

(51) International Patent Classification:
H04R 25/00 (2006.01)(21) International Application Number:
PCT/NZ2012/000079(22) International Filing Date:
1 June 2012 (01.06.2012)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
61/491,983 1 June 2011 (01.06.2011) US(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **PHITEK SYSTEMS LIMITED** [NZ/NZ]; Level 4, Data Dimension Building, 2 Kingdon Street, Newmarket, Auckland, 1023 (NZ).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **DARLINGTON, Paul** [NZ/NZ]; c/- Level 4, Axon Building, 2 Kingdon Street, Newmarket, Auckland, 1023 (NZ). **AZMI, Yacine** [NZ/NZ]; c/- Level 4, Axon Building, 2 Kingdon Street, Newmarket, Auckland, 1023 (NZ). **LEFEBVRE, Mickael Bernard Andre** [FR/NZ]; c/- Level 4, Axon Building, 2Kingdon Street, Newmarket, Auckland, 1023 (NZ). **HE-WITT, Oliver Michael James** [NZ/NZ]; c/- Level 4, Axon Building, 2 Kingdon Street, Newmarket, Auckland, 1023 (NZ).(74) Agent: **Baldwins Intellectual Property**; P O Box 5999, Wellesley Street, Auckland, 1141 (NZ).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: IN-EAR DEVICE INCORPORATING ACTIVE NOISE REDUCTION




Figure 1

(57) Abstract: An in-ear device incorporating active noise reduction has a housing (1) adapted for location in or adjacent to an auditory canal (3). The housing (1) contains a driver (2) and an acoustic path is provided from the driver (2) to an outlet (4) of the device. A microphone (6) and an acoustic impedance (7) are provided in the acoustic path. The impedance (7) increases the stability of the device.



TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, —
ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

*before the expiration of the time limit for amending the
claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of
amendments (Rule 48.2(h))*

Published:

— *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

IN-EAR DEVICE INCORPORATING ACTIVE NOISE REDUCTION

Field of the Invention

This invention relates to in-ear devices incorporating active noise reduction. Such devices include but are not limited to earphones, "in-ear monitors", hearing aids and similar assisted listening devices. Moreover, the term "in-ear" includes devices that may be partially located in the human auditory canal.

Background

The active noise reduction functionality relevant to the present invention is realized using "feedback" or hybrid (a combination of feedback and feed-forward) control architectures, in which a or a plurality of sensors which include but are not limited to a microphone is located inboard (i.e. closer to the wearer's ear) of the "receiver" (miniature loudspeaker or driver) in the device. The output of the microphone is used to provide the observation required for feedback (or equivalent) control of the pressure in the ear. Those skilled in the art will understand that systems using pure "feed-forward" controllers do not require the presence of such an inboard microphone.

The in-ear device typically has a housing in which the driver and microphone are located, and which provides an acoustic path from the driver to the outlet of the in-ear device. The outlet is in use located in the ear canal, so that the acoustic signal from the outlet can be delivered to the tympanic membrane (also known as the ear drum).

Positioning of a sensing microphone inboard of the driver requires the microphone is located in the acoustic path between the driver and the outlet. Thus the sound generated by the driver is required to pass around the partial obstacle constituted by the microphone (the body of which is acoustically opaque) in travelling to the ear drum. In existing constructions sufficient space is left around the microphone so that there is no significant acoustic impedance.

The considerations at hand for designing in-ear devices having active noise reduction using feedback control are very different to those present with headphones, or feed-forward architectures. In particular, stability is an issue as the load condition of the device can greatly affect the open loop transfer function (OLTF). This dynamic is the main constraint during the design of the active noise reduction functionality performance of the device. In fact, the

larger the dynamic of the OLTF the larger the stability margin of the closed loop system must be in order to ensure the robustness of the active noise reduction functionality.

Further miniaturisation is only exacerbating these issues. For example, commonly used electrodynamic drivers see their source impedance increasing with the inverse of the square of the diaphragm area. Additional measures must therefore be taken to ensure the stability and performance of the system since they become increasingly sensitive to their loads. In addition, moving the electronics required to create a feedback controller, or part thereof, inside the housing would require considerable miniaturisation of the acoustic system to achieve a decrease in overall size of the device and consequently a carefully tuned OLTF would be required to reduce the necessary controller complexity to design a high performance noise cancellation system. The use of acoustic impedances as described in embodiments of the present invention provides a solution for tuning the OLTF for a miniaturised in-ear device incorporating active noise reduction through feedback or hybrid control architectures.

Object

It is an object of the invention to provide improved active noise cancellation performance in an in-ear device or to at least provide the public with a useful alternative to existing devices.

Other objects of the invention may become apparent from the following description, which is given by way of example only.

Summary of the Invention

In one aspect the invention provides an in-ear device comprising:

a housing adapted for location in or adjacent to an auditory canal, the housing having an acoustic outlet for location in the auditory canal;

a driver provided in the housing;

an acoustic path within the housing extending from the driver to the outlet;

a microphone provided in the acoustic path between the driver and the outlet, and

a high acoustic impedance provided in the acoustic path.

In one embodiment the high acoustic impedance is such that the impedance of the device from the driver input to the microphone output over a selected audio frequency range is greater than the impedance of the driver over the selected audio frequency range.

In one embodiment the frequency range comprises the mid-range audio frequencies.

5 In one embodiment the frequency range is 1kHz to 2kHz.

In one embodiment the frequency range is 200Hz to 2kHz.

In one embodiment the frequency range is 1kHz to 2.5kHz.

In one embodiment the acoustic impedance is provided by a constriction in the acoustic path.

10 In one embodiment the acoustic impedance is provided at a periphery of the microphone. Preferably the impedance is provided between the periphery of the microphone and a wall of the device.

In another embodiment the acoustic impedance is provided between the microphone and the outlet.

15 In one embodiment the impedance comprises an acoustic resistance

In one embodiment the impedance is selected to improve stability of the device when used in an active noise reduction feedback or hybrid control architecture.

In one embodiment the impedance is selected to attenuate noise from a source external to the device, also referred to as passive attenuation.

20 In one embodiment the impedance comprises a plurality of pathways arranged around the periphery of the microphone. Preferably the pathways are parallel with the axis of symmetry of the microphone. Preferably the multiple pathways are disposed in a regular distribution around the circumference of the microphone.

In another aspect the invention provides an in-ear device comprising:

25 a housing adapted for location in or adjacent to the auditory ear canal, the housing having an acoustic outlet for location in the auditory canal;

a driver provided in the housing;

an acoustic path within the housing extending from the driver to the outlet;

a microphone provided in the acoustic path between the driver and the outlet;

a feedback controller for providing a signal to the driver depending upon a signal received from the microphone in order to cancel noise sensed by the microphone, and;

5 an acoustic impedance provided in the acoustic path adapted to limit the dynamic of the system and improve stability of the device.

In one embodiment the high acoustic impedance is such that the impedance of the device from the driver input to the microphone output over a selected audio frequency range is greater than the impedance of the driver over the selected audio frequency range.

In one embodiment the frequency range comprises the mid-range audio frequencies.

10 In another aspect the invention provides a method of improving the stability of an in-ear device having:

a housing adapted for location in or adjacent to the auditory ear canal, the housing having an acoustic outlet for location in the auditory canal;

a driver;

15 an acoustic path extending from the driver to the outlet;

a microphone provided in the acoustic path between the driver and the outlet; and

a feedback controller for providing a signal to the driver depending upon a signal received from the microphone in order to cancel noise sensed by the microphone,

20 the method comprising providing an acoustic impedance in the acoustic path which is sufficient to improve the stability of the device.

In one embodiment the method includes the steps of determining the impedance of the driver over a selected audio frequency range, and selecting the acoustic impedance such that the impedance of the device from the driver input to the microphone output is greater than the impedance of the driver over the selected audio frequency range.

25 In one embodiment the frequency range comprises the mid-range audio frequencies.

Other aspects of the invention will be apparent from the following description.

Drawing Description

One or more embodiments of the invention will be described below with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a diagrammatic cross-sectional view of an in-ear device in use in conjunction with a human auditory canal.

5 **Figure 2** is a representation of the arrangement of Figure 1 in which transmitters are represented as two point networks, connecting electrical signals to the acoustic domain.

Figure 3 is a diagrammatic cross-sectional view of an in-ear device in use in conjunction with a human auditory canal.

10 **Figure 4A** is a diagrammatic cross-section through a capsule containing a driver and sensing microphone for implementation within an in-ear device. The arrangement is also shown in the end elevation.

Figure 4B is an end elevation of the capsule construction shown in Figure 4A

15 **Figure 5** is a plot of the open loop transfer function of a device such as that shown in Figures 4A and 4B showing both magnitude and phase as a function of frequency when the present invention is used as impedance modifier.

Figure 6 demonstrates the effects of additional resistance on absolute OLTF differences.

20 **Figures 7 and 8** are plots of the OLTF and are OLTF absolute differences (correlated to stability) respectively when measured under two significant loading conditions. Note "optimized value" refers to the desired complex acoustic impedance arrangement described in here.

Figure 9 is a diagrammatic view in cross-section of an in-ear device according to the present invention in use and in conjunction with the human ear canal.

25 **Figure 10 and 11** is a plot of the OLTF showing the difference in magnitude when what is essentially an acoustic resistance is used when coupled to the IEC711 ear simulator and compared to the blocked pipe condition and the OLTF absolute differences (correlated to stability) respectively.

Figure 12 shows a plot of impedance against frequency for a typical deaver for an in-ear device, the IEC711 standard load, and an in-ear device coupled with the IEC711 load.

30 **Description of One or More Embodiments**

The present invention relates specifically to the design of the acoustic path or conduit between the driver and the outlet of the device. In some embodiments the invention is realised in the design of the conduits / passageways through which sound is conducted around the microphone. The acoustic impedance of these elements may be designed so as to engineer the electro-acoustic transfer function between the input to the driver and the output from the microphone, which constitutes (a component of) the "open-loop transfer function" (OLTF) of the "system-under-control" or "plant" (to use the terminology of automatic control). This transfer function is a key determinant of system stability and noise cancelling performance. The desired improvement in robustness of the closed loop system is achieved by decreasing the dynamic (i.e. the variation) of the OLTF with regard to vulnerability and sensitivity of the earphone to varying load conditions. Those skilled in the art will understand that a device designed within the ambit of the present invention will exhibit improvements in the active noise reduction functionality performance compared to the same system outside it.

In one embodiment, the present invention teaches the deliberate design of acoustic path(s) around the inboard microphone of an in-ear device in order to introduce desirable properties to the overall system, specifically in terms of robust controllability.

Figure 1 shows a first embodiment of an in-ear device (1), comprising a driver (2), mounted in such a way as to be positioned in or near to the opening of the external auditory meatus (3). Sounds generated by the driver are conducted into the meatus through an acoustic network (4, 7, 8, 9) comprising at least some form of waveguide element. This acoustic network is shown with an acoustic resistance in order to further tune the impedance (8). The system may be coupled to the ear in such a way as to form an intended seal by a "tip" or "grommet" component (5).

It has been shown to be beneficial in many noisy environments that the device be capable of actively cancelling, (or at least substantially reducing) sounds that propagate by various paths from external ambient noise fields to the ear. This can usefully be achieved by control strategies in which there is direct observation of the pressures within the (partially) sealed system comprising the device and the remaining volume of the wearer's meatus. For convenience, such observation is provided by a microphone (6) incorporated within the body of the device.

The interaction between the acoustic network constituted by the acoustic output "port" or outlet of the device (4) (which embodies a substantially inductive acoustic impedance) and the volume of air in the meatus (3) (which behaves to first order of approximation as a compliance) is known to exhibit non-trivial acoustic behaviour, introducing a "Helmholtz"

resonance. This has been identified as means to optimise the performance of an Active Noise Reduction (ANR) enabled system, by favourably influencing the transfer function between receiver input and microphone output ($V_{mic}/V_{receiver}$ of Figure 1), as taught in International Patent publication WO 2007/054807 which is included herein by reference. This transfer function, $V_{mic}/V_{receiver}$, constitutes a component of the "open loop" in an active control application and is directly important to stability and performance. A feedback controller 11 is shown in Figure 1. Controller 11 may also be provided within the device, for example immediately behind the driver, or adjacent to the microphone.

The present invention addresses the path(s) by which sound is conducted around the microphone (7). These paths express a series acoustic impedance, the optimisation of which constitutes another means for adjusting the overall acoustic (and electro-acoustic) performance of the device, with consequent impact on system stability and performance. The existence of such paths is unique to those applications where an inboard microphone is present (typically those in which it is intended to apply feedback control, hybrid feedback/feed-forward control, or adaptive control).

There are a number of options available for modelling the OLTF of the system. One of the ways to model the OLTF of the system is further illustrated by Figure 2, in which the transducers (2, 6) are represented as Two Port networks, connecting the electrical signals to the acoustic domain. The acoustic network is comprised of the volume upstream of the microphone (here represented as the shunting impedance 8), the acoustic paths around the microphone (shown here as the series impedance 7), the volume downstream of the microphone (the shunting impedance 9), the outlet port (4) and the acoustic load presented by the ear (10). It is the deliberate manipulation of the acoustics of the pathway impedance around the microphone, represented to first-order of approximation by the impedance (7), in order to introduce desirable features to the transfer function OLTF, $V_{mic} / V_{receiver}$, which is the subject of this embodiment. Examining the system as a Two Port network allows simulations to be extended beyond the reach of lumped parameter models and allows for more accurate determination of the impedance modifiers necessary to enhance the performance of the closed loop system.

These impedance modifiers can be, but are not limited to, path constrictions, expressing both acoustic inductance and resistance. In order to make these impedances sufficiently large to have significance to the overall system dynamics, the paths typically have small cross-sectional area (with carefully defined aspect ratio) and specified length. They have

been found to have one embodiment as a series of "slits", regularly disposed around the periphery of the microphone, as discussed further below with reference to Figures 4A and 4B.

The slits referred to above can be used in embodiments where the microphone faces toward the driver, see Figure 1, and in embodiments where the microphone faces away from the driver.

Although some of the examples taught in this specification relate to the case in which the microphone is intentionally sensitive to pressures "upstream" of the impedance embodied by the acoustic path(s) around the microphone (as depicted in Figures 1 & 2), the present invention does teach that it is possible to engineer the passage of sound around the microphone in such a way as to introduce desirable acoustic path impedances in those cases where the microphone is sensitive to pressures downstream of the acoustic path(s) around the microphone as shown in Figure 3.

An embodiment in which the acoustic path around the microphone is provided in the form of engineered slits is shown in Figure 4. Referring to that Figure, the three slits (7) are formed between the microphone and an inner housing wall. The microphone in this embodiment faces toward the driver (2), but could alternatively face away from the driver. In the former case the slits can be used for creating a high impedance load within the acoustic path. As exhibited in the Figures, having the microphone facing towards the driver offers the designer the opportunity to create an impedance loading a volume between the driver and the microphone.

The addition of the high impedance in the acoustic path and having the microphone facing towards the driver dampens the Helmholtz resonance, providing a smoother, more regular and larger phase increase, whilst reducing the magnitude and phase differences in the OLTF for a number of key loading conditions, which are typically found during the use of such a product. The former aspect is illustrated in Figure 5 looking at the OLTF (IEC711 coupled system) for a number of impedances. The latter is illustrated in Figure 6 by analyzing the magnitude and phase difference for IEC711 and blocked pipe boundary conditions (i.e. a blocked outlet), which are key conditions when assessing stability of the system. IEC711 refers to a standard which is used for modelling the acoustic load behaviour of the human ear.

As can be seen, the addition of the impedance increases the performance of the system and improves the stability, although the optimization of this last point is discussed next. Referring again to Figures 4A and 4B, where the front acoustics is considered, it can be seen that a

number of physical dimensions are available to the designer. Although best results are found with the microphone facing towards the driver, this is also considered as a design parameter and ideal dimensions could be found for each microphone orientation. These should be varied, including the value of the resistive path, to limit the dynamic of the OLTF of the system and therefore increase the stability of the closed loop system for a number of loading conditions of the device. These can include various:

a) outlet port geometries (dimensions and associated damping/inductance implicitly included in such definition); and

b) outlet port loading conditions, with the two typical cases used being:

IEC711 or normal wear load condition

Blocked outlet which aims to simulate non- deal load conditions

This is illustrated in Figure 7 and Figure 8 for the OLTF and OLTF absolute differences (correlated to stability) respectively, where outlet port dimensions are also part of the optimization process in this particular implementation of the optimization method.

It should be noted that the practical implementation of the "optimized" internal dimensions and transmittance properties must account for:

(i) the effective damping on the frequency response of the system; and

(ii) the "noise cancelling decoupling" that can occur between the noise cancelling performance observed at the error microphone of the device and observed at IEC711 microphone in this illustration or experienced by the user in general use.

The embodiments described above teach the use of the inboard microphone as an obstructing object, around which we establish sound-carrying "conduits", the acoustics of which are designed to optimize other features of the closed loop system and enhance its performance. In the embodiments described below these engineered impedances are not necessarily "around" the microphone, but are located at other (or additional) locations in the acoustic path between the driver and the outlet port.

Referring to Figure 9, an embodiment is shown in which an aperture provided between the microphone and the outlet provides the necessary high impedance. Although the construction is shown as a single aperture, those skilled in the art will appreciate that it may take a variety of forms including a plurality of apertures.



Figure 10 and 11 illustrate the system OLTF under a closed outlet load condition and the OLTF in the IEC711 with and without the designed acoustic impedance and OLTF absolute differences (correlated to stability) respectively, where no other parameters were changed.


The addition of engineered acoustic high impedances in the acoustic path modifies the OLTF dynamics. It reduces the difference between the wearing load conditions, as illustrated in this example when coupled with the IEC711 ear simulator, and under closed pipe load condition. The controller can therefore be designed with smaller but still sufficient stability margins to cope with the reduced range of realisable OLTF and/or increase the useable feedback gain with a constant stability margin and/or widen the frequency range covered by the noise cancelation function.

As outlined above, the Two Port representation provides a convenient model to express the system in terms of transmittance and impedances, as approximations of the loads and source impedance of the different parts of the acoustic system can be easily calculated. As an example, in cases where a multiplicity of conduits are employed, their acoustic impedances will (to first order of approximation) act in parallel. It is convenient (though not necessary) that the dimensions (and, therefore, acoustic impedance) of each of a multiplicity of such pathways are equal.

An introduction to the TwoPort network method is shown in Table 1 below. Further information is available in M E Van Valkenberg **Network analysis**, 3rd ed., Prentice Hall (1974).

Table 1

Name	Circuit Representation	TwoPort Matrix Representation
Series Impedance A		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & z_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
Parallel Impedance B		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{z_2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Potential Divider: Combination of the parallel and series $C = A \times B$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{z_1}{z_2} & z_1 \\ \frac{1}{z_2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
--	---	--

A TwoPort representation of an electrodynamic loudspeaker (other types of transducers have other Twoport representations) where the inputs are the usual electrical variables and outputs are the usual acoustical variables is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{V}{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Z_{EB}S}{Bl} & \frac{Z_m Z_{EB} + (Bl)^2}{Bl} \\ \frac{S}{Bl} & \frac{Z_m}{Bl} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p}{u} \end{bmatrix}$$

In which:

- V and i are the electrical variables
- Z_{EB} is the blocked electrical impedance
- Z_m is the mechanical impedance
- p, the pressure, and u, the diaphragm velocity are the acoustical variables
- All other symbols have their usual meaning as those skilled in the art will recognise

Further information can be found in M Colloms & P Darlington, **High Performance Loudspeakers**, 6th ed., John Wiley, 2005

The source impedance Z_{source} of the driver can be calculated as:

$$Z_{source} = \frac{p}{u}$$

The two Port method can be used to characterise acoustic networks. Example of a Two Port of a uniform lossless acoustic waveguide of section S and length L, has the acoustic variables at each end related by a Two Port in which k is the wave number:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_0 \\ U_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(kL) & \frac{j\rho_0 c}{S} \sin(kL) \\ \frac{jS}{\rho_0 c} \sin(kL) & \cos(kL) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_L \\ U_L \end{bmatrix}$$

The elements of an unknown Two Port can be determined performing some measurements according to Egolf, D.P., and Leonard, R.G. (1977) "Experimental scheme for analyzing the dynamic behavior of electro-acoustic transducers," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 62, 1013-1023. Also, many acoustic

elements and their lumped parameters equivalent circuits are showed in J. Borwick **Loudspeaker and headphone handbook**, 3rd ed., 2001, 9780240515786, p588.

The introduction of one or more high impedance pathways within the body of an in-ear device increases the overall series impedance of the device. This has the generally
5 beneficial effect of reducing the transmission of unwanted noise through the body of the device to the ear (i.e. it will increase the passive attenuation of the device). This is particularly important in the case of dynamic receivers, which may require openings to the rear of their diaphragm in order to avoid undesirable high-compliance loading on the diaphragm. Sound from an external ambient noise field can pass through these openings,
10 through the diaphragm and onward to the ear. The introduction of high impedance obstacles in this subsequent path to the ear is seen to afford means to control the level of attenuation provided by this noise transmission path.

The introduction of the engineered acoustic conduits around the microphone increases the acoustic source impedance of the device. Note the small drivers used in these
15 implementations have high source impedance (in the order of 5.6M Rayl compared to typically 415 Rayl for the air and 1.8M rays for the IEC711) and thus are very sensitive to load variation hence care that must be taken in designing the acoustic conduits. This has known (and, potentially damaging) consequences to aspects of system performance (including sensitivity, leak sensitivity, stability and frequency response). Notwithstanding
20 these consequences, the conduits offer overall benefit in giving the designer more control over the open loop response, $V_{mic} / V_{receiver}$.

The paths or conduits may take simple form (such as a uniform section pipe) or more complex form (including for example bent pipes, concatenated pipes of changing cross-section, etc). In the case of the simpler, similarly simple models of the acoustic impedance
25 (such as inductances and resistances) will appear as the first-order models of the conduit transfer acoustics. This may permit adequate parameterisation of the path to allow optimisation of aspects of overall system behaviour. More complete modelling of the acoustics of simple conduit forms – or modelling of the acoustics of more complex forms – both will motivate more sophisticated statements of the impedance (such as the generalized
30 solutions that may arise from finite element analysis or similar numerical models). The conduits are then designed such that these generalized impedances confer the desired significant impedance compared to the driver source impedance.

Other forms of acoustic impedance may be used, apart from, or in combination with, the slits or constrictions described above. Thus for example an acoustically resistive mesh may be located at area 7 in the Figure 1 and 3 embodiments as an alternative, or addition to, the engineered slits between the periphery of microphone 6 and the internal wall of housing 1.

Furthermore, an acoustically resistive mesh may be provided at another location in the acoustic path, for example as an alternative to, or in addition to, the constriction 7 shown in the Figure 9 embodiment.

Referring now to Figure 12, the vertical axis represents impedance on a logarithmic scale (dBOhms), and the horizontal axis represents frequency on a logarithmic scale. The variation in impedance with frequency for an in-ear device such as that shown in the Figure 1 embodiment when coupled to an IEC711 load is shown by locus 20. The variation in impedance with frequency for a 9mm diameter driver for an in-ear device is shown by locus 21. The variation in impedance with frequency for an IEC711 load is shown by locus 22.

The in-ear device (locus 20) in Figure 12 does not include an engineered high impedance in the acoustic path between the driver and the outlet. As can be seen, from around 200Hz to 2kHz the driver impedance dominates, so the device is much more susceptible to changes in load. We have found that adding an acoustic impedance in the acoustic path of the device which is sufficient to increase the impedance of the device (i.e. the impedance from the driver input to the microphone output) to be greater than that of the driver over a required audio frequency range, particularly the mid-range audio frequencies (i.e. those between approximately 200Hz to 2kHz) greatly improves stability. The impedance can be designed using the physical apparatus and modelling methodologies described above. In the example shown in Figure 12, the performance of the in-ear device deteriorates in the frequency range between approximately 200Hz to 2kHz. The driver impedance in this range is approximately 56 megohm. As can be seen, the impedance of the device at its lowest point (approximately 800Hz) is at least a factor of ten less than 56 megohm, so an additional impedance of at least 50 megohm across the 200Hz to 2kHz frequency range is required to be incorporated in the device design to ensure that the impedance of the device is greater than that of the driver over the frequency range of interest. In practice this can be achieved using engineered slits such as those described above which can be used to add significantly to the impedance (for example in 10 megohm increments) and other impedance devices such as mesh which can add impedance in smaller increments. The impedance of the mesh can be defined by its permeability which is in the range from 160 to 1500 L/m².s (liter per square meter per second). The impedance can be added through other design approaches, for example mechanical housing design.

The use of a high impedance load as described in this document between the error microphone and ear has the benefits that it:

1. Defines a large impedance, which becomes the dominant factor in the series of impedances as described above), and as such:
 - 5 a. Reduces the sensitivity of the OLTF to loading conditions
 - b. Reduces the sensitivity to the design of the earphone itself around the encapsulated driver and microphone of the product
2. The increase in inductance lowers the Helmholtz resonance (the resonance described in international patent publication WO 2007/054807 "Noise Cancellation Earphone").
- 10 3. The specified resistance will ensure that the transmission line between the driver and microphone is loaded by a large resistance at the Helmholtz resonance (i.e. it damps a resonance that is otherwise a core feature of in-ear device acoustics) thus:
 - a. Improving the open loop transfer function smoothness (decreases dips and peaks difference) thus increase stability across a range of acoustic loads
 - 15 b. Improving the consistency of the gain and phase response & thus increase stability across a range of acoustic loads

The specified impedance is therefore 'optimized' by balancing these points and the other design parameters:

- the receiving frequency response of the earphone;
- 20 the open loop response and – hence, noise cancellation; and
- internal acoustics of the system which determine how active cancellation at the sensing microphone maps to useful active attenuation at the eardrum.

Where in the foregoing description, reference has been made to specific components or integers of the invention having known equivalents, then such equivalents are herein
25 incorporated as if individually set forth.

Although this invention has been described by way of example and with reference to possible embodiments thereof, it is to be understood that modifications or improvements may be made thereto without departing from the spirit or scope of the appended claims.

CLAIMS

- 5 1. An in-ear device comprising:
- a housing adapted for location in or adjacent to an auditory canal, the housing having
 an acoustic outlet for location in the auditory canal;
- a driver provided in the housing;
- an acoustic path within the housing extending from the driver to the outlet;
- 10 a microphone provided in the acoustic path between the driver and the outlet, and
- a high acoustic impedance provided in the acoustic path.
2. The device of claim 1 wherein the high acoustic impedance is such that the
 impedance of the device from the driver input to the microphone output over a
 selected audio frequency range is greater than the impedance of the driver over the
15 selected audio frequency range.
3. The device of claim 2 wherein the frequency range comprises the mid-range audio
 frequencies.
4. The device of claim 2 wherein the frequency range is 1kHz to 2kHz.
5. The device of claim 2 wherein the frequency range is 200Hz to 2kHz.
- 20 6. The device of claim 2 wherein the frequency range is 1kHz to 2.5kHz.
7. The device of any one of the preceding claims wherein the high acoustic impedance
 is provided by a constriction in the acoustic path.
8. The device of any one of the preceding claims wherein the acoustic impedance is
 provided at a periphery of the microphone.
- 25 9. The device of claim 8 wherein the impedance comprises a plurality of pathways
 arranged around the periphery of the microphone.

10. The device of claim 9 wherein the pathways are parallel with the axis of symmetry of the microphone.

11. The device of claim 8 or claim 9 wherein the multiple pathways are disposed in a regular distribution around the circumference of the microphone.

5

12. The device of any one of claims 7 to 11 wherein the impedance is provided between the periphery of the microphone and a wall of the device.

13. The device of any one of claims 1 to 7 wherein the acoustic impedance is provided between the microphone and the outlet.

10 14. The device of claim 13 wherein the impedance comprises an acoustic resistance.

15. The device of claim 14 wherein the acoustic resistance comprises a mesh.

16. The device of any one of claims 2 to 15 wherein the impedance is selected to improve stability of the device when used in an active noise reduction feedback or hybrid control architecture.

15

17. The device of any one of the preceding claims wherein the impedance is selected to attenuate noise from a source external to the device.

18. An in-ear device comprising:

20 a housing adapted for location in or adjacent to the auditory ear canal, the housing having an acoustic outlet for location in the auditory canal;

a driver provided in the housing;

an acoustic path within the housing extending from the driver to the outlet;

a microphone provided in the acoustic path between the driver and the outlet;

25 a feedback controller for providing a signal to the driver depending upon a signal received from the microphone in order to cancel noise sensed by the microphone, and;

an acoustic impedance provided in the acoustic path adapted to improve stability of the device.

19. The device of claim 18 wherein the feedback controller is provided within the housing.
20. The device of claim 18 or claim 19 wherein the acoustic impedance is such that the impedance of the device from the driver input to the microphone output over a selected audio frequency range is greater than the impedance of the driver over the selected audio frequency range.
21. The device of claim 20 wherein the frequency range comprises the mid-range audio frequencies.
22. A method of improving the stability of an in-ear device having:
a housing adapted for location in or adjacent to the auditory ear canal, the housing having an acoustic outlet for location in the auditory canal;
a driver;
an acoustic path extending from the driver to the outlet;
a microphone provided in the acoustic path between the driver and the outlet; and
a feedback controller for providing a signal to the driver depending upon a signal received from the microphone in order to cancel noise sensed by the microphone, the method comprising providing an acoustic impedance in the acoustic path which is sufficient to improve the stability of the device.
23. A method as claimed in claim 22 including the steps of determining the impedance of the driver over a selected audio frequency range, and selecting the acoustic impedance such that the impedance of the device from the driver input to the microphone output is greater than the impedance of the driver over the selected audio frequency range.
24. A method as claimed in claim 22 or claim 23 wherein the frequency range comprises the mid-range audio frequencies.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4A




Figure 4B

Figure 5. Effect of additional resistance on OLTf




Figure 6. Effect of additional resistance on absolute OLTf differences




Figure 7. OLTF comparison






Figure 8. Absolute OETF differences comparison**Figure 9**




Figure 10




Figure 11




Figure 12

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NZ2012/000079**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER****H04R 25/00 (2006.01)**

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPODOC, WPI: In ear device, driver, acoustic path, microphone, acoustic impedance, hybrid , noise, feedback, OLTF, mesh, constriction, shunt, pathway, channel and similar keywords.

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
	Documents are listed in the continuation of Box C	



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C



See patent family annex

* Special categories of cited documents:	
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search
26 October 2012Date of mailing of the international search report
26 October 2012**Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU**AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA
Email address: pct@ipaustalia.gov.au
Facsimile No.: +61 2 6283 7999**Authorised officer**Riju Jacob
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
(ISO 9001 Quality Certified Service)
Telephone No. 0262832220

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT Information on patent family members		International application No. PCT/NZ2012/000079	
This Annex lists known patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.			
Patent Document/s Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member/s	
Publication Number	Publication Date	Publication Number	Publication Date
US 2008/0212816 A1	04 Sep 2008	CN 1939092 A	28 Mar 2007
		US 2008212816 A1	04 Sep 2008
		US 7995780 B2	09 Aug 2011
		WO 2005081584 A2	01 Sep 2005
US 2005/0105741 A1	19 May 2005	EP 1517583 A2	23 Mar 2005
		US 2005105741 A1	19 May 2005
		US 7302069 B2	27 Nov 2007
US 2010/0195856 A1	05 Aug 2010	DE 102009007079 A1	12 Aug 2010
		EP 2214424 A2	04 Aug 2010
		US 2010195856 A1	05 Aug 2010
End of Annex			
Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001. Form PCT/ISA/210 (Family Annex)(July 2009)			