopulegols as reaction products, but no others.

The p-menthane-3,8-diols fall within the scope of compounds of the formula X when R_3 , R_4 and R_5 are
20 methyl groups, and are preferred insect repellents of the present invention. p-Menthane-3,8-diol is 2-hydroxy-a, a,4-trimethylcyclohexanemethanol and has four possible diastereoisomers, all of them pairs of enantiomers, arising from the three chiral centres at the
25 1, 2 and 4 carbon atoms. These may be denoted (1a,2a,4a)-, (1a,2a,4b)-, (1a,2b,4a)- and (1a,2b,4b)-, although the applicants are not aware of the first of these having been synthesized. The diastereoisomers most commonly used as insect repellents
30 are the (1a,2a,4b)- form, commonly referred to as cis-p-menthane-3,8-diol, and the (1a,2b,4b)- form, commonly referred to as trans-p-menthane-3,8-diol, shown respectively in formulae A and B:

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

- 9 -




10

These are the two diastereomers that are generally formed from the acid cyclisation of citronellal - see J. Org. Chem. 49, 4457 (1984). The absolute configuration of the diols will depend upon whether the (+) or (-) enantiomers of citronellal are used or the racemic mixture (\pm)-citronellal.

All of the stereoisomers of the p-menthane-3,8-diols are within the scope of the present invention, particularly those arising from the acid cyclization of the (+) isomer and the racemic mixture. Mixtures of the cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diols are particularly preferred, generally in a weight ratio of 2.5:1 to 1.4:1.

The compounds of the formula Z are acetals, preferably with either or all of the groups R_3 , R_4 , R_5 methyl. Most preferably a compound of the formula Z is:




35

- 10 -

Preparation of this by the acid cyclization of racemic citronellal will give the various diastereoisomers of formula E:

5

10




15

E

20


Particularly preferred compounds of the formula 2 comprise the two diastereoisomers of formula C and D:

25



C

30



D

35

- 11 -

or the enantiomers thereof.

The components C and D may be included individually in the compositions of the invention, preferably as a mixture. When used as a mixture in a composition

- 5 including p-menthane-3,8-diols, a particularly desirable method for forming such compositions is to acid cyclize citronellal. The products of such a reaction will include the diols and acetals of formulae C and D. Conveniently, the unreacted citronellal and other low boiling compounds
- 10 may be removed by fractional distillation to give a synergized insect repellent composition that can then be used as a base to form a variety of insect repellent products. Such products include personal use products such as aerosols, lotions, gels, roll-ons, pumps and
- 15 sticks; foggers and bombs; vaporizers and ministrips for use for example in repelling moths from clothing; aerosols, pumps and powders for use on animals including domestic pets; and electrically operated vaporizers.

- ~~Preferably,~~ Fractional distillation will be conducted
- 20 such that compounds of boiling point less than about 80°C at 4mm Hg, most preferably less than about 100°C at 4mm Hg will be removed.

- The synergized compositions of the invention will generally include the insect repellent of formula X or Y
- 25 and compound of the formula Z in a weight ratio of from 99:1 to 1:99. A preferred range is 38:1 to 1:19, most preferably 19:1 to 1.6:1. A particularly preferred ratio is 3.5:1 to 1.3:1.

- When a composition includes compounds of the formula
- 30 C and D and the mixture of cis-p-menthane-3,8-diol and trans-p-menthane-3,8-diol, it is preferred that they are included in concentrations of 20-40% w/w and 57-63% w/w respectively, with the diols in a weight ratio of 1.6:1 to 1.4:1.



SUBSTITUTE SHEET

- 12 -

MODES FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

In order to better understand the nature of the invention, a series of tests was conducted using a number of compositions of varying cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diol content in the presence and absence of acetals of formula C and D. These tests sought to evaluate repellency against mosquitoes and domestic flies.

A typical test protocol used to determine repellency, in this case against flies, was as follows:

10 Target Insect:

Housefly Musca domestica

Due to the erratic appearance of the various nuisance flies in the field, caged houseflies were used in the trial. The flies were bred in the insectary of Agrisearch Services Pty Ltd, at Orange, New South Wales, Australia.

Breeding populations were originally collected from natural populations in the central west of New South Wales. Similar reactions to repellents have been found with the housefly and the other nuisance fly, the bushfly

20 Musca vetustissima.Treatment Method:

0.7 gram of the lotions was weighed onto small pieces of plastic and then rubbed evenly over one forearm of the volunteer. The treatment area was from the elbow to the knuckles. The other arm was left untreated. Each treatment was replicated four times. One female and three males were the test subjects. For each replicate, the volunteers were treated with a different formulation.

Test Cages and Number of Flies:

30 Nine wire cages each 25cm x 25cm x 40cm, with cotton cheese cloth covers and a plastic window were used as the testing assemblies. Approximately 150 mixed adult 4-8 day old flies were released into each cage at the start of each replicate. Sufficient numbers of flies were present so that fly landings on the untreated arm were



volunteers were treated with a different formulation. It will be noted that Figure 1 involves % repellancy versus control; the control test was conducted in a manner similar to that described using a known insect repellent
5 lotion formulation.

Test Cages and Number of Flies:

Nine wire cages each 25cm x 25cm x 40cm, with cotton cheese cloth covers and a plastic window were used as the testing assemblies. Approximately 150 mixed adult 4-8 day
10 old flies were released into each cage at the start of each replicate. Sufficient numbers of flies were present so that fly landings on the untreated arm were



- 13 -

approximately 100 over a 60 second assessment.

Assessments:

Assessments were made 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 hours after the treatments were applied. At each time the treated arm was introduced into a cage and the number of direct fly landings counted from the elbow to the knuckles over a 60 second period. Each cage was disturbed every 5 to 10 seconds and then the arm kept still as the count was made. The total of the 60 second count was recorded and then the untreated arm introduced into the cage and similar counts carried out. To allow for any bias that could occur in the order that arms were introduced, the whole process was repeated in an adjoining cage with the untreated arm introduced first.

% Repellencies were calculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{(C-T)}{C} \times 100$$

20

C = Number of flies landing on untreated arm

T = Number of flies landing on treated arm

In each test, lotion type formulations were tested with a range of concentrations of cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diols and acetals of formulae C and D.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a graph of % repellency_{versus control} achieved against mosquitoes of the species Aedes aegypti with varying levels of compositions including cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diols with and without acetals of formulae C and D. These acetals are referred to on the graph as "heavies".

In Figure 1 there is shown a graph of the results obtained against mosquitoes. From this it is evident that the only compositions of 100% or greater repellency_{versus control} were



- 14 -

those that included the acetals. Furthermore, generally compositions including the acetals had greater repellency than those without but having the same menthanediol content. This is particularly evident at about the 10%
5 menthanediol level, where repellency of about 105% was obtained with 6% acetal content.

For the sake of clarity, some of the specific acetal containing compositions repellency results are shown below in Table I.

10	TABLE I		
	Versus Control % Repellency	Acetal Content(%w/w)	Diol Content(%w/w)
	52	9.75	6.0
	106	6.0	10.8
	100	13.0	11.9
15	105	8.25	13.4
	100	10.0	16.2
	114,100	0.6	22.7
	103	1.3	22.7
20	103	1.1	32.1

The results shown in Figure 1 clearly indicate the synergistic nature of the combination of the cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diols and the acetals of formula C and D, when used for repelling mosquitoes. Thus, it will be seen
25 that the line on the graph indicates a clear trend of increasing repellency with increasing concentration of diols alone. On the other hand, substantially all of the repellency results for the menthanediol and acetal combination are above this trend line.

30 It should be noted that when the acetals were tested alone, they were found to be substantially non-repellent.

In a further series of tests, pairs of formulations, one of the pair having a relatively high concentration of menthanediol and a low concentration of acetals C and D
35 and the other of the pair having a relatively low



SUBSTITUTE SHEET

- 15 -

concentration of menthanediol and a relatively high concentration of acetals C and D, were tested for repellency against mosquitoes and flies. Each formulation was in the form of a lotion. These lotion formulations

5 were as follows:

		FORMULA					
		% w/w					
Ingredient		A',B'	C'	D'	E',F'	G'	H'
10	Glycerol	10	-	-	-	-	-
	Denatured absolute ethanol	52	40	35	53.4	40	38.5
	Water	14	36.2	31.4	20.0	36.4	30.2
	Crodolan AWS	2.4	2.0	2.0	-	2.0	-
	Carbopol 940	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.6
15	Teric 16M15	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.7
Active		See Table II					

Crodolan is a trade mark of Croda Chemicals

Carbopol is a trade mark of B.F. Goodrich & Co.

Teric is a trade mark of I.C.I.

20 The results of these tests are shown in Table II.

TABLE II

EXHIBIT	FORMULA	% COMPOSITION			REPELLANCY		
		P-menthane-3,8-diols			(% of control)		
		CIS	TRANS	ACETALS	MOSQUITO	FLIES	
25	1	A'	18	1	1	120	107
		B'	7.4	3.4	6	106	111
30	2	C'	18	1	1	75	103
		D'	11.1	5.1	10	100	113
	3	E'	15	7.75	0.6	100	96
		F'	8	5.25	8.25	105	95
35	4	G'	1	18	1	50	83
		H'	1.5	16.8	7.8	75	106

- 16 -

Noticing that inherently the repellency results are most likely only accurate to $\pm 5\%$, it is evident that the level of repellency can be maintained even when the menthanediol concentration is lowered, by increasing the level of acetals. This finding is important in that given a level of acceptable repellency, a lower level of menthanediol may be used in conjunction with sufficient of the acetals to maintain repellency.

Alternatively, if an enhanced level of repellency is required, menthanediol concentration can be maintained with an increased level of acetals being used to achieve the higher repellency.

In the former case, it is evident that a lower cost effective composition may be produced. In the latter case, a composition of greater activity may be produced at a lower cost than would otherwise be possible.

As previously mentioned a convenient method for forming preferred synergistic insect repellent compositions of the invention is to subject citronellal to acid catalysed cyclization. Specifically, these compositions have been formed as follows:

1. 4kg citronellal is reacted with 20kg of 5% sulphuric acid for a period of at least 5 hours, preferably 24 hours. The mixture is stirred throughout the reaction which is conducted under ambient conditions at a temperature not less than 10°C .
2. At the completion of the reaction, the mixture contains about 15-20% low boiling materials, including citronellal about 50-60% p-menthane-3,8-diols and about 20% acetals.
3. The organic phase of the reaction mixture is extracted with toluene, washed with water then 2% bicarbonate solution.
4. The washed organic phase is then subjected to fractional distillation at 4mm Hg. Distillation results

- 17 -

in the recovery of the toluene for further use and a fraction boiling at about 60°C-100°C. The citronellal is within the latter fraction and may be reused in this form.

- 5 5. The fraction remaining, which boils at greater than about 100°C, includes cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diols and the acetals C and D.

The citronellal starting material can be substantially pure (+), (-) or (±), or may be a Eucalyptus
10 citriodora oil containing about 85% citronellal.

Compositions suitable for applications to the human skin may be readily produced by those skilled in the art. Such compositions include particularly aerosol and lotion forms, which may contain 5-50% w/w of the composition of
15 the invention.

Examples of the compositions are as follows:

Lotion

	<u>Ingredient</u>	<u>% w/w</u>
	* Active	30
20	Emulsifiers	5
	Fatty alcohol	2
	Preservatives	0.3
	Perfume	0.6
	Thickener	1.0
25	Water	61.1
		<hr/>
		100.0

Aerosol

	<u>Ingredient</u>	<u>% w/w</u>
30	* Active	30
	Isopropyl myristate	5
	Ethanol	34.5
	Perfume	0.5
	Propellant	30.0
35		<hr/>
		100.0

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

- 18 -

*Active: formed according to the method described above. Would typically contain $65 \pm 8\%$ w/w of cis and trans p-menthane-3,8-diols in a weight ratio of about 1.4:1-1.6:1 and about $30 \pm 10\%$ w/w acetals C and D.

5 These compositions are formed as follows:

Lotion: Emulsifiers, fatty alcohol and preservatives are combined with heating and stirring until homogeneous. To this homogeneous mixture is added the active with heating at about $50-60^{\circ}\text{C}$. Water and thickener are then added

10 with stirring and finally the perfume is added.

Aerosol: To the ethanol is added the isopropyl myristate with stirring. The active is then added, followed by the perfume to form a concentrate. Propellant is added in the requisite amount to the concentrate in an aerosol

15 container.

As described above, the synergistic insect repellents of the invention have been found to be effective against flies and mosquitoes. It is to be expected that compositions of the invention will be effective against a variety of other insects including cockroaches, fleas, sandflies, mites, clothes moths and the like. In some cases additional insect repellent compounds may be required to achieve an appropriate level of repellency or the concentration of the synergistic insect repellent composition of the invention may need to be appropriately adjusted.


Whilst the present invention has been described with reference to certain preferred embodiments, it will be evident to those skilled in the art that numerous modifications and variations are possible without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention as broadly described.

SUBSTITUTE SHEET


- 19 -

CLAIMS:-

1. An insect-repellent composition comprising one or more insect repellents of the formula X:



wherein R_3 is hydrogen or an alkyl group containing 1-4 carbon atoms; and R_4 and R_5 are the same or different and are alkyl groups containing 1-4 carbon atoms; and a synergistic amount of at least one compound of the formula Z:



wherein R_3 , R_4 and R_5 are as defined above,


30 characterized in that the composition is substantially free of insect repellents having a boiling point of less than about 80°C at a pressure of 4mm Hg.

2. A composition as in claim 1, wherein the insect repellent is a compound of the formula X wherein R_3 ,


35 R_4 and R_5 are methyl groups.

- 20 -

3. A composition as in claim 2, wherein the insect repellent comprises ^{a mixture of} compounds of formula A and B:



15 or the enantiomers thereof;
and the compound of formula Z comprises diastereoisomers
of the compound of formula E:




or the enantiomers thereof.



SUBSTITUTE SHEET

4. A composition as in claim 3, wherein the compound of formula E comprises a mixture of two diastereoisomers of the compounds of formula C and D:



or the enantiomers thereof.

5. A composition as in any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the weight ratio of insect repellent(s) to compound of the formula Z is from 99:1 to 1:99.

25 6. A composition as in claim 5, wherein the weight ratio is from 38:1 to 1:19.

7. A composition as in claim 6, wherein the weight ratio is from 19:1 to 1.6:1.

30 8. A composition as in claim 7, wherein the weight ratio is from 3.5:1 to 1.3:1.

9. A composition as in claim 4, wherein the composition includes 20-40% w/w of compounds C and D or the enantiomers thereof, and 57-63% w/w of a mixture of compounds A and B or the enantiomers thereof, wherein the
35 rate ratio of insect repellent(s) to compound of the formula Z is from 3.5:1 to 1.3:1.



10. A composition as in claim 9 formed by acid cyclizing citronellal and removing compounds having a boiling point less than about 80°C at 4mm Hg.

11. A composition as in claim 10, formed by acid
5 cyclizing citronellal and removing compounds having a boiling point less than about 100°C at 4mm Hg.

12. An insect repellent in the form of an aerosol or lotion composition including about 5-50% w/w of a composition as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 11.

10 13. An insect repellent in the form of an aerosol or lotion composition as in claim 12 including about 30% w/w of a composition as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 11.

14. A method for repelling insects comprising exposing an insect to an effective amount of an insect repellent


15 composition as claimed in any one of claims 1-11.

15. A method for repelling insects comprising applying an effective amount of an insect repellent as claimed in claims 12 or 13 to the skin of an animal so as to repel insects therefrom.




1/1

FIG. 1



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

I. CLASSIFICATION AND SUBJECT MATTER (If several classification symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶				
According to International Patent classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC Int. Cl. ⁵ IPC ⁵ A01N 43/32, A01N 31/06				
II. FIELDS SEARCHED				
Minimum Documentation Searched ⁷				
Classification System	Classification Symbols			
IPC ⁵ CHEM. ABS.	A01N 43/32, A01N 31/06 KEYWORDS: : MENTHANE() 3 () 8 () DIOL: AND: ACETAL:			
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched ⁸				
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT⁹				
Category [*]	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No ¹³		
A	AU, B, 43901/89 (609338) (TAKIRON CO LTD) PUBLISHED 3 MAY 1990 (03.05.90)			
A	JP, A, 60-199804 (NIPPON KAYAKU K.K) PUBLISHED 9 OCTOBER 1985 (09.10.85) DERWENT ABSTRACT No. C85-126398			
P, A	JP, A, 3-133906 (NIPPON KAYAKU K.K) PUBLISHED 7 JUNE 1991 (07.06.91) DERWENT ABSTRACT No. C91-091921			
<p>[*] Special categories of cited documents: ¹⁰</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>"A" Document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published before or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>"T" Later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</p> <p>"&" document member of the same patent family</p> </td> </tr> </table>			<p>"A" Document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published before or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p>	<p>"T" Later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</p> <p>"&" document member of the same patent family</p>
<p>"A" Document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published before or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p>	<p>"T" Later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</p> <p>"&" document member of the same patent family</p>			
IV. CERTIFICATION				
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 28 November 1991		Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 11 December 91		
International Searching Authority AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE		Signature of Authorized Officer K. AYERS 		

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET

V. ☐ OBSERVATIONS WHERE CERTAIN CLAIMS WERE FOUND UNSEARCHABLE ¹

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claim numbers ..., because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. ☐ Claim numbers ..., because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

3. ☐ Claim numbers ..., because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of PCT Rule 6.4a

VI. ☐ OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING ²

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims of the international application.
2. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims of the international application for which fees were paid, specifically claims:

3. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claim numbers:

4. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, the International Searching Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.