A method for transforming data from one data schema to another including receiving a source data schema and a target data schema, mapping the source data schema into an ontology model, mapping the target data schema into the ontology model, and deriving a transformation for transforming data conforming to the source data schema into data conforming to the target data schema, using the ontology model. A system is also described and claimed.
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TABLE T1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KEY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE T2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D2</th>
<th>D3</th>
<th>D4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KEY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map ComplexType: Journey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flight</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To Property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>airline(Airline)</td>
<td>arrival(Airport)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>departure(Airport)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>distance in miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>xs:dateTime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>take off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>xs:dateTime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>xs:dateTime</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The airline company name.
### Map ComplexType: Journey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>airline</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arrival</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>departure</td>
<td>Airport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distance in miles</td>
<td>xs:float</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>xs:string</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>take off</td>
<td>xs:date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DERIVING A TRANSFORMATION BY REFERRING SCHEMA TO A CENTRAL MODEL

CROSS REFERENCES TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application is a continuation-in-part of assignee’s pending application U.S. Ser. No. 09/866,101 filed on May 25, 2001, entitled “Method and System for Collaborative Ontology Modeling.”

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0002] The present invention relates to data schema, and in particular to deriving transformations for transforming data from one schema to another.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0003] Ontology is a philosophy of what exists. In computer science ontology is used to model entities of the real world and the relations between them, so as to create common dictionaries for their discussion. Basic concepts of ontology include (i) classes of instances/things, and (ii) relations between the classes, as described hereinbelow.Ontology provides a vocabulary for talking about things that exist.

[0004] Instances/Things

[0005] There are many kinds of “things” in the world. There are physical things like a car, person, boat, screw and transistor. There are other kinds of things which are not physically connected items or not even physical at all, but may nevertheless be defined. A company, for example, is a largely imaginative thing the only physical manifestation of which is its appearance in a list at a registrar of companies. A company may own and employ. It has a defined beginning and end to its life.

[0006] Other things can be more abstract such as the Homo Sapiens species, which is a concept that does not have a beginning and end as such even if its members do.

[0007] Ontological models are used to talk about “things.” An important vocabulary tool is “relations” between things. An ontology model itself does not include the “things,” but introduces class and property symbols which can then be used as a vocabulary for talking about and classifying things.

[0008] Properties

[0009] Properties are specific associations of things with other things. Properties include:

[0010] Relations between things that are part of each other, for example, between a PC and its flat panel screen;

[0011] Relations between things that are related through a process such as the process of creating the things, for example, a book and its author;

[0012] Relations between things and their measures, for example, a thing and its weight.

[0013] Some properties also relate things to fundamental concepts such as natural numbers or strings of characters—for example, the value of a weight in kilograms, or the name of a person.

[0014] Properties play a dual role in ontology. On the one hand, individual things are referenced by way of properties, for example, a person by his name, or a book by its title and author. On the other hand, knowledge being shared is often a property of things, too. A thing can be specified by way of some of its properties, in order to query for the values of other of its properties.

[0015] Classes

[0016] Not all properties are relevant to all things. It is convenient to discuss the source of a property as a “class” of things, also referred to as a frame or, for end-user purposes, as a category. Often sources of several properties coincide, for example, the class Book is the source for both Author and ISBN Number properties.

[0017] There is flexibility in the granularity to which classes are defined. Cars is a class. Fiat Cars can also be a class, with a restricted value of a manufacturer property. It may be unnecessary to address this class, however, since Fiat cars may not have special properties of interest that are not common to other cars. In principle, one can define classes as granular as an individual car unit, although an objective of ontology is to define classes that have important properties.

[0018] Abstract concepts such as measures, as well as media such as a body of water which cannot maintain its identity after coming into contact with other bodies of water, may be modeled as classes with a quantity property mapping them to real numbers.

[0019] In a typical mathematical model, a basic ontology comprises:

[0020] A set C, the elements of which are called “class symbols;”

[0021] For each CεC, a plain language definition of the class C;

[0022] A set P, the elements of which are called “property symbols;”

[0023] For each PεP:

[0024] a plain language definition of P;

[0025] a class symbol called the source of P; and

[0026] a class symbol called the target of P; and

[0027] A binary transitive reflexive anti-symmetric relation, I, called the inheritance relation on CεC.

[0028] In the ensuing discussion, the terms “class” and “class symbol” are used interchangeably, for purposes of convenience and clarity. Similarly, the terms “property” and “property symbol” are also used interchangeably.

[0029] It is apparent to those skilled in the art that if an ontology model is extended to include sets in a class, then a classical mathematical relation on CεD can be considered as a property from C to sets in D.

[0030] If I(C1, C2) then C1 is referred to as a subclass of C2, and C2 is referred to as a superclass of C1. Also, C1 is said to inherit from C2.

[0031] A distinguished universal class “Being” is typically postulated to be a superclass of all classes in C.
Variations on an ontology model may include:

- Restrictions of properties to unary properties, these being the most commonly used properties;
- The ability to specify more about properties, such as multiplicity and invertibility.

The notion of a class symbol is conceptual, in that it describes a generic genus for an entire species such as Books, Cars, Companies and People.

Specific instances of the species within the genus are referred to as "instances" of the class. Thus "Gone with the Wind" is an instance of a class for books, and "IBM" is an instance of a class for companies. Similarly, the notions of a property symbol is conceptual, in that it serves as a template for actual properties that operate on instances of classes.

Class symbols and property symbols are similar to object-oriented classes in computer programming, such as C++ classes. Classes, along with their members and field variables, defined within a header file, serve as templates for specific class instances used by a programmer. A compiler uses header files to allocate memory for, and enables a programmer to use instances of classes. Thus a header file can declare a rectangle class with members left, right, top and bottom. The declarations in the header file do not instantiate actual "rectangle objects," but serve as templates for rectangles instantiated in a program. Similarly, classes of an ontology serve as templates for instances thereof.

There is, however, a distinction between C++ classes and ontology classes. In programming, classes are templates and they are instantiated to create programming objects. In ontology, classes document common structure but the instances exist in the real world and are not created through the class.

Ontology provides a vocabulary for speaking about instances, even before the instances themselves are identified. A class Book is used to say that an instance "is a Book." A property Author allows one to create classes "author of" about an instance. A property Siblings allows one to create statements "are siblings" about instances. Inheritance is used to say, for example, that "every Book is a PublishedWork." Thus all vocabulary appropriate to PublishedWork can be used for Book.

Once an ontology model is available to provide a vocabulary for talking about instances, the instances themselves can be fit into the vocabulary. For each class symbol, C, all instances which satisfy "is a C" are taken to be the set of instances of C, and this set is denoted B(C). Sets of instances are consistent with inheritance, so that B(C₁) ⊆ B(C₂) whenever C₁ is a subclass of C₂. Property symbols with source C₁ and target C₂ correspond to properties with source B(C₁) and target B(C₂). It is noted that if class C₂ inherits from class C₁, then every instance of C₂ is also an instance of C₁, and it is therefore known already at the ontology stage that the vocabulary of C is applicable to C₁.

Ontology enables creation of a model of multiple classes and a graph of properties therebetween. When a class is defined, its properties are described using handles to related classes. These can in turn be used to look up properties of the related classes, and thus properties of properties can be accessed to any depth.

Provision is made for both classes and complex classes. Generally, complex classes are built up from simpler classes using tags for symbols such as intersection, Cartesian product, set, list and bag. The "intersection" tag is followed by a list of classes or complex classes. The "Cartesian product" tag is also followed by a list of classes or complex classes. The set symbol is used for describing a class comprising subsets of a class, and is followed by a single class or complex class. The list symbol is used for describing a class comprising ordered subsets of a class; namely, finite sequences, and is followed by a single class or complex class. The bag symbol is used for describing unordered finite sequences of a class, namely, subsets that can contain repeated elements, and is followed by a single class or complex class. Thus sel[C] describes the class of sets of instances of a class C, lis[t][C] describes the class of lists of instances of class C, and bag[C] describes the class of bags of instances of class C.

In terms of formal mathematics, for a set S, sel[S] is P(S), the power set of S; bag[S] is N, where N is the set of non-negative integers; and lis[t][S] is \[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n. \]

There are natural mappings

\[ \text{lis}[S] \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} \text{bag}[S] \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} \text{set}[S]. \]  

Specifically, for a sequence \((s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n) \in \text{lis}[S]\), \(\phi(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)\) is the element \(\text{bag}[S]\) that is the "frequency histogram" defined by \(\phi(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n) = \{1 \leq i \leq n : s_i \in S\}\); and for \(\text{bag}[S]\), \(\psi(\text{set}[S])\) is the subset of \(S\) given by the support of \(\phi\), namely, \(\text{set}[S] = \{s_{\in S} : f(s) > 0\}\). It is noted that the composite mapping \(\psi \circ \phi\) maps a sequence \((s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)\) into the set of its elements \(\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\}\). For finite sets \(S\), sel[S] is also finite, and bag[S] and lis[t][S] are countably infinite.


Relational database schema (RDBS) are used to define templates for organizing data into tables and fields. SQL queries are used to populate tables from existing tables, generally by using table join operations. Extensible markup language (XML) schema are used to described documents for organizing data into a hierarchy of elements and attributes. XSLT script is used to generate XML documents from existing documents, generally by importing data between tags in the existing documents. XSLT was originally developed in order to generate HTML pages from XML documents.

Often multiple schema exist for the same source of data, and as such the data cannot readily be imported or exported from one application to another. For example, two airline companies may each run applications that process relational databases, but if the relational databases used by the two companies conform to two different schemas, then neither of the companies can readily use the databases of the other company. In order for the companies to share data, it is necessary to export the databases from one schema to another.

There is thus a need for a tool that can transform data conforming with a first schema into data that conforms with a second schema.

**SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention provides a method and system for deriving transformations for transforming data from one schema to another. The present invention describes a general method and system for transforming data conforming with an input, or source data schema, into an output, or target data schema. In a preferred embodiment, the present invention can be used to provide (i) an SQL query, which when applied to relational databases from a source RDBS, populates relational databases in a target RDBS; and (ii) XSLT script which, when applied to documents conforming with a source XML schema, generates documents conforming with a target XML schema.

The present invention preferably uses an ontology model to determine a transformation that accomplishes a desired source to target transformation. Specifically, the present invention employs a common ontology model into which both the source data schema and target data schema can be mapped. By mapping the source and target data schema into a common ontology model, the present invention derives interrelationships among their components, and uses the interrelationships to determine a suitable transformation for transforming data conforming with the source data schema into data conforming with the target data schema.

Given a source RDBS and a target RDBS, in a preferred embodiment of the present invention an appropriate transformation of source to target databases is generated by:

(i) mapping the source and target RDBS into a common ontology model;

(ii) representing table columns of the source and target RDBS in terms of properties of the ontology model;

(iii) deriving expressions for target table columns in terms of source table columns; and

(iv) converting the expressions into one or more SQL queries.

Although the source and target RDBS are mapped into a common ontology model, the derived transformations of the present invention go directly from source RDBS to target RDBS without having to transform data via an ontological format. In distinction, prior art Universal Data Model approaches transform via a neutral model or common business objects.

The present invention applies to N relational database schema, where N≥2. Using the present invention, by mapping the RDBS into a common ontology model, data can be moved from any one of the RDBS to any other one. In distinction to prior art approaches that require on the order of N mappings, the present invention requires at most N mappings.

For enterprise applications, SQL queries generated by the present invention are preferably deployed within an Enterprise Application Integration infrastructure. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that transformation languages other than SQL that are used by enterprise application infrastructures can be generated using the present invention. For example, IBM's ESQIL language can similarly be derived for deployment on their WebSphere MQ family of products.

Given a source XML schema and a target XML schema, in a preferred embodiment of the present invention an appropriate transformation of source to target XML documents is generated by:

(i) mapping the source and target XML schema into a common ontology model;

(ii) representing elements and attributes of the source and target XML schema in terms of properties of the ontology model;

(iii) deriving expressions for target XML elements and XML attributes in terms of source XML elements and XML attributes; and

(iv) converting the expressions into an XSLT script.

There is thus provided in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention a method for deriving transformations for transforming data from one data schema to another, including receiving a source data schema and a target data schema, mapping the source data schema into an ontology model, mapping the target data schema into the ontology model, and deriving a transformation for transforming data conforming to the source data schema into data conforming to the target data schema, using the ontology model.

There is further provided in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention a system for deriving transformations for transforming data from one data schema to another, including a schema receiver receiving a source data schema and a target data schema, a mapping processor mapping a data schema into an ontology model, and a transformation processor deriving a transformation for transforming data conforming to the source data schema into data conforming to the target data schema, based on respective source and target mappings generated by said mapping processor for mapping said source data schema and said target data schema into a common ontology model.

There is yet further provided in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention a method for building an ontology model into which data schema can be embedded, including receiving at least one data schema, and building an ontology model into which the at least one data schema can be embedded.
There is additionally provided in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention a system for building an ontology model into which data schema can be embedded, including a schema receiver receiving at least one data schema, and a model builder building an ontology model into which the at least one data schema can be embedded.

There is moreover provided in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention an article of manufacture including one or more computer-readable media that embody a program of instructions for transforming data from one schema to another, wherein the program of instructions, when executed by a processing system, causes the processing system to receive a source data schema and a target data schema, map the source data schema into an ontology model, map the target data schema into the ontology model, and derive a transformation for transforming data conforming to the source data schema into data conforming to the target relational database schema, using the ontology model.

There is further provided in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention an article of manufacture including one or more computer-readable media that embody a program of instructions for building a common ontology model into which data schema can be embedded, wherein the program of instructions, when executed by a processing system, causes the processing system to receive at least one data schema, and build an ontology model into which the at least one data schema can be embedded.

FIG. 8 is a simplified illustration of use of a preferred embodiment of the present invention to deploy XSLT scripts within an EAI product such as Tibco.

FIGS. 9A-9E are illustrations of a user interface for a software application that transforms data from one relational database schema to another, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 10 is an illustration of a user interface for an application that imports an RDBS into the software application illustrated in FIGS. 8A-8E, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIGS. 11A-11R are illustrations of a user interface for a software application that transforms data from one XML schema to another, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 12 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a first example;

FIG. 13 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a second example;

FIG. 14 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a third example;

FIG. 15 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a fourth example;

FIG. 16 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a fifth and sixth example;

FIG. 17 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a seventh example;

FIG. 18 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to an eighth example

FIG. 19 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a ninth example

FIG. 20 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a tenth example

FIG. 21 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to an eleventh example

FIG. 22 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a twelfth and seventeenth example

FIG. 23 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a thirteenth example

FIG. 24 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a fourteenth example

FIG. 25 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a twenty-second example;

FIG. 26 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a twenty-third example.

THE PRESENT INVENTION PROVIDES, IN ONE OR MORE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS, SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR BUILDING AN ONTOLOGY MODEL INTO WHICH DATA SCHEMA CAN BE EMBEDDED, INCLUDING A SCHEMA RECEIVER RECEIVING AT LEAST ONE DATA SCHEMA, AND A MODEL BUILDER BUILDING AN ONTOLOGY MODEL INTO WHICH THE AT LEAST ONE DATA SCHEMA CAN BE EMBEDDED.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a simplified flowchart of a method for deriving transformations for transforming data from one schema to another, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a simplified block diagram of a system for deriving transformations for transforming data from one schema to another, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 3 is a simplified flowchart of a method for building a common ontology model into which one or more data schema can be embedded, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 4 is a simplified block diagram of a system for building a common ontology model into which one or more data schema can be embedded, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 5 is a simplified illustration of a mapping from an RDBS into an ontology model, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 6 is a second simplified illustration of a mapping from an RDBS into an ontology model, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 7 is a simplified illustration of relational database transformations involving constraints and joins, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 8 is a simplified illustration of use of a preferred embodiment of the present invention to deploy XSLT scripts within an EAI product such as Tibco;

FIGS. 9A-9E are illustrations of a user interface for a software application that transforms data from one relational database schema to another, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 10 is an illustration of a user interface for an application that imports an RDBS into the software application illustrated in FIGS. 8A-8E, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIGS. 11A-11R are illustrations of a user interface for a software application that transforms data from one XML schema to another, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 12 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a first example;

FIG. 13 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a second example;

FIG. 14 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a third example;

FIG. 15 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a fourth example;

FIG. 16 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a fifth and sixth example;

FIG. 17 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a seventh example;

FIG. 18 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to an eighth example

FIG. 19 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a ninth example

FIG. 20 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a tenth example

FIG. 21 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to an eleventh example;

FIG. 22 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a twelfth and seventeenth example

FIG. 23 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a thirteenth example

FIG. 24 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a fourteenth example

FIG. 25 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a twenty-second example; and

FIG. 26 is an illustration of ontology model corresponding to a twenty-third example.

Detailed Description of a Preferred Embodiment

The present invention concerns deriving transformations for transforming data conforming to one data schema to data conforming to another data schema. Preferred embodiments of the invention are described herein with respect to table-based data schema, such as RDBS and document-based schema, such as XML schema.
Reference is now made to FIG. 1, which is a simplified flowchart of a method for deriving transformations for transforming data from one schema to another, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. The flowchart begins at step 110. At step 120, a source data schema and a target data schema are imported. These data schema describe templates for storing data, such as templates for tables and table columns, and templates for structured documents. If necessary, the source data schema and/or the target data schema may be converted from a standard format to an internal format. For example, they may be converted from Oracle format to an internal format.

At steps 130-160, a common ontology model is obtained, into which the source data schema and the target data schema can both be embedded. At step 130, a determination is made as to whether or not an initial ontology model is to be imported. If not, logic passes directly to step 160. Otherwise, at step 140, an initial ontology model is imported. If necessary, the initial ontology model may be converted from a standard format, such as one of the formats mentioned hereinabove in the Background, to an internal format.

At step 150, a determination is made as to whether or not the initial ontology model is suitable for embedding both the source and target data schema. If so, logic passes directly to step 170. Otherwise, at step 160, a common ontology model is built. If an initial ontology model was exported, then the common ontology is preferably build by editing the initial ontology model; specifically, by adding classes and properties thereto. Otherwise, the common ontology model is built from scratch. It may be appreciated that the common ontology model may be built automatically with or without user assistance.

At step 170, the source and target data schema are mapped into the common ontology model, and mappings therefor are generated. At step 180, a transformation is derived for transforming data conforming with the source data schema into data conforming with the target data schema, based on the mappings derived at step 170. Finally, the flowchart terminates at step 190.

Reference is now made to FIG. 2, which is a simplified block diagram of a method for deriving transformations for transforming data from one schema to another, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Shown in FIG. 2 is a schema receiver 210 for importing a source data schema and a target data schema. These data schema describe templates for storing data, such as templates for tables and table columns, and templates for structured documents. If necessary, schema receiver 210 converts the source and target data schema from an external format to an internal format.

Also shown in FIG. 2 is an ontology receiver/builder 220 for obtaining a common ontology model, into which the source data schema and the target data schema can both be embedded. The operation of ontology receiver/builder 220 is described hereinabove in steps 130-160 of FIG. 1.

The source and target data schema, and the common ontology model are used by a mapping processor 230 to generate respective source and target mappings, for mapping the source data schema into the common model and for mapping the target data schema into the common ontology model. In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, mapping processor 230 includes a class identifier 240 for identifying ontology classes with corresponding to components of the source and target data schema, and a property identifier 250 for identifying ontology properties corresponding to other components of the source and target data schema, as described in detail hereinbelow.

Preferably, the source and target mappings generated by mapping processor, and the imported source and target data schema are used by a transformation generator 260 to derive a source-to-target transformation, for transforming data conforming to the source data schema into data conforming to the target data schema.

Reference is now made to FIG. 3, which is a simplified flowchart of a method for building a common ontology model into which one or more data schema can be embedded, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. The flowchart begins at step 310. Steps 120, 140 and 160 are similar to these same steps in FIG. 1, as described hereinabove. Finally, the flowchart terminates at step 320.

Reference is now made to FIG. 4, which is a simplified block diagram of a system 400 for building a common ontology model into which one or more data schema can be embedded, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Shown in FIG. 4 is a schema receiver 210 from FIG. 2 for importing data schema. Also shown in FIG. 4 is an ontology receiver 420, for importing an initial ontology model. If necessary, ontology receiver 420 converts the initial ontology model from an external format to an internal format.

The initial ontology model and the imported data schema are used by an ontology builder 430 for generating a common ontology model, into which the imported data schema can all be embedded. In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, ontology builder 430 generates the common ontology model by editing the initial ontology model; specifically, by using a class builder 440 to add classes thereto based on components of the imported data schema, and by using a property builder 450 to add properties thereto based on other components of the imported data schema.

Applications of the present invention include inter alia:

- integrating between two or more applications that need to share data;
- transmitting data from a database schema across a supply chain to a supplier or customer using a different database schema;
- moving data from two or more databases with different schemas into a common database, in order that queries may be performed across the two or more databases;
- loading a data warehouse database for off-line analysis of data from multiple databases;
- synchronizing two databases;
- migrating data when a database schema is updated;
moving data from an old database or database application to a replacement database or database application, respectively.

Relational Database Schema

Relational database schema (RDBS), also referred to as table definitions or, in some instances, metadata, are used to define templates for organizing data into tables and table columns, also referred to as fields. Often multiple schema exist for the same source of data, and as such the data cannot readily be imported or exported from one application to another. The present invention describes a general method and system for transforming an input, or source relational database schema into an output, or target schema. In a preferred embodiment, the present invention can be used to provide an SQL query, which when applied to a relational database from the source schema, produces a relational database in the target schema.

As described in detail hereinbelow, the present invention preferably uses an ontology model to determine an SQL query that accomplishes a desired source to target transformation. Specifically, the present invention employs a common ontology model into which both the source RDBS and target RDBS can be mapped. By mapping the source and target RDBS into a common ontology model, the present invention derives interrelationships among their tables and fields, and uses the interrelationships to determine a suitable SQL query for transforming databases conforming with the source RDBS into databases conforming with the target RDBS.

The present invention can also be used to derive executable code that transforms source relational databases into the target relational databases. In a preferred embodiment, the present invention creates a Java program that executes the SQL query using the JDBC (Java Database Connectivity) library. In an alternative embodiment the Java program manipulates the databases directly, without use of an SQL query.

For enterprise applications, SQL queries generated by the present invention are preferably deployed within an Enterprise Application Integration infrastructure.

Although the source and target RDBS are mapped into a common ontology model, the derived transformations of the present invention go directly from source RDBS to target RDBS without having to transform data via an ontological format. In distinction, prior art Universal Data Model approaches transform via a neutral model.

The present invention applies to N relational database schema, where N≠2. Using the present invention, by mapping the RDBS into a common ontology model, data can be moved from any one of the RDBS to any other one. In distinction to prior art approaches that require on the order of N² mappings, the present invention requires at most N mappings.

A “mapping” from an RDBS into an ontology model is defined as:

(i) an association of each table from the RDBS with a class in the ontology model, in such a way that rows of the table correspond to instances of the class; and

(ii) for each given table from the RDBS, an association of each column of the table with a property or a composition of properties in the ontology model, the source of which is the class corresponding to the given table and the target of which has a data type that is compatible with the data type of the column.

A mapping from an RDBS into an ontology model need not be surjective. That is, there may be classes and properties in the ontology that do not correspond to tables and columns, respectively, in the RDBS. A mapping is useful in providing a graph representation of an RDBS.

In general, although a mapping from an RDBS into an ontology model may exist, the nomenclature used in the RDBS may differ entirely from that used in the ontology model. Part of the utility of the mapping is being able to translate between RDBS language and ontology language. It may be appreciated by those skilled in the art, that in addition to translating between RDBS table/column language and ontology class/property language, a mapping is also useful in translating between queries from an ontology query language and queries from an RDBS language such as SQL (standard query language).

Reference is now made to FIG. 5, which is a first simplified illustration of a mapping from an RDBS into an ontology model, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Shown in FIG. 5 is a table 500, denoted T1, having four columns denoted C1, C2, C3 and C4. Also shown in FIG. 1 is an ontology model 550 having a class denoted K1 and properties P1, P2, P3 and P4 defined on class T1. The labeling indicates a mapping from table T1 into class K1, and from columns C1, C2, C3 and C4 into respective properties P1, P2, P3 and P4.

Reference is now made to FIG. 6, which is a second simplified illustration of a mapping from an RDBS into an ontology model, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Shown in FIG. 6 are table T1 from FIG. 5, and a second table 600, denoted T2, having four columns denoted D1, D2, D3 and D4. Column C1 of table T1 is a key; i.e., each entry for column C1 is unique, and can be used as an identifier for the row in which it is situated. Column D3 of table T2 refers to table T1, by use of the key from column C1. That is, each entry of column D3 refers to a row within table T1, and specifies such row by use of the key from C1 for the row.

Also shown in FIG. 6 is an ontology model 650 having two classes, denoted K1 and K2. Class K1 has properties P1, P2, P3 and P4 defined thereon, and class K2 has properties Q1, Q2, Q4 and S defined thereon. Property S has as its source class K1 and as its target class K2. The labeling indicates a mapping from table T1 into class K1, and from columns C1, C2, C3 and C4 into respective properties P1, P2, P3 and P4. The fact that C1 serves as a key corresponds to property P1 being one-to-one, so that no two distinct instances of class K1 have the same values for property P1.

The labeling also indicates a mapping from table T2 into class K2, and from columns D1, D2 and D4 into respective properties Q1, Q2 and Q4. Column D3 corresponds to a composite property P1 o S, where o denotes function composition. In other words, column D3 corresponds to property P1 of S(K2).
[0135] The targets of properties P1, P2, P3, P4, Q1, Q2 and Q4 are not shown in FIG. 6, since these properties preferably map into fundamental types corresponding to the data types of the corresponding columns entries. For example, the target of P1 may be an integer, the target of P2 may be a floating point number, and the target of P3 may be a character string. Classes for such fundamental types are not shown in order to focus on more essential parts of ontology model 650.

[0136] Classes K1 and K2, and property S are indicated with dotted lines in ontology model 650. These parts of the ontology are transparent to the RDBS underlying tables T1 and T2. They represent additional structure present in the ontology model which is not directly present in the RDBS.

[0137] Given a source RDBS and a target RDBS, in a preferred embodiment of the present invention an appropriate transformation of source to target RDBS is generated by:

(i) mapping the source and target RDBS into a common ontology model;

(ii) representing fields of the source and target RDBS in terms of properties of the ontology model, using symbols for properties;

(iii) deriving expressions for target symbols in terms of source symbols; and

(iv) converting the expressions into one or more SQL queries.

[0142] Reference is now made to FIG. 7, which is a simplified illustration of relational database transformations involving constraints and joins, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

[0143] XML Schema

[0144] As described in detail hereinbelow, the present invention preferably uses an ontology model to determine an XSLT transformation that accomplishes a desired source to target transformation. Specifically, the present invention employs a common ontology model into which both the source XML schema and target XML schema can be mapped. By mapping the source and target XML schema into a common ontology model, the present invention derives interrelationships among their elements and attributes, and uses the interrelationships to determine suitable XSLT script for transforming documents generating documents conforming with the target XML schema from documents conforming with the source XML schema.

[0145] The present invention can also be used to derive executable code that transforms source XML documents into the target XML documents. In a preferred embodiment, the present invention packages the derived XSLT script with a Java XSLT engine to provide an executable piece of Java code that can execute the transformation.

[0146] Preferably, this is used to deploy XSLT's within an EAI product such as Tibco. Specifically, in a preferred embodiment of the present invention, a function (similar to a plug-in) is installed in a Tibco MessageBroker, which uses the Xalan XSLT engine to run XSLT scripts that are presented in text form. As an optimization, the XSLT script files are preferably compiled to Java classfiles.

[0147] Reference is now made to FIG. 8, which is a simplified illustration of use of a preferred embodiment of the present invention to deploy XSLT scripts within an EAI product such as Tibco.

[0148] User Interface

[0149] Applicant has developed a software application, named COHERENCE™, which implements a preferred embodiment of the present invention to transform data from one schema to another. Coherence enables a user

(i) to import source and target RDBS;

(ii) to build an ontology model into which both the source and target RDBS can be mapped;

(iii) to map the source and target RDBS into the ontology model; and

(iv) to impose constraints on properties of the ontology model.

[0154] Once the mappings are defined, Coherence generates an SQL query to transform the source RDBS into the target RDBS.

[0155] Reference is now made to FIGS. 9A-9E, which are illustrations of a user interface for transforming data from one relational database schema to another using the Coherence software application, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Shown in FIG. 9A is a main Coherence window 905 with a left pane 910 and a right pane 915. Window 905 includes three primary tabs 920, 925 and 930, labeled Authoring, Mapping and Transformations, respectively. Authoring tab 920 is invoked in order to display information about the ontology model, and to modify the model by adding, deleting and editing classes and properties. Mapping tab 925 is invoked in order to display information about the RDBS and the mappings of the RDBS into the ontology, and to edit the mappings. Transformations tab 930 is invoked to display transformations in the form of SQL queries, from a source RDBS into a target RDBS. In FIG. 9A, tab 920 for Authoring is shown selected.

[0156] Left pane 910 includes icons for two modes of viewing an ontology: icon 935 for viewing in inheritance tree display mode, and icon 940 for viewing in package display mode.

[0157] Inheritance tree display mode shows the classes of the ontology in a hierarchical fashion corresponding to superclass and subclass relationships. As illustrated in FIG. 9A, in addition to the fundamental classes for Date, Number, Ratio, String and NamedElement, there is a class for City. Corresponding to the class selected in left pane 910, right pane 915 displays information about the selected class. Right pane 915 includes six tabs for class information display: tab 945 for General, tab 950 for Properties, tab 955 for Subclasses, tab 960 for Enumerated Values, tab 965 for Relations and tab 970 for XML schema. Shown in FIG. 9A is a display under tab 945 for General. The display includes the name of the class, Being, and the package to which it belongs; namely, fundamental. Also shown in the display is a list of immediate superclasses, which is an empty list for class Being. Also shown in the display is a textual description of the class; namely, that Being is a root class for all classes.
Tab 960 for Enumerated Values applies to classes with named elements, i.e., classes that include a list of all possible instances. For example, a class Boolean has enumerated values “True” and “False,” and a class Gender may have enumerated values “Male” and “Female.”

FIG. 9B illustrates package display mode for the ontology. Packages are groups including one or more ontology concepts, such as classes, and properties. Packages are used to organize information about an ontology into various groupings. As illustrated in FIG. 9B, there is a fundamental package that includes fundamental classes, such as Being, Boolean, Date and Integer. Also shown in FIG. 9B is a package named WeatherFahrenheit, which includes a class named City.

As shown in FIG. 9B, City is selected in left pane 910 and, correspondingly, right pane 915 displays information about the class City. Right pane 915 displays information under Tab 950 for Properties. As can be seen, class City belongs to the package WeatherFahrenheit, and has four properties; namely, Celsius of type RealNumber, city of type String, Fahrenheit of type RealNumber and year of type RealNumber. FIG. 9B indicates that the property Celsius satisfies a constraint. Specifically, Celsius=5*(Fahrenheit-32)/9.

In FIG. 9C, the tab 925 for Mapping is shown selected. As shown in the left pane of FIG. 9C, two RDBS have been imported into Coherence. A first RDBS named WeatherCelsius, which includes a table named Towns, and a second RDBS named WeatherFahrenheit, which includes a table named Cities.

The table named Cities is shown selected in FIG. 9C, and correspondingly the right pane display information regarding the mapping of Cities into the ontology. As can be seen, the table Cities contains three fields, namely, Fahrenheit, city and year. The table Cities has been mapped into the ontology class City, the field Fahrenheit has been mapped into the ontology property Fahrenheit, the field city has been mapped into the ontology property name, and the field year has been mapped into the ontology property year. The RDBS WeatherFahrenheit will be designated as the source RDBS.

When tab 925 for Mapping is selected, the right pane includes three tabs for displaying information about the RDBS: tab 975 for Map Info, tab 980 for Table Info and tab 985 for Foreign Keys.

The RDBS named WeatherCelsius is displayed in FIG. 9D. As can be seen, the table Towns contains three fields; namely, town, Celcius and year. The table Towns has been mapped into the ontology class City, the field town has been mapped into the ontology property name, the field Celcius has been mapped into the ontology property Celcius, and the field year had been mapped into the ontology property year. The RDBS WeatherCelcius will be designated as the target RDBS.

As such, the target RDBS is TABLE I and the source RDBS is TABLE II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Celcius</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

As can be seen in the right pane, the source table is Cities and the target table is Towns. The SQL query accomplishes the desired transformation.

Reference is now made to FIG. 10, which is an illustration of a user interface for an application that imports an RDBS into Coherence, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Shown in FIG. 10 is a window 1010 for a schema convertor application. Preferably, a user specifies the following fields:

- **Database Name 1020**: What Oracle refers to as an SID (System Identifier).
- **Host Name 1030**: The name of an Oracle 8i server (or Global Database Name).
- **Port 1040**: Port number
- **Username 1050**: The username of a user with privileges to the relevant schemas.
- **Password 1060**: The password of the user with privileges to the relevant schemas.
- **Oracle schema 1070**: The schema or database in Oracle to be converted to .SML format. The .SML format is an internal RDBS format used by Coherence. When importing more than one schema, a semicolon (;) is placed between schema names.
- **Coherence schema 2080**: The label identifying the RDBS that is displayed on the Mapping Tab in Coherence. This field is optional; if left blank, the Oracle schema name will be used.
- **Output File 1090**: A name for the .SML file generated.

Reference is now made to FIGS. 11A-11R, which are illustrations of a for transforming data from one XML schema to another using the Coherence software application, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Shown in FIG. 11A is a window with package view of an Airline Integration ontology model in its left lane. The left pane displays classes from a fundamental package. A class Date is shown highlighted, and its properties are shown in the right pane. Fundamental packages are used for standard data types. Shown in FIG. 11B is a window with a hierarchical view of the Airline Integration ontology model.
in its left pane. The left pane indicates that FrequentFlyer is a subclass of Passenger, Passenger is a subclass of Person, and Person is a subclass of Being. The right pane displays general information about the class FrequentFlyer.

[0179] FIG. 11C shows a window used for opening an existing ontology model. In the Coherence software application, ontology models are described using XML and stored in .owl files. Such files are described in applicant's co-pending patent application U.S. Ser. No. 09/866,101 filed on May 25, 2001 and entitled METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR COLLABORATIVE ONTOLOGY MODELING, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

[0180] FIG. 11D shows the hierarchical view from FIG. 11B, indicating properties of the FrequentFlyer class. The property fullName is highlighted, and a window for constraint information indicates that there is a relationship among the ontology properties firstName, lastName and fullName; namely, that fullName is the concatenation of firstName and lastName with a white space therebetween. This relationship is denoted as Constraint_5.

[0181] FIG. 11E shows the hierarchical view from FIG. 11B, indicating test instance of the Passenger class. A list of instances is displayed in the right pane, along with property values for a specific selected instance from the list.

[0182] FIG. 11F shows two imported XML schema for airline information. FIG. 11G shows a window for importing XML schema into Coherence. FIG. 11H shows a window with a display of an imported XML schema for British Airways, with a list of complexTypes from the imported schema. The complexType Journey is selected, and the right pane indicates that Journey and its elements are currently not mapped to a class and properties of the ontology model.

[0183] FIG. 11I shows a window for generating a mapping from the British Airways XML schema into the Airline Integration ontology model. The ontology class Flight is shown selected to correspond to the XML complexType Journey. FIG. 11J shows the left pane from FIG. 11H, with the right pane now indicating that the XML complexType Flight from the British Airways XML schema has been mapped to the class Flight from the Airline Integration ontology model. FIG. 11K shows the left pane from FIG. 11J, with a window for selecting properties and indirect properties (i.e., compositions of properties) to correspond to elements from the XML schema. Shown selected in FIG. 11K is a property distanceInMiles() of the class Flight. FIG. 11L shows the left pane from FIG. 11J, with the right pane now indicating that Journey has been mapped to Flight, and the XML element distance_in_miles within the complexType Journey has been mapped to the property distanceInMiles() of the class Flight. FIG. 11M shows the left pane from FIG. 11J, with the right pane now indicating that the mapping has been extended to all XML elements of the complexType Journey, showing the respective properties to which each element is mapped. FIG. 11N shows schema info for the complexType Journey, listing its elements and their data types.

[0184] FIG. 11O shows a window for specifying a transformation to be derived. Shown in FIG. 10 is a request to derive a transformation from a source data schema, namely, the imported SwissAir XML schema to the target data schema, namely, the imported British Airways XML schema. Shown in FIG. 11P is an XSLT script generated to transform XML documents conforming to the SwissAir schema to XML documents conforming to the British Airways schema. FIG. 11Q shows a specific transformation of a SwissAir XML document to a British Airways XML document, obtained by applying the derived XSLT script from FIG. 11P. Finally, FIG. 11R shows a display of the newly generated British Airways XML document with specific flights and passengers.

EXAMPLES

[0185] For purposes of clarity and exposition, the workings of the present invention are described first through a series of twenty-three examples, followed by a general description of implementation. Two series of examples are presented. The first series, comprising the first eleven examples, relates to RDBS transformations. For each of these examples, a source RDBS and target RDBS are presented as input, along with mappings of these schema into a common ontology model. The output is an appropriate SQL query that transforms database tables that conform to the source RDBS, into database tables that conform to the target RDBS. Each example steps through derivation of source and target symbols, expression of target symbols in terms of source symbols and derivation of an appropriate SQL query based on the expressions.

[0186] The second series of examples, comprising the last twelve examples, relates to XSLT transformation. For each of these examples, a source XML schema and target XML schema are presented as input, along with mappings of these schema into a common ontology model. The output is an appropriate XSLT script that transforms XML documents that conform to the source schema into XML documents that conform to the target schema.

A First Example

Schoolchildren

[0187] In a first example, a target table is of the following form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Table T for First Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child_Name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[0188] Four source tables are given as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Table S1 for First Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Table T for First Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child_Name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Table S1 for First Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The underlying ontology is illustrated in **FIG. 12**. The dotted portions of the ontology in **FIG. 12** show additional ontology structure that is transparent to the relational database schema. Using the numbering of properties indicated in **FIG. 12**, the unique properties of the ontology are identified as:

**TABLE VIII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Index</th>
<th>Property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>name(Child)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>national_insurance_number(Person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>name(School)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the target schema into the ontology is as follows:

**TABLE IX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T;Child_Name</td>
<td>Class: Child</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T;Mother_Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Child)</td>
<td>3×5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T;School_Location</td>
<td>Property: location(school_attending(Child))</td>
<td>12;9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T;Form</td>
<td>Property: current_school_form(Child)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The symbol $o$ is used to indicate composition of properties. The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is as follows:

**TABLE X**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_1$</td>
<td>Class: Child</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_1$.Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Child)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_1$.School_A_1eading</td>
<td>Property: school_attending(Child)</td>
<td>10;60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_1$.Mother_NI_Number</td>
<td>Property: national_insurance_number(mother(Child))</td>
<td>4×5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$.NI_Number</td>
<td>Class: Person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$.Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Person)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$.Region</td>
<td>Property: region_of_residence(Person)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$.Car_Number</td>
<td>Property: car_registration_number(Person)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_3$</td>
<td>Class: School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_3$.Name</td>
<td>Property: name(School)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_3$.Location</td>
<td>Property: location(School)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_3$.HeadTeacher</td>
<td>Property: headteacher(School)</td>
<td>3×11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_4$</td>
<td>Class: Child</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_4$.Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Child)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_4$.Year</td>
<td>Property: year_of_schooling(Child)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_4$.Form</td>
<td>Property: current_school_form(Child)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The indices of the source properties are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Table</th>
<th>Source Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_1$</td>
<td>$10906^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$4506^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$</td>
<td>$304^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$104^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_3$</td>
<td>$12010^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_4$</td>
<td>$76^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$806^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The symbols in Table XI relate fields of a source table to a key field. Thus in table $S$, the first field, $S$.Name is a key field. The second field, $S$.School_Attending is related to the first field by the composition $10906^{-1}$, and the third field, $S$.Mother_NL_Number is related to the first field by the composition $4506^{-3}$. In general, if a table contains more than one key field, then expressions relative to each of the key fields are listed.

The inverse notation, such as $6^{-1}$ is used to indicate the inverse of property 6. This is well defined since property 6 is a unique, or one-to-one, property in the ontology model. The indices of the target properties, keyed on Child_Name are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Table</th>
<th>Target Symbols</th>
<th>Paths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>30506$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120906$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>806$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the paths given in Table XII, the desired SQL query is:

```
INSERT INTO T(Child_Name, Mother_Name, School_Location, Form) 
(SELECT S.Name AS Child_Name, 
S.Name AS Mother_Name, 
S.Location AS School_Location, 
S.Form AS Form 
FROM 
S S1 S2 S3 S4 
WHERE 
S.NL_Number = S.Mother_NL_Number AND 
S.Name = S.School_Attending AND 
S.Name = S.Name); 
```

The rules provided with the examples relate to the stage of converting expressions of target symbols in terms of source symbols, into SQL queries. In general,

Rule 1: When a target symbol is represented using a source symbols, say $(aob^{-1})$ from a source table, $S$, then the column of $S$ mapping to $a$ is used in the SELECT clause of the SQL query and the column of $S$ mapping to $b$ is used in the WHERE clause.

Rule 2: When a target symbol is represented as a composition of source symbols, say $(aob^{-1}) o (boc^{-1})$, where $aob^{-1}$ is taken from a first source table, say $S_1$, and $boc^{-1}$ is taken from a second source table, say $S_2$, then $S_1$ and $S_2$ must be joined in the SQL query by the respective columns mapping to $b$.

Rule 3: When a target symbol is represented using a source symbols, say $(aob^{-1})$, from a source table, $S$, and is not composed with another source symbol of the form $boc^{-1}$, then table $S$ must be joined to the target table through the column mapping to $b$.

When applied to the following sample source data, Tables XIII, XIV and XVI, the above SQL query produces the target data in Table XVII.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>School_Attending</th>
<th>Mother_NL_Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Ashton</td>
<td>Chelsea Secondary School</td>
<td>123456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Brown</td>
<td>Warwick School for Boys</td>
<td>673986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian Butler</td>
<td>Warwick School for Boys</td>
<td>234978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Davies</td>
<td>Manchester Grammar School</td>
<td>853076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Douglas</td>
<td>Westfields Secondary School</td>
<td>862085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Harrison</td>
<td>Camden School for Girls</td>
<td>275398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Howard</td>
<td>Camden School for Girls</td>
<td>456398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rules provided with the examples relate to the stage of converting expressions of target symbols in terms of source symbols, into SQL queries. In general,
TABLE XV

Sample Source Table $S_2$ for First Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Head/Teacher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manchester Grammar School</td>
<td>Manchester</td>
<td>M. Payne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camden School for Girls</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>J. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weynfields Secondary School</td>
<td>Cambridgeshire</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chelsea Secondary School</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>I. Heath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warwick School for Boys</td>
<td>Warwickshire</td>
<td>NULL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE XVI

Sample Source Table $S_2$ for First Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter Brown</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lower Fourth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Ashton</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mid Fifth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Davies</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lower Two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Harrison</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Three</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Kelly</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg McCarthy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Upper Two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tina Reynolds</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Upper Fourth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE XVII

Sample Target Table $T$ for First Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child Name</th>
<th>Mother Name</th>
<th>School Location</th>
<th>Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Ashton</td>
<td>Linda</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>Mid Fifth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Brown</td>
<td>Amanda</td>
<td>Warwickshire</td>
<td>Lower Fourth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Davies</td>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>Manchester</td>
<td>Lower Two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Harrison</td>
<td>Elizabeth</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>Three</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Second Example

Employees

In a second example, a target table is of the following form:

TABLE XVIII

Target Table $T$ for Second Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Supervisor</th>
<th>Room#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Four source tables are given as follows:

TABLE XIX

Source Table $S_2$ for Second Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emp._ID#</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The underlying ontology is illustrated in FIG. 13. The dotted portions of the ontology in FIG. 13 are additional ontology structure that is transparent to the relational database schema. The unique properties of the ontology are:

TABLE XXIII

Unique Properties within Ontology for Second Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>name(Employee)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID#(Employee)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the target schema into the ontology is as follows:

TABLE XXIV

Mapping from Target Schema to Ontology for Second Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Class: Employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Employee)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Department</td>
<td>Property:</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>code(departmental_affiliation(Employee))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Supervisor</td>
<td>Property:</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Room#</td>
<td>Property: room_number(Employee)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is as follows:

**TABLE XXV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Class: Employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Emp_ID#</td>
<td>Property: ID(#Employee)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Employee)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Department</td>
<td>Property: code(departmental_affiliation(Employee))</td>
<td>8o7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Class: Employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Employee_Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Employee)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Supervisor</td>
<td>Property: name(supervisor(Employee))</td>
<td>3x6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Project</td>
<td>Property: project_assignment(Employee)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Class: Employee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.ID#</td>
<td>Property: ID(Employee)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Room_Assignment</td>
<td>Property: room_number(Employee)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Telephone#</td>
<td>Property: tel(#Employee)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Class: Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Department</td>
<td>Property: code(Department)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Budget</td>
<td>Property: budget_amount(Department)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the source properties are:

**TABLE XXVI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Table</th>
<th>Source Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>3o4−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8o7o4−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4o3−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8o7o3−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>3o6o3−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5o3−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1o4−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2o4−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>9o8−1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the target properties, keyed on Name are:

**TABLE XXVII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Table</th>
<th>Target Symbols</th>
<th>Paths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>8o7o3−1</td>
<td>(8o7o3−1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5o6o3−1</td>
<td>(5o6o3−1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1o3−1</td>
<td>(1o4−1) o (4o3−1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the paths given in Table XXVII, the desired SQL query is:

```sql
INSERT INTO T(Name, Department, Supervisor, Room#) (SELECT S.Name AS Name, 
S.Department AS Department, 
S.Supervisor AS Supervisor, 
S.Room_Assignment AS Room# 
FROM S, S, S)
```

It is noted that Table S4 not required in the SQL. When applied to the following sample source data, Tables XXVIII, XXIX and XXX, the above SQL query produces the target data in Table XXXI.

**TABLE XXVIII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Table S1 for Second Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emp_ID#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE XXIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Table S1 for Second Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee_Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrícia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE XXX

Sample Source Table S for Second Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>Room_Assignment</th>
<th>Telephone#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>386</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE XXXI

Sample Target Table T for Second Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Supervisor</th>
<th>Room#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patricia</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>George</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric</td>
<td>QA</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Richard</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Third Example

Airline Flights

In a third example, a target table is of the following form:

TABLE XXXII

Target Table T for Third Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FlightID</th>
<th>DepartingCity</th>
<th>ArrivingCity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Two source tables are given as follows:

TABLE XXXIII

Source Table S₁ for Third Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>APName</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

TABLE XXXIV

Source Table S₂ for Third Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FlightID</th>
<th>FromAirport</th>
<th>ToAirport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The underlying ontology is illustrated in FIG. 14. The dotted portions of the ontology in FIG. 14 are additional unique properties of the ontology:

TABLE XXXV

Unique Properties within Ontology for Third Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>name(Airport)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID(Flight)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the target schema into the ontology is as follows:

TABLE XXXVI

Mapping from Target Schema to Ontology for Third Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Class: Flight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.FlightID</td>
<td>Property: ID(Flight)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.DepartingCity</td>
<td>Property: location(from_airport(Flight))</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.ArrivingCity</td>
<td>Property: location(to_airport(Flight))</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is as follows:

TABLE XXXVII

Mapping from Source Schema to Ontology for Third Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S₁</td>
<td>Class: Airport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁.Index</td>
<td>Property: Index(Airport)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁.APName</td>
<td>Property: name(Airport)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁.Location</td>
<td>Property: location(Airport)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₂</td>
<td>Class: Flight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₂.FlightID</td>
<td>Property: ID(Flight)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₂.FromAirport</td>
<td>Property: name(from_airport(Flight))</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₂.ToAirport</td>
<td>Property: name(to_airport(Flight))</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the source properties are:

TABLE XXXVIII

Source Symbols for Third Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Source Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S₁</td>
<td>1o3⁻¹ 2o3⁻¹ 3o1⁻¹ 2o1⁻¹ 4o5⁻¹ 1o505⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₂</td>
<td>1o3⁻¹ 2o3⁻¹ 3o1⁻¹ 2o1⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The indices of the target properties, keyed on FlightID are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Target Symbols</th>
<th>Paths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>20x6^3</td>
<td>(20x1^{-1}) o (1x6^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20x6^3</td>
<td>(20x1^{-1}) o (1x6^3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the path (20x1^{-1}) appears in two rows of Table XXXIX, it is necessary to create two tables for S in the SQL query. Based on the paths given in Table XXXVII, the desired SQL query is:

```sql
INSERT INTO T(FlightID, DepartingCity, ArrivingCity) (SELECT S.FlightID AS FlightID, S.Location AS DepartingCity, S.Location AS ArrivingCity FROM S WHERE S.APName = S.FromAirport AND S.APName = S.ToAirport);```

In general,

**Rule 4:** When the same source symbol is used multiple times in representing target symbols, each occurrence of the source symbol must refer to a different copy of the source table containing it.

When applied to the following sample source data, Tables XI and XLI, the above SQL query produces the target data in Table XLII.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Source Table S, for Third Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Fourth Example

**Lineage**

In a fourth example, a target table is of the following form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Table T for Fourth Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One source table is given as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Table S for Fourth and Fifth Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The underlying ontology is illustrated in FIG. 15. The dotted portions of the ontology in FIG. 15 are additional ontology structure that is transparent to the relational database schema. The unique properties of the ontology are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique Properties within Ontology for Fourth and Fifth Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>name(Person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID(Person)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the target schema into the ontology is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mapping from Target schema to Ontology for Fourth Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>schema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Father_Name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is as follows:

**TABLE XLVII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Class: Person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.ID</td>
<td>Property: ID(#Person)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Person)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Father_ID</td>
<td>Property: ID(#father(Person))</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the source properties are:

**TABLE XLVIII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Source Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1o2^-1, 2o3o2^-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the target properties, keyed on ID are:

**TABLE XLIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Target Symbols</th>
<th>Paths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1o2^-1, 1o3o2^-1</td>
<td>(1o2^-1), (1o2^-1) o (2o3o2^-1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the paths given in Table XLIX, the desired SQL query is:

```
INSERT INTO T(ID, Name, Father_ID) (SELECT S.ID AS ID, S.Name AS Name, S.ID AS Father_ID
FROM S S1, S S2
WHERE S1.ID = S1.Father_ID);
```

A Fifth Example

**Lineage**

In a fifth example, the target property of Father_Name in the fourth example is changed to Grandfather_Name, and the target table is thus of the following form:

**TABLE L**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Grandfather_Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The mapping of the target schema into the ontology is as follows:

**TABLE LI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Class: Person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.ID</td>
<td>Property: ID(#Person)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Person)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Grandfather_Name</td>
<td>Property: name(#father(Person))</td>
<td>1o3o3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is given in Table XLVII above.

**TABLE LII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Target Symbols</th>
<th>Paths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1o2^-1, 1o3o2^-1</td>
<td>(1o2^-1), (1o2^-1) o (2o3o2^-1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the paths given in Table LII, the desired SQL query is:

```
INSERT INTO T(ID, Name, Grandfather_ID) (SELECT S1.ID AS ID, S1.Name AS Name, S1.ID AS Grandfather_ID
FROM S S1, S S2, S S3
WHERE S1.ID = S1.Father_ID AND S2.ID = S2.Father_ID);
```

A Sixth Example

**Dog Owners**

In a sixth example, a target table is of the following form:

**TABLE LIII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Dogs_Previous_Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Two source tables are given as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Dog</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The underlying ontology is illustrated in FIG. 16. The dotted portions of the ontology in FIG. 16 are additional ontology structure that is transparent to the relational database schema. The unique properties of the ontology are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID#(Person)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>name(Dog)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the target schema into the ontology is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Class: Person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.ID</td>
<td>Property: ID#(Person)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Person)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Dog</td>
<td>Property: name(dog(Person))</td>
<td>5o3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Previous.Owner</td>
<td>previous_owner(dog(Person))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Class: Person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1.ID</td>
<td>Property: ID#(Person)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1.Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Person)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1.Dog</td>
<td>Property: name(dog(Person))</td>
<td>6o3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1.Owner</td>
<td>Class: Dog</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1.Name</td>
<td>Property: name(Dog)</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1.Previous.Owner</td>
<td>Property: name(previous_owner(Dog))</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the source properties are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Source Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>102-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>10406-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>10506-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the target properties, keyed on ID are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Target Symbols</th>
<th>Paths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>102-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50302-1</td>
<td>(102-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(10506-1) o (50302-1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the paths given in Table LX, the desired SQL query is:

```sql
INSERT INTO T(ID, Name, Dogs Previous Owner) (SELECT SID AS ID, S.Name AS Name, S.Previous Owner AS Dogs Previous Owner FROM S1, S2 WHERE S.Name = S.Dog);
```

In a seventh example, a target table is of the following form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Five source tables are given as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is as follows:

### TABLE LXIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Symbols for Seventh Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1, ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2, Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3, ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4, ID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is as follows:

### TABLE LXVII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique Properties within Ontology for Seventh Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID#(Person)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the source properties are:

### TABLE LXX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Symbols for Seventh Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1, ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2, Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3, ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4, ID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the target properties, keyed on ID are:

### TABLE LXXI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Symbols for Seventh Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the paths given in Table LXXI, the desired SQL query is:

```sql
INSERT INTO T(ID, Name, Email, Department)
(SELECT S1, ID AS ID, S2, Name AS Name,
S3, Email AS Email,
S4, Department AS Department
FROM S1, S2, S3)
```
WHERE S.ID = S1.ID AND S.ID = S2.ID
UNION SELECT S.ID AS ID, S.Name AS Name, S.Email AS Email, S.Department AS Department
FROM S, S1, S2, S3
WHERE S.ID = S1.ID AND S.ID = S2.ID
UNION SELECT S.ID AS ID, S.Name AS Name, S.Email AS Email, S.Department AS Department
FROM S, S1, S2, S3, S4
WHERE S.ID = S1.ID AND S.ID = S2.ID
UNION SELECT S.ID AS ID, S.Name AS Name, S.Email AS Email, S.Department AS Department
FROM S, S1, S2, S3
WHERE S.ID = S1.ID AND S.ID = S2.ID and S.ID = S3.ID

[0274] In general,

[0275] Rule 5: When a source symbol used to represent a target symbol is present in multiple source tables each such table must be referenced in an SQL query and the resultant queries joined.

[0276] When applied to the following sample source data, Tables LXXII, LXXIII, LXXIV, LXXV and LXXVI, the above SQL query produces the target data in Table LXXVII.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE LXXII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Source Table S for Seventh Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>789</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE LXXIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Source Table S for Seventh Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>789</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[0278]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE LXXIV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Source Table S for Seventh Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>888</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE LXXV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Source Table S for Seventh Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>888</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE LXXVI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Source Table S for Seventh Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>888</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[0281]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE LXXVII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample Target Table T for Seventh Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An Eighth Example

Employees

[0282] In an eighth example, a target table is of the following form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE LXXXVIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Table T for Eighth Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emp_Name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Two source tables are given as follows:

**TABLE LXXIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Table S₁ for Eighth Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee_Division</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The underlying ontology is illustrated in FIG. 18. The dotted portions of the ontology in FIG. 18 are additional ontology structure that is transparent to the relational database schema. The unique properties of the ontology are:

**TABLE LXXXI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique Properties within Ontology for Eighth Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>name(Employee)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the target schema into the ontology is as follows:

**TABLE LXXXII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mapping from Target schema to Ontology for Eighth Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>schema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Emp_Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Emp_Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Emp_Tel_No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is as follows:

**TABLE LXXXIII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mapping from Source schema to Ontology for Eighth Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>schema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁.Employee_Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁.Employee_Tel#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁.Employee_Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁.Employee_Room#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₂.Name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the source properties are:

**TABLE LXXXIV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Symbols for Eighth Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2o₁⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3o₁⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4o₁⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the target properties, keyed on Emp_Name are:

**TABLE LXXXV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Symbols for Eighth Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2o₁⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since each of the source tables S₁ and S₂ suffice to generate the target table T, the desired SQL is a union of a query involving S₁ alone and a query involving S₂ alone. Specifically, based on the paths given in Table LXXXV, the desired SQL query is:

```
INSERT INTO T(Emp_Name, Emp_Division, Emp_Tel_No) (SELECT S₁.Employee_Name AS Emp_Name, S₁.Employee_Division AS Emp_Division, S₁.Employee_Tel# AS Emp_Tel_No) FROM S₁ UNION SELECT S₂.Employee_Name AS Emp_Name, S₂.Employee_Division AS Emp_Division, S₂.Employee_Tel# AS Emp_Tel_No) FROM S₂);
```

In general,

Rule 6: When one or more source tables contain source symbols sufficient to generate all of the target symbols, then each such source table must be used alone in an SQL query and the resultant queries joined. (Note that Rule 6 is consistent with Rule 5.)
When applied to the following sample source data, Tables LXXXVI and LXXXVII, the above SQL query produces the target data in Table LXXXVIII.

**TABLE LXXXVI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee_Division</th>
<th>Employee_Tel#</th>
<th>Employee_Name</th>
<th>Room#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>Richard</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>Adrian</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE LXXXVII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Employee_Tel#</th>
<th>Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>IT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>PdM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE LXXXVIII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emp_Name</th>
<th>Emp_Division</th>
<th>Emp_Tel_No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tom</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrian</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William</td>
<td>PdM</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Ninth Example

Data Constraints

In a ninth example, a target table is of the following form:

**TABLE LXXXIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Two source tables are given as follows:

**TABLE XC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The underlying ontology is illustrated in FIG. 19. The dotted portions of the ontology in FIG. 19 are additional ontology structure that is transparent to the relational database schema. The properties temperature_in_Centigrade and temperature_in_Fahrenheit are related by the constraint:

\[ \text{temperature}_{\text{in}}_{\text{Centigrade}}(\text{City}) = \frac{9}{5}(\text{temperature}_{\text{in}}_{\text{Fahrenheit}}(\text{City}) - 32) \]

The unique properties of the ontology are:

**TABLE XCI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>name(City)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the target schema into the ontology is as follows:

**TABLE XCII**

Mapping from Target Schema to Ontology for Ninth Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Class: City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.City</td>
<td>Property: name(City)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Temperature</td>
<td>Property: temperature_in_Centigrade(City)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is as follows:

**TABLE XCIII**

Mapping from Source Schema to Ontology for Ninth Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1.City</td>
<td>Class: City</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1.Temperature</td>
<td>Property: temperature_in_Fahrenheit(City)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2.City</td>
<td>Class: City</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2.Temperature</td>
<td>Property: temperature_in_Centigrade(City)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The indices of the source properties are:

**TABLE XCV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Source Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_1$</td>
<td>$3x1^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$</td>
<td>$2x1^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the target properties, keyed on City are:

**TABLE XCVI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Target Symbols</th>
<th>Paths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$2x1^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{9} * (3x1^{-1}) - 32$ $(2x1^{-1})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since each of the source tables $S_1$ and $S_2$ suffice to generate the target table $T$, the desired SQL is a union of a query involving $S_1$ alone and a query involving $S_2$ alone. Specifically, based on the paths given in Table XCVI, the desired SQL query is:

```
INSERT INTO T(City, Temperature)
(SELECT S.City AS City, 
  $\frac{5}{9} * (S_Temperature - 32)$ AS Temperature
FROM S
UNION SELECT 
S.City AS City, $S_Temperature$ AS Temperature
FROM S2)
```

A Tenth Example

**Pricing**

In a tenth example, a target table is of the following form:

**TABLE C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moscow</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brussels</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel Aviv</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two source tables are given as follows:

**TABLE CI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SKU</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**TABLE CII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The underlying ontology is illustrated in FIG. 20. The dotted portions of the ontology in FIG. 20 are additional ontology structure that is transparent to the relational database schema. The properties price, cost of production and margin are related by the constraint:

\[ \text{price(Product)} = \text{cost of production(Product)} \times \text{margin(Product)}. \]
The unique properties of the ontology are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SKU(Product)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the target schema into the ontology is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Class: Product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Product</td>
<td>Property: SKU(Product)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Price</td>
<td>Property: price(Product)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the source properties are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S Item</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Margin</td>
<td>margin(Product)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the target properties, keyed on Product are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Target Symbols</th>
<th>Paths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>401^1</td>
<td>(201^-1) * (301^-3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the paths given in Table CVII, the desired SQL query is:

```
INSERT INTO T(Product, Price)
(SELECT S.Item AS Product, (S.Cost) * (S.Margin) AS Price
FROM S1, S2
WHERE S.Item = S.SKU);
```

When applied to the following sample source data, Tables CVIII and CVIX, the above SQL query produces the target data in Table CX:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SKU</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1 SKU</td>
<td>Class: Product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1.Cost</td>
<td>Property: SKU(Product)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2 Item</td>
<td>Class: Product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2.Item</td>
<td>Property: SKU(Product)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2.Margin</td>
<td>Property: margin(Product)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An Eleventh Example

String Concatenation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>Full_Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

In an eleventh example, a target table is of the following form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table T for Eleventh Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
One source table is given as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>First_Name</th>
<th>Last_Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The underlying ontology is illustrated in FIG. 21. The dotted portions of the ontology in FIG. 21 are additional ontology structure that is transparent to the relational database schema. The properties full_name, first_name and last_name are related by the constraint:

```
full_name(Person) = first_name(Person) || last_name(Person),
```

where || denotes string concatenation.

The unique properties of the ontology are:

### TABLE CXIII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID#(Product)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the target schema into the ontology is as follows:

### TABLE CXIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Class: Person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.ID#</td>
<td>Property: ID#(Person)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Full_Name</td>
<td>Property: full_name(Person)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mapping of the source schema into the ontology is as follows:

### TABLE CXV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Class: Person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.ID#</td>
<td>Property: ID#(Person)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.First_Name</td>
<td>Property: first_name(Person)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Last_Name</td>
<td>Property: last_name(Person)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the source properties are:

### TABLE CXVI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Source Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>$2^{31-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indices of the target properties, keyed on ID# are:

### TABLE CXVII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Target Symbols</th>
<th>Paths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>$4^{1-1}$</td>
<td>$(2^{10-1})$ $((3^{10})^{-1})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the paths given in Table CXVII, the desired SQL query is:

```
INSERT INTO T(ID#, Full_Name)
(SELECT S.ID# AS ID#, (S.First_Name) || (S.Last_Name) AS Full_Name
FROM S);
```

When applied to the following sample source data, Table CXVIII, the above SQL query produces the target data in Table CXIX.

### TABLE CXVIII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>First_Name</th>
<th>Last_Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Timothy</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>Janet</td>
<td>Ferguson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345</td>
<td>Ronald</td>
<td>Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>Marie</td>
<td>Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>567</td>
<td>Adrian</td>
<td>Clark</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE CXIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
<th>Full_Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Timothy Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>Janet Ferguson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345</td>
<td>Ronald Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>Marie Baker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>567</td>
<td>Adrian Clark</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Twelfth Example Books → Documents

A source XML schema for books is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
  <xs:element name="book">
    <xs:complexType name="Book">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string"/>
        <xs:element name="author" type="xs:string"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
</xs:schema>
```
A target XML schema for documents is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" xml:lang="en">
  <xs:element name="Document">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="writer" type="xs:string"/>
        <xs:attribute name="title" type="xs:string"/>
      </xs:complexType>
    </xs:element>
  </xs:schema>
</xs:schema>
```

A common ontology model for the source and target XML schema is illustrated in FIG. 22. A mapping of the source XML schema into the ontology model is given by:

**TABLE CXX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>complexType: book</td>
<td>Class: Book</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: book/title</td>
<td>Property: name(Book)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: book/author</td>
<td>Property: author(Book)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: author</td>
<td>Class: Person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: author/title</td>
<td>Property: name(Person)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A mapping of the target XML schema into the ontology model is given by:

**TABLE CXXI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>complexType: document</td>
<td>Class: Book</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: document/author</td>
<td>Property: name(author(Book))</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: document/title</td>
<td>Property: name(Book)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables CXX and CXXI use XPath notation to designate XSL elements and attributes.

Based on Tables CXX and CXXI, an XSLT transformation that maps XML documents that conform to the source schema to corresponding documents that conform to the target schema should accomplish the following tasks:

1. document/@title="book/name/text( )"
2. document/author/text( )="book/author/@name"

A Thirteenth Example

Books→Documents

A source XML schema for books is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" xml:lang="en">
  <xs:element name="Book">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="title" type="xs:string"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
</xs:schema>
```

A target XML schema for documents is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" xml:lang="en">
  <xs:element name="Document">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="writer" type="xs:string"/>
        <xs:attribute name="title" type="xs:string"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
</xs:schema>
```

A common ontology model for the source and target XML schema is illustrated in FIG. 23. A mapping of the source XML schema into the ontology model is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" xml:lang="en">
  <xs:element name="Document">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="title" type="xs:string"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
</xs:schema>
```
Table CXVIII above. A mapping of the target XML schema into the ontology model is given by:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>complexType: document element: document/author/text()</td>
<td>Book: Property; name(author(Book))</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: document element: document/title/text()</td>
<td>Book: Property; name(Book)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[0348] Based on Tables CXX and CXXII, an XSLT transformation that maps XML documents that conform to the source schema to corresponding documents that conform to the target schema should accomplish the following tasks:


[0350] 2. document/author/text( )⇒book/author/ @name

[0351] Such a transformation is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xsl:stylesheet version="1.0"
  xmlns:xsl="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Transform"
  xmlns="http://www.example.org/XML/Document"
  output-method="xml"
  encoding="UTF-8"
  indent="yes"
  version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"
  xmlns:xsl="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Transform"
  version="1.0"
  encoding="UTF-8"
  xmlns="http://www.example.org/XML/Document"
  output-method="xml"
  encoding="UTF-8"
  indent="yes">
  <xsl:stylesheet version="1.0"
  xmlns:xsl="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Transform"
  version="1.0"
  encoding="UTF-8"
  xmlns="http://www.example.org/XML/Document"
  output-method="xml"
  encoding="UTF-8"
  indent="yes">
  <xsl:template match="/">
    <book>
      <author>
        <xsl:for-each select="author">
          <xsl:element name="author">
            <xsl:value-of select="@name"/>
          </xsl:element>
        </xsl:for-each>
      </author>
      <title>
        <xsl:element name="title">
          <xsl:value-of select="title/text()"/>
        </xsl:element>
      </title>
    </book>
  </xsl:template>
</xsl:stylesheet>
```

[0352] A source XML schema for books is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
  <xs:element name="Library" type="Library"/>
  <xs:complexType name="Library">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="title" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
```

[0353] A first target XML schema for documents is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
  <xs:element name="Storage" type="Storage"/>
  <xs:complexType name="Storage">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="titles" type="Documents"/>
      <xs:element name="reviews" type="Documents"/>
      <xs:element name="letters" type="Letters"/>
    </xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
```
A common ontology model for the source and first target XML schema is illustrated in FIG. 24. A mapping of the source XML schema into the ontology model is given by:

**TABLE CXXIII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>complexType: review</td>
<td>Class: Document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: review/author/text()</td>
<td>Property: author(Document)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: review/title</td>
<td>Property: title(Document)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: article</td>
<td>Class: Document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: article/write/text()</td>
<td>Property: author(Document)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: author/name</td>
<td>Property: title(Document)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: letter</td>
<td>Class: Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: letter/sender/text()</td>
<td>Property: author(Letter)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: author/name</td>
<td>Property: title(Letter)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: letter/subject</td>
<td>Property: subject(Letter)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: letter/receiver</td>
<td>Property: receiver(Letter)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: source</td>
<td>Class: Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container Class:</td>
<td>self[Storage]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE CXXIV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>complexType: document</td>
<td>Class: Document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: document/author/text()</td>
<td>Property: author(Document)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: document/title</td>
<td>Property: title(Document)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: letter</td>
<td>Class: Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: letter/author/text()</td>
<td>Property: author(Letter)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: letter/title</td>
<td>Property: title(Letter)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: letter/subject</td>
<td>Property: subject(Letter)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: letter/receiver</td>
<td>Property: receiver(Letter)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: storage</td>
<td>Class: Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: storage/articles</td>
<td>Property: articles(Storage)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: storage/reviews</td>
<td>Property: reviews(Storage)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: storage/letters</td>
<td>Property: letters(Storage)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A second target XML schema for documents is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
  <xs:element name="books" type="Book"/>
  <xs:element name="magazines" type="Magazine"/>
</xs:schema>
```

Based on Tables CXXIII and CXXIV, an XSLT transformation that maps XML documents that conform to the source schema to corresponding documents that conform to the target schema should accomplish the following tasks:

1. Storage = Library
2. `letter/author/text() = letter/sender/text()`

Such a transformation is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xsl:stylesheet version="1.0" xsl:import href="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Transform">
  <xsl:template match="/">
    <xsl:apply-templates select="/library"/>
  </xsl:template>
  <xsl:template match="library">
    <xsl:apply-templates select="/article"/>
    <xsl:apply-templates select="/reviews"/>
    <xsl:apply-templates select="/letters"/>
  </xsl:template>
</xsl:stylesheet>
```
A mapping of the second target XML schema into the ontology model is given by:

### TABLE CXXV
Mapping from Second Target schema to Ontology for Fourteenth Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>complexType: document element: document/author/text()</td>
<td>Class: Document</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: document/author/text()</td>
<td>Property: author(Document)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: letter element: letter/subject</td>
<td>Class: Letter</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: letter/subject</td>
<td>Property: title(Letter)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: letter/receiver</td>
<td>Property: subject(Letter)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: storage element: storage/books</td>
<td>Class: Storage</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: storage/books</td>
<td>Property: books(Storage)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: magazines element: magazines</td>
<td>Class: Magazines</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: magazines</td>
<td>Property: magazines(Magazines)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: book/articles</td>
<td>Property: articles(Book)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Tables CXXIII and CXXV, an XSLT transformation that maps XML documents that conform to the source schema to corresponding documents that conform to the target schema should accomplish the following tasks:

1. storage = library
2. letter/author/text() = letter/sender/text()

Such a transformation is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xsl:stylesheet version="1.0" xmlns:xsl="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Transform">
  <xsl:output method="xml" indent="yes"/>
  <xsl:template match="/">
    <library>
      <books>
        <article>
          <xsl:attribute name="subject">Gsubject</xsl:attribute>
          ... ...
        </article>
      </books>
    </library>
  </xsl:template>
</xsl:stylesheet>
```
the source schema to corresponding documents that conform to the target schema should accomplish the following tasks:

[0369] 1. storage:library
[0370] 2. letter/author/text():letter/sender/text():
[0371] Such a transformation is given by:

```xml
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
  <xs:element name="letter">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="recipient" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xs:element name="subject" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xs:element name="content" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
</xs:schema>
```

A Fifteenth Example

String Conversion

[0372] A source XML schema for people is given by:

```xml
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
  <xs:simpleType name="Person" type="unqualified"/>
  <xs:element name="Person" type="Person"/>
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:attribute name="name" type="xs:string"/>
  </xs:sequence>
  <xs:complexType name="Person">
    <xs:all>
      <xs:attribute name="name" type="xs:string"/>
    </xs:all>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

[0366] A third target XML schema for documents is given by:

```xml
<xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
  <xs:element name="storage">
    <xs:complexType name="Storage">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="article_from_books" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xs:element name="article_from_magazines" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xs:element name="authors" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xs:element name="title" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
</xs:schema>
```

A mapping of the third target XML schema into the ontology model is given by:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE CXXVI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mapping from Third Target schema to Ontology for Fourteenth Example</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>schemaType</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>complexType: AB</td>
<td>Class: Document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: AB/authors/text()</td>
<td>Property: authors(Document)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: AB/title</td>
<td>Property: title(Document)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: AM</td>
<td>Class: Document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: AM/writers/text()</td>
<td>Property: writers(Document)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attribute: AM/title</td>
<td>Property: title(Document)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compositeType: storage</td>
<td>Complex Class:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[0367] Based on Tables CXXIII and CXXVI, an XSLT transformation that maps XML documents that conform to
A target XML schema for people is given by:

```xml
<xs:element name="Person" type="Person">
  <xs:complexType name="Person">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
```

An XSLT transformation that maps the source schema into the target schema is given by:

```xml
<xsl:stylesheet version="1.0" xmlns:xsl="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Transform">
  <xsl:output method="xml" version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" indent="yes"/>
  <xsl:template match="?">
    <Person>
      <xsl:for-each select="Person">
        <name>name expected input in format Lastname, FirstName</name>
      </xsl:for-each>
    </Person>
  </xsl:template>
</xsl:stylesheet>
```
A Sixteenth Example
String Conversion

[0375] A source XML schema for people is given by:

```xml
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
    elementFormDefault="qualified"
    attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
    <xs:element name="Person" type="Person"/>
</xs:schema>
```

[0376] A target XML schema for people is given by:

```xml
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
    elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
    <xs:element name="Person" type="Person"/>
    <xs:complexType name="Person">
        <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string"/>
            <xs:element name="homeTown" type="xs:string"/>
        </xs:sequence>
        <xs:attribute name="dog_name"/>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

[0377] An XSLT transformation that maps the source schema into the target schema is given by:

```xml
<xsl:stylesheet version="1.0" xmlns:xsl="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Transform">
    <xsl:output method="xml" version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" indent="yes"/>
    <xsl:template match="/Person">
        <Person>
            <xsl:attribute name="dog">
                <xsl:value-of select="/Person/dog_name"/>
            </xsl:attribute>
            <xsl:element name="name">
                <xsl:value-of select="name/text()"/>
            </xsl:element>
            <xsl:element name="indexOfCaseString_CaseInsensitive">
                <xsl:variable name="cuse_neuini" select="translate(name, 'ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ', 'abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz')"/>
                <xsl:value-of select="string-length(substring-before($cuse_neuini, 'cru')) - 1"/>
            </xsl:element>
            <xsl:element name="indexOfCaseString_CaseSensitive">
                <xsl:value-of select="string-length(substring-before(name, 'cru')) - 1"/>
            </xsl:element>
            <xsl:element name="homeTown"/>
        </Person>
    </xsl:template>
</xsl:stylesheet>
```
A Seventeenth Example

Library → Storage

A source XML schema for libraries is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
  <xs:element name="library" type="Library"/>
  <xs:complexType name="Library">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="book" type="Book" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

A target XML schema for storage is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
  <xs:element name="storage" type="Storage"/>
  <xs:complexType name="Storage">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="document" type="Document" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

A common ontology model for the source and target XML schema is illustrated in FIG. 22. A mapping of the source XML schema into the ontology model is given by Table CX22, with an additional correspondence between the complexType and the container class set [Book]. A mapping of the target XML schema into the ontology model is given by Table CX23, with an additional correspondence between the complexType storage and the container class set [Book].

Based on Tables CX22 and CX23, an XSLT transformation that maps XML documents that conform to the source schema to corresponding documents that conform to the target schema should accomplish the following tasks:

1. `document/@title = book/name/text()`
2. `document/writer/text() = book/author/@name`

Such a transformation is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xsl:stylesheet version="1.0" xmlns:xsl="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Transform">
  <xsl:output method="xml" version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" indent="yes"/>
  <xsl:template match="/">
    <storage>
      <xsl:for-each select="/library">
        <book>
          <xsl:for-each select="book">
            <document>
              <xsl:attribute name="title">
                <xsl:value-of select="name"/>
              </xsl:attribute>
              <xsl:attribute name="author">
                <xsl:value-of select="@name"/>
              </xsl:attribute>
            </document>
          </xsl:for-each>
        </book>
      </xsl:for-each>
    </storage>
  </xsl:template>
</xsl:stylesheet>
```

An Eighteenth Example

Change Case

A source XML schema for plain text is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
  <xs:element name="Person" type="Person"/>
  <xs:complexType name="Person">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

A target XML schema for case sensitive text is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
  <xs:element name="Person" type="Person"/>
  <xs:complexType name="Person">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string" />
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```
An XSLT transformation that maps the source schema into the target schema is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:element name="List_o_0_Numbers" type="NumList"/>
  <xs:complexType name="NumList">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="first" type="xs:string"/>
      <xs:element name="second" type="xs:float"/>
      <xs:element name="third" type="xs:float"/>
      <xs:element name="fourth" type="xs:float"/>
      <xs:element name="fifth" type="xs:float"/>
      <xs:element name="sixth" type="xs:float"/>
      <xs:element name="seventh" type="xs:float"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

An Nineteenth Example Number Manipulation

A source XML schema for a list of numbers is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:element name="List_o_0_Numbers" type="NumList"/>
  <xs:complexType name="NumList">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="first" type="xs:decimal"/>
      <xs:element name="second" type="xs:decimal"/>
      <xs:element name="third" type="xs:decimal"/>
      <xs:element name="fourth" type="xs:decimal"/>
      <xs:element name="fifth" type="xs:decimal"/>
      <xs:element name="sixth" type="xs:decimal"/>
      <xs:element name="seventh" type="xs:decimal"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```
An XSLT transformation that maps the source schema into the target schema is given by:

```xml
<xsl:stylesheet version="1.0" xmlns:xsl="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Transform">
  <xsl:output method="xml" version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" indent="yes"/>
  <xsl:template match="/">
    <List_o_0_Numbers>
      <xsl:for-each select="List_o_0_Numbers">
        <xsl:element name="first_o_num">
          <xsl:value-of select="number(first)"></xsl:value-of>
        </xsl:element>
        <!-- first_o_num - take a string and return a numerical value. Exemplifies use of the operator value(string) -->
        <xsl:element name="second_floor">
          <xsl:value-of select="floor(second)"></xsl:value-of>
        </xsl:element>
        <!-- second_floor return nearest integer less than number. Exemplifies use of the operator floor(number) -->
        <xsl:element name="second_firstDecimal_floor">
          <xsl:value-of select="floor(second*10) div 10"></xsl:value-of>
        </xsl:element>
        <!-- second_firstDecimal_floor - return nearest first decimal place less than number. Exemplifies use of the operator floor(number, significance) -->
        <xsl:element name="third_cell">
          <xsl:value-of select="ceiling(third)"></xsl:value-of>
        </xsl:element>
        <!-- third_cell - return nearest integer greater than number. Exemplifies use of the operator ceil(number) -->
        <xsl:element name="fourth_round">
          <xsl:value-of select="round(fourth)"></xsl:value-of>
        </xsl:element>
        <!-- fourth_round - round the number in integers Exemplifies use of the operator round(number) -->
        <xsl:element name="fourthThirdDecimal_round">
          <xsl:value-of select="round(fourth*1000) div 1000"></xsl:value-of>
        </xsl:element>
        <!-- fourthThirdDecimal_round - round the number up to third decimal. Exemplifies use of the operator round(number, significance) -->
        <xsl:element name="fifth_roundToThousand">
          <xsl:value-of select="round(fifth div 1000) * 1000"></xsl:value-of>
        </xsl:element>
        <!-- fifth_roundToThousand - round the number up to nearest ten to the third. Exemplifies use of the operator roundToPower(number, power) -->
        <xsl:element name="abs_sixth">
          <!-- abs_sixth - return absolute value of number. Exemplifies use of operator abs(number) -->
          <xsl:choose>
            <xsl:when test="sixth < 0">
              <xsl:value-of select="sixth + -1"></xsl:value-of>
            </xsl:when>
            <xsl:otherwise>
              <xsl:value-of select="sixth"></xsl:value-of>
            </xsl:otherwise>
          </xsl:choose>
        </xsl:element>
        <xsl:element name="seventh">
          <xsl:value-of select="concat(', ', string(seventh), ', ')"></xsl:value-of>
        </xsl:element>
        <!-- seventh - return number as string. Exemplifies use of operator string(number) -->
      </xsl:for-each>
    </List_o_0_Numbers>
    </xsl:template>
</xsl:stylesheet>
```

A Twentieth Example

String Manipulation

A source XML schema for a person is given by:

```xml
<xsd:schema xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  elementFormDefault="qualified"
  attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xsd:element name="Person" type="Person"/>
  <xsd:complexType name="Person">
    <xsd:sequence>
      <xsd:element name="name" type="xsd:string"/>
      <xsd:element name="homeTown" type="xsd:string"/>
    </xsd:sequence>
    <xsd:attribute name="dog_name"/>
  </xsd:complexType>
</xsd:schema>
```
A target XML schema for a person is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" elementFormDefault="qualified"
  attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:element name="Person">
    <xs:complexType name="Person">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="four_name" type="xs:string"/>
        <xs:element name="capital_homeTown" type="xs:string"/>
      </xs:sequence>
      <!-- four_name is only four characters long, please. This exemplifies use of the substring(string, start, length) operator -->
      <!-- capital_homeTown - we must insist you capitalize the first letter of a town,-->
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:attribute name="dog_trim" type="xs:integer"/>
  <xs:attribute name="dog_length" type="xs:integer"/>
  <xs:attribute name="dog_years" type="xs:integer"/>
</xs:schema>
```

An XSLT transformation that maps the source schema into the target schema is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xsl:template match="/">
  <Person>
    <xsl:for-each select="Person">
      <xsl:attribute name="dog_trim">
        <xsl:value-of select="normalize-space(@dog_name)"/>
      </xsl:attribute>
      <xsl:attribute name="dog_length">
        <xsl:value-of select="string-length(normalize-space(@dog_name))"/>
      </xsl:attribute>
      <!-- dog_trim - This exemplifies use of the trim operator -->
      <!-- dog_length - This exemplifies use of the length(string) operator -->
      <xsl:element name="four_name">
        <xsl:value-of select="substring(@name,1,4)"/>
      </xsl:element>
      <xsl:element name="capital_homeTown">
        <xsl:value-of select="concat(translate(substring(normalize-space(@homeTown),1,1),
          'abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz',
          'ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ'),
          translate(substring(normalize-space(@homeTown),2))"/>
      </xsl:element>
      <!-- four_name. This exemplifies use of the substring(string, start, length) operator -->
      <!-- capital_homeTown. This exemplifies use of the capital operator -->
    </xsl:for-each>
  </Person>
</xsl:template>
</xsl:stylesheet>
```
A Twenty-First Example

Temperature Conversion

[0394] A source XML schema for temperature in Fahrenheit is given by:

```xml
<xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8">
  <xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
    elementFormDefault="qualified"
    attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
    <xs:element name="city" type="xs:string"/>
    <xs:complexType name="City">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="temperatureF" type="xs:string"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:schema>
</xml>
```

[0395] A target XML schema for temperature in Celsius is given by:

```xml
<xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8">
  <xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
    elementFormDefault="qualified"
    attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
    <xs:element name="town" type="town"/>
    <xs:complexType name="town">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="temperatureC" type="xs:string"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:schema>
</xml>
```

[0396] An XSLT transformation that maps the source schema into the target schema is given by:

```xml
<xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8">
  <xsl:stylesheet version="1.0"
    xmlns:xsl="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Transform"
    output method="xml" version="1.0"
    encoding="UTF-8" indent="yes">
    <xsl:template match="/">
      <town>
        <xsl:for-each select="city">
          <xsl:attribute name="name">
            <xsl:value-of select="@name"/>
          </xsl:attribute>
          <xsl:element name="temperature">
            <xsl:value-of select="floor((temperatureF - 32) * (5 div 9))"/>
          </xsl:element>
        </xsl:for-each>
      </town>
    </xsl:template>
  </xsl:stylesheet>
</xml>
```

A Twenty-Second Example

Town with Books

[0397] A source XML schema for a town with books is given by:

```xml
<xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8">
  <xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
    elementFormDefault="qualified"
    attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
    <xs:element name="town" type="Town"/>
    <xs:complexType name="Town">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="library" type="Library" minOccurs="0"
          maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xs:element name="name" type="xs:string"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
    <xs:complexType name="Library">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="book" type="Book" minOccurs="0"
          maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xs:attribute name="name" type="xs:string" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
    <xs:complexType name="Book">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="title" type="xs:string"/>
        <xs:element name="author_name" type="xs:string" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:schema>
</xml>
```

[0398] A target XML schema for a list of books is given by:

```xml
<xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8">
  <xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
    elementFormDefault="qualified"
    attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
    <xs:element name="list_of_books" type="books"/>
    <xs:complexType name="books">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="book" type="Book" minOccurs="0"
          maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:schema>
</xml>
```

[0399] A common ontology model for the source and target XML schema is illustrated in FIG. 25. A mapping of
the source XML schema into the ontology model is given by:

**TABLE CXXVII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mapping from Source schema to Ontology for Twenty-Second Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>schema</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: book/title/text()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: library/name/text()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: town/libraries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: town/name/text()</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[0400] A mapping of the target XML schema into the ontology model is given by:

**TABLE CXXVIII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mapping from Target schema to Ontology for Twenty-Second Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>schema</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: book/title/text()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: list_of_books</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[0401] Based on Tables CXXVII and CXXVIII, an XSLT transformation that maps XML documents that conform to the source schema to corresponding documents that conform to the target schema is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xsl:stylesheet version="1.0" xmlns:xsl="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Transform" output-method="xml" version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" indent="yes"/>
<xsl:template match="?"/>
  <book>
    <xsl:for-each select=".<book>
      <xsl:element name="title">
        <xsl:value-of select="title ()$/\n      </xsl:element>
      <xsl:for-each select="." name="author" name="name">
        <xsl:element name="author">
          <xsl:value-of select="()$/\n        </xsl:element>
      </xsl:for-each>
    </book>
    </xsl:template>
</xsl:stylesheet>
```

A Twenty-Third Example

**Town with Books**

[0402] A source XML schema for a town is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" elementFormDefault="qualified">
  <xs:element name="town" type="Town"/>
  <xs:complexType name="Town">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="library" type="Library" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xs:element name="police_station" type="PoliceStation" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
    <xs:attribute name="name" type="xstring"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

[0403] A first target XML schema for police stations is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" elementFormDefault="qualified">
  <xs:element name="PoliceStation" type="PoliceStations"/>
  <xs:complexType name="PoliceStations">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="Station" type="Station" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```
A common ontology model for the source and target XML schema is illustrated in FIG. 26. A mapping of the source XML schema into the ontology model is given by:

**TABLE CXXIX**

Mapping from Source schema to Ontology for Twenty-Third Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>complexType: book</td>
<td>Class: Book</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: book/title/text( )</td>
<td>Property: title(Book)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: library</td>
<td>Class: Library</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: officer</td>
<td>Class: Person</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: officer/name/text( )</td>
<td>Property: name(Person)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: police_station</td>
<td>Class: Station</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: police_station/officers</td>
<td>Container Class: set[Person]</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: police_station/@identifier</td>
<td>Property: identifier(Station)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: town</td>
<td>Class: Town</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: town/policestation</td>
<td>Container Class: set[Station]</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: town/@name</td>
<td>Property: name(Town)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A mapping of the first target XML schema into the ontology model is given by:

**TABLE CXXX**

Mapping from Target schema to Ontology for Twenty-Third Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema</th>
<th>Ontology</th>
<th>Property Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>complexType: officer</td>
<td>Class: Person</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: officer/name/text( )</td>
<td>Property: name(Person)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexType: station</td>
<td>Class: Station</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: station/officers</td>
<td>Container Class: set[Person]</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>element: station/@identifier</td>
<td>Property: identifier(Station)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Tables CXXIX and CXXX, an XSLT transformation that maps XML documents that conform to the source schema to corresponding documents that conform to the first target schema is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:element name="PoliceStations" type="PoliceStations"/>
  <xs:complexType name="PoliceStations">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="Station" type="Station" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

A second target XML schema for temperature in Centigrade is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/1999/XMLSchema" elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:element name="PoliceStations" type="PoliceStations"/>
  <xs:complexType name="PoliceStations">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="Station" type="Station" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

Based on Tables CXXIX and CXXX, an XSLT transformation that maps XML documents that conform to the source schema to corresponding documents that conform to the second target schema is given by:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/1999/XMLSchema" elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:element name="PoliceStations" type="PoliceStations"/>
  <xs:complexType name="PoliceStations">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="Station" type="Station" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```
[0409] A third target XML schema for temperature in Centigrade is given by:

```xml
<xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance" elementFormDefault="qualified"
  attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:schema xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/XMLSchema"
    namespace="urn:example">
    <xs:schema xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance" elementFormDefault="qualified"
      attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
      <xs:element name="PoliceStation">
        <xs:complexType>
          <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element ref="PoliceStation"/>
          </xs:sequence>
        </xs:complexType>
      </xs:element>
      <xs:element name="Station">
        <xs:complexType>
          <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element name="Officers">
              <xs:complexType>
                <xs:sequence>
                  <xs:element name="name">
                    <xs:simpleType>
                      <xs:restriction base="xs:string">""</xs:restriction>
                    </xs:simpleType>
                  </xs:element>
                </xs:sequence>
              </xs:complexType>
            </xs:element>
          </xs:sequence>
        </xs:complexType>
      </xs:element>
      <xs:element name="counter">
        <xs:complexType>
          <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element name="name">
              <xs:simpleType>
                <xs:restriction base="xs:string">""</xs:restriction>
              </xs:simpleType>
            </xs:element>
            <xs:element ref="PoliceStation"/>
          </xs:sequence>
        </xs:complexType>
      </xs:element>
    </xs:schema>
  </xs:schema>
</xs:schema>
```

[0410] Based on Tables CXXIX and CXXX, an XSLT transformation that maps XML documents that conform to the source schema to corresponding documents that conform to the first target schema is given by:

```xml
<xs:schema xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/XMLSchema">
  <xs:element name="PoliceStation">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="Station">
          <xs:complexType>
            <xs:sequence>
              <xs:element name="Officers">
                <xs:complexType>
                  <xs:sequence>
                    <xs:element name="name">
                      <xs:simpleType>
                        <xs:restriction base="xs:string">""</xs:restriction>
                      </xs:simpleType>
                    </xs:element>
                  </xs:sequence>
                </xs:complexType>
              </xs:element>
            </xs:sequence>
          </xs:complexType>
        </xs:element>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
</xs:schema>
```

[0411] Implementation Details—SQL Generation

[0412] As mentioned hereinabove, and described through the above series of examples, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention a desired transformation from a source RDBS to a target RDBS is generated by:

[0413] (i) mapping the source and target RDBS into a common ontology model;

[0414] (ii) representing fields of the source and target RDBS in terms of properties of the ontology model, using symbols for properties;

[0415] (iii) deriving expressions for target symbols in terms of source symbols; and

[0416] (iv) converting the expressions into one or more SQL queries.

[0417] Preferably the common ontology model is built by adding classes and properties to an initial ontology model, as required to encompass tables and fields from the source and target RDBS. The addition of classes and properties can be
performed manually by a user, automatically by a computer, or partially automatically by a user and a computer in conjunction.

[0418] Preferably, while the common ontology model is being built, mappings from the source and target RDBS into the ontology model are also built by identifying tables and fields of the source and target RDBS with corresponding classes and properties of the ontology model. Fields are preferably identified as being either simple properties or compositions of properties.

[0419] In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, automatic user guidance is provided when building the common ontology model, in order to accommodate the source and target RDBS mappings. Specifically, while mapping source and target RDBS into the common ontology model, the present invention preferably automatically presents a user with the ability to create classes that correspond to tables, if such classes are not already defined within the ontology. Similarly, the present invention preferably automatically present a user with the ability to create properties that correspond to fields, if such properties are not already defined within the ontology.

[0420] This automatic guidance feature of the present invention enables users to build a common ontology on the fly, while mapping the source and target RDBS.

[0421] In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, automatic guidance is used to provide a user with a choice of properties to which a given table column may be mapped. Preferably, the choice of properties only includes properties with target types that are compatible with a data type of the given table column. For example, if the given table column has data type VARCHAR2, then the choice of properties only includes properties with target type string. Similarly, if the given table column is a foreign key to a foreign table, then the choice of properties only includes properties whose target is the class corresponding to the foreign table.

[0422] In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, automatic guidance is provided in determining inheritance among classes of the common ontology. Conditions are identified under which the present invention infers that two tables should be mapped to classes that inherit one from another. Such a condition arises when a table, T1, contains a primary key that is a foreign key to a table, T2. In such a situation, the present invention preferably infers that the class corresponding to T1 inherits from the class corresponding to T2.

[0423] For example, T1 may be a table for employees with primary key Social_Security_No, which is a foreign key for a table T2 for citizens. The fact that Social_Security_No serves both as a primary key for T1 and as a foreign key for T2 implies that the class Employees inherits from the class Citizens.

[0424] Preferably, when the present invention infers an inheritance relation, the user is given an opportunity to confirm or decline. Alternatively, the user may not be given such an opportunity.

[0425] Preferably, representing fields of the source and target RDBS in terms of properties of the ontology model is performed by identifying a key field among the fields of a table and expressing the other fields in terms of the identified key field using an inverse property symbol for the key field. For example, if a key field corresponds to a property denoted by 1, and a second field corresponds to a property denoted by 2, then the relation of the second field to the first field is denoted by 2o1\(^{-1}\). If a table has more than one key field, then preferably symbols are listed for each of the key fields, indicating how the other fields relate thereto. For example, if the second field above also is a key field, then the relation of the first field to the second field is denoted by 1o2\(^{-1}\), and both of the symbols 2o1\(^{-1}\) and 1o2\(^{-1}\) are listed.

[0426] Preferably, deriving expressions for target symbols in terms of source symbols is implemented by a search over the source symbols for paths that result in the target symbols. For example, if a target symbol is given by 3o1\(^{-1}\), then chains of composites are formed starting with source symbols of the form ao1\(^{-1}\), with each successive symbol added to the composite chain inverting the leftmost property in the chain. Thus, a symbol ending with a1\(^{-1}\) is added to the left of the symbol ao1\(^{-1}\), and this continues until property 3 appears at the left end of the chain.

[0427] Preferably, converting symbol expressions into SQL queries is accomplished by use of Rules 1-7 described hereinabove with reference to the examples.

[0428] Preferably, when mapping a table to a class, a flag is set that indicates whether it is believed that the table contains all instances of the class.

[0429] Implementation Details—XSLT Generation Algorithm

[0430] 1. Begin with the target schema. Preferably, the first step is to identify a candidate root element. Assume in what follows that one such element has been identified—if there are more than one such candidate, then preferably a user decides which is to be the root of the XSLT transformation. Assume that a <root> element has thus been identified. Create the following XSLT script, to establish that any document produced by the transformation will at minimum conform to the requirement that its opening and closing tags are identified by root:

```xml
<xsl:template match="/">
  <root>
  </root>
</xsl:template>
```

[0431] 2. Preferably, the next step is to identify the elements in the target schema that have been mapped to ontological classes. The easiest case, and probably the one encountered most often in practice, is one in which the root itself is mapped to a class, be it a simple class, a container class or a cross-product. If not, then preferably the code-generator goes down a few levels until it comes across elements mapped to classes. The elements that are not mapped to classes should then preferably be placed in the XSLT between the <root> tags mentioned above, in the correct order, up to the places where mappings to classes begin.
3. Henceforth, for purposes of clarity and exposition, the XSLT script generation algorithm is described in terms of an element `<fu>` that is expected to appear in the target XML document and is mapped to an ontological class, whether that means the root element or a parallel set of elements inside a tree emanating from the root. The treatment is in any event from that point onwards.

4. Preferably the XSLT generation algorithm divides into different cases depending on a number of conditions, as detailed hereinafter:

### TABLE CXXXI

**Conditions for `<xsl:for-each>` Segments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>XSLT Segment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>&lt;foo&gt;</code> is mapped to a simple class <code>Foo</code> with cardinality parameters minOccurs=&quot;1&quot; maxOccurs=&quot;1&quot; in the XML schema and there is a corresponding element <code>&lt;foo&gt;</code> in the source document that is associated to the same class <code>Foo</code>.</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>&lt;foo&gt;</code> is mapped to a simple class <code>Foo</code> with cardinality parameters minOccurs=&quot;0&quot; maxOccurs=&quot;unbounded&quot; in the XML schema and there are corresponding elements <code>&lt;foo1&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo2&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo3&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo4&gt;</code> in the source document each of which is associated to the same container-class <code>set[Foo]</code>. <code>foo</code> is mapped to a container class <code>set[Foo]</code> with cardinality parameters minOccurs=&quot;0&quot; maxOccurs=&quot;unbounded&quot; in the XML schema, but there is no corresponding element <code>&lt;foo&gt;</code> in the source document that is associated to the same container-class <code>set[Foo]</code>. There are, however, perhaps elements <code>&lt;foo1&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo2&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo3&gt;</code> which are each individually mapped to the class <code>Foo</code>.</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>&lt;foo&gt;</code> is mapped to a container class <code>set[Foo]</code> with cardinality parameters minOccurs=&quot;0&quot; maxOccurs=&quot;unbounded&quot; in the XML schema, and there are corresponding elements <code>&lt;foo1&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo2&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo3&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo4&gt;</code> in the source document each of which is associated to the same container-class <code>set[Foo]</code>. There are, however, perhaps elements <code>&lt;foo1&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo2&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo3&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo4&gt;</code> which are each individually mapped to the class <code>Foo</code>.</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>&lt;foo&gt;</code> is mapped to a container class <code>set[Foo]</code> with cardinality parameters minOccurs=&quot;0&quot; maxOccurs=&quot;unbounded&quot; in the XML schema, and there are corresponding elements <code>&lt;foo1&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo2&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo3&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo4&gt;</code> in the source document each of which is associated to the same container-class <code>set[Foo]</code>.</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>&lt;foo&gt;</code> is mapped to a container class <code>set[Foo]</code> with cardinality parameters minOccurs=&quot;0&quot; maxOccurs=&quot;unbounded&quot; in the XML schema, but there is no corresponding element <code>&lt;foo&gt;</code> in the source document that is associated to the same container-class <code>set[Foo]</code>. There are, however, perhaps elements <code>&lt;foo1&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo2&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo3&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo4&gt;</code> which are each individually mapped to the class <code>Foo</code>.</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE CXXXI-continued**

**Conditions for `<xsl:for-each>` Segments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>XSLT Segment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>&lt;foo&gt;</code> is mapped to a simple class <code>Foo</code> with cardinality parameters minOccurs=&quot;0&quot; maxOccurs=&quot;n&quot; in the XML schema, and there are, perhaps elements <code>&lt;foo1&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo2&gt;</code>, <code>&lt;foo3&gt;</code> which are each individually mapped to the class <code>Foo</code>.</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the rules as to what should appear in between the `<for-each>` tags, see step 5 hereinbelow.

CASE A:

```xml
<foo>
  <xsl:for-each select="./foo[position( ) = 1]"/>
</xsl:for-each>
</foo>
```

CASE B:

```xml
<xsl:for-each select="./foo[position( ) = 1]">
  <foo/>
</xsl:for-each>
```

CASE C:

```xml
<foo>
  <xsl:for-each select="./foo1">
    <xsl:for-each select="foo">
      <foo/>
    </xsl:for-each>
  </xsl:for-each>
</foo>
```

CASE D:

```xml
<foo>
  <xsl:for-each select="./foo1">
    <foo/>
  </xsl:for-each>
</foo>
```

CASE E:

```xml
<xsl:template match="/">
  <foo>
    <xsl:call-template name="find_foo1"/>
    <xsl:call-template name="find_foo2"/>
  </foo>
</xsl:template>
```

```xml
<xsl:template name="find_foo1"/>
  <xsl:if test="$foo_far < $n+1">
    <xsl:for-each select="./foo1/foo"/>
    <xsl:if test="$foo_far+position( ) < $n+1">
      <foo/>
    </xsl:if>
  </xsl:if>
</xsl:if>
```

```xml
<xsl:call-template name="find_foo2"/>
  <xsl:with-param name="foo_far" select="$foo_far+count(./foo1/foo)"/>
</xsl:call-template>
```
5. Next assume that the classes have been taken care of as detailed herein above in step 4. Preferably, from this point onwards the algorithm proceeds by working with properties rather than classes. Again, the algorithm is divided up into cases.

Assume that the <fus> tags have been treated, and that the main issue now is dealing with the elements <bars> that are properties of <fus>.

Sequence Lists

Suppose that the properties of <fus> are listed in a sequence complex-type in the target schema. Assume, for the sake of definiteness, that a complexType fu is mapped to an ontological class Foo, with elements bar mapped to respective property, Foo:bar. Assume further that the source XML schema has an XPath pattern that maps to the ontological class Foo, with further children patterns fu1/bar1, fu1/bar2, etc., mapping to the relevant property paths.
[0442] In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, specific pieces of code are generated to deal with different maximum and minimum occurrences. Such pieces of code are generated inside the <foo> <foo> tags that were generated as described hereinabove. Preferably, the general rule for producing such pieces of code is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>XSLT Segment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The target XML code says &lt;element name=&quot;bar&quot; minOccurrence=&quot;1&quot; maxOccurrence=&quot;1&quot;/&gt; or equivalently &lt;element name=&quot;bar&quot; /&gt;, and the source has an associated tag &lt;barr&gt;. The XML code says &lt;element name=&quot;bar&quot; minOccurrence=&quot;0&quot; maxOccurrence=&quot;unbounded=&quot;/ and the source has an associated tag &lt;barr&gt;.</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The XML code says &lt;element name=&quot;bar&quot; minOccurrence=&quot;m&quot; maxOccurrence=&quot;n&quot;/ &gt;, and the source has an associated tag &lt;barr&gt;. The XML code says &lt;element name=&quot;bar&quot; minOccurrence=&quot;0&quot; maxOccurrence=&quot;n&quot;/&gt; and the source has an associated tag &lt;barr&gt;.</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The XML code says &lt;element name=&quot;bar&quot; minOccurrence=&quot;m&quot; maxOccurrence=&quot;n&quot;/ &gt;, where m &gt; 0, and the source has an associated tag &lt;barr&gt;. The XML code says &lt;element name=&quot;bar&quot; minOccurrence=&quot;m&quot; maxOccurrence=&quot;n&quot;/&gt; where m &gt; 0, and n is a finite integer, and the source has an associated tag &lt;barr&gt;. The target sequence includes a line &lt;element name=&quot;bar&quot; minOccurrence=&quot;m&quot; maxOccurrence=&quot;n&quot;/&gt; where m &gt; 0, but the source has no associated tag.</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[0443] As an exemplary illustration, suppose the complexType appears in the target schema as follows:

```xml
<xs:complexType name="foo"/>
<xs:sequence>
  <xs:element name="bar1" type="xs:string"/>
  <xs:element name="bar2" type="xs:string" minOccurrence="0" maxOccurrence="7"/>
  <xs:element name="bar3" type="xs:string" minOccurrence="1" maxOccurrence="8"/>
  <xs:element name="bar4" type="xs:string" minOccurrence="3" maxOccurrence="unbounded"/>
  <xs:element name="bar5" type="xs:string" minOccurrence="0" maxOccurrence="unbounded"/>
  <xs:element name="bar" type="xs:string"/>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
```

[0445] Then, based on the above cases, the following XSLT script is generated.

```xml
<foo>
  <bar1> <xml:value-of select="bar1"/>
  </bar1>
  <bar1> <xs:for-each select="bar2[position( ) < 5]"> <bar2> <xml:value-of select="."/> </bar2> </xs:for-each>
  <bar2> <xs:for-each select="bar3[position( ) < 9]"> <bar3> <xml:value-of select="."/> </bar3> </xs:for-each>
  <bar3> <xs:for-each select="bar4[position( ) < 9]"> <bar4> <xml:value-of select="."/> </bar4> </xs:for-each>
  <bar4> <xs:call-template name="generate_bar"/>
    <xml:with-param name="so_far" select="count(barr)="/>
    </xs:call-template>
  </bar4>
  <bar5> <xml:value-of select="."/> </bar5>
</foo>
```
[0446] Choice Lists

[0447] Suppose that the properties of <fu> are listed in a choice complex-type in the target schema. Assume again, as above, that fu is mapped to an ontological class Foo, with each of bar, mapped to a property, Foo.bar. Assume further, as above, that the source XML schema has an Xpath pattern foo that maps to the ontological class Foo, with further children patterns foo/bar1, foo/bar2, etc., mapping to the relevant property paths.

[0448] Preferably, the general rule for producing XSLT script associated with a target choice bloc is as follows. Start with the tags <xsl:choose> <xsl:choose>. For each element in the choice sequence, insert into the choose bloc <xsl:when test=""bar""> <xsl:when> and within that bloc insert code appropriate to the cardinality restrictions of that element, exactly as above for sequence blocs, including the creation of new templates if needed. Finally, if there are no elements with minOccurs="0" in the choice bloc, select any tag <bar> at random in the choice bloc, and insert into the XSLT, right before the closing </xsl:choose>, <xsl:otherwise> <bar> </xsl:otherwise>.
All Lists

Suppose that the properties of &lt;fu&gt; are listed in an all complex-type in the target schema. Assume again, as above, that foo is mapped to an ontological class Foo, with each of bar, mapped to a property, Foo.bar, Assume further that the source XML schema has an XPath pattern foo that maps to the ontological class Foo, with further children patterns foo/bar1, foo/bar2, etc., mapping to the relevant property paths.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, a general rule is to test for the presence of each of the source tags associated with the target tags, by way of

Preferably, if any of the elements has minOccurs= "1" then the negative test takes place as well:

As an exemplary illustration, suppose the complex-Type appears in the target schema as follows:

Then the following XSLT script is generated.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, when the elements of foo/bar1, foo/bar2, etc. have been processed as above in step 5, everything repeats in a recursive manner for properties that are related to each of the bar elements. That is, if the target XML schema has further tags that are children of bar1, bar2, etc., then preferably each of those is treated as properties of the respective target classes of bar1, bar2, and so on, and the above rules apply recursively.

Additional Considerations

In reading the above description, persons skilled in the art will realize that there are many apparent variations that can be applied to the methods and systems described. A first variation to which the present invention applies is a setup where source relational database tables reside in more than one database. The present invention preferably operates by using Oracle’s cross-database join, if the source databases are Oracle databases. In an alternative embodiment, the present invention can be applied to generate a first SQL query for a first source database, and use the result to generate a second SQL query for a second source database. The two queries taken together can feed a target database.

In the foregoing specification, the invention has been described with reference to specific exemplary embodiments thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes may be made to the specific exemplary embodiments without departing from the broader spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the appended claims. Accordingly, the specification and drawings are to be regarded in an illustrative rather than a restrictive sense.
What is claimed is:

1. A method for deriving transformations for transforming data from one data schema to another, comprising:
   receiving a source data schema and a target data schema;
   mapping the source data schema into an ontology model;
   mapping the target data schema into the ontology model;
   and
   deriving a transformation for transforming data conforming to the source data schema into data conforming to the target data schema, using the ontology model.

2. The method of claim 1 further comprising converting at least one of the source data schema and the target schema from an external format to an internal format.

3. The method of claim 1 further comprising receiving the ontology model.

4. The method of claim 3 further comprising converting the ontology model from an external format to an internal format.

5. The method of claim 1 further comprising generating the ontology model.

6. The method of claim 5 further comprising receiving an initial ontology model, wherein said generating generates the ontology model from the initial ontology model.

7. The method of claim 6 further comprising converting the initial ontology model from an external format to an internal format.

8. The method of claim 1 further comprising generating executable program code that transforms data conforming to the source data schema into data conforming to the target data schema.

9. The method of claim 1 wherein the source data schema is a source table schema describing source data tables, wherein the target data schema is a target table schema describing target data tables, and wherein the source table schema and the target table schema each describes at least one table having columns.

10. The method of claim 9 wherein the source table schema is a source relational database schema describing source relational database tables, wherein the target table schema is a target relational database schema describing target relational database tables, and wherein the transformation is an SQL query.

11. The method of claim 10 wherein said mapping a source data schema and said mapping a target data schema each comprise:

   identifying at least one class in the ontology model corresponding to at least one table; and

   identifying at least one property or composition of properties in the ontology model corresponding to at least one table column.

12. The method of claim 11 wherein said deriving comprises:

   labeling properties of the ontology model with symbols;
   converting at least one column in the source relational database schema into at least one source symbol;
   converting at least one column in the target relational database schema into at least one target symbol; and
   expressing the at least one target symbol in terms of at least one source symbol.

13. The method of claim 12 wherein said expressing uses expressions involving composition of properties.

14. The method of claim 12 wherein at least one dependency exists among properties in the ontology model, and wherein said deriving further comprises translating the at least one dependency among properties in the ontology model as at least one dependency between target relational database columns and source relational database columns, and wherein said expressing incorporates the at least one dependency between target relational database columns and source relational database columns.

15. The method of claim 14 wherein said expressing uses expressions involving arithmetic operations.

16. The method of claim 14 wherein said expressing uses expressions involving character string operations.

17. The method of claim 10 further comprising applying the query to at least one source relational database table to populate at least one target relational database table.

18. The method of claim 17 wherein the at least one source relational database table reside in a single database.

19. The method of claim 17 wherein the at least one source relational database table reside in multiple databases.

20. The method of claim 1 wherein the source data schema is a source document schema describing source documents, and wherein the target data schema is a target document schema describing target documents.

21. The method of claim 20 wherein the source document schema is a DTD describing source XML documents, wherein the target document schema is a target DTD describing target XML documents, and wherein the source DTD and the target DTD each describes at least one XML element or XML attribute.

22. The method of claim 21 wherein the transformation is an XQuery.

23. The method of claim 21 wherein the transformation is an XSLT script.

24. The method of claim 20 wherein the source document schema is a source XML schema describing source XML documents, wherein the target document schema is a target XML schema describing target XML documents, and wherein the source XML schema and the target XML schema each describes at least one XML complexType having at least one XML element or XML attribute.

25. The method of claim 24 wherein the transformation is an XQuery.

26. The method of claim 24 wherein the transformation is an XSLT script.

27. The method of claim 24 wherein said mapping a source data schema and said mapping a target data schema each comprise:

   identifying at least one class in the ontology model corresponding to at least one XML complexType; and

   identifying at least one property or composition of properties in the ontology model corresponding to at least one XML element or XML attribute.

28. The method of claim 24 wherein said deriving comprises expressing XML elements and XML attributes of the target XML schema in terms of XML elements and XML attributes of the source XML schema.

29. The method of claim 28 wherein said expressing is performed recursively through XPath paths.

30. The method of claim 27 wherein at least one dependency exists among properties in the ontology model, and
wherein said deriving further comprises translating the at least one dependency among properties in the ontology model as at least one dependency between target XML elements and source XML elements.

31. The method of claim 26 further comprising applying the XSLT script to at least one source XML document to generate at least one target XML document.

32. The method of claim 31 wherein the at least one source XML document reside in a single database.

33. The method of claim 31 wherein the at least one source XML document reside in multiple databases.

34. A system for deriving transformations for transforming data from one data schema to another, comprising:

a schema receiver receiving a source data schema and a target data schema;

a mapping processor mapping a data schema into an ontology model; and

a transformation processor deriving a transformation for transforming data conforming to the source data schema into data conforming to the target data schema, based on respective source and target mappings generated by said mapping processor for mapping said source data schema and said target data schema into a common ontology model.

35. The system of claim 34 further comprising a schema format converter, converting at least one of the source data schema and the target data schema from an external format to an internal format.

36. The system of claim 34 further comprising an ontology receiver receiving the ontology model.

37. The system of claim 36 further comprising an ontology format converter, converting the ontology model from an external format to an internal format.

38. The system of claim 34 further comprising an ontology builder generating the ontology model.

39. The system of claim 38 further comprising an ontology receiver receiving an initial ontology model, wherein said ontology builder generates the ontology model from the initial ontology model.

40. The system of claim 39 further comprising an ontology format converter, converting the initial ontology model from an external format to an internal format.

41. The system of claim 34 further comprising a program code generator generating executable program code that transforms data conforming to the source data schema into data conforming to the target data schema.

42. The system of claim 34 wherein the source data schema is a source table schema describing source data tables, wherein the target data schema is a target table schema describing target data tables, and wherein the source table schema and the target table schema each describes at least one data table having columns.

43. The system of claim 42 wherein the source table schema is a source relational database schema describing source relational database tables, wherein the target table schema is a target relational database schema describing target database tables, and wherein the transformation is an SQL query.

44. The system of claim 43 wherein said mapping processor comprises:

a class identifier identifying at least one class in the common ontology model corresponding to at least one table; and

a property identifier identifying at least one property or composition of properties in the common ontology model corresponding to at least one table column.

45. The system of claim 44 wherein said property identifier presents a user with a choice of at least one property in the common ontology model that may correspond to a given table column.

46. The system of claim 45 wherein the choice of at least one property only includes properties having targets that are compatible with a data type of the given table column.

47. The system of claim 46 wherein, for a given table column that is a foreign key to a foreign table, the choice of at least one property only includes properties whose target is a class corresponding to the foreign table.

48. The system of claim 43 wherein said transformation processor comprises:

an ontology labeller labeling properties of the common ontology model with symbols;

a column converter converting at least one column in the source relational database schema into at least one source symbol, and converting at least one column in the target relational database schema into at least one target symbol; and

a symbol processor expressing the at least one target symbol in terms of at least one source symbol.

49. The system of claim 48 wherein said symbol processor uses expressions involving composition of properties.

50. The system of claim 48 wherein at least one dependency exists among properties in the ontology model, and wherein said transformation processor further comprises a dependency processor translating the at least one dependency among properties in the ontology model as at least one dependency between target relational database columns and source relational database columns, and wherein said symbol processor incorporates the at least one dependency between target relational database columns and source relational database columns.

51. The system of claim 50 wherein said symbol processor uses expressions involving arithmetic operations.

52. The system of claim 50 wherein said symbol processor uses expressions involving character string operations.

53. The system of claim 43 further comprising:

a data receiver receiving at least one source relational database table; and

a data processor applying the query to the at least one source relational database table to populate at least one target relational database table.

54. The system of claim 53 wherein the at least one source relational database table resides in a single database.

55. The system of claim 53 wherein the at least one source relational database table resides in multiple databases.

56. The system of claim 34 wherein the source data schema comprises a source document schema describing source documents, and wherein the target data schema comprises a target document schema describing target documents.
57. The system of claim 56 wherein the source document schema is a source DTD describing source XML documents, wherein the target document schema is a target DTD describing target XML documents, and wherein the source DTD and the target DTD each describes at least one XML element or XML attribute.

58. The system of claim 57 wherein the transformation is an XQuery.

59. The system of claim 57 wherein the transformation is an XSLT script.

60. The system of claim 56 wherein the source document schema comprises a source XML schema that describes XML source documents, wherein the target document schema comprises a target XML schema that describes XML target documents, and wherein the source XML schema and the target XML schema each comprises at least one XML complexType having at least one XML element or XML attribute.

61. The system of claim 60 wherein the transformation is an XQuery.

62. The system of claim 60 wherein the transformation is an XSLT script.

63. The system of claim 60 wherein said mapping processor comprises:

- a class identifier identifying at least one class in the ontology model corresponding to at least one XML complexType; and
- an property identifier identifying at least one property or composition of properties in the ontology model corresponding to at least one XML element or XML attribute.

64. The system of claim 60 wherein said transformation processor comprises an XML processor expressing XML elements and XML attributes of said target XML schema in terms of XML elements and XML attributes of said source XML schema.

65. The system of claim 64 wherein said XML processor operates recursively through XPath paths.

66. The system of claim 64 wherein at least one dependency exists among properties in the ontology model, and wherein said transformation processor further comprises a dependency processor translating the at least one dependency among properties in the ontology model as at least one dependency between target XML elements or attributes, and source XML elements or attributes, wherein said XML processor incorporates the at least one dependency between target XML elements or attributes, and source XML elements or attributes.

67. The system of claim 60 further comprising

- a data receiver receiving at least one source XML document; and
- a data processor applying the XSLT script to the at least one source XML document to generate at least one target XML document.

68. The system of claim 67 wherein the at least one source XML document reside in a single database.

69. The system of claim 67 wherein the at least one source XML document reside in multiple databases.

70. A method for building an ontology model into which data schema can be embedded, comprising:

- receiving at least one data schema; and
- building an ontology model into which the at least one data schema can be embedded.

71. The method of claim 70 further comprising converting at least one of the at least one data schema from an external format to an internal format.

72. The method of claim 70 wherein the at least one data schema is at least one table schema describing data tables having columns.

73. The method of claim 72 wherein the at least one table schema is at least one relational database schema describing relational database tables.

74. The method of claim 73 wherein said building an ontology model comprises:

- providing an initial ontology model;
- adding classes to the initial ontology model corresponding to tables described in the at least one relational database schema; and
- adding properties to the initial ontology model corresponding to columns described in the at least one relational database schema.

75. The method of claim 74 wherein the initial ontology model is empty.

76. The method of claim 74 wherein the initial ontology model is non-empty.

77. The method of claim 76 further comprising converting the initial ontology model from an external format to an internal format.

78. The method of claim 74 wherein said adding classes is performed by a computer in conjunction with a user.

79. The method of claim 78 wherein said adding classes prompts a user to add a class to the ontology model when there is a table described in the at least one relational database schema that does not correspond to an existing class in the ontology model.

80. The method of claim 74 wherein said adding classes is performed automatically by a computer.

81. The method of claim 80 wherein said adding classes automatically adds a class to the ontology model when there is a table described in the at least one relational database schema that does not correspond to an existing class in the ontology model.

82. The method of claim 74 wherein said adding properties is performed by a computer in conjunction with a user.

83. The method of claim 82 wherein said adding properties prompts a user to add a property to the ontology model when there is a table column described in the at least one relational database schema that does not correspond to an existing property or composition of properties in the ontology model.

84. The method of claim 74 wherein said adding properties is performed automatically by a computer.

85. The method of claim 84 wherein said adding properties automatically adds a property to the ontology model when there is a table column described in the at least one relational database schema that does not correspond to an existing property or composition of properties in the ontology model.

86. The method of claim 70 wherein said building an ontology model comprises inferring inheritance relationships between classes in the ontology model based on relationships between tables described in the at least one relational database schema.
87. The method of claim 86 wherein a first class in the ontology model is inferred to inherit from a second class in the ontology model when a table corresponding to the first class has a primary key that is a foreign key to a table corresponding to the second class.

88. The method of claim 86 wherein said inferring inheritance relationships includes prompting a user to confirm an inferred inheritance relationship.

89. The method of claim 70 wherein the at least one data schema is at least one document schema describing documents.

90. The method of claim 89 wherein the at least one document schema is an XML schema describing XML documents having at least one XML complexType with at least one XML element or XML attribute.

91. The method of claim 90 wherein said building an ontology model comprises:

- providing an initial ontology model;
- adding classes to the initial ontology model corresponding to XML complexTypes described in the at least one XML schema; and
- adding properties to the initial ontology model corresponding to XML elements and XML attributes described in the at least one XML schema.

92. The method of claim 91 wherein the initial ontology model is empty.

93. The method of claim 92 wherein the initial ontology model is non-empty.

94. The method of claim 91 wherein said adding classes is performed by a computer in conjunction with a user.

95. The method of claim 94 wherein said adding classes prompts a user to add a class to the ontology model when there is an XML complexType described in the at least one XML schema that does not correspond to an existing class in the ontology model.

96. The method of claim 91 wherein said adding classes is performed automatically by a computer.

97. The method of claim 96 wherein said adding classes automatically adds a class to the ontology model when there is an XML complexType described in the at least one XML schema that does not correspond to an existing class in the ontology model.

98. The method of claim 91 wherein said adding properties is performed by a computer in conjunction with a user.

99. The method of claim 98 wherein said adding properties prompts a user to add a property to the ontology model when there is an XML element or an XML attribute described in the at least one XML schema that does not correspond to an existing property or composition of properties in the ontology model.

100. The method of claim 91 wherein said adding properties is performed automatically by a computer.

101. The method of claim 100 wherein said adding properties automatically adds a property to the ontology model when there is an XML element or an XML attribute described in the at least one relational database schema that does not correspond to an existing property or composition of properties in the ontology model.

102. A system for building an ontology model into which data schema can be embedded, comprising:

- a schema receiver receiving at least one data schema; and
- a model builder building an ontology model into which the at least one data schema can be embedded.

103. The system of claim 102 further comprising a schema format convertor, converting at least one of the at least one data schema from an external format to an internal format.

104. The system of claim 102 wherein the at least one data schema is at least one table schema describing data tables having columns.

105. The system of claim 104 wherein the at least one table schema is at least one relational database schema describing relational database tables.

106. The system of claim 105 further comprising an ontology receiver receiving an initial ontology model, and wherein said model builder comprises:

- a class adder adding classes to the initial ontology model corresponding to tables described in the at least one relational database schema; and
- a property adder adding properties to the initial ontology model corresponding to tables described in the at least one relational database schema.

107. The system of claim 106 wherein the initial ontology model is empty.

108. The system of claim 106 wherein the initial ontology model is non-empty.

109. The system of claim 108 further comprising an ontology format convertor, converting the initial ontology model from an external format to an internal format.

110. The system of claim 106 wherein said class adder is guided by a user in conjunction with a computer.

111. The system of claim 110 wherein said class adder prompts a user to add a class to the ontology model when there is a table described in the at least one relational database schema that does not correspond to an existing class in the ontology model.

112. The system of claim 106 wherein said class adder is automatically guided by a computer.

113. The system of claim 112 wherein said class adder automatically adds a class to the ontology model when there is a table described in the at least one relational database schema that does not correspond to an existing class in the ontology model.

114. The system of claim 106 wherein said property adder is guided by a user in conjunction with a computer.

115. The system of claim 114 wherein said property adder prompts a user to add a property to the ontology model when there is a table column described in the at least one relational database schema that does not correspond to an existing property or composition of properties in the ontology model.

116. The system of claim 106 wherein said property adder is automatically guided by a computer.

117. The system of claim 116 wherein said property adder automatically adds a property to the ontology model when there is a table column described in the at least one relational database schema that does not correspond to an existing property or composition of properties in the ontology model.

118. The system of claim 105 wherein said model builder comprises an inheritance processor inferring inheritance relationships between classes in the ontology model based on relationships between tables in the at least one relational database schema.

119. The system of claim 118 wherein said inheritance processor infers that a first class in the ontology model
inherits from a second class in the ontology model when a
table corresponding to the first class has a primary key that
is a foreign key to a table corresponding to the second class.

120. The system of claim 118 wherein said model builder
ensures that classes corresponding to tables in the at least
one relational database schema obey the inferred inheritance
relationships.

121. The system of claim 120 wherein said inheritance
processor prompts a user to confirm an inferred inheritance
relationship.

122. The system of claim 102 wherein the at least one data
schema comprises at least one document schema describing
documents.

123. The system of claim 122 wherein the at least one
document schema comprises at least one XML schema that
describes XML documents, wherein having at least one
XML complexType with at least one XML element or XML
attribute.

124. The system of claim 123 further comprising an
ontology receiver receiving an initial ontology model, and
wherein said model builder comprises:

a class adder adding classes to the initial ontology model
corresponding to XML complexTypes described in the
at least one XML schema; and

a property adder adding properties to the initial ontology
model corresponding to table columns in the at least
one relational database schema.

125. The system of claim 124 wherein the initial ontology
model is empty.

126. The system of claim 124 wherein the initial ontology
model is non-empty.

127. The system of claim 124 wherein said class adder is
guided by a user in conjunction with a computer.

128. The system of claim 127 wherein said class adder
prompts a user to add a class to the ontology model when
there is an XML complexType described in the at least one
XML schema that does not correspond to an existing class in
the ontology model.

129. The system of claim 124 wherein said class adder is
automatically guided by a computer.

130. The system of claim 129 wherein said class adder
automatically adds a class to the ontology model when there
is an XML complexType described in the at least one XML
schema that does not correspond to an existing class in the
ontology model.

131. The system of claim 124 wherein said property adder
is guided by a user in conjunction with a computer.

132. The system of claim 131 wherein said property adder
prompts a user to add a property to the ontology model when
there is an XML element or XML attribute described in the
at least one XML schema that does not correspond to an
existing property or composition of properties in the ontol-
ogy model.

133. The system of claim 124 wherein said property adder
is automatically guided by a computer.

134. The system of claim 133 wherein said property adder
automatically adds a property to the ontology model when
there is an XML element or XML attribute described in the
at least one XML schema that does not correspond to an
existing property or composition of properties in the ontol-
ogy model.

135. An article of manufacture including one or more
computer-readable media that embody a program of instruc-
tions for transforming data from one schema to another,
wherein the program of instructions, when executed by a
processing system, causes the processing system to:

receive a source data schema and a target data schema;
map the source data schema into an ontology model;
map the target data schema into the ontology model; and
derive a transformation for transforming data conforming
to the source data schema into data conforming to the
target relational database schema, using the ontology
model.

136. The article of claim 135 wherein the one or more
computer-readable media include one or more non-volatile
storage devices.

137. The article of claim 135 wherein the one or more
computer-readable media include a carrier wave modulated
with a data signal.

138. An article of manufacture including one or more
computer-readable media that embody a program of instruc-
tions for building a common ontology model into which data
schema can be embedded, wherein the program of instruc-
tions, when executed by a processing system, causes the
processing system to:

receive at least one data schema; and
build an ontology model into which the at least one data
schema can be embedded.

139. The article of claim 138 wherein the one or more
computer-readable media include one or more non-volatile
storage devices.

140. The article of claim 138 wherein the one or more
computer-readable media include a carrier wave modulated
with a data signal.