




- (51) **International Patent Classification:**
H01L 21/265 (2006.01) *H01L 21/205* (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**
PCT/US2016/025996
- (22) **International Filing Date:**
5 April 2016 (05.04.2016)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (71) **Applicant:** VARIAN SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATES, INC. [US/US]; 35 Dory Road, Gloucester, Massachusetts 01930 (US).
- (72) **Inventors:** KOO, Bon-Woong; 41 Juniper Road, Andover, Massachusetts 01810 (US). BHOSLE, Vikram M.; 134 Elm Street, North Reading, Massachusetts 01864 (US). FRONTIERO, John A.; 281R Granite Street, Rockport, Massachusetts 01966 (US).
- (74) **Agents:** FRAME, Robert C. et al.; Nields, Lemack & Frame, LLC, 176 E. Main Street, Suite 5, Westboro, Massachusetts 01581 (US).

- (81) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) **Title:** BORON IMPLANTING USING A CO-GAS**FIG. 4B**

(57) **Abstract:** An apparatus and methods of improving the ion beam quality of a halogen-based source gas are disclosed. Unexpectedly, the introduction of a noble gas, such as argon or neon, to an ion source chamber may increase the percentage of desirable ion species, while decreasing the amount of contaminants and halogen-containing ions. This is especially beneficial in non-mass analyzed implanters, where all ions are implanted into the workpiece. In one embodiment, a first source gas, comprising a processing species and a halogen is introduced into a ion source chamber, a second source gas comprising a hydride, and a third source gas comprising a noble gas are also introduced. The combination of these three source gases produces an ion beam having a higher percentage of pure processing species ions than would occur if the third source gas were not used.

BORON IMPLANTING USING A CO-GASFIELD

5 Embodiments relate to an apparatus and methods for improving ion beam quality in an ion implantation system, and more particularly, improving boron ion beam quality by using a co-gas.

BACKGROUND

10

Semiconductor workpieces are often implanted with dopant species to create a desired conductivity. For example, solar cells may be implanted with a dopant species to create an emitter region. This implant may be done using a variety of
15 different mechanisms. In one embodiment, an ion source is used.

In an effort to improve process efficiency and lower cost, in some embodiments, the ions extracted from the ion source are
20 accelerated directly toward the workpiece, without any mass analysis. In other words, the ions that are generated in the ion source are accelerated and implanted directly into the workpiece. A mass analyzer is used to remove undesired species from the ion beam. Removal of the mass analyzer implies that all
25 ions extracted from the ion source will be implanted in the workpiece. Consequently, undesired ions, which may also be generated within the ion source, are then implanted in the workpiece.

30 This phenomenon may be most pronounced when the source gas is a halogen-based compound, such as a fluoride. Fluorine ions and neutrals (metastable or excited) may react with the inner

surfaces of the ion source, releasing unwanted ions, such as silicon, oxygen, carbon, and aluminum and heavy metals present as impurity elements. Additionally, halogen ions may also be implanted into the workpiece.

5

Therefore, an apparatus and a method which improves beam quality, particular for embodiments in which halogen based source gasses are employed, would be beneficial.

10

SUMMARY

15

20

25

An apparatus and methods of improving the ion beam quality of a halogen-based source gas are disclosed. Unexpectedly, the introduction of a noble gas, such as argon or neon, to an ion source chamber may increase the percentage of desirable ion species, while decreasing the amount of contaminants and halogen-containing ions. This is especially beneficial in non-mass analyzed implanters, where all ions are implanted into the workpiece. In one embodiment, a first source gas, comprising a processing species and a halogen is introduced into a ion source chamber, a second source gas comprising a hydride, and a third source gas comprising a noble gas are also introduced. The combination of these three source gases may produce an ion beam having a higher percentage of pure processing species ions than would occur if the third source gas were not used.

30

In one embodiment, a method of implanting a workpiece is disclosed. The method comprises energizing a first source gas, comprising a processing species and fluorine, and neon in a chamber to form a plasma in the chamber; and extracting ions from the plasma and directing the ions toward the workpiece, wherein an amount of pure processing species ions extracted from

the plasma as a percentage of all processing species-containing ions increases by at least 5%, as compared to a baseline when neon is not used. In certain embodiments, an amount of pure processing species ions extracted from the plasma as a percentage of all processing species-containing ions increases by at least 10%, as compared to the baseline. In certain embodiments, a ratio of fluorine ions to processing species ions extracted from the plasma is decreased by at least 5%, as compared to the baseline. In certain embodiments, a beam current of pure processing species ions increases by at least 10%, as compared to the baseline.

In another embodiment, a method of implanting dopant into a workpiece is disclosed. The method comprises energizing a first source gas, comprising dopant and fluorine, a second source gas, comprising hydrogen and at least one of germanium and silicon, and neon in a chamber to form a plasma in the chamber; and accelerating ions from the plasma toward the workpiece, without using mass analysis, wherein between 20% and 90% of a total volume of gas introduced comprises neon and wherein a composition of the ions extracted from the plasma is affected by an introduction of neon. In certain embodiments, between 25% and 50% of the total volume of gas introduced comprises neon. In certain embodiments, the dopant comprises boron.

25

In another embodiment, an apparatus for processing a workpiece is disclosed. The apparatus comprises an ion source, having a chamber defined by chamber walls, wherein the ion source generates a plasma in the chamber; a first source gas container, containing a processing species and fluorine, in communication with the chamber; a second source gas container, containing hydrogen and at least one of silicon and germanium,

30

in communication with the chamber; a third source gas container, containing neon, in communication with the chamber; and a workpiece support to hold the workpiece, wherein the apparatus is configured to introduce neon into the chamber in an amount
5 sufficient to increase an amount of pure processing species ions extracted from the plasma as a percentage of all processing species-containing ions by at least 5%, as compared to a baseline when neon is not used. In certain embodiments, the dopant comprises boron. In certain embodiments, ions from the
10 plasma are directed toward the workpiece without being mass analyzed. In certain embodiments, between 20-90% of a total amount of gas introduced to the chamber comprises neon. In certain embodiments, the amount of neon is sufficient to increase a beam current of pure processing species ions by at
15 least 10% relative to the baseline.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

20 For a better understanding of the present disclosure, reference is made to the accompanying drawings, which are incorporated herein by reference and in which:

FIGs. 1A-C show workpiece processing systems according to different embodiments;

25 FIG. 2A is a representative graph of ion beam current as a function of argon gas concentration;

FIG. 2B is a second graph of ion beam current as a function of argon gas concentration;

30 FIG. 3 shows an implant system according to another embodiment;

FIG. 4A is a representative graph of the ion current as a function of neon gas concentration;

FIG. 4B is a second graph of the ion current as a function of neon gas concentration;

FIG. 5 is another embodiment of a workpiece processing system; and

5 FIG. 6 is another embodiment of a workpiece processing system.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

10

As described above, ionization of halogen-based species, such as fluorides, may cause particles released from the inner surfaces of the ion source to be implanted in the workpiece. These contaminants may include aluminum, carbon, oxygen,
15 silicon, fluorine-based compounds, and other unwanted species (including heavy metals present as impurity elements). One approach to address the damage caused by free halogen ions may be to introduce additional source gasses.

20

FIGS. 1A-1C show various embodiments of a workpiece processing system in which multiple source gasses may be introduced to an ion source. In each of these figures, there is an ion source 100. This ion source 100 includes a chamber 105
25 defined by plasma chamber walls 107, which may be constructed from graphite or another suitable material. This chamber 105 may be supplied with one or more source gasses, stored in one or more source gas containers, such as a first source gas container 170, via a gas inlet 110. This source gas may be energized by an
30 RF antenna 120 or another plasma generation mechanism to generate a plasma. The RF antenna 120 is in electrical communication with a RF power supply (not shown) which supplies

power to the RF antenna 120. A dielectric window 125, such as a quartz or alumina window, may be disposed between the RF antenna 120 and the interior of the chamber 105. The chamber 105 also includes an aperture 140 through which ions may pass. A negative
5 voltage is applied to extraction suppression electrode 130 disposed outside the aperture 140 to extract the positively charged ions in the form of an ion beam 180 from the plasma in the chamber 105 through the aperture 140 and toward the workpiece 160, which may be disposed on a workpiece support 165.
10 A ground electrode 150 may also be employed. In some embodiments, the aperture 140 is located on the side of the chamber 105 opposite the side containing the dielectric window 125. As shown in FIG. 1A, a second source gas may be stored in a second source gas container 171 and introduced to the chamber
15 105 through a second gas inlet 111. A third source gas may be stored in a third source gas container 172 and introduced to the chamber 105 through a third gas inlet 112. In another embodiment, shown in FIG. 1B, a second source gas may be stored in a second source gas container 171 and a third source gas may
20 be stored in a third source gas container 172. The second source gas and the third source gas may both be introduced to the chamber 105 through the same gas inlet 110 used by the first source gas. In yet another embodiment, shown in FIG. 1C, the second source gas and the third source gas may be mixed with the
25 first source gas in a single gas container 178. This mixture of gasses is then introduced to the chamber 105 through gas inlet 110.

In any of these embodiments, the first source gas, the
30 second source gas and the third source gas may be introduced simultaneously or sequentially to the chamber 105. While these figures show the use of three different source gasses, the

disclosure is not limited to any particular number. These figures intend to show various embodiments where multiple source gasses may be introduced to a chamber 105. However, other embodiments are also possible and within the scope of the disclosure.

FIGs. 1A-1C shows embodiments of a workpiece processing system. However, the disclosure is not limited to these embodiments. For example, FIG. 5 shows another embodiment of a workpiece processing system, which may be a beam line implanter 500. The beam line implanter 500 comprises an ion source 510, where source gasses are introduced. The ion source 510 may comprise a chamber having an aperture through which ions may be extracted. The first source gas may be stored in first source gas container 170, the second source gas may be stored in second source gas container 171 and the third source gas may be stored in third source gas container 172. These sources gasses may be introduced to the ion source 510 through gas inlet 110. Of course, these source gasses may be introduced in other ways, such as those shown in FIGs. 1A and 1C.

The ion source 510 generates ions by energizing the source gasses into a plasma. In certain embodiments, an indirectly heated cathode (IHC) may be used, although other mechanisms may be used to generate the plasma. Ions from the plasma are then accelerated through an aperture in the ion source 510 as an ion beam 180. This ion beam 180 is then directed toward a set of beam line components 520, which manipulate the ion beam 180. For example, the beam line components 520 may accelerate, decelerate or redirect the ions from the ion beam 180. In certain embodiments, the beam line components 520 may include a mass analyzer. The mass analyzer may be used to remove unwanted

species from the ion beam 180 before they impact the workpiece 160. The workpiece 160 may be disposed on a workpiece support 165.

5 FIG. 6 shows another workpiece processing apparatus that may be used with the present disclosure. This workpiece processing apparatus 600 includes a chamber 605 defined by plasma chamber walls 607. Like FIG. 1B, the chamber 605 may be in communication with a first source gas container 170, a second
10 source gas container 171 and a third source gas container 172 via gas inlet 110. However, in other embodiments, the source gasses may be configured as shown in FIGs. 1A or 1C. Further, like FIG. 1B, the apparatus may include a dielectric window 625 having an RF antenna 620 disposed thereon. Like FIG. 1B, the RF
15 antenna is used to generate a plasma within the chamber 605. Of course, other plasma generators may also be used. In this workpiece processing apparatus 600, the workpiece 160 is disposed within the chamber 605. A platen 610 is used to hold the workpiece 160. In certain embodiments, the platen 610 may be
20 biased to accelerate ions from the plasma toward the workpiece 160 in the form of an ion beam 180.

The first source gas, also referred to as the feed gas, may comprise a dopant, such as boron, in combination with fluorine.
25 Thus, the feed gas may be in the form of DF_n or D_mF_n , where D represents the dopant atom, which may be boron, gallium, phosphorus, arsenic or another Group 3 or Group 5 element. In other embodiments, the first source gas may comprise a processing species in combination with fluorine. Thus, although
30 the term "dopant" is used throughout this disclosure, it is understood that there are other processing species that may be used which may not be dopants. Thus, the first source gas

comprises a processing species and fluorine. In certain embodiments, the processing species is a dopant.

The second source gas may be a molecule having a chemical
5 formula of XH_n or X_mH_n , where H is hydrogen. X may be a dopant species, such as any of those described above. Alternatively, X may also be an atom that does not affect conductivity of the workpiece 160. For example, if the workpiece 160 comprises silicon, X may be a Group 4 element, such as silicon and
10 germanium. The third source gas may be a noble gas, such as helium, argon, neon, krypton and xenon.

In other words, the first source gas may be BF_3 or B_2F_4 , while the second source gas may be, for example, PH_3 , SiH_4 , NH_3 ,
15 GeH_4 , B_2H_6 , or AsH_3 . The third source gas may be a noble gas, such as helium, argon, neon, krypton or xenon, in each of these embodiments. This list represents possible species that may be used. It is understood that other species are also possible.

20 By combining the first source gas with the second source gas, the deleterious effects of the fluorine ions may be reduced. For example, without being limited to any particular theory, the introduction of hydrogen may create a film or coating on the dielectric window 125. This serves to protect the
25 dielectric window 125, which reduces the amount of contaminants originating from the dielectric window 125 that are contained in the extracted ion beam 180. In addition, the second source gas may coat the inner surfaces of the plasma chamber walls 107, which may be another source of contaminants. This coating may
30 reduce the interaction between fluorine ions and the inner surfaces of the plasma chamber walls 107, reducing the amount of contaminants generated.

The introduction of the second source gas may reduce the creation of contaminants and the incorporation of these contaminants in the ion beam 180. However, in some embodiments, the resulting ion beam produced using the first source gas and the second source gas may not contain a sufficient quantity of the desired ions.

FIG. 2A shows a plurality of bar graphs which show the ion species produced by an ion source using BF_3 as the first source gas and GeH_4 as the second source gas, with a varying amount of argon, which serves as the third source gas in this embodiment. In each of these bar graphs, the RF power was 8kW, and the combined flow rate of the BF_3 and GeH_4 was 18 sccm. Additionally, the ratio of BF_3 to GeH_4 was held constant at 9:1.

In each of the bar graphs, it can be seen that the ion source 100 ionizes the BF_3 to form boron ions (i.e. B^+), as well as BF_x^+ ions, where BF_x includes BF , BF_2 and BF_3 . Additionally, fluorine ions are created. Finally, a plurality of other ion species, which may be components of the second source gas or may be impurities, is also created.

As described above, the introduction of the second source gas may reduce the amount of contaminants introduced in the ion beam. As stated above, this may be significant when the ion beam is used to implant the workpiece without mass analysis.

Bar graph 250 shows the composition of an ion beam where no argon is introduced, also referred to as the baseline. As seen in line 200, in this configuration, nearly 69% of the ions in the ion beam are dopant-containing ions, where, in this example,

the dopant is boron. This metric is referred to as the boron fraction, or the dopant fraction. However, many of the dopant-containing ions also contain fluoride, such as in the form of BF^+ , BF_2^+ and BF_3^+ . In fact, as shown in line 210, only about 45% of the dopant-containing ions are pure dopant (i.e. B^+). This ratio is referred to as the boron purity percentage, or the dopant purity percentage. In other embodiments, this ratio may be referred to as the processing species purity percentage. Lastly, while 69% of the ion beam contains boron, a very large percentage of the ions also contain fluorine. In fact, line 220 shows the ratio of fluorine ions extracted as part of the ion beam 180 to dopant ions. The fluorine ions used in this ratio are a measure of all of the fluorine ions that are extracted. In other words, this includes pure fluorine ions (F_x^+), as well as ions that include other species, such as BF_x^+ . Each fluorine ion is individually counted; thus, for example, BF_2^+ is counted as two fluorine ions. The number of dopant ions is calculated in the same way. Line 220 shows that there are actually more fluorine ions than boron ions. This metric is referred to as the F/B ratio.

Bar graph 260 shows the composition of an ion beam where approximately 19% of the total gas introduced to the ion chamber is the third source gas, which may be argon in this embodiment. Note that the total beam current of dopant-containing ions (i.e. B^+ and BF_x^+) remains almost unchanged at about 360 mA. However, there is a change in the composition of the ion beam. Specifically, as seen on line 200, the boron fraction has decreased slightly, mostly due to the additional argon ions that have been created. However, surprisingly, as shown in line 210, the percentage of pure dopant ions as compared to the total number of dopant-containing ions (the boron purity percentage or

dopant purity percentage) has actually increased! In fact, the beam current of pure boron ions has also increased. Additionally, the ratio of fluorine ions to boron ions extracted as part of the ion beam (i.e. the F/B ratio), as shown in line 5 220, has also decreased unexpectedly to about 100%. Additionally, the beam current of fluoride ions has decreased as well. In other words, the introduction of argon as a third source gas affected the composition of the resulting ion beam. Specifically, the introduction of argon has increased the 10 formation of pure boron ions relative to the total number of boron-containing ions. Interestingly, the introduction of argon has also decreased the ratio of fluorine ions to boron ions. As stated above, in embodiments where mass analysis is not performed, these changes may improve the performance of the 15 implanted workpiece.

Many of these trends continue as a greater percentage of argon is introduced. Bar graph 270 shows the composition of the ion beam where about 32% of all gas introduced into the chamber 20 105 comprises argon. At this concentration, the beam current of boron-containing ions begins to decrease slightly, from 360 mA to about 320 mA. The boron fraction has also decreased slightly due to the increased number of argon ions. However, other metrics have improved. Specifically, the boron purity percentage 25 actually increased to nearly 50%. Additionally, the F/B ratio decreased to about 95%. Interestingly, the amount of other species, which includes all ions that are not boron-containing ions, fluorine ions or argon ions, actually decreases at this argon percentage. The beam current of fluorine ions also 30 decreases to less than about 20 mA.

Bar graph 280 shows the composition of the ion beam where about 48% of all gas introduced into the chamber 105 comprises argon. At this concentration, the beam current of boron-containing ions again decreases slightly, from 320 mA to about 290 mA. The boron fraction has also decreased slightly to about 60% due to the increased number of argon ions. However, other metrics have continued to improve. Specifically, the boron purity percentage actually increased to about 50%. Additionally, the F/B ratio decreased to about 90%. Again, the beam current of the other species has decreased as well. The beam current of fluorine ions also decreases to about 10 mA.

Surprisingly, the introduction of argon in very large percentages, such as up to about 50%, still results in improvements in many of the ion beam metrics. FIG. 2B shows many of these metrics represented in a different format. Specifically, the total beam current of boron-containing ions is shown in line 290. Note that the total boron-containing beam current remains above about 290 mA, even as the amount of argon increases to about 47% of the total gas introduced into the chamber 105. However, there is a decrease in the total boron-containing beam current as the amount of argon exceeds about 20%. Interestingly, the beam current of pure boron-containing ions, shown in line 291, increases as the amount of argon introduced into the chamber 105 increases to about 20%. However, at larger percentages of argon, the beam current of pure-containing ions decreases slightly. In fact, the pure boron beam current is about 160 mA with no argon, and increases to about 172 mA when about 20% of the total gas is argon. The pure boron beam current then decreases to about 145 mA as the argon percentage continues to increase. The F/B ratio is shown as line 292, which is identical to line 220 in FIG. 2A. As described

above, the F/B ratio decreases as the amount of argon increases throughout the range. Similarly, the boron fraction is shown as line 293, is identical to line 200 in FIG. 2A. Finally, the boron purity fraction is shown in line 294 and is identical to line 410 in FIG. 2A. FIG. 2B shows that, as the percentage of argon introduced into the chamber 105 increases, the total beam current of the boron-containing ions (line 290) decreases as the percentage of argon exceeds about 20%. The beam current of pure boron (line 291) also decreases as the percentage of argon exceeds about 20%. However, the boron purity fraction (line 294) increases throughout this entire range. Additionally, the ratio of fluorine ions to boron ions (the F/B ratio shown as line 292) decreases throughout this range. Finally, while there is a steady decrease in the boron fraction (line 293), the percentage of ions that contain boron remains above about 60% throughout the entire range.

Other noble gasses may also be used. For example, rather than using argon, neon may be used as the third gas.

FIGS. 4A-4B show a plurality of bar graphs that show the ion species produced by an ion source using BF_3 as the first source gas and GeH_4 as the second source gas, with a varying amount of neon, which serves as the third source gas in this embodiment. Like argon, the introduction of neon as the third gas has positive benefits on ion beam composition and other metrics. However, surprising, the amount of neon which may be introduced while still achieving these benefits is much greater than for argon. In fact, as shown in more detail below, positive benefits are achieved even when over 80% of the total gas introduced to chamber 105 is neon!

In each of these bar graphs, the RF power was 8kW, and the combined flow rate of the BF_3 and GeH_4 was 18 sccm. Additionally, the ratio of BF_3 to GeH_4 was held constant at 9:1.

5 As described above, in each of the bar graphs, it can be seen that the ion source 100 ionizes the BF_3 to form boron ions (i.e. B^+), as well as BF_x^+ ions, where BF_x includes BF , BF_2 and BF_3 . Additionally, fluorine ions are created. Finally, a plurality of other ion species, which may be components of the
10 second source gas or may be impurities, is also created.

Bar graph 450 shows the composition of an ion beam where no neon is introduced, also referred to as the baseline. As seen in line 400, in this configuration, nearly 75% of the ions in the
15 ion beam are dopant-containing ions, where, in this example, the dopant is boron. As described above, this metric is referred to as the boron fraction, or the dopant fraction. However, many of the dopant-containing ions also contain fluoride, such as in the form of BF^+ , BF_2^+ and BF_3^+ . In fact, as shown in line 410, only
20 about 41% of the dopant-containing ions are pure dopant (i.e. B^+). This ratio is referred to as the boron purity percentage, or the dopant purity percentage. In other embodiments, this ratio may be referred to as the processing species purity percentage. Lastly, while 75% of the ion beam contains boron, a very large
25 percentage of the ions also contain fluorine. In fact, line 420 shows the ratio of fluorine ions to dopant ions that are extracted as part of ion beam 180. The fluorine ions used in this ratio are a measure of all of the fluorine ions that are extracted. In other words, this includes pure fluorine ions
30 (F_x^+), as well as ions that include other species, such as BF_x^+ . Each fluorine ion is individually counted; thus, for example, BF_2^+ is counted as two fluorine ions. The number of dopant ions

is calculated in the same way. Line 420 shows that there are actually more fluorine ions than boron ions. This metric is referred to as the F/B ratio.

5 Bar graph 455 shows the composition of an ion beam where approximately 37.8% of the total gas introduced to the ion chamber is the third source gas, which may be neon in this embodiment. While FIG. 4A shows data using at least 37.8%, it is noted that positive benefits are observed where the percentage
10 of neon is as low as 20% Note that the total beam current of dopant-containing ions (i.e. B^+ and BF_x^+) has increased from about 420 mA when no neon is used, to about 440 mA. Additionally, there is a change in the composition of the ion beam. Specifically, as seen on line 400, the boron fraction has
15 decreased slightly, mostly due to the additional neon ions that have been created. However, surprisingly, as shown in line 410, the percentage of pure dopant ions as compared to the total number of dopant-containing ions (the boron purity percentage or dopant purity percentage) has actually increased! In fact, the
20 beam current of pure boron ions has also increased. Additionally, the ratio of fluorine ions to boron ions (i.e. the F/B ratio), as shown in line 420, has also decreased unexpectedly to about 105%. Additionally, the beam current of fluoride ions has decreased as well. In other words, the
25 introduction of neon as a third source gas affected the composition of the resulting ion beam extracted from the plasma. Specifically, the introduction of neon has increased the formation of pure boron ions relative to the total number of boron-containing ions. Interestingly, the introduction of neon
30 has also decreased the ratio of fluorine ions to boron ions. As stated above, in embodiments where mass analysis is not

performed, these changes may improve the performance of the implanted workpiece.

Each of these trends continues as a greater percentage of neon is introduced. Bar graph 460 shows the composition of the ion beam where about 54.9% of all gas introduced into the chamber 105 comprises neon. At this concentration, the beam current of boron-containing ions begins to decrease slightly, from 440 mA to about 430 mA. However, the beam current of boron-containing ions is still greater than the baseline. The boron fraction, shown as line 400, has also decreased slightly due to the increased number of neon ions. However, other metrics have improved. Specifically, the boron purity percentage, shown in line 410, actually increased to nearly 50%. Additionally, the F/B ratio, shown in line 420, decreased to about 100%. Interestingly, the amount of other species, which includes all ions that are not boron-containing ions, fluorine ions or neon ions, actually decreases at this neon percentage. The beam current of fluorine ions also decreases to less than about 40 mA.

Bar graph 465 shows the composition of the ion beam where about 64.6% of all gas introduced into the chamber 105 comprises neon. At this concentration, the beam current of boron-containing ions again decreases slightly, from 430 mA to about 420 mA. However, the beam current of boron-containing ions is still greater than in the baseline. The boron fraction, shown in line 400, has also decreased slightly to about 70% due to the increased number of neon ions. However, other metrics have improved. Specifically, the boron purity percentage, shown in line 410, actually increased to about 48%. Additionally, the F/B ratio, shown in line 420, decreased to under 100%. Again, the

beam current of the other species has decreased as well. The beam current of fluorine ions also remains relatively constant at about 20 mA.

5 Bar graph 470 shows the composition of the ion beam where about 70.9% of all gas introduced into the chamber 105 comprises neon. At this concentration, the beam current of boron-containing ions remains relatively constant at about 420 mA. However, the beam current of boron-containing ions remains
10 greater than in the baseline. The boron fraction has also decreased slightly to about 70% due to the increased number of neon ions. However, other metrics have improved. Specifically, the boron purity percentage, shown in line 410, actually increased to over 50%. Additionally, the F/B ratio, shown in
15 line 420, decreased to about 95%. Again, the beam current of the other species has decreased as well. The beam current of fluorine ions also remains relatively constant at about 20 mA.

 Bar graph 475 shows the composition of the ion beam where
20 about 75.3% of all gas introduced into the chamber 105 comprises neon. At this concentration, the beam current of boron-containing ions remains relatively constant at about 420 mA. The boron fraction, shown in line 400, has also decreased slightly to slightly under 70% due to the increased number of neon ions.
25 However, other metrics have improved. Specifically, the boron purity percentage, shown in line 410, actually increased to about 52%. Additionally, the F/B ratio, shown in line 420, decreased to about 90%. Again, the beam current of the other species has decreased as well. The beam current of fluorine ions
30 also decreased slightly to about 15 mA.

Bar graph 480 shows the composition of the ion beam where about 83.0% of all gas introduced into the chamber 105 comprises neon. At this concentration, the beam current of boron-containing ions decreases slightly to about 410 mA. The boron fraction, shown in line 400, has also decreased slightly to about 68% due to the increased number of neon ions. However, other metrics have improved. Specifically, the boron purity percentage, shown in line 410, actually increased to about 56%. Additionally, the F/B ratio, shown in line 420, decreased to about 80%. Again, the beam current of the other species has decreased as well. The beam current of fluorine ions also decreased slightly to about 15 mA. Surprisingly, even when 83% of the total gas is neon, the neon ion beam remains less than about 40 mA. This may be due to the high ionization energy of neon.

Surprisingly, the introduction of neon in very large percentages, such as between 20 and 90%, still results in improvements in many of the ion beam metrics. This is in contrast to argon, where the introduction of argon improved beam metrics up to a certain percentage, and then degraded those metrics. The fact that the amount of neon can be as great as 83% or more is an unexpected result. FIG. 4B shows many of these metrics represented in a different format. Specifically, the total beam current of boron-containing ions is shown in line 490. Note that the total boron-containing beam current remains above 400 mA, even as the amount of neon increases to about 83% of the total gas introduced into the chamber 105. Interestingly, the beam current of pure boron-containing ions, shown in line 491, increases as the amount of neon introduced into the chamber 105 increases. In fact, the pure boron beam current is about 175 mA at the baseline, which is when no neon is used, and increases

to about 230 mA when 83% of the total gas is neon. More specifically, when 37.8% neon is introduced, the pure boron beam current increases more than 10% relative to the baseline. At the baseline, the pure boron beam current is about 175 mA. This
5 increases to about 195 mA when 37.8% neon is introduced. This trend continues with increasing amounts of neon. For example, there is a 15% increase in pure boron beam current, relative to the baseline, when 64.6% neon is introduced. This increase is 20% or more for increased levels of neon. The F/B ratio is shown
10 as line 492, which is identical to line 420 in FIG. 4A. As described above, the F/B ratio decreases as the amount of neon increases throughout the range. Specifically, the F/B ratio is 112.6% at the baseline, when no neon is used. That F/B ratio drops more than 6% to 105.7% with the introduction of 37.8%
15 neon. As the amount of neon increases, the F/B ratio continues to drop. For example, at 54.9% neon, the F/B ratio is nearly 10% lower as compared to the baseline. At 75.3% neon, the F/B ratio drops more than 20% relative to the baseline. Similarly, the boron fraction is shown as line 493, is identical to line 400 in
20 FIG. 4A. Finally, the boron purity fraction is shown in line 494 and is identical to line 410 in FIG. 4A. This boron purity fraction, which represents the ratio of pure processing species ions to total processing species ions, increases by more than 6% when 37.8% neon is introduced, as compared to the baseline. At
25 54.9% neon, the boron purity fraction increases nearly 10% relative to the baseline. In fact, at high levels of neon dilution, the improvement in boron purity fraction relative to the baseline is more than 20%! Additionally, the number of pure dopant ions, or pure processing species ions, as a percentage of
30 the total ions, referred to as pure dopant ratio, also increases as neon is introduced in greater quantities. This pure dopant ratio is shown in line 495. For example, at the baseline, about

31% of all of the ions are pure dopant ions. However, at 37.8% neon, that pure dopant ratio increases by about 4% to 32.2%. At higher levels of neon, the percentage of pure dopant ions may increase by 10% or more, relative to the baseline. FIG. 4B shows that, as the percentage of neon introduced into the chamber increases, the total beam current of the boron-containing ions (line 490) remains roughly constant. However, metrics, such as the beam current of pure boron (line 491), the boron purity fraction (line 494), and the pure dopant ratio (line 495) all improve throughout this entire range. Additionally, the ratio of fluorine ions to boron ions (the F/B ratio shown as line 492) decreases throughout this range, with a large decrease as the percentage of neon exceeds about 60%. Finally, while there is a steady decrease in the boron fraction (line 493), the percentage of ions that contain boron remains above 70% throughout the entire range.

These unexpected results, shown in FIGs. 2A-2B and 4A-4B, have many benefits.

First, heavier dopant-containing ions, such as BF^+ , BF_2^+ and BF_3^+ tend to be implanted at a more shallow depth than pure dopant ions, such as B^+ . During the subsequent thermal treatment, these shallowly implanted ions are more likely to diffuse out of the workpiece. In other words, the total beam current of all dopant-containing ions may not be indicative of the amount of dopant that is actually implanted and retained in the workpiece. Without wishing to be bound to any particular theory, it is believed that the argon and neon metastables in the plasma may break down the larger dopant-containing ions into more desirable pure dopant ions.

Secondly, the implanting of fluorine, in any form, may be deleterious effects. The implanting of fluorine ions may cause defects in the workpiece, which affects its performance. The implanted fluorine may also cause the dopants to diffuse out
5 from the workpiece. Fluorine is also known to retard the dopant diffusion into the workpiece, making the annealed dopant profile shallow, which is not preferable for solar cell applications.

Third, the introduction of argon and/or neon has a limiting
10 effect on the generation of other species, also referred to as contaminants, that are generated. Without wishing to be bound to any particular theory, it is believed that these gasses stabilize the plasma, resulting in a reduction in chamber wall sputtering. Due to its large ionization cross-section, argon
15 and neon are relatively easy to ionize and stabilize the discharge. Because of this, the plasma is maintained at relatively low plasma potential, so that ion sputtering from the wall material can be reduced.

Fourth, during the implanting of the workpiece, the argon
20 and/or neon ions may sputter on the surface deposition layer of the workpiece. This may serve to remove any materials that are deposited during the implant process. Some of these materials may be difficult to remove via a wet chemistry process after the
25 implant.

Fifth, in the case of neon, high ionization energy implies that few neon ions are created. Further, these ions have a relatively low mass and therefore cause minimal damage to the
30 workpiece. Thus, neon may be used to improve the beam composition, without having few adverse effects.

Thus, an ion beam having reduced beam impurity and increased dopant purity can be created by using three source gasses. The first source gas, or feedgas, may be a species that contains both a dopant and fluorine, such as BF_3 or B_2F_4 . The
5 second source gas may be a species that contains hydrogen and either silicon or germanium, such as silane (SiH_4) or germane (GeH_4). The third source gas may be argon, neon or another noble gas. These three source gasses are introduced into a chamber 105 of an ion source 100, either simultaneously or sequentially,
10 where they are ionized. The ion source may use RF energy generated by RF antenna 120. In another embodiment, the ion source may utilize the thermionic emission of electrons using an IHC. Other methods of ionizing a gas may also be used by the ion source. Ions from all three source gasses are directed toward a
15 workpiece 160, where they are implanted into the workpiece 160. As described earlier, these ions may not be mass analyzed, meaning that all extracted ions are implanted into the workpiece 160.

20 In another example, the second source gas may include a dopant having the opposite conductivity. For example, the first source gas, or feedgas, may be a species than contains both boron and fluorine, such as BF_3 or B_2F_4 . The second source gas may be a species that contains hydrogen and a Group V element, such as
25 phosphorus, nitrogen or arsenic.

While FIGs. 2A-2B and 4A-4B shows the results when boron is used as the dopant in the first source gas, the disclosure is not limited to this embodiment. Other dopants, such as gallium,
30 phosphorus, arsenic or other Group 3 and Group 5 elements, may be used.

The above disclosure discusses that the third source gas may be introduced in amounts ranging from about 19% to about 48% for argon and from about 20% to 90% for neon. However, the disclosure is not limited to this range. In some embodiments, the third source gas may be introduced in amounts ranging from about 15% to about 90%. In other embodiments where the third source gas is argon, the third source gas may be introduced in amounts ranging from about 15% to about 40%. In other embodiments where the third source gas is argon, the third source gas may be introduced in amounts ranging from about 15% to about 50%. In certain embodiments where the third source gas is neon, the third source gas may be introduced in amounts ranging from about 20% to about 90%. In certain embodiments where the third source gas is neon, the third source gas may be introduced in amounts ranging from about 25% to 60%. In certain embodiments, where the third source gas is neon, the third source gas may be introduced in amount greater than 40%, such as between 40% and 90%. Additionally, the ratio of the first source gas to the second source gas may be about 9:1, although other ratios may also be used. The combined flow rate of the first source gas and the second source gas may be between 10 and 20 sccm.

While the above description discloses the use of three source gasses, in other embodiments, two source gasses may be used. For example, in some embodiments, as described above, the first source gas may be in the form of DF_n or D_mF_n , where D represents the dopant (or processing species) atom, which may be boron, gallium, phosphorus, arsenic or another Group 3 or Group 5 element. In certain embodiments, the second source gas is not used. Instead, only the first source gas and the third source gas are combined in the ion source 100. In this embodiment, the

flow rate of the first source gas may be between 10 and 30 sccm. In one embodiment where the third gas is argon, the third source gas may constitute between 15% and 40% of the total gas introduced to the chamber 105. In some embodiments where the
5 third gas is argon, the third source gas may be introduced in amounts ranging from about 15% to about 30%. In other embodiments where the third gas is argon, the third source gas may be introduced in amounts ranging from about 15% to about 40%. In other embodiments where the third gas is argon, the
10 third source gas may be introduced in amounts ranging from about 15% to about 50%. In certain embodiments where the third gas is neon, the third gas may be introduced in amounts ranging from about 20% to about 90%. In certain embodiments where the third source gas is neon, the third source gas may be introduced in
15 amounts ranging from about 25% to 60%. In certain embodiments, where the third source gas is neon, the third source gas may be introduced in amount greater than 40%, such as between 40% and 90%.

20 As described above, the introduction of a third gas, such as argon or neon, with the BF_x gas may affect the composition of the resulting ion beam. Specifically, the boron purity percentage may be increased, while the F/B ratio may decrease. In other words, the change in the composition of the ion beam may occur
25 without the use of the second source gas.

FIG. 3 shows another embodiment. In this embodiment, the ion source 300 has a chamber separator 390 disposed within the chamber, effectively separating the chamber into a first sub-
30 chamber 305a and a second sub-chamber 305b. Each of first sub-chamber 305a and second sub-chamber 305b has a respective aperture 340a, 340b. Additionally, the ground electrode 350 and

extraction suppression electrode 330 may be modified to have two openings, corresponding to apertures 340a, 340b. As before, the chamber has a dielectric window 125 and an RF antenna 120 disposed thereon. In this embodiment, the first source gas is stored in first source gas container 170 and is introduced to the second sub-chamber 305b through the gas inlet 110. The first source gas may be any of the species described above. The second source gas is stored in the second source gas container 171 and is introduced to the second sub-chamber 305b through the second gas inlet 111. The second source gas may be any of the species described above. As described with respect to FIG. 1B, in some embodiments, the first source gas container 170 and the second source gas container 171 may be connected to a single gas inlet. In another embodiment, illustrated in FIG. 1C, the first and second source gasses may be mixed in a single source gas container. Additionally, in some embodiments, the second source gas is not used, as described above. As described above, the ratio of the first source gas to the second source gas may be about 9:1, although other ratios may be used. The combined flow rate may be between 10 and 20 sccm. Argon may be stored in third source gas container 172 and introduced to the first sub-chamber 305a through the third gas inlet 112.

In this embodiment, an argon ion beam 380a is extracted through aperture 340a. Concurrently, a dopant ion beam 380b is extracted through aperture 340b. This dopant ion beam 380b contains boron-containing ions, as well as fluorine ions, and other ion species.

In FIG. 3, the argon ion beam 380a and the dopant ion beam 380b are parallel to one another so that they strike the workpiece 160 at different locations. In this embodiment, the

workpiece is scanned in the direction indicated by arrow 370. In this way, each location on the workpiece 160 is first implanted by dopant ion beam 380b, and then struck by argon ion beam 380a. As described above, the argon ion beam 380a may serve to sputter
5 deposition layer material from the surface of the workpiece 160, which was deposited during the implant of dopant ion beam 380b.

As explained above, the argon implant may remove material from the surface deposition layer, which is difficult to remove
10 using wet chemistry.

In another embodiment, the argon ion beam 380a and the dopant ion beam 380b are directed or focused so that they simultaneously strike a location on the workpiece 160. In this
15 embodiment, the workpiece 160 can be scanned in any direction.

In yet another embodiment, the two implants may be sequentially, such that the entire workpiece 160 is implanted by the dopant ion beam 380b. At a later time, an argon ion beam
20 380a is directed toward the workpiece 160.

In each of the embodiments described herein and associated with FIG. 3, the implants may be performed without mass analysis, such that all of the extracted ions strike the
25 workpiece.

While the embodiment of FIG. 3 was described using argon, it is possible that other gasses, such as neon, may be substituted for argon to achieve the same effect.

Furthermore, although the embodiments disclosed herein describe the use of argon and neon as the third source gas, the

disclosure is not limited to this embodiment. As stated above, other noble gasses, such as helium, krypton and xenon, may also be used as the third source gas. Alternatively, a combination of noble gasses may serve as the third source gas.

5

Additionally, the embodiments disclosed herein describe an implant process where a processing species, such as a dopant, is implanted into the workpiece 160. However, the disclosure is not limited to this embodiment. For example, other processes may be performed on a workpiece using the combinations of source gasses described herein. For example, deposition or etching processes may also be performed on the workpiece using the disclosed combination of source gasses.

15 The present disclosure is not to be limited in scope by the specific embodiments described herein. Indeed, other various embodiments of and modifications to the present disclosure, in addition to those described herein, will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art from the foregoing description and
20 accompanying drawings. Thus, such other embodiments and modifications are intended to fall within the scope of the present disclosure. Furthermore, although the present disclosure has been described herein in the context of a particular implementation in a particular environment for a particular purpose, those of ordinary skill in the art will
25 recognize that its usefulness is not limited thereto and that the present disclosure may be beneficially implemented in any number of environments for any number of purposes. Accordingly, the claims set forth below should be construed in view of the
30 full breadth and spirit of the present disclosure as described herein.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of implanting a workpiece, comprising:

energizing a first source gas, comprising a processing
species and fluorine, and neon in a chamber to form a
plasma in the chamber; and

extracting ions from the plasma and directing the ions
toward the workpiece, wherein an amount of pure
processing species ions extracted from the plasma as a
percentage of all processing species-containing ions
increases by at least 5%, as compared to a baseline
when neon is not used.

2. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

energizing a second source gas, comprising hydrogen and
at least one of silicon and germanium, in the chamber to
create ions from the second source gas; and

extracting ions created from the second source gas
from the plasma and directing the ions from the second
source gas toward the workpiece.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the ions are directed
toward the workpiece without mass analysis.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein an amount of pure
processing species ions extracted from the plasma as a
percentage of all processing species-containing ions
increases by at least 10%, as compared to the baseline.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein a ratio of fluorine ions
to processing species ions extracted from the plasma is
decreased by at least 5%, as compared to the baseline.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein a beam current of pure
processing species ions increases by at least 10%, as
compared to the baseline.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein neon comprises between 20-90% of total gas introduced into the chamber.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein the first source gas comprises BF_3 or B_2F_4 .

5 9. A method of implanting dopant into a workpiece, comprising:

energizing a first source gas, comprising dopant and fluorine, a second source gas, comprising hydrogen and at least one of germanium and silicon, and neon in a chamber to form a plasma in the chamber; and

10 accelerating ions from the plasma toward the workpiece, without using mass analysis,

wherein between 20% and 90% of a total volume of gas introduced comprises neon and wherein a composition of the ions extracted from the plasma is affected by an introduction of neon.

15 10. The method of claim 9, wherein between 25% and 50% of the total volume of gas introduced comprises neon.

11. The method of claim 9, wherein the dopant comprises boron.

20 12. An apparatus for processing a workpiece, comprising:

an ion source, having a chamber defined by chamber walls, wherein the ion source generates a plasma in the chamber;

25 a first source gas container, containing a processing species and fluorine, in communication with the chamber;

a second source gas container, containing hydrogen and at least one of silicon and germanium, in communication with the chamber;


30 a third source gas container, containing neon, in communication with the chamber; and


a workpiece support to hold the workpiece, wherein the apparatus is configured to introduce neon into the chamber in an amount sufficient to increase an amount of pure processing species ions extracted from the plasma as a percentage of all processing species-containing ions by at least 5%, as compared to a baseline when neon is not used.


13. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the processing species comprises boron.

14. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein ions from the plasma are directed toward the workpiece without being mass analyzed.

15. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein between 20-90% of a total amount of gas introduced to the chamber comprises neon.










FIG. 2A

5/9





FIG. 2B

6/9



7/9




FIG. 4A

8/9






FIG. 4B

9/9



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US2016/025996**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER****H01L 21/265(2006.01)i, H01L 21/205(2006.01)i**

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

H01L 21/265; C23C 14/06; C23F 1/00; H01J 37/08; H01J 37/20; H01J 37/317; G21K 5/10; H01L 21/205

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Korean utility models and applications for utility models

Japanese utility models and applications for utility models

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

eKOMPASS(KIPO internal) & keywords: neno, fluorine, silicon, ion, plasma, implant, boron

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2014-0322903 A1 (ENTEGRIS, INC.) 30 October 2014 See paragraphs [0024]-[0101] and figure 1.	1-7,9-10,12,14-15
Y		8,11,13
Y	WO 2012-037007 A2 (PRAXAIR TECHNOLOGY, INC. et al.) 22 March 2012 See paragraphs [0020]-[0024] and figure 1.	8,11,13
X	US 2014-0061501 A1 (ASHWINI K. SINHA et al.) 06 March 2014 See paragraphs [0008]-[0059] and figures 1-5.	1-7,9-10,12,14-15
Y		8,11,13
Y	US 2011-0309049 A1 (GEORGE D. PAPASOULIOTIS et al.) 22 December 2011 See paragraphs [0060]-[0157] and figure 1A.	8,11,13
A	US 2008-0237496 A1 (ATUL GUPTA) 02 October 2008 See paragraphs [0007]-[0038] and figures 2-3A.	1-15
E	US 2016-0163509 A1 (VARIAN SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT ASSOCIATES, INC.) 09 June 2016 See paragraphs [0021]-[0072], claims 1-20 and figures 1A-6.	1-15

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☒ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 March 2017 (10.03.2017)

Date of mailing of the international search report

13 March 2017 (13.03.2017)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR

International Application Division

Korean Intellectual Property Office

189 Cheongsa-ro, Seo-gu, Daejeon, 35208, Republic of Korea

Facsimile No. +82-42-481-8578

Authorized officer

CHO, Ki Yun

Telephone No. +82-42-481-5655



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2016/025996

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2014-0322903 A1	30/10/2014	US 2013-0264492 A1 US 2016-0046849 A1 US 8779383 B2 US 9171725 B2	10/10/2013 18/02/2016 15/07/2014 27/10/2015
WO 2012-037007 A2	22/03/2012	CN 103189956 A EP 2617050 A2 JP 05934222 B2 JP 2013-545217 A KR 10-2013-0102595 A SG 10201507319 A SG 188998 A1 TW 201234400 A US 2012-0235058 A1 WO 2012-037007 A3	03/07/2013 24/07/2013 15/06/2016 19/12/2013 17/09/2013 29/10/2015 31/05/2013 16/08/2012 20/09/2012 26/07/2012
US 2014-0061501 A1	06/03/2014	CN 104584183 A EP 2891172 A1 JP 2015-526876 A KR 10-1603482 B1 KR 10-2015-0030777 A KR 10-2016-0018874 A SG 11201500684 A US 8803112 B2 WO 2014-036064 A1	29/04/2015 08/07/2015 10/09/2015 14/03/2016 20/03/2015 17/02/2016 29/04/2015 12/08/2014 06/03/2014
US 2011-0309049 A1	22/12/2011	CN 103109342 A CN 103109342 B JP 05896572 B2 JP 2013-535074 A KR 10-2013-0085955 A TW 201203357 A US 9123509 B2 WO 2011-156813 A1	15/05/2013 12/10/2016 30/03/2016 09/09/2013 30/07/2013 16/01/2012 01/09/2015 15/12/2011
US 2008-0237496 A1	02/10/2008	CN 101681782 A CN 101681782 B JP 05517132 B2 JP 2010-522966 A KR 10-1438056 B1 KR 10-2010-0015890 A TW 200849309 A TW 1436400 B US 7655931 B2 WO 2008-121549 A1	24/03/2010 07/03/2012 11/06/2014 08/07/2010 05/09/2014 12/02/2010 16/12/2008 01/05/2014 02/02/2010 09/10/2008
US 2016-0163509 A1	09/06/2016	None	