(19)	Europäisches Patentamt European Patent Office Office européen des brevets	(11) EP 1 265 384 A				
(12)	EUROPEAN PATE					
	Date of publication: 11.12.2002 Bulletin 2002/50 Application number: 01304709.7	(51) Int CI. ⁷ : H04B 17/00 , H04B 1/707, H04L 25/02, H04B 7/005				
(22)	Date of filing: 29.05.2001					
(84)	Designated Contracting States: AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC NL PT SE TR Designated Extension States: AL LT LV MK RO SI	 Gerstacker, Wolfgang Helmut 90482 Nuremberg (DE) Rau, Richard 90489 Nuremberg (DE) (74) Representative: Sarup, David Alexander et al 				
(71)	Applicant: LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC. Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974-0636 (US)	Lucent Technologies NS UK Limted, 5 Mornington Road Woodford Green, Essex IG8 OTU (GB)				
(72)	Inventors: Bachl, Rainer 90425 Nuremberg (DE)					

(54) Method for improving the reception of a CDMA receiver, and corresponding CDMA receiver

(57) The invention relates in general to systems for digital transmission, for example digital mobile radio systems such as the UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunications System) and in particular to the receivingend estimation of parameters which are required for retrieving the data.

An object of the invention resides, in particular, in indicating an efficient way which, particularly in the case of CDMA systems with power control, ensures estimates of higher quality than with methods of the prior art, and simultaneously largely avoids a substantial increase in the complexity of system implementation.

For this purpose, the invention proposes a method for improving the reception of a CDMA receiver based on a parameter estimation method, as well as a correspondingly adapted CDMA receiver, in which the estimates are produced by processing received values (y_i [k]) provided with correction factors (s), the correction factors (s) serving to equalize different power levels of the received signal.

Description

5

[0001] The invention relates in general to systems for digital transmission, for example digital mobile radio systems such as the UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunications System), and in particular to a method for improving the receiving-end estimation of parameters which are required for retrieving the data, and a receiver of a CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) system adapted for carrying out the method.

[0002] As the person skilled in the art in this field is well aware, examples of parameter estimation methods are methods for estimating a frequency offset between modulator and demodulator, which is subsequently compensated, and/or channel estimation methods which are required, for example to set the coefficients for a rake receiver so as to

10 eliminate channel distortions. For the purpose of better comprehension of a rake receiver, reference may be made, in particular, to the publication by A.J. Viterbi, "CDMA", Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1995, the subject-matter of which is to be regarded in full as part of the disclosure content of the present application.
[0003] Particularly in the case of digital transmission over dispersive channels, for example via a mobile radio chan-

nel, the transmitted signal is distorted and disturbed by noise. The channel distortions necessitate special concepts for retrieving the transmitted data; for example a receiving-end sequence estimation with the aid of the Viterbi algorithm

¹⁵ for retrieving the transmitted data; for example a receiving-end sequence estimation with the aid of the Viterbi algorithm is carried out in a TDMA (Time Division Multiple Access) transmission, as is used in the case of GSM systems. When transmission is done using the CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) method, as happens in third-generation mobile radio systems, for example in UMTS systems, retrieval is possible by means of a rake receiver, for example. Methods which are more complicated, but also more powerful, are based, in particular, on a multiuser detection, supplementary

20 reference being made for this purpose to the publication by S. Verdu, "Multiuser Detection", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[0004] The reception methods conventionally require estimates of the channel pulse response. In most CDMA systems known pilot symbols whose corresponding received values can be used to carry out the estimation are transmitted to the receiver for this purpose. A multiplicity of channel estimation methods in the literature are based on this concept.

- ²⁵ **[0005]** The power efficiency of the rake receiver and/or of the multiuser detection is greatly influenced by the quality of the channel estimates. Consequently, CDMA systems are strongly dependent on the use of an adaptive power control in order to achieve a desired capacity of the overall system and transmission quality. The term "power control" in this case covers both the fast power control for reaction to fading, and the longer-term adaptation of the transmit power which is required, for example in the case of a change in data rate.
- ³⁰ **[0006]** With all currently existing CDMA systems it is usual to undertake such power control in blockwise fashion, such that the transmit power is held constant in each case over individual blocks of symbols and is changed discontinuously between individual blocks.

[0007] Since each channel estimate is thereby generally influenced by received values from different blocks, different power levels being assigned to the various blocks in systems with power control, this results in the need to combine

- 35 symbols with different transmit power levels. if these different transmit power levels are not taken into account explicitly, this can therefore lead to a lower quality of the channel estimation results. However, this problem is ignored in systems of the prior art, and/or a palpable degradation of the channel estimate caused by this discontinuity is accepted. [0008] It is an object of the invention to avoid the indicated problems of the prior art, in particular the degradation described.
- ⁴⁰ **[0009]** It is also an object of the invention to indicate an efficient way which, particularly in the case of CDMA systems with power control, ensures estimates of higher quality than when use is made of methods according to the prior art, and simultaneously largely avoids a substantial increase in the complexity of system implementation.

[0010] The solution according to the invention is supplied by a method, a CDMA receiver and an implementation program having the features of Claims 1, 14 and 18, respectively.

⁴⁵ **[0011]** Advantageous and/or preferred embodiments and/or developments are the subject-matter of the respective subclaims.

[0012] For the purpose of parameter estimation methods which are as efficient as possible, in particular for the purpose of estimating a channel pulse response in the case of a CDMA transmission, the invention provides for estimating a correction factor with which different power levels of various receiving blocks can be equalized for the pa-

- ⁵⁰ rameter estimate. Discontinuous variations in the received signal of the parameter estimate can therefore be avoided by estimating and compensating a power control factor.
 [0013] Since power control information is transmitted, for example in the case of UMTS systems, only with a relatively low reliability, it is provided in a preferred development to use methods of the statistical hypothesis test to estimate
- power control factors. An advantage of this is that analytical expressions can be specified for the method on the basis of the description of the attenuation of transmission channels in mobile radio, and also of superimposed noise as complex Gaussian processes. The estimate of the power control factor is, moreover, preferably based on a maximum a-posteriori approach, a decision going to the power control factor for which the probability of occurrence is a maximum in terms of measurement of prescribable observed variables. According to the invention, different observed variables

are specified for individual preferred estimation methods, it being possible to estimate advantageously both with the aid of received values which are assigned the pilot symbols, and with the aid of received values which are assigned to unknown data. Furthermore, the method according to the invention is also suitable for profitable application in estimating further parameters such as, for example, a frequency offset between a transmitter and a receiver.

- ⁵ **[0014]** The method according to the invention, and the receiver adapted according to the invention for carrying out the method therefore ensure a rise in the quality of parameter estimation at the receiving end, such as, in particular, channel estimation in CDMA systems with power control. Since, particularly with the use of a rake receiver to reconstruct the transmitted data, the detection is substantially based on channel estimates and/or estimates of other parameters such as, for example, the frequency offset, the improvement in parameter estimation therefore leads to a reduction in
- 10 the bit error rate of the transmission system, which therefore entails a possible saving in the required transmit power, and thus a higher overall capacity. By contrast, in practical implementation this leads only to a slight extra outlay.
 [0015] The invention is described in detail below by way of example with the aid of preferred exemplary embodiments and with reference to the attached drawings, in which:
- ¹⁵ Figure 1 shows a simplified block diagram of a rake receiver with maximum ratio combining, taking explicit account of the power control,

Figure 2	shows a block diagram corresponding to Figure 1, but without the account of the power control,
Figure 3a	shows an input signal for a parameter estimate without compensation of the power control,
Figure 3b	shows an input signal for a parameter estimate with compensation of the power control, and
Eiguro 1	shows an input signal of a rake finger for estimating a power central factor

Figure 4 shows an input signal of a rake finger for estimating a power control factor.

[0016] For a better understanding of the approach according to the invention, and of the system model on which the following description is based, reference is firstly made to Figure 2, which illustrates a simplified block diagram of a rake receiver for a transmission with DS-CDMA (Direct Sequence Code Division Multiple Access) for a linearly distorting channel. As is known per se to the person skilled in the art, after traversing a receiving filter 1 an input signal coming from an antenna is processed for this purpose in paths, the so-called fingers F₀, F_i, F_{L-1} of the rake receiver, comprising a plurality of devices, in particular for delay 2, despreading 3 and channel estimation 4.

[0017] The time-discrete output signal y[k] of the rake receiver in accordance with Figure 2 is given in this case by

25

$$y[k] = \sum_{i=0}^{h_{1}} y_{i}[k] \quad \hat{h}_{i}^{*}[k]$$
(1)

³⁵ **[0018]** L denoting the number of the fingers F_0 , F_i , F_{L-1} of the rake receiver. If propagation times which occur are ignored in order to simplify the representation, the output signal $y_i[k]$ of the ith finger F_i in accordance with Figure 2 is given by

40

$$y_i[k] = h_i[k] \cdot a[k] \cdot s + n_i[k], \qquad i \in \{0, 1, ..., L-1\}$$
(2)

[0019] The sequence a[k] in this case includes the transmitted symbols which, depending on the modulation method used, can either be truly real or complex. The parameters $h_i[k]$ constitute time-dependent weighting factors relating to the ith rake finger F_i , which are given by the channel pulse response, the parameter $h_i[k]$ in equation (1) denoting the

- ⁴⁵ estimated channel weighting factor relating to the ith rake finger F_i, which is determined by the channel estimating device 4 in Figure 2. The parameters n_i[k] denote interfering signals of the ith rake finger F_i, which are conventionally composed of different components, for example white noise and MAI (Multiple Access Interference). The factor s constitutes a weighting factor which influences the power of the received signal, that is to say exerts power control (fast power control or longer term power matching), and is constant for the receiving blocks of duration K.
- ⁵⁰ **[0020]** As is further known to the person skilled in the art in this field, both $h_i[k]$, and $n_i[k]$ can be assumed to a good approximation in many mobile radio applications to be complex average less Gaussian processes, this assumption forming the basis of the following description.

[0021] In the case of the UMTS systems, for example, the power control factor s is suddenly communicated to the receiver, but the corresponding reception symbols are not highly reliable, since the requirement for a short delay renders

⁵⁵ it impossible to use a powerful channel coding for these symbols. In general, the receiver therefore has no precise knowledge of the factor s, and so it is therefore necessary, rather, essentially to consider all possibilities for s. Their (a-priori) probabilities can be determined with the aid of the reception symbols containing the power control information, and of the corresponding symbol error probabilities.

[0022] For the sake of simplicity, the symbols of each block are enumerated with a constant power control factor s at discrete times $k \in \{0, 1, ..., K-1\}$. It is further assumed that each block K_p includes pilot symbols, known to the receiver, at the positions $k_0, k_1, ..., k_{Kp-1}$. Channel estimation for determining the factors $h_i[k]$ can be undertaken with the aid of the received values $v_i[k_1, ..., k_{Kp-1}]$.

- ⁵ the received values y_i[k₀], y_i[k₁], ..., y_i[k_{Kp-1}], in which case various methods based, for example, on filtering and/or interpolation are known for this purpose from the literature, for example from publications by J.K. Cavers, "An Analysis of Pilot Symbol Assisted Modulation for Rayleigh Fading Channels", Transactions on Vehicular Technology, VT-40: 686-693, Nov. 1991; G. Auer et al., "Adaptive Mobile Channel Prediction for Decision Directed Rake Receivers", IEE Colloguium on Adaptive Signal Processing for Mobile Communications Systems, pages 13/1-13/5, Oct. 1997; H. Andoh
- et al., "Channel Estimation Filter Using Time-Multiplexed Pilot Channel for Coherent Rake Combining in DS-CDMA Mobile Radio", IEICE Trans. Commun., E81-B(7): 1517-1526, July 1998.
 [0023] It is also possible with these methods to incorporate into the channel estimate received values which correspond to data symbols, doing so by applying the principles of decision feedback. This results in an increase in the guality of estimation.
- ¹⁵ **[0024]** It is known to the person skilled in the art that received values from different blocks generally influence the current channel estimate, the consequence of which is that symbols are combined with various power control factors s, as may be seen from Figure 3b) for example. As already mentioned above, this can result in a degradation in the adaptation of the channel estimating filter or in a lower quality of the result of channel estimation. Furthermore, a similar problem also occurs, for example in frequency offset estimation methods which operate with estimates for the channel
- ²⁰ autocorrelation sequence, reference being made for this purpose by way of example to the publication by W.-Y. Kuo et al. "Frequency Offset Compensation of Pilot Symbol Assisted Modulation in Frequency Flat Fading", Transactions on Communications, COM-45: 1412-1416, Nov. 1997.

[0025] In a departure from the parameter estimation methods according to the prior art, in which these problems are ignored or a resulting degradation is accepted, the approach of the method according to the invention is to estimate the power control factor s and thereafter compensate it in order, in particular, to avoid abrupt discontinuities in the input signal of the parameter estimate, as shown in Figure 3b).

[0026] The estimation to be carried out for this purpose is described below with reference to Figures 1 and 4.

[0027] It is generally impossible to make direct use of the factor s communicated to the receiver by the transmitter, since the symbols which include this information are mostly not sufficiently reliable. It is therefore provided in order to estimate the factor s to apply methods of the statistical hypothesis test as disclosed, for example, in the publication by

- estimate the factor s to apply methods of the statistical hypothesis test as disclosed, for example, in the publication by A.D. Whalen, "Detection of Signals in Noise", Academic Press, New York, 1971 and to be regarded as a part of the present disclosure content. In accordance with Figure 1, following estimation, carried out in a device 5, of the correction factor s, that is to say substantially of the power control factor, values 1/s·y_i[k], in which abrupt changes in power no longer occur, are made available to the device 4' for parameter estimation (for example channel estimation), and this improves the result of parameter estimation.
- **[0028]** In order to carry out estimation of the factor s, it is preferred to consider the first M output values of each rake finger F_0 , F_i , F_{L-1} per block n, n+1. These values are combined in reception vectors

45

50

25

$$y_i = \begin{bmatrix} y_i[0] & y_i[1] & \dots & y_i[M-1] \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \dots, L-1\},$$
(3)

 $(.)^{T}$ denoting transposition. On the basis of this, interest now attaches, in particular, to the overall density of y_i the case of M = 2 being described below by way of example. Since h_i[k] and n_i[k] can be taken as complex Gaussian processes, the result for given values of s, a[0] and a[1] (compare equation (2)) as conditional density for y_i is a two-dimensional complex Gaussian density with the probability density function of

$$p(y_i|s,a[0],a[1]) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 |\det(M_i)|} \exp(-y_i^H M_i^{-1} y_i),$$
(4)

 $(.)^{H}$ denoting Hermitian transposition of the matrix, and the autocorrelation matrix M_{i} being given by

$$\mathbf{M}_{i} = \mathbb{E}\left\{ \mathbf{y}_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{H} \middle| \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}[0], \mathbf{a}[1] \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{i}^{2} & \rho_{i}^{*} \sigma_{i}^{2} \\ \rho_{i} \sigma_{i}^{2} & \sigma_{i}^{2} \end{bmatrix},$$
(5)

[0029] The following definitions hold here:

5

$$\sigma_{1}^{2} = E \left\{ \left| h_{1} \left[0 \right] a \left[0 \right] s + n_{1} \left[0 \right] \right|^{2} \right\}$$
$$= E \left\{ \left| h_{1} \left[1 \right] a \left[1 \right] s + n_{1} \left[1 \right] \right|^{2} \right\}$$
$$= \sigma_{n,i}^{2} s^{2} + \sigma_{n,i}^{2} , \qquad (6)$$

10

where

15

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{i}}^{1} = \mathbf{E} \left\{ \left| \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} \left[\mathbf{k} \right] \right|^{2} \right\}$$
(7)

20
$$\sigma_{n,i}^{2} = E\left\{\left|n_{i}[k]\right|^{2}\right\}$$
(8)

and

25

30

$$\rho_{i} = \frac{E\left\{ \left(h_{i}[0] = [0] + n_{i}[0]\right)^{*} \cdot \left(h_{i}[1] = [1] + n_{i}[1]\right) \right\}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} \\
= \frac{\varphi_{n_{i}n_{i}}[1] = [0] = [1] + \varphi_{n_{i}n_{i}}[1]}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}, \quad (9)$$

 $\sigma_{j}^{2}, \sigma_{h,i}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{n,i}^{2}$ respectively representing the variance of the output signal of the ith rake finger F_{i} , the variance of the channel weighting factor relating to the ith rake finger F_{i} and the noise variance at the ith rake finger F_{i} and the $\phi_{h_{i}h_{i}}[\kappa]$ and $\phi_{n_{i}h_{i}}[\kappa]$ representing the autocorrelation sequences of $h_{i}[k]$ and $n_{i}[k]$ respectively, where

40
$$\varphi_{\mathbf{h}_{i}\mathbf{h}_{i}}[\kappa] = \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{h}_{i}\left[\mathbf{k} + \kappa\right]\mathbf{h}_{i}^{*}\left[\kappa\right]\right\}$$

and

45

$$\varphi_{n_i n_i}[\kappa] = E \left\{ n_i [k + \kappa] n_i^*[\kappa] \right\}.$$

[0030] The determination of the autocorrelation functions and variances $\sigma_{h,i}^2$ and $\sigma_{n,i}^2$ can be performed in the receiver, for example with the aid of the channel estimates. It is to be noted that σ_i^2 is a function of the power control factor s to be tested, and the correlation coefficient ρ_i is, furthermore, a function of a[0] and a[1].

[0031] Furthermore, consideration will be given for what follows to the case of white noise $n_i[k]$, that is to say, $\varphi_{n_in_i}$ [κ] = 0, $\kappa \neq 0$ which case mostly obtains in practice to a good approximation. This leads to

$$\rho_{i} = \frac{\phi_{h_{i}h_{i}}[1]a[0]a[1]s^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}.$$
(10)

[0032] A vector (or else scalar)

symbols by suitable definition of f(.).

5

$$u_{i} = f(y_{i}) \tag{11}$$

is formed before estimation of the power control factor s. It is generally known to be necessary to assume that no pilot symbols are assigned to the time steps 0, 1, ..., M-1 under consideration, and that the corresponding transmission symbols are therefore unknown for the estimation. In other words, no use can be made of decided data, since obtaining them requires knowledge of channel estimates, whereas a is estimated upstream of the channel estimation in the current block. The vectorial or scalar function f(.) serves the purpose of keeping the influence of the unknown data

15

10

[0033] Although it is also possible in principle to use criteria known to the person skilled in the art to estimate the factor s, a maximum a-posteriori approach is used below for the exemplary description. The estimated factor is therefore determined in accordance with

symbols as slight as possible, it also being possible, as described at a later junction, to cover the case of known pilot

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \underset{\mathbf{s}}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(\mathbf{s} \mid \mathbf{u}_{0}, \mathbf{u}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{t-1}), \qquad (12)$$

20

p(s∣u₀, u₁,..., u_{L-1})

denoting the conditional probability density function of s with u_0 , u_1 , ..., u_{L-1} being known.

[0034] Bayes' theorem is used to obtain the expression which is accessible for numerical evaluations, that is to say:

25

$$p(\mathbf{s} | \mathbf{u}_{0}, \mathbf{u}_{1}, ..., \mathbf{u}_{L-1}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{u}_{0}, \mathbf{u}_{1}, ..., \mathbf{u}_{L-1} | \mathbf{s}) \ \mathcal{P}r(\mathbf{s})}{p(\mathbf{u}_{0}, \mathbf{u}_{1}, ..., \mathbf{u}_{L-1})}.$$
(13)

30

35

[0035] Equation (13) is to be evaluated in this case for all possibilities, that is to say hypotheses for the factor s. Here, $p(u_0, u_1, ..., u_{L-1})$ can be neglected as an irrelevant factor for determining the most likely value, and the calculations of the a-priori probabilities Pr(s) can be carried out in a simple way with the aid of the error probabilities relating to the symbols containing the power control information. Furthermore, the overall density $p(u_0, u_1, ..., u_{L-1}|s)$ to be calculated can be simplified. Since the channel coefficients $h_i[k]$ for various rake fingers F_0 , F_i , F_{L-1} can be modelled in a fashion statistically independent of one another, as can the interference processes $n_i[k]$, it is possible with a suitable selection of the function f(.) to assume the validity of the factorization

$$p(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{L-1} | \mathbf{s}) = p(\mathbf{u}_0 | \mathbf{s}) \cdot p(\mathbf{u}_1 | \mathbf{s}) \cdot \dots \cdot p(\mathbf{u}_{L-1} | \mathbf{s}).$$
(14)

The function f(.) must be known in order to determine the density functions $p(u_i|s)$. Three preferred possibilities for forming f(.) are discussed below.

[0036] A first possibility, without the need to know training frequencies on the part of the receiver, consists in forming the squares of absolute values component by component, that is to say

$$f(\mathbf{y}_{i}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_{i}[0]^{2} & \mathbf{y}_{i}[1]^{2} & \dots & \mathbf{y}_{i}[M-1]^{2} \end{bmatrix}^{2} .$$
(15)

[0037] Furthermore, the number of the symbols is selected as M=2, and binary antipodal modulation is assumed, such as is used, for example, in UMTS systems, that is to say $a[k] \in \{\pm 1\}$. The representation

55

$$p(\mathbf{u}_{1}|\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}[0] \in \{\pm1\}} \sum_{\mathbf{a}[1] \in \{\pm1\}} p(\mathbf{u}_{1}|\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}[0], \mathbf{a}[1]) \ \mathcal{P}r(\mathbf{a}[0], \mathbf{a}[1]) , \qquad (16)$$

is selected for calculating $p(u_i|s)$.

[0038] The calculation of an overall probability density function of the squares of absolute values of two correlated complex Gaussian random variables, the calculation of $p(u_i=f(y_i)|s,a[0],a[1])$ in the case under consideration, proves to be a standard problem for the case of a two-dimensional complex Gaussian density for y_i for given s, a[0] and a[1]; its solution is known from the literature, for example from publications by M. Schwartz et al. "Communication Systems and Techniques", McGraw-Hill, New York 1966 and by C.W. Helstrom, "The Resolution of Signals in White, Gaussian Noise", Proceedings of the IRE, 43:1111-1118, Sept. 1955. With σ_i^2 and ρ_i in accordance with equation (6) and equation (10) respectively, and the modified Bessel function of first kind of zero order

10

5

$$I_{o}(\chi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} exp(\chi \cos \varphi) d\varphi, \qquad (18)$$

15

the result is

$$p(\mathbf{u}_i = f(\mathbf{y}_i) | \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}[0], \mathbf{a}[1]) =$$

$$=\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{4}(1-|p_{i}|^{2})}eq\left(-\frac{|y_{i}[0]|^{2}+|y_{i}[1]|^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}(1-|p_{i}|^{2})}\right)T_{0}\left(\frac{2|y_{i}[0]|y_{i}[1]|p_{i}|}{\sigma_{i}^{2}(1-|p_{i}|^{2})}\right).$$
(17)

25

30

compare, for example, the abovementioned publication by M. Schwartz et al. In accordance with equation (10), the absolute value of ρ_i is independent of a[0] and a[1] for binary antipodal modulation and white noise. This results in accordance with equations (16) and (17) in

$$p(\mathbf{u}_{1}|\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{1}^{4}(1-|\rho_{1}|^{2})} eq\left(-\frac{|\mathbf{y}_{1}[0]|^{2}+|\mathbf{y}_{1}[1]|^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}(1-|\rho_{1}|^{2})}\right) \mathbf{I}_{0}\left(\frac{2|\mathbf{y}_{1}[0]|\mathbf{y}_{1}[1]|\rho_{1}|}{\sigma_{1}^{2}(1-|\rho_{1}|^{2})}\right), \quad (19)$$

where

and

40

$$\sigma_i^2 = \sigma_{h,i}^2 s^2 + \sigma_{n,i}^2,$$
 (20)

45

$$|\rho_{i}| = \frac{|\varphi_{h_{i}h_{i}}[1]|s^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}.$$
(21)

50

55

[0039] A simplification can be undertaken given low signal-to-noise ratios, which occur as a rule at the outputs of the individual rake fingers F_0 , F_i , F_{L-1} . Use may be made in this case of the approximation

$$\ln(I_0(\mathbf{x})) \approx \mathbf{x}^2/4 \tag{22}$$

which is valid for small arguments and which leads to

$$fr(p(\mathbf{u}_{i}|\mathbf{s})) = fr\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{4}(1-|\rho_{i}|^{2})}\right) - \frac{|\mathbf{y}_{i}[0]|^{2} + |\mathbf{y}_{i}[1]|^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}(1-|\rho_{i}|^{2})} + \frac{|\mathbf{y}_{i}[0]^{2}|\mathbf{y}_{i}[1]^{2}|\rho_{i}|^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{4}(1-|\rho_{i}|^{2})^{2}}$$
(23)

5

supplementary reference being made for this purpose by way of example to the publication by D. Raphaeli, "Noncoherent Coded Modulation", Transactions on Communications, COM-44:172-183, Feb. 1996. Finally, using equation (13) and equation (14) the estimated power control factor s is yielded as that value which maximizes the expression

1

· · ·

15

10

$$\sum_{i=0}^{L-1} \left(ln \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_i^4 (1-|\rho_i|^2)} \right) - \frac{|y_i[0]|^2 + |y_i[1]|^2}{\sigma_i^2 (1-|\rho_i|^2)} + \frac{|y_i[0]|^2 |y_i[1]^2 |\rho_i|^2}{\sigma_i^4 (1-|\rho_i|^2)^2} \right) + ln(\mathcal{P}r(s))$$

[0040] As a second possibility, the squares of the absolute values of the individual received values can be summed to form the function f(.), that is to say

$$f(\mathbf{y}_{i}) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} |\mathbf{y}_{i}[\mu]^{2} .$$
(24)

25

[0041] M = 2 is chosen again by way of example, and the case of binary antipodal modulation is considered. The conditional density function of u_i=f(y_i) for a given s can be derived starting from the publication by M. Schwartz already referred to. Once again, the expression independent of a[0] and a[1] is yielded for the conditional density $p(f(u_i)|s,a[0],$ a[1]), leading finally to

30

$$p(\mathbf{u}_{i}|\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{2|\rho_{i}|\sigma_{i}^{2}} \left(eq\left(-\frac{|y_{i}[0]|^{2} + |y_{i}[1]|^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}(1+|\rho_{i}|)}\right) - eq\left(-\frac{|y_{i}[0]|^{2} + |y_{i}[1]|^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}(1-|\rho_{i}|)}\right) \right)$$
(25)

35

equations (20) and (21) holding, respectively, in turn for σ_{\perp}^2 and $|\rho_i|$. Starting therefrom, it is therefore possible to use equations (13) and (14) to specify directly the target function to be maximized as a function of s, more specifically

40

$$\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} \left(\frac{1}{2|\rho_i|\sigma_i^2} \left(eq\left(-\frac{|\gamma_i[0]|^2 + |\gamma_i[1]|^2}{\sigma_i^2(1+|\rho_i|)} \right) - eq\left(-\frac{|\gamma_i[0]|^2 + |\gamma_i[1]|^2}{\sigma_i^2(1-|\rho_i|)} \right) \right) \right) \cdot \operatorname{Pr}(s)$$

45

55

[0042] A further preferred possibility consists in directly using y_i to estimate s, that is to say, $u_i = y_i$ in the case of the occurrence of training symbols, known at the receiving end, at the points $k \in \{0, 1, ..., M-1\}$. The case of M = 2 and a[0] 50 = a[1] = 1 will be considered as an example. The resulting conditional density function is

$$p(\mathbf{u}_{i} = \mathbf{y}_{i}|\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{\pi^{2}\sigma_{i}^{4}(1-|\rho_{i}|^{2})}eq\left(-\frac{|\mathbf{y}_{i}[0]|^{2}+|\mathbf{y}_{i}[1]|^{2}-2\mathcal{R}e[\rho_{i}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{-}[1]\mathbf{y}_{i}[0]]\right)}{\sigma_{i}^{2}(1-|\rho_{i}|^{2})}\right), \quad (26)$$

where

$$\sigma_{i}^{2} = \sigma_{h,i}^{2} s^{2} + \sigma_{n,i}^{2},$$
(27)

5

$$\rho_{i} = \frac{\varphi_{h_{i}h_{i}}[1]s^{2} + \varphi_{n_{i}n_{i}}[1]}{\sigma_{i}^{2}},$$
(28)

10

[0043] Equations (13) and (14) can therefore be used to set up the target function, which is to be maximized as a function of s, as

15

$$\sum_{i=0}^{L-1} \left(f_{i} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{4} (1-|\rho_{i}|^{2})} \right) - \frac{|Y_{i}[0]|^{2} + |Y_{i}[1]|^{2} - 2\Re \{\rho_{i}Y_{i}^{*}[1]Y_{i}[0]\}}{\sigma_{i}^{2} (1-|\rho_{i}|^{2})} \right) + f_{i}(\Pr(s))$$

20

25

[0044] Whereas the above exclusively considered the case of considering only signal values of the new receiving block n+1 to estimate s, that is to say after a change in the power control factor s, an estimate can also be made by additionally considering received values of the old block n. As an example, the use of received values from an old and a new receiving block n and n+1, respectively, are considered (Figure 4) below for this purpose, that is to say in the case of M=2 the received vector relating to the ith rake finger F_i is formed as

$$\mathbf{y}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_{i} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \end{bmatrix} & \mathbf{y}_{i} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2}. \tag{29}$$

30

It is assumed, furthermore, that the compensation of the power control factor s has already been undertaken for the old received value. A Gaussian density in accordance with equation (4) is yielded once again as conditional density p (y_i|s,a[-1],a[0]), the result for the autocorrelation matrix now being

35

$$\mathbf{M}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{h,i}^{2} + \sigma_{n,i}^{2} / s_{0}^{2} & \phi_{h,h_{i}}^{*}[1]a[-1]a^{*}[0]s + \phi_{n,n_{i}}^{*}[1] / s_{0} \\ \phi_{h_{i}h_{i}}[1]a^{*}[-1]a[0]s + \phi_{n,n_{i}}[1] / s_{0} & \sigma_{h,i}^{2}s^{2} + \sigma_{n,i}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (30)$$

40

Here, s_0 denotes the already determined power control factor of the old block n. As a person skilled in the art in this field will recognize, it is therefore possible to use this result once again to derive target functions for estimating s for the three possibilities discussed above which are based on function f(.) and binary antipodal modulation.

- ⁴⁵ **[0045]** In a practical implementation, in particular inside a receiver of a CDMA-based mobile radio system, the method entails only a slight extra outlay and is started in relation to any period of the power control. After the storage of the samples y_i[k] for all L rake fingers, the latter are therefore additionally preprocessed with the formation of the function f(.) based, in particular, on one of the three preferred possibilities described above.
- [0046] Consequently, it is subsequently possible in conjunction with consideration of the a-priori probability Pr(s) for the occurrence of a power control factor s which is known per se from a transmitted power control command and the uncoded error rate then to set up a target function for all possible power control factors s, it being possible to use samples from a receiving block n+1 or, alternatively, received values from different blocks n, n+1 (Figure 4): for example, when selecting the number of symbols to be considered in relation to M=2, either the samples y_i[0] and y_i[1] from the new receiving block n+1 or, alternatively, the samples y_i[-1] and Y_i[0] from different receiving blocks. The estimate for
- ⁵⁵ the power control factor s actually occurring is thereupon selected as that for which the target function can be maximized.

[0047] The method according to the invention for estimating the correction factor can therefore be performed both

with the aid of received values which are assigned to pilot symbols, and with the aid of received values which are assigned to unknown data.

[0048] The method according to the invention ensures enhancement of the quality of the parameter estimation, thus ensuring a reduction in the bit error rate of the transmission system and/or in a possible saving of necessary transmit power.

Claims

5

- Method for improving the reception of a CDMA receiver based on a parameter estimation method, in which the estimates are produced by processing received values (y_i[k]) provided with correction factors (s), the correction factors (s) serving to equalize different power levels of the received signal.
- Method according to Claim 1, characterized in that the discontinuity of transmit power levels and the correction factors (s) resulting therefrom are estimated using methods of the statistical hypothesis test and are assigned to the received values (y_i[k]).
 - 3. Method according to Claim 1 or 2, **characterized in that** the a-priori probability (Pr(s)) for the presence of a specific discontinuity of a transmit power level is used in the case of a method of the statistical hypothesis test to improve the estimation of the current discontinuity of the transmit power level of the received signal (y_i[k]).
 - 4. Method according to one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the estimation of a power control factor (s) provides parameters which reproduce the currently estimated discontinuity of the transmit power of a mobile station.
- 25

35

20

- 5. Method according to one of the preceding claims, **characterized in that** the parameter estimation method undertakes to estimate the discontinuity of the transmit power between two blocks (n, n+1) respectively assigned to a transmit power.
- 30 6. Method according to one of Claims 1 to 5, characterized in that in order to estimate the correction factor, the parameter estimation method uses a vector from component-by-component squares of absolute values of the received signal (y_i[k]) to carry out the statistical hypothesis test.
 - 7. Method according to one of Claims 1 to 6, **characterized in that** sums of squares of absolute values are used to carry out the statistical hypothesis test.
 - 8. Method according to one of the preceding claims, **characterized in that** the statistical hypothesis test is carried out during the reception of received values (y_i[k]) corresponding to training symbols.
- 40 9. Method according to one of Claims 1 to 6, characterized in that only received values (y_i[k]) from the block (n+1) belonging to the power control factor (s) currently to be estimated are used to estimate the power level.
 - **10.** Method according to one of Claims 1 to 9, **characterized in that** received values (y_i[k]) from the block (n+1) belonging to the power control factor (s) currently to be estimated, and from the preceding block (n) are used to estimate the power level.
 - 11. Method according to one of the preceding claims, **characterized in that** in the event of a discontinuity the estimation of discontinuities of the power control factors (s) signals further estimation methods and is used as a basis for the latter.
- 50

55

- **12.** Method according to Claim 11, **characterized in that** the further estimation methods cover the estimation of properties of the transmission channel.
- **13.** Method according to Claim 12, **characterized in that** the further estimation methods cover the estimation of the transmitted bit stream.
- **14.** CDMA receiver comprising a parameter estimation device for carrying out a parameter estimation method in accordance with one of Claims 1 to 13.

- 15. CDMA receiver according to Claim 14, characterized in that the receiver is designed as a rake receiver.
- **16.** CDMA receiver according to Claim 15, **characterized in that** more than one finger (F₀, F_i, F_{L-1}) of the rake receiver are assigned a parameter estimation device (5).
- **17.** CDMA receiver according to Claim 15 or 16, **characterized in that** signals from more than one finger (F₀, F_i, F_{L-1}) of the rake receiver are used to carry out the statistical hypothesis test.
- **18.** Implementation program for carrying out a method according to one of Claims 1 to 13, in particular inside a CDMA receiver according to one of Claims 14 to 17.

15			
20			
25			
30			
35			
40			
45			
50			
55			

5






FIG. 1







European Patent Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number EP 01 30 4709

Category		ndication, where appropriate,	Relevant	CLASSIFICATION OF THE
Jacogory	of relevant pass		to claim	APPLICATION (Int.CI.7)
X	WO 00 33472 A (ERIC 8 June 2000 (2000-0 * page 3, line 19 - * page 4, line 21 - * page 7, line 1 - * page 9, line 23 - * page 11, line 4 - * figures 2,3,7,8,1	6-08) page 4, line 2 * page 5, line 9 * page 8, line 4 * page 10, line 11 * line 18 *	H04B17/00 H04B1/707 H04L25/02 H04B7/005	
E	EP 1 158 696 A (NTT 28 November 2001 (2 * page 4, line 23 - * page 5, line 30 - * page 7, line 11 - * page 8, line 27 - * figures 3-5 *	001-11-28) line 52 * line 40 * line 45 *	1-17	
X	27 March 2001 (2001 * column 4, line 24 * column 5, line 27 * column 9, line 9 * column 11, line 1	- line 67 * - line 63 *	1	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.CI.7) H04B H04L
X	EP 0 893 888 A (TOK CO) 27 January 1999 * abstract * * page 2, line 28 - * page 3, line 4 - * page 4, line 57 - * figure 2 *	line 35 * line 25 *	1	
l	The present search report has	been drawn up for all claims	-	
	Place of search	Date of completion of the search		Examiner
	THE HAGUE	11 February 2002	Lõp	ez Márquez, T
X : parti Y : parti docu A : tech O : non	ATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS icularly relevant if taken alone icularly relevant if combined with anot ment of the same category nological background -written disclosure mediate document	T : theory or princip E : earlier patent do after the filing da D : document cited i L : document cited i & : member of the s document	cument, but publi ite in the application or other reasons	ished on, or



Office

European Patent

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 01 30 4709

Category	Citation of document with in of relevant pass	dication, where appropriate, ages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
A	ET AL) 25 August 19		1	
A	DISTRIBUTION CONVOL FORSTUDYING CDMA IN WITH RAKE RECEIVER, MULTIPATH FADING" IEICE TRANSACTIONS INSTITUTE OF ELECTR COMM. ENG. TOKYO, J vol. E79B, no. 10, 1 October 1996 (199 1525-1535, XP000636 ISSN: 0916-8516 * page 1525, left-h - right-hand column * page 1529, right- 3 - page 1530, left 3 *	UTION (CSDC) MODELING DOOR WIRELESS SYSTEMS POWER CONTROL & ON COMMUNICATIONS, DNICS INFORMATION AND P, 6-10-01), pages D95 and column, paragraph 3		TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)
	The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
	Place of search THE HAGUE	Date of completion of the search 11 February 2002	Lõp	ez Márquez, T
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		L : document cited fo	cument, but publi e n the application or other reasons	shed on, or

ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 01 30 4709

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

11-02-2002

WO 0033472 A 08-06-2000 AU 1445500 A 19-06-2000 CN 1329779 T 02-01-2002 EP 1135865 A1 26-09-2001 EP 1135865 A1 26-09-2001 W0 0033472 A1 08-06-2000 EP 1158696 A 28-11-2001 JP 2001333002 A 30-11-2001 EP 1158696 A2 28-11-2001 US 2002003833 A1 10-01-2002 US 6208632 B1 27-03-2001 EP 1057279 A1 06-12-2000 US 6208632 B1 27-01-1999 JP 11046158 A 16-02-1999 EP 0893888 A 27-01-1999 JP 1046158 A 16-02-1999 US 6192066 B1 20-02-2001 US 6192066 B1 20-02-2001 US 5799011 A 25-08-1998 US 5737327 A 07-04-1998 GB 2322773 A ,B 02-09-1998 GB 2322773 A ,B JP 10242906 A <th></th> <th>Patent docume cited in search re</th> <th></th> <th>Publication date</th> <th></th> <th>Patent fam member(s</th> <th></th> <th>Publication date</th>		Patent docume cited in search re		Publication date		Patent fam member(s		Publication date
EP 1158696 A2 28-11-2001 US 2002003833 A1 10-01-2002 US 6208632 B1 27-03-2001 EP 1057279 A1 06-12-2000 WO 9939452 A1 05-08-1999 05-08-1999 05-08-1999 EP 0893888 A 27-01-1999 JP 11046158 A 16-02-1999 EP 0893888 A2 27-01-1999 JP 11046158 A 16-02-1999 US 6192066 B1 20-02-2001 US 6192066 B1 20-02-2001 US 5799011 A 25-08-1998 US 5737327 A 07-04-1998 BR 9704890 A 24-11-1998 GB 2322773 A B 02-09-1998 JP 10242906 A 11-09-1998 JP 10242906 A 11-09-1998 US 6243410 B1 05-06-2001 CN 1185063 A 17-06-1998 </th <th>WO</th> <th>0033472</th> <th>A</th> <th>08-06-2000</th> <th>CN EP</th> <th>1329779 1135865</th> <th>T A1</th> <th>02-01-2002 26-09-2001</th>	WO	0033472	A	08-06-2000	CN EP	1329779 1135865	T A1	02-01-2002 26-09-2001
W0 9939452 A1 05-08-1999 EP 0893888 A 27-01-1999 JP 11046158 A 16-02-1999 CN 1213912 A 14-04-1999 EP 0893888 A2 27-01-1999 US 6192066 B1 20-02-2001 US 6192066 B1 20-02-2001 US 5799011 A 25-08-1998 US 5737327 A 07-04-1998 US 6192089 A 02-09-1998 GB 2322773 A B 02-09-1998 JP 10242906 A 11-09-1998 US 6243410 B1 05-06-2001 CN 1185063 A	EP	1158696	A	28-11-2001	EP	1158696	A2	28-11-2001
CN 1213912 A 14-04-1999 EP 0893888 A2 27-01-1999 US 6192066 B1 20-02-2001 US 5799011 A 25-08-1998 US 5737327 A 07-04-1998 BR 9704890 A 24-11-1998 CN 1192089 A 02-09-1998 GB 2322773 A ,B 02-09-1998 JP 10242906 A 11-09-1998 US 6243410 B1 05-06-2001 CN 1185063 A 17-06-1998 DE 19712830 A1 06-11-1997 FR 2746990 A1 03-10-1997 GB 2311702 A ,B 01-10-1997 JP 3091711 B2 25-09-2000 JP 10032560 A 03-02-1998	US	6208632	B1	27-03-200 1				
BR 9704890 A 24-11-1998 CN 1192089 A 02-09-1998 GB 2322773 A ,B 02-09-1998 JP 10242906 A 11-09-1998 US 6243410 B1 05-06-2001 CN 1185063 A 17-06-1998 DE 19712830 A1 06-11-1997 FR 2746990 A1 03-10-1997 GB 2311702 A ,B 01-10-1997 JP 3091711 B2 25-09-2000 JP 10032560 A 03-02-1998	EP	0893888	A	27-01-1999	CN Ep	1213912 0893888	A A2	14-04-1999 27-01-1999
	US	5799011	A	25-08-1998	BR GB JP US CN GB JP JP	9704890 1192089 2322773 10242906 6243410 1185063 19712830 2746990 2311702 3091711 10032560	A A A B B A A A A A A A A A A A A	24-11-1998 02-09-1998 02-09-1998 11-09-1998 05-06-2001 17-06-1998 06-11-1997 03-10-1997 01-10-1997 25-09-2000 03-02-1998

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

FORM P0459