




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : B03C 1/035	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/55236 (43) International Publication Date: 10 December 1998 (10.12.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/11816 (22) International Filing Date: 4 June 1998 (04.06.98) (30) Priority Data: 08/868,598 4 June 1997 (04.06.97) US (71) Applicants: GENZYME CORPORATION [US/US]; One Mountain Road, P.O. Box 9322, Framingham, MA 01701-9322 (US). PERMAG CORPORATION [US/US]; Dexter Magnetic Materials Division, One Elm Street, Windsor Locks, CT 06096 (US). (72) Inventors: STERMAN, Martin, D.; 43 Irving Street #4, Boston, MA 02114 (US). LITURI, Paul; 8 Great Brook Road, Milford, NH 03055 (US). STELTER, Richard, E.; 342 Knottingham Circle, Livermore, CA 94550 (US). (74) Agent: GOSZ, William, G.; Genzyme Corporation, One Mountain Road, P.O. Box 9322, Framingham, MA 01701-9322 (US).		(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report.</i>

(54) Title: MAGNETIC CELL SEPARATION DEVICE**(57) Abstract**

The magnetic pole device of the present invention has four polar magnets and any number of interpolar magnets adjacent to and in between said polar magnets. The interpolar magnets are positioned to progressively rotate towards the orientation of the four polar magnets. Such as magnetic device would create an even flux within a liquid sample and cause the radial movement of magnetized particles toward the inner wall of surrounding magnets.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

MAGNETIC CELL SEPARATION DEVICE

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In the field of biology, a technique for efficiently separating one type or class of cell from a complex cell suspension would have wide applications. For example, the ability to remove certain cells from a clinical blood sample that were indicative of a particular disease state could be useful as a diagnostic for that disease.

It has been shown, with limited success, that cells tagged with micron sized ($0.1\mu\text{m}$) magnetic or magnetized particles can be removed or separated from mixtures using magnetic devices that either repel or attract the tagged cells. For the removal of desired cells, i.e., cells which provide valuable information, the desired cell population is magnetized and removed from the complex liquid mixture (positive separation). In an alternative method, the undesirable cells, i.e., cells that may prevent or alter the results of a particular procedure, are magnetized and subsequently removed with a magnetic device (negative separation).

Several magnetic devices exist that can separate micron sized ($> 0.1\mu\text{m}$) magnetic particles from suspension. Particles of this size do not form a stable colloid and will settle out of the suspension. Smaller, colloidal particles ($< 0.1\mu\text{m}$) have a larger surface to volume ratio, are subject to random thermal (Brownian) motion, and are present in much greater numbers per unit mass. These properties make it more likely that colloidal particles will find a rare cell population among a much larger population of non-desired cells to allow positive selection. It is also likely that a greater percentage of the particular population of cells could be labeled and subsequently depleted by these numerous, mobile particles to allow negative selection.

However, smaller magnetic particles present unique problems. The magnetic force of attraction between these smaller particles and the separating magnet is directly related to the size (volume and surface area) of the particle. Small magnetic particles are weak

magnets. The magnetic gradient of the separating magnetic device must increase to provide sufficient force to pull the labeled cells toward the device.

A need exists for the development of a magnetic device capable of efficiently separating small magnetic particles from a liquid.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The magnetic pole device of the present invention has four polar magnets and any number of interpolar magnets adjacent to and in between said polar magnets. The interpolar magnets are positioned to progressively rotate towards the orientation of the four polar magnets. Such a magnetic device creates a high flux density gradient within the liquid sample and causes radial movement of magnetized particles toward the inner wall of the surrounding magnets.

In another aspect, the present invention relates to a method of separating non-magnetized cells from magnetized cells using the magnetic device of the present invention.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is an illustration of a top view (cross-section) of one version of the magnetic device of the present invention showing eight adjacent magnet segments with four (4) polar magnets and four (4) interpolar magnets.

Figure 2 is an illustration of another embodiment of the present invention showing the top of a rod-shaped magnet that is positioned in the center of the cylindrical space defined by the magnetic device of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The magnetic pole device of the present invention has four polar magnets and any number of interpolar magnets adjacent to and in between said polar magnets. The interpolar magnets are positioned to progressively rotate towards the orientation of the four polar magnets to form a cylinder. Such a magnetic device would create an even flux

within a liquid sample and cause the efficient radial movement of magnetized particles toward the inner wall of the surrounding magnets.

The phrase “north polar magnet” refers to a magnet positioned so that its north pole is positioned toward the interior of the magnetic device. “South polar magnet” refers to a magnet oriented so that its south pole faced the interior of the device.

The phrase “interpolar magnets” refer to the magnets positioned in between the north polar and south polar magnets and oriented so that an imagined line between the interpolar magnet’s north and south poles is approximately perpendicular to the center of the device, i.e., the interpolar magnet vectors are between the unlike interior poles of the polar magnets. Therefore, the polarity of the interpolar magnets is such that like poles abut toward the interior of the device. Superposition of the magnetic fields from all magnets results in a high gradient internal magnetic field. Abutting unlike poles on the exterior of the device results in a low reluctance outer return path with minimal external flux leakage. We believe that an infinite number of interpolar magnets with a progressive rotation of the magnetic vector would be optimum, as might be achieved with an isotropic magnetic material and a special magnetizing fixture. However, single, properly sized, interpolar magnets allow the use of high energy anisotropic magnets for the best performance per unit of cost.

The term “cylinder” as used herein is intended to include what is conventionally understood to mean a cylinder, a tube, a ring, a pipe or a roll and intended to include a cylinder that defines any shape between an octagon (such as would be found with the device depicted in Figure 1) and a circle. The dimensions (i.e. length and diameter) of the defined cylinder needs to be sufficiently large enough to accommodate the insertion of any test tube containing the liquid sample.

Magnets of the present invention can be constructed of iron, nickel, cobalt and generally rare earth metals such as cerium, praseodymium, neodymium and samarium. Acceptable magnets can be constructed of mixtures of the above listed metals (i.e. alloys) such as samarium cobalt or neodymium iron boron. Ceramic, or any other high coercivity material with intrinsic coercivity greater than the flux density produced by superposition where like magnetic poles abut materials, may be used as well.

In one embodiment of the present invention, the magnetic device comprises eight (8) magnets arranged at 45° intervals. Inward polarity of these magnets is illustrated in Figure 1. The magnets with two designations (i.e., N-S, S-N) are arranged such that the poles are perpendicular to the center sample volume. Magnetic flux is directed between the closest opposite poles.

In another embodiment of the present invention, the magnetic device further comprises a rod-shaped magnet that is positioned in the center of the cylindrical space defined by the magnetic device (see Figure 2). It is believed that such a rod-shaped magnet would contribute to cause the migration of magnetized substances toward the inner walls of the magnetic device of the present invention. The rod-shaped magnet could be attached to the inside of a test tube cap or stopper. The rod-shaped magnet would be inserted into the test tube and the attached test tube cap would seal the top of the test tube. The test tube would then be placed into the magnetic device of the present invention for the incubation step to separate the magnetized substances from the non-magnetized substances.

EXEMPLIFICATION

1) Debulking Procedure

21 ml of Percoll (Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ) were added to one 50 ml tube with cell trap (Activated Cell Therapies, Mountain View, CA). The Percoll was allowed to warm to room temperature. After reaching room temperature, the tube was centrifuged at 850g (2200RPM on Sorvall 6000B) for one minute to remove air bubbles.

An overlay of up to 30 ml whole blood were added to the tube and the tube was centrifuged at 850g (2200 RPM on Sorvall 6000B) for 30 minutes at room temperature. A layer containing peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) along with other cells appeared in the supernatant above the cell trap. The layer was collected by quickly dumping supernatant into a separate 50 ml polypropylene tube. The volume collected was about 25 ml.

The tube was then centrifuged at 200g (900-1000 RPM on Sorvall 6000B) for 10 minutes at room temperature. The supernatant was aspirated and the pellet was dispersed

with 1 ml of dilution buffer containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (BSA/PBS dilution buffer).

The debulked sample was then spiked with fetal liver mononuclear cells (FLMC). FLMC were counted using Hoechst DNA stain, applying the cells on to a filter and counting the stained cells using a microscope equipped with an ultraviolet light.

2) Magnetic Labeling

Mouse anti-CD45 (a leukocyte common antigen) (100 µg/ml) was diluted to 1 µg/ml by adding 2 µl of the antibody to 198 µl of the BSA/PBS dilution buffer. Goat anti-mouse antibody, tagged with magnetic particles purchased from Immunicon (Huntington Valley, PA), was diluted from a concentration of 500 µg/ml to 15 µg/ml by adding 30 µl of the tagged antibody (ferrofluid) to 970 µl of a dilution buffer provided by Immunicon (ferrofluid dilution buffer).

Resuspended debulked and spiked cells, debulked by the method described above, in 750 µl in the BSA/PBS dilution buffer in 2 ml tube. 200 µl of the diluted mouse anti-CD45 antibody was added to the resuspended cells. The cells and antibody were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes.

After the 15 minute incubation, 1 ml of the goat anti-mouse ferrofluid was added to the cells and allowed to incubate for an additional 5 minutes at room temperature.

3) Depletion

A 2 ml tube for each sample was placed into two magnetic devices, one being an eight (8) poled magnetic device shown in Figure 2 and one purchased from Immunicon (a four-poled magnetic device) and allowed to separate for 5 minutes at room temperature.

After the 5 minutes, a Pasteur pipette was used to remove a sample from the top center of the tube. The sample was transferred to a new 2 ml tube. The transferred cells were then centrifuged at 3500 RPM for 3 minutes and resuspended in the BSA/PBS dilution buffer in a volume as shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1

	Volume (ml)	Starting PBMC	Starting FLMC	Depletion Efficiency	FLMC Recovery
Immunicon quadrapole	1.5	3.5E+07	236	97.40%	74%
	1.5	3.5E+07	236	90.20%	62%
Genzyme	2.0	4.0E+07	208	98.81%	90%
	2	4.0E+07	208	98.76%	101%
	2.0	4.0E+07	208	98.85%	95%
	1.95	5.0E+07	408	99.08%	87%

Depletion efficiency (DE) was determined as follows:

$$\text{PBMC post-depletion/Starting PBMC} \times 100 = X; \text{ and } 100 - X = \text{DE}$$

FLMC recovery (FR) was determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Starting FLMC} \times \% \text{FLMC cells not positive for CD45} = \text{correct starting FLMCs;} \\ &\text{and FLMC post-depletion/corrected starting cells} \times 100 = \text{FR} \end{aligned}$$

It is believed that a magnetic cell separation device with more interpolar magnets would perform better than the device used in the experiments above (i.e. a device using four (4) interpolar magnets as illustrated in Figure 1).

EQUIVALENTS

Those skilled in the art will recognize, or be able to ascertain, using no more than routine experimentation many equivalents to the specific embodiments of the invention described herein. Such equivalents are intended to be encompassed by the following claims:

THE INVENTION CLAIMED IS:

1. A magnetic device for separating a magnetized substance from a non-magnetized substance suspended in a solution, comprising a magnetic means comprising:
 - a) a first and second north polar magnet;
 - b) a first and second south polar magnet; and
 - c) a first, a second, a third and a fourth plurality of interpolar magnets;wherein the first north polar magnet is adjacent to the first plurality of interpolar magnets, which is adjacent to the first south polar magnet, which is adjacent to the second plurality of interpolar magnets, which is adjacent to the second north polar magnet, which is adjacent to the third plurality of interpolar magnets, which is adjacent to the second south polar magnet, which is adjacent to the fourth plurality of interpolar magnets and wherein the magnets define a cylinder.
2. The magnetic device of claim 1, wherein the magnets are constructed of material selected from the group consisting of samarium cobalt, neodymium iron boron, and ceramics.
3. The magnetic device of claim 1, wherein there are two first interpolar magnet, two second interpolar magnet, two third interpolar magnet and two fourth interpolar magnet.
4. The magnetic device of claim 1, wherein the magnetic device further comprises a rod-shaped magnet positioned in the center of the cylindrical space defined by the magnetic device.
5. A method for separating magnetized substances from non-magnetized substances suspended in solution, comprising:
 - a) placing a vessel containing a solution magnetized substances and non-magnetized substances into a magnetic device comprising;

- i) a first and second north polar magnets;
 - ii) a first and a second south polar magnets; and
 - iii) a first, second, third and fourth plurality of interpolar magnets; wherein the first polar magnet is adjacent to the first plurality of interpolar magnets, which is adjacent to the first south polar magnet, which is adjacent to the second plurality of interpolar magnets, which is adjacent to the second north polar magnet, which is adjacent to the third plurality of interpolar magnets, which is adjacent to the second south polar magnet, which is adjacent to the fourth plurality of interpolar magnets;
 - b) incubating the solution in the magnetic device for a period of time sufficient to allow the magnetized substances to migrate radially toward the interior wall of the vessel; and
 - c) removing a sample of solution from the center of the solution, wherein the removed solution contains non-magnetized particles.
6. A magnetic device for separating a magnetized substance from a non-magnetized substance suspended in a solution, comprising a magnetic means comprising:
- a) a first and a second north polar magnet;
 - b) a first and a second south polar magnet; and
 - c) a first, a second, a third and a fourth interpolar magnets; wherein the first north polar magnet is adjacent to the first interpolar magnet, which is adjacent to the first south polar magnet, which is adjacent to the second interpolar magnet, which is adjacent to the second north polar magnet, which is adjacent to the third interpolar magnet, which is adjacent to the second south polar magnet, which is adjacent to the fourth interpolar magnet and wherein the magnets define a cylinder.
7. The magnetic device of claim 1, wherein the magnets are constructed of material selected from the group consisting of samarium cobalt, neodymium iron boron, and ceramics.

8. The magnetic device of claim 1, wherein the magnetic device further comprises a rod-shaped magnet positioned in the center of the cylindrical space defined by the magnetic device.
9. A method for separating magnetized substances from non-magnetized substances suspended in solution, comprising:
 - a) placing a vessel containing a solution containing magnetized substances and non-magnetized substances into a magnetic device comprising;
 - i) a first and a second north polar magnets;
 - ii) a first and a second south polar magnets; and
 - iii) a first, second, third and fourth interpolar magnets; wherein the first polar magnet is adjacent to the first interpolar magnet, which is adjacent to the first south polar magnet, which is adjacent to the second interpolar magnet, which is adjacent to the second north polar magnet, which is adjacent to the third interpolar magnet, which is adjacent to the second south polar magnet, which is adjacent to the fourth interpolar magnet;
 - b) incubating the solution in the magnetic device for a period of time sufficient to allow the magnetized substances to migrate radially toward the interior wall of the vessel; and
 - c) removing a sample of the solution from the center of the solution, wherein the removed solution contains non-magnetized particles.

1/2

FIGURE 1





FIGURE 2



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

National Application No

PCT/US 98/11816

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 B03C1/035

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 B03C H01F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	GB 1 202 100 A (BETHLEHEM STEEL CORP.) 12 August 1970 see page 3, line 85 - line 111; claims 1-8,13,14,16; figures 1,2 ---	1,3,5,6, 9
X	WO 94 15696 A (IMMUNICON CORP) 21 July 1994 see page 10, line 21 - page 11, line 6; claims 1-4 --- -/--	1,3,5,6, 9

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

19 August 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

01/09/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Decanniere, L

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

ational Application No
PCT/US 98/11816

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>WASMUTH H -D: "AUFBEREITUNG VON MARTIT-EISENERZE UND INDUSTRIE-MINERALEN MIT OFFEN-GRADIENT-MAGNETSCHEIDERN BENEFICIATION OF MAGNETIC IRON ORES AND INDUSTRIAL MINERALS BY OPEN GRADIENT SEPARATION" AUFBEREITUNGS TECHNIK, vol. 35, no. 4, 1 April 1994, pages 190-194, 196 - 199, XP000431327 see page 192, column 2, paragraph 2 - paragraph 6; figure 1</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p>	1,2,6,7
A	<p>K.P.ZIOCK ET AL: "One Tesla rare-earth permanent quadrupole magnet for spin separation of metal clusters" REVIEW OF SCIENTIFIC INSTRUMENTS., vol. 58, no. 4, April 1987, pages 557-562, XP002074912 NEW YORK US see page 558, column 1, paragraph 2; figure 2A</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p>	1,2,6,7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

ational Application No

PCT/US 98/11816

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
GB 1202100 A	12-08-1970	NONE	
WO 9415696 A	21-07-1994	US 5466574 A	14-11-1995
		US 5646001 A	08-07-1997