



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification⁴ : C07C 57/04, 53/124, 51/44	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 88/ 02359 (43) International Publication Date: 7 April 1988 (07.04.88)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US87/02319 (22) International Filing Date: 14 September 1987 (14.09.87) (31) Priority Application Number: 911,696 (32) Priority Date: 26 September 1986 (26.09.86) (33) Priority Country: US (71) Applicant: EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY [US/US]; 343 State Street, Rochester, NY 14650 (US). (72) Inventor: JOHNSON, Kris, Allan ; 701 Mosley Circle North, Longview, TX 75601 (US). (74) Agent: REITER, Stephen, E.; 343 State Street, Rochester, NY 14650 (US).		(81) Designated States: DE (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), JP. Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
(54) Title: PROCESS FOR SEPARATING METHACRYLIC ACID FROM ISOBUTYRIC ACID		
(57) Abstract The distillative separation of methacrylic acid from isobutyric acid is significantly improved by the introduction into the distillation system of a third component selected from the group consisting of methyl methacrylate, methyl isobutyrate and dimethylformamide.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	ML	Mali
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	RO	Romania
BR	Brazil	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	LI	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CH	Switzerland	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
FI	Finland				

- 1 -

Process for separating methacrylic acid from isobutyric acid

This invention concerns improvements in the separation of isobutyric acid from methacrylic acid by distillation.

A commonly used process for the manufacture of methacrylic acid is the catalytic dehydrogenation of isobutyric acid (IBA) to methacrylic acid (MAA) wherein the IBA is vaporized in the presence of oxygen and contacted at a temperature in the range of 250-600°C. with a suitable catalyst. The product obtained from this dehydrogenation reaction comprises a mixture of isobutyric acid, methacrylic acid and water. Following the separation of the acids from the water the remaining mixture of the two acids which are very similar in structure and other physical properties is very difficult to separate by distillation. Also, the tendency of the MAA to polymerize under distillation conditions further complicates the matter. A further complication to the separation of the two acids is the dimeric nature of carboxylic acids, both in the liquid and vapor state. Such dimerization through the carboxyl groups is described in ORGANIC CHEMISTRY, THIRD EDITION, R. T. MORRISON and R. N. BOYD, ALLYN and BACON, INC., 1974, page 582, and other textbooks. With carboxylic acids of such similar properties and molecular weight, there is little discrimination and dimers IBA-IBA, MAA-MAA and IBA-MAA are all formed. With such indiscriminate dimer formation, poor distillation efficiencies result.

Attempts to overcome this problem by azeotroping has required complex separating techniques for removing the azeotroping agent from the product, while

- 2 -

attempts employing extractive distillation using complexing agents raised the base temperature of the column wherein the methacrylic acid was heated to a point which resulted in high yield loss due to polymerization.

5
A method for the distillative separation of methacrylic acid from isobutyric acid comprising feeding to a distillation column a mixture of methacrylic acid, isobutyric acid and a third component selected from at least one of methyl methacrylate, methyl isobutyrate or dimethyl-
10 formamide, said third component being fed in an amount effective to increase the efficiency of the distillative separation. The effective amount of
15 said third component is a volumetric feed ratio of third component to mixed acid feed of about 0.5 to about 3.0. A volumetric feed ratio is from about 1.0 to about 2.5 is preferred.

Methyl methacrylate is the preferred third
20 component.

The method of this invention uses a third component which apparently has the effect of increasing the vapor pressure of the isobutyric acid more than that of the methacrylic acid without
25 forming an azeotrope or a complex in the classical sense. Thus, both the overhead isobutyric acid and the remaining methacrylic acid each can be separated from the third component by ordinary distillation. My invention therefore provides a more economical and
30 practical method for separating heat-sensitive methacrylic acid from isobutyric acid.

In previous schemes for making the separation, a distillation column operating at a high vacuum would require in excess of 100 plates. The present process
35 on the other hand utilizes a conventional distilla-

- 3 -

tion column of, for example, 30-50 plates wherein the mixed acid feed stream is injected at a point approximately midway up the column. The third component used in accordance with this invention is at least one of methyl methacrylate, methyl isobutyrate and dimethylformamide fed to the column, preferably the base, at a rate to maintain a volumetric feed ratio of third component to mixed acid feed which will be effective for the particular type and size of column being used. For the type and size of multi-plate column described below in Example 1, a ratio of from about 0.5 to about 3.0, and particularly from about 1.5 to about 2.5 is desirable. The column is otherwise operated in conventional manner.

The present invention is illustrated in more detail by the following examples, but it will be understood that these examples are illustrative only and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention in any manner.

EXAMPLE 1

A two-inch diameter 45 plate Oldershaw distillation column is equipped with a condensed liquid reflux splitter. Column feed is 15 plates from the bottom with 50/50 weight percent of isobutyric and methacrylic acids at a rate of 300 ml of the mixed acid per hour. After column operation has been stabilized it is found that the overhead product contains by weight 90.41% isobutyric acid and 9.59% methacrylic acid, and the base product contains 11.04% isobutyric acid and 88.96% methacrylic acid. This gives a calculated plate efficiency of 51%. Following column stabilization, the mixed acid feed rate is cut from 300 ml/hour to 60 ml/per hour and methyl methacrylate is started to the base at 120 ml per hour. Mixed acid and methyl methacrylate feed

- 4 -

rates are maintained such that the same loading is experienced in the top of the column as exists without methyl methacrylate feed. The volumetric ratio of methyl methacrylate to mixed acid feed is maintained at about 2:1. After the column has again stabilized the overhead product is analyzed to contain 79.18% methyl methacrylate, 20.56% isobutyric acid and 0.26% methacrylic acid whereas the base product contains 0.77% methyl methacrylate, 6.57% isobutyric acid and 92.66% methacrylic acid. This calculates out to a plate efficiency of 89%.

In like manner runs are made using dimethylformamide, normal heptane, chlorobenzene, normal butylacetate and methyl isobutyrate as the third component with a volumetric feed ratio of third component to mixed acid feed in each case of 2:1. The calculated plate efficiencies obtained are as follows: dimethylformamide - 79.3% and chlorobenzene - 58.6%. It is noted that 51% efficiency is obtained with no third component present indicating, for example, that chlorobenzene was essentially inactive. In another run using methyl methacrylate, the volumetric feed ratio, of third component to mixed acid feed, was dropped to 1.0 and produced a calculated plate efficiency of 83.0%.

EXAMPLE 2

A one-inch 60-plate Oldershaw distillation column was fed a 50-50 weight percent solution of methacrylic and isobutyric acid with no low boiler being added to the base. The separation achieved was used as a basis for comparison to the later separations made with low boiler being added to the base. The resultant separation with no low boiler being added was:

	<u>Top</u>	<u>Base</u>
i-HOBu	87.81	15.67
MAA	<u>12.19</u>	<u>84.33</u>
	100.00	100.00

5

This calculates out to a plate efficiency of 32%. After the above data point was collected, the mixed acid feed rate was cut from 200 ml/hr to 90 ml/hr and a feed rate of 100 ml/hr of methyl methacrylate was started. This gave a feed ratio of 1.11 methyl methacrylate to mixed acid feed. The top and base compositions obtained under these conditions were:

15

	<u>Top</u>		<u>Base</u>	
	Based On <u>Acids Only</u>	Actual <u>Comp.</u>	Based On <u>Acids Only</u>	Actual <u>Comp.</u>
MMA	-	43.93	-	0.77
i-HOBu	88.36	49.55	10.19	10.11
MAA	<u>11.64</u>	<u>6.52</u>	<u>89.81</u>	<u>89.12</u>
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

20

This amounted to a 37 percent plate efficiency. Methyl isobutyrate was used as the next low boiling feed. The mixed acid feed was cut from 90 ml/hr to 70 ml/hr and a feed rate of 110 ml/hr of methyl isobutyrate. This amounts to a methyl isobutyrate to mixed acid feed ratio of 1.57. The following separation was achieved.

30

	<u>Top</u>		<u>Base</u>	
	Based On <u>Acids Only</u>	Actual <u>Comp.</u>	Based On <u>Acids Only</u>	Actual <u>Comp.</u>
MMA	-	39.90	-	0.66
i-HOBu	94.23	56.63	14.15	14.06
MAA	<u>5.77</u>	<u>3.47</u>	<u>85.85</u>	<u>85.28</u>
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

35

- 6 -

This amounted to a plate efficiency of 42 per cent.

5 The two-inch diameter 45-plate distillation column described and used in Example 1 inherently has a higher efficiency than does the one-inch diameter 60-plate distillation column described and used in Example 2. The two-inch column is easier to operate because lower loadings can be used. This gives a lower ΔP per plate in the two-inch column than in 10 the one-inch column. Also, the plates in the two-inch column are spaced further apart making it resistant to foam getting started and then travelling from plate to plate. The significant increases in plate efficiency in the two-inch column over that of 15 the one-inch column is believed to result from a combination of the longer diameter and greater plate spacing in the two-inch column coupled with the higher methyl methacrylate to mixed acid feed rate.

20 Although the invention has been described in considerable detail with reference to certain preferred embodiments thereof, it is understood that variations and modifications can be effected without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as described hereinabove and in the appended claims.

25

30

35

- 7 -

CLAIMS

I claim:

1. A method for the distillative separation of methacrylic acid from isobutyric acid comprising feeding to a distillation column a mixture of methacrylic acid, isobutyric acid and a third component selected from at least one of methyl methacrylate, methyl isobutyrate or dimethylformamide, said third component being fed in an amount sufficient to increase the efficiency of the distillative separation.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein the effective amount of said third component is a volumetric feed ratio of third component to mixed acid feed of about 0.5 to about 3.0.
3. The method of Claim 1 wherein the said volumetric feed ratio is from about 1.0 to about 2.5
4. The method of Claim 1 wherein the third component is methyl methacrylate.


25

30

35

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US 87/02319

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC		
IPC ⁴ : C 07 C 57/04; C 07 C 53/124; C 07 C 51/44		
II. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum Documentation Searched ⁷		
Classification System	Classification Symbols	
IPC ⁴	C 07 C 57/00; C 07 C 53/00; C 07 C 51/00	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched ⁸		
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT ⁹		
Category ⁹	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³
A	Patent Abstracts of Japan, volume 3, no. 50 (C-44), 27 April 1979, page 22 C 44 & JP, A, 5424813 (MITSUBISHI KASEI KOGYO K.K.) 24 February 1979 --	1,4
A	Patent Abstracts of Japan, volume 2, no. 43 (C-77), 23 March 1978, page 5006 C 77 & JP, A, 52153909 (MITSUBISHI RAYON K.K.) 21 December 1977 --	1,4
A	DE, A, 2118905 (AMERICAN CYANAMID CO.) 21 December 1972 see claim 1 -----	1
<p>¹⁰ Special categories of cited documents:</p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p> <p>"&" document member of the same patent family</p>		
IV. CERTIFICATION		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report	
17th December 1987	28 JAN 1988	
International Searching Authority	Signature of Authorized Officer	
EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE	 P.C.G. VAN DER PUTTEN	

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.

US 8702319

SA 18807

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on 15/01/88. The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
DE-A- 2118905	21-12-72	None	