

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property  
Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
6 March 2014 (06.03.2014)

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2014/036349 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification:

A61K 39/008 (2006.01) C12N 15/62 (2006.01)  
C07K 1/113 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2013/057430

(22) International Filing Date:

30 August 2013 (30.08.2013)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

61/694,968 30 August 2012 (30.08.2012) US  
61/830,425 3 June 2013 (03.06.2013) US

(71) Applicant: **MERIAL LIMITED** [US/US]; 3239 Satellite Blvd., Duluth, GA 30096 (US).

(72) Inventors: **FISCHER, Laurent, Bernard**; 17, Chemin Vert, F-69110 Sainte Foy les Lyon (FR). **CARBOULEC, Nicolas Pierre, Yves**; 26 Rue des Manoirs, F-22330 Saint Goueno (FR). **LUX, Fabien**; 12 Bis Avenue Jean Jaures, F-69800 Saint-Priest (FR).

(74) Agent: **JARECKI-BLACK, Judy**; 3239 Satellite Blvd., Duluth, GA 30096 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))
- with sequence listing part of description (Rule 5.2(a))

(54) Title: EXPRESSION OF CHIMERIC KSAC PROTEIN AND METHOD OF PRODUCING SOLUBLE PROTEINS BY HIGH PRESSURE

(57) Abstract: The present invention encompasses vaccines or compositions comprising the chimeric KSAC protein that possesses immunogenic and protective properties, and methods of use including administering to an animal the antigenic KSAC protein thereof to protect animals. The invention also encompasses methods for making and producing the soluble, disaggregated, refolded or active proteins from inclusion bodies produced from prokaryotes or eukaryotes.



WO 2014/036349 A1

EXPRESSION OF CHIMERIC KSAC PROTEIN AND METHOD OF PRODUCING  
SOLUBLE PROTEINS BY HIGH PRESSURE

**CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

- 5 [0001] This application claims benefit of US provisional application Serial No. 61/694,968 filed August 30, 2012, and US provisional application Serial No. 61/830,425 filed June 3, 2013.

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

- 10 [0002] The present invention relates to formulations for combating Leishmania infections in animals or humans. Specifically, the present invention provides pharmaceutical compositions comprising a chimeric Leishmania antigen and method of vaccination against Leishmania. The present invention also relates to methods of producing soluble or disaggregated proteins using high pressure.

15

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

- [0003] Leishmaniasis is a major and severe parasitic disease that affects humans, canines, and to a lesser degree, felines.

- [0004] Leishmania and Viannia subgenera are grouped into complexes of species and  
20 subspecies based upon molecular, biochemical and immunological similarities. There are several forms of the disease named by their clinical presentation including cutaneous, mucocutaneous or visceral leishmaniasis. Each of these forms of disease is caused by different species of sand flies found in different regions of the world. Cutaneous leishmaniasis of humans is associated with members of *L. aethiopica*, *L. major*, and *L.*  
25 *tropica* complexes in the Old World and *L. mexicana* and *L. braziliensis* complexes in the New World. Visceral leishmaniasis is caused by *L. donovani* and *L. infantum* in Old World regions while *L. chagasi* is primarily responsible for visceral disease in the New World. Because *L. infantum* is the primary agent associated with canine leishmaniasis, infections in dogs often are regarded as visceral even though they tend to cause both  
30 visceral and cutaneous disease.

[0005] The agent of visceral leishmaniasis is a protozoan parasite and belongs to the *leishmania donovani* complex. This parasite is widely distributed in temperate and subtropical countries of Southern Europe, Africa, Asia, South America and Central America (Desjeux P. *et al.*, 1984, Nucl. Acids Res., 12:387-395). *Leishmania donovani*  
5 *infantum* (*L. infantum*) is responsible for the feline and canine disease in Southern Europe, Africa, and Asia. In South America and Central America, the agent is *Leishmania donovani chagasi* (*L. chagasi*), which is closely related to *L. infantum*. In humans, the agent is *Leishmania donovani donovani* (*L. donovani*), which is also related to *L. infantum* and *L. chagasi*.

10 [0006] Leishmaniasis is a slowly progressive disease that can take up to 7 years to become clinically apparent (McConkey SE *et al.*, 2002, Canine Vet J 43:607-609). Even then, signs are frequently nonspecific and a diagnosis of Leishmania is seldomly considered. Dogs are most commonly infected with *L. infantum* (*L. donovani* complex) which is responsible for viscerotropic disease in people. However, up to 90% of infected  
15 dogs present with both visceral and cutaneous lesions (Slappendel RJ *et al.*, 1998, In: Greene CE: Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat, pp450-458). On the other hand, many dogs appear naturally resistant to this parasite and may remain asymptomatic despite known infection (Grosjean NL *et al.*, 2002, Vet Rec 150:241-244). It is estimated that only 10% of dogs residing in endemic areas actually develop clinical disease  
20 (Lindsay DS *et al.*, 2002, Compend Cont Educ Pract Vet 24:304-312). This lower incidence of clinical disease is attributed to a genetic predisposition of certain dogs to mount a more protective cell-mediated immune response than a humoral response (Lindsay DS *et al.*, McConkey SE *et al.*, Slappendel RJ, *et al.*). Furthermore, it has been reported that up to 20% of infected dogs may mount an adequate immune response and  
25 spontaneously recover from clinical illness (McConkey SE *et al.*). In animals that mount a humoral response, IgG1 appears to correlate with clinical disease while asymptomatic dogs have higher IgG2 antibody levels (Lindsay *et al.*).

[0007] Some of the more frequently reported clinical signs of leishmaniasis include listlessness, fatigue and exercise intolerance coupled with anorexia and weight loss that  
30 eventually culminate as wasting disease (McConkey SE *et al.*). These signs may or may not be accompanied by fever, local or generalized lymphadenopathy (90%) and/or

hepatosplenomegaly (Grosjean NL *et al.*, 2003, J Am Vet Med Assoc 222:603-606; Lindsay DS *et al.*, McConkey SE *et al.*; Martínez-Subiela S *et al.*, 2002, Vet Rec 150:241-244). Articular involvement is also fairly common and may present as lameness with swollen joints or simply as a stiff gait. Less common findings include ocular lesions (<5%), chronic diarrhea (30%) and long, deformed brittle nails (20%) referred to as onychogryphosis (Lindsay DS *et al.*, Slappendel RJ *et al.*). Cutaneous lesions are present in up to 89% of infected dogs, with or without overt signs of visceral involvement. Lesions of cutaneous leishmaniasis may occur anywhere on the body but the most common sites are those which are exposed to the environment and are therefore more susceptible to bites from the sand flies. The initial papule rapidly gives rise to an ulcer. Visceral leishmaniasis is invariably fatal if not treated promptly. Visceral leishmaniasis affects the internal body organs, specifically the spleen and the liver.

[0008] Dogs are considered the major reservoir of Leishmaniasis. The disease is characterized by chronic evolution of viscero-cutaneous signs occurring in less than 50% of infected animals (Lanotte G. *et al.*, 1979, Ann. Parasitol. Hum. Comp. 54:277-95). Both asymptomatic and symptomatic dogs with detectable antibodies may be infectious (Molina R. *et al.*, 1994, Trans. R. Soc. Med. Hyg. 88:491-3; Courtenay O. *et al.*, 2002, J. Infect. Dis., 186 :1314-20). Cats may also be carriers of the protozoan parasites and are thus considered secondary potential reservoirs.

[0009] Due to a number of factors, treatment options for leishmaniasis in dogs and response to therapy are limited at best. For some undefined reason, visceral leishmaniasis is more difficult to treat in dogs than in humans. No treatment option is 100% effective in clearing parasitic infection and clinical disease often reappears with cessation of therapy (Lindsay DS *et al.*). In endemic areas, the most common treatment regimen has been a combination of allopurinol with a pentavalent antimonial such as meglumine antimonite or sodium stibogluconate (Lindsay DS *et al.*, Slappendel RJ *et al.*). However, in recent years this protocol has fallen out of favor due to increasing resistance of the parasite to the drug as well as adverse side effects associated with these compounds (Lindsay DS *et al.*). To further limit treatment options, PENTOSTAM® (sodium stibogluconate) is the only available antimonial in the United States and its distribution is regulated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in Atlanta, GA

(Lindsay DS *et al.*). Other investigations have sought to identify methods of preventing and treating leishmaniasis through, for example, administration of antigenic fusion polypeptides (see US 2009/0291099 which is hereby incorporated herein by reference in its entirety).

5 [0010] Different protocols have been tried but have proven no more efficacious at clearing parasitic infection or at preventing clinical relapse. In addition, each protocol is associated with potential adverse effects. Amphotericin B binds sterols and disrupts cell membrane permeability but is nephrotoxic (Lindsay DS *et al.*). When given parenterally, Paramomycin acts synergistically with antimonials causing higher levels of the  
10 antimonial for longer periods of time but is also nephrotoxic and is not currently recommended for clinical use (Lindsay DS *et al.*). Pentamidine isethionate is effective against leishmaniasis but requires at least 15 intramuscular injections and is quite painful (Lindsay DS *et al.*). Ketaconazole, miconazole, fluconazole and itraconazole are oral drugs that may be useful in containing the disease but are cost prohibitive and carry the  
15 risk of drug resistance when treating patients symptomatically. In summary, the various treatment regimens for leishmaniasis in dogs have been investigated but are not 100% efficacious; relapses are the rule rather than the exception. Ultimately, the veterinary practitioner is faced with the dilemma of treating symptomatic outbreaks of leishmaniasis in dogs at the risk of developing drug resistant strains of this parasite within the United  
20 States.

[0011] Mass detection of seropositive dogs followed by culling and/or drug treatment, or the mass application of deltamethrin-impregnated collars, was shown to have an impact in reducing human and canine Leishmaniasis prevalence in endemic areas of Southern Europe, Africa, and Asia (Maroli M. *et al.*, 2001, Med. Vet. Entomol. 15:358-63;  
25 Mazloumi Gavgani A.S. *et al.*, 2002, Lancet 360:374-9), although the efficacy of eliminating seropositive canines has been debated (Dietze R. *et al.*, 1997, Clin. Infect. Dis. 25:1240-2; Moreira Jr. E.D. *et al.*, 2004, Vet. Parasitol. 122:245-52). These control measures are either considered unacceptable, expensive or not effective (Gradoni L. *et al.*, 2005, Vaccine 23:5245-51).

30 [0012] Mathematical models used to compare the effectiveness of various tools for controlling Leishmaniasis suggest that a canine vaccine may be the most practical and

effective method (Dye C., 1996, Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 55:125-30). Therefore, the development of vaccines able to protect canines from Leishmaniasis and/or to prevent disease progression in infected animals is highly desirable for the implementation of Leishmaniasis control programs as well for the veterinary community (Gradoni L. *et al.*).

5 [0013] Haynes et al. (Biotechnol. Prog., 2010, Vol. 26, No. 3, 743-749) discuss the use of high hydrostatic pressure to achieve high solubility and high refolding yields of growth hormone (GH) produced in E.coli inclusion bodies. US6,489,450, US7,064,192, US7,767,795 and US7,615,617 disclose reversing aggregation and increasing refolding of denatured proteins by application of high pressure.

10 [0014] There remains a need for effective and efficient methods of producing subunit (protein) vaccine for the treatment of Leishmania. The vaccine formulation and the method of producing such vaccine of the present invention fulfill this long felt need in the art.

## 15 **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

[0015] The present invention demonstrated for the first time that a chimeric KSAC protein expressed in E. coli inclusion bodies was substantially solubilized and refolded after high pressure treatment.

[0016] The present invention showed surprising result that application of stepwise  
20 increase of pressure coupled with prolonged treatment of inclusion bodies under high pressure produced high yield of soluble, disaggregated, refolded and active proteins.

[0017] Compositions and vaccines comprising the chimeric KSAC protein are provided. Such vaccines or compositions can be used to vaccinate an animal and provide protection against Leishmaniasis. The KSAC protein may be expressed in E. coli inclusion bodies  
25 and is subsequently solubilized by high pressure treatment. The KSAC protein possesses immunogenic and protective properties.

[0018] Methods of the invention include methods for making and producing soluble, disaggregated, refolded or active proteins from inclusion bodies under high pressure for a prolonged period of time. Methods also include the methods of use including  
30 administering to an animal an effective amount of the antigenic KSAC protein thereof to elicit a protective immunogenic response.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

[0019] The following detailed description, given by way of example, and which is not intended to limit the invention to specific embodiments described, may be understood in conjunction with the accompanying figures, incorporated herein by reference, in which:

[0020] Figure 1 is a table showing the SEQ ID NO assigned to each DNA and protein sequence.

[0021] Figures 2A-2C show the DNA and protein sequences.

[0022] Figure 3 is a graph representation of the pressure and time treatment of KSAC inclusion bodies.

[0023] Figure 4 is a schematic representation of comparison between high pressure treatment and classical chromatography refolding.

[0024] Figure 5 is the graphic representation of KSAC refolding process.

[0025] Figure 6 depicts the SDS-PAGE of KSAC refolded by exclusion chromatography.

[0026] Figure 7 depicts the HPLC plot of refolded KSAC by exclusion chromatography.

[0027] Figures 8A and 8B depict the dynamic light scattering (DLS) of refolded KSAC protein using exclusion chromatography.

[0028] Figure 9 depicts the Qdot-blot of KSAC samples treated with 3000 bar.

[0029] Figure 10 depicts the SDS-PAGE of KSAC samples treated with 3000 bar.

[0030] Figure 11 depicts the HPLC of control samples and solubilized KSAC after 3000 bar treatment.

[0031] Figure 12 depicts the superposed HPLC chromatogram of the supernatant of the 3000 bar pressure treated KSAC samples and the KSAC protein obtained with the classical refolding process.

[0032] Figure 13 depicts the superposition of the DLS data obtained with the 3000 bar pressurized protein in the buffer without urea and the protein obtained with the classical refolding process.

[0033] Figure 14 shows the effect of pressure and buffer on the protein sizes.

[0034] Figure 15 depicts the HPLC chromatogram of 4000 bar treated samples.

[0035] Figure 16 shows the DLS size distribution by number of the 5000 bar treated samples without urea.

[0036] Figure 17 shows the comparison of KSAC soluble protein content determined by HPLC and Qdot-blot.

[0037] Figures 18A and 18B depict the SDS-PAGE analysis of KSAC samples after high pressure treatments.

5 [0038] Figures 19A-19D depict the Q-Dot Blott analysis of KSAC samples after high pressure treatments.

[0039] Figure 20 depicts the HPLC analysis of KSAC samples after process A treatment.

[0040] Figure 21 depicts the HPLC analysis of KSAC samples after process B treatment.

[0041] Figure 22 depicts the HPLC analysis of KSAC samples after process A, process B  
10 and classical process treatments.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

[0042] It is noted that in this disclosure and particularly in the claims, terms such as “comprises”, “comprised”, “comprising” and the like can have the meaning attributed to  
15 it in U.S. Patent law; e.g., they can mean “includes”, “included”, “including”, and the like; and that terms such as “consisting essentially of” and “consists essentially of” have the meaning ascribed to them in U.S. Patent law, e.g., they allow for elements not explicitly recited, but exclude elements that are found in the prior art or that affect a basic or novel characteristic of the invention.

20 [0043] Unless otherwise noted, technical terms are used according to conventional usage. Definitions of common terms in molecular biology may be found in Benjamin Lewin, Genes V. published by Oxford University Press, 1994 (ISBN 0-19-854287-9); Kendrew et al. (eds.), The Encyclopedia of Molecular Biology, published by Blackwell Science Ltd., 1994 (ISBN 0-632-02182-9); and Robert A. Meyers (ed.), Molecular Biology and  
25 Biotechnology: a Comprehensive Desk Reference, published by VCH Publishers, Inc., 1995 (ISBN 1-56081-569-8). The singular terms “a,” “an,” and “the” include plural referents unless context clearly indicates otherwise. Similarly, the word “or” is intended to include “and” unless the context clearly indicate otherwise. The word “or” means any one member of a particular list and also includes any combination of members of that list.

30 [0044] The term “animal” is used herein to include all mammals, birds and fish. The animal as used herein may be selected from the group consisting of equine (e.g., horse),



canine (e.g., dogs, wolves, foxes, coyotes, jackals), feline (e.g., lions, tigers, domestic cats, wild cats, other big cats, and other felines including cheetahs and lynx), bovine (e.g., cattle), swine (e.g., pig), ovine (e.g., sheep, goats, lammas, bison), avian (e.g., chicken, duck, goose, turkey, quail, pheasant, parrot, finches, hawk, crow, ostrich, emu and cassowary), primate (e.g., prosimian, tarsier, monkey, gibbon, ape), humans, and fish. The term “animal” also includes an individual animal in all stages of development, including embryonic and fetal stages.

[0045] The terms “polypeptide” and “protein” are used interchangeably herein to refer to a polymer of consecutive amino acid residues.

10 [0046] The terms “nucleic acid”, “nucleotide”, and “polynucleotide” are used interchangeably and refer to RNA, DNA, cDNA, or rRNA and derivatives thereof, such as those containing modified backbones. It should be appreciated that the invention provides polynucleotides comprising sequences complementary to those described herein. The “polynucleotide” contemplated in the present invention includes both the forward strand (5’ to 3’) and reverse complementary strand (3’ to 5’). Polynucleotides according to the invention can be prepared in different ways (e.g. by chemical synthesis, by gene cloning etc.) and can take various forms (e.g. linear or branched, single or double stranded, or a hybrid thereof, primers, probes etc.).

[0047] The term “genomic DNA” or “genome” is used interchangeably and refers to the heritable genetic information of a host organism. The genomic DNA comprises the DNA of the nucleus (also referred to as chromosomal DNA) but also the DNA of the plastids (e.g., chloroplasts) and other cellular organelles (e.g., mitochondria). The genomic DNA or genome contemplated in the present invention also refers to the RNA of a virus. The RNA may be a positive strand or a negative strand RNA. The term “genomic DNA” contemplated in the present invention includes the genomic DNA containing sequences complementary to those described herein. The term “genomic DNA” also refers to messenger RNA (mRNA), complementary DNA (cDNA), and complementary RNA (cRNA).

[0048] The term “gene” is used broadly to refer to any segment of polynucleotide associated with a biological function. Thus, genes or polynucleotides include introns and exons as in genomic sequence, or just the coding sequences as in cDNAs, such as an

open reading frame (ORF), starting from the start codon (methionine codon) and ending with a termination signal (stop codon). Genes and polynucleotides can also include regions that regulate their expression, such as transcription initiation, translation and transcription termination. Thus, also included are promoters and ribosome binding regions (in general these regulatory elements lie approximately between 60 and 250 nucleotides upstream of the start codon of the coding sequence or gene; Doree S M *et al.*; Pandher K *et al.*; Chung J Y *et al.*), transcription terminators (in general the terminator is located within approximately 50 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon of the coding sequence or gene; Ward C K *et al.*). Gene or polynucleotide also refers to a nucleic acid fragment that expresses mRNA or functional RNA, or encodes a specific protein, and which includes regulatory sequences.

[0049] The term “heterologous DNA” as used herein refers to the DNA derived from a different organism, such as a different cell type or a different species from the recipient. The term also refers to a DNA or fragment thereof on the same genome of the host DNA wherein the heterologous DNA is inserted into a region of the genome which is different from its original location.

[0050] As used herein, the term “antigen” or “immunogen” means a substance that induces a specific immune response in a host animal. The antigen may comprise a whole organism, killed, attenuated or live; a subunit or portion of an organism; a recombinant vector containing an insert with immunogenic properties; a piece or fragment of DNA capable of inducing an immune response upon presentation to a host animal; a polypeptide, an epitope, a hapten, or any combination thereof. Alternately, the immunogen or antigen may comprise a toxin or antitoxin.

[0051] The term “immunogenic protein or peptide” as used herein includes polypeptides that are immunologically active in the sense that once administered to the host, it is able to evoke an immune response of the humoral and/or cellular type directed against the protein. Preferably the protein fragment is such that it has substantially the same immunological activity as the total protein. Thus, a protein fragment according to the invention comprises or consists essentially of or consists of at least one epitope or antigenic determinant. An “immunogenic” protein or polypeptide, as used herein, includes the full-length sequence of the protein, analogs thereof, or immunogenic

fragments thereof. By "immunogenic fragment" is meant a fragment of a protein which includes one or more epitopes and thus elicits the immunological response described above. Such fragments can be identified using any number of epitope mapping techniques, well known in the art.

5 [0052] The term "immunogenic protein or peptide" further contemplates deletions, additions and substitutions to the sequence, so long as the polypeptide functions to produce an immunological response as defined herein. The term "conservative variation" denotes the replacement of an amino acid residue by another biologically similar residue, or the replacement of a nucleotide in a nucleic acid sequence such that the encoded amino  
10 acid residue does not change or is another biologically similar residue. In this regard, particularly preferred substitutions will generally be conservative in nature, i.e., those substitutions that take place within a family of amino acids. For example, amino acids are generally divided into four families: (1) acidic--aspartate and glutamate; (2) basic--lysine, arginine, histidine; (3) non-polar--alanine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, proline,  
15 phenylalanine, methionine, tryptophan; and (4) uncharged polar--glycine, asparagine, glutamine, cysteine, serine, threonine, tyrosine. Phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine are sometimes classified as aromatic amino acids. Examples of conservative variations include the substitution of one hydrophobic residue such as isoleucine, valine, leucine or methionine for another hydrophobic residue, or the substitution of one polar residue for  
20 another polar residue, such as the substitution of arginine for lysine, glutamic acid for aspartic acid, or glutamine for asparagine, and the like; or a similar conservative replacement of an amino acid with a structurally related amino acid that will not have a major effect on the biological activity. Proteins having substantially the same amino acid sequence as the reference molecule but possessing minor amino acid substitutions that do  
25 not substantially affect the immunogenicity of the protein are, therefore, within the definition of the reference polypeptide. All of the polypeptides produced by these modifications are included herein. The term "conservative variation" also includes the use of a substituted amino acid in place of an unsubstituted parent amino acid provided that antibodies raised to the substituted polypeptide also immunoreact with the unsubstituted  
30 polypeptide.

[0053] The term "epitope" refers to the site on an antigen or hapten to which specific B cells and/or T cells respond. The term is also used interchangeably with "antigenic determinant" or "antigenic determinant site". Antibodies that recognize the same epitope can be identified in a simple immunoassay showing the ability of one antibody to block  
5 the binding of another antibody to a target antigen.

[0054] An "immunological response" to a composition or vaccine is the development in the host of a cellular and/or antibody-mediated immune response to a composition or vaccine of interest. Usually, an "immunological response" includes but is not limited to one or more of the following effects: the production of antibodies, B cells, helper T cells,  
10 and/or cytotoxic T cells, directed specifically to an antigen or antigens included in the composition or vaccine of interest. Preferably, the host will display either a therapeutic or protective immunological response such that resistance to new infection will be enhanced and/or the clinical severity of the disease reduced. Such protection will be demonstrated by either a reduction or lack of symptoms normally displayed by an  
15 infected host, a quicker recovery time and/or a lowered viral titer in the infected host.

[0055] The terms "recombinant" and "genetically modified" are used interchangeably and refer to any modification, alteration or engineering of a polynucleotide or protein in its native form or structure, or any modification, alteration or engineering of a  
20 polynucleotide or protein in its native environment or surrounding. The modification, alteration or engineering of a polynucleotide or protein may include, but is not limited to, deletion of one or more nucleotides or amino acids, deletion of an entire gene, codon-optimization of a gene, conservative substitution of amino acids, insertion of one or more heterologous polynucleotides.

[0056] The term "inclusion bodies" as used herein refers to inactive aggregates of  
25 heterologous proteins expressed in prokaryotes or eukaryotes. The terms "substantially soluble", "substantially solubilized", "substantially disaggregated" or "substantially refolded" are used interchangeably herein to refer to aggregated proteins in inclusion bodies that are at least 50%, at least 60%, at least 70%, at least 80%, at least 90%, at least 95%, or at least 98% soluble in aqueous solution, or are disaggregated, or are refolded to  
30 active form after treatments. Refolding means that a fully or partially denatured protein adopts secondary, tertiary and quaternary structure like that of the native molecule.

[0057] One embodiment of the invention provides a composition or vaccine comprising a protein produced from *E. coli*. The protein may be a fusion protein comprising two or more immunogenic portions of Leishmania protein selected from Kinetoplastid Membrane Protein 11 (KMP11), Sterol MethylTransferase (SMT), A2 and Cysteine Proteinase (CP). The protein may be a fusion protein comprising Leishmania KMP11, SMT, A2 and CP designated as chimeric KSAC protein. In one aspect of the embodiment, the KSAC protein is solubilized from the *E. coli* inclusion bodies by a high pressure. In another aspect, the KSAC protein is substantially soluble in an aqueous solution or substantially refolded.

10 [0058] Moreover, homologs of aforementioned proteins or polynucleotides are intended to be within the scope of the present invention. As used herein, the term “homologs” includes orthologs, analogs and paralogs. The term “analogs” refers to two polynucleotides or polypeptides that have the same or similar function, but that have evolved separately in unrelated organisms. The term “orthologs” refers to two  
15 polynucleotides or polypeptides from different species, but that have evolved from a common ancestral gene by speciation. Normally, orthologs encode polypeptides having the same or similar functions. The term “paralogs” refers to two polynucleotides or polypeptides that are related by duplication within a genome. Paralogs usually have different functions, but these functions may be related. Analogs, orthologs, and paralogs  
20 of a wild-type polypeptide can differ from the wild-type polypeptide by post-translational modifications, by amino acid sequence differences, or by both. In particular, homologs of the invention will generally exhibit at least 80-85%, 85-90%, 90-95%, or 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99% sequence identity, with all or part of the polynucleotide or polypeptide sequences described above, and will exhibit a similar function.

25 [0059] In one embodiment, the chimeric KSAC protein has at least 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98% or 99% sequence identity to a polypeptide having the sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO:2. In another embodiment, the polynucleotide encoding the chimeric KSAC protein has at least 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98% or 99% sequence identity to a polypeptide having the sequence as set forth in  
30 SEQ ID NO:2. In yet another embodiment, the KSAC encoding polynucleotide has at

least 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98% or 99% sequence identity to a polynucleotide having the sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO:1.

[0060] The term “identity” with respect to sequences can refer to, for example, the number of positions with identical nucleotides or amino acids divided by the number of nucleotides or amino acids in the shorter of the two sequences wherein alignment of the two sequences can be determined in accordance with the Wilbur and Lipman algorithm (Wilbur and Lipman). The sequence identity or sequence similarity of two amino acid sequences, or the sequence identity between two nucleotide sequences can be determined using Vector NTI software package (Invitrogen, 1600 Faraday Ave., Carlsbad, CA).

10 When RNA sequences are said to be similar, or have a degree of sequence identity or homology with DNA sequences, thymidine (T) in the DNA sequence is considered equal to uracil (U) in the RNA sequence. Thus, RNA sequences are within the scope of the invention and can be derived from DNA sequences, by thymidine (T) in the DNA sequence being considered equal to uracil (U) in RNA sequences.

15 [0061] The polynucleotides of the disclosure include sequences that are degenerate as a result of the genetic code, e.g., optimized codon usage for a specific host. As used herein, “optimized” refers to a polynucleotide that is genetically engineered to increase its expression in a given species. To provide optimized polynucleotides coding for KSAC polypeptides, the DNA sequence of the KSAC protein gene can be modified to 1) 20 comprise codons preferred by highly expressed genes in a particular species; 2) comprise an A+T or G+C content in nucleotide base composition to that substantially found in said species; 3) form an initiation sequence of said species; or 4) eliminate sequences that cause destabilization, inappropriate polyadenylation, degradation and termination of RNA, or that form secondary structure hairpins or RNA splice sites. Increased expression 25 of KSAC protein in said species can be achieved by utilizing the distribution frequency of codon usage in eukaryotes and prokaryotes, or in a particular species. The term “frequency of preferred codon usage” refers to the preference exhibited by a specific host cell in usage of nucleotide codons to specify a given amino acid. There are 20 natural amino acids, most of which are specified by more than one codon. Therefore, all 30 degenerate nucleotide sequences are included in the disclosure as long as the amino acid

sequence of the KSAC polypeptide encoded by the nucleotide sequence is functionally unchanged.

[0062] In another embodiment, the present invention provides a method for producing a soluble, disaggregated, refolded or active protein expressed in prokaryotes or eukaryotes comprising the steps of (i) preparing the inclusion bodies in a buffer containing no or low concentration of urea to form inclusion body suspension; and (ii) subjecting the inclusion body suspension to a high pressure for a period of time.

[0063] In yet another embodiment, the present invention provides a method of producing a soluble, disaggregated, refolded or active protein expressed in prokaryotes or eukaryotes comprising the steps of (i) preparing the inclusion bodies in a buffer containing no or low concentration of urea to form inclusion body suspension; (ii) subjecting the inclusion body suspension to a gradual increase of pressure over a period of time; and (iii) maintaining the high pressure applied to the inclusion bodies for a period of time.

[0064] In one aspect of the embodiment, the buffer may contain DiThio Threitol (DTT). In another aspect, the DTT concentration may range from about 1mM to about 100mM, about 1mM to about 90mM, about 1mM to about 70mM, about 1mM to about 60mM, about 1mM to about 50mM, or about 1mM, 2mM, 3mM, 4mM, 5mM, 6mM, 7mM, 8mM, 9mM, 10mM, 15mM, 20mM, 25mM, 30mM, 35mM, 40mM, 45mM, 50mM, 55mM, 60mM, 65mM, 70mM, 75mM, 80mM, 85mM, 90mM, 95mM, 100mM.

[0065] In one aspect, urea may not be present in the buffer. In another aspect, urea may be present in the buffer at the concentration of about 1M, about 2M, about 3M, about 4M, about 5M, about 6M, about 7M, about 8M, about 9 M, and about 10M.

[0066] In another aspect of the embodiment, the high pressure may be in the range from about 1000 bar to about 5000 bar, from about 2000 bar to about 4000 bar. The high pressure may be any pressure in the range from about 2000 bar to about 4000 bar, for example, but not limiting to, 2000 bar, 2100 bar, 2200 bar, 2300 bar, 2400 bar, 2500 bar, 2600 bar, 2700 bar, 2800 bar, 2900 bar, 3000 bar, 3100 bar, 3200 bar, 3300 bar, 3400 bar, 3500 bar, 3600 bar, 3700 bar, 3800 bar, 3900 bar, and 4000 bar.

[0067] In another aspect of the embodiment, the gradual increase of the pressure may be done continuously or stepwise. In one aspect, the gradual increase of the pressure is

applied to the inclusion body suspension by continuously increasing the pressure at a constant rate over a period of time to reach the desired final high pressure. For example, the pressure is increased at the rate of about 200 bar/min – about 1000 bar/min

continuously over about 2 min – about 10 min to reach 2000 bar, at the rate of about 200

5 bar/min – about 1000 bar/min continuously over about 3 min – about 15 min to reach 3000 bar, at the rate of about 200 bar/min – about 1000 bar/min continuously over about

4 min – about 20 min to reach 4000 bar, at the rate of about 200 bar/min – about 1000

bar/min continuously over about 5 min – about 25 min to reach 5000 bar. In another

aspect, the gradual increase of the pressure is applied stepwise. For example, the

10 pressure is increased at about 1000 bar/min for about one minute to reach 1000 bar, then

the 1000 bar pressure is maintained for about one hour to relax the protein, after the

relaxation period, the pressure is increased again at about 1000 bar/min for about one

minute to reach the final desired high pressure of 2000 bar. The pressure may also be

increased at about 1000 bar/min for about thirty seconds to reach 500 bar, the 500 bar

15 pressure is maintained for about one hour to relax the protein, then the pressure is

increased again at about 1000 bar/min for about thirty seconds to reach 1000 bar, the

1000 bar pressure is maintained for about one hour to relax the protein, the pressure is

increased again at about 1000 bar/min for about thirty seconds to reach 1500 bar, the

1500 bar pressure is maintained for about one hour to relax the protein, then the pressure

20 is increased again at about 1000 bar/min to reach the final desired pressure of 2000 bar.

To reach the final desired high pressure of 3000 bar, 4000 bar, and 5000 bar, the same

stepwise increase of the pressure at about 1000 bar/min for about one minute or about 30

seconds with intermediate relaxation of protein for about one hour may be employed.

For example, to reach the target pressure of 3000 bar, the pressure is increased at about

25 1000 bar/min for about one minute to reach 1000 bar, then the 1000 bar pressure is

maintained for about one hour to relax the protein, the pressure is increased again at

about 1000 bar/min for about one minute to reach the pressure of 2000 bar, then the 2000

bar pressure is maintained for about one hour to relax the protein for the second time, the

pressure is increased again at about 1000 bar/min for about one minute to reach the final

30 desired pressure of 3000 bar. To reach the target pressure of 3000 bar, the pressure can

also be increased at about 1000 bar/min for about thirty seconds, with a plateau of 1 hour



duration at each 500 bar, and the target pressure of 3000 bar may be reached after 5 hr. To reach the final desired pressure of 4000 bar, the pressure is increased at about 1000 bar/min for about one minute to reach 1000 bar, then the 1000 bar pressure is maintained for about one hour to relax the protein, the pressure is increased again at about 1000  
5 bar/min for about one minute to reach the pressure of 2000 bar, then the 2000 bar pressure is maintained for about one hour to relax the protein for the second time, the pressure is increased again at about 1000 bar/min for about one minute to reach the final desired pressure of 3000 bar, then the 3000 bar pressure is maintained for about one hour to relax the protein for the third time, the pressure is increased again at 1000 bar/min for  
10 about one minute to reach the final desired pressure of 4000 bar. To reach the target pressure of 4000 bar, the pressure can also be increased at about 1000 bar/min for about thirty seconds, with a plateau of 1 hour duration at each 500 bar, and the target pressure of 4000 bar may be reached after 7 hr.

[0068] The inclusion body suspension may be treated under the high pressure for about  
15 10 hours to about 100 hours, about 20 hours to about 100 hours. The high pressure treatment is preferably for more than 24 hours, for example, for about 25 hours to about 100 hours, about 25 hours to about 80 hours, about 25 hours to about 60 hours, about 25 hours to about 50 hours, about 25 hours, about 26 hours, about 27 hours, about 28 hours, about 29 hours, about 30 hours, about 31 hours, about 32 hours, about 33 hours, about 34  
20 hours, about 35 hours, about 36 hours, about 37 hours, about 38 hours, about 39 hours, about 40 hours, about 41 hours, about 42 hours, about 43 hours, about 44 hours, about 45 hours, about 46 hours, about 47 hours, about 48 hours, about 49 hours, about 50 hours.

[0069] In another embodiment, the present invention provides a method for producing a soluble, disaggregated, refolded or active protein expressed in prokaryotes or eukaryotes  
25 comprising the steps of (i) preparing the inclusion bodies in a buffer containing no or low concentration of urea to form inclusion body suspension; (ii) subjecting the inclusion body suspension to a gradual increase of pressure over a period of time; (iii) maintaining the high pressure applied to the inclusion bodies for a period of time; and (iv) recovering the protein by depressurization.

30 [0070] Depressurization may be performed at the rate of about 83 bar/hr – 200 bar/hr.

[0071] The prokaryotes contemplated in the present invention may include *Avibacterium*, *Brucella*, *Escherichia coli*, *Haemophilus* (e.g., *Haemophilus suis*), *Salmonella* (e.g., *Salmonella enteridis*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Salmonella infantis*), *Shigella*, *Pasteurella*, and *Rimeirella*.

5 [0072] In prokaryotic systems, a number of expression vectors may be selected. Such vectors include, but are not limited to, the multifunctional *E. coli* cloning and expression vectors such as PBLUESCRIPT (Stratagene); piN vectors (Van Heeke & Schuster, *J. Biol. Chern.* 264:5503-5509 (1989)); and the like; PGEX Vectors (Promega, Madison, Wis.); In eukaryotic systems, the cell lines may be yeast (such as  
10 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Pichia pastoris*), baculovirus cells, mammalian cells, plant cells. The expression vectors of eukaryotic systems include, but are not limited to, pVR1020 or pVT1012 vectors (Vical Inc., San Diego, CA), PichiaPink Vector (Invitrogen, CA, USA), pFasBac TOPO vector (Invitrogen).

[0073] The method for producing a soluble, disaggregated, refolded or active protein  
15 expressed in prokaryotes or eukaryotes provided in the present invention may be used to solubilize any proteins. The proteins may include antibodies and insulin.

[0074] In another embodiment, the present invention provides a composition or vaccine comprising the chimeric KSAC protein aforementioned and a pharmaceutically or  
veterinarily acceptable carrier, excipient, vehicle or adjuvant.

20 [0075] The pharmaceutically or veterinarily acceptable carriers or adjuvant or vehicles or excipients are well known to the one skilled in the art. The pharmaceutically or veterinarily acceptable carrier or adjuvant or vehicle or excipients that can be used for methods of this invention include, but are not limited to, 0.9% NaCl (e.g., saline) solution or a phosphate buffer, poly-(L-glutamate) or polyvinylpyrrolidone. The pharmaceutically  
25 or veterinarily acceptable carrier or vehicle or excipients may be any compound or combination of compounds facilitating the administration of the vector (or protein expressed from an inventive vector *in vitro*), or facilitating transfection or infection and/or improve preservation of the vector (or protein). Doses and dose volumes are herein discussed in the general description and can also be determined by the skilled  
30 artisan from this disclosure read in conjunction with the knowledge in the art, without any undue experimentation.

[0076] Optionally other compounds may be added as pharmaceutically or veterinarily acceptable carriers or adjuvant or vehicles or excipients, including, but not limited to, alum; CpG oligonucleotides (ODN), in particular ODN 2006, 2007, 2059, or 2135 (Pontarollo R.A. *et al.*, *Vet. Immunol. Immunopath*, 2002, 84: 43-59; Wernette C.M. *et al.*, *Vet. Immunol. Immunopath*, 2002, 84: 223-236; Mutwiri G. *et al.*, *Vet. Immunol. Immunopath*, 2003, 91: 89-103); polyA-polyU, dimethyldioctadecylammonium bromide (DDA) ("Vaccine Design The Subunit and Adjuvant Approach", edited by Michael F. Powell and Mark J. Newman, *Pharmaceutical Biotechnology*, 6: p.03, p.157); N,N-dioctadecyl-N',N'-bis(2-hydroxyethyl) propanediamine (such as AVRIDINE<sup>®</sup>) (*Ibid*, p. 148); carbomer, chitosan (*see* US Patent No. 5,980,912 for example).

[0077] The pharmaceutical compositions and vaccines according to the invention may comprise or consist essentially of one or more adjuvants. Suitable adjuvants for use in the practice of the present invention are (1) polymers of acrylic or methacrylic acid, maleic anhydride and alkenyl derivative polymers, (2) immunostimulating sequences (ISS), such as oligodeoxyribonucleotide sequences having one or more non-methylated CpG units (Klinman *et al.*, 1996; WO98/16247), (3) an oil in water emulsion, such as the SPT emulsion described on p 147 of "Vaccine Design, The Subunit and Adjuvant Approach" published by M. Powell, M. Newman, Plenum Press 1995, and the emulsion MF59 described on p 183 of the same work, (4) cation lipids containing a quaternary ammonium salt, e.g., DDA (5) cytokines, (6) aluminum hydroxide or aluminum phosphate, (7) saponin or (8) other adjuvants discussed in any document cited and incorporated by reference into the instant application, or (9) any combinations or mixtures thereof.

[0078] In one embodiment, a solution of adjuvant, especially of carbomer (*Pharmeuropa*, vol. 8, No.2, June 1996), is prepared in distilled water, advantageously in the presence of sodium chloride, the solution obtained being at an acidic pH. This stock solution is diluted by adding it to the desired quantity (for obtaining the desired final concentration), or a substantial part thereof, of water charged with NaCl, advantageously physiological saline (NaCl 9 g/l) all at once in several portions with concomitant or subsequent neutralization (pH 7.3 to 7.4), advantageously with NaOH. This solution at physiological pH is used for mixing with the vaccine, which may be especially stored in freeze-dried,

liquid or frozen form. The polymer concentration in the final vaccine composition can be from 0.01% to 2% w/v, from 0.06 to 1% w/v, or from 0.1 to 0.6% w/v.

[0079] The subunit (protein) vaccine may be combined with adjuvants, like oil-in-water, water-in-oil-in-water emulsions based on mineral oil and/or vegetable oil and non ionic surfactants such as block copolymers, TWEEN®, SPAN®. Such emulsions are notably those described in page 147 of “Vaccine Design – The Subunit and Adjuvant Approach”, Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, 1995, or TS emulsions, notably the TS6 emulsion, and LF emulsions, notably LF2 emulsion (for both TS and LF emulsions, see WO 04/024027). Other suitable adjuvants are for example vitamin E, saponins, and CARBOPOL® (Noveon; see WO 99/51269; WO 99/44633), aluminium hydroxide or aluminium phosphate (“Vaccine Design, The subunit and adjuvant approach”, Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, vol. 6, 1995), biological adjuvants (i.e. C4b, notably murine C4b (Ogata R T *et al.*) or equine C4b, GM-CSF, notably equine GM-CSF (US 6,645,740)), toxins (i.e. cholera toxins CTA or CTB, *Escherichia coli* heat-labile toxins LTA or LTB (Olsen C W *et al.*; Fingerut E *et al.*; Zurbriggen R *et al.* Peppoloni S *et al.*), and CpG (i.e. CpG #2395 (see Jurk M *et al.*), CpG #2142 (see SEQ. ID. NO: 890 in EP 1,221,955)).

[0080] Another aspect of the invention relates to a method for inducing an immunological response in an animal against one or more antigens or a protective response in an animal against one or more pathogens, which method comprises inoculating the animal at least once with the vaccine or pharmaceutical composition of the present invention. Yet another aspect of the invention relates to a method for inducing an immunological response in an animal to one or more antigens or a protective response in an animal against one or more Leishmania pathogens in a prime-boost administration regime, which is comprised of at least one primary administration and at least one booster administration using at least one common polypeptide, antigen, epitope or immunogen. The immunological composition or vaccine used in primary administration may be same, may be different in nature from those used as a booster. The prime-administration may comprise one or more administrations. Similarly, the boost administration may comprise one or more administrations. The prime-boost administrations may be carried out 2 to 6 weeks apart, for example, about 3 weeks apart. According to one embodiment, a semi-

annual booster or an annual booster, advantageously using the subunit (protein) vaccine, is also envisioned.

[0081] The prime-boost administration can include the subunit vaccine or composition comprising the chimeric KSAC protein aforementioned. The prime-boost administration can also include recombinant viral vectors and plasmid vectors expressing Leishmania antigens (see, for example, US2009/0324649 which is hereby incorporated herein by reference in its entirety). In one aspect of the prime-boost regime, the composition or vaccine comprising the KSAC protein is administered followed by the administration of vaccine or composition comprising a recombinant viral vector that contains and expresses any Leishmania antigens, or a DNA plasmid vaccine or composition that contains and expresses any Leishmania antigens, or an inactivated viral vaccine or composition comprising the Leishmania antigens.

[0082] Usually, one administration of the vaccine is performed at 10 to 15-week old by the subcutaneous or intramuscular route. A second or third administration can be done within the 2-6 weeks of the first administration. The animals are preferably at least 4-week old at the time of the first administration.

[0083] A variety of administration routes may be used in addition to subcutaneously or intramuscularly, such as intradermally or transdermally.

[0084] The composition or vaccine according to the invention comprise or consist essentially of or consist of an effective quantity to elicit a therapeutic response of one or more polypeptides as discussed herein; and, an effective quantity can be determined from this disclosure, including the documents incorporated herein, and the knowledge in the art, without undue experimentation.

[0085] For the composition or vaccine comprising the expressed KSAC protein of the present invention, a dose may include, from about 1 $\mu$ g to about 2000 $\mu$ g, about 5 $\mu$ g to about 1000 $\mu$ g, about 10 $\mu$ g to about 100 $\mu$ g, about 20 $\mu$ g to about 1000 $\mu$ g, about 30  $\mu$ g to about 500  $\mu$ g, or about 50 $\mu$ g to about 500 $\mu$ g. The dose volumes can be between about 0.1ml to about 10ml, or between about 0.2ml to about 5ml.

[0086] The invention will now be further described by way of the following non-limiting examples.

## **EXAMPLES**

[0087] Construction of DNA inserts, plasmids and recombinant viral or plant vectors was carried out using the standard molecular biology techniques described by J. Sambrook *et al.* (Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 2nd Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 1989).

Example 1 Solubilization of KSAC protein expressed in *E. coli* inclusion bodies

[0088] The KSAC inclusion bodies produced from *E. coli* were prepared in the following three buffers: 1) Tris 20mM, 50mM DiThio Threitol (DTT), pH8; 2) Tris 20mM, 50mM DiThio Threitol (DTT), pH8, urea 1M; 3) Tris 20mM, 50mM DiThio Threitol (DTT), pH8, urea 2M. The KSAC inclusion bodies prepared in the same buffers at room temperature without pressure during the entire treatment duration were used as controls.

[0089] Figure 3 shows the steps of pressure levels for protein relaxation during the pressure increase (stepwise increase of pressure). Pressurization at target pressure was applied for 48 hours, then the samples were depressurized for 24 hours.

[0090] Figure 4 shows the schematic comparison between the high pressure solubilisation and folding of recombinant proteins from inclusion bodies and the classical solubilisation and folding of recombinant proteins from inclusion bodies.

[0091] Figure 5 shows the schematic graph of KSAC refolding. Figure 6 shows the typical SDS-PAGE pattern of the KSAC protein after the KSAC protein was solubilized in 7M urea, 20mM DTT and refolded by exclusion chromatography. Figure 7 shows the typical HPLC plot of the KSAC protein. The HPLC chromatogram identifies the trimer of KSAC protein by its mass. The protein concentration and the relative purity towards total protein content were estimated. Figure 8 shows the dynamic light scattering (DLS) of refolded KSAC protein using exclusion chromatography. Fig.8A shows the distribution by number which indicates that the majority of the population has a size of 12 to 18nm. Fig.8B shows the exhaustive range of size detected that is not linked to relative population. Objects between 10 and 800nm were detected.

[0092] The Qdot-blot (Fig. 9) and SDS-PAGE (Fig. 10) analysis of the inclusion bodies pressurized at 3000 bars and the control samples showed that all proteins are in the

supernatant phase of the treated sample in all three buffers which indicates that the proteins are solubilized.

[0093] Superposition of the HPLC chromatograms of the supernatant of the controls (Fig.11 upper panel) and all the 3000 bar treated samples (Fig.11 lower panel) showed that no protein is detected in the controls while the KSAC protein and all the other proteins present in the inclusion bodies are detected after pressure treatment which means that all the proteins are solubilized after pressure treatment.

[0094] Figure 12 is the superposed HPLC chromatogram of the supernatant of the 3000 bar pressure treated KSAC samples and the KSAC protein obtained with the classical refolding process. The results show that the peaks are similar that the soluble protein obtained by high pressure treatment is organized in trimer.

[0095] Table 1 below shows quantification of KSAC protein after 3000 bar treatment by qDot-blot and HPLC.

Table 1

	2M urea		
	control	Assay pellet	Assay supernatant
Dot-blot $\mu\text{g/ml}$	347	10	797
HPLC $\mu\text{g/ml}$	-	-	723
	1M urea		
	control	Assay pellet	Assay supernatant
Dot-blot $\mu\text{g/ml}$	328	10	750
HPLC $\mu\text{g/ml}$	-	-	746
	Without urea		
	control	Assay pellet	Assay supernatant
Dot-blot $\mu\text{g/ml}$	123	15	649
HPLC $\mu\text{g/ml}$	-	-	825

[0096] The quantity of solubilized protein was about 800  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ . This was very close to the estimated quantity of initial KSAC protein as inclusion bodies (1000  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ). The solubilisation yield is very high (75-100%).

[0097] Figure 13 shows the superposition of the DLS data obtained with the 3000 bar pressurized protein (lighter line) in the buffer without urea and the protein obtained with the classical refolding process (darker line). The exhaustive range of size (upper panel) shows that less objects of higher size are detected in pressurized samples. The

distribution by number (lower panel) shows that the majority of the pressure-refolded population has a similar size with the population refolded by the classical process and the folding seems very similar.

[0098] Figure 14 shows that the protein sizes obtained at 3000 bar are identical to protein

5 sizes obtained from classical chromatography refolding for all three buffers used (circled). When treated at 2000 bar, the protein sizes are identical to the protein sizes from classical chromatography refolding when urea is used in the buffer. At pressure higher than 3000 bar, high-pressure aggregates appear when no urea is used. With urea present in the buffer, the protein seems to collapse (10nm in size) which indicates that  
10 denaturation has occurred.

[0099] Figure 15 shows HPLC chromatogram of 4000 bar treated samples. The results show that proteins with sizes larger than KSAC protein appeared when no urea was present in the buffer, or with only 1M urea was added in the buffer. Protein size was as expected when 2M was added in the buffer.

15 [0100] Figure 16 shows the DLS size distribution by number of the 5000 bar treated samples without urea. The results indicate that three populations of proteins were detected with large sizes up to 90nm. The population whose size is similar to the chromatography refolded KSAC is a minority one.

[0101] Figure 17 shows the comparison of KSAC soluble protein content determined by  
20 HPLC and Qdot-blot. The results indicate that the maximum concentrations of solubilized proteins are obtained with 3000 bar treated samples with good consistency between HPLC and Qdot-blot technologies. For the 2000 bar treated samples, the presence of urea helps to increase the solubilization yield. For the 4000 bar treated samples, HPLC gives higher yield than Qdot-blot, indicating a loss of recognition of the  
25 antigens.

## Example 2 Vaccination of dogs using KSAC vaccine

[0102] In this study, forty-nine 15-week old beagle dogs were vaccinated according to the following protocol.

30

Table 2

Group	Vaccination	Post vaccinal survey***	Blood sampling for
-------	-------------	-------------------------	--------------------



	1ml (10µg) SC at D0, D21, D42 and M12 (booster)		immunological survey
Vaccinated (n=24)	KSAC*/GLA-SE**	- D0 + 4/6h, D1, D2 - D21 + 4/6h, D22 and D23 - D42 + 4/6h, D43 and D44 - M12 + 1 day and + 3 days	D0, D28, D35, D50, D56
Controls (n=25)	Placebo		

\*KSAC: purified KSAC protein in Tris buffer (20mM, pH=8), 10µg/ml

\*\*GLA-SE: oil-in-water emulsion (4% oil)

\*\*\*post vaccinal survey was performed as long as any sign was detected

- 5 **[0103]** After vaccination (on D77), the dogs were transferred to South Italy, in a highly endemic area for canine Leishmaniasis to testing up to 15 months (M15). Post vaccinal survey included the evaluation of rectal temperature, general condition (see Table 3), pain on palpation (presence/absence), cutaneous heat (presence/absence), itching (presence/absence) and swelling. Swellings were scored as follow; 0 = no swelling, 1 = minor swelling (only detectable), 2 = swelling but < 2cm, 3 = swelling > 2cm.
- 10 **[0104]** The post vaccinal survey showed that no major sign (persisting pain, important itching) was detected during the observation period.

Table 3 Clinical signs evaluated during canine Leishmaniasis survey and calculation of the Overall Clinical Score (OCS)

15

Clinical signs	Measurement	Scoring
Body condition (See Annex 3)	Moderate, Stout or Obese	0
	Thin	1
	Emaciated	3
General condition	Good= animal in good health which plays and is attentive	0
	Apathy= animal with lack of energy (when compared to its normal behaviour) or animal which stays lying but responds to stimuli	1
	Depression= animal which stays lying without responding to stimuli	3
Cutaneous signs	Absence	0
	Bilateral symetric alopecia	1
	Ulcers/Nodules	2
	Exfoliative dermatitis	3
Ocular signs	Absence	0

	Blepharitis and/or conjunctivitis and/or keratitis	1
	Uveitis	2
Epistaxis	Absence	0
	Presence	3
Arthritis	Absence	0
	Presence	1
Lymph node and/or spleen enlargement	Absence	0
	Bilateral enlargment of lymph nodes prescapular or retromandibular lymph nodes and of popliteal Lymph nodes– 3 And/or Spleen enlargment– 3	3

[0105] For each category, only the highest score was considered in the calculation of the Overall Clinical Score (OCS). The scores of the seven categories are summed to determine the OCS.

5 [0106] The OCS data indicated that no major abnormality of the OCS was detected before M15.

[0107] Infection patterns were categorized into 4 categories (Oliva *et al.* 2006, J of Clin Microbiol 44:1318-22) and regrouped in non-established (alias non active) and active infections depending on whether culture-based analysis was positive or negative.

10 Table 4 Terminology used for the classification of the dogs according to their parasitological, serological and clinical signs

	Healthy	PCR negative Cult negative Sero negative
Non established infection	Subpatent	PCR positive Cult negative Sero negative or positive
Active infection	Asymptomatic	PCR positive Cult positive Sero positive Healthy
	Symptomatic	PCR positive Cult positive Sero positive

		Clinical and/or biological sign(s)
--	--	------------------------------------

PCR = qPCR on spleen aspirate

Cult = Culture on Lymph node aspirate or LDA on spleen aspirate

Sero = serology by IFAT

Clinical and/or biological sign(s) = non null OCS

5

[0108] The parasitological and serological assays were performed to evaluate the status

of the dogs as defined by Oliva et al (2006). Nested PCR on bone marrow, culture on lymph nodes aspirates were performed according to Gradoni et al (2005, Vaccine 23:5245-5251). IFAT (ImmunoFluorescent Antibody Test) serum titers test was

10 performed according to a technique derived from OIE (2004, Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals, fifth Edition OIE, Paris). Quantitative PCR and LDA (Limiting Dilution Assay) on spleen aspirate were performed using methods derived from Bretagne et al (2001, Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 8:828-831) and Hill *et al.* (1983, Infect Immun 39:1087-1094), respectively.

15 Table 5 Results of culture on Lymph nodes aspirates and LDA performed on specimens collected at M8, M12 and M15 indicating active infections

	M8		M12		M15	
Vaccinated group (24 dogs)	Culture LN	LDA spleen	Culture LN	LDA spleen	Culture LN	LDA spleen
Neg	23	21 (2 NT*)	23	22	21	22
Pos	1	1	1	2	3	2
Control group (25 dogs)						
Neg	24	24	23	19	16	15
Pos	1	1	3	6	9	10

\*NT: not tested

20 Table 6 Results of serological (IFAT) and parasitological assays (nPCR on Bone Marrow aspirates and quantitative PCR on spleens aspirates) performed on specimens collected at M8, M12 and M15

	M8			M12			M15		
Vaccinated	IFAT	nPCR	Q-	IFAT	nPCR	Q-	IFAT	nPCR	Q-

group (24 dogs)		BM	PCR spleen		BM	PCR spleen		BM	PCR spleen
Neg	23	23	33 (1 NT)	21	21	21	20	16	21
Pos	1	1	1	3	3	3	4	8	3
Control group (25 dogs)									
Neg	24	24	23	21	15	17	28	10	15
Pos	1	1	2	4	10	8	7	15	10

[0109] In addition to the tests done in Table 6, IFAT titers were also measured on samples collected at M0 (time corresponding to the peak of vaccine induced antibody response) on both vaccinated and control dogs, and all samples were found negative.

5

Table 7 Repartition of the dogs according to classes defined in Table 4

		M8		M12		M15	
		Con*	Vac**	Con*	Vac**	Con*	Vac**
	Healthy	23	23	16	21	15	21
Non established infection	Subpatent	1	0	3	1	0	0
Active infection	Asymptomatic	1	1	6	2	8	1
	Symptomatic	0	0	0	0	2	2

Con\*: Controls

Vac\*\*: Vaccinated

10 [0110] The results indicated a high proportion of dogs with active infections among control dogs (at M15, 40%, 10/25) and a lower proportion of dogs with active infections among vaccinated dogs (at M15, 12.5%, 3/24).

[0111] The study shows that after 15 months in the endemic area, a reduction of the proportion of dogs with active *Leishmania* infected was observed in vaccinated versus control dogs (i.e. vaccine efficacy). The vaccine efficacy was evaluated at 69%. These results are consistent with a significant control of parasite infection in vaccinated dogs.

15 [0112] The result also demonstrated that vaccination with the subunit KSAC vaccine does not interfere with the IFAT serology test. This supports the possibility to vaccinate

in endemic areas without interfering with on-going epidemiology studies and clinical assessment of the dogs.

Example 3 Comparison of different processes of solubilizing KSAC protein

5 [0113] The objective of the study is to compare the efficiency of solubilizing protein from inclusions bodies by different processes.

[0114] The KSAC inclusion bodies produced from E. coli were prepared in the following buffers to form inclusion bodies suspension: a) 20mM Tris buffer, 50mM DiThioThreitol (DTT), pH=8.0; b) 20mM Tris buffer, pH=8.0.

10 [0115] The inclusion bodies suspensions were stored in Quick Seal tubes for high pressure treatments as described below.

[0116] In process A, stepwise pressurization was applied to the inclusion bodies suspensions increasing the pressure from 0 bar to 3000 bar at 1000 bar/min, with a plateau of 1 hour duration at each 500 bar (target pressure of 3000 bar reached after 5 hr).

15 The 3000 bar pressure was maintained for 48 hours. The samples were then depressurized from 3000 bar to 0 bar at constant rate of 125 bar/hr for 24 hrs.

[0117] In process B, the inclusions bodies suspensions were treated according to the method described in US 6,489,450. The samples were subject to pressurization at constant rate up to 2500 bar in 1 hr. The 2500 bar pressure was maintained for 6 hrs.

20 Depressurization was performed at constant rate for 1 hr reducing the pressure from 2500 bar to 0 bar.

[0118] Samples were prepared as shown in Table 8 below.

Table 8 inclusion bodies suspensions treatment

Sample (1mg/mL KSAC inclusion bodies)	buffer	High pressure treatment process
1	Tris 20mM	Process A
2	Tris 20mM + DTT 50mM	Process A
3	Tris 20mM	Control*
4	Tris 20mM + DTT 50mM	Control

5	Tris 20mM	Process B
6	Tris 20mM + DTT 50mM	Process B
7	Tris 20mM	Control
8	Tris 20mM + DTT 50mM	Control

Control \*: no high pressure treatment, stored at room temperature.

### SDS-PAGE Analysis

[0119] After the high pressure treatments, the samples were centrifuged to separate the supernatant and pellets, and processed for protein analysis on SDS-PAGE. The SDS-PAGE analysis is shown in Figures 18A and 18B. Each well was loaded with either 5  $\mu$ l of sample (crude), 5  $\mu$ l of supernatant, 5  $\mu$ l of pellet resuspended in Tris buffer.

[0120] The KSAC protein amounts calculated from the band intensity on the SDS-PAGE were presented in Table 9 below.

Table 9 Comparative integration of the intensities of the bands measured on SDS gels

sample		Process A				Process B			
		I.I. KSAC band	Total protein I.I.	%KSAC /total	%KSAC-S /%KSAC-P*	I.I. KSAC band	Total protein I.I.	%KSAC /total	%KSAC-S /%KSAC-P
KSAC reference		36	49	73%		29	47	62%	
Control – no DTT	S <sup>1</sup>	0	0	0%	-	0	0	0%	-
	P <sup>2</sup>	13	26	50%		4	5	80%	
	C <sup>3</sup>	3	21	14%		6	16	38%	
Process – no DTT	S	0	9	0%	0%	0	2	0%	0%
	P	43	76	57%		28	39	72%	
	C	27	86	31%		14	41	34%	
Control – with DTT	S	1	8	13%	-	0	0	0%	-
	P	20	40	50%		24	36	67%	
	C	16	31	52%		22	37	59%	
Process – with DTT	S	55	127	43%	75%	18	29	62%	69%
	P	8	14	57%		8	9	89%	
	C	45	106	42%		28	47	60%	
KSAC reference		38	52	73%		29	45	64%	

10 S<sup>1</sup>: supernatant

P<sup>2</sup>: pellet

C<sup>3</sup>: crude, before centrifugation

%KSAC-S/%KSAC-P\*: [%KSAC/total in supernatant] / [%KSAC/total in pellet]

[0121] The results show that there is no significant amount of KSAC detected in the supernatant of the controls or the samples treated with processes A and B when buffer containing no DTT was used. Soluble KSAC protein was found in the supernatant of the samples treated with high pressure (both processes A and B) when buffer containing DTT was used. Surprisingly, the results of protein quantification from SAS-PAGE also indicate that process A provided better solubilization when compared to process B. This surprising result was further confirmed by the more accurate calculation of the solubilization yield for each high pressure process using Q-Dot Blott and HPLC.

#### 10 Q-Dot Blot Analysis

[0122] The supernatants of the samples were analyzed by Q-Dot Blott to estimate the amount of KSAC protein solubilized by the treatments. The results are shown in Figures 19A-19D and Table 10.

Table 10 Concentrations of solubilized KSAC found in the supernatants for controls and high pressure processed samples

Identification	Process A	Process B
Treatment without DTT	26.0 g/ml	12.7 g/ml
Control without DTT	0	9.9 g/ml
Treatment with DTT	632.1 g/ml	368.9 g/ml
Control with DTT	61.7 g/ml	63.9 g/ml

[0123] No significant difference was observed between the control samples (no high pressure treatment) with and without DTT. There was no soluble KSAC found in the supernatant. The Q-Dot Blott result confirmed the SDS-PAGE result.

20 [0124] The treatment performed using process A with DTT allowed solubilizing and refolding of the KSAC protein (detected by Q-Dot Blott). The concentration of soluble KSAC protein was found to be 632  $\mu$ g/mL using process A while concentration of KSAC protein obtained using the process B was only about 369 g/mL. The solubilization yields obtained are 63% for process A and 37% for process B. The Q-Dot Blott results further demonstrate that process A is more efficient in producing soluble and refolded proteins.

### HPLC Analysis

**[0125]** Figure 20 shows the superposition of the HPLC chromatograms of the supernatant of the control and process A treated sample. The retention time, retention volume and estimated purity obtained for the process A treated sample are show in Table 11 below.

5      Table 11      Retention time, retention volume and estimated purity obtained  
                                 for process A treated sample

Detection	Information	Control - no processing	After Process A
UV	RT (Retention time – min)	12.7	12.4
	VR (Retention volume – mL)	6.64	6.46
	Estimated Purity (%)	17.3	85.5

**[0126]** Figure 21 shows the superposition of the HPLC chromatograms of the supernatant of process A treated sample and process B treated sample. The retention time, retention volume and estimated purity obtained for the process A treated sample are show in Table 12 below.

Table 12 Retention time, retention volume and estimated purity obtained for process A and B treated samples

Detection	Information	Process A	Process B
UV	RT (Retention time – min)	12.4	12.4
	VR (Retention volume – mL)	6.46	6.47
	Estimated Purity (%)	85.5	74.2

15 **[0127]** Figure 22 shows the superposition of the HPLC chromatograms of the supernatant of process A treated sample, process B treated sample and classical process treated sample (denaturation and refolding obtained by urea and DTT treatment). The retention time, retention volume and estimated purity obtained for the process A treated sample are show in Table 13 below.

20            Table 13    Retention time, retention volume and estimated purity obtained  
                                 for process A, process B and classical process treated samples

Detection	Information	Classical process	Process A	Process B
UV	RT (Retention time – min)	12.5	12.4	12.4
	VR (Retention volume – mL)	6.50	6.46	6.47
	Peak area (mAU)	8628	25251	10506
	Estimated purity (%)	94.7	85.5	74.2

**[0128]** The HPLC results further confirmed that process A provided better solubilization of KSAC protein than process B judging from the peak areas (25251mAU for process A



vs 10506mAU for process B). Both process A and B allow obtaining a refolding of the KSAC protein very close to the one obtained using the classical process (solubilization using urea + DTT treatment and refolding by SEC chromatography).

[0129] The trials performed with both processes A and B did not yield significant soluble

5 KSAC protein in the absence of DTT. The results confirmed that a reducing agent is needed during the high pressure treatment to break disulfide bonds. However, the unexpected surprising discovery is that there is no need for the removal of DTT in order to obtain a correct refolding of the protein. Contrary to the general knowledge that DTT has to be removed from the buffer in order for proteins to be refolded properly, it is  
10 surprisingly discovered by applicants that presence of DTT does not interfere with the refolding process in the high pressure treatment of present invention. The KSAC soluble proteins obtained from high pressure process of present invention were refolded correctly to form trimers in the presence of DTT.

15

\*\*\*

[0130] Having thus described in detail preferred embodiments of the present invention, it

is to be understood that the invention defined by the above examples is not to be limited to particular details set forth in the above description as many apparent variations thereof  
20 are possible without departing from the spirit or scope of the present invention.

[0131] All documents cited or referenced herein ("herein cited documents"), and all

documents cited or referenced in herein cited documents, together with any  
manufacturer's instructions, descriptions, product specifications, and product sheets for  
25 any products mentioned herein or in any document incorporated by reference herein, are hereby incorporated herein by reference, and may be employed in the practice of the invention.

## CLAIMS

What we claim is:

1. A composition comprising a fusion protein consisting of leishmania antigens Kinetoplastid Membrane Protein 11 (KMP11), Sterol MethylTransferase (SMT), A2 and Cysteine Proteinase (CP).
2. The composition of claim 1, wherein the fusion protein has at least 90% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:2.
3. The composition of claim 1, wherein the fusion protein is encoded by a polynucleotide having at least 90% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:1.
4. The composition of any one of claims 1-3, wherein the fusion protein is substantially solubilized in aqueous solution or substantially refolded.
5. The composition of any one of claims 1-3, wherein the fusion protein is solubilized or refolded by a high pressure.
6. The composition of claim 5, wherein the high pressure is from about 1000 bar to about 5000 bar.
7. The composition of claim 6, wherein the high pressure is applied for at least 20 hours.
8. The composition of any one of claims 1-7, wherein the fusion protein is solubilized or refolded from E. coli inclusion bodies.
9. The composition of claim 8, wherein the E. coli inclusion bodies are prepared in a buffer comprising no or low urea.
10. The composition of claim 8, wherein the E. coli inclusion bodies are prepared in a buffer comprising DiThio Threitol (DTT).
11. The composition of claim 9 or 10, wherein the E. coli inclusion bodies are further subject to a high pressure ranging from about 1000 bar to about 5000 bar.
12. The composition of claim 11, wherein the E. coli inclusion bodies are treated under the high pressure for about 20 hours to about 100 hours.
13. The composition of claim 12, wherein the E. coli inclusion bodies are depressurized at the rate of about 83bar/hr – 125bar/hr.

14. The composition of any one of claims 5-13, wherein the high pressure is increased in a stepwise process.
15. A method of treating an animal susceptible to leishmania infection comprising administering to the animal a composition comprising a fusion protein consisting of leishmania antigens Kinetoplastid Membrane Protein 11 (KMP11), Sterol MethylTransferase (SMT), A2 and Cysteine Proteinase (CP).
16. A method of preventing leishmaniasis by inducing in an animal an immune response against Leishmaniasis, comprising the step of administering to the animal a composition comprising a fusion protein consisting of leishmania antigens Kinetoplastid Membrane Protein 11 (KMP11), Sterol MethylTransferase (SMT), A2 and Cysteine Proteinase (CP).
17. The method of claim 15 or 16, wherein the fusion protein has at least 90% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:2.
18. The method of claim 15 or 16, wherein the fusion protein is encoded by a polynucleotide having at least 90% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:1.
19. The method of claim 15 or 16, wherein the animal is a canine.
20. A method of producing a soluble protein expressed in prokaryotes or eukaryotes comprising the steps of:
  - i) preparing the E. coli inclusion bodies in a buffer comprising no or low concentration of urea to form inclusion body suspension; and
  - ii) subjecting the inclusion body suspension to a high pressure.
21. A method of producing a soluble protein expressed in prokaryotes or eukaryotes comprising the steps of (i) preparing the inclusion bodies in a buffer comprising no or low concentration of urea to form inclusion body suspension; (ii) subjecting the inclusion body suspension to a stepwise increase of pressure over a period of time; and (iii) maintaining the high pressure applied to the inclusion bodies for a period of time.
22. The method of claim 20 or 21, wherein the buffer further comprises DTT.
23. The method of claim 20 or 21, wherein the high pressure is in the range from about 2000 bar to about 5000 bar.

24. The method of claim 20 or 21, wherein the inclusion bodies are subject to the high pressure for about 20 hours to about 100 hours.
25. The method of claim 22, wherein the DTT concentration is from about 1mM to about 100mM.
26. The method of claim 20 or 21, wherein the urea concentration is from 0M to about 7M.
27. The method of claim 20 or 21, wherein the method further comprises the step of depressurization.
28. The method of claim 27, wherein the depressurization is at the rate of about 83bar/hr – 125bar/hr.

Figure 1

SEQ ID NO:	Type	Gene Description
1	DNA	KSAC DNA
2	protein	Chimeric KSAC protein
3	protein	Leishmania KMP11 protein
4	protein	Leishmania SMT protein
5	protein	Leishmania A2 protein
6	protein	Leishmania CBP protein

Figure 2A

KSAC DNA sequence (SEQ ID NO:1)

ATGGCCACCACGTACGAGGAGTTTTTCGGCGAAGCTGGACCGCCTGGATGAGGAGTTCAAC  
AGGAAGATGCAGGAGCAGAACGCCAAGTTCTTTGCGGACAAGCCGGATGAGTCGACGCTG  
TCGCCCCGAGATGAAGGAGCACTACGAGAAGTTCGAGCGCATGATCAAGGAACACACAGAG  
AAGTTCAACAAGAAGATGCACGAGCACTCGGAGCACTTCAAGCAGAAGTTCGCCGAGCTG  
CTCGAGCAGCAGAAGGCTGCGCAGTACCCGTCCAAGACTAGTTCCGCCGGTGGCCGTGAG  
ACCGCGCCGACGAACCTGATTCTGTCGCCGCAACAAGGACGAGACAAACGGGGATGTCAGC  
GCCGCCGCCGACCGCTTCCGCGACCGCTTCGAGAAGGCAACCCTCGAGGAGCGCAAGGCC  
GCCACCACGACGATGGTCAACGAGTACTACGACCTGGTGACGGAATTCTACGAGTACGGC  
TGGGGCCAGAACTTCCATTTTCGCGCCGCGCTACGCCGGCGAGACCTTCTTCGAGTCCCTC  
GCGCGCCACGAGTACTTCCCTGGCCGCTCGCGGCGGGCTTCATGGAGGGGCGACCACATCGTC  
GACGTGGGCTGCGGCGTTCGGCGGTCCGGCGCGCAACATGGTTTCGCCCTCACGCGCTGCAAC  
GTCATCGGCGTCAACAACAACGATTACCAGATCAGCCGCGCTCGCCGTCATGACGCGCTC  
GCCGTATGAGCTCCAAGATCGACTACGTCAAGACCGACTTCTGCAACATGAGCTTAGCC  
GACAACACCTTCGACGGCGCCTACGCCATCGAGGCCACCTGCCACGCAAAGGACAAGGTC  
AAGTGCTATAGCGAGGTCTTCCGTGTCATCAAGCCCGGCACCTGCTTTGTCCTGTACGAG  
TGGTGTCATGACCGACAAGTACAACCCCAATGACGAGTACCACCGCACAATCAAGCACCGC  
ATCGAGCTGGGCGACGGCCTGCCGGAGATGGAGACGTGCAAACAGGTGATCGAGTACATG  
AAGCAGGCCGGCTTCGTGGTGGAGGAGGCCATAGACGTCATCAGTCAGTTCGAGTCCAGC  
CCCATCAAGAGTATCCCGTGGTACCAGCCGCTGGTCGGCGACTATTTCGTCCCTGCAGGGC  
CTGCGCTCTACCCCGATTGGCCGCATCCTCACGAACGTCATGTGTCGCGTGCTGGAGTTC  
GTGCGCCTAGCTCCGAAGGGCACGTACAAGGCGACGGAGATTTTGGAGGAGGCTGCGGAA  
AGCCTGGTGGTGGGCGGTGAGCTCGGCATCTTCACGCCGTCCTTCTACATCCGCGCTCGC  
AAGCCGTCCAAGCAGGCTGGATCCAAGATCCGCAGCGTGCGTCCGCTTGTGGTGTGCTG  
GTGTGCGTCGCGGCGGTGCTCGCACTCAGCGCCTCCGCTGAGCCGCACAAGGCGGCCGTT  
GACGTGCGCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCCCGAGAGCGTCGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCCCGAG  
GCGGTTGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCCCGAGAGCGTCGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCCCGAG  
GCGGTTGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCCCGAGAGCGTTGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCCCGCTG  
AGCGTTGGCCCCGAGAGCGTTGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCAGCCAGAGCGTCGGCCCCGCTG  
AGCGTTGGTCCGCAGAGCGTCGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCCCGAGGCGGTTGGCCCCGCTG  
AGCGTTGGCCCCGAGAGCGTCGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCCCGAGGCGGTTGGCCCCGCTG  
AGCGTTGGCCCCGAGAGCGTTGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCCCGAGAGCGTTGGCCCCGCTG  
AGCGTTGGCAGCCAGAGCGTCGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGTCCGCAGAGCGTCGGCCCCGCTG  
AGCGTTGGCCCCGAGAGCGTCGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCCCGAGAGCGTCGGCCCCGCTG  
AGCGTTGGTCCGCAGAGCGTTGGCCCCGCTGAGCGTTGGCCCCGAGAGCGTTGACGTTAGC  
CCGGTGAGCGGATCCGAATTCGATGCGGTGGACTGGCGCGAGAAGGGCGCCGTGACGCCG  
GTGAAGAATCAAGGCGCGTGCGGGTCTGCTGGGCGTTCTCGGCGGTGCGCAACATCGAG  
TCGCAGTGGGCCCCGTGCCGGCCACGGCTTGGTGAGCCTGTCGGAGCAGCAGCTGGTGAGC  
TGCGATGACAAAGACAATGGCTGCAACGGCGGGCTGATGCTGCAGGCGTTTCGAGTGGCTG  
CTGCGACACATGTACGGGATCGTGTTACGCGAGAAGAGCTACCCCTACACGTCCGGCAAC  
GGTGATGTGGCCGAGTGCTTGAACAGCAGTAACTCGTTCCCGGCGCGCAAATCGACGGC  
TACGTGATGATCCCGAGCAACGAAACGGTTATGGCTGCGTGGCTTGGCGAGAATGGCCCC  
ATCGCGATTGCGGTTCGAC

Figure 2B

GCCAGCTCCTTCATGTCTTACCAGAGCGGCGTGCTGACCAGCTGCGCTGGCGATGCACTG  
 AACCACGGCGTGCTGCTCGTTCGGGTACAACAAGACCGGTGGGGTTCCGTACTGGGTGATC  
 AAGAACTCGTGGGGTGAGGACTGGGGCGAGAAGGGCTACGTGCGCGTGCTCATGGGGCTG  
 AACGCGTGCTGCTCAGTGAATACCCCGTGTCCGCGCATGTGCCGCGGAGTCTCACCCCT  
 GGCCCGGGCACGGAGAGCGAGGAGCGCGCCCTAAACGGGTGACGGTGAGCAGATGATG  
 TGCACCGATATGTACTGCAGGGAGGGGTGCAAGAAGAGTCTTCTCACCGCGAACGTGTGC  
 TACAAGAACGGGGGAGGCGGCTCCTCTATGACGAAGTGCGGTCCGCGAGAAGGTGCTGATG  
 TGCTCGTACTCGAACCCTCATTGCTTTGGTCTGGGCTGTGCCTCGAGACTCCTGATGGC  
 AAGTGCGCGCGTACTTCTTGGGCTCGATCATGAACACCTGCCAGTACACGTAA

KSAC protein sequence (SEQ ID NO:2)

MATTYEEFSAKLDRLEEFNRKMQEQNAKFFADKPDESTLSPEMKEHYEKF  
 ERMKEHTEKFNNKMHSEHSEHFKQKFAELLEQQKAAQYPSK***TS***SAGGRET  
 APTNLIRRRNKDETNGDVSAADRFRDRFEKATLEERKAATTTMVNEYVDL  
 VTDFYEGWGQNFHFAPRYAGETFFESLARHEYFLAARGGFMEGDHIIVDV  
 GCGVGGPARNMVRLTRCNVIGVNNNDYQISRARRHDALAGMSSKIDYVKT  
 DFCNMSLADNTFDGAYAIEATCHAKDKVKCYSEVFRVIKPGTCTFVLYEWC  
 TDKYNPNDEYHRTIKHRIELGDGLPEMETCKQVIEYMKQAGFVVEEAIDVIS  
 QFESSPIKSIPWYQPLVGDYSSLQGLRSTPIGRILTVMCRVLEFVRLAPKGT  
 YKATEILEEAAESLVVGGQLGIFTSPFYIRARKPSKQA***GSKIRSVRPLVLLV***  
***CVAAVLALSASAEPHKA***AVDVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQAVGPLSVGPQSVG  
 PLSVGPQAVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGSQSVGPLSVGPQSV  
 VGPLSVGPQAVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQAVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQSVG  
 PLSVGSQSVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQSVGPLS  
 VGPQSVDVSPV***SGSEF***DAVDWREKGAVTPVKNQGACGSCWAFSAVGNIESQ  
 WARAGHGLVSLSEQQLVSCDDKDNCGCNGGLMLQAFEWLLRHMYGIVFTE  
 KSYPYTSGNGDVAECLNSSKLVPGAQIDGYVMIPSNETVMAAWLAENGPIAI  
 AVDASSFMSYQSGVLTSCAGDALNHGVLLVGYNKTGGVPYWVIKNSWGED  
 WGEKGYVRVVMGLNACLLSEYPVSAHVPRSLTPGPGTESEERAPKRVTV EQ  
 MMCTDMYCREGCKKSLLTANVCYKNGGGGSSMTKCGPQKVL MCSYSNPH  
 CFGPGLCLETPDGKCAPYFLGSIMNTCQYT

- KPM11 (in red)
- Sterol Methyltransferase (in green)
- A2 (in blue).
- Cysteine proteinase (in brown)
- Linkers (in italic and black)

Figure 2C

## KMP11 protein (SEQ ID NO:3)

MATTYEEFSAKLDRLDEEFNRKMQEQNAKFFADKPDESTLSPEMKEHYEKF  
 ERMKEHTEKFNKKMHEHSEHFKQKFAELLEQQKAAQYPSK

## SMT protein (SEQ ID NO:4)

SAGGRETAPTNLIRRRNKDETNGDVSAADRFRDRFEKATLEERKAATTM  
 VNEYVDLVTDIFYEYVGWQNFHFAPRYAGETFFESLARHEYFLAARGGFME  
 GDHIVDVGCGVGGPARNMVRLTRCNVIGVNNNDYQISRARRHIDALAGMSS  
 KIDYVKTDFCNMSLADNTTFDGAYAIEATCHAKDKVKCYSEVFRVIKPGTCF  
 VLYEWCMTDKYNPNDEYHRTIKHRIELGDGLPEMETCKQVIEYMKQAGFV  
 VEEAIDVISQFESSPIKSIPWYQPLVGDYSSLQGLRSTPIGRILTVMCRVLEF  
 VRLAPKGTYKATEILEEAAESLVVGGQLGIFTSPFYIRARKPSKQA

## A2 protein (SEQ ID NO:5)

KIRSVRPLVYLLVCVAAVLALSASAEPHKAAVDVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQ  
 AVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQAVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSV  
 GSQSVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQAVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQAVGPLSVGP  
 QSVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGSQSVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQSV  
 GPLSVGPQSVGPLSVGPQSV DVSPVS

## CBP protein (SEQ ID NO:6)

DAVDWREKGAVTPVKNQGACGSCWAFSAVGNIESQWARAGHGLVSLSEQQ  
 LVSCDDKDNCGCNGGLMLQAFEWLLRHMYGIVFTEKSYPYTSGNGDVAECL  
 NSSKLVPGAQIDGYVMIPSNETVMAAWLAENGPIAIAVDASSEMSYQSGVLT  
 SCAGDALNHGVLLVGYNKTGGVPYWVIKNSWGEDWGEKGYVRVVMGLN  
 ACLLSEYPVSAHVPRSLTPGPGTESEERAPKRVTV EQMMCTDMYCREGCK  
 KSLLTANVCYKNGGGGSSMTKCGPQKVL MCSYSNPHCFGPGLCLET PDGK  
 CAPYFLGSIMNTCQYT



Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5

## Refolding of KSAC




Figure 6

SDS-PAGE pattern of KSAC protein  
refolded by exclusion chromatography




Figure 7

HPLC plot of refolded KSAC by exclusion chromatography




Figure 8A

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) of refolded KSAC protein  
using exclusion chromatography

Fig. 8A




Figure 8B

Fig. 8B




Figure 9

qDot Blot






Figure 10




Figure 11




Figure 12





Figure 13



I




Figure 14




Figure 15  
HPLC chromatogram of 4000 bar treated samples

Fig. 15A




FIG.15B




Figure 16




Figure 17







Figure 18A


## SDS Page Analysis of KSAC protein by process A



- Lane 1: marker
- Lane 2: KSAC inclusion bodies control
- Lane 3: sample 3 supernatant
- Lane 4: sample 3 pellet
- Lane 5: sample 3 before centrifugation
- Lane 6: sample 1 supernatant
- Lane 7: sample 1 pellet
- Lane 8: sample 1 before centrifugation
- Lane 9: sample 4 supernatant
- Lane 10: sample 4 pellet
- Lane 11: sample 4 before centrifugation
- Lane 12: sample 2 supernatant
- Lane 13: sample 2 pellet
- Lane 14: sample 2 before centrifugation
- Lane 15: KSAC inclusion bodies control

Figure 18B


## SDS Page Analysis of KSAC protein by process B



- Lane 1: marker  
Lane 2: KSAC inclusion bodies control  
Lane 3: sample 7 supernatant  
Lane 4: sample 7 pellet  
Lane 5: sample 7 before centrifugation  
Lane 6: sample 5 supernatant  
Lane 7: sample 5 pellet  
Lane 8: sample 5 before centrifugation  
Lane 9: sample 8 supernatant  
Lane 10: sample 8 pellet  
Lane 11: sample 8 before centrifugation  
Lane 12: sample 6 supernatant  
Lane 13: sample 6 pellet  
Lane 14: sample 6 before centrifugation  
Lane 15: KSAC inclusion bodies control

Figure 19A


QDot Blott analysis of KSAC protein by process A



- 1: KSAC reference
- 2: process A without DTT (sample 1)
- 3: control without DTT (sample 3)
- 4: process A with DTT (sample 2)
- 5: control with DTT (sample 4)
- 6: 20 mM Tris buffer

Figure 19B

QDot Blott analysis of KSAC protein by process B



- 1: KSAC reference
- 2: process B without DTT (sample 5)
- 3: control without DTT (sample 7)
- 4: process B with DTT (sample 6)
- 5: control with DTT (sample 8)
- 6: 20 mM Tris buffer

Figure 19C

KSAC protein quantification from QDot Blott




Figure 19D

KSAC protein quantification from QDot Blott




Figure 20  
HPLC analysis




Figure 21

HPLC analysis







Figure 22  
HPLC analysis



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No  
PCT/US2013/057430

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

INV. A61K39/008 C07K1/113 C12N15/62  
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

A61K C07K C12N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, BIOSIS, Sequence Search, EMBASE

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 2009/143006 A1 (INFECTIOUS DISEASE RES INST [US]; GOTO YASUYUKI [US]; REED STEVEN G [U] 26 November 2009 (2009-11-26) sequence 33 claims 1-22 page 35, line 29 - line 31 ----- -/--	1-19



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&amp;" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

3 December 2013

Date of mailing of the international search report

12/12/2013

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Schwachtgen, J

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No  
PCT/US2013/057430

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>QORONFLEH ET AL: "Confronting high-throughput protein refolding using high pressure and solution screens", PROTEIN EXPRESSION AND PURIFICATION, ACADEMIC PRESS, SAN DIEGO, CA, vol. 55, no. 2, 8 September 2007 (2007-09-08), pages 209-224, XP022238126, ISSN: 1046-5928, DOI: 10.1016/J.PEP.2007.05.014 page 216, column 1, paragraph 4 - column 2, paragraph 1 figure 4 page 217, column 2, paragraph 3 tables 3, line 1 figure 5</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p>	20-28
X	<p>WO 02/062827 A2 (UNIV TECHNOLOGY CORP [US]; RANDOLPH THEODORE W [US]; CARPENTER JOHN F) 15 August 2002 (2002-08-15) page 19, line 29 - page 20, line 9 page 26, paragraph 4 - page 27, paragraph 1 example 6</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p>	20-28

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/US2013/057430

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 2009143006	A1	26-11-2009	AR 073170 A1 20-10-2010
			EP 2300051 A1 30-03-2011
			US 2009291099 A1 26-11-2009
			WO 2009143006 A1 26-11-2009
-----			
WO 02062827	A2	15-08-2002	AT 390436 T 15-04-2008
			CA 2434045 A1 15-08-2002
			DE 60133426 T2 13-11-2008
			DK 1434789 T3 07-07-2008
			EP 1434789 A2 07-07-2004
			JP 2004530651 A 07-10-2004
			JP 2008031182 A 14-02-2008
			JP 2009102352 A 14-05-2009
			MX PA03003867 A 15-10-2004
			US 2004038333 A1 26-02-2004
			US 2010075399 A1 25-03-2010
			WO 02062827 A2 15-08-2002
-----			