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METHOD OF DETECTION OF MICROORGANISMS WITH ENHANCED BACTERIOPHAGE AMPLIFICATION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates generally to the field of identification of microscopic living organisms, and more particularly to the identification of microorganisms using bacteriophage.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

can take as little as an hour depending on the phage, the bacterium, and the environmental conditions. Thus, it has been proposed that the use of bacteriophage amplification in combination with a test for bacteriophage or a bacteriophage marker may be able to significantly shorten the assay time as compared to a traditional substrate-based identification. A single infected bacterium may produce $10^1 \text{ to } 10^4$ progeny bacteriophage, and each bacteriophage particle may contain $10^1 \text{ to } 10^3$ copies of capsid or other structural proteins. Signal amplifications of $10^2 \text{ to } 10^7$ from each infected bacterium, therefore, are possible, given an appropriate method of detecting progeny bacteriophage, bacteriophage nucleic acids, or bacteriophage proteins. Many methods known to the art are suitable for detection, including but not limited to, PCR, mass spectrometry, antibody or aptamer-based binding assays, and plaque assays.

In each of the bacteriophage amplification methods mentioned above, samples potentially containing target bacteria are incubated with bacteriophage specific for those bacteria. In the presence of the bacteria, the bacteriophage infect and replicate in the bacteria resulting in the production of a measurable signal indicating the presence of the target bacteria. Some methods utilize the detection of progeny phage released from infected target bacteria as a means of detection and identification. In this case, progeny phage are not produced if the parent phage do not successfully infect the target bacteria. Still other methods rely on the detection of phage replication products rather than whole progeny phage. For example, luciferase reporter bacteriophage produce luciferase when they successfully infect target bacteria. The luciferase then produces light that, if detected, indicates the presence of target bacteria in the sample. Other methods rely on the detection of bacterial debris that is released following a successful lytic infection of target bacteria by a specific bacteriophage. Still other methods rely only on the ability of bacteriophage to attach to the bacteria and do not employ amplification. To accurately identify the target bacteria, each of these phage-based diagnostic methods demands that the bacteriophage have both high sensitivity for the target bacteria and high specificity to avoid replication in non-target strains or species of bacteria. Finding or developing bacteriophage with those characteristics is very challenging. Thus, while bacteriophage amplification is considered as a promising process for detecting microorganisms, a commercially useful diagnostic process using bacteriophage that is competitive with conventional commercial microorganism
detection processes has not yet been developed. Bacteriophage with acceptable sensitivity often lack sufficient specificity, i.e., they cross react with too many non-target bacteria. This lack of acceptable sensitivity in combination with sufficient specificity is a critical problem in commercializing bacteriophage diagnostic processes.

It is well known that, within a given bacterial species, individual strains vary in their susceptibility to bacteriophage strains; in fact, this differential susceptibility forms the basis of phage-typing schemes for the identification of bacterial strains. The biochemical basis of this differential susceptibility is not well understood, but some factors have been identified. These include virulence factors, often found on mobile genetic elements within bacterial chromosomes. A well-known example is the Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) factor for Toxic Shock Syndrome, encoded by the pathogenicity island SaP11, found in approximately 20% of clinical isolates of S. aureus. These pathogenicity islands are mobilized by infection of the host bacterium by bacteriophages and are encapsidated into infectious particles. This mobilization and encapsidation takes place at the expense of the infecting bacteriophage, whose replication can be reduced by a factor of 100 fold (Lindsay et al., Molecular Microbiology, 1998, 29:2527). This reduction is problematic for any assay or process dependent upon bacteriophage amplification, as it renders a substantial fraction of bacterial hosts incapable of producing high bacteriophage yields.

Thus, there remains a need for a faster and more effective method of detecting microorganisms that achieves both specificity and sensitivity and, at the same time, the amplification remains high.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention provides an advancement of the art and overcomes the above problems by the adding one or more substances to the bacteriophage-exposed sample, which substances enhance bacteriophage amplification without reducing specificity or sensitivity. It has been found, for example, that the expression of toxic shock proteins, as well as a variety of other S. aureus exoproteins, is suppressed by the presence of sub-lethal concentration of fatty acids and related compounds in the growth medium. We find that addition of fatty acids, conjugated fatty acids, fatty acid esters, or fatty acid
amides to growth media significantly enhances the performance and utility of bacteriophage-based tests in detecting, identifying, and characterizing bacterial hosts for diagnostic and research purposes. As an example, we have shown that the addition of such fatty acids and related compounds substantially improves bacteriophage amplification on strains of *S. aureus* that are poor bacterial hosts, on strains of *S. aureus* that are good bacterial hosts, and that bacteriophage amplification by methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) strains grown in the presence of β-lactam antibiotics, such as cefoxitin, is also substantially improved.

Another substance that has been found to enhance bacteriophage amplification without reducing specificity or sensitivity is pyruvate in any of its various forms, such as pyruvic acid and sodium pyruvate. For example, the addition of 10 mmol/L (millimoles per liter) to 50 mmol/L of sodium pyruvate to a wide variety of *S. aureus* strains was found to significantly increase phage sensitivity. The addition of from 12 mmol/L to 37 mmol/L of sodium pyruvate was found to increase phage sensitivity in these strains from about 78% to between 87% and 92%. In the range of concentrations of from 15 mmol/L to 31 mmol/L, the sensitivity increased to above 90%. The most preferred concentration was 27 mmol/L, at which concentration the sensitivity was increased about 15% over sensitivities without sodium pyruvate. Similarly, the mean amplification of the bacteriophage was increased significantly in a range from about 10 mmol/L to 60 mmol/L sodium pyruvate. For example, the mean amplification increased from about 92 without sodium pyruvate to 150 at about 30 mmol/L of sodium pyruvate.

The invention provides a method of determining the presence or absence of a target microorganism in a sample to be tested, the method comprising: combining with the sample an amount of bacteriophage capable of attaching to the target microorganism to create a bacteriophage-exposed sample; providing conditions to the bacteriophage-exposed sample sufficient to allow the bacteriophage to infect the microorganism; and assaying the bacteriophage-exposed sample to detect the presence or absence of a bacteriophage marker to determine the presence or absence of the target microorganism; the method characterized by the combining, including combining with the bacteriophage and the target microorganism a substance which
enhances bacteriophage amplification in the target organism or bacteriophage sensitivity to the target microorganism.

The invention also provides a medium for determining the presence or absence of a target microorganism in a sample to be tested, the medium comprising bacteriophage and characterized in that the medium includes a substance that enhances the replication of the bacteriophage in the target microorganism or enhances bacteriophage sensitivity to the target organism.

Preferably, the microorganism is a bacterium and the assaying comprises detecting the bacteriophage marker as an indication of the presence of the target bacterium in the sample. Preferably, the substance suppresses a bacterial virulence factor. Preferably, the virulence factor is a toxic shock protein. Preferably, the substance is a fatty acid compound. Preferably, the fatty acid compound is lauric acid. Preferably, the substance is selected from the group consisting of a fatty acid, a conjugated fatty acid, a fatty acid ester, and a fatty acid amide. Preferably, the substance comprises pyruvate. Preferably, the substance comprises sodium pyruvate. Preferably, the method further comprises inhibiting phage replication in a potentially cross-reactive, non-target microorganism. Preferably, the inhibiting comprises selectively removing potential cross-reactive bacteria from the sample using selective binding agents attached to a support, or selectively destroying potentially cross-reactive bacteria.

The invention solves the problem of enhancing the sensitivity of the bacteriophage amplification process while at the same time maintaining or enhancing the selectivity of a bacteriophage. Numerous other features, objects, and advantages of the invention will become apparent from the following description when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a graph indicating the addition of lauric acid improves amplification on poor or restrictive S. aureus hosts;
FIG. 2 is a graph indicating lauric acid increases bacteriophage amplification on permissive S. aureus hosts;

FIG. 3 is a graph indicating lauric acid relieves suppression of phage amplification by cefoxitin in MRSA strains;

FIG. 4 is a graph showing bacteriophage sensitivity in percent versus concentration of sodium pyruvate in mmol/L; and

FIG. 5 is a graph showing bacteriophage mean amplification versus concentration of sodium pyruvate in mmol/L.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to the use of bacteriophage to detect microorganisms. Bacteriophage are viruses that have evolved in nature to use bacteria as a means of replicating themselves. A phage does this by attaching itself to a bacterium and injecting its DNA (or RNA) into that bacterium and inducing it to replicate the phage hundreds or even thousands of times. This is referred to as phage amplification. As summarized in the Background of the Invention above, there is much literature based on the idea that phage amplification can potentially provide a marker indicative of the bacterium that can be detected more easily and more rapidly than the bacterium itself. A fundamental principle that allows particular bacteria to be detected via bacteriophage amplification followed by an assay of a bacteriophage marker is that a particular bacteriophage will infect only a particular bacterium. That is, the bacteriophage is specific to the bacteria. Thus, if a particular bacteriophage that is specific to particular bacteria is introduced into a sample, and later the bacteriophage has been found to have multiplied, the bacteria to which the bacteriophage is specific must have been present in the sample. In this way, the prior art teaches that bacteriophage amplification can be used to identify specific bacteria present in a sample. However, bacteriophage that are 100% specific to a single bacteria species that it is desired to detect are not present in nature. Further, bacteriophage found in nature also are not 100% sensitive to the bacteria that it is desired to detect. Because the bacteriophage found in nature are imperfect as to these desired qualities, a commercially viable bacteria detection process has been much more difficult to arrive at than was at first
hoped. This application discloses systems and processes that enhance bacteriophage amplification and bacteriophage sensitivity and, thus, lead for the first time to the possibility of a commercially viable process. As summarized above, the invention provides substances and processes that enhance bacteriophage amplification without reducing specificity or sensitivity. In fact, as will be shown below, the substances and processes of the invention not only enhance amplification but increase sensitivity.

In this disclosure, the terms “bacteriophage” and “phage” include bacteriophage, phage, mycobacteriophage (such as for TB and paraTB), mycophage (such as for fungi), mycoplasma phage or mycoplasmal phage, and any other term that refers to a virus that can invade living bacteria, fungi, mycoplasmas, protozoa, yeasts, and other microscopic living organisms and uses them to replicate itself. Here, “microscopic” means that the largest dimension is one millimeter or less.

In this disclosure, a bacteriophage marker is any biological or organic element that can be associated with the presence of a bacteriophage. Without limitation, this may be the bacteriophage itself, a lipid incorporated into the phage structure, a protein associated with the bacteriophage, RNA or DNA associated with the bacteriophage, or any portion of any of the foregoing. In this disclosure, a bacterial marker is any biological or organic element that is released when a bacterium is lysed by a bacteriophage, including cell wall components, bacterial nucleic acids, proteins, enzymes, small molecules, or any portion of the foregoing.

In FIGS. 1 and 2, each symbol, such as 12, represents an independent clinical isolate of *S. aureus*. Clinical isolates of *S. aureus* were grown in BacTec Aerobic F/10 broth charged with 20% blood at 35° to a density of approximately $10^8$ cfu/ml. An aliquot of these cultures was then diluted 1:250 into a test mixture of Tryptic Soy Broth containing bacteriophage strains MP112 and MP115, each at $10^7$ pfu/ml, and varying concentrations of lauric acid. The cultures were grown for four hours at 35°, then diluted and plated on bacterial lawns using the top agar method. After overnight incubation, pfu/ml for each culture was calculated from the plates and divided by input pfu/ml to obtain the value for amplification plotted in FIG. 1. The results for these isolates with no lauric acid are shown at 15; the results for a concentration of 5 µg/ml of lauric acid are shown at 19; the results for a concentration of 10 µg/ml of lauric acid are
shown at 22; the results for a concentration of 15 µg/ml of lauric acid are shown at 26; the results for a concentration of 20 µg/ml of lauric acid are shown at 29; and the results for a concentration of 30 µg/ml of lauric acid are shown at 34. Within these concentrations, the average level of amplification increases from three-fold with no lauric acid to 45-fold at 30µg/ml lauric acid. The average level of amplification is shown by the solid line, such as 14, in each of the columns.

The set of isolates used in FIG. 1 is known from previous experiments to be resistant to bacteriophage infection or to amplify bacteriophage poorly after infection. The 28 strains in this study were chosen from a collection of 202 clinical isolates as the poorest hosts for bacteriophage amplification. Given that these strains represent ~14% of the collection, it is plausible that most or all are TSS-positive; and lauric acid enhances phage amplification through suppression of TSS gene expression. However, we find that lauric acid, and by extension other fatty acids, generally promotes bacteriophage amplification in nearly all hosts tested. This novel result indicates that TSS suppression is not sufficient to explain the effect of fatty acids on bacteriophage amplification.

The effect of lauric acid on good bacteriophage hosts is shown in FIG. 2. The results for these isolates with no lauric acid are shown at 42; the results for a concentration of 5 µg/ml of lauric acid are shown at 45; the results for a concentration of 10 µg/ml of lauric acid are shown at 48; the results for a concentration of 15 µg/ml of lauric acid are shown at 52; the results for a concentration of 20 µg/ml of lauric acid are shown at 56; and the results for a concentration of 30 µg/ml of lauric acid are shown at 59. The average amplification in this group increases from 69-fold to over 100-fold with the addition of lauric acid. The average level of amplification is shown by the solid line, such as 49, in each of the columns. To date, more than 80% of S. aureus strains tested show stimulation of bacteriophage amplification in response to lauric acid.

Lauric acid, other fatty acids, and their derivatives ameliorate the effects of β-lactam antibiotics on phage amplification in methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) hosts, as shown in FIG. 3. This property enhances the performance and utility of bacteriophage-based tests in detecting, classifying, and distinguishing MRSA from methicillin-susceptible S. aureus (MSSA). In FIG. 3, each symbol represents a clinical
MRSA (methicillin-resistant S. aureus) isolate able to grow in the presence of β-lactam antibiotics such as cefoxitin. The first column 62 (circles) indicates amplification by MRSA strains with no cefoxitin and no lauric acid. The second column 64 (squares) indicates amplification with added cefoxitin. Note the suppression of phage amplification. The third column 66 (diamonds) indicates amplification with added lauric acid. The fourth column 70 (x's) indicate amplification with added lauric acid and cefoxitin. This shows that lauric acid relieves suppression of phage amplification by cefoxitin in MRSA strains.

Other fatty acid compounds that positively stimulate bacteriophage amplification include saturated fatty acids: caproic acid, caprylic acid, capric acid, and myristic acid; conjugated fatty acids: glycerol monolaurate; and unsaturated fatty acids: oleic acid and linoleic acid. For the purposes of this invention, the term “fatty acid” shall refer to all such compounds and related compounds.

Pyruvic acid is a metabolic compound linking aerobic and anaerobic metabolism to carbohydrate, fatty acid, and amino acid synthesis. As bacteriophage amplification imposes substantial metabolic demands on the host, after discovery of the results shown in FIGS. 1 – 3, we reasoned that supplementing the bacteriophage host growth medium with this compound might stimulate bacteriophage amplification, leading to better assay performance. FIGS. 4 and 5 show the effect of medium supplementation by sodium pyruvate. FIG. 4 is a graph showing a curve 80 of measured bacteriophage sensitivity in percent versus concentration of sodium pyruvate in mmol/L. A panel of 32 S. aureus strains was grown in charged BacTec SA blood culture medium to mid-log phase, and then diluted into growth medium containing bacteriophage and the indicated concentrations of sodium pyruvate in mmol/L. After 4 hours of incubation at 35°C, the cultures were tested for bacteriophage amplification by standard microbiological methods. Strains showing significant amplification, defined as > 8-fold over input bacteriophage, were scored as positive. Sensitivity is defined as the number of positive strains as a percentage of all strains tested. As can be seen from the graph, the addition of from 12 mmol/L to 37 mmol/L of sodium pyruvate was found to increase phage sensitivity in these strains from about 78% to between 87% and 92%. The range of concentrations of from 15 mmol/L to 31 mmol/L resulted in sensitivity
above 90%. At 27 mmol/L concentration, the sensitivity was increased about 15% over sensitivities without sodium pyruvate. The data indicate that supplementation of growth media with sodium pyruvate can significantly enhance the fraction of strains able to amplify bacteriophage.

FIG. 5 is a graph showing a curve 90 of measured bacteriophage mean amplification versus concentration of sodium pyruvate in mmol/L. The difference in amplification between 0 mM (millimoles) pyruvate and 15 or 30 mM is significant when tested by the Student's paired t-test (p = 0.002, 0.005, respectively). The mean amplification of the bacteriophage was increased significantly in a range of from about 10 mmol/L to 60 mmol/L. For example, the mean amplification increased from about 92 without sodium pyruvate to 150 at about 30 mmol/L of sodium pyruvate. These data show that addition of sodium pyruvate to growth medium leads to improved amplification of bacteriophage and thereby to improved performance of tests and assays based on bacteriophage amplification.

The methods and substances that enhance bacteriophage amplification are preferably used in combination with substances and methods that inhibit replication in potentially cross-reactive, non-target bacteria, and use this inhibition to increase the selectivity of the phage-based diagnostic process. We shall describe three embodiments of the inhibition process herein: 1) inhibiting the growth of potentially cross-reactive bacteria while allowing growth of the target bacteria, 2) selectively removing potential cross-reactive bacteria from a sample using selective binding agents attached to some support (i.e., microparticles), and 3) selectively destroying potentially cross-reactive bacteria. These embodiments are intended to be illustrative, though the invention is not limited to these embodiments. Other methods with the same results can be contemplated by those skilled in the arts.

Inhibition of potentially cross-reactive bacteria can be accomplished using methods common to microbiological detection. For example, substances such as sodium chloride (in high concentration), Polymyxin B, Polymyxin E, other Polymyxins, and metal compounds, such as potassium tellurite, inhibit the growth of some coagulase negative Staphylococcus (CNS) while allowing the growth of S. aureus. These compounds can also significantly inhibit or retard replication of bacteriophage in
CNS while minimally affecting replication in S. aureus. The usage of selective media to
differentially affect the efficiency and timing of phage replication is a novel method for
improving the specificity of bacteriophage-based bacterial diagnostic methods.

Removal of non-target bacteria may be accomplished using antibodies,
bacteriophage selective for the non-target bacteria, or other compounds that selectively
bind to non-target bacteria. For an S. aureus test, removal of CNS species can be
beneficial. Binding of these compounds to non-target bacteria may be sufficient to
block the binding of bacteriophage to those bacteria, preventing successful infection
and replication. Alternatively, these compounds may be attached to other substrate
such as micro-particles, magnetic beads, or solid substrates. When incubated with a
sample, potential non-target bacteria will selectively bind to the substrate. The
substrate then can be physically removed from the sample. Separation methods
include centrifugation for micro-particles or by the application of a magnetic field for
magnetic beads.

Selective destruction of non-target bacteria can be accomplished using
antibacterial compounds that selectively destroy non-target bacteria such that they are
not susceptible to phage infection while leaving target bacteria largely unharmed and
susceptible to phage infection. Such compounds include: a) selective antibiotics, and
b) bacteriophage that selectively bind to and/or infect potentially cross-reactive, non-
target bacteria. The latter are complementary bacteriophage to the primary
bacteriophage used to selectively infect the target bacteria in the sample. Complementary bacteriophage can destroy non-target bacteria by successfully infecting
and lysing those non-target bacteria such that phage infection by the primary
bacteriophage is eliminated or significantly reduced. Complementary bacteriophage
can also be used to destroy non-target bacteria by a process known as lysis from
without. Lysis from without refers to the destruction of a bacterium when hundreds or
thousands of phage particles bind to its cell wall. This process can be utilized in this
invention by adding a high concentration of complementary phage to the sample such
that large numbers of complementary phage quickly and selectively bind to potentially
cross-reactive bacteria. Under pressure of multiple phage binding, the cross-reactive
bacteria can be made to burst, eliminating them as a locus for phage infection by the prime bacteriophage.

As described in International Patent Application No. PCT/US07/085268 filed November 20, 2007, which is incorporated herein by reference, bacteriophage can be used to detect bacteria simply using the property that phage attach to the bacteria, that is, without the amplification step. This application also discloses how bacteriophage can be used to determine the antibiotic susceptibility or antibiotic resistance of a microorganism. It is contemplated by the invention that the materials and processes described herein can also be used to advantage in any of the foregoing processes and systems. Many other phage-based methods and apparatus used to identify the microorganism and/or to determine the antibiotic resistance test or antibiotic susceptibility can be enhanced by the method and apparatus of the invention.

It should be understood that the particular embodiments shown in the drawings and described within this specification are for purposes of example and should not be construed to limit the invention which will be described in the claims below. For example, now that it has been found that lauric acid enhances bacteriophage amplification, it is evident that other, related substances may also enhance bacteriophage amplification. As another example, since the reasoning with respect to pyruvate has been shown to be correct, those skilled in the art will be able to follow such reasoning to other substances that will enhance bacteriophage amplification and/or phage sensitivity. Further, it is evident that those skilled in the art may now make numerous uses and modifications of the specific embodiment described without departing from the inventive concepts. Several examples are described herein. Equivalent structures and processes may be substituted for the various structures and processes described; the subprocesses of the inventive method may, in some instances, be performed in a different order; or a variety of different materials and elements may be used. Consequently, the invention is to be construed as embracing each and every novel feature and novel combination of features present in and/or possessed by the microorganism detection apparatus and methods described.
CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method of determining the presence or absence of a target microorganism in a sample to be tested, said method comprising:
   combining with said sample an amount of bacteriophage capable of attaching to said target microorganism to create a bacteriophage exposed sample;
   providing conditions to said bacteriophage-exposed sample sufficient to allow said bacteriophage to infect said microorganism;
   and assaying said bacteriophage-exposed sample to detect the presence or absence of a bacteriophage marker to determine the presence or absence of said target microorganism;
   said method characterized by said combining including combining with said bacteriophage and said target microorganism a substance which enhances bacteriophage amplification in said target organism or bacteriophage sensitivity to said target microorganism.

2. A method as in claim 1 wherein said microorganism is a bacterium, and said assaying comprises detecting said bacteriophage marker as an indication of the presence of said target bacterium in said sample.

3. A method as in claim 1 wherein said substance suppresses a bacterial virulence factor.

4. A method as in claim 3 wherein said virulence factor is a toxic shock protein.

5. A method as in claim 1 wherein said substance is a fatty acid compound.

6. A method as in claim 5 wherein said fatty acid compound is lauric acid.
7. A method as in claim 5 wherein said substance is selected from the group consisting of a fatty acid, a conjugated fatty acid, a fatty acid ester, and a fatty acid amide.

8. A method as in claim 1 wherein said substance comprises pyruvate.

9. A method as in claim 8 wherein said substance comprises sodium pyruvate.

10. A method as in claim 1, and further comprising inhibiting phage replication in a potentially cross-reactive, non-target microorganism.

11. A method as in claim 10 wherein said inhibiting comprises selectively removing potential cross-reactive bacteria from said sample using selective binding agents attached to a support.

12. A method as in claim 10 wherein said inhibiting comprises selectively destroying potentially cross-reactive bacteria.

13. A medium for determining the presence or absence of a target microorganism in a sample to be tested, said medium comprising bacteriophage and characterized in that said medium includes a substance that enhances the replication of said bacteriophage in said target microorganism or enhances bacteriophage sensitivity to said target organism.

14. A medium as in claim 13 wherein said substance suppresses a bacterial virulence factor.

15. A medium as in claim 14 wherein said virulence factor is a toxic shock protein.
16. A medium as in claim 13 wherein said substance is a fatty acid compound.

17. A medium as in claim 16 wherein said fatty acid compound is lauric acid.

18. A medium as in claim 16 wherein said substance is selected from the group consisting of a fatty acid, a conjugated fatty acid, a fatty acid ester, and a fatty acid amide.

19. A medium as in claim 13 wherein said substance comprises pyruvate.

20. A medium as in claim 19 wherein said substance comprises sodium pyruvate.
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