Title: MULTIPLE GENE EXPRESSION FOR ENGINEERING NOVEL PATHWAYS AND HYPEREXPRESSION OF FOREIGN PROTEINS IN PLANTS

Abstract: Introducing blocks of foreign genes in a single operon would avoid complications such as position effect and gene silencing inherent in putting one gene at a time into random locations in the nuclear genome. Cloning several genes into a single T-DNA does not avoid the compounded variable expression problem encountered in nuclear transgenic plants. This disclosure shows that a bacterial operon can be expressed in a single integration event as opposed to multiple events requiring several years to accomplish. Expression of multiple genes via a single transformation event opens the possibility of expressing foreign pathways or pharmaceutical proteins involving multiple genes. Expressing the Cry2aA2 operon, including a putative chaperonin to aid in protein folding, in the chloroplast via a single transformation event leads to production of crystalized insecticidal proteins. Expressing the Mer operon via a single transformation event leads to a phytoremediation system.
MULTIPLE GENE EXPRESSION FOR ENGINEERING NOVEL PATHWAYS AND HYPEREXPRESSION OF FOREIGN PROTEINS IN PLANTS

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This patent application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Applications No. 60/185,660, filed 2/29/00, 60/257,408, filed 12/22/00, 60/259,248 filed 12/29/2000 and 60/266,121 filed 2/2/01. All applications are here incorporated by reference.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED FEDERAL RESEARCH

The work of this invention is supported in part by the USDA-NRICGP grants 95-82770, 97-35504 and 98-0185 to Henry Daniell.

FIELD OF INVENTION

This application pertains to the field of genetic engineering of plant genomes, particularly plastids and to methods of and engineered plants with operons that lead to and result in overexpression of the gene of interest. This application also pertains to the field of genetic engineering of algal and bacterial genomes.

DESCRIPTION OF RELATED ART

Karamata, in U.S. patent No. 4,797,279, proposed the generation of Bacillus thuringiensis hybrids that have insecticidal properties through conjugation. Conjugation is mediated by a conjugative plasmid functional in the B.t. kurstaki strain and the B.t. tenebrionis strain. The resulting hybrid is capable of producing each of the delta-endotoxin crystals typical for a B.t. kurstaki strain and a B.t. tenebrionis strain.

McBride, in U.S. patent No. 5,545,818 and McBride et. al. (1995), describes a method of genetically engineering the plastids of a plant or plant cell such they provide increased expression of the Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal proteins in the plastids. A construct containing a promoter functional in plant plastids, a single gene encoding an insecticidal Bacillus thuringiensis toxin, another DNA sequence encoding a selectable marker, and a transcription termination region capable of terminating transcription in a plant plastid, is used to affect plant transformation. The transcription and translation of the B.t. gene product occurs in the plastids.
Daniell et al., in U.S. patent 5,932,479 (1999), entitled “Genetic engineering of plant chloroplast,” teaches plant cells chloroplast transformed by means of an expression cassette comprising an exogenous DNA sequence which is stably integrated to the chloroplast genome of the cell of a target plant. “Stably” integrated DNA sequences are those which are inherited through genome replication by daughter cells or organisms. This stability is exhibited by the ability to establish permanent cell lines, clones, or transgenic plants comprised of a population containing the exogenous DNA.

Likewise, U.S. patent 5,693,507 (1997) to Daniell and McFadden discloses such stable integration of the chloroplast by means of an expression cassette which comprises an exogenous DNA sequence which codes for a desired trait, and the transformed plant.

Daniell, in PCT International Publication WO 99/10513, teaches the composition and use of universal chloroplast integration and expression by vectors to stably transform and integrate genes of interest into chloroplast genome of multiple species of plants. This leads to chloroplast expression of genes of interest. Transformed plants show the highest level of expression. Plants transformed with insecticidal genes are lethal to insects that are 40,000-fold resistant to Bt. insecticidal proteins.

Significantly, in the prior art inventions use multiple promoters to drive the expression of multiple genes. Put differently, the inventions of the prior art employ a single promoter to drive a single monocistron. In contrast, the present invention employs a single promoter to drive polycistrons, resulting in equal levels of expression of the polycistrons.

All publications and patents are hereby incorporated by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In plant and animal cells, nuclear mRNAs are translated monocistronically. This poses a serious problem when engineering multiple genes in plants. Therefore, in order to express the polyhydroxybutyrate polymer or Guy's 13 antibody, single genes were first introduced into individual transgenic plants, then these plants were back-crossed to reconstitute the entire pathway or the complete protein. Similarly, in a seven year-long effort, Ye et al. recently introduced a set of three genes for a short biosynthetic pathway that resulted in β-carotene expression in rice. In contrast, most chloroplast genes of higher plants are co-transcribed. Multiple steps of chloroplast mRNA processing are involved in the formation of mature mRNAs.
In accordance of the invention expression of polycistrons via the plastid genome, in particular the chloroplast genome, provides a unique opportunity to express entire pathways in a single transformation event. Additionally, chloroplast genetic engineering is an environmentally friendly approach resulting in containment of foreign genes and hyper-expression.

Plant bioremediation (phytoremediation), is the use of plants for in-situ restoration of contaminated sites. The technique has risen in the last decade as a strong and safe technique to address with the increasing problems of the pollution of soil and water bodies. In the past other techniques, such as mechanical and bacterial bioremediation were implemented with little success, since they were costly and threatened the safety of our environment. Plants, on the other hand, are advantageous for bioremediation systems since they have a high capacity for adaptation to different environments and a natural resistance against different toxic pollutants. They are cheap, non-evasive and help contain disrupted ecosystems. These characteristics make plants an ideal vehicle for bioremediation.

Mercury is a toxic heavy metal that is commonly released into the environment as a byproduct of different chemical reactions of modern industries. The present world production of mercury is about 9000 tons/year (http://www.chem.ualberta.ca.htm). In the environment, mercury is rapidly methylated by methanogenic bacteria (Ex. Desulfovibrio desulfuricans) producing the 10 fold more toxic organomercurials (Compeau et al. 1985; Gilmour et al. 1992). Organomercurials are more toxic due to its increased hydrophobicity, which allows it to cross lipid membranes because it is more hydrophobic than mercury. Over 90% of the intake of methylmercury is absorbed into blood compared with only 2% of inorganic mercury (http://www.chem.ualberta.ca.htm). Both organomercurials and mercury have the tendency to accumulate in the tissue, especially in the membrane organelles. In plants organic mercury crosses the lipid membrane of organelles, for example chloroplast, where it can poison essential oxidative and photosynthetic electron transport chains more easily than metallic mercury (Rugh et al. 1996). In photosynthetic organisms, mercury affects the oxygen-evolving complex that is found in the photosystem II and is bound to the thylakoid membrane (Bernier et al. 1993). Mercury treatment of PSII leads to a strong inhibition of oxygen evolution by removal of EP33 (one of the proteins of the OEE complex; Bernier et al. 1995). Mercury reduces the Fm and Fv values due to additional inhibitory sites on the donor side of PSII, including damage to the light-photochemistry (Rashid et al. 1990). Medical researchers discovered that high levels of methylmercury cause severe neurological degeneration in birds, cats and humans
Thus, mercury and organomercurials are ideal targets for phytoremediation. In water, mercury pollution also poses a problem. Mercury accumulates in the sediments of lakes and oceans where methanogenic bacteria live (http://ehpnet.niehs.nih.gov). These bacteria methylate mercury to produce methylmercury, which is eventually released into water (Harada et al. 1995). The methylmercury is trapped into the small fish when the water passes through their gills or they feed on phytoplanktons that carry high concentrations of the pollutant. Predatory fish, as bass in fresh water and tuna in salt water, live for long periods of time feeding on smaller fish. During their life span, they can accumulate high levels of methylmercury that can reach 1.0 ppm in normal water and 30 ppm in areas of high pollution with mercury (http://ehpnet.niehs.nih.gov). Then, humans and birds feed on contaminated fish and accumulation in their tissue cause severe neurological damage.

Meagher and colleagues have used a nuclear modified form of the merA and merB genes to transform plants that are resistant to mercury and organomercurials respectively (Bizily et al. 1999; Rugh et al. 1996), U.S. patent 5,965,796 (1999). One of the drawbacks of nuclear genetic engineering is that it requires several back crosses to create the complete pathway that detoxifies mercury and organomercurials (Bizily et al. 2000). This results in variation in expression levels among different transgenic lines and tolerance to different concentrations of organomercurials, only in low levels of tolerance (10 μM) (Bizily et al. 2000). Another concern of the use of nuclear transformed plants in-situ is the escape of the foreign genes via pollen (Danieli 1999; Bogorad, 2000).

The present invention provides a transgenic plant bioremediation system for soil as well as a transgenic algae/bacteria bioremediation system for water.

**Non-obviousness of expression of operons via the chloroplast genome**

Despite the potential advantages of chloroplasts for foreign gene expression, it was not obvious that multiple genes expressed by a single promoter in chloroplasts would be expressed in this organelle in a coordinated manner. Polycistrons have been observed in chloroplasts in the past but processing RNA sequences present in between individual transcripts, proteins or enzymes involved in processing or cofactors necessary for processing of polycistrons have not yet been characterized. Therefore, it was not obvious to one skilled in the art that multiple foreign gene transcripts would be properly processed and translated when expressed from a heterologous promoter.
Prior to this patent application there were no published reports of expression of multiple genes in chloroplasts and there were valid reasons to suggest that it would be problematic. Indeed, despite several reports of foreign gene expression via the chloroplast genome, no one ever attempted expression of a bacterial operon via the chloroplast genome because of inadequate understanding of processing of polycistrons within plastids. All foreign genes engineered via the plastid genome have been driven by individual promoters and 3' regulatory sequences. It was not known whether 3' terminators and regulatory sequences were necessary for individual genes of the foreign operon. It is generally believed that the proteins or enzymes involved in processing may be under the control of the nuclear genome. It was also believed that there may be several environmental factors involved in processing polycistrons, including light.

While chloroplast ribosome binding sites have been characterized, it was not obvious that ribosome binding sites or untranslated regions upstream of bacterial genes would function in plastids. Also, it was not anticipated that a chaperonin present in a bacterial cell would function within chloroplasts and help fold the foreign protein or interfere with folding of other chloroplast proteins. It was certainly unanticipated that it was possible to create cuboidal crystals within chloroplasts duplicating the functions of a bacterium during sporulation or duplicate bioremediation pathways within plastids.

There was no certainty that the enzymes of the pathway or proteins of the operon would be expressed in a coordinated manner.

Indeed, the prior art suggested that there might have been unforeseen deleterious effects of high-level expression of several foreign proteins within chloroplasts on plant growth or development that were not apparent from the experiences with other transgenes. The pH and oxidation state of the chloroplast differs from that of bacterial cells in ways that might inhibit or prevent functions of proteins or enzymes. Because the results of this invention contradicted those teachings of the prior art, this invention was characterized as breakthrough in plant biotechnology and featured on the cover of Nature Biotechnology (the most prestigious biotechnology journal in the world) in January 2000. Scientists around the world have written reviews subsequent to that publication appreciating this invention. Engineering multiple genes in transgenic plants via the nuclear genome is not only extremely time consuming (taking several years to accomplish) but is riddled with problems of position effect, gene silencing etc. Therefore, this accomplishment was characterized as the holy-grail of plant biotechnology.
SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

By this invention, plastid expression constructs are provided which are useful for genetic engineering of plant cells and which provide for enhanced expression of several foreign proteins in plastids utilizing a single transformation event. The transformed plastid is preferably a metabolically active plastid, such as the chloroplasts found in green and non-green plant tissues including leaves and other parts of the plant. This invention opens the door to engineering novel pathways for metabolic engineering and gene stacking, or for multi subunit complex proteins requiring stoichiometric and coordinated expression of multiple genes. The plastid is preferably one which is maintained at a high copy number in the plant tissue of interest.

The present invention is applicable to all plastids of plants. These include chromoplasts which are present in the fruits, vegetables and flowers; amyloplasts which are present in tubers like the potato; proplastids in roots; leucoplasts and etioplasts, both of which are present in non-green parts of plants.

The plastid expression constructs for use in this invention generally include a single plastid promoter region and multiple genes of interest to be expressed in transformed plastids. The DNA sequence of interest may contain a number of consecutive encoding regions, to be expressed as an operon, for example where introduction of a foreign biochemical pathway into plastids is desired for metabolic engineering or gene stacking. Plastid expression constructs of this invention is linked to a construct having a DNA sequence encoding a selectable marker which can be expressed in a plant plastid.

In a preferred embodiment, transformation vectors for transfer of the construct into a plant cell include means for inserting the expression and selection constructs into the plastid genome. This preferably comprises regions of homology to the target plastid genome which flank the constructs.

The chloroplast vector or constructs of the invention preferably include a universal chloroplast expression vector which is capable of importing a desired trait to a target plant species. Such a vector is competent for stably transforming the chloroplast genome of different plant species which comprises an expression cassette which is described further herein. Such a vector generally includes a plastid promoter region operative in said plant cells chloroplast, a gene which is linked to a multi-gene operon which includes an ORF which codes for a putative chaperonin which facilitates the folding of the protein to form proteolytically stable cuboidal crystals. Preferably, one or more DNA sequences of interest to be expressed in the transformed plastids.
The invention provides also a plastid vector comprising of a DNA construct. The DNA construct includes a 5' part of a plastid DNA sequence inclusive of a spacer sequence; a promoter that is operative in the plastid; at least a heterologous DNA sequence encoding multiple peptides of interest; a gene that confers resistance to a selectable marker; a multi-gene operon; a transcription termination region functional in the target plant cells; and a 3' part of the plastid DNA sequence inclusive of a spacer sequence. The DNA construct is flanked by DNA sequences which are homologous to the spacer sequence of the target plastid genome. The plastid is preferably a chloroplast. The vector preferably includes a ribosome binding site and a 5' untranslated region (5'UTR). A promoter operative in the green and non-green plastids is to be used in conjunction with the 5'UTR.

The invention provides a promoter that is operative in the green and non-green plastids of the target plant cells such as the psbA promoter, rbcL promoter, atpβ promoter region, accD promoter, and the 16SrRNA promoter.

The invention provides a gene, which can be a mutant gene, that confers resistance, such as antibiotic resistance, to a selectable marker like the aadA gene.

The invention provides a cassette which can be modified to include a selectable marker, a gene encoding the chaperonin and any desired heterologous gene. Such applications will be beneficial for the high level production in plants of other desired protein products as well.

Further, the invention preferably provides a three-gene insecticidal Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) operon which shows operon expression and crystal formation via the chloroplast genome. The operon comprises of three operably linked components which operate in concert as a biosynthetic pathway: a distal gene which codes for an insecticidal protein and two open reading frames (ORF). The two ORFs code for at least one molecule of interest and at least one chaperonin to assist in the folding of the insecticidal protein. The molecule of interest of this operon can be a peptide, an enzyme, a selectable marker, or a bio-pharmaceutical, including monoclonals.

This invention also provides for other three-gene operons, particularly insecticidal operon or the Cry2Aa2 operon. This invention also provides for operons of the Cry or Cyt series.

An operon of this invention further provides that the second ORF (ORF2) codes for a putative chaperonin. A chaperonin is a molecule which facilitates the folding and assembly of proteins to form functional proteolytically stable cuboidal crystals. The ORF2 is operably linked to a gene encoding the
insecticidal protein. The invention provides a bacterial chaperonin that is capable of facilitating the folding and assembly of insecticidal proteins.

This invention also provides crystalized insecticidal proteins such as δ-endotoxin proteins, Cry proteins such as the Cry2Aa2 proteins, or Cyt proteins.

In accordance of the invention, the introduction blocks of foreign genes in a single operon would avoid complications inherent in nuclear transformation such as position effect and gene silencing in putting one gene at a time into random locations in the nuclear genome. Repeated use of a single promoter causes gene silencing (De Wilte, C. et. al. 2000). Cloning several genes into a single T-DNA does not avoid the compounded variable expression problem encountered in nuclear transgenic plants. This invention shows that a bacterial operon can be expressed in a single integration event. Expression of multiple genes via a single transformation event opens the possibility of expressing foreign pathways or pharmaceutical proteins involving multiple genes.

The invention provides for the demonstration of expression of a bacterial operon or polycistrons in transgenic plants and opens the door to engineer novel pathways in plants in a single transformation event.

The invention provides a single vector or construct (or cassette) which encodes more than one heterologous protein product. This embodiment of the invention provides that a heterologous DNA fragment that is introduced into a universal vector encodes more than one gene. In one example shown, this invention discloses, the DNA encodes an operon of three genes and produces proteins from at least two genes, one of those genes encode a protein and a chaperone protein. This aspect of the invention—co-expressing multiple genes—is beneficial if one skilled in the art desires to introduce a biosynthetic pathway that comprises multiple steps into plants. For example, a three step synthesis of a desired compound might require three different enzymes. A single transformation will generate a recombinant plant possessing all three heterologous enzymes which can function in concert to produce the desired product.

Thus another embodiment of the invention relates to the maximal production of a heterologous protein by co-expressing it with another polypeptide that induces crystallization of said protein. This aspect of the invention provides the yield of heterologous gene expression is greatly enhanced if the desired protein is in crystal form in the transformed plant. The increased yield is because the crystal form
of the proteins protected them from cellular proteases. This is accomplished by co-expressing the desired gene with a second gene encoding a chaperonin that directs crystallization.

Also, formation of crystals of foreign proteins opens a simple method of purification via centrifugation. Plants transformed with the cry2Aa2 operon of the invention show a large accumulation and improved persistence of the expressed insecticidal protein(s) throughout the life of the plant. This is most likely because of the folding of the insecticidal protein into cuboidal crystals, thereby protecting it from proteases. This is an environmentally friendly approach because folded crystals improve the safety of the Bt transgenic plants. In contrast to currently marketed transgenic plants that contain soluble CRY proteins, folded protoxin crystals will be processed only by those target insects that have high alkaline gut environment. In addition, absence of insecticidal protein in transgenic pollen eliminates toxicity to non-target insects via pollen. Expression of the cry2Aa2 operon in chloroplasts provides a model system for hyper-expression of foreign proteins in a folded configuration enhancing their stability and facilitating single step purification. This is the first successful demonstration of expression of a bacterial operon in transgenic chloroplast plants.

The invention provides a model system for large-scale production of foreign protein within chloroplasts in the folded configuration enhancing their stability and facilitating single-step purification, for example, biopharmaceuticals such as human serum albumin (HSA) and insulin.

All known methods of transformation can be used to introduce the vectors of this invention into target plant plastids including bombardment, PEG Treatment, Agrobacterium, microinjection, etc.

The invention provides transformed crops, like solanaceous plants (monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous). Preferably, the plants are edible for mammals, including humans.

The invention provides target Bt transgenic plants which are likely to show a more stable protein expressed at high levels in the chloroplast throughout the growing season. It should increase toxicity of Bt transgenic plants to target insects and help eliminate the development of Bt resistance. The invention provides an example of the cry2Aa2 bacterial operon is expressed in tobacco chloroplasts to test the resultant transgenic plants for increased expression and improved persistence of the accumulated insecticidal protein(s).
The invention provides transformed plants including leaves which accumulated a high percent of total soluble protein (close to 50%) in mature leaves and remain stable even in old bleached leaves. The invention provides transformed plants which are resistant to difficult-to-control insects, like cotton boll worm, which were killed 100% after consuming transgenic leaves. The invention also provides plants which contain insecticidal protein fold into cuboidal crystals. Plants which contain protoxin crystals, which will be processed only by target insects that have high alkaline gut environment, which should improve safety of Bt transgenic plants. Also, plants are free of insecticidal proteins in transgenic pollen, which eliminates toxicity to non-target insects via pollen such as Monarch butterfly larvae. The invention provides electron microscopic proof of the presence of the cuboidal crystals inside the chloroplast.

The invention also provides an environment friendly approach to engineering insect resistance to plants because folded crystal products improve the safety of the Bt transgenic plants which will be edible consume, mammals, including humans.

In another embodiment of the invention provides heterologous DNA sequences which mediate resistance to (a) heavy metal in transgenic plants or plant cells which express these coding sequences encoding metal ion reductases and (b) organomercurial compounds in transgenic plants or plant cells which express the coding sequence encoding organomercury lyase. Preferably the coding sequence is that of merA (which encodes mercuric ion reductase) and merB (which encodes organomercury lyase).

The present invention provides a chloroplast universal vector which contains a Mer operon containing metal resistance coding sequences operably linked to transcriptional and translational control sequences which are functional in the chloroplast in target plants. Preferably the coding sequence is that of merA and merB. Also, the present invention provides transgenic plant cells, plant tissue and plants whose chloroplast has been modified to contain and express two metal resistance coding sequences operably linked to transcriptional and translational control sequences which are functional in the chloroplast of target plants. Preferably the coding sequences are that of merA and merB. Also provided by the present invention are methods for effecting metal resistance in plants by stably transforming a plant to contain and express two heterologous DNA sequences encoding metal resistance operably linked to transcriptional and translational control sequences which are functional in the chloroplasts of target plants. Preferably the coding sequence is that of merA and merB.
The present invention are methods for effecting metal resistance in plants by stably transforming a green algae or cyanobacteria to contain and express two heterologous DNA sequences encoding metal resistance operably linked to transcriptional and translational control sequences which are functional in the target green algae or cyanobacteria. Preferably the coding sequence is that of merA and merB.

A further object of the invention are transgenic plants, algae and bacteria which contain and express metal resistance and organomercurial compound resistance coding sequences.

Other embodiments of the invention are described in greater detail hereinafter.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 shows (a) Chloroplast expression vector pLD-BD Cry2Aa2 operon (9.8 kb) with PCR primer landing sites and expected fragment sizes. PCR analysis of untransformed and putative chloroplast transformants using two primer sets: (b) 1P1M; and (c) 3P3M. Lane 1: 1kb ladder; Lane 2: untransformed; Lanes 3-7: pLD-BD Cry2Aa2 operon putative transformants; Lane 8: pLD-BD Cry2Aa2 operon plasmid DNA.

Figure 2 shows the Southern blot analysis of T₀ and T₁ generations. A. The 0.81 kb probe BamH1-BglII fragment containing the chloroplast flanking sequence. Untransformed plants generate a 4.47 kb fragment. B. Vector map showing Cry2Aa2 operon transformed 32P labeled fragments of 8.42 kb and 1.42 kb. C. Lane 1: 1 kb ladder; Lane 2: untransformed; Lanes 3-7: T₀ transgenic lines; Lanes 8-9: T₁ transgenic lines.

Figure 3 shows the 10% SDS-PAGE gel stained with R-250 Coomassie Blue. Loaded protein concentrations are provided in parenthesis. Lanes 1: prestained protein standard; Lane 2: partially purified Cry2Aa2 protein from E. Coli (5 µg); Lane 3: Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 pellet extract solubilized in 50mM NaOH (22.4 µg); Lane 4: Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 supernatant (66.5 µg); Lane 5: Operon Derived Cry2Aa2 supernatant (58.6 µg); Lane 7: untransformed tobacco pellet extract solubilized in 50mM NaOH (29.8 µg); Lane 8: untransformed tobacco supernatant (30.4 µg). Colored compounds observed in the supernatant of transgenic plants interfered with the DC Bio-Rad protein assays but not in the pellet.
Figure 4 shows the quantification of Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 and Operon Derived Cry2Aa2 proteins by ELISA as a percentage of total soluble protein in young, mature, and old transgenic leaves. A: Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 expression shown as a percentage of total soluble protein. B: Operon derived Cry2Aa2 expression shown as a percentage of total soluble protein.

Figure 5 shows the insect bioassays of untransformed tobacco leaves (A,D,G), Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 transformed leaves (B,E,H) and Operon Derived Cry2Aa2 transformed leaves (C,F,I). A, B, C: bioassays with H. virescens; D, E, F: bioassays with H. zea; G, H, I: bioassays with S. exigua.

Figure 6 shows the electron micrographs of Operon Derived Cry2Aa2 leaf sections in young (A), mature (B,D) and old, bleached leaf (C). Single Gene derived Cry2Aa2 mature leaf (E), mature untransformed leaf (F).

Figure 7 shows the phenotypes of untransformed (A) or transformed with the cry2Aa2 gene (B) or cry2Aa2 operon (C).

Figure 8 shows a pLD-merAB chloroplast vector.

Figure 9 shows transformed E. Coli grown in 100 μm HgCl₂. Transformed E. coli cells containing the vectors pLD-merAB and pLD-MerAB-3'UTR grown in LB at different concentrations of HgCl₂. Plates show transformed cells growing at 100 μM HgCl₂. No growth was observed in the control.

Figure 10 shows chloroplast transgenic plants. A: Transgenic plant shoot induction in RMOP with 500μg/ml Spec. B: Transgenic plant root induction in MSO with 500 μg/ml Spec. C: Transgenic plant grown in soil.

Figure 11 shows integration of the mer operon into the chloroplast genome. A: PCR using specific primers that land in the gene cassette (5P/2M) show a product of 3.8kb size (clones 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11). Clones 1 and 3 show no integration of the cassette. Positive control, is plasmid pLD-merAB-3'UTR. Negative control is untransformed plant DNA. B: PCR using specific primers that land within the native chloroplast genome (3P/3M), eliminate mutants (clone 3), showing integration of the cassette into the chloroplast genome (clones: 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11. 1.6 kb PCR product).

Figure 12 shows the Chlorella vulgaris vector construct.

Figure 13 shows the Synechocystis vector construct.

Figure 14 shows the Lemna vector construct.
Figure 15 shows the Sugarcane vector construct.

Figure 16 shows confirmation of Lemma vector construct.

Figure 17 shows confirmation of Sugarcane vector construct.

Figure 18 shows other vectors suitable for operon expression.
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DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

This invention is related to transformation of the plastid genome applicable to all plastids of plants. These include chromoplasts which are present in the fruits, vegetables and flowers; amyloplasts which are present in tubers like the potato; proplastids in roots; leucoplasts and etioplasts, both of which are present in non-green parts of plants.

The invention provides in one aspect a single vector or construct which encodes more than one heterologous protein product. The second aspect of the invention relates to the maximal production of a heterologous protein by co-expressing it with another polypeptide or a chaperone that induces crystallization of said protein.

The first aspect of the invention provides that a heterologous DNA fragment that is introduced into a plastid vector (described below) encodes more than one gene. In one example, the DNA encodes an operon of three genes and produces proteins from three genes. This aspect of the invention to co-expressing multiple genes is beneficial if one desires to introduce a biosynthetic pathway into plants that comprises multiple steps. For example, a three step synthesis of a desired compound might require three different enzymes. Co-expressing all three enzymes in the chloroplast can be accomplished according to this invention. Thus, a single transformation will generate a recombinant plant possessing all three heterologous enzymes which can function in concert to produce the desired product.

The second aspect of the invention is that the yield of heterologous gene expression is greatly enhanced if the desired protein is in crystal form in the transformed plant, as provided by this invention. This is accomplished by co-expressing the desired gene with a second gene encoding a chaperone protein that directs crystallization. Data given in the specification shows almost 100 fold greater amounts of insecticidal protein can be found in plants co-expressing the chaperone protein versus plants having only the gene encoding the insecticidal protein. The expression cassette itself can be modified to include a selectable marker, a gene encoding the chaperone protein and any desired heterologous gene. Such
applications will be beneficial for the high level production in plants of other desired protein products as well.

A further aspect of the invention describes a plant bioremediation system. A plant bioremediation system employing chloroplast transformants have a number of advantages. First, plants have the genetic capacity (using hundreds, even thousands, of genes) to extract at least 16 metal cation and oxyanion nutrients from the soil and ground water. This capacity can be chemically and genetically manipulated to extract environmental pollutants. Second, plants have extensive root systems to help in this mining effort; typical estimates are as high as 100x10^6 miles of roots per acre [Dittmer, H. J. (1937) Amer. J. Botany 24:417-420]. The root systems of various macrophytes can reach up to 40 feet into the soil. In addition, plants are photosynthetic and govern as much as 80% of the available energy at any given time in most ecosystems. Through photosystem I (a system not found in photosynthetic bacteria), they use light energy to generate large amounts of reducing power (as NADPH) that can be used to efficiently reduce metal ions. Plants photosynthetically fix CO₂ and reduce it to make their own carbon/energy source. This reduced carbon energy is used by plant roots to live heterotrophically. This redox power can also be used to reduce toxic metal ions like Hg(II) [Rugh et al. (1996) supra]. Many plants can produce large amounts of biomass annually with the potential both to enrich contaminated soil with carbon and nutrients and/or remove metal ions from the soil. The site of action of mercury within the chloroplasts, ability to express bacterial operon via the chloroplast genome, and several other environmental benefits of chloroplast genetic engineering make this an advantageous system for metal remediation.

An additional benefit of the metal resistant plants is their ability to harvest metals; precious and semi-precious metals can be reduced and thereby trapped in plant tissues. These metals include can include gold, silver, platinum, rhenium, copper, palladium, nickel, zinc and cadmium, where the corresponding metal ions are reduced by the metal resistance gene product in those plants.

In addition, this invention also introduces a novel approach for mercury and organomercurial bioremediation in water. Two organisms are used as model systems. One is *Synechocystis*, a photosynthetic bacterium (Cyanobacterium) that grows in salt and fresh water (in a high temperature range, from ice to hot springs). The other is *Chlorella vulgaris*, a green algae that grows in fresh water. These organisms are transformed with the merA and merB genes (mer operon) to remove mercury and
organomercurials from water. Transformed cells could be applied for sludge treatment and in water
treatment to remove organomercury and mercury form water and sediments before releasing them to the
environment, especially from industrial effluents that generate byproducts with mercury.

"Metal resistance" means that a non-naturally occurring organism is not inhibited by the presence
of at least one of divalent cations of mercury, cadmium, cobalt, trivalent cations of gold, and monovalent
silver ion, at concentrations (levels) at which a naturally occurring (wild-type) counterpart of the non-
naturally occurring organism is inhibited or exhibits symptoms of toxicity. It is not intended that the term
metal resistance refer to resistance to unlimited concentration of metal ions, but rather the term is relative
in that it relies on comparison to the properties of a parental strain.

A "metal resistance coding sequence" is one which encodes a protein capable of mediating
resistance to at least one metal ion, including, but not limited to, divalent cations of mercury, nickel,
cobalt, trivalent cations of gold, and by monovalent cations of silver. Also within the scope of this
definition are mutant sequences which determine proteins capable of mediating resistance to divalent
cations of lead, cadmium and copper.

An "organomercurial resistance coding sequence" is one whose protein product mediates
resistance to such organic mercury compounds as alkylmercurials and certain aromatic mercurials, for
example, mono- or dimethylmercury, typically in conjunction with a metal resistance gene such as merA.
As specifically exemplified herein, the organomercurial resistance gene is the methylmercury lyase gene
(merB) and its gene product confers resistance to organomercurial compounds such as methymercury,
p-chloromercrubenzoate (PCMB) and p-hydroxymercrubenzoate in conjunction with the merA gene
product (mercury ion reductase).

The metal resistance protein (MerA protein, mercuric ion reductase) is exemplified by that from
Tn21, a bacterial mercury resistance transposon originally isolated from the IncFII plasmid NR1. In
addition to reducing mercuric ions, the Tn21 MerA reduces trivalent gold and monovalent silver cations
divalent metal cations have been shown to be competitive inhibitors of mercuric ion reduction in vitro
cobalt, divalent copper and divalent nickel cations as well as divalent ionic mercury.
It is understood that nucleic acid sequences from nucleotide 14 through nucleotide 1708, or MerApe 20, MerApe 29, MerApe 38 or MerApe 47 will function as coding sequences synonymous with the exemplified merApe9 coding sequence. Nucleic acid sequences are synonymous if the amino acid sequences encoded by those nucleic acid sequences are the same. The degeneracy of the genetic code is well known to the art; i.e., for many amino acids, there is more than one nucleotide triplet which serves as the codon for the amino acid; for expression in plant cells or tissue it is desired that codon usage reflect that of plant genes and that CpG dinucleotides be kept low in frequency in the coding sequence. It is also well known in the biological arts that certain amino acid substitutions can be made in protein sequences without affecting the function of the protein. Generally, conservative amino acid substitutions or substitutions of similar amino acids are tolerated without affecting protein function. Similar amino acids can be those that are similar in size and/or charge properties, for example, aspartate and glutamate and isoleucine and valine are both pairs of similar amino acids. Similarity between amino acid pairs has been assessed in the art in a number of ways. For example, Dayhoff et al. (1978) in Atlas of Protein Sequence and Structure, Vol. 5, Suppl. 3, pp. 345-352, which is incorporated by reference herein, provides frequency tables for amino acid substitutions which can be employed as a measure of amino acid similarity. Dayhoff et al.'s frequency tables are based on comparisons of amino acid sequences for proteins having the same function from a variety of evolutionarily different sources.

The expression of merB in plants confers resistance to and/or the ability to detoxify organomercurials including, but not limited to, alkylmercury compounds wherein the alkyl group is either straight chain or branched, alkenyl mercury compounds, allyl mercury, alkynyl mercury compounds, aromatic mercury compounds, wherein there are from one to about 6 aromatic rings, and other organomercurials including but not limited to humic acid-containing mercury compounds. The MerB protein also mediates resistance to and/or detoxifies organo-metals including, but not limited to, organic lead, organic cadmium and organic arsenic compounds, where those organometals can be alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl or aromatic metal compounds.

Coding sequences suitable for expression in a plant are operably linked downstream of a constitutive or a regulated promoter construct. Transgenic plants can be constructed by use of chloroplast universal vector containing a 5' a part of a chloroplast spacer sequence, a promoter that is operative in the chloroplast of the target plant cells, at least two heterologous DNA sequences encoding
merA and merB, a gene that confers resistance to a selectable marker; a transcription termination region functional in the target plant cells; and a 3’ part of the chloroplast spacer sequence. Alternatively, the vector may not contain a terminator.

The mer operon-expressing plants can be used in the remediation of mercury-contaminated soil to block the biomagnification of methyl mercury up the food chain. Deep-rooted trees like cottonwood and sweetgum, which inhabit bottom lands, can be transformed to express merA and merB. These species have roots that grow in the same general area of the sediment as sulfate-reducing bacteria. As the transgenic plant roots take up methyl mercury, MerB breaks the carbon mercury bond to produce Hg(II). Hg(II) is a highly reactive metal ion and should end up sequestered in plant tissues bound to various thiol groups.

Hg(II) produced from the MerB reaction and additional Hg(II) taken up from the environment through its normal mining of nutrients is reduced to Hg(0) by the MerA reaction. Hg(0) is released directly from the roots or transpired up the vascular system of the plant, as are waste gasses like CO₂ from some plants [Dacey, J. W. (1980) Science 210: 1017-1019; Dacey, J. W. (1981) Ecology 62:1137; Raven et al. (1986) In: Biology of Plants, Worth Publishers, N.Y., p.775]. By lowering the total levels in the soil, less methyl mercury will be produced by sulfate-reducing bacteria. Using the MerA and MerB together in transgenic plants at contaminated sites lowers total Hg(II) levels and destroys environmental methyl mercury, thus preventing a large portion of the methyl mercury from moving through the environment.

The Hg(0) entering the environment joins the enormous and stable pool of Hg(0) in the atmosphere (Nriagu (1979) In: The Biogeochemistry of Mercury in the Environment, (New York: Elsevier) with half life of over one year. Because Hg(0) is not easily returned to earth, this pool is not thought to contribute less significantly to manmade contamination of the environment. In contrast, atmospheric Hg(II) species (i.e., mercury released from coal burning or methyl mercury released naturally) are rapidly returned to earth by rain and dry deposition with a half-life of about 1-2 weeks.

Thus, volatilization of relatively small amounts of Hg(0) with good air circulation effectively removes mercury from terrestrial and aquatic environments.

Once a transgenic plant population expressing MerA and MerB is established, these plants efficiently process mercury. Over the subsequent few decades these plants remove or detoxify most
mercury from at a site. Relying only on currently available biological and chemical processing, the efflux rates of Hg(0) from mercury contaminated sites are extremely slow. At one such government site it is estimated that only 10 kg of the 80,000 kg present in the soil is released as Hg(0) per year (Lindberg et al. (1995) Environ. Sci. Tech. 29, 126-135). The levels of atmospheric mercury at this and most sites (4-10 ug/m.sup.3) are 10,000 fold below what the EPA/OSHA recommend as the maximum allowable levels (U.S. Public Health Service (1994) Toxicological Profile for Mercury. In: Regulations and Advisories, U.S. Public Health Service, Washington, D.C., pp. 261-269). Even if transgenic plants at this site increased the efflux rate of metallic mercury 200 times, the level of atmospheric mercury would still be 50 fold below these allowable levels. The transgenic plants of the present invention allow the efficient removal of toxic metal compounds such as methyl mercury and ionic mercury from soil, sediment, and aquatic environments, thus meeting a longfelt need for efficient bioremediation of metal and organometal contaminated sites.

The Operons of the Vector

The cry2Aa2 Operon. The preferred embodiment of the invention is the use of Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) cry2Aa2 operon as a model system to demonstrate operon expression and crystal formation via the chloroplast genome of tobacco. This operon contains three open reading frames (ORFs). Cry2Aa2 is the distal gene of this operon. The ORF immediately upstream of cry2Aa2 codes for a putative chaperonin that facilitates the folding of cry2Aa2 (and other selected target proteins) to form proteolytically stable cuboidal crystals. Because CRY protein levels decrease in plant tissues late in the growing season or under physiological stress, a more stable protein expressed at high levels in the chloroplast throughout the growing season should increase toxicity of Bt transgenic plants to target insects and help eliminate the development of Bt resistance. The function of the third ORF is not yet known. The invention comprises the operon with the third gene and also with the operon without the third gene.

The mer Operon. Another embodiment of the invention uses the mer Operon. The genes for mercury resistance are known as Mer genes, they are found in operons of bacterial plasmids; different genes constitute operons, but the two most important are: the merA that codes for the mercuric ion reductase and the merB that codes for the organomercurial lyases (Foster, 1983; Summers et al. 1978, 1986). Mer
1 A is a 1.7kb gene that needs NADPH as a co-factor to reduce mercury to a volatile, non-reactive and less toxic form of mercury (Hg0) (Begley et al. 1986). Mer B is a 638bp gene that undergoes the protonolysis of organomercurials by removing the organic group and releasing elemental mercury, which is detoxified by merA (Jackson et al. 1982). A polycistron containing both genes allows effective degradation of mercury and organomercurials

6 Alternative Operons

Other Cry or Cyt operons may be used in this invention. Any operon which comprises at least one of the 133 genes shown in the article MMBR, September 1998, pages 805-873, Vol 62, No. 4, Revision of the Nomenclature for the BT Insecticidal Crystal Proteins by Crickmon et al., the genes of which codes for the corresponding BT protein; and the chaperonin which facilitates protein folding can be used. Likewise, any operon which comprises at least one of the toxins enumerated in Table 15.1 or at least one of the of Molecular Biotechnology by Glick and Pasternak can be used. Similarly, any operon which comprises a gene which codes for a delta-endotoxin and the chaperonin which facilitates protein folding can be used. In addition, any operon which comprises at least a plasmid identified in Table 13.1 of the of Molecular Biotechnology by Glick and Pasternak can be used.

16 The Chaperonins

Chaperonins are a class of a protein referred to as chaperones which has been shown to consist of helper proteins in chain folding and assembly with the cells (Giersch and King, 1990). They facilitate the folding and assembly of newly synthesized polypeptide chains into functional three-dimensional structures by preventing off-pathway reactions during folding that lead to aggregation (Agashe VR et al. 2000). Chaperonins provide a sequestered environment in which folding can proceed unimpaired by intermolecular interactions between non-native polypeptides (Agashe VR et al. 2000). Those skilled in the art will be familiar with the E. Coli chaperonins: groEL and groES (Vitutnen PV et al. 1995), (Giersch and King, 1990). Plant chaperonins chaperonin-60 and chaperonin-10, which are homologous of gro-EL and gro-ES, respectively. Homologous of the E. Coli groEL and groES continue to be identified. For instance, a stable complex of the chaperonins has been isolated and crystallized from the extremely thermophilic bacterium Thermus thermophilus (Lissin NM et al. 1992). Likewise, plant chaperonins—located both in plastids and the cytosol, continue to be identified (Baneyx F. et al., 1995;
Viitanen PV et. al., 1995; Burt WJ et. al. 1994, Grellet F. et. al. 1993; Bertsch U et. al., 1992). These articles are hereby incorporated in their entirety by reference.

The preferred embodiment of this invention use of those bacterial chaperonins that are capable of facilitating the crystallization of the Bt endotoxin polypeptides by means of the UV Ct vector and in the transformed plants.

The Vectors

This invention contemplates the use of vectors which are capable of stably transforming the chloroplast genome. Such vectors include chloroplast expression vectors such as pUC, pBlueScript, pGEM, and all others identified by Daniell in US patents number 5,693,507 and 5,932,479. These publications and patents are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

Universal Vector. A preferred embodiment of this invention utilizes a universal integration and expression vector competent for stably transforming the chloroplast genome of different plant species (Universal Vector). The universal vector and its construction have been described by the earlier Publication No. WO99/10513, International publication date: 4 March 1990, which is herein incorporated in its entirety.

The 4.0 kb cry2Aa2 operon was inserted into the universal chloroplast expression vector pLD CIV2 (5.8 kb) to form the final E. coli and tobacco shuttle vector pLD-BD Cry2Aa2 operon (9.8 kb) (Fig. 1 A). This vector could be used to transform chloroplast genomes of several plant species because the flanking sequences are highly conserved among higher plants. This vector contains the 16S rRNA promoter (Prm) driving the adaA gene (aminoglycoside 3'-adenyllytransferase) for spectinomycin selection and the three genes of the cry2Aa2 operon. The terminator is the psbA 3’ region from the tobacco chloroplast genome from a gene coding for the photosystem II reaction center component. The 16S rRNA promoter is one of the strong chloroplast promoters recognized by both nuclear and plastid encoded RNA polymerases in tobacco and the psbA 3’ region stabilizes the transcript of foreign genes. This construct integrates both genes into the spacer region between the chloroplast transfer RNA genes coding for isoleucine and alanine within the inverted repeat (IR) region of the chloroplast genome by
homologous recombination. The integration into these transcribed spacer regions allow the gene to be inserted without interfering with gene coding regions. Also, each genome will contain two gene copies due to integration into the two inverted repeat regions resulting in a higher copy number (7,000-8,000 copies per cell) and higher levels of expression. However, the two genes may also be integrated outside of the IR region resulting in a lower copy number. Chloroplast transgenic plants were obtained as described previously by Daniell (1993, 1997).

**Mer operon vectors with and without terminator:** In order to understand the role of 3'UTRs in chloroplast foreign gene expression (in mRNA stability and transcription termination), chloroplast vectors with and without 3' UTRs were made. The PCR products, merA and merB were cloned independently into the pCR2.1 vector (In Vitrogen). Then, the orientation of the merB gene integration was checked. Once the correct orientation was found, the vector TA-merB was cut with a ClaI/EcoRV. MerA was cut with ClaI and EcoRV, and the fragment (merA) was isolated by gel electrophoresis. Then, the merB and merA genes were ligated. After the ligation, both genes (merB and merA) in-frame were ready for insertion into the pLD vector. After cutting pLD vector with PsiI to linearize the vector and to remove the terminator, the merB-merA cassette extracted from the TA-vector was ligated into the pLD vector (Fig. 8). The final step was to check for correct orientation. Thus the pLD-merAB vector lacking 3' UTR was constructed.

To make the pLD-merA.B-3'UTR, specific set of primers were used to amplify the whole cassette from the TA-merAB vector. The 5' primer was designed with an EcoRV site and the 3' primer with a XbaI site. These restriction sites allowed the integration into the pLD vector only in the right orientation.

**Chloroplast integration of foreign genes**

Foreign gene integration into the chloroplast genome was determined by PCR screening of chloroplast transformants (Fig.1 A,B,C). Primers were designed to eliminate spectinomycin mutants and nuclear integration. The first primer set, 1P1M, lands one primer (1P) on the 3' end of the 16s rRNA flanking sequence and another primer (1M) on *aadA* (Fig. 1A). This is to distinguish between spectinomycin mutants and true spectinomycin transformants. A 1.6 kb fragment is seen in true transformants (Fig. 1B, lanes 3,5,6,7). Lane 4 shows a spectinomycin mutant with no PCR product. Untransformed tobacco DNA (lane 2) expectedly shows no product, while pLD-BD cry2Aa2 operon
plasmid DNA in lane 8 produced the 1.6 kb fragment. The second primer set, 3P3M, lands one primer (3P) on the native chloroplast genome adjacent to the point of integration, and another primer (3M) on the aadA gene (Fig. 1 A). This primer set generated a 1.65 kb PCR product in chloroplast transformants (Fig. 1C, lanes 3,5,6,7). Untransformed tobacco DNA (lane 2) showed no PCR product, and pLD-BD cry2Aa2 operon plasmid DNA in lane 8 also showed no PCR product because 3P lands on native chloroplast DNA. Lane 4 was negative for chloroplast integration and again proving this transformant to be a spectinomycin mutant.

Southern blot analysis was done to further demonstrate site-specific chloroplast integration of the 4.0 kb cry2Aa2 operon and to determine heteroplasm or homoplasm (Fig. 2). BglIII digested DNA from transformed plants produce 8.42 kb and 1.4 kb fragments (Fig. 2B) when probed with the 0.81 kb probe (Fig. 2A) that hybridizes to the trnL and trnA flanking sequences. Transgenic plant DNA (T0 and T1) produced the 8.42 kb and 1.4 kb fragments (Fig. 2C, lanes 3-9). A 4.47 kb fragment (Fig. 2A) is seen in untransformed plant DNA (Fig. 2C lane 2). T0 plant DNA also shows this native untransformed 4.47 kb fragment (Fig. 2C, lanes 3-7), thereby showing heteroplasm in the T0 generation. This 4.47 kb native band is absent from the T1 generation (Fig. 2C, lanes 8-9), thus indicating homoplasm. If only a fraction of the genomes were transformed, the gene copy number should be less than 8,000 per cell. Confirmation of homoplasm in T1 transgenic lines indicates that the Cry2Aa2 operon gene copy number could be as many as 7000-8,000 per cell.

**CRY2Aa2 protein expression and quantification:** Expression profile of the operon derived (OD) Cry2Aa2 and single gene derived (SG) Cry2Aa21 is shown on a Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gel (Fig. 3). The primary goal of this experiment is to investigate the location of the operon derived Cry2Aa2 protein (the pellet or supernatant) and correlate with cuboidal crystals observed in electron micrographs (see Figure 6). Lane 2 contains partially purified 65 KD Cry2Aa2 from E. coli. Because crystalline Cry2Aa2 inclusion bodies are solubilized at high alkaline pH, the 50mM NaOH solubilized pellet was analyzed from each plant sample after centrifugation for 20 min at 13,000g (lanes 3, 5, 7). Results show that OD Cry2Aa2 expression forms crystalline inclusion bodies because the protein is found mostly in the pellet after centrifugation (lanes 5-6). In contrast, expression of SG Cry2Aa2 is observed in both the pellet and the supernatant (lanes 3-4). No Cry2Aa2 expression was seen in untransformed tobacco in either the supernatant or the pellet (lanes 7, 8).
Cry 2Aa2 polypeptides (Fig. 3, lanes 3, 5) were scanned using Storm 840 Gel Scanner and Image Quant Software (Molecular Dynamics). The operon-derived expression results only in a 2.5 fold more accumulation of Cry2Aa2 than that of single gene derived cry2Aa2 in the pellet fraction; this does not correlate with more than 100 fold difference observed in ELISA (Figure 4). The reason for this discrepancy is the extreme difference in solubilization between SG Cry2Aa2 derived amorphous inclusion bodies and the OD Cry2Aa2 derived cuboidal crystals, as reported previously.

Despite the large difference in protein accumulation (as shown by ELISA and electron micrographs, Figs. 4, 6), the concentration of solubilized protein loaded in the pellet fraction was similar in SG Cry2Aa2 and OD Cry2Aa2 (Figure 3, lanes 3, 5). Attempts to completely solubilize crystalline inclusion bodies for SDS PAGE analysis were not successful because higher pH interfered with gel electrophoresis and repeated dilution decreased protein concentration below detectable levels in Coomassie stained gels.

However, for quantification using ELISA it was possible to completely solubilize crystalline inclusion bodies under optimal conditions and dilute the protein to fit within the linear range of the Cry2aA2 standard. Therefore, protein expression levels of SG Cry2Aa2 and OD Cry2Aa2 were quantified using ELISA (Fig. 4). Additionally, CRY protein accumulation in young, mature, and old transgenic leaves derived from a single gene or operon was compared to investigate their stability over time. Young, mature, and old leaves expressed SG Cry2Aa2 at 0.014%, 0.36%, and 0.03% respectively (Fig. 4A). Cry2Aa2 levels peaked in the mature leaf (0.36%) and drastically declined to 0.03% as the plant senesced. However, young, mature, and old leaves containing OD Cry2Aa2 accumulated at 34.9%, 45.3%, and 46.1% respectively (Fig. 413). As these transgenic plants aged, OD Cry2Aa2 concentrations remained stable and did not decline like the SG Cry2Aa2. The presence of the operon-expressed putative chaperonin should enable the toxin to be folded into stable crystalline structures that are protected from degradation. Based on quantitative expression, the cry2Aa2 operon derived expression levels are comparable to that of the RuBisCo, the most abundant protein on earth that compromises up to 65% of leaf soluble protein.

**Insect Bioassays:** Five-day-old tobacco budworm (*Heliothis virescens*), ten day old cotton bollworm (*Helicoverpa zea*) and beet armyworm (*Spodoptera exigua*) larvae consumed the entire leaf after 24 hrs on the untransformed control (Figs. 5A, D, G). *H. virescens* feeding on SG Cry2Aa2 leaves died after 5 days (Fig. 5B) while insects died after 3 days on OD Cry2Aa2 leaves (Fig. 5C). For SG Cry2Aa2, *H.
zea consumed considerable leaf material after 24 hr, stopped feeding after three days and died after five days (Fig. 5E). H. zea consumed very little OD Cry2Aa2 material after 24 hours, stopped feeding, and died after five days (Fig. 5F). S. exigua feeding on SG Cry2Aa2 (Fig. 5H) or OD Cry2Aa2 (Fig. 5I) were lethargic after 24 hours and died after 48 hours. Milkweed leaves dusted with OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic pollen were not toxic to Monarch butterfly larvae (data not shown) confirming earlier observations that foreign proteins are not present in tobacco pollen.

**Electron Microscopic Analysis:** Untransformed and transgenic leaf sections were immunogold-labeled with a Cry2A polyclonal antibody (Fig. 6). Figures A-C show developmental OD Cry2Aa2 in chloroplasts in young, mature, and old leaves, respectively. In a young green OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic leaf (Fig. 6A), labeled Cry2Aa2 occupies a significant amount of the chloroplast, but no crystalline structures are observed. In a mature green OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic leaf (Fig. 6B), labeled Cry2Aa2 occupies a larger amount of the chloroplast than the younger leaf, resulting in crystals. Theses cuboidal crystals are essentially identical to those expressed in wild-type Cry2Aa2 crystals, or recombinantly in Bt or E. coli. In an old bleached OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic leaf (Fig. 6C), labeled Cry2Aa2 maintains the crystalline structure and occupies the highest volume of the chloroplast observed, despite being bleached and senescent. These findings correlate with OD Cry2Aa2 ELISA results. In young developing leaves, OD Cry2Aa2 begins accumulation (34.9%), folds Cry2Aa2 into a cuboidal configuration in mature leaves occupying more cell volume (45.3%), and maintains this cuboidal structure and volume in old leaves (46.1 %). Essentially, as the transgenic OD Cry2Aa2 plant ages, OD Cry2Aa2 is accumulated, folded and maintained.

Figure 6D is a mature green OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic leaf showing crystal formation with no immunogold label. This probably occurs because as the Cry2Aa2 is folded by the putative chaperonin, epitopes are concealed thereby decreasing labeling. Crystal formation in Fig. 6D would cause the OD Cry2Aa2 to pellet after centrifugation as seen in SDS-PAGE Fig. 3 (lane 5). In EM analysis of mature leaves expressing SG Cry2Aa2 (Fig. 6E), protein aggregation is observed, although no crystalline folding is seen. Cry2Aa2 immunogold labeling occurs in an area of much lower density than is seen in OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic plants suggesting lower expression. These results also correlate with ELISA (0.36% in SG Cry2Aa2 in mature leaves). There is no localized antibody observed in untransformed tobacco (Fig. 6F).
1 Transgenic phenotypes: Phenotypes of OD Cry2Aa2 transgenic plants are not morphologically different from SG Cry2Aa2 transgenic plants (Fig. 7). Therefore, higher levels of expression and accumulation of CRY proteins did not visibly impact their phenotype. Both transgenic plants flowered and set seeds. Characterization of OD Cry2Aa2 T₁ transgenic plants for stable integration and transmission of foreign genes has been shown earlier (Fig. 2).

6 Possibility of Gene Pyramiding

This invention enables expression of polycistrons in the chloroplast genome. In contrast to prior efforts in engineering gene expression in transgenic plants, the present invention allows for this achievement in a single transformation event that is environmentally safe. The invention thus opens the possibility for gene pyramiding: the insertion of multiple insecticidal genes. The invention contemplates operons which include not only Bacillus thuringiensis (B.t.) insecticidal toxin genes, but also non-B.t. insecticidal toxin genes such as cholesterol oxidase, alpha-amylase inhibitors, protease inhibitors, the cowpea trypsin inhibitors, and the potato proteinase inhibitor II. Inclusion of multiple heterologous insecticidal toxin genes retards the ability of insects to develop resistance to bio-pesticides.

Expression of Biological Pathways

Further, this invention provides a method of engineering biological pathways into the chloroplast genome in a single transformation event that is environmentally safe. Because gene expression is controlled by one promoter, DNA sequences encoding the different genes necessary in a pathway can be co-expressed to the same levels. Once expressed, the genes of the pathway can act in concert. Gene expression can result in synthesis of enzymes that confer desired traits such as degradation of metal ions, herbicides, pesticides, solvents, toluene, naphthalene, and other xenobiotics. An example is the chloroplast transformation of plant chloroplasts with the Mer operon leading to the biodegradation of mercury and organomercurials. Other pathways include the pigment biosynthesis pathway, biosynthetic pathways for enzymes that are could confer desired traits such as degradation of xenobiotic compounds noted above, pathways for amino acids such as the lysine biosynthetic pathway, and pathways for the synthesis of vitamins, carbohydrates, fatty acids, biopolymers and polyesters. Further examples are provided in chapters 12 and 13 of Molecular Biotechnology by Glick and Pasternak, which is herein incorporated by reference. Other xenobiotics which can be degraded using the system of this invention include those given in U.S. patent 4,259,444 to Chakrabarty which is herein incorporated by reference.
Expression of pathways can result in the production of compounds such as amino acids, fatty acids, carbohydrates, polymers, vitamins, antibiotics and dyes.

**Efficient Expression of Bio-pharmaceuticals**

The ability to express polycistrons also opens up the possibility of efficiently expressing bio-pharmaceuticals such as monoclonal antibodies. Those skilled in the art will know the four DNA sequences encoding proteins necessary to compose the molecule. Those skilled in the art will also know that these proteins should be produced in equal amounts (the same stoichiometric ratio). The PCT application entitled “Production of Antibodies in Transgenic Plastids,” filed on 2/28/2001 by Daniell, is hereby incorporated by reference to offer examples of such proteins. This invention allows for the coordinated expression of these sequences because they are driven by the same promoter. This method avoids the problems of the prior art; namely the pitfalls of nuclear transformation such as the positional effect and gene silencing.

**Application to Other Plants.**

This invention provides any higher plants, such as monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plant species. The plants that may be transformed via the universal vector with an antibiotic selectable marker may be solanaceous plants or plants that grow underground. Most importantly, this invention is applicable to the major economically important crops such as maize, rice, soybean, wheat, and cotton. A non-exclusive list of examples of higher plants which may be so transformed include cereals such as barley, corn, oat, rice, and wheat; melons such as cucumber, muskmelon, and watermelon; legumes such as bean, cowpea, pea, peanut; oil crops such as canola and soybean; solanaceous plants such as tobacco; tuber crops such as potato and sweet potato; and vegetables like tomato, pepper and radish; fruits such as pear, grape, peach, plum, banana, apple and strawberry; fiber crops like the Gossypium genus such as cotton, flax and hemp; and other plants such as beet, cotton, coffee, radish, commercial flowing plants, such as carnation and roses; grasses, such as sugar cane or turfgrass; evergreen trees such as fir, spruce, and pine, and deciduous trees, such as maple and oak.

The invention now being generally described, it will be more readily understood by reference to the following examples which are included for purposes of illustration only and are not intended to limit
the present invention.

In the experimental disclosure which follows, all temperatures are given in degrees centigrade (degree), weight are given in grams (g), milligram (mg) or micrograms (.mu.g), concentrations are given as molar (M), millimolar (mM) or micromolar (.mu.M) and all volumes are given in liters (l), milliliters (ml) or microliters (.mu.l), unless otherwise indicated.

6 The invention is exemplified in the following non-limiting examples which are only for illustrative purposes and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention..

EXAMPLE 1

Bombardment and selection of transgenic plants: Tobacco plants were grown aseptically under fluorescent lights in the laboratory. Seeds were germinated on MSO medium at 27°C with photoperiods of 16 hour light and 8 hour dark. Microcarriers coated with pLD-BD Cry2Aa2 operon DNA was used to bombard sterile leaves using the Bio-Rad PDS-1000/He biolistic device as described by Daniell (1997). Bombarded leaves were subjected to two rounds of selection on RMOP medium containing 500 µg/ml of spectinomycin to regenerate transformants.

PCR Analysis: DNA was extracted from leaves using the QIAGEN DNeasy Plant Mini Kit. PCR was done using the Perkin Elmer Gene Amp PCR System 2400. All PCR reactions were performed using the Qiagen Taq DNA Polymerase Kit. Primer sequences used were: 1P (5'-ACAAATGTAAGCCTACTGGA AAGTGCAG GTG-3'), 1M (5'-CGCGCTT AGC TGGATAACGCCACGGAA-3'), 3P(5'-AAAA CCCGTCTCTA GTTCGATTCG-3'), and 3M (5'-CCGCGTTTCTACCA GCCTTACG-3'). Samples were run for 30 cycles with the following sequence: 94°C for 1 minute, 70°C for 1.5 minutes, and 72°C for 3 minutes. PCR products were separated on 0.8% agarose gels.

Southern Blot Analysis: DNA from transformed and untransformed plants was digested with BgIII and transferred to a nylon membrane by capillary action. The 0.81 kb probe was generated by digesting pLD-CtV2 vector DNA with BamHI/BgIII and labeled with 32P using the ProbeQuant™ G-50 Micro Columns (Amersham). Labeled probe was hybridized with the nylon membrane using the Stratagene QUICK-HYB hybridization solution and protocol.
1 **SDS-PAGE Analysis:** Transgenic and untransformed leaf material (600 mg) was ground to a powder in liquid nitrogen. Protein extraction buffer from the Cry2Aa2 plate kit from Envirologix (Portland, Maine) used for quantification was added to the powder and further grinding was performed. The mixture was centrifuged at 4°C at 13,000g for 20 minutes. The supernatant was removed, boiled in sample buffer, and loaded on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel. The pellet was resuspended in 50mM NaOH and centrifuged at 4°C at 5000g for 5 minutes to pellet cell debris. The supernatant was removed, boiled in sample buffer, and loaded on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel at 200V for 4 hours. Gels were stained for 2 hours with R-250 Coomassie Blue and destained overnight in 40% methanol and 10% acetic acid. The DC protein assay by Bio-Rad was used to determine total soluble and pellet protein concentration as followed by protocol.

6 **ELISA:** A Cry2Aa2 plate kit from Envirologix was used. Leaves expressing the SG Cry2Aa2, the OD Cry2Aa2, and untransformed tobacco were quantified and compared. Approximately 20 mg of leaf was ground in 100 μl of 50mM NaOH to solubilize CRY proteins. Transgenic leaf extracts were diluted to fit in the linear range of the provided Cry2aA2 standard. The μQuant microtiter plate reader from BioTek read the plate at 450 nanometers (nm). A 1ppm Cry2Aa2 standard was supplied by the kit and was used in the linear range between 200-1000 ng for quantification. Color development is proportional to Cry2Aa2 concentration in the sample extract. The DC protein assay by Bio-Rad was used to determine total soluble protein concentration as followed by protocol.

11 **Insect Bioassays:** Leaf disc bioassays were conducted on ca. 2 cm² excised leaf material, and placed on distilled water-soaked cardboard lids in 50 x 12 mm plastic petri dishes. Insects used were susceptible *H. virescens* (YDK) obtained from Fred Gould, North Carolina State University, *H. zea* obtained from the USDA AIRS facility in Tifton, GA and *S. exigua* from the lab of William Moar. Insects were tested as five day or ten day old. All larvae were reared on typical lepidopteran artificial diet prior to use. Two insects were assayed per leaf sample, except *H. zea* in which only 1 insect was added per leaf sample due to the cannibalistic nature of the insect (2 leaf samples for *H. zea*). All leaf samples for each replicate were from the same leaf. Two samples were evaluated per treatment, and observed daily for mortality and leaf damage for 5 days. Treatments were replicated three times.

21 **Transmission Electron Microscopy and Immunogold Labeling:** Immunogold labeled electron microscopy was performed as described by A. J. Vrekleij et. al.. Sections were first incubated with 0.05M
glycine in PBS buffer (10mM phosphate buffer, 150mM NaCl pH 7.4) for 15 minutes to inactivate residual aldehyde groups. The grids were then blocked by placing them onto drops of PBS with 5% BSA and 0.1% CWFS gelatin supplemented with 5% normal serum for 30 minutes, washed on drops of incubation buffer 3 times for 5 min each, and then incubated for 45 min with the polyclonal Cry2Aa2 to detect tobacco expression (diluted 1:10,000 in incubation buffer). To remove unbound primary antibody, sections were washed on drops of incubation buffer 6 X 5 min each. Sections were then incubated for 2 hours with a goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody conjugate to 10nm gold diluted 1:100 in incubation buffer. Sections were subsequently washed 6 X 5 minutes in incubation buffer, 3 X 5 min with PBS, and post-fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde diluted in PBS for 5 min. Following post-fixation, sections were washed in PBS 3 X 5 minutes, in distilled water 5 X 2 min each, and post-stained using uranylacetate and lead citrate. Sections were then examined in a Zeiss EM 10 transmission electron microscope at 60kv.

EXAMPLE 2

E. Coli Transformants. Due to the similarity of protein synthetic machinery (Brixey et al. 1997), expression of all metal resistance conferring chloroplast vectors are first tested in E.coli before their use in tobacco transformation. The activity of the enzymes, mercury ion reductase (merA) and organomercurial lyase (merB) are tested by transforming E. coli (XLI-blue) with the recombinant plasmids and growing them in LB solid medium with HgCl$_2$ (Fig. 9). The cells, control (XLI-blue), pLD-merAB and pLDmerAB-3’UTR are grown in different concentrations of Hg Cl$_2$. Control cells do not grow even at concentrations less than 25 µM Hg Cl$_2$ but the transformed cells grow well even at 100 µM HgCl$_2$-(Fig. 10). The ability to grow at these high concentrations of mercury in which control is not able to grow, confirms the functionality of both enzymes. Control and transformed clones are grown in LB with 500µg/ml of spectinomycin for 24 hours at 37°C. When OD$_{600}$ is measured, 1.247 for the clone with 3’ UTR, 0.165 for the clone lacking the 3’ UTR, and zero absorbance for the control is observed. As expected the pLD-merAB-3’UTR transformed clone shows a higher growth rate probably caused by the 3’ effective termination which allows cells to make more copies of the mer operon transcript that contain only the aadA, merA and merB genes. In chloroplast genome we expect a minor effect in the transcription termination efficiency because the terminator of the genes close to the cassette and
downstream can serve as a terminator, once it is integrated in the chloroplast genome by homologous recombination.

**Bombardment and regeneration of chloroplast transgenic plants:** Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum var. Petit Havana) plants are grown aseptically by germination of seeds on MSO medium (Daniell 1993). Fully expanded, dark green leaves of about two month old plants are bombarded as described by Daniell (1997). The plants are maintained under 500µg/ml spectinomycin selection in the three phases; first selection (RMOP medium), second round of selection (RMOP medium) and third selection MSO (rooting medium) (Fig. 10). After these selection events, positive transformants are transferred to soil (Fig 10). The plants are tested for integration of the genes in the chloroplast at first round of selection and before transplanting them to soil. The use of PCR with specific primers that land in the chloroplast genome and in the gene cassette allows us to eliminate mutants and show integration of the selectable marker gene and the mer genes (Fig. 11). After PCR testing, the plants are grown in soil and the seeds are collected.

**Polymerase Chain Reaction:** PCR is done using DNA isolated from control and transgenic plants to distinguish a) true chloroplast transformants from mutants and b) chloroplast transformants from nuclear transformants. Primers for testing the presence of the aadA (a gene that confers spectinomycin resistance) in transgenic plants are landed on the aadA coding sequence and 16S rRNA gene (primers 1IP&1M). In order to test chloroplast integration of the mer genes, one primer is landed on the aadA gene while another is landed on the native chloroplast genome (primers 3P&3M). No PCR product is obtained with nuclear transgenic plants using this set of primers. The primer set (5P & 2M) is used to test integration of the entire gene cassette without any internal deletion or looping out during homologous recombination, by landing on the respective recombination sites. This screening is essential to eliminate mutants and nuclear transformants. In order to conduct PCR analyses in transgenic plants, total DNA from unbombarded and transgenic plants are isolated as described by Edwards et al. (1991). Chloroplast transgenic plants containing the mer gene are moved to second round of selection in order to achieve homoplasmy.

**Southern Blot Analysis:** Southern blots are done to determine the copy number of the introduced foreign gene per cell as well as to test homoplasmy. There are several thousand copies of the chloroplast genome present in each plant cell. Therefore, when foreign genes are inserted into the chloroplast
genome, it is possible that some of the chloroplast genomes have foreign genes integrated while others remain as the wild type (heteroplasmy). Therefore, in order to ensure that only the transformed genome exists in cells of transgenic plants (homoplasmy), the selection process is continued. In order to confirm that the wild type genome does not exist at the end of the selection cycle, total DNA from transgenic plants is probed with the chloroplast border (flanking) sequences (the trnL-trnA fragment). If wild type genomes are present (heteroplasmy), the native fragment size will be observed along with transformed genomes. Presence of a large fragment (due to insertion of foreign genes within the flanking sequences) and absence of the native small fragment should confirm homoplasmy (Daniell et al., 1998; Kota et al., 1999; Guda et al., 2000).

The copy number of the integrated gene is determined by establishing homoplasmy for the transgenic chloroplast genome. Tobacco Chloroplasts contain 5000-10,000 copies of their genome per cell (Daniell et al. 1998). If only a fraction of the genomes are actually transformed, the copy number, by default, must be less than 10,000. By establishing that in the transgenics, the merAB inserted transformed genome is the only one present, one could establish that the copy number is 5000-10,000 per cell. This is done by digesting the total DNA with a suitable restriction enzyme and probing with the flanking sequences that enable homologous recombination into the chloroplast genome. The native fragment present in the control should be absent in the transgenics. The absence of native fragment proves that only the transgenic chloroplast genome is present in the cell and there is no native, untransformed, chloroplast genome, without the mer genes present. This establishes the homoplastic nature of our transformants, simultaneously providing us with an estimate of 5000-10,000 copies of the foreign genes per cell.

**Northern Blot Analysis:** Northern blots are done to test the efficiency of transcription of the merAB operon. Total RNA is isolated from 150 mg of frozen leaves by using the "Rnasy Plant Total RNA Isolation Kit" (Qiagen Inc., Chatsworth, CA). RNA (10-40 μg) is denatured by formaldehyde treatment, separated on a 1.2% agarose gel in the presence of formaldehyde and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (MSI) as described in Sambrook et al. (1989). Probe DNA (merAB gene coding region) is labeled by the random-primed method (Promega) with 32P-dCTP isotope. The blot is pre-hybridized, hybridized and washed as described above for southern blot analysis. Transcript levels are quantified by the Molecular Analyst Program using the GS-700 Imaging Densitometer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).
Plant Bioassays

**Germination/Growth Experiments:** Seeds of wild-type (*Nicotiana tabacum* var Petit Havana), transgenic plant pLD-MerAB, and transgenic plant pLD-MerAB-3'UTR are sterilized, vernalized at 4°C for at least 24 h, and germinated on 1% Phytoagar plates (GIBCO/BRL) made with Murashige and Skoog (4.3 g/liter, GIBCO/BRL) medium containing PMA (phenylmercuric acetate) or mercuric chloride. Seedlings are grown at 22°C with a 16 h light/8 h dark period.

**Mercury Vapor Assays:** Elemental mercury is relatively insoluble and volatile and lost quickly from cells and media. Volatilized Hg⁰ is measured on a Jerome 431 mercury vapor analyzer (Arizona Instrument, Phoenix, AZ) (Rugh, C.L. et al., 1996). Approximately 5-10 seedlings (10-14 day old, 10-25 mg total wet weight) are incubated in 2 ml of assay medium (50 mM Tris.HCl, pH 6.8/50 mM NaCl/25 mM HgCl₂) in a 16 x 130 mm test tube with a side arm for gas removal. The HgCl₂ is added to initiate the assay. The amount of Hg⁰ produced is assayed by bubbling air through the bottom of the sample for 12 sec at 3 cm³/sec and measuring the release of Hg⁰. The time zero assay will be taken immediately after the seedlings are placed in the medium. The sample is then reassayed every minute for 10 minutes. The volatilized Hg⁰ is measured by passing the air sample released from the side arm directly over the gold foil membrane resistor of a Jerome 431 mercury vapor analyzer. The instrument is repeatedly standardized with known quantities of Hg⁰ (10-200 ng), reduced from HgCl₂ with excess SnCl₂. The amount of mercury evolved is normalized by dividing the number of nanograms of Hg⁰ measured by the number of milligrams of seedling tissue in the assay.

**Photosynthetic studies:** From transgenic plants and untransformed plants, intact chloroplasts are isolated for photosynthetic studies. O₂ evolution is studied in an oxygen evolution electrode in the absence or presence of different concentrations of HgCl₂ and PMA. Electron transport is studied with suitable electron donors/acceptors to study photosystem I, II or both. PAGE is used to examine the composition of PSII complex, especially EP33, after incubation of cells or chloroplasts or thylakoid membranes with different concentrations of HgCl₂ and PMA. In vivo chloroplast fluorescence is studied to monitor changes in control and transformed cells or chloroplasts to measure F₀, Fm, Fv.

**Inheritance of Introduced Foreign Genes:** While it is unlikely that introduced DNA would move from the chloroplast genome to nuclear genome, it is possible that the gene could get integrated in the nuclear
genome during bombardment and remain undetected in Southern analysis. Therefore, in initial tobacco transformants, some are allowed to self-pollinate, whereas others are used in reciprocal crosses with control tobacco (transgenics as female accepters and pollen donors; testing for maternal inheritance). Harvested seeds (T1) are germinated on media containing spectinomycin. Achievement of homoplasm and mode of inheritance is classified by looking at germination results. Homoplasm is indicated by totally green seedlings (Daniell et al., 1998) while heteroplasm is displayed by variegated leaves (lack of pigmentation, Svab & Maliga, 1993). Lack of variation in chlorophyll pigmentation among progeny also underscores the absence of position effect, an artifact of nuclear transformation. Maternal inheritance is demonstrated by sole transmission of introduced genes via seed generated on transgenic plants, regardless of pollen source (green seedlings on selective media). When transgenic pollen is used for pollination of control plants, resultant progeny would not contain resistance to chemical in selective media (will appear bleached; Svab and Maliga, 1993). Molecular analyses confirms transmission and expression of introduced genes, and T2 seed are generated from those confirmed plants by the analyses described above.

EXAMPLE 3

**Chlorella vulgaris transformation vector**: The region 16S to 23S of the Chlorella vulgaris chloroplast genome is amplified by PCR using specific primers complementary to rnl6 and to rnr23. The PCR product will be cloned into pCR 2.1 vector available from Promega. The PCR product 16S to 23S is removed from the pCR2.1 vector by a blunt end restriction endonuclease and cloned into the pUC19 in which the multiple cloning site has been removed using a blunt end restriction enzyme (PvuII). Then the cassette containing the promoter, the antibiotic resistance gene and the merAB genes is inserted into the new vector (Chlorella transformation vector) using a blunt end restriction enzyme (HincII) that is present in the spacer region between trnA and trnT. The final construct is used for the transformation of Chlorella vulgaris (Fig. 12).

**Bombardment and transformation of Chlorella vulgaris**: The biolistic transformation method (Sanford et al. 1993) is optimized for transformation of Chlorella vulgaris. Chlorella is grown in liquid heterotrophic medium (5 sporulation agar) at 25°C to late-log phase (~6 x 10⁶ cells/ml). To prepare a monolayer for bombardment (2 x 10⁶), cells are collected onto prewetted 45mm GVWP filters (Millipore)
under gentle (30 mBar) vacuum. Gold particles are coated with the transforming plasmid. (Sanford et al. 1993) The monolayer filters are bombarded. Immediately after bombardment, filters are transferred to selective solid media containing 500μg/ml spectinomycin and incubated at 22° C under high light. After approximately 6 weeks, green colonies are picked from a background of bleached cells onto selective plates and grown for an additional 1-2 weeks. Colonies are harvested and screened for integration of foreign genes when they reached a diameter of approximately 5mm.

**Chlorella vulgaris Bioassays:** Growth and colonies formation bioassay are performed as explained in the plant germination growth experiment; the only change is the use of Chlorella specific media.

**Mercury vapor assays:** Mercury vapor assays are performed in the way explained for plants above except changing the growth media specific to *Chlorella*, including temperature and light intensity.

**Photosynthetic studies:** Photosynthetic studies are performed in the way explained for plants above except untransformed and transformed *Chlorella* cells will be directly used to study the effect of mercury toxicity.

**EXAMPLE 4**

**Synechocystis transformation vector:** The region 16S to 23S of the *Synechocystis* genome is amplified by PCR using specific primers complementary to rm116 and to rm23. The PCR product is cloned into the pCR 2.1 vector available from Promega. The PCR product 16S to 23S is removed from the pCR2.1 vector by a blunt end restriction endonuclease and cloned into pUC19 in which the multiple cloning site has been removed using a blunt end restriction enzyme (PvuII). Then the cassette containing the promoter, the antibiotic resistance gene and the merAB genes is inserted into the new vector (Synechocystis transformation vector) using a blunt end restriction enzyme (HindII) that is present in the spacer region between trnI and trnA. The final construct will be used for the transformation of *Synechocystis* (Fig. 13).

**Transformation of Synechocystis:** A fresh culture of wild type in BG-11 (heterotrophic medium) plus glucose is grown to OD730 = 0.5 after 2-3 days of culture. Cells are spun down in sterile 50 ml tubes at room temperature and resuspended in the original growth medium to OD730 = 2.5. Transforming DNA is added to resuspended cells in sterile glass culture tubes. Tubes are placed in rack in the growth chamber at 30° C for 6 hours and shaken for 3 hours. Cells (200 μl) are plated on a sterile filter that has
been placed on a BG-11 plus glucose plate and spread around. After growth for 24 hours and they are transferred to filters on appropriate medium containing spectinomycin or mercuric chloride.

**Synechocystis Bioassays:** Growth and colonies formation bioassay are performed as explained in the plant germination-growth experiment; the only change is the use *Synechocystis* growth media.

**Mercury vapor assays:** Mercury vapor assays are performed in the way explained for plants above except changing the growth media specific to the *Synechocystis*, including temperature and light intensity.

**Photosynthetic studies:** Photosynthetic studies are performed in the way explained for plants above except untransformed and transformed *Synechocystis* cells are directly used to study the effect of mercury toxicity.

**EXAMPLE 5**

**Lemna transformation vector:** The *Lemna* chloroplast vector, as shown in Fig. 14, is constructed in the same way as explained above for tobacco, with the exception that the *Lemna* chloroplast DNA flanking sequences are used.

**Bombardment and regeneration of transgenic plants:** *Lemna* plants are transformed and regenerated in the way explained for tobacco in Example 1 above.

**Plant Bioassays:** Various plant bioassays are performed as explained for tobacco in Example 1 above.

**EXAMPLE 6**

**Sugarcane transformation vector:** The Sugarcane chloroplast vector, as shown in Fig. 15, is constructed in the same way as explained above for tobacco, with the exception that the Sugarcane chloroplast DNA flanking sequences are used.

**Bombardment and regeneration of transgenic plants:** Sugarcane plants are transformed and regenerated in the way explained for tobacco in Example 1 above.

**Plant Bioassays:** Various plant bioassays are performed as explained for tobacco in Example 1 above.
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CLAIMS

1. A stable chloroplast transformation and expression vector which is capable of introducing multiple genes into a selected plant by a single integration event, wherein each step of said multiple genes is carried out by an enzyme encoding a heterologous DNA sequence which comprises an expression cassette, comprising as operably linked components, in the 5' to the 3' direction of translation, a promoter operative in said plastids which drives a multi-gene operon, a selectable marker sequence, the multi-gene operon which is functional to co-express multiple enzymes in the plastids, a transcription termination region functional in said plastids, and flanking each side of the expression cassette, flanking DNA sequences which are homologous to DNA sequences inclusive of a spacer sequence of the target plastid genome, whereby stable integration of the heterologous coding sequence into the chloroplast genome of the target plant is facilitated throughout homologous recombination of the flanking sequence with the homologous sequences in the target plastid gene.

2. A vector of claim 1, wherein a gene of the operon codes for an insecticidal toxin crystal protein.

3. A vector of claim 2, wherein the insecticidal toxin crystal protein is a Bacillus thumngensis (Bt) crystal protein.

4. A vector of claim 3, wherein another gene of the operon codes for another insecticidal crystal protein with a different mode of action.

5. A vector of claim 4, wherein the multi-gene operon is functional to co-express, in addition to a Bt insecticidal toxin gene, a non-Bt insecticidal toxin gene selected from at least one of the group of cholesterol oxidase, alpha-amylate inhibitors, protease inhibitors, cowpea trypsin inhibitors and the potato proteinase inhibitor II, whereby the "pyramiding" of the toxin product tends to retard the ability of insects to adapt to the insecticidal effect of the transgenic target plants.

6. A vector of claim 4 or 5, wherein a second gene of the operon codes for a putative chaperonin which facilitates the folding of the Bt crystal toxin protein to form proteotically stable cuboidal crystals.

7. A vector of claim 3, wherein the operon includes one of the 133 genes shown in the article
1 MMBR, (September, 1998, pages 805-873, Vol 62, No. 4, Revision of the Nomenclature for the Bt Pesticidal (insecticidal) Crystal Proteins by Crickmon, et al.), wherein at least one of the genes of the operon codes for a Bt insecticidal crystal protein and another gene codes for a putative corresponding chaperonin which facilitates the folding and the corresponding chaperonin facilitates the folding of the Bt protein to proteolytically stable cuboidal crystals shown in that MMBR article.

8. A vector of claim 1, wherein at least one of the gene of the operon codes for a biopharmaceutical protein.

9. A vector of claim 7, wherein another gene of the operon codes for a putative chaperonin which facilitates the folding of the protein.

10. A vector of claim 8, wherein the protein is insulin or human albumin.

11. A vector of claim 7, wherein another gene of the operon codes for another biopharmaceutical protein other than the gene which codes for the putative chaperonin, which protein is expressed in stoichiometric ratio.

12. A vector of claim 10, wherein the genes of the operon, other than the gene which codes for the putative chaperonin, codes for biopharmaceutical proteins which are expressed in stoichiometric ratio.

13. A vector of claims 7, 8, 9 or 10 which comprises collecting the protein product in a folded configuration, thereby enhancing their stability, and facilitating single step purification.

14. A method of combating insects which comprises applying to the insects or their habitat an insecticidally amount of the insecticidal crystal protein of claim 4.

15. A method of transforming a chloroplast of a selected plant species or the progeny thereof to confer insect resistance and producing on a large-scale foreign protein, said method comprising the steps of:

   stably transforming the chloroplast of selected plant cells to express at least one insecticidal toxin protein and a chaperonin, growing the transformed plant cells under conditions which allow the expression of said insecticidal toxin protein and chaperonin.

16. The method of claim 14, further comprising the steps of culturing said plant cells in a plant growth medium comprising spectinomycin, and selecting transformed plant cells capable of growth in the presence of said spectinomycin.
17. The method of claim 15, further comprising regenerating a transformed plant from said transformed plant cells.

18. A transformed plant which has been transformed by the method of any one of claims 14-16.

19. The transformed plant of claim 18, wherein said plant contains a high accumulation of insecticidal toxin proteins in said plant's leaves, including mature and old bleached leaves.

20. The progeny, including seeds, of the transformed plant of claim 18.

21. A vector of claim 1, wherein the biosynthetic pathway is a bioremediation system that functions to degrade inorganic and organic metal compounds in contaminated sites.

22. A vector of claim 21, wherein the expression cassette does not contain a terminator.

23. A vector of claim 21 or claim 22, wherein the operon contains the mercury resistance coding sequences encoding enzymes Mer A and Mer B.

24. The vector of claim 23, wherein the bioremediation pathway is driven by a single promoter.

25. The chloroplast transformation and expression vector of claim 24, wherein enzymes of the bioremediation pathway are expressed in stoichiometric amounts.

26. A vector of claim 25, wherein the inorganic compounds are selected from at least one of the group consisting of divalent cations of mercury, nickel, cobalt, trivalent cations of gold, and monovalent cations of silver.

27. A vector of claim 25, wherein the organic compounds are selected from at least one of the group consisting of alkyl mercury, alkenyl mercury, alkynyl mercury, aromatic mercury compounds, alkyl lead compounds, alkyl arsenic compounds and alkyl cadmium compounds.

28. A method of transforming a chloroplast of a selected plant species or the progeny thereof to confer greater resistance to metal ions than the corresponding parental plant which does not require several back crosses to create complete pathway that detoxifies mercury and organomercurial, said method comprising the steps of:

stably transforming the chloroplast of a plant by inserting an expression cassette containing the mercury resistance coding sequences of claim 21 into a plant species or the progeny
thereof, growing the transforming plant species under conditions which allow the expression of said expression cassette.

29. The method of claim 28, further comprising culturing said plant in a plant growth medium comprising a selector for the corresponding selectable marker of claim 1, and selecting transformed plant cells capable of growth in the presence of said selector.

30. The method of claim 29, further comprising regenerating a transformed plant from said transformed plant cells.

31. A stably transformed plant which has been transformed by the methods of any one of claims 28-30.

32. The progeny, including seeds, of the stably transformed plant of claim 31.

33. A method of phytoremediation of mercury and organomercurials in soil and ground water, said method comprising the steps of:

planting the stably transformed plant of either claim 31 or claim 32 in soil contaminated with mercury and organomercurials and allowing said plants to grow.

34. A method of phytoremediation which does not require several back crosses to create complete pathway that detoxifies mercury and organomercurial, said method comprising the methods of claims 28-30.

35. The plants of claim 33, wherein the plant contains products of the bioremediation pathway.

36. The products of the stably transformed plant of either claim 31 or claim 32, wherein said products are metals that are reduced by the enzymes of the bioremediation pathway.

37. The vector of claim 23 which is capable of introducing a multiple-step biosynthetic pathway into a selected photosynthetic cell by a single integration event

38. The vector of claim 37, wherein the biosynthetic pathway degrades inorganic and organic mercury compounds.

39. A vector of claim 38, wherein the bioremediation pathway is driven by a single promoter.

40. A vector of claim 38, wherein the enzymes of the bioremediation pathway are expressed in stoichiometric amounts.

41. A vector of claim 38, wherein the inorganic compounds are selected from at least one of
a group consisting of divalent cations of mercury, nickel, cobalt, trivalent cations of gold, and monovalent cations of silver.

42. A vector of claim 38, wherein the organic compounds are selected from at least one of a group consisting of alkyl mercury, alkenyl mercury, alkynyl mercury, aromatic mercury compounds, alkyl lead compounds, alkyl arsenic compounds and alkyl cadmium compounds.

6 43. A photosynthetic organism transformed with the vector of claim 38 which is useful for bioremediation of mercury and organomercurial compounds from contaminated water bodies.

44. A method of transforming a chloroplast of a selected photosynthetic organism to confer greater resistance to metal ions, said method comprising the steps of:
   stably transforming the chloroplast of a photosynthetic organism with the vector of claim 38, growing the transformed photosynthetic organism under conditions which allow the expression of said expression cassette.

45. The method of claim 44, further comprising culturing said photosynthetic organism in a growth medium comprising a selector, and selecting transformed cells capable of growth in the presence of said selector.

46. The method of claim 45, further comprising regenerating a transgenic photosynthetic organism from said transformed cells.

47. A method of phytoremediation of mercury and organomercurials in bodies of contaminated water, said method comprising the steps of:
   treating water contaminated with mercury and organomercurials with the transgenic photosynthetic organism of claim 42 before releasing the water into the environment.

48. The photosynthetic organism of claim 43, wherein said photosynthetic organism is either a green algae or a cyanobacteria.

49. The photosynthetic organism of claim 48, wherein the green algae is *Chlorella vulgaris*.

50. The photosynthetic organism of claim 48, wherein the cyanobacteria is *Synechocystis*.

51. A vector of claim 1 wherein a multi-gene operon codes for a protein.

52. A vector of claim 51, wherein the protein is a biopharmaceutical protein.

53. A vector of claim 52, wherein the biopharmaceutical protein is a monoclonal antibody.
54. A vector of claim 53, wherein the protein is produced in the same stoichiometric ratio.

55. A vector of claim 4, wherein said another gene of the operon is selected from the group of cholesterol oxidase, alpha-amylase inhibitors, and proteinase inhibitors.

56. The vector of any one of claims 1-13, 21-27, 37-42, 51-55, wherein the promoter is a one functional in green or non-green plastids.

57. The promoter of claim 56, wherein said promoter is selected from the group of psbA, accD, or 16srRNA promoters.

58. The biosynthetic pathway of claim 1, wherein said biosynthetic pathway result in the production of compounds such as amino acids, fatty acids, carbohydrates, polymers, vitamins, antibiotics and dyes.

59. A vector of claim 8, where the protein is human serum albumin.

60. A vector of claim 1, which further comprises flanking each side of the expression cassette, flanking DNA sequences which are homologous to a DNA sequence inclusive of a spacer sequence of the target chloroplast genome, which sequence is conserved in the chloroplast genome of different plant species, whereby stable integration of the heterologous coding sequence into the chloroplast genome of the target plant is facilitated through homologous recombination of the flanking sequences with the homologous sequences in the target chloroplast genome.
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**Fig. 9: Transformed E. coli grown in 100 μm HgCl₂**

Transformed E. coli cells containing the vectors pLD-merAB and pLD-MerAB-3'UTR grown in LB at different concentrations of HgCl₂. Plates show transformed cells growing at 100 μM HgCl₂. No growth was observed in the control.

**Fig. 10: Chloroplast Transgenic plants**

a) Transgenic plant shoot induction in RMOP with 500μg/ml Spec. b) Transgenic plant root induction in MSO with 500 μg/ml Spec. c) Transgenic plant grown in soil.

**Fig. 11: Integration of the mer operon into the chloroplast genome**

a) PCR using specific primers that land in the gene cassette (5P/2M) show a product of 3.8kb size (clones2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11). Clones 1 and 3 show no integration of the cassette. Positive control, is plasmid pLD-merAB-3'UTR. Negative control is untransformed plant DNA. b) PCR using specific primers that land within the native chloroplast genome (3P/3M), eliminate mutants (clone 3), showing integration of the cassette into the chloroplast genome (clones: 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11. 1.6 kb PCR product).
Figure 12

Figure 13
Plastid vector Construction of Lemna

Plastid DNA of Lemna

PCR product (~ 2.4 Kb)

PCR Cloning with pCR 2.1-TOPO

FIGURE 14

Plastid vector Construction of Sugarcane

Plastid DNA of Sugarcane

PCR product (~ 2.4 Kb)

PCR Cloning with pCR 2.1-TOPO

FIGURE 15
**FIGURE 16**

**Lemna**

M: 1 kb ladder
1: Lemna: 3P/trnA3'  
2: Tobacco 3P/trnA3'  
3: Lemna: Os6P/Os6M  
4: 1 μl Total DNA Lemna  
5: 1 μl Total DNA Tobacco

**Sugarcane**

M: 1 kb ladder
1: Q117: 3P/trnA3'  
2: Q124: 3P/trnA3'  
3: Q155: 3P/trnA3  
4: Tobacco 3P/trnA3'

**FIGURE 17**

**Sugarcane**

PvuII Uncut

1.6 Kb

1.0 Kb

PCR product
FIGURE 18(A)
FIGURE 18(B)
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