



(51) International Patent Classification:
H04L 12/24 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/IB2021/056158

(22) International Filing Date:

08 July 2021 (08.07.2021)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

63/050,027

09 July 2020 (09.07.2020)

US

(71) Applicant: **LENOVO (SINGAPORE) PTE. LTD.**
[SG/SG]; 151, Lorong Chuan #02-01, New Tech Park
556741 (SG).

(72) Inventor: **VAISHNAVI, Ishan**; Rottmannstrasse 26,
80333 München (DE).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,
AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ,
CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO,
DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN,
HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, IT, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN,
KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD,
ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO,


NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW,
SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN,
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, WS, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ,
UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ,
TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK,
EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV,
MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM,
TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW,
KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DISABLING OR ENABLING CONTROL LOOP DECISIONS



(57) Abstract: A method for disabling/enabling control loop decisions is disclosed includes, in various examples, receiving (1005) a request (625) from a consumer (605) to disable or enable control loop decisions for managed entities from being selected by control loops (335) or entities therein; determining (627) whether the request is performable by the control loops or entities therein; and disabling or enabling (628) an ability to select the control loop decisions on the managed entities by the control loops (335) or entities therein in response to determining that the request is currently applicable and performable. A system may perform the method. In some examples, the method disables one or more second control loops/entities from selecting decisions that conflict with decisions selected by a first control loop (335).

Fig. 6

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DISABLING OR ENABLING CONTROL LOOP DECISIONS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application claims priority to United States Provisional Patent Application
5 Number 63/050,027 titled “METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DISABLING OR ENABLING
CONTROL LOOP DECISIONS” and filed on July 9, 2020, for Ishan Vaishnavi, which is
incorporated herein by reference to the extent permitted under applicable patent law and rules.

FIELD

[0002] The subject matter disclosed herein relates generally to enhanced management of
10 control loops in wireless communications and more particularly relates to a method and entity (e.g.,
an apparatus and/or a system) for disabling or enabling control loop decisions.

BACKGROUND

[0003] In certain wireless communications networks, control loop decisions may be
produced by one or more control loops or entities within the one or more control loops. Such
15 decisions or action plans selected at the decision stage of a control loop may be executed by one
or more corresponding actions and/or configurations executed at the execute stage of the control
loop.

BRIEF SUMMARY

[0004] Disclosed are a system and a method for disabling or enabling control loop
20 decisions. Control closed loops may exist across management domains. Control loops often consist
of a decision-making part where certain decisions can be made by a control loop regarding the
managed entity the control loop acts on. Different management services as the decision part of
control loops or entities with control loops in different management domains may be working on
different entities or parts of the same End-to-end (E2E) service or E2E slice and may select
25 independent decisions. This has the possibility of resulting in inefficient (conflicting or ineffective)
decisions (e.g., action plans) being taken/produced by closed control loops deciding on different
parts of the managed entity.

[0005] A system for disabling or enabling control loop decisions is disclosed that,
according to one or more examples of the present disclosure, includes a decision management
30 service producer associated with one or more control loops running in one or more management
domains of a mobile network, the decision management service producer including a processor,
memory, and program code operable to receive a request from a consumer of the decision

management service producer to disable or enable one or more decisions for one or more managed entities from being selected by the one or more control loops or by one or more entities within the one or more control loops. The program code is further operable to determine whether the request to disable or enable the one or more decisions is currently applicable to the one or more managed
5 entities and is performable by the one or more control loops or entities therein and to disable or enable an ability to select the one or more decisions on the one or more managed entities by the one or more control loops or one or more entities within the one or more control loops in response to determining that the request is currently applicable and performable.

[0006] A method for disabling or enabling control loop decisions is disclosed that,
10 according to one or more examples of the present disclosure, includes receiving a request from a consumer of a decision management service producer to disable or enable one or more control loop decisions for one or more managed entities from being selected by one or more control loops or by one or more entities within the one or more control loops. In various examples, the method further includes determining whether the request to disable or enable the one or more decisions is currently
15 applicable to the one or more managed entities and is performable by the one or more control loops or entities therein and disabling or enabling an ability to execute the one or more control loop decisions on the one or more managed entities by the one or more control loops or one or more entity within the one or more control loops in response to determining that the request is currently applicable and performable.

20 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0007] A more particular description of the examples briefly described above will be rendered by reference to specific examples that are illustrated in the appended drawings. Understanding that these drawings depict only some examples and are not, therefore, to be considered to be limiting of scope, the examples will be described and explained with additional
25 specificity and detail through the use of the accompanying drawings, in which:

[0008] Figure 1 is a schematic block diagram illustrating a wireless communication system that supports disabling or enabling control loop decisions, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure;

[0009] Figure 2A is a schematic block diagram illustrating a logical representation of
30 management domains, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure;

[0010] Figure 2B is a schematic block diagram illustrating an example deployment scenario of management domains, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure;

[0011] Figure 3A is a diagram depicting an open control loop according to one or more examples of the present disclosure;

[0012] Figure 3B is a diagram depicting a closed control loop according to one or more examples of the present disclosure;

[0013] Figure 4 is a diagram depicting a sequence scenario for disabling or enabling control loop decisions, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure;

5 [0014] Figure 5 is a block diagram illustrating the logical architecture 500 of an operator network with multiple domains having one or more control loops, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure;

[0015] Figure 6 is a block diagram illustrating a sequence diagram for disabling or enabling a selected set of decisions for a control loop, according to one or more examples of the present
10 disclosure;

[0016] Figure 7 is a block diagram illustrating a 3GPP SA5-based sequence for disabling a selected set of decisions for a control loop, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure;

[0017] Figure 8 is a block diagram illustrating an ESTI ZSM-based sequence for disabling
15 a selected set of decisions for a control loop, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure;

[0018] Figure 9 is a schematic block diagram illustrating a network equipment apparatus that be used in a method and/or system for disabling or enabling control loop decisions; and

[0019] Figure 10 is a flowchart diagram illustrating a method for disabling or enabling a
20 selected set of decisions for a control loop, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0020] As will be appreciated by one skilled in the art, aspects of the examples may be embodied as a system, apparatus, method, or program product. Accordingly, examples may take
25 the form of an entirely hardware example, an entirely software example (including firmware, resident software, micro-code, etc.) or an example combining software and hardware aspects.

[0021] For example, the disclosed examples may be implemented as a hardware circuit comprising custom very-large-scale integration (VLSI) circuits or gate arrays, off-the-shelf semiconductors such as logic chips, transistors, or other discrete components. The disclosed
30 examples may also be implemented in programmable hardware devices such as field-programmable gate arrays, programmable array logic, programmable logic devices, or the like. As another example, the disclosed examples may include one or more physical or logical blocks of executable code which may, for instance, be organized as an object, procedure, or function.

[0022] Furthermore, examples may take the form of a program product embodied in one or more computer readable storage devices storing machine-readable code, computer readable code, and/or program code, referred hereafter as code. The storage devices may be tangible, non-transitory, and/or non-transmission. The storage devices may not embody signals. In a certain example, the storage devices only employ signals for accessing code.

[0023] Any combination of one or more computer readable media may be utilized. The computer readable medium may be a computer readable storage medium. The computer readable storage medium may be a storage device storing the code. The storage device may be, for example, but not limited to, an electronic, magnetic, optical, electromagnetic, infrared, holographic, micromechanical, or semiconductor system, apparatus, or device, or any suitable combination of the foregoing.

[0024] More specific examples (a non-exhaustive list) of the storage device would include the following: an electrical connection having one or more wires, a portable computer diskette, a hard disk, a random-access memory (RAM), a read-only memory (ROM), an erasable programmable read-only memory (EPROM or Flash memory), a portable compact disc read-only memory (CD-ROM), an optical storage device, a magnetic storage device, or any suitable combination of the foregoing. In the context of this document, a computer readable storage medium may be any tangible medium that can contain or store a program for use by or in connection with an instruction execution system, apparatus, or device.

[0025] Code for carrying out operations for examples may be any number of lines and may be written in any combination of one or more programming languages including an object-oriented programming language such as Python, Ruby, Java, Smalltalk, C++, or the like, and conventional procedural programming languages, such as the "C" programming language, or the like, and/or machine languages such as assembly languages. The code may execute entirely on the user's computer, partly on the user's computer, as a stand-alone software package, partly on the user's computer and partly on a remote computer, or entirely on the remote computer or server. In the latter scenario, the remote computer may be connected to the user's computer through any type of network, including a local area network (LAN) or a wide area network (WAN), or the connection may be made to an external computer (for example, through the Internet using an Internet Service Provider).

[0026] Furthermore, the described features, structures, or characteristics of the examples may be combined in any suitable manner. In the following description, numerous specific details are provided, such as examples of programming, software modules, user selections, network transactions, database queries, database structures, hardware modules, hardware circuits, hardware

chips, etc., to provide a thorough understanding of examples. One skilled in the relevant art will recognize, however, that examples may be practiced without one or more of the specific details, or with other methods, components, materials, and so forth. In other instances, well-known structures, materials, or operations are not shown or described in detail to avoid obscuring aspects of an example.

[0027] Reference throughout this specification to “one example,” “an example,” or similar language means that a particular feature, structure, or characteristic described in connection with the example is included in at least one example. Thus, appearances of the phrases “in one example,” “in an example,” and similar language throughout this specification may, but do not necessarily, all refer to the same example, but mean “one or more but not all examples” unless expressly specified otherwise. The terms “including,” “comprising,” “having,” and variations thereof mean “including but not limited to,” unless expressly specified otherwise. An enumerated listing of items does not imply that any or all of the items are mutually exclusive, unless expressly specified otherwise. The terms “a,” “an,” and “the” also refer to “one or more” unless expressly specified otherwise.

[0028] As used herein, a list with a conjunction of “and/or” includes any single item in the list or a combination of items in the list. For example, a list of A, B, and/or C includes only A, only B, only C, a combination of A and B, a combination of B and C, a combination of A and C or a combination of A, B and C. As used herein, a list using the terminology “one or more of” includes any single item in the list or a combination of items in the list. For example, one or more of A, B and C includes only A, only B, only C, a combination of A and B, a combination of B and C, a combination of A and C or a combination of A, B and C. As used herein, a list using the terminology “one of” includes one and only one of any single item in the list. For example, “one of A, B and C” includes only A, only B or only C and excludes combinations of A, B and C. As used herein, “a member selected from the group consisting of A, B, and C,” includes one and only one of A, B, or C, and excludes combinations of A, B, and C.” As used herein, “a member selected from the group consisting of A, B, and C and combinations thereof” includes only A, only B, only C, a combination of A and B, a combination of B and C, a combination of A and C or a combination of A, B, and C.

[0029] Aspects of the examples are described below with reference to schematic flowchart diagrams and/or schematic block diagrams of methods, apparatuses, systems, and program products according to examples. It will be understood that each block of the schematic flowchart diagrams and/or schematic block diagrams, and combinations of blocks in the schematic flowchart diagrams and/or schematic block diagrams, can be implemented by code. This code may be

provided to a processor of a general-purpose computer, special purpose computer, or other programmable data processing apparatus to produce a machine, such that the instructions, which execute via the processor of the computer or other programmable data processing apparatus, create means for implementing the functions/acts specified in the flowchart diagrams and/or block diagrams.

[0030] The code may also be stored in a storage device that can direct a computer, other programmable data processing apparatus, or other devices to function in a particular manner, such that the instructions stored in the storage device produce an article of manufacture including instructions which implement the function/act specified in the flowchart diagrams and/or block diagrams.

[0031] The code may also be loaded onto a computer, other programmable data processing apparatus, or other devices to cause a series of operational steps to be performed on the computer, other programmable apparatuses, or other devices to produce a computer implemented process such that the code which executes on the computer or other programmable apparatus provides processes for implementing the functions/acts specified in the flowchart diagrams and/or block diagrams.

[0032] The flowchart diagrams and/or block diagrams in the Figures illustrate the architecture, functionality, and operation of possible implementations of apparatuses, systems, methods, and program products according to various examples. In this regard, each block in the flowchart diagrams and/or block diagrams may represent a module, segment, or portion of code, which includes one or more executable instructions of the code for implementing the specified logical function(s).

[0033] It should also be noted that, in some alternative implementations, the functions noted in the block may occur out of the order noted in the Figures. For example, two blocks shown in succession may, in fact, be executed substantially concurrently, or the blocks may sometimes be executed in the reverse order, depending upon the functionality involved. Other steps and methods may be conceived that are equivalent in function, logic, or effect to one or more blocks, or portions thereof, of the illustrated Figures.

[0034] Although various arrow types and line types may be employed in the flowchart and/or block diagrams, they are understood not to limit the scope of the corresponding examples. Indeed, some arrows or other connectors may be used to indicate only the logical flow of the depicted example. For instance, an arrow may indicate a waiting or monitoring period of unspecified duration between enumerated steps of the depicted example. It will also be noted that each block of the block diagrams and/or flowchart diagrams, and combinations of blocks in the

block diagrams and/or flowchart diagrams, can be implemented by special purpose hardware-based systems that perform the specified functions or acts, or combinations of special purpose hardware and code.

[0035] The description of elements in each figure may refer to elements of proceeding
5 figures. Like numbers refer to like elements in all figures, including alternate examples of like elements, unless otherwise clear from context.

Figure 1

[0036] Figure 1 is a schematic block diagram illustrating one example of a wireless
communication system 100 that supports disabling or enabling control loop decisions, according
10 to one or more examples of the present disclosure. In one example, the wireless communication system 100 includes at least one remote unit 105, a radio access network (RAN) 120, a mobile core network 130, and an Operation and Maintenance System (OAM) 140.

[0037] The RAN 120 and the mobile core network 130 form a mobile communication network. The RAN 120 may be composed of a base unit 110 with which the remote unit 105
15 communicates using wireless communication links 115. Even though a specific number of remote units 105, base units 110, wireless communication links 115, RANs 120, and mobile core networks 130 are depicted in Figure 1, one of skill in the art will recognize that any number of remote units 105, base units 110, wireless communication links 115, RANs 120, and mobile core networks 140 may be included in the wireless communication system 100.

[0038] In one implementation, the RAN 120 is compliant with the 5G system specified in
20 the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) specifications. In another implementation, the RAN 120 is compliant with the Long Term Evolution (LTE) system specified in the 3GPP specifications. More generally, however, the wireless communication system 100 may implement some other open or proprietary communication network, for example, WiMAX, among other networks. The
25 present disclosure is not intended to be limited to the implementation of any particular wireless communication system architecture or protocol.

[0039] In one example, the remote units 105 may include computing devices, such as desktop computers, laptop computers, personal digital assistants (PDAs), tablet computers, smartphones, smart televisions (e.g., televisions connected to the Internet), smart appliances (e.g.,
30 appliances connected to the Internet), set-top boxes, game consoles, security systems (including security cameras), vehicle on-board computers, network devices (e.g., routers, switches, modems), or the like. In some examples, the remote units 105 include wearable devices, such as smartwatches, fitness bands, optical head-mounted displays, or the like. Moreover, the remote units

105 may be referred to as the User Equipment (UE), subscriber units, mobiles, mobile stations, users, terminals, mobile terminals, fixed terminals, subscriber stations, user terminals, wireless transmit/receive unit (WTRU), a device, or by other terminology used in the art.

[0040] The remote units 105 may communicate directly with one or more of the base units
5 110 in the RAN 120 via uplink (UL) and downlink (DL) communication signals. Furthermore, the UL and DL communication signals may be carried over the wireless communication links 115. Here, the RAN 120 is an intermediate network that provides the remote units 105 with access to the mobile core network 140.

[0041] The base units 110 may be distributed over a geographic region. In certain
10 examples, a base unit 110 may also be referred to as an access terminal, an access point, a base, a base station, a Node-B, an eNB, a gNB, a Home Node-B, a relay node, a RAN node, or by any other terminology used in the art. The base units 110 are generally part of a radio access network (RAN), such as the RAN 120, that may include one or more controllers communicably coupled to one or more corresponding base units 110. These and other elements of the RAN are not illustrated
15 but are well known generally by those having ordinary skill in the art. The base units 110 connect to the mobile core network 130 via the RAN 120.

[0042] The base units 110 may serve a number of remote units 105 within a serving area, for example, a cell or a cell sector, via a wireless communication link 115. The base units 110 may communicate directly with one or more of the remote units 105 via communication signals.
20 Generally, the base units 110 transmit DL communication signals to serve the remote units 105 in the time, frequency, and/or spatial domain. Furthermore, the DL communication signals may be carried over the wireless communication links 115. The wireless communication links 115 may be any suitable carrier in licensed or unlicensed radio spectrum. The wireless communication links 115 facilitate communication between one or more of the remote units 105 and/or one or more of
25 the base units 110. Note that during NR-U operation, the base unit 110 and the remote unit 105 communicate over unlicensed radio spectrum.

[0043] In one or more examples, the mobile core network 130 is a 5G core (5GC) or the evolved packet core (EPC), which may be coupled to a packet data network 150, like the Internet and private data networks, among other data networks. A remote unit 105 may have a subscription
30 or other account with the mobile core network 140. Each mobile core network 130 belongs to a single public land mobile network (PLMN). The present disclosure is not intended to be limited to the implementation of any particular wireless communication system architecture or protocol.

[0044] The mobile core network 130 includes several network functions (NFs). As depicted, the mobile core network 130 includes multiple user plane functions (UPFs) 135. The

mobile core network 130 also includes multiple control plane functions including, but not limited to, an Access and Mobility Management Function (AMF) 140 that serves the RAN 120, a Session Management Function (SMF) 145, a Policy Control Function (PCF) 150, a Unified Data Management function (UDM) 155, a Network Repository Function (NRF) 160 (used by the various
5 NFs to discover and communicate with each other over APIs), a Network Slice Selection Function (NSSF) 165 that selects a needed set of network slices, or other NFs defined for the 5GC.

[0045] In various examples, the mobile core network 130 supports different types of mobile data connections and different types of network slices, wherein each mobile data connection utilizes a specific network slice. Here, a “network slice” refers to a portion of the mobile core
10 network 130 optimized for a certain traffic type or communication service. In various examples, the mobile core network 130 includes. In certain examples, the various network slices may include separate instances of network functions, such as the SMF 155 and User Plane Function (UPF) 135. In some examples, the different network slices may share some common network functions, such as the AMF 150. The different network slices are not shown in Figure 1 for ease of illustration, but
15 their support is assumed.

[0046] In certain examples, the mobile core network 130 supports network data analytic functions (NWDAF) 170 that can provide network slice level data analytics (e.g., load level information) to PCF 150 and the NSSF 165. The PCF 150 can use that data in its policy decisions. In some examples, the NWDAF 170 communicates with an Operation and Maintenance System
20 (OAM) 175. In certain examples, the OAM 175 receives a pause point reached notification.

[0047] Although specific numbers and types of network functions are depicted in Figure 1, one of skill in the art will recognize that any number and type of network functions may be included in the mobile core network 130. Moreover, where the mobile core network 130 is an evolved packet core network (EPC), the depicted network functions may be replaced with
25 appropriate EPC entities, such as a mobility management entity (MME), serving gateway (SGW), packet gateway (PGW), home subscriber server (HSS,) and the like. In certain examples, the mobile core network 130 may include an authentication, authorization, accounting (AAA) server.

[0048] While Figure 1 depicts components of a 5G RAN and a 5G core network, the described examples apply to other types of communication networks and Radio Access
30 Technology (RAT), including Institute of Electronic and Electrical Engineers (IEEE) 802.11 variants, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), General Packet Radio Service (GPRS), universal mobile telecommunications system (UMTS), LTE variants, code division multiple access (CDMA) 2000, Bluetooth[®], ZigBee[®], Sigfox[®], and the like. For example, in an LTE variant involving an EPC, the AMF 150 may be mapped to an MME, the SMF 155 mapped

to a control plane portion of a PGW and/or to an MME, the UPF maps to an SGW and a user plane portion of the PGW, the UDM/unified data repository (UDR) maps to an HSS, etc.

[0049] In the following descriptions, the term “gNodeB” (gNB) is used for the base station 110 but it is replaceable by any other radio access node, e.g., RAN node, evolved NodeB (eNB), Base Station (BS), Access Point (AP), New Radio (NR), etc. Further, the operations are described
5 mainly in the context of 5G NR. However, the proposed solutions/methods are also equally applicable to other mobile communication systems supporting network slicing.

Management Domains

[0050] Management domains are a collection of resources that have their own management
10 system. A management system is for example any set of management services or their implementations in management functions. Thus, management domains include things such as vendor devices with their own management system, vendor solutions, technical domains such as 3GPP core, 3GPP RAN, cloud domains, data centers, transport networks with their own controllers, operator administrative domains, country domains and so forth.

[0051] **Figure 2A** is a schematic block diagram illustrating a logical representation 200 of
15 management domains. More specifically, Figure 2A shows an example of management domains in a logical deployment representation in an operator network. As described in more detail below, in various examples of the present disclosure, one or more control loops (open or closed) may be used to achieve predetermined goals defined by entities (e.g., operator entities) related to the
20 performance of the mobile network components within the management domains/equipment. In some examples, the systems and method disclosed herein improve management service technology by providing the ability to disable or enable certain control loop actions or configurable attribute changes from being performed by specified control loops or entities within the control loops on specified managed entities.

Figure 2B is a schematic block diagram illustrating an example deployment scenario 250
25 of management domains. More specifically, Figure 2B shows how an example deployment of management domains for an operator that provides service in the US and Germany. Only the German domain is further expanded to show the recursion (e.g., hierarchy) in management domains. Figure 2A is intended to depict an example deployment scenario of management domains
30 as depicted in the logical representation of Figure 2A. However, further domains such as vendor-specific management domains/equipment may exist (not shown). As described in more detail below, in some examples of the present disclosure, one or more control loops (open or closed) may be used to achieve predetermined goals defined by entities (e.g., operator entities) related to the

performance of the mobile network components within the management domains/equipment. In various examples, the systems and method disclosed herein improve management service technology by providing the ability to disable or enable certain control loop actions or configurable attribute changes from being performed by specified control loops or entities within the control loops on specified managed entities.

Control Loops – Open and Closed

[0052] **Figure 3A** is a diagram depicting a control loop (CL) which is an open control loop 305 according to one or more examples of the present disclosure. Figure 3B is a diagram depicting a closed control loop 335 according to one or more examples of the present disclosure. Work in 3GPP Systems Aspects Working Group 5 (3GPP SA5) (TS28.535) and elsewhere defines the two extremes of open and closed control loops – an open control loop 305 as depicted in Figure 3A and a closed control loop 335 as depicted in Figure 3B.

[0053] An open control loop provides for an operator 310 (also referred to herein as an operator entity) to be a part of or interact with at least one of the stages in the control loop 305. Figure 3A depicts stages (entities and services) that monitor, analyze, decide, and execute. An observation producer 315 is depicted as providing input (e.g., measurements) to the control loop 305, 335 and the control loop 305, 335 is depicted as providing output, such as, for example, instructions for execution by the controlled entity 330 and/or changes to configurable parameters of the controlled entity 330. A closed control loop 335 provides for the operator 310 to define a goal for the closed control loop 335 and once configured, the closed control loop 335 runs automatically. Both the open control loop 305 and closed control loop 335 attempt to control the status of a managed object (e.g., controlled entity 320) with the objective to keep the managed object as close as possible to an operator-specified desired state. As described in more detail below, the various examples of the methods and entities (e.g., systems and/or apparatuses) of the present disclosure, describe various features that improve open control loops 305 and closed control loops 335, by providing a management service producer to disable or enable decisions from being made by one or more control loops or entities therein for selected a managed entity.

Closed loop models

[0054] **Figure 3B** is a diagram depicting a closed control loop according to one or more examples of the present disclosure. In various examples, there are multiple models of closed loops 335. Some examples of closed loop models include Observe, Orient, Decide, Act (OODA), Monitor, Analyze, Plan, Execute over a shared Knowledgebase (MAPE-K), and so forth. In some examples, the closed control loops 335 are composed of multiple stages. The number of stages in

various closed control loops is not necessarily fixed. The multiple stages of the closed control loop
335 primarily involve: (i) observation/monitoring of the system; (ii) analysis of the observed
information; (iii) a comparison of the observed information with the desired state; (iv) a decision
(e.g., an action plan) is produced of what action to take based on the comparison; and (v) the
5 execution of those decisions. Various stages of closed control loops 335 may exchange information
with each other over a knowledge base. However, stages may be skipped, or further stages may be
added creating closed loops with any number of stages. Certain stages with particular kinds of
observations of the system, and execution of the action decisions based on a comparison of the
observations may be mandatory.

10 [0055] **Figure 4** is a diagram depicting a sequence scenario 400 for disabling or enabling
control loop decisions, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure.

[0056] Information flows in a typical closed control loop as shown in Figure 4 from TS
28.536 V0.4.0. In Figure 4, the input to the loop is the data concerning the resources used which is
monitored by the control step Monitor. The data maybe be ordered in the data collection and
15 processed information is provided to the analyze and decide phases. The output of the step
“Decide” is a decision (also referred to as an action plan). The decisions or action plans are
transmitted to the Execute control step (or the execution phase) where management functions
implementing management services such as orchestration or resource configuration or
provisioning may break down the selected decision into configuration commands to be sent as
20 intended or planned actions to the managed entity (in this case the resources used by the
communication service). The decisions (e.g., action plans) relate to the intended consequence that
the decision taking management service as part of the control loop would like to achieve.

[0057] The systems and methods described herein relate to the decisions after the ‘analyze’
and ‘decide’ steps which are sent to the ‘execute’ step and more specifically to disabling/enabling
25 such decisions. At some level, the difference between decisions and configurations may be subtle.
For example: a decision refers to the intention (e.g., objective) that is to be achieved (e.g., as a
result of executing the action plan). For example, a decision produced may be to take actions to
increase coverage area, whereas a configuration refers to the exact attribute that is to be modified
as part of the action plane such as for example: change azimuth angle. Thus, a decision refers to
30 what is to be done (e.g., what objective is to be achieved), and an action/configuration refers to
how it is actually implemented or done in a particular situation.

[0058] In various examples, it may be beneficial to disable or enable certain decisions from
being selected (e.g., produced at the decision stage, consumed at the execute stage, or both) by
certain control loops or entities therein. For example, it may be beneficial to disable multiple

control loops or entities from determining an overlapping or a potentially conflicting decision such as a decision to take actions to increase coverage area. Disabling selection of decisions/action plans (e.g., by disabling production at the decide stage and/or consumption at the execute stage) may reduce the number of specific actions and/or configurable attributes than would need to be disabled
5 at the execute stage.

Services/Slices across multiple management domains.

[0059] **Figure 5** is a block diagram illustrating the logical architecture 500 of an operator network with multiple domains having one or more control loops. The E2E management domain (MD) is responsible for managing the E2E Managed Entity. Assume there is one closed control
10 loop in the E2E MD that takes care of ensuring certain aspects (such as for example key performance indicators (KPIs) corresponding to an SLA/SLS of the E2E ME. Within each Management domain, other closed loops take care of the same aspect (KPI) corresponding to a service level agreement (SLA)/ service level specification (SLS) of their respective MEs. The E2E ME would like to coordinate the SLA on an E2E level and not on individual domain level as it is
15 conceivable that closed loops in multiple management domains may see the same issue in their respective MEs and take divergent corrective decisions.

[0060] For example, two neighboring RAN domains may each have closed loops optimizing the coverage in the respective area. The management functions responsible for deciding the scope of the coverage area could take counterproductive measures for the same issue. Assume
20 that both RAN management domains see a coverage hole in an area and decide to increase the coverage area to cover the hole – thereby causing a coverage overlap and thereby causing interference instead. In such a case, coordination of the decisions taken by the closed loops from a higher-level MD (example the E2E MD) control loop to the RAN control loops is required, which coordination may include, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure, the ability
25 to disable or enable selected decisions (e.g., action plans) from being selected (e.g., produced and/or consumed) by one or more control loop or entities therein (e.g., in this situation for example, certain actions or configurable attributes may be disabled from being executed by two or more control loops or entities in the two neighboring RAN domains..

High-level solution

30 [0061] **Figure 6** is a diagram depicting a sequence diagram 600 for disabling or enabling control loop decisions, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure.

[0062] It may be noted by one of skill in the art that various examples may apply to both open control loops and closed control loops.

[0063] A feature and method that enables or disables decisions from the decision phase that a decision-taking management service can perform as part of a control loop. For example, the features in the systems and method disclosed herein may be used to enable an E2E MD control loop (CL) to decisions are responsibility of which management domain CL while the E2E CL is certain that other MDs are not taking any divergent, conflicting, or unnecessary decisions.

[0064] This complete set of features proposed and claimed in this disclosure that may be required on vendor equipment/solutions/management domains that contain control loops include:

[0065] Feature 1 - Optionally provide the list of possible decisions related to a specific managed entity.

[0066] Feature 2 - Disable/Enable specific decisions optionally with specific conditions. Various examples include this feature. Other features support this feature and may, therefore, be optional features.

[0067] Feature 3 - Optionally specify a condition for disabling/enabling such decisions.

[0068] Feature 4 - Optionally provide a notification to the management service consumer (example E2E domain) if the corresponding management service in a management domain would like to execute the disabled decision.

[0069] An example method of how this type of high-level solution may be used is shown in the sequence diagram depicted in Figure 5.

[0070] **Entities in the sequence diagram**

[0071] The **Management Service Consumer** (MnSC) 605 refers to any management function, closed-loop, software, or the E2E management domain, Operator, or the operator dashboard. The entity is primarily a software implementation but could also be human interacting via a dashboard or API. In this example, it is shown as external to MD1 but could also exist internally.

[0072] The **Decision Management Service Producer** (DMSP) 610 is an implementation of the new entity in the management domain as claimed by this invention. The features/capabilities of this new management service can be implemented within other management services' implementation. In this example, it is shown as part of the MD 1 but could also exist externally.

[0073] One of skill in the art may note that Figure 6 shows a single logical entity. However, the sequence depicted in Figure 5 could also be implemented as a collection of entities.

[0074] **Steps of the sequence diagram**

[0075] In various examples, one or more steps HSL1 through HSL8b may be performed.

[0076] At step HLS1, the MnSC 605 can get 615 (e.g., request) information including a list of decisions that can be recommended or enforced by a DMSP. Examples of decisions include any

changes in the behavior of a set of MEs, such as: increasing or decreasing resources of the ME; changing the priorities amongst different MEs; changes in coverage area; changes in requirements for SLA (service level agreement) or SLS (service level specification); changes in QoE of QoS levels (or 5QI levels as in TS23.501); and/or changes in the lifecycle or the states of an ME.

5 [0077] In various examples, the following options may be implemented: the consumer may optionally specify filters related to the list of decisions; and the decisions may be specific to a combination of ME, management function (MF), control loop goal or control loop (Example: All decisions regarding ME1 as part of Closed-loop X1 are disabled).

[0078] At step HLS2, the DMSP 610 returns 620 the possible decisions and whether they
10 are disabled or enabled.

[0079] At step HLS3, the service consumer 605 provides 625 a set of decisions that it would like to disable (or enable). Examples include: (a) disable the possibility of increasing coverage area for RAN node 1-25; (b) disable the decisions to change handovers over certain Xn interfaces; (c) disable the decisions that that increase handover thresholds; and/or (d) disable all
15 decisions on NSI1 (Network slice instance).

[0080] Optionally, conditions for disabling(or enabling) the decisions may be specified. Examples may include: (i) do 3a for 16:00 to 20:00, enable otherwise; (ii) Do 3c if utilization KPI > 90%; and/or do 3c except for Management function in closed loop ID X.

[0081] At step HLS4, the DMSP 610 provides 630 an acknowledgment of the success or
20 failure of the request.

[0082] In various examples, further optional steps may be performed.

[0083] At step HLS5, at some point 635 the DMSP 610 would like to request execution of a disabled decision.

[0084] At step HLS6, the DMSP 610 sends 640 a notification of the request for a disabled
25 decision to the Service consumer 605 that requested the disabling.

[0085] At step HSL7, the Service consumer 605 decides 645 to approve or deny the request.

[0086] At step HSL8a, if the Service consumer 605 decides to Deny, a message 650 that the request is denied is communicated.

30 [0087] At step HSL8b, if the Service consumer decides to Approve, a message 655 that the request is approved is communicated. Optionally with an approval, the decision may be enabled, or the condition associated with the disabling (or enabling) may be changed.

[0088] An example of a management service specification for DMS which supports this idea is shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Example of a management service specification. Each functionality may be specified independently

Decision Configuration Management Service	
Provide a list of possible decisions (O)	Provide the possible list of decision associated with • A managed entity and/or • A management service producer and/or • A closed loop and/or • A management domain • Any combination of the above Additional filters may be specified
Enable/ Disable a set of decisions (M)	Provides an ability for the service consumer to enable or disable decisions. The decision may be specific to • A managed entity and/or • A management service producer and/or • A closed loop and/or • A management domain • Any combination of the above optionally the condition in which this request shall be applicable or not applicable may be specified.
Approve/Deny Decision (O)	An optional ability to receive approval or denial for decisions
Provide a notification for disabled decision requests (O)	A notification to the respective service consumer who disabled the decisions is provided when an execution of the disabled decision is requested. (matching the conditions in the disabling when specified).

[0089] It may be noted by one of skill in the art that these functionalities can be spread over multiple management services specifications and implementations. They are provided here for readability.

[0100] **Figure 7** is a block diagram illustrating a 3GPP SA5-based sequence 700 for disabling a selected set of decisions for a control loop, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure. Figure 7 shows the interaction in a 3GPP management plane. In this example, the DMSP is independently implemented in the management system. The Assurance Control Loop

Consumer (ACLC) disable all decisions regarding NF1 for closed loop 1. The DMSP may be any management service producer in the Analysis step and/or the Decision step of Figure 4.

[0101] In the depicted example, the steps of the process include:

[0102] S1. The assurance control loop consumer (ACLC, example: Operator or another control loop) gets the list of decisions that can be issued from a management service. This refers to step HLS1 of the high-level solution (Figure 6). The other option is that this (e.g., the list of decisions) is already known to the consumer (e.g., the ACLC).

[0103] S2. The DMSP returns the possible decisions. It may optionally return additional information such as

[0104] - disabled or enabled status of the said decisions

[0105] - the conditions under which they are disabled/enabled.

[0106] - which other entities they are specific to, for example: specific to a control loop.

[0107] S3. The ACLC in this instance requests to disable all configurations of NF id 1 by CL id 1.

[0108] S4. Acknowledgment of success or failure.

[0109] ===== Steps after this are optional=====

[0110] S5. (a and b) An entity that is a part of the CL id 1 requests a decision for NF1

[0111] S6. The PSP acting as DMSP notifies the ACLC that a request for decision regarding the NF1 was received from an entity part as part of a CL id 1

[0112] S7. The ACLC considers the notification and may decide to approve or deny the decision.

[0113] S8. (DENY) The ACLC sends a decision denied reply to the DMSP.

[0114] - The request to the Entity (DMSP) in the CL id 1 is denied by the DMSP.

[0115] - The DMSP forwards the denial to the respective control loop entitie(s) e.g., Decision control loop manager.

[0116] (APPROVE) The ACLC sends a decision approved reply to the DMSP.

[0117] - The DMSP forwards the approval to the respective control loop entitie(s) e.g., Decision control loop manager.

[0118] - The DMSP send the decision (e.g., the action plan(s)) to the execution phase producer (e.g., a provisioning service of TS28.531) so that the decision leads to execution of corresponding actions and/or configurations.

[0119] **Figure 8** is a block diagram illustrating an ESTI ZSM-based sequence 800 for disabling a selected set of decision for a control loop, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure. An example based on the ZSM framework is shown in Fig 8. In this example,

only a subset of decisions corresponding to a management function (id MF1) are disabled (step E3 in Figure 8). Therefore, if the MF1 publishes a decision on the integration fabric outside the set disabled decisions (step E5a through E5e of Figure 8) then the integration fabric forwards the decision for execution to the orchestration service producer (as an example in this case). If the requested decision is within the disabled set (step E5f through E8d) of Figure 8 then a notification is sent to the ACLC (step E6) and based on the decision of ACLC (step E7 in Figure 8) the request is approved and sent for execution or denied (steps E8 through E8d).

[0120] **Figure 9** depicts a network equipment apparatus 900 that may be used for communications in an operator network, in which one or more operational entities are managed by a control loop that is at least partially automated and for which selected decisions may be disabled or enabled across in response to a request to disable or enable the decisions, the request made across management domains. The network equipment apparatus 900 may be one example of the base unit 110 or RAN node, described above. Furthermore, the base network equipment apparatus 900 may include a processor 905, a memory 910, an input device 915, an output device 920, and a transceiver 925. In some examples, the input device 915 and the output device 920 are combined into a single device, such as a touchscreen. In certain examples, the network equipment apparatus 900 may not include any input device 915 and/or output device 920. In various examples, the network equipment apparatus 900 may include one or more of: the processor 905, the memory 910, and the transceiver 925, and may not include the input device 915 and/or the output device 920.

[0121] The processor 905, in one example, may include any known controller capable of executing computer-readable instructions and/or capable of performing logical operations. For example, the processor 905 may be a microcontroller, a microprocessor, a CPU, a GPU, an auxiliary processing unit, a FPGA, or a similar programmable controller. In some examples, the processor 905 executes instructions stored in the memory 910 to perform the methods and routines described herein. The processor 905 is communicatively coupled to the memory 910, the input device 915, the output device 920, and the transceiver 925.

[0122] In various examples, the network equipment apparatus 900 is a RAN node (e.g., gNB), as described herein. Here, the processor 905 controls the network equipment apparatus 900 to perform the above-described behaviors.

[0123] The memory 910, in one example, is a computer readable storage medium. In some examples, the memory 910 includes volatile computer storage media. For example, the memory 910 may include a RAM, including dynamic RAM (DRAM), synchronous dynamic RAM (SDRAM), and/or static RAM (SRAM). In some examples, the memory 910 includes non-volatile computer storage media. For example, the memory 910 may include a hard disk drive, a flash

memory, or any other suitable non-volatile computer storage device. In some examples, the memory 910 includes both volatile and non-volatile computer storage media.

[0124] In some examples, the memory 910 stores data related that may be used for communications in an operator network, in which one or more operational entities are managed by a control loop that is at least partially automated and for which selected decisions may be disabled or enabled across in response to a request to disable or enable the decisions, the request made across management domains. For example, memory 910 may store various parameters, configurations, policies, and the like as described above. In certain examples, the memory 910 also stores program code and related data, such as an operating system or other controller algorithms operating on the network equipment apparatus 900.

[0125] The input device 915, in one example, may include any known computer input device including a touch panel, a button, a keyboard, a stylus, a microphone, or the like. In some examples, the input device 915 may be integrated with the output device 920, for example, as a touchscreen or similar touch-sensitive display. In some examples, the input device 915 includes a touchscreen such that text may be input using a virtual keyboard displayed on the touchscreen and/or by handwriting on the touchscreen. In some examples, the input device 915 includes two or more different devices, such as a keyboard and a touch panel.

[0126] The output device 920, in one example, is designed to output visual, audible, and/or haptic signals. In some examples, the output device 920 includes an electronically controllable display or display device capable of outputting visual data to a user. For example, the output device 920 may include, but is not limited to, an LCD, an LED display, an OLED display, a projector, or a similar display device capable of outputting images, text, or the like to a user. As another, non-limiting, example, the output device 920 may include a wearable display separate from, but communicatively coupled to, the rest of the network equipment apparatus 900, such as a smartwatch, smart glasses, a heads-up display, or the like. Further, the output device 920 may be a component of a smartphone, a personal digital assistant, a television, a tablet computer, a notebook (laptop) computer, a personal computer, a vehicle dashboard, or the like.

[0127] In certain examples, the output device 920 includes one or more speakers for producing sound. For example, the output device 920 may produce an audible alert or notification (e.g., a beep or chime). In some examples, the output device 920 includes one or more haptic devices for producing vibrations, motion, or other haptic feedback. In some examples, all or portions of the output device 920 may be integrated with the input device 915. For example, the input device 915 and output device 920 may form a touchscreen or similar touch-sensitive display. In other examples, the output device 920 may be located near the input device 915.

[0128] The transceiver 925 includes at least transmitter 930 and at least one receiver 935. One or more transmitters 930 may be used to communicate with the UE, as described herein. Similarly, one or more receivers 935 may be used to communicate with network functions in the PLMN and/or RAN, as described herein. Although only one transmitter 930 and one receiver 935 are illustrated, the network equipment apparatus 900 may have any suitable number of transmitters 930 and receivers 935. Further, the transmitter(s) 925 and the receiver(s) 930 may be any suitable type of transmitters and receivers.

[0100] **Figure 10** is a flowchart diagram of a method 1000 for disabling or enabling control loop decisions. One improvement of the methods and systems disclosed herein is the ability to disable (and conversely enable) the execution of certain configurations as part of autonomic control loops (open or closed) and the interface to request the same within a management domain/vendor equipment. On disabling the AMSP is configured to automatically raise a notification with detailed status and relevant information when a request for configuration (that has been previously disabled) is received. This enables the coordination of control loops in which multiple control loops may need to align their actions after the execution phase to avoid interference from each other.

[0101] In one or more examples, the method 1000 begins and includes receiving 1005 a request from a consumer of the decision management service producer to disable or enable one or more decisions for one or more managed entities from being selected (e.g., produced/consumed) by the one or more control loops or by one or more entities within the one or more control loops. In various examples the method 1000 further includes determining 1007 whether the request to disable or enable the one or more decisions is currently applicable to the one or more managed entities and is performable by the one or more control loops or entities therein and disabling or enabling 1010 an ability to select the one or more decisions on the one or more managed entities by the one or more control loops or one or more entities within the one or more control loops in response to determining that the request is currently applicable and performable.

[0102] In some examples, the method 1000 includes one or more high level solutions steps HLS1 through HLS8b described above with respect to Figure 6. In various examples, the method 1000 includes one or more 3GPP-based steps S1 through S8b described above with respect to Figure 6 and/or one or more network ETSI ZSM-based steps E1 through E8b described above with respect to Figure 7. Furthermore, in various examples, the method 1000 includes selected combinations of steps described above with respect to Figures 6, 7, and 8.

[0103] In various examples, the method 1000 may be performed by the system 100 depicted above with respect to Figure 1 and/or other mobile network entities, components, or services.

[0104] Examples may be practiced in other specific forms. For example, the following features are disclosed according to one or more examples of the present disclosure:

[0105] 1. A method for enabling or disabling decisions that can be taken by entities in a closed loop.

5 [0106] 2. A management entity providing an interface which may be externally accessible from its management domain to enable requesting any one of : (a) Provide a list of possible decisions (Feature 1, HLS2); (b) Provide an interface to disable/enable those decisions (Feature 2, HLS3); (c) Optionally: provide a specific condition under which the decisions are disabled/enabled (Feature 3, HLS3 optional part); and (d) Provide the ability to notify the DMSP consumer when a
10 disabled decision is requested. (Feature 4, HLS6).

[0107] Also disclosed, in one example, is

[0108] 3. A management entity able to: (a) Get a list of possible decisions specific to various other entities; OR (b) Enable/disable those decisions.

[0109] Various features that may implemented in accordance with various examples of the
15 present disclosure may include the following.

[0110] A system for disabling or enabling control loop decisions is disclosed that, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure, includes a decision management service producer associated with one or more control loops running in one or more management domains of a mobile network, the decision management service producer including a processor,
20 memory, and program code operable to receive a request from a consumer of the decision management service producer to disable or enable one or more decisions for one or more managed entities from being selected by the one or more control loops or by one or more entities within the one or more control loops. The program code is further operable to determine whether the request to disable or enable the one or more decisions is currently applicable to the one or more managed
25 entities and is performable by the one or more control loops or entities therein and to disable or enable an ability to select the one or more decisions on the one or more managed entities by the one or more control loops or one or more entities within the one or more control loops in response to determining that the request is currently applicable and performable.

[0111] In certain examples, in response to an indication that a decision requested by a
30 second control loop of the one or more control loops would conflict with one or more decision selected by a first control loop of the one or more control loops, the decision management service producer disables the ability of the second control loop and/or entities therein to select the indicated decision.

[0112] In various examples, an decision management service producer is further configured to communicate control loop decision information in response to a request from the consumer of the decision management service producer, the control loop decision information including a list of one or more managed entities and the one or more decisions corresponding to the respective managed entities that are available for selection by the one or more control loops or entities within the one or more control loops.

[0113] In certain examples, the list of available decisions further includes a status indicator that indicates whether a selected decision is currently disabled or enabled. In some examples, decisions are selected from: increasing or decreasing resources of the one or more managed entities; changing priorities amongst the one or more managed entities; changes in coverage area; changes in requirements for a service level agreement (SLA) or a service level specification (SLS); changes in quality of experience levels (QoE), changes in quality of service (QoS) levels, changes in 5G QoS Identifier (5QI) levels; changes in the lifecycle or the states of the one or more managed entities, or other available decisions as documented by Third Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) Systems Aspects Working Group 5 (SA5) or by the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) zero-touch network and service management (ZSM) specifications.

[0114] In one or more examples, the request for disable or enable the one or more decisions further includes one or more predetermined conditions configured to trigger the disabling or enabling in response to the one or more predetermined conditions being met.

[0115] In some examples, the predetermined conditions for disabling or enabling the one or more decisions include logical functions based on parameters selected from timer values, loop counts, time-of-day, key performance indicators, selected management functions, threshold crossings, and mathematical or logical combinations thereof.

[0116] In certain examples, the decision management service producer further communicates to the control loop consumer an acknowledgement to indicate whether the request to disable or enable the one or more decisions was successfully performed or not.

[0117] In various examples, the decision management service producer further communicates a notification of a request for selecting a disabled decision to the consumer in response to the request or attempt from the one or more control loops or entities therein to select a disabled decision.

[0118] In some examples, the decision management service producer further communicates a request for approval to select the disabled decision to the consumer in connection with the notification of the disabled decision.

[0119] In certain examples, the system further includes an interface that enables the consumer to provide relevant information for review relating to the disabled decision requested by the one or more control loops or entities therein.

5 [0120] In one or more examples, the decision management service producer further communicates an approval or denial to select the requested disabled decision to a requesting entity in response to receiving a corresponding approval or denial from the consumer for the requested disabled decision to be selected by the one or more control loops or entities therein.

10 [0121] A method for disabling or enabling control loop decisions is disclosed that, according to one or more examples of the present disclosure, includes receiving a request from a consumer of a decision management service producer to disable or enable one or more control loop decisions for one or more managed entities from being selected by one or more control loops or by one or more entities within the one or more control loops. In various examples, the method further includes determining whether the request to disable or enable the one or more decisions is currently applicable to the one or more managed entities and is performable by the one or more control loops
15 or entities therein and disabling or enabling an ability to execute the one or more control loop decisions on the one or more managed entities by the one or more control loops or one or more entity within the one or more control loops in response to determining that the request is currently applicable and performable.

20 [0122] In certain examples, the methods further includes disabling the ability of a second control loop and/or entities therein to select a decision in response to an indication that a decision requested by the second control loop of the one or more control loops would conflict with one or more decisions selected by a first control loop of the one or more control loops.

25 [0123] In various examples, the method further includes communicating control loop decision information in response to a request from the consumer of a decision management service producer, the control loop decision information including a list of one or more managed entities and the one or more decisions corresponding to the respective managed entities that are available for selection by the one or more control loops or entities within the one or more control loops.

[0124] In some examples, the list of available decisions further includes a status indicator that indicates whether a selected decision is currently disabled or enabled.

30 [0125] In one or more examples, the decisions are selected from: increasing or decreasing resources of the one or more managed entities; changing priorities amongst the one or more managed entities; changes in coverage area; changes in requirements for a service level agreement (SLA) or a service level specification (SLS); changes in quality of experience levels, changes in QoE of quality of service (QoS) levels or changes in 5G QoS Identifier (5QI) levels; changes in

the lifecycle or the states of the one or more managed entities, or other available decisions as documented by Third Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) Systems Aspects Working Group 5 (SA5) or by the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) Zero-touch network and Service Management (ZSM) specifications.

5 [0126] In certain examples, the request for disable or enable the one or more decisions further includes one or more predetermined conditions configured to trigger the disabling or enabling in response to the one or more predetermined conditions being met.

 [0127] In some examples, the predetermined conditions for disabling or enabling the actions and/or changing the attributes include logical functions based on parameters selected from
10 timer values, loop counts, time-of-day, key performance indicators, selected management functions, threshold crossings, and mathematical or logical combinations thereof.

 [0128] In various examples, the decision management service producer further communicates to the control loop consumer an acknowledgement to indicate whether the request to disable or enable the one or more selected decisions was successfully produced or not.

15 [0129] In one or more examples, the decision management service producer further communicates a notification of a request for executing a disabled decision to the consumer in response to the request or attempt from the one or more control loops or entities therein to select a disabled decision.

 [0130] In various examples, the decision management service producer further
20 communicates a request for approval to produce the disabled decision and/or to change a condition associated with the disabling or enabling of the decision to the consumer in connection with the notification of the disabled decision.

 [0131] In some examples, the method further includes enabling the consumer to provide relevant information for review relating to the disabled decision requested by the one or more
25 control loops or entities therein.

 [0132] In certain examples, the method further includes communicating an approval or denial to produce the requested disabled decision to a requesting entity in response to receiving a corresponding approval or denial from the consumer for the requested disabled decision to be selected by the one or more control loops or entities therein.

30 [0133] The described examples are to be considered in all respects only as illustrative and not restrictive. The scope of the invention is, therefore, indicated by the appended claims rather than by the foregoing description. All changes which come within the meaning and range of equivalency of the claims are to be embraced within their scope.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A system comprising a decision management service producer associated with one or more control loops running in one or more management domains of a mobile network, the decision management service producer comprising a processor, memory, and program code operable to:
 - receive a request from a consumer of the decision management service producer to disable or enable one or more decisions for one or more managed entities from being selected by the one or more control loops or by one or more entities within the one or more control loops;
 - determine whether the request to disable or enable the one or more decisions is currently applicable to the one or more managed entities and is performable by the one or more control loops or entities therein; and
 - disable or enable an ability to select the one or more decisions on the one or more managed entities by the one or more control loops or one or more entities within the one or more control loops in response to determining that the request is currently applicable and performable.
2. The system of claim 1, wherein in response to an indication that a decision requested by a second control loop of the one or more control loops would conflict with one or more decision selected by a first control loop of the one or more control loops, the decision management service producer disables the ability of the second control loop and/or entities therein to select the indicated decision.
3. The system of claim 1, wherein the decision management service producer is further configured to communicate control loop decision information in response to a request from the consumer of the decision management service producer, the control loop decision information comprising a list of one or more managed entities and the one or more decisions corresponding to the respective managed entities that are available for selection by the one or more control loops or entities within the one or more control loops.

4. The system of claim 3, wherein the list of available decisions further comprises a status indicator that indicates whether a selected decision is currently disabled or enabled.
5. The system of claim 1, wherein decisions are selected from: increasing or decreasing resources of the one or more managed entities; changing priorities amongst the one or more managed entities; changes in coverage area; changes in requirements for a service level agreement (SLA) or a service level specification (SLS); changes in quality of experience levels (QoE), changes in quality of service (QoS) levels, changes in 5G QoS Identifier (5QI) levels; changes in the lifecycle or the states of the one or more managed entities, other available decisions as documented by Third Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) Systems Aspects Working Group 5 (SA5) or by the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) zero-touch network and service management (ZSM) specifications; and combinations thereof.
6. The system of claim 1, wherein the request for disable or enable the one or more decisions further comprises one or more predetermined conditions configured to trigger the disabling or enabling in response to the one or more predetermined conditions being met.
7. The system of claim 6, wherein the predetermined conditions for disabling or enabling the one or more decisions comprise logical functions based on parameters selected from timer values, loop counts, time-of-day, key performance indicators, selected management functions, threshold crossings, and mathematical or logical combinations thereof.
8. The system of claim 1, wherein the decision management service producer further communicates to the control loop consumer an acknowledgement to indicate whether the request to disable or enable the one or more decisions was successfully performed or not.
9. The system of claim 1, wherein the decision management service producer further communicates a notification of a request for selecting a disabled decision to the consumer in response to the request or attempt from the one or more control loops or entities therein to select a disabled decision.

10. The system of claim 9, wherein the decision management service producer further communicates a request for approval to select the disabled decision to the consumer in connection with the notification of the disabled decision.
11. The system of claim 10, further comprising an interface that enables the consumer to provide relevant information for review relating to the disabled decision requested by the one or more control loops or entities therein.
12. The system of claim 10, wherein the decision management service producer further communicates an approval or denial to select the requested disabled decision to a requesting entity in response to receiving a corresponding approval or denial from the consumer for the requested disabled decision to be selected by the one or more control loops or entities therein.
13. A method comprising:
- receiving a request from a consumer of a decision management service producer to disable or enable one or more control loop decisions for one or more managed entities from being selected by one or more control loops or by one or more entities within the one or more control loops;
 - determining whether the request to disable or enable the one or more decisions is currently applicable to the one or more managed entities and is performable by the one or more control loops or entities therein; and
 - disabling or enabling an ability to select the one or more control loop decisions on the one or more managed entities by the one or more control loops or one or more entity within the one or more control loops in response to determining that the request is currently applicable and performable.
14. The method of claim 13, further comprising disabling the ability of a second control loop and/or entities therein to select a decision in response to an indication that a decision requested by the second control loop of the one or more control loops would conflict with one or more decisions selected by a first control loop of the one or more control loops.

15. The method of claim 13, further comprising communicating control loop decision information in response to a request from the consumer of a decision management service producer, the control loop decision information comprising a list of one or more managed entities and the one or more decisions corresponding to the respective managed entities
5 that are available for selection by the one or more control loops or entities within the one or more control loops.
16. The method of claim 15, wherein the list of available decisions further comprises a status indicator that indicates whether a selected decision is currently disabled or enabled.
17. The method of claim 13, wherein the decisions are selected from: increasing or decreasing
10 resources of the one or more managed entities; changing priorities amongst the one or more managed entities; changes in coverage area; changes in requirements for a service level agreement (SLA) or a service level specification (SLS); changes in quality of experience levels, changes in QoE of quality of service (QoS) levels or changes in 5G QoS Identifier (5QI) levels; changes in the lifecycle or the states of the one or more
15 managed entities, other available decisions as documented by Third Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) Systems Aspects Working Group 5 (SA5) or by the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) Zero-touch network and Service Management (ZSM) specifications; and combinations thereof.
18. The method of claim 13, wherein the request for disable or enable the one or more decisions
20 further comprises one or more predetermined conditions configured to trigger the disabling or enabling in response to the one or more predetermined conditions being met.
19. The method of claim 18, wherein the predetermined conditions for disabling or enabling the actions and/or changing the attributes comprise logical functions based on parameters
25 selected from timer values, loop counts, time-of-day, key performance indicators, selected management functions, threshold crossings, and mathematical or logical combinations thereof.
20. The method of claim 13, wherein the decision management service producer further communicates to the control loop consumer an acknowledgement to indicate whether the

request to disable or enable the one or more selected decisions was successfully selected or not.

21. The method of claim 13, wherein the decision management service producer further communicates a notification of a request for selecting a disabled decision to the consumer
5 in response to the request or attempt from the one or more control loops or entities therein to select a disabled decision.
22. The method of claim 21, wherein the decision management service producer further communicates a request for approval to select the disabled decision and/or to change a condition associated with the disabling or enabling of the decision to the consumer in
10 connection with the notification of the disabled decision.
23. The method of claim 22, further comprising enabling the consumer to provide relevant information for review relating to the disabled decision requested by the one or more control loops or entities therein.
24. The method of claim 22, further comprising communicating an approval or denial to select
15 the requested disabled decision to a requesting entity in response to receiving a corresponding approval or denial from the consumer for the requested disabled decision to be selected by the one or more control loops or entities therein.






FIG. 1



Logical Representation Of Management Domains

FIG. 2A



Example Deployment Scenario Of Management Domains

FIG. 2B






Fig. 3A

Fig. 3B




Logical Model Of A Closed Loop (e.g., As Adopted In TS28.536 V0.4.0)

Fig. 4




Closed Loops Across Management Domains

Fig. 5



Sequence Diagram For Disabling Or Enabling Control Loop Decisions


Fig. 6



SA5-based example of disabling decisions for a control loop

Fig. 7

800



ETSI ZSM-based example of disabling a set of decisions for a management function

FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/IB2021/056158

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. H04L12/24
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, INSPEC

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 2 490 088 A2 (INVENSYS SYS INC [US]) 22 August 2012 (2012-08-22)	1,3-7, 13,15-19
Y	paragraph [0032] paragraph [0035] - paragraph [0037] paragraph [0059] - paragraph [0062] paragraph [0082] - paragraph [0083] figures 1, 5	2,8-12, 14,20-24
A	----- US 2020/014581 A1 (AARON JEFFREY [US] ET AL) 9 January 2020 (2020-01-09) paragraph [0006] paragraph [0025] paragraph [0032] - paragraph [0033] paragraph [0041] - paragraph [0044] ----- -/-	1-24



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 September 2021

Date of mailing of the international search report

14/09/2021

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Donnini, Carlo Luca

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/IB2021/056158

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	SAKATA KOSUKE ET AL: "Evaluation of assurance closed loop PoC for achieving scheduled maintenance in telecommunication carrier networks", 2019 20TH ASIA-PACIFIC NETWORK OPERATIONS AND MANAGEMENT SYMPOSIUM (APNOMS), IEICE, 18 September 2019 (2019-09-18), pages 1-4, XP033647987, DOI: 10.23919/APNOMS.2019.8892854 [retrieved on 2019-11-06] I. INTRODUCTION; B. Autonomous control loop (closed loop) in network operation; III. PROPOSING AUTONOMOUS CONTROL LOOP METHOD; A. Features of the proposed method. -----	1-24
Y	US 6 173 346 B1 (WALLACH WALTER AUGUST [US] ET AL) 9 January 2001 (2001-01-09) column 22, line 10 - line 37 figure 17 -----	8,20
Y	US 2015/081043 A1 (KIM YONGBUM [US] ET AL) 19 March 2015 (2015-03-19) paragraph [0069] - paragraph [0074] figure 4 -----	2,14
Y	US 2019/321973 A1 (MEIER PHILIP [US] ET AL) 24 October 2019 (2019-10-24) paragraph [0113] - paragraph [0128] paragraph [0141] - paragraph [0149] figures 5,7 -----	9-12, 21-24
A	"Versatile Autmated Micro Rector System for Catalyst Evaluation ED - Darl Kuhn", IP.COM, IP.COM INC., WEST HENRIETTA, NY, US, 5 June 2012 (2012-06-05), XP013151643, ISSN: 1533-0001 System Control and Data Acquisition ----- -/--	1-24

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/IB2021/056158

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	CHINA MOBILE RESEARCH INST ET AL: "Proposed Addition of Communication Pattern Options in ENI-005 Architecture", ETSI DRAFT; ENI(20)014_043R1, EUROPEAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS STANDARDS INSTITUTE (ETSI), 650, ROUTE DES LUCIOLES ; F-06921 SOPHIA-ANTIPOLIS ; FRANCE , vol. ISG ENI Experiential Networked Intelligence 19 June 2020 (2020-06-19), pages 1-7, XP014370381, Retrieved from the Internet: URL:docbox.etsi.org/ISG/ENI/05-Contribution s/2020/ENI(20)014_043r1_Proposed_Addition _of_Communication_Pattern_Options_in_ENI-0 0.docx [retrieved on 2020-06-19] 4.4.2.5.1 Introduction -----	1-24

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/IB2021/056158

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 2490088	A2	22-08-2012	EP	2490088 A2		22-08-2012
			US	2012215326 A1		23-08-2012

US 2020014581	A1	09-01-2020	US	2020014581 A1		09-01-2020
			US	2020351148 A1		05-11-2020

US 6173346	B1	09-01-2001	NONE			

US 2015081043	A1	19-03-2015	NONE			

US 2019321973	A1	24-10-2019	US	2015217449 A1		06-08-2015
			US	2016279790 A1		29-09-2016
			US	2018099409 A1		12-04-2018
			US	2019321973 A1		24-10-2019
			WO	2015116543 A1		06-08-2015
