




- (51) **International Patent Classification:**  
*G01S 5/02* (2010.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**  
PCT/EP2012/070497
- (22) **International Filing Date:**  
16 October 2012 (16.10.2012)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**  
11290479.2 17 October 2011 (17.10.2011) EP
- (71) **Applicant:** COMMISSARIAT À L'ÉNERGIE ATOMIQUE ET AUX ÉNERGIES ALTERNATIVES [FR/FR]; 25, rue Leblanc, Bâtiment "Le Ponant D", F-75015 Paris (FR).
- (72) **Inventors:** DENIS, Benoît; 5 boulevard des Diabls Bleus, F-38000 Grenoble (FR). OUVRY, Laurent; 10 Rue Abbé Grégoire, F-38000 Grenoble (FR).
- (74) **Agents:** AUGARDE, Eric et al.; Brevalex, 56 boulevard de l'Embouchure, B.P. 27519, F-31075 TOULOUSE Cedex 2 (FR).
- (81) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM,

[Continued on next page]

(54) **Title:** RANGE ESTIMATION METHOD BASED ON RSS MEASUREMENT WITH LIMITED SENSITIVITY RECEIVER**Fig. 3**

(57) **Abstract:** The present invention relates to a method for estimating the range between a radio signal emitter and a receiver. A first range estimate is obtained (330) from the signal strength of the received signal (310), a path loss model with predetermined path loss parameters (320). This range estimate is further corrected (350) with a systematic bias (340) depending upon the actual finite sensitivity of the receiver. Optionally a corrected variance of the range estimate can also be calculated (360).

**WO 2013/057108 A1**



---

TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, **Published:**  
ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

— *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

RANGE ESTIMATION METHOD BASED ON RSS MEASUREMENT WITH  
LIMITED SENSITIVITY RECEIVER

**Technical field**

5       The present application relates to a range measuring method based on RSS (Received Signal Strength) measurement. This method can be used in wireless sensor networks (WSNs) and more generally in wireless networks.

10

**PRIOR ART**

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) can be applied to various areas such as military affairs, commerce, medical care, environment monitoring and have been a  
15 subject of intensive research over the last few years. In a wireless sensor network (WSN), data collected by the nodes (sensors) are typically collected and processed by one or more controlling nodes. In many applications (e.g. environment monitoring), processing  
20 of the data cannot be performed without knowing the respective positions of the nodes these data are collected from.

Many positioning algorithms have been proposed in the prior art to determine the location of nodes in a  
25 WSN. These algorithms generally assume that the position of certain nodes, also called anchor nodes or landmarks, have an *a priori* knowledge of their coordinates, e.g. by GPS positioning. The (absolute) positions of the other nodes, also called regular  
30 nodes, are then inferred from the positions of the anchor nodes.

Basically, positioning algorithms for WSNs fall into two categories: range-free and range-based.

Range-free positioning algorithms do not require that ranges between the nodes are measured from received radio signals. They merely rely on connectivity information (i.e. on whether the nodes can  
5 communicate or not). The distances of the regular nodes to the anchor nodes are then approximated on the basis of this information.

Representatives of range-free positioning algorithms are DV-Hop, amorphous, and centroid  
10 algorithms. For example a description of the DV-Hop algorithm can be found in the article of D. Niculescu et al. entitled "Ad Hoc positioning system" published in Proc. of Global Telecommunications Conference, 2001, GLOBECOM' 01, San Antonio, Texas, USA, pp. 2926-2931.  
15 Basically, in DV-Hop each regular node determines its shortest path (in terms of number of hops) with respect to a sufficient number of anchors. Similarly, each anchor node broadcasts its position and determines its shortest path (again, in terms of number of hops) to  
20 the remaining anchor nodes. Once an anchor node has determined its shortest paths to all the other anchor nodes, it calculates the average hop size as the ratio of distances and number of hops to all the other anchor nodes. Each regular node can then simply obtain a rough  
25 estimate of a distance to an anchor node by multiplying the number of hops to this node with the average hop size. Once the distances to three anchor nodes have been estimated, a coarse position of the node can be obtained by conventional trilateration.

30 Range-based positioning algorithms obtain point-to-point distance information between neighboring nodes and derive therefrom the location of the regular nodes. A range-based positioning algorithm, known as

the WLS algorithm, is described in the article of M. Laaraiedh et al. entitled "Enhancing positioning accuracy through direct position estimators based on hybrid RSS data fusion" published in Proc. IEEE VTC' 09, Spring Barcelona, Spain. According to this non-cooperative algorithm, the ranges between regular mobile nodes and anchors are estimated from received signal strength (RSS) observables. Once a regular node has measured a minimum number of observables, it may estimate the ranges to some of the anchor nodes. The coordinates of the regular node are determined as a weighted least square (WLS) solution of an over-determined set of equations, the weighting relying on the covariance matrix of the various range estimates.

15

More generally, the problem of estimating the position of a user equipment (UE), typically a mobile terminal by using network nodes e.g. access points and radio propagation models can be found in various communication networks such as cellular (GSM, UMTS), WLAN (IEEE 802.11) or WPAN (IEEE 802.15) either for indoor or outdoor environments. A WLAN positioning method using 802.11 access points can be found for example in US patent application US2007/0258421.

Again, most of these positioning methods are based on measuring the strengths of signals received from network nodes of known locations (anchor nodes). The RSS values can be exploited for determining the ranges of the UE to the anchor nodes (range based non-cooperative positioning) and/or the ranges between several mobile UE (range based cooperative positioning).

30

Range based positioning basically relies on the

estimation of a range from a signal strength measured by the UE receiver and a path loss model.

It is recalled that the path loss over a radio link, e.g. between an anchor node and the UE, can be modeled as follows:

$$PL(d) = PL_0 + 10\alpha \log_{10}(d/d_0) \quad (1)$$

where

$$PL_0 = 20 \log_{10}(4\pi f_c d_0 / c) \quad (2)$$

is the path loss experienced by the radio signal at a reference distance  $d_0$ ,  $d$  is the distance between the anchor node and the UE,  $f_c$  is the center frequency of the radio signal,  $c$  is the speed of light,  $\alpha$  is the path loss decay exponent.

The path loss decay exponent basically depends upon the type of environment. For example  $\alpha=2$  for a line of sight (LOS) configuration in free space but has a higher value in indoor or obstructed environments. In fact, the previous expression only represents the average path loss at the distance  $d$ . The measured received signal strength actually varies about a mean value that depends on this path loss and on the average transmit power, according to a zero-mean Gaussian random variable accounting for shadowing. Shadowing is a fluctuation of the attenuation due to the presence of obstacles between the transmitter and the receiver. More specifically, shadowing encompasses absorption, reflection, scattering and diffraction by these

obstacles.

The strength of the signal received the UE can be expressed as:

5

$$P_{Rx}(d) = P_{Tx} + G_{Tx} + G_{Rx} - PL(d) + z = \overline{P_{Rx}(d)} + z \quad (3)$$

where  $P_{Rx}(d)$  is the strength of the received signal at the distance  $d$ ,  $P_{Tx}$  is the power of transmitted signal (expressed e.g. in dBm),  $G_{Tx}$  and  $G_{Rx}$  are respectively the antenna gains of the transmitter and the receiver (expressed in dBi or dB),  $z$  is the above-mentioned centered Gaussian variable with a variance  $\sigma_z^2$ , and  $\overline{P_{Rx}(d)}$  is the average received strength at the distance  $d$  (averaged over shadowing uncertainty). The variance  $\sigma_z^2$ , also called shadowing coefficient, essentially depends on the type of environment in which the network is located.

20 For a given received signal strength  $P_{Rx}$  measured by the receiver of the UE, the distance  $d$  can be estimated from (2) and (3), e.g. by the median estimator:

$$25 \quad \tilde{d}^{med} = \exp(M) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{with } M = \frac{([P_{Tx} + G_{Rx} + G_{Tx} - P_{Rx}] - PL_0) \log(10)}{10\alpha} + \log(d_0).$$

Alternate estimators can be used as explained in

the aforementioned article by M. Laaraiedh *et al.*, e.g. the mean estimator, also referred to as the LS (Least Squares) estimator, or the mode estimator, also referred to as the ML (Maximum Likelihood) estimator:

5

$$\tilde{d}^{LS} = \exp\left(M + \frac{S^2}{2}\right) \quad (4')$$

$$\tilde{d}^{ML} = \exp(M - S^2) \quad (4'')$$

10 with  $S = \frac{\sigma_z \ln 10}{10\alpha}$ , where  $\sigma_z$  is the shadowing coefficient of

the channel between the UE and the anchor node.  $\tilde{d}^{LS}$  and  $\tilde{d}^{ML}$  respectively denote the estimate of distance  $d$  provided by the LS and the ML estimators.

The respective variances of the distance  
15 estimators (4), (4'), (4'') can be rather well approximated based on  $S$ ,  $M$  and the distance estimate  $\tilde{d}$ , as follows:

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_d^{med}\right)^2 = \left(\tilde{d}^{med}\right)^2 e^{S^2} \left(e^{S^2} - 1\right) \quad (5)$$

20

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_d^{LS}\right)^2 = \left(\tilde{d}^{LS}\right)^2 e^{2S^2} \left(e^{S^2} - 1\right) \quad (5')$$

$$\left(\tilde{\sigma}_d^{ML}\right)^2 = \left(\tilde{d}^{ML}\right)^2 \left(1 - e^{-S^2}\right) \quad (5'')$$

25 The variance of the distance estimator can be used as an indicator of the accuracy of the range estimation.



The median range and the ML estimators perform well. The latter estimators are deemed more accurate than the former but require prior knowledge of the shadowing coefficient. All these range estimators are  
5 biased but their biases are acceptable in the ideal situation where the received has an infinite sensitivity. However, in practice, when dealing with real receivers having a limited sensitivity, the bias on distance cannot be neglected anymore, as explained  
10 hereinafter.

Fig. 1 represents the probability density function of the received signal strength (RSS),  $P_{Rx}$ , respectively measured by an ideal receiver and by a non-ideal  
15 receiver having a detection threshold  $P_{th}$ .

It appears that the RSS probability density function for the ideal receiver is represented by a Gaussian curve (210) and the one for the non-ideal receiver (220) has a similar shape but is truncated  
20 below  $P_{th}$ . Strictly speaking, the curve 220 is the conditional probability density function (conditioned on a true detection) multiplied by the probability of detection (hence it is not normalized). It has been represented here for the sake of comparison with the  
25 ideal curve.

This truncation affects the range estimation as illustrated in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2 represents indeed the probability density  
30 functions of the ranging error  $\tilde{d}-d$  for an ideal receiver (230) and a non-ideal receiver (240) (as above, the curve (240) is the conditional probability

function and has not been normalized for the sake of comparison with the ideal curve)

Whereas the probability density function 230 is substantially Gaussian and centered, the probability density function 240 is "distorted" and not centered anymore. In the latter case, distance estimation is affected by a systematic bias  $\mu_d = E(\tilde{d} - d) \neq 0$  where  $E(.)$  is the mathematical expectation. Furthermore, the variance cannot be derived from expressions (5), (5') or (5'') and therefore does not give a correct indication of the range measurement accuracy.

The problem underlying the invention is therefore to propose a new method for range estimation based on RSS measurement which is not affected by the aforementioned shortcomings, in particular which can provide an accurate range estimate, independently from the limited sensitivity of the receiver.

## 20 DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is defined in the appended independent claims. Various advantageous embodiments are given in the dependent claims.

## 25 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention will be better understood from the description of the following embodiments, by way of illustration and in no way limitative thereto:

Fig. 1 schematically represents the probability distribution of the received signal strength for an ideal and a non-ideal receiver;

Fig. 2 schematically represents the probability distribution of the distance estimate error for an ideal and a non-ideal receiver;

Fig. 3 schematically illustrates a range estimation method according to an embodiment of the invention.

#### DETAILED DISCLOSURE OF PARTICULAR EMBODIMENTS

We consider in the following a radio emitter and a receiver. The radio emitter can be a wireless terminal (UE) such as a mobile phone and the receiver can be a base station. Conversely, the radio emitter can be a base station or an access point of a network and the receiver can be a wireless terminal. The radio emitter and receiver can also be both nodes of a cooperative network such as a WSN network.

It is also assumed that the average power of the emitted signal is known by the receiver, e.g. because the transmitter complies with a given standard or the transmitted signal itself carries data giving the transmission power, or a power level indication is forwarded to the receiver on an auxiliary channel.

It is also assumed that the receiver has a limited sensitivity, namely a received signal strength (RSS) detection threshold  $P_{th}$ . By RSS detection threshold we mean the lowest power signal strength which the receiver can detect and measure.

The idea at the basis of the invention is to correct the systematic bias of a distance estimator introduced by the truncation at  $P_{th}$  of the probability density function of the received signal strength.

Optionally, the variance of the distance estimator is also calculated

Fig. 3 diagrammatically illustrates a range estimation method according to an embodiment of the invention.

At step 310, the signal strength  $P_{Rx}$  of the radio signal transmitted by the emitter is measured at the receiver.

At step 320, the path loss parameters of the channel linking the radio emitter and the receiver are obtained. By path loss parameters, we mean here the parameters of the path loss model in (1) (shadowing-free) or the log-normal shadowing model accounting for the random received signal power in (3). If the log-normal shadowing model is used, the path loss parameters are the path loss value  $PL_0$  at a known reference distance, the path loss decay exponent  $\alpha$  and, possibly, the shadowing coefficient  $\sigma_z$ .

The path loss parameters may be obtained by classifying the channel into one of predetermined channel categories, each channel category (e.g. LOS, NLOS, NLOS with deep attenuation) being associated with a set of pre-computed or predetermined standard path loss parameters. Alternately, the path loss parameters may be derived from the type of environment in which the emitter and/or the receiver is located (for example a network node may continuously broadcast this information). In both cases, the standard path loss parameters may have been obtained by previous data collecting campaigns and statistical data analysis.

It should be understood that the path loss

parameters may be calculated, or retrieved from a look-up table, either at the radio emitter or at the receiver.

5           At step 330, a distance estimate  $\tilde{d}$  is calculated from the received signal strength measured at step 310 and the path loss parameters obtained at step 320. Again this calculation can be performed at the receiver or at the emitter (the RSS measured being sent to the  
10           emitter in the second instance).

          In case a log-normal shadowing model is used, the estimate  $\tilde{d}$  of the distance can be determined by the median estimator from expression (4), by the mean estimator from expression (4') or by the mode estimator  
15           from expression (4'').

          According to a variant of the present embodiment, the variance of the distance estimate  $(\tilde{\sigma}_d^{med})^2$ ,  $(\tilde{\sigma}_d^{LS})^2$ , or  $(\tilde{\sigma}_d^{ML})^2$ , is also estimated in 330 according to (5), (5') or (5'') depending upon the estimator envisaged.

20

          By contrast with the prior art, step 340 determines the systematic bias on the distance estimate introduced by the sensitivity limitation of the receiver.

25           As already explained in relation with Figs. 1 and 2, the truncation of the probability density function (pdf) of the received signal strength  $P_{Rx}$ , due to the limited sensitivity limitation, leads to a distortion of the corresponding pdf of the distance estimate  
30           (conditioned upon true detection). This "distortion" can be assessed and captured by the calculation of the

moments of the new pdf of the distance estimate.

Importantly, it has been shown that the moments with this distorted pdf (e.g. 240 in Fig. 2) can be obtained as a function of the actual distance value  $d$ , the RSS threshold  $P_{th}$  and the path loss parameters.

If the pdf of the RSS is truncated below  $P_{th}$ , the pdf of the distance estimator is truncated above a corresponding distance threshold  $d_{th}$  (see curve 240 in Fig.2 in comparison with the ideal receiver case with infinite sensitivity, 230). It follows that the distance estimate is affected by a systematic bias  $\mu_d = E_z(\tilde{d} - d)$  where the expectation value is taken here over  $z$ .

The systematic bias can be calculated as:

$$\mu_d = \frac{1}{\text{Pr}_{\text{det}}} \int_{P_{th} - \bar{P}_{Rx}}^{+\infty} (\tilde{d} - d) \text{pdf}(z) dz \quad (6)$$

where  $\text{Pr}_{\text{det}}$  is the detection rate of the received signal i.e. the probability that the signal strength at the receiver is higher than  $P_{th}$ :

$$\text{Pr}_{\text{det}} = \int_{P_{th}}^{+\infty} \text{pdf}(P_{Rx}) dP_{Rx} = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{P_{th} - \bar{P}_{Rx}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_z} \right) \quad (7)$$

where  $\bar{P}_{Rx}$  is the mean received power and  $\text{erfc}(\cdot)$  is the complementary Gauss error function.

Similarly the variance of the truncated pdf,

denoted  $(\sigma_d^{corr})^2$ , can be calculated as:

$$(\sigma_d^{corr})^2 = \frac{1}{Pr_{det}} \int_{P_{th} - \bar{P}_{Rx}}^{+\infty} (\tilde{d} - d)^2 pdf(z) dz - \mu_d^2 \quad (8)$$

5 For the sake of simplicity, the results will be given below for the median distance estimator. The man skilled in the art will nevertheless understand that similar results can be obtained for the above-mentioned mean and mode distance estimators.

10

Recalling that  $\tilde{d} = \exp(M)$ , where  $M = \frac{([P_{Tx} + G_{Rx} + G_{Tx} - P_{Rx}] - PL_0) \log(10)}{10\alpha} + \log(d_0)$ , it can be shown from (6) that the systematic bias of the distance estimator can be expressed as:

15

$$\mu_d = d \left[ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2A}\sigma_z Pr_{det}} \exp\left(\frac{B^2 - 4AC}{4A}\right) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{B}{2\sqrt{A}}\right) - 1 \right] \quad (9)$$

with  $A = \frac{1}{2\sigma_z^2}$ ,  $B = \frac{\ln(10)}{10\alpha} + \frac{P_{th} - \bar{P}_{Rx}}{\sigma_z^2}$ , and

$$C = (P_{th} - \bar{P}_{Rx}) \left( \frac{\ln(10)}{10\alpha} + \frac{P_{th} - \bar{P}_{Rx}}{2\sigma_z^2} \right),$$

20

Similarly, the variance can be expressed as:

$$(\sigma_d^{corr})^2 = d^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2A'}\sigma_z Pr_{det}} \exp\left(\frac{B'^2 - 4A'C'}{4A'}\right) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{B'}{2\sqrt{A'}}\right) - 2\left(\frac{\mu_d}{d}\right) - 1 \right] - \mu_d^2 \quad (10)$$

25

$$\text{with } A' = A, \quad B' = \frac{\ln(10)}{5\alpha} + \frac{P_{th} - \bar{P}_{Rx}}{\sigma_z^2}, \quad C' = (P_{th} - \bar{P}_{Rx}) \left( \frac{\ln(10)}{5\alpha} + \frac{P_{th} - \bar{P}_{Rx}}{2\sigma_z^2} \right)$$

The expressions (9) and (10) are parametric functions of the distance  $d$ , the detection threshold  $P_{th}$ , and the path loss parameters.

It has been shown that the bias  $\mu_d$  can be neglected when the distance is below a distance  $d_{\min}$  (related to the reference distance  $d_0$ ) and then approximately behaves linearly.  $\chi(d)$  denotes this piecewise linear function.

As for the corrected variance, it can be approximated by an arbitrarily complex non-linear function  $\varphi(d)$ . As an example, for distances below  $d_{\max}$  (also related to the reference distance  $d_0$ ), this function can be of the form  $\varphi(d) = \omega(d^n)$ , where  $n=2$  and  $\omega(\cdot)$  is a linear function. It should be understood that the choice of  $n$  is mostly conditioned on the a priori trade-off sought between the tolerated function complexity and the quality of the approximation for  $\varphi(d)$ .

The functions  $\chi$  and  $\varphi$  are parameterized by the RSS threshold,  $P_{th}$ , and the path loss parameters. They can be fitted to (9) and (10) in a conventional way (e.g. according to a minimum least squares criterion) and stored either as analytical expressions or as look up tables.

Turning back to Fig. 3, the systematic bias  $\mu_d$  of the range estimate  $\tilde{d}$  is obtained in step 330 as



$\mu_d = \chi(\tilde{d})$  and the range estimate  $\tilde{d}$  is corrected in step 350 by the bias thus obtained:

$$\tilde{d}^{corr} = \tilde{d} - \chi(\tilde{d}) \quad (11)$$

5

Optionally, the corrected variance  $(\sigma_d^{corr})^2$  can be obtained from the range estimate in step 360 as  $(\sigma_d^{corr})^2 = \varphi(\tilde{d})$  either by calculation or look-up in a stored table. Alternatively, the corrected distance estimate 10  $\tilde{d}^{corr}$  can be used in place of the distance estimate  $\tilde{d}$  to obtain the corrected variance  $(\sigma_d^{corr})^2 = \varphi(\tilde{d}^{corr})$ .

The corrected variance provides an indication of the confidence interval for the range estimate.

15

The corrected variance may also be used to estimate the probability that the distance between the emitter and the receiver lies above or below a predetermined range. To this aim, the estimate of the distance can be regarded as a Gaussian variable 20 centered about  $\tilde{d}^{corr}$  and having a variance  $(\sigma_d^{corr})^2$ .

## CLAIMS

1. Range estimation method for estimating the range between a radio signal emitter and a receiver  
5 wherein:

(a) the receiver measures (310) the strength of a radio signal received from said radio signal emitter;

(b) one obtains (320) path loss parameters of a path loss model modeling the attenuation of the channel  
10 between said emitter and said receiver;

(c) one calculates (330) an estimate ( $\tilde{d}$ ) of the range separating the emitter and the receiver, based on said path loss model and said path loss parameters thus obtained, characterized in that said one further:

15 (d) determines (340) a systematic bias ( $\mu_d$ ) of said range estimated depending upon a power detection threshold ( $P_{th}$ ) of said receiver;

(e) corrects (350) said range estimate with said systematic bias to obtain a corrected range  
20 estimate ( $\tilde{d}^{corr}$ ).

2. Range estimation according to claim 1, characterized in that the path loss model is a log-normal shadowing model and that the path loss  
25 parameters comprise a path loss decay exponent ( $\alpha$ ) and a shadowing coefficient ( $\sigma_z$ ).

3. Range estimation method according to claim 2, characterized in that the path loss decay exponent  
30 and the shadowing coefficient are determined according to a category of the channel, the channel categories being line of sight, non line of sight and non light of

sight with deep attenuation.

4. Range estimation method according to any preceding claim, characterized in that the corrected  
5 range estimate is calculated at step (e) by subtracting said systematic bias from the range estimate obtained at step (c).

5. Range estimation method according to claim  
10 4, characterized in that the systematic bias is determined from the range estimate obtained at step (c), the power detection threshold of the receiver, the path loss decay exponent and the shadowing coefficient.

15 6. Range estimation method according to any preceding claims, characterized in that the range estimation performed in step (c) is obtained by a median estimator.

20 7. Range estimation method according to any preceding claim, characterized in that a corrected variance of the range estimate is determined from the range estimate, the power detection threshold of the receiver, the path loss decay exponent and the  
25 shadowing coefficient.



8. Range estimation method according to any claim 1 to 6, characterized in that a corrected variance of the range estimate is determined from the  
30 corrected range estimate, the power detection threshold of the receiver, the path loss decay exponent and the shadowing coefficient.

9. Range estimation method according to claim 7 or 8, characterized in that the corrected variance is used for providing an interval of confidence of the range estimate.


5

10. Range estimation method according to claim 7 or 8, characterized in that the corrected variance is used for calculating the probability that the range separating the emitter and the receiver is higher or  
10 lower than a predetermined value.

1/2

**Fig. 1****Fig. 2**

2/2

**Fig. 3**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No  
PCT/EP2012/070497A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
INV. G01S5/02  
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
G01S

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	ZHONG-LIANG DENG ET AL: "Localization algorithm based on difference estimation for wireless sensor networks in Pervasive Computing Environment", PERVASIVE COMPUTING AND APPLICATIONS, 2008. ICPCA 2008. THIRD INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON, IEEE, PISCATAWAY, NJ, USA, 6 October 2008 (2008-10-06), pages 479-484, XP031424972, ISBN: 978-1-4244-2020-9 the whole document ----- -/--	1-10



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&amp;" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

7 January 2013

Date of mailing of the international search report

11/01/2013

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Kern, Olivier

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No  
PCT/EP2012/070497

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	YIN CHEN ET AL: "On the Mechanisms and Effects of Calibrating RSSI Measurements for 802.15.4 Radios", 17 February 2010 (2010-02-17), WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS, SPRINGER BERLIN HEIDELBERG, BERLIN, HEIDELBERG, PAGE(S) 256 - 271, XP019138696, ISBN: 978-3-642-11916-3 the whole document -----	1-10
X	HYUNG SU LEE ET AL: "The Method of Location Error Detection and Correcting in Smart Home Environments", HYBRID INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, 2006. ICHIT '06, IEEE, PISCATAWAY, NJ, USA, 9 November 2006 (2006-11-09), pages 432-438, XP032070203, DOI: 10.1109/ICHIT.2006.253643 ISBN: 978-0-7695-2674-4 3. The propose method 4. Performance Evaluation -----	1-10
A	JON ARNOLD ET AL: "Node Localisation in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks", NETWORKS, 2007. ICON 2007. 15TH IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON, IEEE, PI, 1 November 2007 (2007-11-01), pages 1-6, XP031211045, ISBN: 978-1-4244-1229-7 pages 3,4 -----	1