(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau

(43) International Publication Date
20 September 2012 (20.09.2012)

WIPO | PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2012/123137 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:
*G06Q 10/00* (2012.01) *G06Q 50/00* (2012.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/EP2012/050419

(22) International Filing Date:
12 January 2012 (12.01.2012)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
11305277.3 15 March 2011 (15.03.2011) EP

(71) Applicant *(for all designated States except US)*: **AMADEUS S.A.S.** [FR/FR]; 485 Route du Pin Montard, Sophia Antipolis, F-06410 Biot (FR).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants *(for US only)*: **MASINI, Vincent** [FR/FR]; 12 Places des Arcades, F-06250 Mougins (FR). **PAVOT, Marc** [FR/FR]; Res. La Pinede, Bat. F, 450 Avenue Saint Philippe, F-06410 Biot (FR). **FAUSER, Dietmar** [FR/FR]; 102 Avenue Jean XXIII, F-06130 Grasse (FR). **DANIEL, Jerome** [FR/FR]; 1 Avenue Honore Lions, F-06130 Grasse (FR).

(74) Agent: **ZERBI, Guido Maria**; Murgitroyd & Company, Piazza Borromeo 12, I-20123 Milan (IT).

(81) Designated States *(unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available)*: AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States *(unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available)*: ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published**:

— *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

(54) **Title**: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CENTRALIZED RESERVATION CONTEXT MANAGEMENT ON MULTI-SERVER RESERVATION SYSTEM





*Fig. 2*

(57) **Abstract**: The method and system according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention allows ensuring consistency of the PNR record when it is handled within the subsystem controlled by the reservation interceptor module and including a plurality of OBEs. According to a preferred embodiment of the present invention the PNR context on open systems is centralized to avoid its fragmentation in the distributed environment, as gathering of all the context parts implies performance issues. In addition, instead of implementing a transaction session protocol to handle a start of transaction, intermediate updates and a final commit or rollback on the PNR context, the principle of the service interceptor architecture is to delegate the functional queries with the current user PNR context which will be modified in the central repository of PNR context only at response time when the whole functional use-case is finished.

WO 2012/123137 A1

# METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CENTRALIZED RESERVATION CONTEXT MANAGEMENT ON MULTI-SERVER RESERVATION SYSTEM

## Field of the invention

The present invention relates to the field of travel reservation systems, more particularly to a method and system for a centralized reservation context management on multiple servers using a reservation service interceptor architecture.

## Background of the invention

Modern travel companies (e.g. airlines) usually employ sophisticated applications for handling reservation requests by customers. It is more and more frequent the case where more than one architecture is used throughout the company system. In such cases compatibility and synchronization issues should be taken into account when designing and planning the reservation system. An example is when part of the reservation management is performed on Internet based applications or communication infrastructures. Another example is when a system (not necessarily a reservation system) must be migrated from a legacy mainframe system (e.g. TPF) to a new system (e.g. an open system). To avoid service interruption in the reservation system, it is advisable to perform this migration progressively, instead of closing down the existing system and switching to the new one in one single move, with all the possible problems which could arise: in addition to the complexity of a big activation procedure at the time of the switch between the old and new system, we should also consider the need of double maintenance of software on both, while the new system is under construction and the old one continues to evolve. Maybe new functionalities must be developed and this requires a double effort, while, if the two systems can work together, all development effort can be dedicated to the new platform. For these reasons, a progressive migration is preferable to a so called “big bang” migration strategy, however some difficulties must be considered. In particular, when the

reservation services are distributed between two different platforms (e.g. mainframe and open platforms) they require sharing the same Passenger Name Record (PNR) contextual data in read and write mode to perform their business functionalities.

One of the issues to be considered is the synchronization of data (e.g. PNR data) which are shared in read and write mode across different servers and platforms and across protocols of communication (e.g. TPF mainframe and open systems) so that the systems can share the same up-to-date PNR context data. Co-pending patent application "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SYNCHRONIZATION MECHANISM ON MULTI-SERVER RESERVATION SYSTEM" filed by the same applicant and having same priority date as the present application, addresses the problem of synchronization across multiple servers (or platform). The method disclosed in such application allows synchronizing the PNR values across the multiplatform system with an efficient and consistent mechanism. The mechanism addresses the consistency and performance issues thanks to its versioning and its lazy behaviour (the synchronization occurs only when required). It can be used as a solution during a migration phase from one system to another with progressive migration of applications sharing data, and also as a permanent solution for distributed applications across different platforms.

The synchronization is performed only when it is needed, and provides only the updates to be done on the local context copy. A key element of the above method is a mechanism to ensure that the most up to date value of a shared parameter is used at any time during the process. In the method and system according to the invention above a distributed shared context correlator is used. This is called DCX (Distributed context correlator). DCX conveys additional information on top of each message coming from the same user session, on all types of communication protocols to represent the distribution of the applicative contexts on the different platforms and applications, also referred to as machines or application servers.

This DCX entity is created and stored on an Enterprise Service Bus (ESB), which connects the various servers, and is conveyed on all messages within the Amadeus infrastructure in the session header. It contains references to contexts on the different platforms, meaning it does not contain the context data themselves. It is formatted in XML and composed of 3 parts; one reserved for ESB context information used for routing and other use-cases, another part is dedicated to security and user authentication, and finally the third part is the applicative part where application can add their context references and status indicators associated to them. It is in the applicative part that the Context Synchronization process stores the information related to the distributed PNR contexts, and it is the basis of the mechanism.

The DCX offers two other features required for the synchronization mechanism which are the affinity and the context sharing between different communication protocols. The affinity is required to target the exact same application server each time the same service is invoked and it is needed as the PNR contexts are local to application servers. Preferably, the information related to affinity is comprised in keys, which can be referred to as “Affinity Keys”, said keys being comprised in the DCX. The sharing of context information across protocols is required to ensure that a user invoking PNR services on different protocols will still be working on the exact same PNR context.

The lifetime duration of the context is controlled by the established conversations between the ESB and the open system (or the mainframe). The DCX offers a global view of the user activity meaning that if the user works through one specific conversation (for instance EDIFACT conversation), the other protocol conversations will be maintained to ensure consistency across protocols. When the user disconnects from the ESB (by a specific close of conversation or by an inactivity timeout), the conversations to the open systems and mainframe will be also closed and will trigger the clean-up of the contexts. A description of DCX is also available in co-pending applications “METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING A SESSION INVOLVING A PLURALITY OF SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS” and “METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING A SESSION

IN A HETEROGENEOUS ENVIRONMENT" filed by the same applicant and having same priority date of the present application.

While the synchronization mechanism based on DCX is very advantageous in terms of reservation data sharing when implementing a system across multiple platforms, in some cases, e.g. when information are handled and exchanged within a highly distributed Open Back-End platform, it might be preferable to have a centralized handling of the reservation data which could improve the context handling. On a highly distributed environment the synchronization mechanism would imply that all servers are critical (since they all store locally in memory the reservation data) and hey all have to get data from the other servers and this could have impact on the system performances.

**Object of the invention**

An object of the present invention is to alleviate at least some of the problems associated with the prior art systems and provide an improved handling of PNR data when information are handled and exchanged within the same distributed environment.

According to one aspect of the present invention there is provided a reservation method operating in a distributed reservation system including a plurality of Open Back End servers (OBE), each OBE being adapted for providing a service, for controlling consistency of PNR record during a user transaction across at least two OBEs, the method including the steps of: a reservation interceptor module, receiving a user request comprising a data structure including the request for at least one service and reference to a PNR record; the reservation interceptor module enriching the data structure with information including the content of PNR record; the reservation interceptor module forwarding the enriched data structure to a first at least one OBE according to the at least one service requested in the user request; responsive to the first at least one OBE returning an up to date

version of the enriched data structure, the reservation interceptor module forwarding the enriched data structure in turn to other OBEs until all requested services included in the user request have been provided; returning a response associated to the at least one service requested by the user.

The method according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention allows ensuring consistency of the PNR record when it is handled within the subsystem controlled by the reservation interceptor module and including a plurality of OBEs. Improvement in the security of the system is also obtained. With the method of the present invention we only need to protect the server holding the central reservation data (via a backup for instance), and the different servers requiring the reservation data to process the user requests will have seamlessly access to these data embedded within the queries so that no additional collateral exchanges are necessary.

According to a second aspect of the present invention there is provided a reservation sub-system comprising one or more components adapted to perform the method described above.

According to a further embodiment of the present invention there is provided a computer program comprising instructions for carrying out the method described above when said computer program is executed on a computer system.

**Brief description of drawings**

Reference will now be made, by way of example, to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a diagram of a system according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 2 is a diagram of a system according to another embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 3 is a diagram of a general computer system adapted to support the method of a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 4 is a flow chart of the method steps of a process, in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

**Detailed description of the embodiments**

As shown in Figure 1 the PNR context on open systems is centralized to avoid its fragmentation in the distributed environment, as gathering of all the context parts implies performance issues. In addition, instead of implementing a transaction session protocol to handle a start of transaction, intermediate updates and a final commit or rollback on the PNR context, the principle of the service interceptor architecture is to delegate the functional queries with the current user PNR context which will be modified in the central repository of PNR context only at response time when the whole functional use-case is finished. Such centralization is only limited to the reservation data that are shared between all the reservation servers and the PNR context is conveyed in an entity called GCX (Generic Context Container) which is not a persistent data structure. Once the reply is provided to the user there is no need to maintain the GCX anymore. Figure 1 represents a possible implementation of a system according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Functional components are shown together with the data structures (DCX and GCX); the communication steps of a possible service request by a user are indicated with numerals 1-8 in the Figure. The example is built according to an Amadeus infrastructure, e.g. Amadeus Reservation Service Interceptor. A user 101 is connected with the

reservation system by means e.g. of a computer as explained with reference to Figure 1. All functional queries of services which require accessing the user PNR context in read or write mode have to go through a Reservation Service Interceptor OBE 105 (also called Reservation Interceptor) so that the queries can be enriched with a GCX entity containing the PNR context data. Then the queries are delegated to the real functional services in order to perform the business request. The context updates are provided by the functional services in a GCX which is conveyed with the functional reply. The replies go through the reservation service interceptor again, which integrates the updates into the user PNR context, removes the GCX and forwards the functional reply to the user.

In this architecture 2 kinds of ISAPs (Integration Service Access Points) are used: external ISAP 103 for external users and internal ISAP 107 for internal services and applications calling other services. ISAP are entities of the ESB which allow users or applications to target services without necessarily knowing the details of the service topology. According to the embodiment described in the present example, a DCX entity is created and stored on the external ISAP 103 where the external user is connected to. It is added on top of the user query and is conveyed on all messages within Amadeus infrastructure. Both the DCX and GCX entities can go through the internal ISAPs which allow such entities in queries and replies and does not store them in their context.

The functional services are published on the external ISAPs using the route of the reservation service interceptor, to make them go through the interceptor first. The same functional services are also published on the unique internal ISAP behind the service interceptor using the real routes to the functional open systems, so that the business requests can be processed. This way the routing is still handled by the ESB without duplication of routing logic within the service interceptor, which only takes care of PNR context attachment or removal.

As the DCX and GCX entities are at protocol level the functional client applications do not see these entities which are automatically removed and kept aside by the communication middleware. A delegation interface is delivered to the functional client applications to access the PNR data from the GCX as if the

context was locally stored. The functional service interfaces are not impacted by the DCX or GCX, since they are not part of the message grammar. In such case, the access to the user PNR context is seamless for the server application as it does not require sending a query to get the context.

In responses the functional server applications can provide PNR context updates as well as actions to be performed on the service interceptor. Currently the possible actions are to simply forward the functional reply to the user, or to reply to the user with a PNR display, which requires a new client call from the interceptor to the PNR display service, and/or request to be notified upon pre-defined events such as ignore the user PNR context.

In this example, the user 101 sends a request for a pricing service (step 1). The query is received through External ISAP 103 which retrieves the most up to date DCX as explained above and passes (step 2) the DCX information to Reservation Interceptor OBE 105 together with the user query. The Reservation Interceptor OBE, accesses the centralized PNR context for this user (in this scenario it is up-to-date on open systems) and adds it in the GCX on top of the functional request. The complete data structure (DCX + GCX + Query) is then forwarded to the Pricing OBE 109 hosting the pricing functionalities, through Internal ISAP 107 (steps 3 and 4). Once the business process has been performed, the pricing OBE 109 replies with context updates (5 and 6 again through Internal ISAP 107) to Reservation Interceptor 105 which will take care of replying to the user 101 (7 and 8) through External ISAP 103 The context updates in the reply are integrated into the centralized PNR context by the interceptor and the reply is forwarded to the user. The mechanism works for remote open systems offering functional services and also for local services directly offered by the reservation open system.

The example shown in Figure 1 is deliberately very simple for ease of description, however those skilled in the art will appreciate that several service OBEs can be arranged and controlled by the same Reservation Interceptor OBE

105. The user PNR stored on the GCX will be passed from one step to the next ensuring that it is always up to date: only when the session is terminated, the PNR is returned to the user and the temporary data structure of GCX can be abandoned and deleted. Figure 2 shows a more complete example with two service OBEs working within the infrastructure of the Reservation Interceptor 105. In this example, the user 101 sends a request for a pricing service through External ISAP 103 which retrieves the most up to date DCX as explained above and passes (step 2) the DCX information to Reservation Interceptor OBE 105 together with the user query. The Reservation Interceptor OBE accesses the centralized PNR context for this user and adds it in the GCX on top of the functional request. The complete data structure (DCX + GCX + Query) is the forwarded to the Pricing OBE 109 hosting the pricing functionalities, through Internal ISAP 107 (steps 3 and 4). Once the business process has been performed, the pricing OBE 109 replies with context updates (5 and 6 again through Internal ISAP 107) to Reservation Interceptor 105. In this case the Reservation Interceptor 105 will then call the display service to format the reply for the user through another Internal ISAP 201 (steps 7 and 8) which sends the query to a Display OBE 203. Again the same delegation pattern is used in such case to ensure the display service has access to the correct PNR data to format the associated display. Once this is done, the context updates in the reply are sent back to Reservation Interceptor 105 (steps 9 and 10) and integrated into the centralized PNR context by the interceptor and the display reply is forwarded to the user 101 through External ISAP 103 (steps 11 and 12). The mechanism works for remote open systems offering functional services and also for local services directly offered by the reservation open system. When the query message leaves the subsystem, i.e. it is communicated to outside by the Reservation Interceptor 105, the GCX ends its function and it is deleted. The consistency of the PNR information is then ensured by the fact that the updates are integrated within the centralized context only on the final response to the user service request which represents a consistent set of updates corresponding to the whole chain of service calls. The reply provided to the user is freed by all

additional information (DCX and/or GCX) which are exchanged only within reservation system (e.g. Amadeus infrastructure).

The method according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention here described makes reference to a reservation system having a synchronization mechanism based on DCX (as also described in the above mentioned co-pending application). However those skilled in the art will appreciate that other infrastructures can be used instead without any limitation on how the synchronization among different platforms is ensured (if a synchronization is needed at all). The result of the method according the present invention is to realize a sort of sub-system where a reservation context corresponding to a user (e.g. a user PNR) is strictly controlled by the Reservation Interceptor module described above. The "range" of such control can be extended even to remote service providers (OBE) and the effect is that within such range, i.e. until the query is not communicated outside the sub-system, the PNR is always consistent and cannot be modified without the control of the Reservation Interceptor (which is an OBE itself). Several different system structures and complexity are possible, however the balance between safety/consistency of information and system performance must be taken into account. According to the presently discussed preferred embodiment, the object of the DCX (Distributed context correlator) is to convey additional information on top of each message coming from the same user session, on all types of communication protocols to represent the distribution of the applicative contexts on the different platforms and applications.

The function of the GCX (Generic context container) is to convey additional information on top of each messages coming from the same user session, on all types of communication protocols to represent the distribution of the applicative contexts on the different platforms and applications.
The GCX is only conveyed on one query, or on one reply, and contains the context data themselves instead of the references to them (as it is the case with

DCX). It is not intended to convey context data on all the messages; this is why the GCX is not a persistent entity.

The GCX contains a set of pairs (key, value) so that several applications called subsequently can add their own context data on top of the ones already present.

The GCX can be conveyed on both EDIFACT and XML messages, and it is located at the end of the message in order to ease the logging of message traces.

The reservation service interceptor receives the user functional queries such as pricing queries to enrich them with the latest user PNR context data. Thanks to the distributed context synchronization mechanism, the reservation interceptor retrieves the latest PNR context data from TPF if required, and attach them within a GCX before delegating the pricing query to the functional pricing OBE. When the process is performed by the functional service, the functional reply with associated PNR context updates are sent back to the reservation service interceptor. The service interceptor will remove and integrate the context updates within the user PNR context stored in-memory in the reservation OBE and forward the functional reply to the user.

The reservation service interceptor receives a functional query along with a DCX. It checks the DCX content and analyses with local PNR context information whether a synchronization with the TPF mainframe is required, using the distributed context synchronization mechanism. Once the latest PNR context data have been retrieved for the current user, the delegation library is used in order to encode the PNR context into a GCX entity. The service interceptor then delegates the functional query along with the DCX and GCX.

When the reservation service interceptor receives a functional reply, it uses the delegation library to decode the GCX that has been provided. From the GCX, the PNR context updates will be extracted in order to be integrated within the centralised user PNR context on the reservation OBE and the DCX keys will be updated accordingly (see distributed context synchronization mechanism for

more details). From the GCX, the actions will also be extracted, and the reservation interceptor will perform them: for instance, previous diagrams show an action of simple forwarding of functional reply, so reservation interceptor will just reply to the user with the functional reply received.

The delegation mechanism is composed of both a client interface to call other services from an application and a server interface to allow other services calling this application as well.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the delegation mechanism has been designed to answer several requirements which are the following:

-Support for multi-platform delegations and easy evolution of structures (we can have different versions of delegation library on different OBEs, delegation message will be using forward compatible EDIFACT serialization of context data because it must be readable by an older version of grammar)

- Support several types of contexts

- Support several modes of delegations (full mode, delta mode)

- Support several subsequent delegations, allowing a chain of applications delegating to each other part of functionality

-Support context updates and post-processing actions in reply of delegation (actions can be to forward the functional reply or to request for a PNR display as a response for the user)

-Support registering of functional applications in the reply of delegation to notify them upon events such as the ignore of the context

Additionally it is possible to convey several contexts at the same time with the functional messages, thanks to the delegation data.

These requirements have a direct impact on the content of the context information that is conveyed in the GCX as we will see in the next section.

As far as the DCX mechanism is concerned, a complete description of its functionalities can be found in the co-pending application "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SYNCHRONIZATION MECHANISM ON MULTI-SERVER RESERVATION SYSTEM" filed by the same applicant and having same filing date as the present application. According to a preferred embodiment, a local in-memory copy of the PNR context is replicated on each platform; the updates are performed locally and the synchronization occurs when another platform needs to access the up-to-date context data. This is what we call distributed context synchronization. The complexity of the synchronization mechanism is to determine whether a local copy is out of date or not, and determine where is located the most up-to-date PNR data to get it. This mechanism works on all types of user queries whatever the protocol of communication and it is not dependent on the platform technical characteristics such as representation of data (big or little endian).
The present approach to PNR context synchronization answers all these requirements in an optimized way as the synchronization is performed only when it is needed, and provides only the updates to be done on the local context copy.

In the examples of the present invention description the connections among servers are realised by means of an ESB, however those skilled in the art will appreciate that any other state of the art routing means, capable to route a transaction to an appropriate application server, could be used instead, e.g. a Router, a Portal or a Request Broker.

With reference to Figure 3 a generic computer of the system (e.g. any computer, Reservation server, TPF mainframe, Open System server, data base management subsystem, router, network server) is denoted with 350. The computer 350 is formed by several units that are connected in parallel to a system bus 353. In detail, one or more microprocessors 356 control operation of the computer 350; a RAM 359 is directly used as a working memory by the microprocessors 356, and a ROM 362 stores basic code for a bootstrap of the

computer 350. Peripheral units are clustered around a local bus 365 (by means of respective interfaces). Particularly, a mass memory consists of a hard-disk 368 and a drive 371 for reading CD-ROMs 374. Moreover, the computer 350 includes input devices 377 (for example, a keyboard and a mouse), and output devices 380 (for example, a monitor and a printer). A Network Interface Card 383 is used to connect the computer 350 to the network. A bridge unit 386 interfaces the system bus 353 with the local bus 365. Each microprocessor 356 and the bridge unit 386 can operate as master agents requesting an access to the system bus 353 for transmitting information. An arbiter 389 manages the granting of the access with mutual exclusion to the system bus 353. Similar considerations apply if the system has a different topology, or it is based on other networks. Alternatively, the computers have a different structure, include equivalent units, or consist of other data processing entities (such as PDAs, mobile phones, and the like).

The method of a preferred embodiment is also represented in the diagram shown in figure 4. The method operates on a distributed reservation system including a plurality of Open Back End servers (OBE), each OBE being adapted for providing a service, for controlling consistency of PNR record during a user transaction across at least two OBEs; the method begins at black circle 401 and then goes to box 403 where a user request is received at the Reservation Service Interceptor. At step 405 a structure is created enriching the data structure with the information of the user request, normally including the PNR (or similar data structure containing reservation information of the requesting user). Such structure (here called GCX) has been described with a certain level of details in the present application, however those skilled in the art will appreciate that several different implementations could be used instead. Just as an example the additional information could be built as a separate data structure which accompanies the user query and/or the PNR in the transactions among the OBEs. At step 407 the enriched data structure (including GCX) is then forwarded from the Reservation Interceptor to one of the OBEs to receive one of the requested services (as

detailed in the user request). When the response is returned to the Reservation Interceptor (step 409), the Reservation Interceptor verifies whether other services are needed (step 411). If this is the case, the enriched data structure is forwarded to another OBEs and the process goes back to step 407. When all services requested by the user have been provided, the Reservation Interceptor returns to the user the response associated to the services that have been called by the user; a consistent PNR context associated to the user is maintained for future requests.

It will be appreciated that alterations and modifications may be made to the above without departing from the scope of the disclosure. Naturally, in order to satisfy local and specific requirements, a person skilled in the art may apply to the solution described above many modifications and alterations. Particularly, although the present disclosure has been described with a certain degree of particularity with reference to preferred embodiment(s) thereof, it should be understood that various omissions, substitutions and changes in the form and details as well as other embodiments are possible; moreover, it is expressly intended that specific elements and/or method steps described in connection with any disclosed embodiment of the disclosure may be incorporated in any other embodiment as a general matter of design choice.

Similar considerations apply if the program (which may be used to implement each embodiment of the disclosure) is structured in a different way, or if additional modules or functions are provided; likewise, the memory structures may be of other types, or may be replaced with equivalent entities (not necessarily consisting of physical storage media). Moreover, the proposed solution lends itself to be implemented with an equivalent method (having similar or additional steps, even in a different order). In any case, the program may take any form suitable to be used by or in connection with any data processing system, such as external or resident software, firmware, or microcode (either in object code or in source code). Moreover, the program may be provided on any computer-usable medium; the medium can be any element suitable to contain,

store, communicate, propagate, or transfer the program. Examples of such medium are fixed disks (where the program can be pre-loaded), removable disks, tapes, cards, wires, fibres, wireless connections, networks, broadcast waves, and the like; for example, the medium may be of the electronic, magnetic, optical, electromagnetic, infrared, or semiconductor type.

In any case, the solution according to the present disclosure lends itself to be carried out with a hardware structure (for example, integrated in a chip of semiconductor material), or with a combination of software and hardware.

## CLAIMS

1. A reservation method operating on a distributed reservation system including a plurality of Open Back End servers (OBE), each OBE being adapted for providing a service, for controlling consistency of PNR record during a user transaction across at least two OBEs, the method including the steps of:

- a reservation interceptor module, receiving a user request comprising a data structure including the request for at least one service and reference to a PNR record;

- the reservation interceptor module enriching the data structure with information including the content of PNR record;

- the reservation interceptor module forwarding the enriched data structure to a first at least one OBE according to the at least one service requested in the user request;

- responsive to the first at least one OBE returning an up to date version of the enriched data structure, the reservation interceptor module forwarding the enriched data structure in turn to other OBEs until all requested services included in the user request have been provided;

- returning a response associated to the at least one service requested by the user.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein providing a service by an OBE includes modifying the PNR record.

3. The method of any preceding claim wherein the communications between a user and the reservation interceptor module are done through a first Integration Service Access Point (ISAP).

4. The method of claim 3 further including:

- responsive to a user submitting a user request comprising a data structure including the request for at least one service and reference to a PNR record, the first ISAP obtaining the most up to date version of the PNR.

5. The method of any preceding claim wherein the communications between the reservation interceptor module and each of the at least one OBE are done through additional Integration Service Access Points (ISAPs).

6. The method of any preceding claim wherein the servers of the distributed reservation system exchange information by means of Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) system.

7. The method of any preceding claim wherein the distributed reservation system is a multi-platform system, including a plurality of servers working on different platform, the method further including a synchronization mechanism for ensuring that the most up to date PNR record is used during a user transaction across at least two platforms of the multi-platform system, wherein a local context version of the PNR is maintained within each platform of the multi-platform system, the systems of the multiple platforms being interconnected through a system bus, the mechanism including the steps of:

- maintaining in a shared context storage area, accessible by the servers of all platforms of the multi-platform system, information on the last updated version of PNR;

- responsive to a user request causing a selected one of the servers to modify the local context version of PNR performing the following actions:

- checking on the shared context storage area which server last updated the PNR;

- if the server which last updated the PNR is active on a different platform than the selected server, obtaining the up to date version of PNR;

- modifying the local context version of PNR to satisfy the user request;

- updating the shared context storage area to reflect the last updated version of PNR.

8. The method of claim 7 wherein information on the last modified version of PNR includes the location of the last modified version of PNR.

9. A computer program comprising instructions for carrying out the steps of the method according to any preceding claim, when said computer program is executed on a computer.

10. A computer program product including computer readable means embodying the computer program of claim 9.

11. A reservation sub-system including a plurality of Open Back End servers (OBE), each OBE being adapted for providing a service, for controlling consistency of PNR record during a user transaction across at least two OBEs, wherein the sub-system comprises one or more components adapted to perform the method of any claim 1 to 8.

12. A service deployed in a data processing system for implementing the method of any claim 1 to 8.



User
101
External ISAP
103
Reservation Interceptor OBE
105
Internal ISAP
107
Pricing OBE
109
Query
Reply
DCX
Query
DCX
Reply
DCX
GCX
Query
DCX
GCX
Reply
DCX
GCX
Query
DCX
GCX
Reply
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

Fig. 1



User
101
Display reply
Query
12
1
External ISAP
103
DCX
Display reply
DCX
Query
11
2
Reservation Interceptor OBE
105
3
6
Internal ISAP
107
DCX
GCX
Query
DCX
GCX
Reply
4
5
Pricing OBE
109
DCX
GCX
Display query
DCX
GCX
Display reply
7
10
Internal ISAP
201
8
9
Display OBE
203
DCX
GCX
Display query
DCX
GCX
Display reply

Fig. 2



ROM
RAM
µP
ARB
System Bus
BRIDGE
Local Bus
NIC
OUT
IN
CD-ROM
362
359
356
389
353
386
383
380
377
371
374
365
368
350

Fig. 3



401
RESERVATION INTERCEPTOR MODULE RECEIVING USER REQUEST
403
RESERVATION INTERCEPTOR MODULE CREATING GCX
405
FORWARDING GCX TO AN OBE FOR RECEIVING SERVICE
407
RETURNING RESPONSE TO RESERVATION INTERCEPTOR MODULE
409
OTHER SERVICES NEEDED ?
411
N
Y
RETURN RESPONSE TO USER
413

Fig. 4

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/EP2012/050419

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. G06Q10/00 G06Q50/00
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
G06Q

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|---|---|---|
| X | Martin Keen ET AL: "Patterns: SOA Foundation - Business Process Management Scenario", , 1 August 2006 (2006-08-01), XP055004423, Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.redbooks.ibm.com/redbooks/pdfs/sg247234.pdf [retrieved on 2011-08-09] * sections 2.2, 2.3, 5.1-5.3, 7.1-7.4 * ----- -/-- | 1-12 |

[X] Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. [ ] See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

| Date of the actual completion of the international search | Date of mailing of the international search report |
|---|---|
| 23 January 2012 | 01/02/2012 |

| Name and mailing address of the ISA/ | Authorized officer |
|---|---|
| European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2<br>NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk<br>Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,<br>Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 | Cîrstet, Andrei |

1

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|---|---|---|
| X | Carla Sadtler ET AL: "Patterns: Broker Interactions for Intra- and Inter-enterprise", , 1 January 2004 (2004-01-01), XP055004441, Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.redbooks.ibm.com/redbooks/pdfs/sg246075.pdf [retrieved on 2011-08-09] * sections 3.3-3.5, 4.1-4.3, 5.1-5.3, 6.1-6.3, 9.1-9.6 * ----- | 1-12 |