




(12) **DEMANDE DE BREVET CANADIEN
CANADIAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(13) **A1**

(22) Date de dépôt/Filing Date: 2017/07/18
(41) Mise à la disp. pub./Open to Public Insp.: 2019/01/18

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *E21B 43/24* (2006.01),
E21B 43/12 (2006.01), *E21B 43/22* (2006.01),
E21B 43/30 (2006.01)
(71) Demandeur/Applicant:
NSOLV CORPORATION, CA
(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
EICHHORN, MARK ANTHONY, CA;
KRAWCHUK, PAUL, CA;
BAWA, GHARANDIP SINGH, CA;
BLACKMORE, ADAM DOUGLAS, CA;
LEE, CASSANDRA AMANDA, CA...
(74) Agent: PIASETZKI NENNIGER KVAS LLP

(54) Titre : METHODES DE GESTION DE STOCK DE SOLVANT DANS UNE CHAMBRE D'EXTRACTION PAR DRAINAGE PAR GRAVITE
(54) Title: METHODS OF MANAGING SOLVENT INVENTORY IN A GRAVITY DRAINAGE EXTRACTION CHAMBER



(57) Abrégé/Abstract:
A method of managing a liquid solvent inventory in a condensing solvent gravity drainage extraction chamber includes growing the extraction chamber by injecting a solvent vapour under conditions which cause at least a portion of the solvent vapour to condense

(57) Abrégé(suite)/Abstract(continued):

on a hydrocarbon extraction interface at a condensation temperature, which condensation temperature is above naturally occurring formation temperature whereby in situ hydrocarbons are mobilized at the extraction interface through solvent and thermal effects and removed from the formation, then accumulating within the extraction chamber condensed liquid solvent which is draining through the chamber under the influence of gravity, which liquid solvent includes a hydrocarbon rich fluid production layer which is proximal to said extraction interface and comprises liquid solvent and mobile hydrocarbons and a hydrocarbon lean production layer which is remote from said extraction interface, and comprises primarily liquid solvent, and then heating a portion of the extraction chamber around to create a heated zone having a temperature above the condensation temperature without heating the hydrocarbon rich production layer to permit the hydrocarbon rich production layer to continue to drain to said production well.

ABSTRACT

A method of managing a liquid solvent inventory in a condensing solvent gravity drainage extraction chamber includes growing the extraction chamber by injecting a solvent vapour under conditions which cause at least a portion of the solvent vapour to condense on a hydrocarbon extraction interface at a condensation temperature, which condensation temperature is above naturally occurring formation temperature whereby in situ hydrocarbons are mobilized at the extraction interface through solvent and thermal effects and removed from the formation, then accumulating within the extraction chamber condensed liquid solvent which is draining through the chamber under the influence of gravity, which liquid solvent includes a hydrocarbon rich fluid production layer which is proximal to said extraction interface and comprises liquid solvent and mobile hydrocarbons and a hydrocarbon lean production layer which is remote from said extraction interface, and comprises primarily liquid solvent, and then heating a portion of the extraction chamber around to create a heated zone having a temperature above the condensation temperature without heating the hydrocarbon rich production layer to permit the hydrocarbon rich production layer to continue to drain to said production well.

CANADA

PATENT APPLICATION

5

PIASETZKI NENNIGER KVAS LLP

File No.: Nsolv040/JTN

10

15

Title:

20

**METHODS OF MANAGING SOLVENT INVENTORY IN A GRAVITY
DRAINAGE EXTRACTION CHAMBER**

Inventor(s):

25

Mark Anthony Eichhorn

Paul Krawchuk

Gharandip Singh Bawa

Adam Douglas Blackmore

Cassandra Amanda Lee

**Title: METHODS OF MANAGING SOLVENT INVENTORY IN A GRAVITY
DRAINAGE EXTRACTION CHAMBER**

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 This invention relates generally to the field of hydrocarbon extraction
and most particularly to EOR (Enhanced Oil Recovery) as applied to
unconventional resources such as the Canadian oil sands. One type of EOR
is a solvent based in situ gravity drainage extraction process. In particular,
10 this invention relates to methods for managing a condensing solvent in situ
gravity drainage extraction process. Most particularly this invention relates to
methods and apparatuses which may be used to manage or optimize the
amount of solvent required in a formed extraction chamber.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15 Many attempts have been made to extract in situ hydrocarbons from
deposits which do not readily flow at reservoir conditions, such as the
Canadian oil sands, by using various EOR processes. One such process is
SAGD which requires the injection of large volumes of super heated steam to
try to mobilize in situ hydrocarbons. Although SAGD has been made to
20 work, it has some undesirable characteristics because it requires
water/steam heated to high temperatures (often more than 200 C) which in
turn requires burning a lot of fuel to generate the heat to get to the steam
temperatures required to make SAGD work. Extraction can be achieved, but
at a high greenhouse gas emissions cost. Another process is called SAVEX
25 which involves the use of a steam and solvent in a form of hybrid process.
This process requires both a steam plant and a solvent plant which increases
the capital costs of the facility. Another process is called VAPEX which
involves the injection of a mixed gas comprising a solvent and a carrier gas,
such as methane. A problem with VAPEX is that it is too slow to be

commercially viable.

In CA 2,351,148, directed to the **nsolv**® condensing solvent extraction process, Nenniger taught injecting solvent into the extraction chamber at a temperature and pressure sufficient for the solvent to be a vapour in the chamber and to condense to a liquid on the extraction surface, and to control the extraction temperature and pressure to achieve bubble point conditions to permit continued solvent condensation at the extraction surface. The bubble point conditions are achieved at least in part by limiting the presence of non-condensable gases within the chamber in general and in particular adjacent to the extraction interface where condensation of the solvent onto the bitumen is to occur. Solvent condensation releases the latent heat of condensation . When this occurs in the chamber at the extraction surface, the heat flux from condensing solvent to the bitumen heats the bitumen, causing the bitumen viscosity to decrease. As well, the solvent dissolves into the bitumen for a further viscosity reduction. Under the right conditions a solvent/hydrocarbon blend will have a low enough viscosity to drain by gravity into a lower producer well, simply through the combined solvent/thermal effects on viscosity. From there, the blend can be produced to surface and separated, in a surface facility, into sales oil and recovered solvent. The recovered solvent can then be recirculated back down into the extraction chamber to condense again. CA 2,351,148 teaches the heat flux due to solvent condensation is constant per unit of solvent injected, therefore, as the extracted chamber grows and the extraction surface area increases, higher solvent injection rates will be required.

In CA 2,639,851, Nenniger also comprehended that as the chamber grows in size, the heat losses to the overburden will increase and this has the effect of increasing the solvent to oil ratio. In oil sands deposits the pay zone is typically in a thin layer which covers a large geographic area. As a gravity drainage process creates an in situ extraction chamber the extracted

zone may first rise above the horizontal well pair towards the over burden and then further extraction takes place laterally away from the source of the solvent, namely the injection well in the horizontal well pair. This lateral chamber growth exposes more and more of the overburden to the
5 condensing solvent conditions. Basically, any solvent condensing on the overburden is condensing in a non-productive way as it is condensing not at the extraction interface where it can transfer heat to mobilize and extract bitumen, but instead on the unyielding overburden. Solvent condensing due to overburden heat losses must drain down as a liquid through the solvent
10 chamber, thereby contributing to the total amount of liquid solvent in the chamber, but as noted above this liquid solvent is not directly assisting in further bitumen extraction. Essentially any condensation that occurs not on the extraction interface is unproductive, in the sense that it is not helping to mobilize any fresh bitumen for extraction. An increase in solvent to oil ratio
15 means a bigger surface plant is required with larger capital costs to recirculate the larger solvent volumes. As well if more of the extraction chamber is filled with liquid rather than vapour solvent, more total solvent mass is required due to the lower specific volume of the liquid form. The solvent can be recovered and reused, but the solvent also has an inherent
20 cost. If more solvent is caught up as liquid in the chamber and more solvent is required, then the costs of the supplying the extra solvent will have to be met by the operator. So, reducing the solvent to oil ratio would also help reduce the operating costs and the total amount of solvent required and therefore the total solvent cost during solvent injection and before solvent
25 recovery during chamber blowdown.

One possible solution for this problem was provided in CA 2,281,276 to Suncor directed to a so called "Thermal Solvent" process. In this process a local heat source is provided in the reservoir along the lower production well to create a hot zone which in theory allows the solvent to reflux up to the

extraction interface at the edge of the chamber, condense, and then return to the hot zone where it flashes to reflux up again. The heat source described is a closed steam loop which creates a hot zone in the areas of the reservoir around the heat source. The draining liquid solvent simply comes into
5 contact with the hot zone and then flashes off as a vapour. It is believed that this Thermal Solvent process was piloted in the field but without much success. One problem may have been although in concept this process is theoretically frugal in terms of total solvent required, the solvent has no way to get to the production well without crossing the hot zone at the lower
10 production well. This means that non-condensable gases such as formation gas from the reservoir cannot get past the hot zone either. As taught by Nenniger this will trap the non-condensable gases in the chamber leading to less and less direct contact between the solvent vapour and the bitumen leading to ever declining bitumen mobilization, until the material balance
15 means that extraction will effectively stop. This will occur at the point when the solvent simply refluxes between the hot zone and a non-condensable gas cloud that has built up above the hot zone, without coming into contact with the extraction interface.

Another possible problem lies with the reflux step. As the solvent
20 flashes off the draining solvent bitumen blend there will be a rapid decrease in mobility of the bitumen. It is possible that the diluted bitumen will be transported to a specific location within the extraction chamber, then the hot zone will cause a flash off of solvent thereby greatly increasing the viscosity of the bitumen and the counter current vapour flux reducing the effective
25 permeability of oil. This may lead to a build up of a slow draining region just at the periphery of the hot zone, where the solvent flashes. Such an immobile region can interfere with draining fluids preventing them from reaching the production well. So, although the "Thermal Solvent" approach has been suggested it does not appear to be an attractive alternative. What

is desired is a method or process that can address the need to be efficient in terms of the amount of solvent used in situ and one which allows the draining fluids to drain and the non-condensable off gases and impurities to be removed as taught by Nenniger.

5

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

What is desired therefore are methods to reduce the amount of liquid solvent which may be present in the extraction chamber and to reduce the solvent to oil ratio of the process. Preventing solvent from condensing in
10 unproductive areas such as in the internal casing of the well bore, the already extracted zone in the chamber or even parts of the overburden, may also be desirable to reduce operating and capital costs. It may further be desirable to have methods to mitigate the increased solvent demand due to heat loss to unproductive areas and short-circuiting as the chamber grows in
15 size, as with a larger size such effects may become ever more dominant. It may be desirable to allow non-condensable gasses to be removed from the chamber during such a process as well as limiting the demobilization of bitumen in inopportune locations by flashing off the solvent in situ from a mixed draining fluid. The present invention may address some of the issues
20 of the prior processes through careful attention to process parameters and extraction chamber dynamics.

According to the present invention one method by which these problems may be addressed is to, in conjunction with a formed extraction chamber, increase the bottom hole temperature at the injector so that it is
25 above the dew point of the injected solvent, such that the solvent will remain as mostly vapour in the hot zone of the chamber and only reach its bubble point at the extraction surface. The higher temperature of the injected solvent provides sensible heat that is used to vapourize condensed or liquid solvent within the chamber, thus reduces the liquid solvent within the chamber that is

not being productive. This may reduce the solvent volume used in the nsolv® condensing solvent process. What is desired is to do so without creating any in situ barriers for draining fluids or for non-condensable gas removal. In this sense, the present invention may provide a heated zone
5 locally around the injector which extends into the extracted portion of the chamber. A heated zone in this sense means that the temperature of the heated zone is above the condensation temperature of the solvent at the extraction interface, to promote vaporization of any liquid solvent passing through the heated zone.

10 Therefore, according to one aspect the present invention may provide a method of reducing an in situ liquid solvent inventory in a condensing solvent gravity drainage extraction process which includes a pair of generally horizontal wells, including an upper injection well and a lower production well, the method comprising the steps of:

15 growing an extraction chamber around said generally horizontal well pair by injecting a solvent vapour from said upper injection well under conditions which cause at least a portion of said solvent vapour to condense on a hydrocarbon extraction interface at a condensation temperature, which condensation temperature is above naturally
20 occurring formation temperature whereby in situ hydrocarbons are mobilized at said extraction interface through solvent and thermal effects,

accumulating within said extraction chamber condensed liquid solvent which is draining through the chamber under the influence of
25 gravity, which liquid solvent includes a hydrocarbon rich fluid production stream which is proximal to said extraction interface and comprises liquid solvent and mobile hydrocarbons and a hydrocarbon lean production stream which is remote from said extraction interface, and comprises primarily liquid solvent, and

heating an extracted volume of said chamber around said injection well to a temperature above said condensation temperature to permit said hydrocarbon lean liquid solvent stream passing therethrough to re-vapourize, without heating a cooler drainage zone adjacent to said extraction interface or extending to said production well, containing said hydrocarbon rich production stream.

The application of the temperature increase to the extracted volume may be beneficial after the extraction chamber has grown enough that it has reached top of pay when the heat losses to the overburden are much higher than a young chamber. At this time, the draining liquids above the heated zone will be hydrocarbon lean. If the increased injector bottom hole temperature is applied too early or is set too high, when the chamber is too small, the drainage fluids may be affected by the elevated temperature. This may cause the dissolved solvent and non-condensables in the drainage fluids to vapourize from the drainage fluid, rendering the heavy oil in the drainage fluid relatively immobile at certain conditions thereby creating a drainage barrier as was postulated in conjunction with the Thermal Solvent process described above. The present invention comprehends a balance between increasing the heat of the extracted volume and simply increasing the amount of solvent being injected to satisfy the growing demand for solvent in a growing chamber with an expanding extraction surface.

In another aspect, the present invention may limit or not apply an increase in temperature to the extracted volume until there is sufficient extracted volume adjacent to the heated zone to permit mixed fluids (solvent and hydrocarbons being recovered) to drain to the production well without passing through the heated zone and therefore without flashing off the solvent.

The present invention comprehends the bottom hole temperature of the extracted zone may be increased by a variety of methods, for example,

but not limited to:

- Elevating solvent temperature but not pressure (superheated injection)
- Re-injecting a heated, solvent-rich well casing gas
- Adding a bottom hole heater or heat generating device to the injector
- 5 - Co-injecting steam that may preferentially condense near the injection well bore to create a local heat effect.

In some cases, the central process facility may be located a significant distance from the individual well pads, which may lead to appreciable heat losses to superheated solvent or other heated injection fluids before it can reach the injector bottom hole. Therefore, the present invention may also contemplate vapourizing and/or heating the solvent or other heating injection fluids at the well pad, rather than solely at the central process facility to achieve the bottom hole temperature target. Heating at the well pad may provide cost efficiencies for injecting larger volumes of vapour due to superheat. Furthermore, bottom hole heaters may be employed to similar effect.

The present invention may also contemplate various methods as known by those skilled in the art to prevent excessive heat loss from the intermediate sections of the injector. These may include but are not limited to;

- Packing-off the injector intermediate casing from the horizontal section
- Filling the intermediate casing with an insulating media
- Deploying insulated vacuum tubing

25 The present invention may also contemplate using various measurements such as real time monitoring of the temperature contours of the extraction chamber by observation well temperatures, tracking solvent to oil ratio changes, tracking solvent retention changes, well temperature tracking and long term analysis such as seismic analysis. Some or all of

these measurements may be used to assist in establishing an appropriate hot zone adjacent to the injection well.

According to another aspect the present invention may also provide a method of reducing a solvent to oil ratio in a condensing solvent extraction
5 process comprising the steps of:

- establishing an extraction chamber around a horizontal well pair within a pay zone in an underground hydrocarbon bearing formation, the extraction chamber including drainage layers of mixed solvent and hydrocarbon fluids adjacent to an extraction interface;
- 10 supplying heat to an extracted area of said chamber around said injection well to form a hot zone to vapourize at least some liquid solvent within said hot zone, and
- restricting a reach of said supplied heat to prevent said hot zone from extending to said drainage layers.

15

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Reference will now be made by way of example only to preferred
20 embodiments of the invention by reference to the following drawing in which:

Figure 1 shows side view of a horizontal well pair located in a pay zone in an underground formation according to the present invention;

Figure 2 shows the same well pair, but in cross sectional view showing a growing extraction chamber up towards the overburden layer
25 according to the present invention;

Figure 3 shows the same cross section as in Figure 2 with the extraction chamber being even more mature with an area of the extracted reservoir adjacent to the injection well being raised in temperature as a hot zone according to the present invention;

Figure 4 compares the operation of the demonstration plant at two different injector bottom hole temperatures

Figure 5 compares the calculated metrics during the two temperatures of Figure 4;

5 Figure 6 shows the estimated the reservoir temperature profiles after 3.5 years of oil production by a condensing solvent process; and

Figure 7 compares the production results for the three different injector bottom hole temperatures for the duration of the production described in Figure 6.

10

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

As shown in Figure 1, the underground facilities may consist of one or more horizontal well pairs 10 located in a pay zone 12 of an underground formation 14, with the upper well 16 of the well pair being an injector well and
15 the lower well 18 being a production well. A condensing solvent 20 is placed into the formation 14 through the injection well 16. Although many solvents 20 are comprehended by the present invention, butane may be used as an example for the solvent, since it has a reasonable condensing temperature at a reasonable pressure for a shallow, but not untypical pay zone in the Alberta
20 oil sands, such as the MacKay River deposit. Propane, ethane, pentane, H₂S, ammonia, COS and the like may also be suitable solvents in some cases. Any solvent that is compatible with the **nsolv®** process is suitable for this invention as well. Mixed production fluids 22 are brought up from the production well 18 to a surface plant 24, resting on a surface 25 where the
25 bulk of the water and particulates are separated from the oil and solvent. The solvent 20 is then separated from the oil and recovered for re-use and re-injection into the injection well 16 all as generally described by Nenniger. Figure 1 is the start of an extraction process where the nascent chamber 26 essentially consists of an extracted zone immediately surrounding the

horizontal well pair which permits communication therebetween. The top of the pay zone 12 is defined by an overburden layer 28, and the bottom of the pay zone is defined by an under burden layer 29.

Figure 2 is the same underground formation as in Figure 1 but in cross
5 section instead of a side view. Further a certain amount of time has elapsed and the chamber 26 has grown. In Figure 2 the chamber boundary is shown at 30. As will be understood by those skilled in the art the chamber boundary is defined by the extraction interface. Condensation of the solvent 20 on the
10 surface 30 delivers both solvent and thermal effects to the immobile bitumen, thereby mobilizing the same. It can be seen by the arrows 32 that some of the mixed fluids drain down from above to flow past the injection well 16 to get to the production well and some of the mixed fluids drain along the side of the chamber at 34 and do not pass very close to the injection well.

Figure 3 is the same view as Figures 1 and 2, but with the chamber
15 much more well developed. As can be seen, the chamber 39 has expanded up to and along the over burden layer 28 and the swept zone extends above and out to both sides of the injection well. In Figure 3 there are two drainage zones divided by the imaginary demarcation line 38. In the drainage zone 40, there is mostly solvent and very little hydrocarbon, whereas in the
20 drainage zone 42 there is mostly combined solvent and hydrocarbon. Although this is depicted with a line 38, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that local variations are possible and so the boundary between one and the other is not likely to be so sharp, but more like a gradual changing of the gradations of higher concentrations of liquid solvent to lower
25 concentrations of liquid solvent the closer to the extraction surface 30 within the chamber. What can be seen is that solvent rising above the injection well to the overburden layer 28 that contacts the area identified by bracket 50 will have little beneficial effect on further bitumen extraction because substantially all of the bitumen has already been swept out of the zone 40

that it is passing through.

Figure 3 also shows that an area of the extracted zone 40 within the chamber 39 has been heated as shown at 51. This might be heated by super heat, an in-line heater in the injector well or by means of a limited amount of co-injected steam. The present invention comprehends other means to achieve the same local heating effect, such as electrical heaters placed downhole, hot tubes utilizing a circulating heating media, induction or electromagnetic heating, radio-frequency heating, microwave heating or the like. However, because some of these are more expensive at present these may be considered less preferable approaches.

Certain aspects of the present invention may be illustrated by way of example. A demonstration plant for the **nsolv**® extraction process has been operating near Fort McMurray, Alberta, for several years and various qualities have been measured over that time. In this demonstration plant it was found that the solvent inventory in the reservoir at any given degree of extraction could be estimated by a volumetric balance, which is the total solvent make-up injected minus facility losses, and could be reported as a ratio to the volume of product oil. Both daily and cumulative inventory ratios could be tracked. This inventory of solvent may represent a combination of solvent in the drainage layer that has not yet been produced to surface, uncondensed solvent vapour, and solvent that has condensed in the swept zone away from the drainage layer so that it is not extracting oil. Such solvent may be thought of as short circuiting in the chamber. In other cases non-conformities may be present which impede drainage and can cause liquid pools of solvent to collect as the solvent may be unable to migrate to the producer to be brought to surface for re-use.

Another metric that could be tracked at the demonstration plant is the solvent to oil ratio (SvOR), which may be calculated as the volume of injected solvent divided by the volume of produced oil. As with the solvent inventory,

daily and cumulative ratios could be tracked.

A large inventory of solvent in the reservoir is undesirable because it increases the make-up solvent requirements (operating costs) and may require a longer solvent recovery period during blowdown. A large inventory
5 of solvent, particularly due to solvent short-circuiting, contributes to increasing the SvOR. This solvent is condensing away from the solvent-oil interface and in some cases may be unable to be produced to surface. The demonstration plant has found that the change in daily solvent inventory ratio generally follows the change in daily SvOR.

10 Figure 4 compares the operation of the demonstration plant at two different injector bottom hole temperatures to raise the temperature in zone 51 from Figure 3 to two different temperatures for comparison purposes. The horizontal axis (1) represents days of stable, continuous operation, while the vertical axis (2) illustrates the magnitude of each measured metric, which is
15 represented by the various lines on Figure 4. Operating at the first temperature shows data for 58 days, while operating at the second temperature has data for 79 days of stable, continuous operation. With the first temperature, the solvent was injected such that the injector pressure was about 600 kPaa (103) and the average injector bottom hole temperature was
20 approximately constant at 63°C (104), which is just above the bubble point of the solvent at 600 kPaa. During the second campaign, the solvent was injected such that the injector pressure is maintained at 600 kPaa (105), but that the average injector bottom hole temperature was raised to about 83°C (106). The oil production rate during the first (107) and second (108)
25 campaigns are very similar.

Figure 5 compares the calculated metrics while operating at the two different temperatures for a mature chamber of the type shown in Figure 3. The horizontal axis (110) represents days of continuous operation, while the vertical axis (111) illustrates the magnitude of each calculated metric, which

is represented by the various lines on the figure. The daily SvOR at the first temperature (112) shows a general trend upward, while the daily SvOR at the second temperature (113) shows a general trend downward. The daily solvent inventory for the first temperature (114) shows a fair amount of fluctuation, but with a general trend upwards, while at the second temperature (115) shows a steady downward trend of the estimated daily solvent inventory. These trends in solvent hold-up are also illustrated by the comparing the cumulative solvent inventory, line 16 for temperature 1 and line 17 for temperature 2. Figure 5 also compares the energy intensity of the two temperatures, that is, the energy input to pump and heat the injected solvent per volume of oil produced. The energy input for temperature 2 (119) is higher than for 1 temperature (118) initially, but by the end of the runs is almost at the same level. It is believed that if temperature 2 were run for longer, the energy input levels would be approximately the same as temperature 1 because the size and thus heat capacity of the chamber is approximately the same during the two campaigns that were run back to back.

Overall, using temperature conditions 1 as the baseline, the results of the two tests showed that while the produced oil rate was approximately the same and energy applied per volume of oil produced did not increase significantly, the daily solvent inventory decreased by nearly 70%, while the daily SvOR decreased about 10%. Without being restricted to a specific mechanism, the decrease in solvent inventory may be due to the extra sensible heat of the injected solvent, which reduces solvent short-circuiting, and possible vaporization of liquid solvent that previously condensed in the swept region away from the drainage layer, allowing the solvent to now move laterally to the drainage layer where it may condense, drain and be produced to surface. In other words, the extracted zone may include more vapour than without the increased temperature zone and the extraction surface may

include more liquid solvent.

In this example, the injector bottom hole temperature increase from 63°C to 83°C was achieved by superheating the solvent. This temperature increase was by way of example only and is not intended to be limiting. The
5 bottom hole temperature of the extraction zone may be heated between the dew point of the injected solvent up to for example 250 °C, which is a practical operating temperature constraint for some surveillance instrumentation employed in the injector well, although the optimum temperature profile will be determined based on establishing an appropriate
10 reach of the hot zone adjacent to the injection well. The higher the operating temperature the greater the energy required and so the more greenhouse gasses will be produced. Thus, while the higher temperatures noted above are comprehended, lower temperatures are generally preferred. The present invention may deliver reasonable results across a wide range of
15 temperatures depending upon in situ characteristics and the maturity of the extraction chamber. The present invention is also not limited to increasing the injector bottom hole temperature by superheating the injected solvent. The same effect may be achieved using other methods to deliver heat to the extracted portion of the chamber, including downhole electrical resistance
20 heaters as will be understood by those skilled in the art. Downhole heaters may offer the advantage of more uniform heat distribution across the entire length of a horizontal well (and chamber). What matters is that the injector bottom hole temperature is increased such that the SvOR and solvent inventory in the extracted region may decrease, without affecting the oil
25 production rate or significantly increasing the energy consumption.

Vapour short-circuiting from the injector directly to the producer is anticipated and may even be exacerbated by increasing the bottom hole injector temperature, therefore, the present invention may also contemplate various methods to prevent or limit the amount of vapour short-circuiting to

the producer, including, but not limited to:

- Submerging the producer with sufficient liquid solvent by managing pressure drawdown on the producer
- Inflow control devices
- 5 - Outflow control devices
- Blanking-off offending sections of the well identified through well surveillance techniques.

The present invention increases the temperature in the region near the injector well rather than in or near the producer. This permits continued
10 drainage of the drainage layer with concomitant non-condensable gas removal through the production well. This may be illustrated by the following example.

Consider a volume of reservoir in the Athabasca oil sands of Alberta with a porosity of 30% and oil saturation of 80% and native reservoir
15 temperature of 10°C. The sand has the same properties as silica sand, that is a density of 2700 kg/m³ and specific heat of 830 J/kg°C, the oil has a density of 1030 kg/m³ and specific heat of 1023 J/kg°C, and the water has a density of 1000 kg/m³ and specific heat of 4186 J/kg°C. Due to the high volume of sand, the sand fraction accounts for over 75% of the total heat
20 capacity of the reservoir even though the specific heat of oil and water are higher than the sand. This means that over 75% of the heat delivered to the reservoir will be used to raise the temperature of the sand from native reservoir temperature.

Now, consider that the reservoir has an injector well placed some
25 distance below the top of the pay zone, with a producer well placed some distance below the injector, typically between 3 and 5 meters although this can vary. Consider the circumstance of Figure 1 where the reservoir has been heated and possibly injected with a fluid to establish fluid communication between the injector and producer wells in preparation for

condensing solvent EOR such as the **nsolv**® process. After communication is established, a volume of the oil and water between the injector and producer may have been displaced or extracted from the reservoir, as shown at 26, but this is slightly misleading as the formation sand remains in place and thus can continue to absorb heat. In the extracted portion of the chamber, the sand will account for a higher percentage of the total heat capacity in the reservoir because of the removal of the oil and water from around the sand grains. While the temperature driving force between the incoming solvent vapor and sand will decrease as the sand heats up, because of its large mass and the fact that specific heat increases with temperature, the extracted sand pack will remain the largest sink for heat brought into the reservoir by means of, for example, the injector. In other words, the hot zone created around the injection well can be controlled as to size and reach due to the slow heating of the sand grains by the proposed methods since the sand pack is a significant heat sink.

Figure 6 shows one estimate of the reservoir temperature profiles or contours after 3.5 years of oil production by a condensing solvent process such as the **nsolv**® condensing solvent process. The same injector bottom hole pressure has been applied to each profile, but a different injector bottom hole temperature was used from the start of production through the 3.5 year production phase. The temperature profiles have been estimated by 2D energy balance using computational modeling software CMG STARS. On the first profile (120), the injector is indicated by 121 and has a bottom hole temperature of 63°C. The producer (122) is between 38°C to 53°C. On the second profile (130), the injector (131) has a bottom hole temperature of 110°C and the producer (132) is between 38°C to 53°C. On the third profile (140), the injector (141) has a bottom hole temperature of 160°C and the producer (142) is between 53°C to 68°C.

Note that for all three profiles, the temperature increases radially

around the injector but the temperature along the drainage layers 42 (Figure 3) are mostly unaffected. This is because of the large mass of sand in the reservoir represents the majority of the heat load in the reservoir. In the 3rd profile (140), where the injector is at the highest temperature, the region near the producer well (142) may be approaching the dew point of the mixed draining fluids in the drainage layer, therefore it may be necessary to reduce the temperature of the injector for continued operation to avoid affecting the drainage layer production. In other words, the increased temperature in the extracted portion of the chamber cannot be allowed to extend all the way to the mixed draining fluids without running the risks that the problems identified with respect to the CA 2,281,276 will begin to appear.

Figure 7 compares the production results for the three different injector bottom hole temperatures described in Figure 6. The x-axis (150) represents time, while the y-axis represents Cumulative Solvent Inventory (151), Daily Oil Production Rate (152), Injected Power (153) and Injected Solvent Mass Flow Rate (154), which is analogous to SvOR. The heavy dashed lines represent operation with 63°C injector bottom hole temperature (155, 158, 161, 164), the thin dashed lines represent operation with 110C injector bottom hole temperature (156, 159, 162, 165) and the solid lines represent operation with 160C temperature (157, 160, 163, 166). While the oil production rate (158, 159, 160) and injected power (161, 162, 163) is about the same for all three sets of data, the cumulative solvent inventory (155, 156, 157) and mass of injected solvent (164, 165, 166) is lowest for the higher injector bottom hole temperature (157, 166).

Without being restricted by the temperature ranges given in the above examples, it can now be understood that increasing the injector bottom hole temperature such that it does not have a significant effect on the drainage layer temperature, can reduce the solvent inventory and the solvent to oil ratio. The injector bottom hole temperature increase may be applied at a mid-

point in the well life or it even may be applied at the start of production as long as there is a drainage path that is unaffected. The injector bottom hole temperature increase may be achieved by superheating the injected solvent and/ or by a downhole heater or other means of supplying heat. The optimum
5 injector bottom hole temperature set point(s) for a particular reservoir will depend on the reservoir properties and well design, and will be a trade-off between its impact on equipment size and cost, and the effect on the drainage layer. The effect on the drainage layer may be determined by computational modeling during the design phase of the wells and/or may be
10 monitored during operation by observation well readings, the producer temperature readings, and by chamber classification through seismic analysis.

It will now be understood by those skilled in the art that various modifications and alterations can be made to the present invention without
15 departing from the scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims. Some of these have been discussed above. For example, there are a number of ways that the extra heat to create a local hot zone in the extraction chamber to reduce a liquid solvent volume within the chamber can be supplied and the present invention comprehends all such heating methods.
20 Further, while reference has been made to a pair of horizontal wells, the wells could be of any suitable configuration, including one or more horizontal wells, one or more vertical wells or any combination of vertical and horizontal wells.

25

**THE EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION IN WHICH AN EXCLUSIVE
PROPERTY OR PRIVILEGE IS CLAIMED ARE DEFINED AS FOLLOWS:**

1. A method of managing a liquid solvent inventory in a condensing
5 solvent gravity drainage extraction chamber which includes at least
one injection well and at least one production well the method
comprising the steps of:
growing said extraction chamber by injecting a solvent vapour
from said injection well under conditions which cause at least a portion
10 of said solvent vapour to condense on a hydrocarbon extraction
interface at a condensation temperature, which condensation
temperature is above naturally occurring formation temperature
whereby in situ hydrocarbons are mobilized at said extraction interface
through solvent and thermal effects and removed through said
15 production well,
accumulating within said extraction chamber condensed liquid
solvent which is draining through the chamber under the influence of
gravity, which liquid solvent includes a hydrocarbon rich fluid
production layer which is proximal to said extraction interface and
20 comprises liquid solvent and mobile hydrocarbons and a hydrocarbon
lean production layer which is remote from said extraction interface,
and comprises primarily liquid solvent, and
heating a portion of said extraction chamber around said
injection well to create a heated zone having a temperature above
25 said condensation temperature without heating said hydrocarbon rich
production layer to permit said hydrocarbon rich production layer to
continue to drain to said production well.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein said injection well and said production

well are generally horizontal wells with the injection well being located above the production well.

3. A method of reducing a solvent to oil ratio in a condensing solvent extraction process comprising the steps of:

5

establishing an extraction chamber around a horizontal well pair within a pay zone in an underground hydrocarbon bearing formation, the extraction chamber including drainage layers of mixed solvent and hydrocarbon fluids adjacent to an extraction interface;

10

supplying heat to an extracted area of said chamber around said injection well to form a hot zone to vapourize at least some liquid solvent within said heated zone, and

Limiting said supplied heat to prevent said hot zone from extending to said drainage layers.

15

4. The method according to at least one of claims 1 and 3 wherein said hot zone is created by using heat from one or more of an electric heater, hot tubes utilizing a circulating heating media, using super heat of the injected solvent vapour, using co-injection of steam; using a radio-frequency heater; using an induction or electromagnetic heater, or using a microwave heater

20



5. The method according to at least one of claims 1 and 3 wherein said extraction chamber includes one or more observation wells having temperature sensors and the temperature readings are used to manage the amount of heat provided.

25

6. The method according to at least one of claims 1 and 3 wherein the rate of change in a solvent to oil ratio is monitored and used at least in

part to determine how much heat to add.

- 5 7. The method according to at least one of the claims 1 and 3 wherein the change in solvent inventory is monitored and used at least in part to determine how much heat to add.
8. The method according to at least one of claims 1 and 3 wherein the production rate of hydrocarbons is monitored and used, at least in part, to determine how much heat to add.
- 10 9. The method according to any one of claims 1 to 8 wherein said solvent is capable of condensing at a pressure suitable for said underground formation and at a temperature above naturally occurring reservoir temperatures.
- 15 10. The method according to claim 9 wherein said condensation pressure is up to a native reservoir pressure.
- 20 11. The method according to claim 9 wherein said pressure is up to, but below, a fracture pressure for said reservoir.
- 25 12. The method of any one of claims 1 to 9 wherein said solvent is selected from the group comprising: propane, butane, pentane, ethane, H₂S, ammonia, and COS.






Fig 3

Figure 4





Figure 5







FIGURE 6



150

Figure 7

