

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
1 September 2011 (01.09.2011)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2011/103617 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:

C05G 5/00 (2006.01) **C05D 5/00** (2006.01)
C05D 9/00 (2006.01) **C05F 11/00** (2006.01)
C05F 11/10 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/AU2011/000185

(22) International Filing Date:

23 February 2011 (23.02.2011)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

2010900738 23 February 2010 (23.02.2010) AU

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **INNOVATIVE CHEMICAL SERVICES PTY LTD** [AU/AU]; 83 Maffra Street, Coolaroo, Victoria 3048 (AU).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **KILLICK, Robert William** [AU/AU]; 14 Dallas Street, Mount Waverley, Victoria 3149 (AU). **KILLICK, Andrew Robert** [AU/AU]; 18 Park Street, Richmond, Victoria 3121 (AU). **JONES, Peter William** [AU/AU]; 65 Menzies Road, Menzies Creek, Victoria 3159 (AU). **MORRISON, John David** [AU/AU]; 6 Burwood Court, Thomastown, Victoria 3074 (AU).

(74) Agents: **MALLESONS STEPHEN JAKES** et al.; Level 50, Bourke Place, 600 Bourke Street, Melbourne, Victoria 3000 (AU).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: FOLIAR NUTRIENT COMPOSITIONS

(57) Abstract: A liquid foliar nutrient composition comprising oil, essential element(s) and optionally, a surfactant to enable a stable useable dispersion of the oil and the essential element(s) to be formed.



WO 2011/103617 A1

Foliar Nutrient Compositions

Field of the invention

The invention relates to a liquid composition comprising one or more elements for plant nutrition (essential elements) and an oil.

5 Background of the invention

In this specification where a document, act or item of knowledge is referred to or discussed, this reference or discussion is not an admission that the document, act or item of knowledge or any combination thereof was at the priority date publicly available, known to the public, part of the common general knowledge or known to be relevant to an attempt to
10 solve any problem with which this specification is concerned.

It is a common and well-established practice to supplement crops with the major elements of plant nutrition C, H, O, N, P, K and S (also referred to as macronutrients). These major elements are available in many different forms, are used in almost every crop type and are commonly used in large quantities. The major elements are most commonly applied as
15 solid forms (eg. granules, crystalline, pellets) to the soil prior to planting but there are liquid or soluble forms available which may be sprayed as a water solution. When applying solutions of these major elements foliarly to a crop, they may be used in conjunction with additives, such as wetting agents and oils, to enhance the efficacy of their application to a crop. For example, WO2008127661 describes the preparation of liquid
20 fertiliser formulations of N, P, K and S containing oil using surfactants to combine the ingredients.

It has become a more common farming practice to apply certain essential elements (also referred to as micronutrients) directly to a crop during growth to counter a deficiency in the soil and/or to promote beneficial effects such as fortifying the produce. Crops are
25 monitored and when a deficiency is evident, then particular foliar nutrient(s) can be applied to quickly correct it. Such foliar nutrients are nearly always applied by spraying an aqueous solution of the nutrient directly to the crop. This is an efficient method of managing nutrients as foliar nutrients tend to be taken up more quickly after application compared with pre-plant soil nutrition which generally requires the application of a large
30 excess of the nutrients to be available in the soil for long periods. These essential elements are generally required in a much smaller quantity than the major elements (macronutrients

above) and are typically much more expensive per unit. They may also have discreet functions within particular crops and hence may only be required at certain stages of the crop cycle. So the use of foliar sprays of micronutrients, as and when required is becoming more common practice.

- 5 Additives, such as wetting agents, may be included in the foliar nutrient product formulation or added separately to the spray tank to enhance the efficacy of their application to a crop.

Oil-based additives are commonly used with many agrichemicals (also known as agrochemicals) including herbicides, insecticides, defoliant, fungicides and growth
10 regulators as well as with some macronutrients (see prior art example above). The function of oil-based additives when used with agrichemicals is mostly to assist in foliar penetration of the agrichemical where a solution of the agrichemical in the oil penetrates the waxy surface of the foliage. As a result, oil soluble agrichemicals generally benefit the most from the addition of oil-based additives. Such oil-based additives may be formulated
15 with the agrichemical or added to the tank mix as a separate product. Oil-based additives are rarely, if ever, used with foliar nutrient products as the essential elements are generally available in a water soluble form and thus would not be expected to be soluble in lipophilic materials. Consequently, combinations of oils with essential elements applied foliarly is not expected to provide any significant benefit.

20 **Summary of the invention**

It has surprisingly been found that foliar nutrient formulations containing certain essential elements and an oil can increase the uptake of those essential elements by the crop after foliar application.

According to a first aspect of the invention, there is provided a liquid foliar nutrient
25 composition comprising:

- (i) an amount in the range of from 5 to 80% by weight of an oil selected from the group consisting of mineral oils, vegetable oils, esters of fatty acids, aliphatic alcohols and mixtures thereof; and
- (ii) an amount up to 80% by weight of an essential element selected from the group
30 consisting of Fe, Mn, B, Cu, Mo, Co, Ni, Zn, Ca, Mg, Si, Se and mixtures thereof.

In a preferred embodiment, the liquid foliar nutrient composition further comprises:

- (iii) up to 30% on a dry weight active basis of a surfactant to enable a stable useable dispersion of the oil and the essential element(s) to be formed, the surfactant selected from the group consisting of polymeric, nonionic, cationic, amphoteric and anionic surfactants and mixtures thereof.

The oil must be liquid and crop safe. Preferred mineral oils have a carbon number in the range of 12 to 30, are low in aromatic compounds and have a viscosity (at 40°C) in the range of approximately 5 to 50 cSt. Suitable vegetable oils include all liquid vegetable oils. Preferably, the vegetable oils are refined so as to remove gums and excess acidity to ensure that the liquid emulsion composition is stable, liquid and sprayable. Preferably, the amount of oil used in the composition is in the range of from 5 to 50% w/w, more preferably 5 to 30 % w/w, and most preferably 5 to 20 % w/w.

Suitable esters of fatty acids include alkyl esters of fatty acids wherein:

- the alkyl moiety may be methyl, ethyl, propyl or butyl; and
- the fatty acids are from any natural or synthetic source, are saturated and/or unsaturated and the majority of the fatty acids have a carbon number of from 12 to 22.

A typical embodiment are methyl esters of canola oil, where the major component is methyl oleate.

Where an aliphatic alcohol is the oil of choice, it may be selected from primary or secondary alcohols having a carbon number of from 8 to 22 and may be saturated or unsaturated. A typical embodiment is a C-12 Guerbet alcohol.

The essential element of the foliar nutrient composition is selected from the group consisting of Fe, Mn, B, Cu, Mo, Co, Ni, Zn, Ca, Mg, Si, Se and mixtures thereof. The preferred essential elements are the transition metals and alkaline earth metals, namely Fe, Mn, Cu, Mo, Co, Ni, Zn, Ca, Mg and mixtures thereof. The most preferred essential elements are the transition metal elements, namely Fe, Mn, Cu, Mo, Co, Ni, Zn and mixtures thereof.

These essential elements are generally available as water-soluble forms, such as water soluble salts, chelates or complexes. The essential elements may have differing states of hydration/solvation and/or exist as different polymorphs. For example, zinc could be incorporated into a formulation according to the invention as zinc chloride or zinc sulphate (water-soluble salts). Other examples of suitable essential elements include manganese chloride tetrahydrate, magnesium chloride, calcium chloride, boric acid, and boric acid-diethanolamine adduct.

When formulating with water soluble salts of essential elements, those with high solubility are preferred such as the chlorides, nitrates, sulphates or carbonates. Other issues, such as incompatibility between different ingredients, redox reactions and formation of insoluble salts, need to be taken into account when preparing compositions according to the invention, particularly when formulating with more than one essential element.

The essential elements may also be present in an oil soluble form such as an oil soluble salt or chelate. Examples of an oil soluble salts are zinc 2-ethylhexanoate and copper abietate.

The amount of essential element used in the composition will depend on the particular essential element(s) used and on the particular form of the essential element. There are different ways of reporting the quantity of essential elements in foliar nutrient products. Some systems report w/v and others w/w, either as the element or as a common salt form of the element (eg 200 g/l Zn calculated as ZnO). In this patent specification, the amount of essential element in the compositions according to the invention is % w/w calculated as the element. The compositions in Table 1 of Example 1 show the proportion of ingredients input so a calculation is required to determine the amount of essential element in each composition. For example, a greater weight of the boric acid-diethanolamine adduct would be used than if boric acid is used to provide an equivalent amount of the essential element boron. Preferably, the amount of essential elements used in the composition is in the range of from 0.5 to 50% w/w, more preferably 1 to 50% w/w, and most preferably 2 to 30% w/w.

In certain embodiments, where the essential element is in an oil-soluble form, then the essential element can simply be dissolved into the oil to form an oil solution.

In certain embodiments, where the essential element is in a water-soluble form, then an emulsion of an aqueous solution of the essential element(s) and oil is prepared using a

surfactant. The emulsion may be of any form including a micro-emulsion or a coarse emulsion depending on the particular salt or chelate and the surfactant used.

In certain embodiments, where more than one essential element is used and at least one essential element is in an oil-soluble form and at least one essential element is in a water-soluble form, then the formulation according to the invention may be an emulsion comprising the oil-soluble essential element in the oil phase and the water soluble essential element in the water phase.

In the embodiments, where a surfactant is used to prepare an emulsion, the surfactant's role will be multi-faceted. It will enable the oil and essential elements to form a homogeneous liquid. It will also allow the formulation to be dispersible into water for spray application and may help the spray application to adhere to and spread across foliar surfaces and to promote foliar uptake.

In the embodiments where an oil-soluble essential element is dissolved in oil, it may be desired to also add a surfactant to act as a dispersing agent and potentially help the spray application to adhere to and spread across foliar surfaces and to promote foliar uptake.

Preferably, the amount of surfactant used in the composition is in the range of from 0.1 to 30% w/w, more preferably 0.1 to 20% w/w, and most preferably 1 to 18% w/w.

Suitable surfactants can be selected from the group consisting of:

- nonionic surfactants such as alcohol alkoxylates, alkylamine oxides, fatty acid ethoxylates, fatty acid glycerides, sorbates, polysorbates, and alkyl polyglucosides,
- anionic surfactants such as calcium dodecylbenzene sulphonate, sulphated or phosphated fatty alcohols/fatty alcohol polyethers, fatty acid salts, alkyl polyether carboxylates and their salts,
- polymeric surfactants such as high molecular weight polymeric surfactants having a molecular weight in the range 2000 to 12000,
- amphoteric surfactants such as betaines,
- cationic surfactants such as alkylquaternary amines, and
- mixtures thereof.

Examples of suitable high molecular weight polymeric surfactants having a molecular weight in the range 2000 to 12000, which are typically linear, include:

- Polyalkylene oxide block copolymers (namely ethylene oxide-propylene oxide block copolymers of butanol) such as Atlas™ G-5000, Termul™ 5429 or Tergitol™ XJ, XD or XH;
- Polyisobutene succinic anhydride – polyethylene glycol such as Atlox™ 4914;
- Polyhydroxystearate polyethers such as Atlox™ 4912, or
- Polyalkylene oxide triblock copolymers of the type EO/PO/EO (where EO is ethylene oxide and PO is propylene oxide) such as Synperonic™ PE/F 127 and Poloxamer™ 338.

Some surfactants may be responsible for undesirable side-effects when applying foliar nutrients to certain crops. For example, some surfactants may increase the risk of crop phytotoxicity, foaming, driftable fines and toxicity to non-target organisms such as insects and aquatic life and as such there may be a need for foliar nutrient products containing low levels of total surfactants.

In certain embodiments, it is preferable for the liquid foliar nutrient composition to contain a low level of surfactant. For example, such liquid foliar nutrient compositions may comprise an amount in the range of from 0.1 to 5% w/w of at least one of the high molecular weight polymeric surfactants having a molecular weight in the range 2000 to 12000. The composition may contain other surfactants to assist with application of the composition. Preferably, the ratio of total surfactants (both the high molecular weight polymeric surfactants and the surfactants to assist with application) in the composition to oil is less than 1:3, more preferably less than 1:4, and most preferably less than 1:6. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the liquid foliar nutrient compositions contain no more than 6% w/w total surfactant, more preferably less than 5% w/w.

Preferably, the composition may include other additives such as acidifiers and coupling agents which may be desirable to help the form of the composition. Examples of acidifiers include lactic acid, propionic acid and citric acid. Examples of suitable coupling agents include alcohols, amines, alkylcarbonates, glycols and glycol ethers such as glycerine,

dipropylene glycol, urea, ammonium acetate, aqueous ammonia and dipropylene glycol monomethyl ether.

Compositions according to the invention may comprise components which provide some of the major elements normally provided to such plants. Whilst these major elements are not the focus of this invention, one or more of them may be present in compositions according to the invention, either deliberately or as a natural consequence of the choice of ingredients. For example, the urea, nitrogen containing surfactants and ammonium acetate coupling agents will also provide some nitrogen supplementation. Other major elements which may be included are phosphorous, potassium and sulphur. For example, if the essential element is provided as a sulphate or phosphate salt then the plant will also receive some sulphur or phosphorous. Nitrogen supplementation can also be achieved by adding amino acid solution to the composition.

Compositions according to the invention increase the uptake of the essential elements by the crop after foliar application. Further, the increase obtained by specifically formulating the essential elements with oil in a composition according to the invention is significantly more than that obtained by simply adding individual oil-based adjuvants to the tank mix.

According to a second aspect of the invention, there is provided a method for increasing the foliar uptake by a crop of essential elements, the method comprising the steps of:

- (a) preparing a liquid foliar nutrient composition comprising:
 - (i) an amount in the range of from 5 to 80% by weight (preferably 5 - 50%) of an oil selected from the group consisting of mineral oils, vegetable oils, esters of fatty acids, aliphatic alcohols and mixtures thereof; and
 - (ii) an amount up to 80% by weight of an essential element selected from the group consisting of Fe, Mn, B, Cu, Mo, Co, Ni, Zn, Ca, Mg, Si, Se and mixtures thereof; and
- (b) administering the composition to the crop.

In a preferred embodiment, the liquid foliar nutrient composition in step (a) further comprises:

- (iii) up to 30% on a dry weight active basis of a surfactant to enable a stable useable dispersion of the oil and the essential element(s) to be formed, the

surfactant selected from the group consisting of polymeric, nonionic, cationic, amphoteric and anionic surfactants and mixtures thereof.

As per current common practice, the method may further comprise adding organic matter or combinations of N, P, K or S to the crop.

5 **Examples**

Various embodiments/aspects of the invention will now be described with reference to the following non-limiting examples.

Example 1

In this example, various formulations according to the invention were prepared.

10 Table 1 shows a range of formulations prepared according to the invention. All the formulations presented in Table 1 are stable homogeneous liquids which can be easily dispersed into water for spray application.

Formulations A, B, C, D, H, I, J and K are micro-emulsion formulations where an aqueous solution containing essential element(s) in salt form is emulsified with an oil using
15 surfactants.

Formulation G is a stable coarse emulsion prepared using polymeric surfactants with a ratio of total surfactants to oil of 4:15.

Formulations E and F are oil solutions where the zinc has been dissolved in the oil as an oil-soluble salt.

20 Formulation L is an emulsion product where the essential element copper is provided as an oil soluble salt in the oil phase and the essential element magnesium is provided as a water soluble salt in the aqueous phase. Formulation L was prepared using polymeric surfactants with a ratio of total surfactants to oil of 3:28.

Formulation I contains a boric acid – diethanolamine adduct containing approximately
25 15.4%w/w B which was prepared by reacting 3 moles of boric acid with one mole of diethanolamine (CAS No. 68954-07-4).

Table 1

Component	Function	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
Water	Solvent	24.5	28.6	22.9	33.8	-	-	60.0	35.0	21.3	19.0	12.5	33.0
Zinc Chloride	Nutrient	7.8	42.9	-	40.5	-	-	21.0	-	-	-	8.3	-
Zinc 2-Ethylhexanoate	Nutrient	-	-	-	-	49.0	25	-	-	-	-	-	-
Manganese Chloride tetrahydrate	Nutrient	10.1	-	30.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Magnesium Chloride, anhydrous	Nutrient	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.5	-	-	-	16.0
Copper Abietate	Nutrient	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0
Boric acid	Nutrient	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Boric Acid – Diethanolamine adduct*	Nutrient	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41.0	-	-	-
Calcium Chloride, anhydrous	Nutrient	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13.3	-	-
Amino acid solution 60%	Nitrogen based nutrient	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41.6	-
Esterol 112 – methyl ester of fatty acids	Oil	13.8	7.2	12.3	6.8	43.8	75	15.0	14.9	11.5	23.7	16.7	28.0
Lactic acid 88%	Acidifier	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	1.7	-	-
Propionic acid	Acidifier	-	-	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Citric acid	Acidifier	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	-
Alkadet 15	Surfactant	8.7	8.2	13.4	6.8	-	-	-	9.4	15.5	11.4	8.3	-
Vicamox 401	Surfactant	8.7	10.2	8.2	-	-	-	-	9.9	3.3	11.4	-	-
Teric DD5	Surfactant	6.1	1.9	2.3	2.2	-	-	-	2.5	4.8	10.1	-	-
Vicamid 825	Surfactant	-	-	5.2	1.1	-	-	-	4.8	-	-	4.2	-
Unisol 862S	Surfactant	-	-	-	7.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Esterol 244	Surfactant	-	-	-	-	7.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Esterol 263	Surfactant	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	-	-	-	-	-
Teric 12A3	Surfactant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2	-
Teric 16A22	Surfactant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0-
Atlas G-5000	Surfactant	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	1.0
Dipropylene Glycol	Coupling agent	2.4	1.0	-	1.5	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-
Urea	Coupling agent	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.7	-	-
Ammonium acetate	Coupling agent	14.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aqueous Ammonia 25%	Coupling agent	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	4.2	-
Glysolv DPM	Coupling agent	-	-	4.1	-	-	-	-	3.0	-	4.7	-	-

Example 2

In this example, formulations according to the invention were tested to determine foliar uptake of zinc into the foliage and grain of a wheat crop.

Methodology and Results

5 Table 2 shows the treatment list and results for the field experiment. Seven (7) products were compared and each was applied at a low rate (100g Zn/ha) and high rate (400g Zn/ha) to a wheat crop in zinc deficient soil at the 3-4 leaf stage (Zn 13-14) using a hand-held boom spray applying 100 l/ha. The results reported are an average of four replicates (plot size 2 x 20m). Analysis was of the youngest emerged blade 14 days after treatment for Zn
10 content of the foliage and for zinc content of the grain at harvest.

In the table,

- Deluge 1000 is a wetting agent sourced from Victorian Chemical Company Pty Ltd;
- Hasten is an oil-based adjuvant sourced from the Victorian Chemical
15 Company Pty Ltd; and
- Smartrace Zinc is a nutrient sourced from SprayGro.

Discussion

The results of the field experiment show that the oil-containing formulations according to the invention (Formulations B, D, E & G) when applied at the high rate (400g Zn/ha) all
20 promoted a greater uptake of zinc than the commercial products. This was exhibited in both the foliage and in the grain.

Treatment 5 consisted of a high application rate of zinc (400g/ha) with tank mix adjuvants Deluge 1000 (wetting agent) & Hasten (esterified vegetable oil). The individual adjuvants appeared to enhance the uptake of zinc (cf Treatment 3), but not as significantly as the
25 formulations according to the invention where zinc was co-formulated with the oil and surfactants.

Table 2

Treatment List and Results- Trial 1					
No.	Description	Rate g Zn/ha	Zn Product (Nutrient)	Zn Content Foliage (mg/kg)	Zn Content Grain (mg/kg)
1	UTC (<i>untreated control</i>)		None	16	12.75
2	Commercial Standard	100g/ha	ZnSO ₄	15	13.5
3	Commercial Standard	400g/ha	ZnSO ₄	17.5	14.75
4	Commercial Standard + Adjuvants	100g/ha	ZnSO ₄ Deluge 1000 Hasten	15	13.25
5	Commercial Standard + Adjuvants	400g/ha	ZnSO ₄ Deluge 1000 Hasten	24	15.5
6	Formulation B	100g/ha	Formulation B	19	14.25
7	Formulation B	400g/ha	Formulation B	39	20
8	Formulation D	100g/ha	Formulation D	18.5	13.75
9	Formulation D	400g/ha	Formulation D	39.5	18.75
10	Formulation E	100g/ha	Formulation E	18	14.5
11	Formulation E	400g/ha	Formulation E	39.5	18.25
12	Commercial Chelate	100g/ha	Smartrace Zinc	17	13.5
13	Commercial Chelate	400g/ha	Smartrace Zinc	20	14.25
14	Formulation G	100g/ha	Formulation G	20.5	14
15	Formulation G	400g/ha	Formulation G	45	20.25

Example 3

In this example, formulations according to the invention were tested to determine foliar uptake of zinc into the foliage and grain of a wheat crop (grain analysis not available at time of publishing).

Methodology and Results

All formulations were again applied at low (100g/ha) and high (400g/ha) rates of zinc. In this experiment zinc chloride (with and without adjuvants) was also included as a reference, given that it is the form of zinc used in Formulations B & K.

The experimental adjuvants (VCC-19821.24 and VCC-19821.25) included in this experiment were formulations similar to the foliar nutrient compositions according to the

invention but without any essential element. In particular, VCC-19821.25 is similar to Formulation B but does not contain any ZnCl_2 and VCC-19821.24 is similar to Formulation K also without any ZnCl_2 .

Table 3

Treatment List and Results – Trial 2				
No.	Description	Rate g Zn/ha	Zn Product (Nutrient)	Zn Content Foliage (mg/kg)
1	UTC (<i>untreated control</i>)		None	23.5
2	Commercial Standard	100g/ha	ZnSO_4	27.0
3	Commercial Standard	400g/ha	ZnSO_4	27.5
4	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	100g/ha	ZnSO_4 Deluge 1000	30.0
5	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	400g/ha	ZnSO_4 Deluge 1000	27.5
6	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	100g/ha	ZnSO_4 Hasten	29.5
7	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	400g/ha	ZnSO_4 Hasten	33.0
8	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	100g/ha	ZnSO_4 VCC-19821.25	25.5
9	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	400g/ha	ZnSO_4 VCC-19821.25	37.0
10	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	100g/ha	ZnSO_4 VCC-19821.24	26.5
11	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	400g/ha	ZnSO_4 VCC-19821.24	32.5
12	Commercial Standard	100g/ha	ZnCl_2	24.4
13	Commercial Standard	400g/ha	ZnCl_2	29.5
14	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	100g/ha	ZnCl_2 VCC-19821.25	37.0
15	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	400g/ha	ZnCl_2 VCC-19821.25	40.0
16	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	100g/ha	ZnCl_2 VCC-19821.24	28.5
17	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	400g/ha	ZnCl_2 VCC-19821.24	41.5
18	Formulation B	100g/ha	Formulation B	29.0
19	Formulation B	400g/ha	Formulation B	55.0
20	Formulation K	100g/ha	Formulation K	28.0
21	Formulation K	400g/ha	Formulation K	31.5

5 Discussion

The results show that Formulation B (especially at the higher rate of 400g/ha – Treatment 19) resulted in a greater amount of zinc in the foliage compared with zinc sulphate or zinc chloride with or without the various adjuvants. This experiment also confirmed that enhanced zinc uptake as shown in Example 1 could not be attributed to the salt form of

zinc applied as the zinc chloride (with or without adjuvants) did not result in a greater zinc uptake than zinc sulphate.

Example 4

In this example, formulations according to the invention were tested to determine foliar uptake of boron into the foliage and developing fruit.

Methodology and results

Boron treatments were applied in a manner typical of local practices. Three applications (following bud burst, petal fall and 14 days after petal fall) were made at low (75g/ha) and high (150g/ha) rates of boron applied at water volume of 1500 l/ha with four replicates. Fruitlets were sampled and dried 20 days after the last application and analyzed for boron content.

In the table, Bortrac (YARA Bortrac 150) is a commercial boron containing product containing 15%w/v boron supplied by Yara.

Table 4

Treatment List and Results – Trial 4				
No.	Description	Rate g B/ha	B Product (Nutrient)	B Content Apple Fruitlet (mg/kg)
1	UTC (<i>untreated control</i>)		None	45.5
2	Commercial Standard	75g/ha	Bortrac	52.5
3	Commercial Standard	150g/ha	Bortrac	59.5
4	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	75g/ha	Bortrac Deluge 1000	57.5
5	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	150g/ha	Bortrac Deluge 1000	61.5
6	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	75g/ha	Bortrac Hasten	59.0
7	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	150g/ha	Bortrac Hasten	67.5
8	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	75g/ha	Bortrac VCC-19821.25	55.5
9	Commercial Standard + Adjuvant	150g/ha	Bortrac VCC-19821.25	72
10	Formulation I	75g/ha	Formulation I	58.5
11	Formulation I	150g/ha	Formulation I	75.5

Discussion

The results from this experiment show that Formulation I according to the invention generally results in a greater boron content in the fruitlets than the commercial standard, with or without adjuvants, when applied at equivalent rates.

- 5 The word 'comprising' and forms of the word 'comprising' as used in this description and in the claims does not limit the invention claimed to exclude any variants or additions.

Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the invention described herein is susceptible to variations and modifications other than those specifically described. It is to be understood that the invention includes all such variations and modifications. The invention also
10 includes all of the steps, features, compositions and compounds referred to or indicated in this specification, individually or collectively, and any and all combinations of any two or more of said steps or features.

Claims

1. A liquid foliar nutrient composition comprising:
 - (i) an amount in the range of from 5 to 80% by weight of an oil selected from the group consisting of mineral oils, vegetable oils, esters of fatty acids, aliphatic alcohols and mixtures thereof; and
 - (ii) an amount up to 80% by weight of an essential element selected from the group consisting of Fe, Mn, B, Cu, Mo, Co, Ni, Zn, Ca, Mg, Si, Se and mixtures thereof.
2. The composition according to claim 1 further comprising:
 - (iii) an amount up to 30% on a dry weight active basis of a surfactant to enable a stable useable dispersion of the oil and the essential element to be formed, the surfactant selected from the group consisting of polymeric, nonionic, cationic, amphoteric and anionic surfactants and mixtures thereof.
3. The composition according to either of claims 1 or 2 wherein the essential element is in an oil-soluble form.
4. The composition according to claim 2 wherein the essential element is in a water-soluble form.
5. The composition according to any one of claims 2 to 4 wherein the essential element is a mixture of at least one oil-soluble essential element and at least one water-soluble essential element.
6. The composition according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the oil is present in an amount in the range of from 5 to 20 % w/w.
7. The composition according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the essential element is present in an amount in the range of from 0.5 to 50% w/w.
8. The composition according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the essential element is present in an amount in the range of from 1 to 50% w/w.
9. The composition according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the essential element is present in an amount in the range of from 2 to 30% w/w.

10. The composition according to any one of claims 2 to 9 wherein the surfactant is present in an amount in the range of from 0.1 to 30% w/w.
11. The composition according to any one of claims 2 to 10 wherein the surfactant is present in an amount in the range of from 0.1 to 20% w/w.
12. The composition according to any one of claims 2 to 11 wherein the surfactant is present in an amount in the range of from 1 to 18% w/w.
13. The composition according to any one of the preceding claims further comprising additives selected from the group consisting of acidifiers, coupling agents, major elements and mixtures thereof.
14. A method for increasing the foliar uptake by a crop of essential elements, the method comprising the steps of:
 - (a) preparing a liquid foliar nutrient composition comprising:
 - (i) an amount in the range of from 5 to 80% by weight (preferably 5 - 50%) of an oil selected from the group consisting of mineral oils, vegetable oils, esters of fatty acids, aliphatic alcohols and mixtures thereof; and
 - (ii) an amount up to 80% by weight of an essential element selected from the group consisting of Fe, Mn, B, Cu, Mo, Co, Ni, Zn, Ca, Mg, Si, Se and mixtures thereof; and
 - (b) administering the composition to the crop.
15. The method according to claim 14, wherein the liquid foliar nutrient composition in step (a) further comprises:
 - (iii) up to 30% on a dry weight active basis of a surfactant to enable a stable useable dispersion of the oil and the essential element(s) to be formed, the surfactant selected from the group consisting of polymeric, nonionic, cationic, amphoteric and anionic surfactants and mixtures thereof.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2011/000185

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

Int. Cl.

C05G 5/00 (2006.01)

C05D 9/00 (2006.01)

C05F 11/10 (2006.01)

C05D 5/00 (2006.01)

C05F 11/00 (2006.01)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

Wpi, Medline, Epodoc, CA, Agricola and keywords: oil, ester, fatty acid, alcohol, essential element, nutrient, surfactant and related terms

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2007/0078057 A1 (ROWLEY, K. R. et al.) 5 April 2007 See abstract, paragraphs [0009]-[0013], [0019], [0127], [0128]	1-15
X	WO 2002/080673 A1 (BROUARD, R. W.) 17 October 2002 See abstract, page 1 lines 13-25, page 2 line 9 to page 3 line 3, paragraph bridging pages 3 and 4, page 4 lines 3-13, paragraph bridging pages 4 and 5, claims 1-12	1-15
X	WO 1999/009831 A1 (AJAY NORTH AMERICA) 4 March 1999 See abstract, page 4 line 5 to page 8 line 19, page 14 line 1 to page 15 line 11, examples	1-5, 7-15



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C



See patent family annex

* Special categories of cited documents:	
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

21 April 2011

Date of mailing of the international search report

29 APR 2011

Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU

AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: pct@ipaaustralia.gov.au
Facsimile No. +61 2 6283 7999

Authorized officer

LEAH WALKER

AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
(ISO 9001 Quality Certified Service)

Telephone No. +61 2 6225 6170

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2011/000185

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 1994/000009 A1 (NONOMURA, A. M. et al.) 6 January 1994 See abstract, page 4 line 12 to page 13 line 23, examples	1-15
X	WO 2006/065397 A2 (CHAMBLEE, R. K.) 22 June 2006 See abstract, [Para 9], [Para 13], [Para 17], claims	1-3, 6-15
X	WO 2008/127661 A1 (UNIQEMA AMERICAS, INC.) 23 October 2008 See abstract, paragraphs [00016]-[00067], [00081], examples	1-15
X	WO 2001/015536 A1 (GLOBAL SPILL CONTROL PTY. LIMITED) 8 March 2001 See abstract, page 2 line 19 to page 7 line 2, examples	1-15
L, A	Scotts Material Safety Data Sheet Miracle-Gro [Retrieved on 8 April 2011]. Retrieved from Internet: <URL: http://replay.waybackmachine.org/20090912203022/http://www.scottsaustralia.com.au/images/MSDS/Miracle_Gro_All_Purpose_Water_Soluble_Plant_Food.pdf > published 12 September 2009 as per Wayback Engine	
	See page 1 Item 3. Composition/Information on Ingredients	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/AU2011/000185

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member					
US	2007078057	AR	058035	GT	200600405	WO	2007030649
WO	2002080673	AP	1805	BR	0116963	CA	2442877
		CZ	20032701	EP	1379128	HK	1062129
		HR	20030897	HU	0400603	IL	158279
		MX	PA03009145	NO	20034295	NZ	528527
		PL	362842	SK	13592003	US	2004116292
		US	2010130360	YU	P78003		
WO	1999009831	AR	031634	AU	36523/02	AU	91239/98
		BR	9811401	EP	1018883	PA	8534401
		US	2001019728	WO	0245505		
WO	1994000009	AR	001154	AU	45361/93	AU	49936/96
		AU	93913/98	BR	9306568	BR	9607690
		CA	2137376	CA	2214795	CA	2297823
		CN	1111932	EP	0645962	EP	0813510
		EP	1032262	IL	106063	IL	117374
		MX	9303677	US	5597400	US	5846908
		US	6020288	US	6121195	WO	9627572
		WO	9912417	ZA	9304341	ZA	9601637
WO	2006065397	NONE					
WO	2008127661	AR	065986	AU	2008239638	CA	2682150
		CN	101677527	CO	6220874	EP	2144499
		KR	20100016447	MX	2009011001	US	2010160168
WO	2001015536	AU	66719/00	US	6939829		
Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.							
END OF ANNEX							