METHODS FOR DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN NATURAL AND ARTIFICIAL DNA SAMPLES

**Abstract:** The present invention provides methods for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA in samples containing nucleic acid molecules. In addition, the present invention provides methods for verifying that DNA profiles obtained from samples represent natural DNA. In various embodiments, the methods employ an array of nucleic acid based procedures for verifying that a DNA sample originates from a natural source. The invention further provides kits for verifying that a DNA sample originates from a natural source employing the methods and reagents described in the disclosure.
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METHODS FOR DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN NATURAL AND ARTIFICIAL DNA SAMPLES

[0001] This PCT application claims priority to United States Serial Nos. 61/222,753, filed July 2, 2009, and 61/285,758, filed December 11, 2009, which are each incorporated by reference in their entirety.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0002] The present invention relates to methods for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA samples. In particular, the invention relates to methods for determining whether DNA samples were generated in vitro or in vivo, and for verifying that a DNA profile represents natural DNA.

BACKGROUND

[0003] The following discussion of the background of the invention is merely provided to aid the reader in understanding the invention and is not admitted to describe or constitute prior art to the present invention.

[0004] DNA profiling uses a variety of techniques to distinguish between individuals of the same species using only samples of their DNA. Two humans will have the vast majority of their DNA sequence in common. DNA profiling exploits highly variable repeating sequences called short tandem repeats (STRs). Two unrelated humans will be unlikely to have the same numbers of tandem repeats at a given locus. In STR profiling, PCR is used to obtain enough DNA to amplify the number of repeats at several loci. It is possible to establish a match that is extremely unlikely to have arisen by coincidence, except in the case of identical twins, who will have identical genetic profiles.

[0005] DNA profiling is used in forensic science, to match suspects to samples of blood, hair, saliva, semen, etc. It has also led to several exonerations of formerly convicted suspects. It is also used in such applications as identifying human remains, paternity testing, matching organ donors,
studying populations of wild animals, and establishing the province or composition of foods. It has also been used to generate hypotheses on the pattern of the human diaspora in prehistoric times.

Testing is subject to the legal code of the jurisdiction in which it is performed. Usually the testing is voluntary, but it can be made compulsory by such instruments as a search warrant or court order. Several jurisdictions have also begun to assemble databases containing DNA information of convicts. The United States maintains the largest DNA database in the world: The Combined DNA Index System (CODIS), with over 4.5 million records as of 2007. The United Kingdom, maintains the National DNA Database (NDNAD), which is of similar size. The size of this database, and its rate of growth, is giving concern to civil liberties groups in the UK, where police have wide-ranging powers to take samples and retain them even in the event of acquittal.

**SUMMARY**

The inventors developed methods for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA. Furthermore, the inventors developed methods for verifying that DNA profiles obtained from samples represent natural DNA. In one embodiment, the methods accept as input a DNA sample, and output a decision whether the DNA is natural or artificial. In another embodiment, the methods accept as input both a DNA sample and data from profiling of the DNA sample, and output a decision whether the DNA profile represents natural or artificial DNA. In particular, the present inventive methods provide alternative ways to distinguish between natural and different types of artificial DNA, to distinguish between artificial DNA and failure of amplification, and in the presence or absence of a particular genomic locus; all of which methods provide, in combinatorial fashion, a profile of a DNA sample that permits a conclusion to be reached regarding whether the DNA had been synthesized artificially or whether the DNA is natural or whether a DNA profile represents natural DNA. The following embodiments exemplify various aspects of the present invention.

1. Methylated loci

In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a DNA sample is
[00010] natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(b) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in step (a);

wherein the presence of all CG loci with a methylation level of the analyzed CG loci comparable to a methylation reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural, otherwise the DNA is artificial.

2. Methylated and control loci

[00011] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more control loci;

(c) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in step (a);

wherein the presence of all loci with a methylation level of the analyzed CG loci comparable to a methylation reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural, otherwise the DNA is artificial.

3. Methylated and unmethylated loci

[0010] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(c) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in steps (a) and (b);
wherein the presence of all CG loci with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels is indicative that the DNA is natural, otherwise the DNA is artificial.

4. Methylated, unmethylated, and profile linking as an indicator of success of assay

[0011] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(c) detecting one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(d) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in steps (b) and (c);

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

5. Methylated, unmethylated, profile linking

[0012] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(c) detecting one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(d) detecting one or more control loci in the sample;

(e) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in steps (b) and (c);
wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

6. Methylated, unmethylated, profile linking, and representation bias

[0013] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(c) detecting one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(d) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in steps (b) and (c);

(e) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci comprising of the profiling-linking loci, CG loci, and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels, and a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

7. Methylated, unmethylated, profile linking, control, and representation bias

[0014] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(c) detecting one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;
(d) detecting one or more control loci in the sample;

(e) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in steps (b) and (c);

(f) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci comprising of the profiling-linking loci, CG loci, control loci, and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels, and a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

8. Bias-prone loci

[0015] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(b) determining a representation bias level in the set of bias-prone loci;

wherein presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

9. Bias-prone and profile-linking loci

[0016] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(c) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci detected in steps (a), (b), and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;
wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

10. Bias-prone, profile-linking, and control loci

[0017] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(c) detecting the one or more control loci in the sample;

(d) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci detected in steps (a)-(c), and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

11. Bias prone and PCR stutter

[0018] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more slippage loci in the sample;

(c) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci detected in steps (a)-(b)

(d) calculating a stutter level for the slippage loci detected in step (c)

wherein presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level, and a stutter level comparable to a stutter reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.
12. Bias prone, profile-linking and PCR stutter

[0019] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(c) detecting one or more slippage loci in the sample;

(d) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci detected in steps (a)-(c), and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;

(e) calculating a stutter level for the slippage loci detected in step (c);

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level, and a stutter level comparable to a stutter reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

13. Bias prone, profile-linking, control, and PCR stutter

[0020] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(c) detecting one or more slippage loci in the sample;

(d) detecting one or more control loci in the sample;

(e) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci detected in steps (a)-(d), and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;

(f) calculating a stutter level for the slippage loci detected in step (c);
wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level, and a stutter level comparable to a stutter reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

14. Mixture profiles

[0021] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining, in a mixture containing alleles of more than one individual, whether the alleles of a specific individual correspond to natural DNA, comprising:

(a) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated alleles of CG loci corresponding to the specific individual;

(b) detecting one or more alleles corresponding to the specific individual, wherein the alleles are of CG loci that are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(c) determining the methylation level of the alleles detected in steps (a) and (b);

wherein the presence of all alleles with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels is indicative that the DNA is natural, otherwise the DNA is artificial.

15. Mixture profiles with control loci

[0022] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining, in a mixture containing alleles of more than one individual, whether the alleles of a specific individual correspond to natural DNA, comprising:

(a) detecting one or more control alleles corresponding to the specific individual in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated alleles of CG loci corresponding to the specific individual;

(c) detecting one or more alleles corresponding to the specific individual, wherein the alleles are of CG loci that are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;
(d) determining the methylation level of the alleles detected in steps (b) and (c);

(e) determining a representation bias level in the set of alleles detected in steps (a) - (c), and in the alleles of the specific individual contained in the profile;

wherein the absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all alleles with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels, and with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level, is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

16. Presence of long fragments

[0023] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting the presence or absence of nucleic acid fragments larger than 10 kilobases in the sample;

wherein presence is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

17. Distribution of fragment lengths

[0024] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) determining the distribution of nucleic acid fragment lengths in the sample;

(b) determining whether the distribution determined in step (a) is comparable to a reference distribution of nucleic acid fragment lengths;

wherein comparable distributions of nucleic acid fragment lengths of the sample and reference are indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

18. Presence of RNA

[0025] In one aspect, the invention provides a method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:
(a) detecting the presence of RNA in the sample;

wherein presence is indicative that the DNA is natural and absence is indicative that the DNA is artificial.

[0026] Loci used in the methods, other than the control loci, can belong to two or more categories. For example, a profile-linking locus can also be a methylated CG locus, and in this case the locus is analyzed twice – once as a profile-linking locus and once as a methylated CG locus. In another example, a locus used for profiling of the DNA sample can also be a bias-prone locus.

[0027] In one embodiment, the detection of loci is carried out using amplification of the loci and detection of amplification products. In one embodiment amplification is performed by PCR or real time-PCR. In one embodiment, detection of amplification products is performed by subjecting such products to electrophoresis and detection of electrophoresis products. In another embodiment, amplification and detection are performed in real time-PCR. In one embodiment, detection is performed by detecting preferential hybridization of sequences complementary to the amplified loci (e.g. by a DNA microarray). In one embodiment, one or more detected loci are loci used for profiling of human DNA. In one embodiment, one or more detected loci are CODIS loci. In one embodiment, amplification of loci is performed using primers that were used for profiling of the DNA sample. In one embodiment, amplification of loci is performed using primers that are for profiling CODIS loci. In one embodiment, one or more loci are amplified in a single amplicon with a single pair of primers. For example, a methylated or partially methylated CG locus and a constitutively unmethylated locus are amplified in the same amplicon with a single pair of primers.

[0028] In one embodiment, detecting the intensity of a locus is performed by detecting signals whose intensities are correlated to the quantity of products resulting from amplification of that locus. In one embodiment, such signals are relative fluorescence units (rfu) of capillary electrophoresis. In one embodiment, such signals are cycle threshold (Cₜ) of real-time PCR.

[0029] In one embodiment, the methylation level is determined for each CG locus separately. In one embodiment, a single methylation level is determined for all methylated or partially methylated CG loci as a group, and another single methylation level is determined for all constitutively unmethylated CG loci as a group. In one embodiment, a single methylation level is determined for all CG loci, including methylated or partially methylated, and constitutively unmethylated, together
as a single group. In one embodiment, the methylation level is a number representing the intensity of signal obtained from the methylated variants. In one embodiment, the methylation level is a number between 0 and 1, representing the fraction of methylated variants, wherein 0 represents completely unmethylated and 1 represents completely methylated. In one embodiment, the methylation level is a number equal to or greater than 0, representing the ratio of signal corresponding to methylated or constitutively unmethylated CG loci to the signal corresponding to constitutively unmethylated CG loci. In one embodiment, the methylation level is defined as the ratio of intensity of signal of a CG locus or loci to the intensity of signal of a control locus or loci.

[0030] In one embodiment, determining the methylation level of a CG locus is performed by: (1) subjecting the DNA sample to sodium bisulfite treatment; (2) amplifying a genomic region that contains the CG locus from the bisulfite-treated DNA; (3) sequencing the amplified product from step 2, and analyzing the signal at the position of the original cytosine in the CG dinucleotide; and (4) determining the methylation level according to the signal analyzed in step 3, wherein the percentage of a 'C' signal corresponds to the fraction of methylated variants, whereas the percentage of a 'T' signal corresponds to the fraction of unmethylated variants (it should be understood in the context of the present invention that when sequencing from the complementary strand, the unmethylated CGs in the original sequence will appear as CA).

[0031] In one embodiment, determining the methylation level of a CG locus is performed by: (1) subjecting the DNA sample to sodium bisulfite treatment; (2) amplifying by PCR a genomic region that contains the CG locus from the bisulfite-treated DNA with two sets of primers, wherein one pair is designed to preferentially amplify the methylated version of the bisulfite-treated DNA, and the other pair is designed to preferentially amplify the unmethylated version of the same bisulfite-treated DNA; (3) detecting amplification products from step 2; (4) determining the methylation level according to the intensity of the signal analyzed in step 3, wherein the percentage of the signal corresponding to the methylation-specific primer pair corresponds to the fraction of methylated variants.

[0032] In one embodiment, determining the methylation level is performed by: (1) subjecting the DNA sample to digestion with a methylation-sensitive endonuclease (e.g. HpaII, HhaI, AciI, BstUI, HpyCH4); (2) amplifying the CG loci; (3) detecting amplification products from step 2; (4) determining the methylation level according to the intensity of the signal analyzed in step 3, wherein
the methylation level is the ratio of signal corresponding to methylated or partially methylated CG loci to the signal corresponding to constitutively unmethylated CG loci.

[0033] In one embodiment, a methylation reference level is the corresponding methylation level obtained from natural DNA. The present inventive methods are not limited to checking for methylation levels from natural DNA every time a sample is to be analyzed and profiled. For example a reference level can be obtained at any point in time by subjecting several natural DNA samples to the methylation assay and then using the average score as the reference level for natural DNA.

[0034] In one embodiment, a representation bias level is calculated according to the following formula: 1/(((mean intensity of control loci multiplied by the mean intensity of CG loci) divided by mean intensity of the profile linking loci as measured in the verification reaction) divided by (mean intensity of the loci used in profiling of the sample divided by the mean intensity of the profile linking loci as measured in the profiling reaction)). In one embodiment, a representation bias reference level is the corresponding representation bias level obtained from natural DNA. In one embodiment, a stutter reference level is the corresponding stutter level obtained from natural DNA.

[0035] In one embodiment, methylation levels are considered to be comparable if the difference between their Euclidean distance and the average Euclidean distance of methylation levels of normal DNA samples is less than two standard deviations of the distribution of Euclidean distances of methylation levels of normal DNA samples.

[0036] In one embodiment, representation bias levels are considered comparable if the difference between their Euclidean distance and the average Euclidean distance of representation bias levels of normal DNA samples is less than two standard deviations of the distribution of Euclidean distances of representation bias levels of normal DNA samples.

[0037] In one embodiment, stutter levels are considered comparable if the difference between their Euclidean distance and the average Euclidean distance of stutter levels of normal DNA samples is less than two standard deviations of the distribution of Euclidean distances of stutter levels of normal DNA samples.
[0038] In one embodiment, the representation bias level is the ratio of the maximal to the minimal intensities of loci. In one embodiment, the representation bias level is the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean of all intensities of loci.

[0039] In one embodiment, the representation bias level is the mean deviation of peak heights of the capillary electrophoresis histogram obtained from analysis of the DNA sample, based on a linear regression of the analyzed peaks. The linear regression may be calculated for example using the Least Squares method ("Linear Regression (Lecture Notes in Statistics)" (Vol 175) section 2.2, pages 36-47 by Jürgen Groß, Springer, 1st ed. (2003)). Calculating the linear regression allows for correction of the "ski-slope" effect which is seen in some capillary electrophoresis histograms as a result of sample overload, DNA degradation and other factors, and which causes the smaller amplicons to be amplified preferentially over larger amplicons. Since different fluorescent dyes have different intensities, the linear regression may be calculated separately for each dye. The calculation may be performed as follows:

1. For each fluorescent dye color (e.g. NED) of the capillary electrophoresis histogram
   i. Separate superimposed alleles at homozygous loci: for each homozygous locus, convert the single genotyped peak that corresponds to both alleles into two identical peaks with the same size as the original peak, and with a height equal to half the height of the original peak.
   
   ii. Calculate a linear regression of all peaks corresponding to alleles.

   iii. For each peak corresponding to an allele, calculate the normalized degree of deviation of the peak from the linear regression. This may be performed, for example, by the following non-limiting option.
   
   i. Obtain the y-value of the linear regression at x, where x is the size of the peak.

   ii. Calculate the normalized deviation of the peak height from the linear regression, equal to $|\text{peak height} - \text{value from c1}|/(\text{value from c1})$.

   iii. Alternatively, calculate $|\text{peak height} - \text{value from c1}|^2/(\text{value from c1})$.

[0040] The representation bias level is defined as equal to the mean of the normalized deviation of the peak height.
1. In one embodiment, the stutter levels for a set of slippage loci is calculated from data obtained from a capillary electrophoresis run of amplification products by the following algorithm: From the raw data, find all local maxima and term them “peaks”. A local maximum is a point (X Y), in which the Y value is greater than the Y value of both the previous (i-1) data pair and the next (i+1) data pair (optionally use a smoothing method in order to reduce the number of maxima). Define the peak height as the Y value of the peak. Define the peak size as the X value of the peak.

2. Term all peaks that have Y values greater than a predetermined threshold “Putative alleles” (e.g. a threshold of 50 relative fluorescence units).

3. For each putative allele, obtain the “Maximum expected stutter value”. The maximum expected stutter value represents the highest fraction of a stutter band that can be expected in in vivo generated DNA. The maximum expected stutter value is determined empirically based on multiple capillary electrophoresis runs of different samples and is different for each locus. (For example, for the D3S1358 locus, the maximum allowed stutter value in the GeneMapper software is 0.11).

4. Determine which putative alleles are true alleles. Examine all putative alleles, starting from the smallest size. For each examined putative allele, determine whether a putative allele exists at a predefined interval that is approximately one repeat unit larger than the putative allele that is examined (e.g. at [+3.25 bases, +4.75 bases]). If no putative allele is found at the designated region, term the examined putative allele “Allele”. Otherwise: term the putative allele that is found in the designated region “The associated putative allele of the examined putative allele”. Calculate the ratio of the height of the examined putative allele to the height of the associated putative allele of the examined putative allele. If this ratio is greater than the maximum expected stutter value of the examined putative allele, term the examined putative allele “Allele”.

5. Determine stutter peaks. For each allele, inspect a predefined interval that is approximately one repeat unit smaller than the examined allele (e.g. [-4.75 bases, -3.25 bases]). Identify the highest peak in the interval. If the highest peak in the interval is not termed as “Allele”, term the the peak “-1 stutter associated with the examined allele”.

6. Calculating stutter levels. Calculate the size of the -1 stutter fraction, defined as the height of the -1 stutter peak divided by the height of its associated allele peak. Alternatively, the stutter level is defined as the area of the -1 stutter peak divided by the area of its associated allele
peak.

[0041] In one embodiment, determining the presence of the non-genomic sequences is by cloning of the nucleic acids from the test sample, and sequencing the cloned molecules.

[0042] In one embodiment, determining whether distributions of nucleic acid fragment lengths are comparable comprises: (i) determining the probability that both distributions represent random samplings from the same source;

wherein, a probability less than about 0.05 indicates that the nucleic acids from the sample are artificial, and wherein a probability that is equal to or larger than about 0.05 indicates that the nucleic acids from the test sample are natural.

[0043] In one embodiment, determining the distribution of nucleic acid fragment lengths in the nucleic acids comprises:

(i) subjecting nucleic acids from a test sample to size fractionation; and

(ii) detecting the fragment lengths and their corresponding intensities for the nucleic acids;

[0044] In one embodiment, detecting RNA in the sample is by RT-PCR of one or more transcribed loci. In one embodiment, the DNA sample is from a biological sample selected from a group consisting of: blood, saliva, hair, semen, urine, feces, skin, epidermal cell, buccal cell, and bone. In a particular embodiment, the sample is a forensic sample. In one embodiment, the sample is derived from a human source.

[0045] In another aspect, the invention provides a kit for verifying that a DNA profile obtained from a sample represents natural DNA, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:

(a) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) primers for amplifying one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(c) primers for amplifying one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are known to be constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(d) one or more methylation-sensitive restriction endonucleases;
(e) DNA polymerase enzyme;

(f) reagents for restriction and PCR;

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

[0046] One or more of the primers may be fluorescently labeled. In one embodiment, the kit further comprises reagents for PCR amplification, e.g., the reagents for PCR amplification may comprise a buffer and a thermostable polymerase.

[0047] In one embodiment, the kit comprises of the following ingredients:

(a) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1forward – ttggtctaaactgacaagtgt; PL1reverse – ggtcagactgactatggagtt.

(b) primers for constitutively methylated CG locus NT18: NT18forward – gctcggtgccaacagctc; NT18reverse – ggagctgatgagagcttcc.

(c) primers for constitutively unmethylated CG locus SW14: SW14forward – gtgccggcctctccgttaa; SW14reverse – cgtaaaagaccaacagcctga.

(d) HpaII methylation-sensitive restriction endonuclease

(e) DNA polymerase enzyme

(f) reagents for restriction and PCR

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

[0048] In another aspect, the invention provides a kit for verifying that a DNA profile obtained from a sample represents natural DNA, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:

(a) primers for amplifying one or more control loci in the sample;
(b) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
(c) primers for amplifying one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;
(d) primers for amplifying one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are
known to be constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(e) one or more methylation-sensitive restriction endonucleases;

(f) DNA polymerase enzyme;

(g) reagents for restriction and PCR;

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

[0049] One or more of the primers may be fluorescently labeled. In one embodiment, the kit further comprises reagents for PCR amplification, e.g., the reagents for PCR amplification may comprise a buffer and a thermostable polymerase.

[0050] In one embodiment, the kit comprises of the following ingredients:

(a) primers for control locus CL1: CL1forward – agagaggtgaaggtttggt; CL1reverse – ttaagactcagggctgtg.

(b) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1forward – ttctgtcaactgtgcaaggt; PL1reverse – ggtcaggctgactatgagtt.

(c) primers for constitutively methylated CG locus NT18: NT18forward – gctcggtgcagaagctc; NT18reverse – ggagtctgtgaggttccc.

(d) primers for constitutively unmethylated CG locus SW14: SW14forward – gtttggcactttccgtt; SW14reverse – ctgttaaaggaccaacgcgta.

(e) HpaII methylation-sensitive restriction endonuclease

(f) DNA polymerase enzyme

(g) reagents for restriction and PCR

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

[0051] In another aspect, the invention provides a kit for verifying that a DNA profile obtained from a sample represents natural DNA, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:
(a) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
(b) primers for amplifying two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;
(c) DNA polymerase enzyme;
(d) reagents for restriction and PCR;

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

[0052] One or more of the primers may be fluorescently labeled. In one embodiment, the kit further comprises reagents for PCR amplification, e.g., the reagents for PCR amplification may comprise a buffer and a thermostable polymerase.

[0053] In one embodiment, the kit comprises of the following ingredients:

(a) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1forward – ttcggtctttaactgacaagtgt; PL1reverse – ggtcagctgactgaggt.

(b) primers for bias-prone loci BPL1 and BPL2: BPL1forward – acgtgacgtggagacggag; BPL1reverse – cccagagctgaatgctaggg; BPL2forward – gtggegccatctgcggcttaa; BPL2reverse - cgtaacaaagacacagcagcgt.

(c) DNA polymerase enzyme

(d) reagents for PCR

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

[0054] In another aspect, the invention provides a kit for verifying that a DNA profile obtained from a sample represents natural DNA, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:

(a) primers for amplifying one or more control loci in the sample;
(b) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
(c) primers for amplifying two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;
(d) DNA polymerase enzyme;
(e) reagents for restriction and PCR;

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

[0055] One or more of the primers may be fluorescently labeled. In one embodiment, the kit further comprises reagents for PCR amplification, e.g., the reagents for PCR amplification may comprise a buffer and a thermostable polymerase.

[0056] In one embodiment, the kit comprises of the following ingredients:

(a) primers for control locus CL1: CL1forward – agagaggttaaggttttgtt; CL1reverse – tgagactcagcaacgacagc.

(b) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1forward – tcgcgttaactatgacaggtt; PL1reverse – ggtcagctgactatggagtt.

(c) primers for bias-prone loci BPL1 and BPL2: BPL1forward – acgtgagcttgagacaggag; BPL1reverse – cccagagctgaatgcagtagg; BPL2forward – gttgccctcttccgtaga; BPL2reverse - cggtaacaaagagcaagcagcgtt.

(d) DNA polymerase enzyme

(e) reagents for PCR

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

[0057] In another aspect, the invention provides a kit for verifying that a DNA profile obtained from a sample represents natural DNA, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:

(a) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
(b) primers for amplifying two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;
(c) primers for amplifying one or more slippage loci;
(d) DNA polymerase enzyme;
(e) reagents for restriction and PCR;

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.
[0058] One or more of the primers may be fluorescently labeled. In one embodiment, the kit further comprises reagents for PCR amplification, e.g., the reagents for PCR amplification may comprise a buffer and a thermostable polymerase.

[0059] In one embodiment, the kit comprises of the following ingredients:

(a) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1forward – ttcgttctaaactatgacaaggt; PL1reverse – ggtcagcgtcagacttggtt.

(b) primers for bias-prone loci BPL1 and BPL2: BPL1forward – acgtgacgatggagacaggg; BPL1reverse – cccagagctgaatgtagtgag; BPL2forward – gttgcgccatcttccgtaa; BPL2reverse – cgttaacaagaccacaacgtga.

(c) primers for slippage loci SL1 SL2: SL1forward – acaacggecaagagtaagactca; SL1reverse – ttcgttgggggcaaagggtc; SL2forward – taagaataatcagtagtgacattgg; SL2reverse – atacatagatagagatagag.

(d) DNA polymerase enzyme

(e) reagents for PCR

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

[0060] In another aspect, the invention provides a kit for verifying that a DNA profile obtained from a sample represents natural DNA, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:

(a) primers for amplifying one or more control loci in the sample;
(b) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
(c) primers for amplifying two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;
(d) primers for amplifying one or more slippage loci;
(e) DNA polymerase enzyme;

(f) reagents for restriction and PCR;

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.
One or more of the primers may be fluorescently labeled. In one embodiment, the kit further comprises reagents for PCR amplification, e.g., the reagents for PCR amplification may comprise a buffer and a thermostable polymerase.

In one embodiment, the kit comprises of the following ingredients:

(a) primers for control locus CL1: CL1-forward – agagaggtgaaggttttgtt; CL1-reverse – tgaagcatcagggcagctc.

(b) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1-forward – ttcggtttaactagcaaggt; PL1-reverse – ggctccagctgacatggat.

(c) primers for bias-prone loci BPL1 and BPL2: BPL1-forward – acgtgacgatgagacagag; BPL1-reverse – cccagagctgatcgagcttgg; BPL2-forward – gttaggcctcacttctccgttaa; BPL2-reverse – cgttaacacagaccaagcagctg.

(d) primers for slippage loci SL1 SL2: SL1-forward – acacgagggcaagagtaagctca; SL1-reverse – ttgggtggtgggggaaggggct; SL2-forward – taagataatcagatgtgactgg; SL2-reverse – atacatggatggagatgtg.

(e) DNA polymerase enzyme

(f) reagents for PCR

and instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

In one embodiment, the slippage loci are STRs. In one embodiment, the primers for amplifying the loci in the sample are CODIS STR primers. In one embodiment, the primers for amplifying one or more methylated or partially methylated loci are selected from the primers in Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>CL1-forward</td>
<td>agagaggtgaaggttttgtt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>CL1-reverse</td>
<td>tgaagcatcagggcactc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profile-linking</td>
<td>PL1-forward</td>
<td>ttcggtttaactagcaaggt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile-linking</th>
<th>PL1 reverse</th>
<th>ggtcagggcgtacatcggttaat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constitutively methylated CG</td>
<td>NT18 forward</td>
<td>gctcgggtccaaagacgcctc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>locus</td>
<td>NT18 reverse</td>
<td>ggaagcgtctcagcttccttcc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitutively unmethylated CG</td>
<td>SW14 forward</td>
<td>gtgcccgcctctcggtaaa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias-prone locus</td>
<td>BPL1 forward</td>
<td>acgtgacgatggagacagag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias-prone locus</td>
<td>BPL1 reverse</td>
<td>cccagagctgaactcaggpp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias-prone locus</td>
<td>BPL2 forward</td>
<td>gtgccgctcctcggtaaa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias-prone locus</td>
<td>BPL2 reverse</td>
<td>cgtaacaagaccaacagcgtta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slippage locus</td>
<td>SL1 forward</td>
<td>acacggggcaagatgactccta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slippage locus</td>
<td>SL1 reverse</td>
<td>tcggcggggcagagatc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slippage locus</td>
<td>SL2 forward</td>
<td>taagatataatctatgcgcttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slippage locus</td>
<td>SL2 reverse</td>
<td>atacatagagatgagatagag</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[0064] In one embodiment, the methylated, partially methylated, and constitutively unmethylated loci used are chosen from the sequences that are shown in the Sequences section elsewhere in this specification.

[0065] In one embodiment, the one or more methylation-sensitive restriction endonucleases are selected from the group consisting of: HpaII, HhaI, AcI, BstUI, HpyCH4, McrBc

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES**

[0066] FIG. 1 demonstrates a general scheme of the DNA authentication procedure.

[0067] FIG. 2A-C demonstrates DNA profiles of artificial mock forensic samples. Fig 2A (1-3) shows the DNA profile that was obtained from sample 1 (genuine blood sample of individual A on cotton). Fig 2B (1-3) shows the DNA profile that was obtained from sample 2 (genuine blood sample of individual B on cotton). Fig 2C (1-3) shows the DNA profile that was obtained from sample 3 (fake blood sample on cotton, composed of red blood cells of individual A mixed with in vitro generated copies of DNA from individual B).

[0068] FIG. 3 demonstrates a specific implementation of the DNA authentication procedure, based on analysis of methylation of HpaII digested DNA.

[0069] FIG. 4A demonstrates a joint DNA profiling and authentication scheme.
[0070] **FIG. 4B** depicts a scheme of a joint DNA profiling and authentication procedure employing an HpaII based methylation assay. The left portion of the output histogram contains authentication loci and the right portion of the output histogram contains profiling loci. Color-coded bars are depicted above each analyzed locus. Bars in the authentication region represent results that indicate that the DNA sample was generated *in vivo*.

[0071] **FIG. 5** depicts examples of DNA profiles combined with results of DNA authentication for the capillary electrophoresis histograms of samples 2 and 3.

[0072] **FIG. 6A-D** demonstrates the calculation of the representation bias based on a linear regression of capillary electrophoresis histogram peaks. 6A and 6B represent *in vivo* generated DNA, and 6C, 6D represents *in vitro* generated DNA.

[0073] **FIG. 7** shows profiles of *in vivo* and *in vitro* synthesized DNA. **A.** Profile of natural DNA obtained from the saliva of female donor ‘N400’. **B-D.** Profiles identical to that of ‘N400’ obtained from DNA that was synthesized *in vitro* by three different methods: PCR (B), WGA (C), and assembly from a library of cloned CODIS alleles (D). **E.** Profile identical to that of ‘male-N400’, which is identical to the profile of ‘N400’ at all loci, except for the Amelogenin locus. This profile was created by adding a cloned Y allele (indicated by arrow) to the mix used to generate the profile in (D).

[0074] **FIG. 8** shows mock forensic samples with artificial DNA. **A.** Handgun with PCR amplified DNA with the profile of N222 applied to the external surface of its action. **B.** Ski-mask with artificial saliva applied to its inner surface. The artificial saliva contained an extract of natural saliva from N270 (without DNA) and DNA fragments with the profile of ‘male N400’ assembled from the cloned CODIS allele library. **C.** Artificial bloodstains containing red blood cells from natural blood of N227 and artificial ‘N283’ DNA generated by WGA. In A-C, yellow circles depict the areas from which samples were taken for analysis. **D.** Profiles of the three artificial samples. All three profiles received a “perfect” GeneMapper ID-X score, and are identical to the genotypes of the artificial DNA that was used in their production. No traces of DNA from the saliva extract and red blood cells are visible in the profiles from the ski-mask and bloodstains (see E and F). **E.** Profile of donor N270, whose saliva extract was used in manufacturing the ski-mask sample. **F.** Profile of donor N227, whose red blood cells were used in manufacturing the bloodstain.
[0075] FIG. 9 shows amplification products in natural and artificial mock forensic samples. Aliquotsof PCR products were run on a 2% Agarose gel. The FGAreft locus is amplified in all samples (both natural and artificial), but not in the negative control sample. Non-CODIS loci are amplified in all natural (1-10) and in WGA-based artificial samples (11,12,15,18), but are absent in PCR- and cloning-based artificial samples (13,14,16,17,20).

[0076] FIG. 10 shows the results of a methylation analysis of natural and artificial samples. Partialsequences of DNA from natural and artificial blood samples (samples 2 and 11, respectively) at non-CODIS loci (CpG dinucleotides are underlined). The sequences of unconverted DNA are identical at all loci, demonstrating that natural and artificial samples cannot be distinguished on the basis of sequence alone. Following bisulfite conversion, the differential methylation pattern of natural vs. artificial DNA is exposed: natural DNA is methylated at NT18 and ADD6, and unmethylated at MS53 and SW14, while artificial DNA is unmethylated at all four loci.

[0077] FIG. 11 is a schematic flow-chart of one series of embodiments for determining the presence of artificial DNA in a sample.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0078] DNA samples are often profiled for identification of their specific source (i.e. the specific individual). Such DNA samples may be susceptible to contamination by artificial DNA, i.e. DNA that was synthesized in vitro. Thus, in one aspect, the invention provides methods for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA. In another aspect the invention provides methods for verifying that the DNA profiles represent natural DNA. In one embodiment, the invention provides methods for verifying that a DNA profile is of natural DNA, originating from human subjects rather than of artificial DNA that was synthesized by techniques such as PCR, cloning in prokaryotic systems, Whole Genome Amplification (WGA), etc.

[0079] Any and all of the embodiments described in the Summary section exemplify various embodiments of the present invention. In an illustrative embodiment, the invention also provides methods to verify that profiles of DNA samples are of human subjects in the context of various types of tissues (e.g. blood, saliva, etc.), as those found in crime scenes. For DNA profiling, the DNA samples obtained from blood, saliva etc., found in crime scenes, are amplified with a panel of STR markers, such as CODIS. Although STR-based profiling has enormous discriminatory power
(each person is considered to have a unique profile), it cannot differentiate between a natural DNA sample found at the scene of the crime and an artificial DNA sample that was produced, for example, using PCR, cloning, or Whole Genome Amplification (WGA). The DNA profile obtained from such artificial DNA is indistinguishable from the profile of natural DNA using the typical methods in the art. Furthermore, an artificial DNA can reproduce any specific DNA profile that can be found in crime scenes. Since DNA profiles from crime scenes are used as evidence in court of law for indictment, there is a need to develop methods for verifying that a forensic DNA profile is of natural DNA.

[0080] The inventors discovered that "normal" DNA profiles (i.e. which have no anomalies in any analyzed locus such as additional alleles, allelic imbalances, out of range peak heights) can be obtained not only from natural DNA, but also from artificial DNA that was synthesized by different *in vitro* methods. The inventors investigated different methods for synthesizing artificial DNA and characterized different DNA species that upon profiling can generate a normal profile:

Chemically synthesized oligonucleotides

[0081] Synthesized oligonucleotides can be synthesized with the same sequence as CODIS alleles or other alleles that are used for profiling.

Products of PCR amplification of target sequences

[0082] PCR amplification products that upon profiling can yield a normal profile include, for example, PCR-amplified human CODIS alleles or other alleles that are used for profiling. These products may be amplified from a template of natural DNA or from an artificial template such as, for example, synthesized oligonucleotides. The amplification of such alleles can be performed in multiple singleplex reactions, or in a single multiplex reaction.

Products of Rolling circle amplification (RCA) of circular target sequences

[0083] Any circular target can be amplified in an isothermal reaction using RCA. When the targets correspond to CODIS alleles or other alleles that are used for profiling, the products of amplification can, upon profiling, yield a normal profile.

Products of molecular cloning
Molecular cloning enables the production of very large quantities of target sequences. A common example of molecular cloning is inserting a desired human sequence (e.g. a CODIS allele or a different allele that is used for profiling) into a cloning vector or plasmid (e.g. pGEM-T). By cloning an array of such alleles, a "CODIS allele library", consisting of individual cloned alleles, can be created. For example, one element in the library may consist of a microcentrifuge tube with trillions of copies of allele 11 (with 11 repeat units) of locus D8S1179, while another element contains allele 12 (with 12 repeat units) of D8S1179 (and likewise for the other CODIS loci). The inventors discovered that, for example, a library containing 425 clones corresponding to all known CODIS alleles (including all rare micro-variants) is sufficient to generate any desired CODIS profile, and a much smaller library is sufficient to generate the CODIS profiles of the vast majority of the population. For assembling a desired profile from the library, alleles corresponding to the profile are combined in a single tube. Profiling of such a sample yields a normal profile.

Assembly of DNA fragments and/or products synthesized by different methods

DNA fragments and/or products that were generated by different methods can be assembled together. The DNA fragments can include, for example, chemically synthesized oligonucleotides, products of PCR amplification of target sequences, products of RCA, and products of molecular cloning. The assembly can be achieved by different molecular biology techniques such as, for example, annealing, ligation, polymerization, or by a combination of them. The process of assembly may also include steps of breaking or degrading DNA molecules (e.g. by restriction endonucleases or exonucleases, mechanical shearing, hydrolysis etc.).

Products of PCR-based Whole genome amplification (WGA) and similar techniques

PCR-based WGA techniques include, for example, primer extension preamplification (PEP)-PCR and degenerate oligonucleotide primed (DOP)-PCR. In addition, similar techniques include, for example, T7-based linear amplification of DNA (TLAD), ligation mediated PCR (LMP)-based WGA methods, and combinations of these methods. Commercial kits employing such techniques include, for example, the Genomeplex (Sigma) kit that utilizes Adaptor-Ligation PCR. WGA represents a method in which nanogram quantities of genomic DNA are amplified in just a few hours to microgram quantities, and the amplified products contain a representation of the entire genome.
Products of Multiple displacement amplification (MDA) and Restriction and Circularization-Aided Rolling Circle Amplification (RCA-RCA).

[0087] MDA is a recently developed isothermal WGA in which nanogram quantities of genomic DNA are amplified overnight, or in just a few hours, to microgram quantities, and the amplified products contain a representation of the entire genome. The Repli-G (Qiagen), and GenomiPhi (GE Healthcare) commercial kits utilize this method.

Mixtures of artificial DNA fragments and/or products synthesized by different methods

[0088] Mixtures of artificial DNA fragments and/or products synthesized by different methods can yield a normal profile. The mixture can consist of, for example, chemically-synthesized oligonucleotides, products of PCR amplification of target sequences, products of RCA of circular target sequences, products of molecular cloning, assembled DNA fragments, products of PCR-based WGA, products of MDA, and products of RCA-RCA.

Artificial DNA fragments and/or products that were methylated in vitro

[0089] Artificially created DNA fragments and/or products synthesized by different methods (for example, by PCR, molecular cloning etc.) can be methylated in vitro following their synthesis. This can be achieved, for example, by Sss1 methylase.

Mixtures of natural and artificial DNA

[0090] The inventors also discovered that mixtures of natural and artificial DNA can also yield normal profiles, and in the case where the artificial component of such a mixture is dominant, the resulting profile represents only the artificial element in the mixture, without any trace of the natural element (i.e. a single contributor profile). Therefore, for example, a mixture containing a small amount of natural DNA of individual A and a large amount of artificial DNA with the profile of individual B will, upon profiling, produce a normal, single contributor profile that is identical to the profile of individual B.

[0091] Some of the methods for synthesizing artificial DNA require only basic biological know-how and equipment, and can be performed quickly, with little financial expense. For example, by performing an over-night reaction in a waterbath at 30°C, using a commercial kit for MDA, virtually
unlimited amounts of artificial DNA can be duplicated from minute amounts of a natural DNA source. Furthermore, in vitro synthesis methods allow the manufacturing of DNA samples with all possible profiles, and in some methods (e.g. molecular cloning), this can be achieved even without any natural DNA as template. Once artificial DNA is synthesized, it can accidentally contaminate, or deliberately be incorporated into natural biological tissues such as blood or saliva. In the forensic setting, such contaminated tissues might cause a problem because they can pass the entire forensic procedure as regular specimens, yet upon profiling, they yield the DNA profile of their artificial element.

[0092] Because the profiles obtained from artificial DNA may be identical to the profiles obtained from natural DNA of individuals, it is important to identify samples that contain artificial DNA and to verify that profiles of DNA samples are indeed of natural DNA, in order to verify the integrity for the entire assay. In the context of some embodiments, DNA profiles from crime scenes are used as evidence in court of law for indictment; therefore, the assurance that such profiles are of genuine (i.e. natural) DNA is of utmost importance.

[0093] Specific compositions, methods, or embodiments discussed are intended to be only illustrative of the invention disclosed by this specification. Variations on these compositions, methods, or embodiments are readily apparent to a person of skill in the art based upon the teachings of this specification and are therefore intended to be included as part of the inventions disclosed herein.

The present technology is described herein using several definitions, as set forth throughout the specification. Unless defined otherwise, all technical and scientific terms used herein generally have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs. As used herein, unless otherwise stated, the singular forms "a," "an," and "the" include plural reference. Thus, for example, a reference to "a nucleic acid" is a reference to one or more nucleic acids.

As used herein, the term "allele" is intended to be a genetic variation associated with a segment of DNA, i.e., one of two or more alternate forms of a DNA sequence occupying the same locus.

The term "artificial DNA" or "artificial nucleic acid" as used herein refers to a nucleic acid which is synthesized by various in vitro methods. Such in vitro generated nucleic acids include, but are not limited to,

1. Chemically synthesized oligonucleotides
2. Products of PCR amplification of target sequences
3. Products of Rolling circle amplification (RCA) of circular target sequences
4. Products of molecular cloning (e.g. plasmids cloned in E. coli)
5. DNA fragments assembled from other DNA fragments that were generated by any of methods 1-4, or a combination of them. Such assembly being achieved by any of the following methods (or a combination of them): annealing, ligation, polymerization. The process of assembly may also include steps of breaking DNA molecules (e.g. by restriction endonucleases, mechanical shearing etc.)
6. Products of PCR-based Whole genome amplification (WGA), and/or ligation mediated PCR (LMP)-based WGA methods, including primer extension preamplification (PEP)-PCR, degenerate oligonucleotide primed (DOP)-PCR, T7-based linear amplification of DNA (TLAD), Adaptor-Ligation PCR. The Genomeplex (Sigma) commercial kit utilizes Adaptor-Ligation PCR.
7. Products of WGA by Multiple displacement amplification (MDA) and Restriction and Circularization-Aided Rolling Circle Amplification (RCA-RCA). The Repli-G (Qiagen), and GenomiPhi (GE Healthcare) commercial kits utilize this method.

8. A mix of products from any of 1-7

9. Products from any of 1-8 in which all or some products were methylated in vitro following their synthesis (e.g. by Sss1 Methylase).

10. Products from any of 1-8 mixed with natural DNA

11. Products from 9 mixed with natural DNA

[0098] The term “biological sample” or “test sample” as used herein, refers to, but is not limited to, any biological sample derived from a subject. The sample suitably contains nucleic acids. In some embodiments, samples are not directly retrieved from the subject, but are collected from the environment, e.g. a crime scene or a rape victim. Examples of such samples include fluids, tissues, cell samples, organs, biopsies, etc. Suitable samples are blood, plasma, saliva, urine, sperm, hair, etc. The biological sample can also be blood drops, dried blood stains, dried saliva stains, dried underwear stains (e.g. stains on underwear, pads, tampons, diapers), clothing, dental floss, ear wax, electric razor clippings, gum, hair, licked envelope, nails, paraffin embedded tissue, post mortem tissue, razors, teeth, toothbrush, toothpick, dried umbilical cord. Genomic DNA can be extracted from such samples according to methods known in the art.

[0099] The term “capillary electrophoresis histogram” as used herein refers to a histogram obtained from capillary electrophoresis of PCR products wherein the products were amplified from genomic loci with fluorescent primers. The term “CG locus” refers to a genomic sequence that contains one or more CG dinucleotides.

[0101] The term “constitutively-methylated” as used herein means methylated at a level of at least 80% (i.e. at least 80% of the DNA molecules methylated) in DNA of cells of tissues including blood, saliva, semen, epidermis, nasal discharge, buccal cells, hair, nail clippings, menstrual excretion, vaginal cells, urine, and feces.

[0102] The term “partially-methylated” as used herein means methylated at a level between 20-80% (i.e. between 20-80% of the DNA molecules methylated) in DNA of cells of tissues including blood,
saliva, semen, epidermis, nasal discharge, buccal cells, hair, nail clippings, menstrual excretion, vaginal cells, urine, and feces.

[0103] The term "constitutively-unmethylated" as used herein means methylated at a level less than 20% (i.e. less than 20% of the DNA molecules methylated) in DNA of cells of tissues including blood, saliva, semen, epidermis, nasal discharge, buccal cells, hair, nail clippings, menstrual excretion, vaginal cells, urine, bone, and feces. The methods provided herein have been demonstrated to distinguish methylated and unmethylated forms of nucleic acid loci in various tissues and cell types including blood, saliva, semen, epidermis, nasal discharge, buccal cells, hair, nail clippings, menstrual excretion, vaginal cells, urine, bone, and feces.

[0104] The term "profile-linking" as used herein means a genomic locus that was used for profiling of the DNA sample.

[0105] The term "bias-prone" as used herein means genomic loci whose representation bias is greater in artificial DNA in relation to natural DNA.

[0106] The term "slippage" as used herein means a genomic locus that is prone to DNA polymerase slippage.

[0107] The terms "determining," "measuring," "assessing," "assaying," and "evaluating" are used interchangeably to refer to any form of quantitative or qualitative measurement, and include determining if a characteristic, trait, or feature is present or not. Assessing may be relative or absolute. "Assessing the presence of" includes determining the amount of something present, as well as determining whether it is present or absent.

[0108] The term "forensics" or "forensic science" as used herein refers to the application of a broad spectrum of methods aimed to answer questions of identity being of interest to the legal system. For example, the identification of potential suspects whose DNA may match evidence left at crime scenes, the exoneration of persons wrongly accused of crimes, identification of crime and catastrophe victims, or establishment of paternity and other family relationships.

[0109] The term "locus" (plural – loci) refers to a position on a chromosome of a gene or other genetic element. Locus may also mean the DNA at that position. A variant of the DNA sequence at
a given locus is called an allele. Alleles of a locus are located at identical sites on homologous chromosomes.

[0110] The term “natural DNA” or “natural nucleic acid” as used herein refers to, but is not limited to, nucleic acid which originates directly from the cells of a subject without modification or amplification.

[0111] The term “nucleic acid” as used herein refers to, but is not limited to, genomic DNA, cDNA, hnRNA, mRNA, rRNA, tRNA, fragmented nucleic acid, and nucleic acid obtained from subcellular organelles such as mitochondria. In addition, nucleic acids include, but are not limited to, synthetic nucleic acids or in vitro transcription products.

[0112] The term “nucleic-acid based analysis procedures” as used herein refers to any identification procedure which is based on the analysis of nucleic acids, e.g. DNA profiling.

[0113] The term "Relative Copy Number" (RCN), as used herein refers to the ratio of the copy number of a locus/allele to the copy number of a reference locus/allele.

[0114] The term “polymerase chain reaction (PCR) stutter” as used herein refers to PCR byproducts, obtained along with the main PCR product. These “stutter” byproducts are usually shorter by multiples of the repeated unit produced in the course of PCR amplification of STR sequences. The mechanism by which these artifacts are formed is understood, but it represents an intrinsic limitation of the PCR technology and therefore no effective remedy has been found to eliminate these spurious products (Olejniczak M, Krzyzosiak WJ., Electrophoresis. 2006 Oct; 27(19):3724-34). The term "-1 stutter" as used herein refers to a stutter byproduct that is one repeat unit smaller than its associated allele. Similarly, "+1 stutter" refers to a stutter byproduct that is one repeat unit larger than its associated allele. The term '-1 stutter fraction' refers to the height (or area) of the -1 stutter peak divided by the height (or area) of the true allele peak. Similarly, "+1 stutter fraction" refers to the height (or area) of the +1 stutter peak divided by the height (or area) of the true allele peak.

[0115] The term “Restriction and Circularization-Aided Rolling Circle Amplification (RCA-RCA)” refers to a whole genome amplification procedure which retains the allelic differences among degraded amplified genomes while achieving almost complete genome coverage. RCA–RCA utilizes
restriction digestion and whole genome circularization to generate genomic sequences amenable to rolling circle amplification.

[0116] The term “STR primers” as used herein refers to any commercially available or made-in-the-lab nucleotide primers that can be used to amplify a target nucleic acid sequence from a biological sample by PCR. There are ~1.5 million non-CODIS STR loci. Non-limiting examples of the above are presented in the following website http://www.cstl.nist.gov/biotech/strbase/str_ref.htm that currently contains 3156 references for STRs employed in science, forensics and beyond. In addition to published primer sequences, STR primers may be obtained from commercial kits for amplification of hundreds of STR loci (for example - ABI Prism Linkage Mapping Set-MD10 - Applied Biosystems), and for amplification of thousands of SNP loci (for example - Illumina BeadArray linkage mapping panel). The term “CODIS STR primers” as used herein refers to STR primers that are designed to amplify any of the thirteen core STR loci designated by the FBI’s “Combined DNA Index System”, specifically, the repeated sequences of TH01, TPOX, CSF1PO, VWA, FGA, D3S1358, D5S818, D7S820, D13S317, D16S539, D8S1179, D18S51, and D21S11, and the Amelogenin locus.

[0117] The term “representation bias” as used herein refers to differences in copy-number between different genomic loci in the nucleic acid sample in question.

**STR Analysis and Forensic Testing**

[0118] Methods for DNA fingerprinting include Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP), Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP), short tandem repeat (STR) analysis. In one aspect, the methods for distinguishing natural from artificial DNA are used in the context of STR analysis. STR analysis is the most prevalent method of DNA fingerprinting used today. The polymorphisms displayed at each STR region are by themselves very common, typically each polymorphism is shared by around 5-20% of individuals. When looking at multiple loci, it is the unique combination of these polymorphisms in an individual that makes this method discriminating as an identification tool. The more STR regions that are tested in an individual, the more discriminating the test becomes.

[0119] Different STR-based DNA profiling systems are in use in different countries. In North America, systems which amplify the CODIS 13 core loci are almost always used, while in the UK
the SGM+ system, which is compatible with The National DNA Database is used. Whichever system is used, many of the STR regions under test are the same. These DNA profiling systems are based around multiplex reactions, whereby many STR regions are tested simultaneously.

[0120] Capillary electrophoresis is performed by electro-kinetically injecting the DNA fragments into a capillary, filled with polymer. The DNA is pulled through the tube by the application of an electric field, separating the fragments such that the smaller fragments travel faster through the capillary. The fragments are then detected using fluorescent dyes that were attached to the primers used in PCR. This allows multiple fragments to be amplified and run simultaneously, also known as multiplexing. Sizes are assigned using labeled DNA size standards that are added to each sample, and the number of repeats is determined by comparing the size to an allelic ladder, a sample that contains all of the common possible repeat sizes. Although this method is expensive, larger capacity machines with higher throughput are being used to lower the cost/sample and reduce backlogs that exist in many government crime facilities.

[0121] Gel electrophoresis acts using similar principles as CE, but instead of using a capillary, a large polyacrylamide gel is used to separate the DNA fragments. An electric field is applied, as in CE, but instead of detection being performed at a single location in the capillary, the entire gel is scanned into a computer, and all fragments are detected simultaneously. This produces an image showing all of the bands corresponding to different repeat sizes and the allelic ladder. This approach does not require the use of size standards, since the allelic ladder is run alongside the samples and serves this purpose. Visualization can either be through the use of fluorescently tagged dyes in the primers or by silver staining the gel prior to scanning.

[0122] In the U.S.A., there are 13 core loci that are currently used for discrimination in CODIS. Because these loci are independently assorted (having a certain number of repeats at one locus does not change the likelihood of having any number of repeats at any other locus), the product rule for probabilities can be applied. This has resulted in the ability to generate match probabilities of one in a quintillion or more. The CODIS is the FBI-funded computer system that solves crimes by searching DNA profiles developed by federal, state, and local crime laboratories.

[0123] A record in the CODIS database, known as a CODIS profile, consists of a sample identifier, an identifier for the laboratory responsible for the profile, and the results of the DNA analysis
(known as the DNA profile). Other than the DNA profile, CODIS does not contain any personal identity information - the system does not store names, dates of birth, social security numbers, etc.

[0124] In its original form, CODIS consisted of two indexes: the Convicted Offender Index and the Forensic Index. The Convicted Offender Index contains profiles of individuals convicted of crimes; state law governs which specific crimes are eligible for CODIS. The Forensic Index contains profiles developed from biological material found at crime-scenes. In the past several years, CODIS has added several other indexes, including: an Arrestee Index, a Missing or Unidentified Persons Index, and a Missing Persons Reference Index.

[0125] CODIS has a matching algorithm that searches the various indexes against one another according to strict rules that protect personal privacy. For identifying suspects in rape and homicide cases, CODIS searches the Forensic Index against itself and against the Offender Index. A Forensic to Forensic match provides an investigative lead that connects two or more previously unlinked cases. A Forensic to Offender match actually provides a suspect for an otherwise unsolved case. It is important to note that the CODIS matching algorithm only produces a list of candidate matches. Each candidate match is confirmed or refuted by a Qualified DNA Analyst.

[0126] CODIS databases exist at the local, state, and national levels. This tiered architecture allows crime laboratories to control their own data - each laboratory decides which profiles it will share with the rest of the country. As of 2006, approximately 180 laboratories in all 50 states in the US participate in CODIS. The national level, the National DNA Index System (NDIS), are operated by the FBI at an undisclosed location.

[0127] As of May 2007, 177,870 forensic profiles and 4,582,516 offender profiles have been accumulated, making it the largest DNA databank in the world, surpassing the United Kingdom's National DNA Database, which consisted of an estimated 3,976,090 profiles as of June 2007. As of the same date, CODIS has produced over 49,400 matches to requests, assisting in more than 50,343 investigations.

[0128] The growing public approval of DNA databases has seen the creation and expansion of many states' own DNA databanks. California currently maintains the third largest DNA databank in the world. Political measures such as California Proposition 69 (2004), which increased the scope of the databank, have already met with a significant increase in numbers of investigations aided.
[0129] In order to decrease the number of irrelevant matches at NDIS, the Convicted Offender Index requires all 13 CODIS STRs to be present for a profile upload. Forensic profiles only require 10 of the STRs to be present for an upload.

[0130] The CODIS profile is created by genotyping 13 STR loci, plus two additional genomic loci located on chromosomes X, Y – for determination of sex. The CODIS profile consists of a vector of 26 numbers (representing the allelic values of the maternal and paternal alleles of the 13 STR loci), and the letters XX or XY (representing male or female). Each profile has an associated “frequency”, which represents the chance for a randomly picked person to have that profile. The frequency of the profile is the product of all the individual allelic frequencies.

Methods for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA samples.

[0131] In one aspect, the present invention provides a method for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA samples. A general scheme of the invention is as follows: the method accepts as input a DNA sample. The DNA undergoes a procedure including one or more biochemical steps followed by signal detection. In the last step of the procedure, the signal is analyzed to determine whether the DNA is natural or artificial. In another aspect, the present invention provides a method for verifying that a DNA profile is of natural DNA. A general scheme of the invention is as follows: the method accepts as an input a DNA sample that underwent profiling (e.g. with Identifiler). The DNA sample undergoes a verification procedure which includes one or more biochemical steps followed by signal detection. In the last step of the entire procedure, data from both profiling and verification of the DNA sample are analyzed. The signal analysis determines whether the profile obtained from the DNA sample represents natural (in vivo) or artificial (in vitro) DNA. When the verification procedure determines that a DNA sample is artificial, there may be no need to profile the sample. Therefore, the invention can also be useful for avoiding unnecessary profiling reactions. The invention also includes an internal validation step that can detect failure of amplification due to problems such as insufficient amount of template DNA, presence of PCR inhibitors, etc.

[0132] In various aspects, the methods of the present invention concern the verification that DNA profiles represent natural DNA. The methods are employed on a DNA sample in question, for example, DNA from a blood sample found at a crime scene. The isolation of nucleic acids (e.g. DNA) from a biological sample may be achieved by various methods known in the art (e.g. see

[0133] Distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA, or the determination whether a DNA profile represents natural DNA, may be accomplished using various strategies, including those described in the following sections.

**Methylation**

[0134] Methylation in the human genome occurs in the form of 5-methyl cytosine and is confined to cytosine residues that are part of the sequence CG (cytosine residues that are part of other sequences are not methylated).

[0135] Some CG dinucleotides in the human genome are methylated, and others are not. In addition, methylation is cell and tissue specific, such that a specific CG dinucleotide can be methylated in a certain cell and at the same time unmethylated in a different cell, or methylated in a certain tissue and at the same time unmethylated in different tissues. Since methylation at a specific locus can vary from cell to cell, when analyzing the methylation status of DNA extracted from a plurality of cells (e.g. from a forensic sample), the signal can be mixed, showing both the methylated and unmethylated signals in varying ratios. The methylation status of different genomic loci has been investigated and published (for example, see Eckhardt F et al. DNA methylation profiling of human chromosomes 6, 20 and 22. *Nature Genetics* 2006, 38:1359-1360). Some genomic regions have been shown to be mostly methylated, some have been shown to be mostly unmethylated, and some regions have been shown to be mostly methylated in certain tissues but mostly unmethylated in other tissues. The inventors discovered that in some genomic regions all CG loci are constitutively methylated. These regions are provided in Table 1 and in the section herein entitled Sequences. The inventors also discovered that in some genomic regions all CG loci are partially methylated. These regions are provided in Table 1 and in the section herein entitled Sequences. The inventors also discovered that in some genomic regions all CG loci are constitutively unmethylated. These regions are provided in Table 1 and in the section herein entitled Sequences. The inventors also discovered contiguous genomic regions containing constitutively methylated, partially methylated, and constitutively unmethylated CG loci. These regions are provided in Table 1 and in the section herein entitled Sequences. There are several different methods for determining the methylation level of genomic loci. Examples of methods that are commonly used are bisulfite sequencing, methylation-
specific PCR, and methylation-sensitive endonuclease digestion. Further, various data sources are available for retrieving or storing DNA methylation data and making these data readily available to the public, for example MetDB (http://www.methdb.net).

[0136] Exemplary methods for determining the methylation level of nucleic acids include, but are not limited to the following methods:

[0137] **Bisulfite sequencing.** Bisulfite sequencing is the sequencing of bisulfite treated-DNA to determine its pattern of methylation. The method is based on the fact that treatment of DNA with sodium bisulfite results in conversion of non-methylated cytosine residues to uracil, while leaving the methylated cytosine residues unaffected. Following conversion by sodium bisulfite, specific regions of the DNA are amplified by PCR, and the PCR products are sequenced. Since in the polymerase chain reaction uracil residues are amplified as if they were thymine residues, unmethylated cytosine residues in the original DNA appear as thymine residues in the sequenced PCR product, whereas methylated cytosine residues in the original DNA appear as cytosine residues in the sequenced PCR product.

[0138] **Methylation specific PCR.** Methylation specific PCR is a method of methylation analysis that, like bisulfite sequencing, is also performed on bisulfite-treated DNA, but avoids the need to sequence the genomic region of interest. Instead, the selected region in the bisulfite-treated DNA is amplified by PCR using two sets of primers that are designed to anneal to the same genomic targets. The primer pairs are designed to be “methylated-specific” by including sequences complementing only unconverted 5-methylcytosines, or conversely “unmethylated-specific”, complementing thymines converted from unmethylated cytosines. Methylation is determined by the relative efficiency of the different primer pairs in achieving amplification.

[0139] It should be understood in the context of the present invention that methylation specific PCR determines the methylation level of CG dinucleotides in the primer sequences only, and not in the entire genomic region that is amplified by PCR. Therefore, CG dinucleotides that are found in the amplified sequence but are not in the primer sequences are not part of the CG locus.

[0140] **Methylation-sensitive endonuclease digestion.** Digestion of DNA with methylation-sensitive endonucleases represents a method for methylation analysis that can be applied directly to genomic DNA without the need to perform bisulfite conversion. The method is based on the fact that
methylase-sensitive endonucleases digest only unmethylated DNA, while leaving methylated DNA intact. Following digestion, the DNA can be analyzed for methylation level by a variety of methods, including gel electrophoresis, and PCR amplification of specific loci.

[0141] In the procedure based on methylase-sensitive endonuclease digestion, each CG locus is comprised of one or more CG dinucleotides that are part of recognition sequence(s) of the methylase-sensitive restriction endonuclease(s) that are used in the procedure. CG dinucleotides that are found in the amplified genomic region, but are not in the recognition sequence(s) of the endonuclease(s) are not part of the CG locus.

[0142] In one embodiment, the one or more CG loci that are detected are partially methylated in natural DNA, but would be unmethylated in artificial DNA. Partial methylation would be expected to result in a mixture of T and C at the position being interrogated. Hybridization would be observed to both the T specific probes/primers and the C specific probes/primers, similar to detection of a heterozygous SNP. Relative amounts of hybridization may be used to determine the relative amount of methylation. Alternatively, both C and T would be observed upon bisulfite sequencing. Alternatively, fluorescent signals corresponding to amplification products of methylated or partially methylated CG loci can be detected.

Control loci

[0143] Any genomic locus may be used as a control locus, other than those loci that are used for other purposes in the procedure (e.g. profile-linking loci). If the in vitro generated DNA sample consists only of loci used in the assay, except for the control loci, then all other genomic loci will be absent from the sample. Therefore, the attempt to amplify any additional locus will fail in such in vitro generated DNA samples, but not in in vivo generated DNA samples. Accordingly, the absence of control loci from the test sample indicates that the DNA was synthetically constructed.

[0144] A person skilled in the art needs no special guidelines for selection of control loci, as any loci will be appropriate for this purpose. If, however, the set of control loci is meant not only for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA but also for DNA profiling, then the usual guidelines for selection of profiling loci (e.g. polymorphic in the human population, having relatively low mutation rates, neutral, non-phenotypic, each locus present on a separate chromosome) may be employed.
Therefore, in accordance with the present invention, the presence or absence of a set of genomic loci may be determined using various methods. In one embodiment, each locus in the set of loci is amplified by PCR and the presence of amplification products is detected by gel or capillary electrophoresis. Various amplification methods can be used to amplify DNA loci, including PCR (Saiki et al., Science. 1985, 230: 1350-1354), transcription based amplification (Kwoh et al., Am Biotechnol Lab. 1990, 8(13):14-25) and strand displacement amplification (SDA) (Walker et al., Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1992 1; 89(1):392-6). In a suitable embodiment, the nucleic acid sample is subjected to PCR amplification using primer pairs specific to each locus in the set.

**Representation Bias**

Natural DNA generally has a smaller representation bias in relation to WGA DNA. However, the pattern of representation bias in different types of WGA-DNA is different, such that in a specific set of loci there may be increased bias in one WGA type, but not in another. In the methods described here the loci used for representation bias analysis may be chosen as follows. In one embodiment, the analysis may be performed on a set of STR loci used for DNA profiling, such as the SGM+ or Identifiler loci. In accordance with the above, analysis is performed on the same capillary electrophoresis histogram that is used for profiling. In another embodiment, random genomic loci are tested for representation bias in natural and in artificial DNA, and those loci that show a high representation bias in artificial DNA are selected. The inventors discovered specific genomic loci that show increased representation bias in artificial DNA in relation to natural DNA loci. Such useful loci and primers are presented in Table 1 and the Sequences section elsewhere herein.

**PCR Stutter**

The present inventors discovered that artificial DNA that was synthesized by PCR or by any PCR-based WGA method (e.g. DOP-PCR) has increased stutter levels in relation to natural DNA in stutter-prone loci, such as repetitive elements. Furthermore, the present inventors discovered that artificial DNA that was synthesized by PCR or by any PCR-based WGA method (e.g. DOP-PCR) has increased stutter levels in relation to natural DNA in STR loci that are commonly used for profiling, such as the STR loci used in PowerPlex16, PowerPlexES, Identifiler, YFiler. Stutter-prone loci can be chosen from a large number of repetitive genetic elements such as STRs.
Non genomic sequences

[0148] The present inventors found and characterized non-genomic sequences, including primers, primer dimers, and additional adenine nucleotides (in DNA generated by PCR-based methods), plasmid sequences (in DNA generated by cloning methods), non-genomic sequences ligated to ends of genomic sequences (e.g. in ligation-mediated PCR), non-genomic sequences created by non-template polymerization (e.g. in MDA), in artificially synthesized DNA samples.

[0149] The presence of such non-genomic sequences can be detected by assays which are well-known in the art, for example, by cloning of the nucleic acids from the test sample into bacteria, and sequencing the cloned molecules.

Distribution of nucleic acid fragment lengths

[0150] Non-degraded, in vivo generated DNA that is extracted from biological samples by standard procedures typically consists of a distribution of fragments of varying lengths, from about 500 base pairs (bps) up to more than 10,000 bps. In contrast, DNA generated in vitro may consist of either small fragments only (e.g. DNA generated by PCR), or fragments with a relatively uniform size distribution (e.g. cloned DNA).

[0151] The distribution of fragment lengths may be determined by assays which are well-known in the art, for example, gel electrophoresis and detection of size-fractionated molecules.

RNA

[0152] Pure in vitro generated DNA does not contain RNA. However, it should be noted that if a contaminated sample contains some biological material (e.g. red blood cells extracted from fractionated blood), then some residual RNA may be present in the contaminated sample. However, this RNA will most likely not be compatible with the in vitro generated DNA that is found in the sample. This incompatibility can be detected by genotyping a set of transcribed STRs (e.g. RT-PCR followed by capillary electrophoresis).

Systems for Performing the Methods of the Invention

[0153] In another aspect, the invention provides a system for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA, or for verifying that a DNA profile represents natural DNA. The system may
comprise an input device in data communication with a processor, which is in data communication with an output device.

[0154] The input device is used for entry of data including the presence or amount of one or more target loci in the sample; one or more constitutively methylated or partially methylated loci in the sample; one or more constitutively unmethylated loci in the sample; non-genomic sequences in the sample; PCR stutter in the sample; and/or RNA in the sample. The processor may comprise software for computing a representation bias in the sample. The processor may also comprise software for determining whether the DNA sample in question is natural or artificial, or whether a DNA profile represents natural or artificial DNA.

[0155] The data output device, in data communication with the processor, receives the determination from the processor and provides the determination of whether the sample is natural or artificial to the system operator. The output device can consist of, for example, a video display monitor or a printer.

EXAMPLES

[0156] The present methods and kits, thus generally described, will be understood more readily by reference to the following examples, which are provided by way of illustration and are not intended to be limiting of the present methods and kits.

Example 1: Materials and Methods

[0157] Collection of biological tissues. Samples of blood, dry saliva stains on absorbent paper, skin scrapings, hair, and smoked cigarette butts were collected from volunteers. Informed consent was obtained from all participants recruited into the study. DNA from these samples was extracted and quantified as described below.

[0158] In vitro synthesis of DNA. The set of 10 STRs included in the Profiler Plus® kit (Applied Biosystems) were amplified from 1ng of natural DNA, either by singleplex PCR amplification of all 10 loci (performed as described below), or by simultaneous amplification of all loci in a single reaction using the Profiler Plus® kit.

[0159] For construction of the CODIS allele library, individual alleles of CODIS STRs and the hTERT locus were amplified from pooled DNA (Control Human Genomic DNA of the
GenomePlex WGA2 kit, Sigma Aldrich) by separate PCR reactions. Amplified fragments were purified (QIAquick PCR purification kit, QIAGEN), and cloned into the pGEM-T-Easy vector (Promega). Plasmid DNA was purified by the QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (QIAGEN), and groups of clones were genotyped simultaneously using the PowerPlex16 kit (Promega).

[0160] Whole genome amplification was performed with the Repli-g Midi kit (QIAGEN) using 10 ng of natural DNA as template.

[0161] *Generation of mock forensic samples.* For generating artificial touch DNA samples, *in vitro* synthesized DNA was applied directly to the surface of the object and allowed to dry. For generating artificial blood samples, red blood cells were isolated from whole blood by centrifugation (1500g, 10 min), and mixed with *in vitro* synthesized DNA. Drops of the red blood cell-DNA mix were dripped from a height of 1m and allowed to dry. For generating artificial saliva samples, saliva extract (containing no cells) was isolated from the top phase of centrifuged natural saliva (1500g, 10 min), and mixed with *in vitro* synthesized DNA. The saliva extract-DNA mix was applied directly to the surface of the object and allowed to dry. A detailed description of all samples is provided in Table 2.

### Table 2. Descriptions of mock forensic samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>DNA origin</th>
<th>Sample description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>30 µl drops of blood from donor 'N240', dripped on the floor from a height of 1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>30 µl drops of blood from donor 'N283', dripped on the floor from a height of 1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>30 µl drops of blood from donor 'N346', dripped on the floor from a height of 1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>30 µl drops of blood from donor 'N219', dripped on the floor from a height of 1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>50 µl saliva from donor 'N270', applied to the inner surface of a ski mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>50 µl saliva of donor 'N283', applied to the inner surface of a ski mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>50 µl saliva of donor 'N229', applied to the inner surface of a ski mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>Skin scrapings of donor 'N270'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>Skin scrapings of donor 'N243'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>Skin scrapings of donor 'N223'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>Artificial blood with WGA-synthesized DNA of 'N283' and red blood cells from 'N227': 10 ng of DNA were extracted from a single hair of donor 'N283' and amplified <em>in vitro</em> to ~10 µg by WGA. Red blood cells were isolated from the blood of donor 'N227' by centrifugation. The artificial DNA was mixed with the red blood cells and 30 µl drops of this artificial blood were dripped on the floor from a height of 1m (FIG. 2C).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>Artificial blood with WGA-synthesized DNA of 'N226' and red blood cells from 'N227': 10 ng of DNA were extracted from a single hair of donor 'N226' and amplified <em>in vitro</em> to ~10 µg by WGA. Red blood cells were isolated from the blood of donor 'N227' by centrifugation. The artificial DNA was mixed with the red blood cells and 30 µl drops of this artificial blood were dripped on the floor from a height of 1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em></td>
<td>Artificial blood with PCR-amplified DNA of 'N222' and red blood cells from 'N283': 1 ng of DNA was extracted from a cigarette butt smoked by donor 'N222' and amplified by PCR at...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DNA origin</td>
<td>Sample description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CODIS loci using the Profiler Plus® kit. Amplified products were combined with a dilution of artificial hTERT fragments generated by PCR amplification of Quantifiler™ standard DNA. Red blood cells were isolated from the blood of donor 'N283' by centrifugation. The artificial DNA was mixed with the red blood cells and 30 µl drops of this artificial blood were dripped on the floor from a height of 1 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Artificial blood with a cloned DNA profile of 'N400' and red blood cells from 'N283': The artificial profile of donor 'N400' was assembled from a library of cloned CODIS and hTERT alleles. Red blood cells were isolated from the blood of donor 'N283' by centrifugation. The artificial DNA was mixed with the red blood cells and 30 µl drops of this artificial blood were dripped on the floor from a height of 1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Artificial saliva with WGA-synthesized DNA of 'N400' and saliva extract from 'N270': 10 ng of DNA were extracted from a saliva stain on absorbent paper used by donor 'N400', and amplified in vitro to 10 µg by WGA. Saliva extract containing no cells was isolated from the saliva of donor 'N270' by centrifugation. The artificial DNA was mixed with the saliva extract and 50 µl of this artificial saliva was applied to the inner surface of a ski mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Artificial saliva with PCR-amplified DNA of 'N222' and saliva extract from 'N283': 1 ng of DNA was extracted from a cigarette butt smoked by donor 'N222' and amplified by PCR at 10 CODIS loci using the Profiler Plus® kit. Amplified products were combined with a dilution of artificial hTERT fragments generated by PCR amplification of Quantifiler™ standard DNA. Saliva extract containing non-cells was isolated from the saliva of donor 'N270' by centrifugation. The artificial DNA was mixed with the saliva extract and 50 µl of this artificial saliva was applied to the inner surface of a ski mask (FIG. 2B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Artificial saliva with a cloned DNA profile of 'Male N400' and saliva extract from 'N270': The artificial profile of non-existent 'Male N400' was assembled from a library of cloned CODIS and hTERT alleles. Saliva extract containing no cells was isolated from the saliva of donor 'N270' by centrifugation. The artificial DNA was mixed with the saliva extract and 50 µl of this artificial saliva was applied to the inner surface of a ski mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Artificial touch DNA sample with WGA-synthesized DNA of 'N400': 10 ng of DNA were extracted from a saliva stain on absorbent paper used by donor 'N400' and amplified in vitro to 10 µg by WGA. 50 µl of diluted WGA products were applied to the external surface of the action of a handgun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Artificial touch DNA sample with PCR-amplified DNA of 'N222': 1 ng of DNA was extracted from a cigarette butt smoked by donor 'N222', and amplified by PCR at 10 CODIS loci using the Profiler Plus® kit. Amplified products were combined with a dilution of artificial hTERT fragments generated by PCR amplification of Quantifiler™ standard DNA. 50 µl of diluted PCR products were applied to the external surface of the action of a handgun (FIG. 2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>Artificial touch DNA sample with a cloned DNA profile of 'N400': The artificial profile of 'N400' was assembled from a library of cloned CODIS and hTERT alleles. 50 µl of diluted cloned fragments were applied to on the external surface of the action of a handgun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>Empty swab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**[0162]** Identification and collection of mock forensic samples. Stains were identified as human blood using the HEXAGON OBTI kit (BLUESTAR), and as saliva using Phadebas® Amylase test (Phadebas). Samples of blood and touch DNA were collected with a sterile cotton swab, dampened with distilled water. Saliva samples were composed of cut-out portions of the ski-mask fabric.

**[0163]** DNA extraction and quantification. DNA extraction from all samples was performed according to an organic extraction protocol (Sambrook, Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (2nd ed.),
Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York, 1989). DNA quantification was performed using the Quantifiler® Human DNA quantification kit (Applied Biosystems). Real-time PCR was performed on a StepOne™ system (Applied Biosystems).

[0164] DNA profiling, capillary electrophoresis and signal analysis. STR loci were amplified using the Profiler Plus® (Applied Biosystems) and PowerPlex16 (for preparing the CODIS allele library; Promega) kits using a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems). Amplification products were run on an ABI 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The resulting electropherograms were analyzed using GeneMapper ID-X analysis software (Applied Biosystems).

[0165] Bisulfite conversion and methylation analysis. Bisulfite conversion was performed with the EpiTect™ kit (Qiagen). Converted DNA was amplified by PCR at the set of loci described in Table 3. In each PCR, 1/10 of the EpiTect™ products was used as template and the reaction was performed as described below. Amplified fragments were purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN) and sequenced.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Primer sequences (5' -&gt; 3') *</th>
<th># CpGs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FGAref</td>
<td>Chr. 4</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>F=TTAAGGACTCAGAATTGTTAAACCC</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R=GAGTGATTTGTTTGTAAATTGTTAGTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT18</td>
<td>Chr. 17</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>F=GGGAGGGTTTTGACTAAAGG</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R=CTTCAACACAAATCAACTTTTACTAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADD6</td>
<td>Chr. 2</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>F=ATGAGGCTGATGAGGAGG</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R=ATTTGAGAAGAGGAGAAATTTAAGTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS33</td>
<td>Chr. 4</td>
<td>Non-methylated</td>
<td>F=CTTCCTTTAAATTTTTCTTTA</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R=CATATCCTTTCTCTTCTTTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW14</td>
<td>Chr. 7</td>
<td>Non-methylated</td>
<td>F=GGTGAGGGAGGAGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R=TTATCCACTTCCAATTTCA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Primers for FGAref are Bisulfite-specific; other primers will amplify both converted and non

[0166] PCR. All PCRs (except for profiling) were performed in a total volume of 50 μl with 0.2 μM each primer, 0.2mM each dNTP, 5U AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems), and 5 μl 10X PCR Buffer containing 15mM MgCl₂ (Applied Biosystems). Amplification was performed in a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems). The PCR program used was: 95°C for 11 min,
followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 59°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min, and followed by a final extension step of 60°C for 45 min.

[0167] Probability of "non-existent" profile. The probability that a random unrelated male has the Profiler Plus® profile of 'male-N400' was calculated based on allele frequencies in the US Caucasian population (Butler et al., Allele frequencies for 15 autosomal STR loci on U.S. Caucasian, African American, and Hispanic populations. J Forensic Sci 48 (2003) 908-911). This probability was multiplied by $3.5 \times 10^9$ (approximate male population) to yield the approximate probability that there exists a person with the 'male N400' profile (excluding close relatives of 'N400').

Example 2: Profiles of in vivo- and in vitro-synthesized DNA are indistinguishable.

[0168] To demonstrate that DNA can be synthesized in vitro such that its profile will be indistinguishable from that of DNA of in vivo origin, we profiled a natural DNA sample and compared it to corresponding profiles from DNA that was synthesized in vitro by three different methods. Natural DNA was extracted from a saliva sample of female donor 'N400' and genotyped using the Profiler Plus® and GeneMapper ID-X (Applied Biosystems); (FIG. 1A). The GeneMapper ID-X software assigns a color-coded bar above each locus, representing the quality of the genotype at that locus. Green bars represent a good quality genotype without anomalies (i.e. no extra peaks, no allelic imbalance, rfu within a predetermined range), while yellow and red bars represent poorer quality genotypes. The entire profile is also assigned a similar color coded score, where green represents a "perfect" score without anomalies in any locus. The profile obtained from the saliva of donor 'N400' was perfect, as expected of high quality DNA.

[0169] Next, we produced three types of in vitro synthesized DNA with the same genotype as 'N400'. For the first sample the 10 Profiler Plus® STRs were amplified in separate PCRs using 1 ng of natural 'N400' DNA (extracted from a cigarette butt smoked by 'N400') as template for each reaction. The PCR products, representing over a billion-fold amplification of the template DNA, were combined, diluted, and profiled (FIG. 1B). The second sample was generated by multiple displacement amplification (MDA), an isothermal WGA method in which nanogram quantities of genomic DNA are amplified overnight to microgram quantities, and the amplified products contain a representation of the entire genome (Dean et al., Comprehensive human genome amplification using multiple displacement amplification. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99 (2002) 5261-5266). Ten nanograms of natural 'N400' DNA (obtained from a saliva stain on absorbent paper used by 'N400')
were used as template for MDA, and a dilution of the products was used for profiling (FIG. 1C). For the third sample, a "CODIS allele library" was constructed, consisting of individual alleles of CODIS STRs cloned into plasmids. In the library each element is a microcentrifuge tube with trillions of copies of a single allele (for example, one element is allele 11 of locus D8S1179, while another is allele 12 of D8S1179, and likewise for the other CODIS loci). The alleles in the CODIS library originated from PCR amplification of commercial pooled human DNA (which contains multiple alleles at each locus), and none of them originated from the DNA of 'N400'. For assembling the third sample, equal quantities of alleles corresponding to the alleles of 'N400' were picked from the library, combined in a single tube, diluted, and profiled (FIG. 1D). In contrast to the first two methods (PCR and WGA) which required at least a minute amount of natural 'N400' DNA as template, for construction of the cloned profile of 'N400' no such template DNA was required (only a priori knowledge of her profile was required). Furthermore, a similar library containing 425 clones corresponding to all known CODIS alleles (including all rare micro-variants) is sufficient to generate any desired profile, while a much smaller library is sufficient to generate the profiles of the vast majority of the human population. In order to demonstrate the possibility to create any desired profile, we used the library to assemble a profile of a non-existent person, which we term 'male N400'. This profile is identical to that of 'N400', with the exception of the Amelogenin locus, in which its genotype is XY instead of XX (FIG. 1E). We calculated that the probability that a male unrelated to 'N400' has a profile identical to that of 'male N400' is \(7.95 \times 10^{-12}\), and consequently the probability that there does not exist in the world population an unrelated male with an identical profile is greater than 99.99%.

[0170] The genotypes of all in vitro synthesized 'N400' samples were identical to the genotype obtained from the natural 'N400' DNA, and all profiles were perfect according to GeneMapper ID-X analysis.

Example 3: The current forensic procedure fails to distinguish between natural and artificial DNA evidence.

[0171] Generation of artificial DNA evidence. We created 10 mock forensic samples with artificial DNA, of types that may be found in crime scenes, and subjected three of these samples to analysis through the complete forensic procedure (the rest of the samples are discussed in section 3.4). These three samples contained artificial DNA that was synthesized using different methods: a handgun sample with PCR amplified DNA, a ski-mask with DNA fragments from the cloned allele library,
and bloodstains with DNA synthesized by WGA (FIG. 2A-C). The handgun sample was created by applying artificial DNA of female donor 'N222' to the external surface of the action. The artificial DNA contained a mix of PCR amplified CODIS and hTERT (the target of the Quantifiler™ kit that is often used for forensic DNA quantification) fragments. For generation of the CODIS fragments, 1 ng of natural DNA was extracted from a cigarette butt smoked by 'N222', amplified at 10 CODIS loci by a single PCR reaction using the Profiler Plus® kit, and the products were diluted. The hTERT fragment was obtained by diluting a Quantifiler™ PCR reaction in which the standard DNA of the kit was used as template (the hTERT locus, as opposed to CODIS loci, is not polymorphic and therefore any human DNA can be used as template). The resulting combination of 11 amplified fragments (10 CODIS and hTERT) is not a full representation of the DNA of 'N222', but rather includes a very small fraction (less than 0.01%) of the genome. Nevertheless, this small fraction is sufficient for "passing" forensic DNA quantification and profiling, as natural DNA, since the forensic procedure is based on analysis of this small set of loci.

[0172] The artificial DNA for the ski-mask sample (FIG. 2B) was created by combining a cloned profile of 'male N400' (assembled from the CODIS allele library, as described above), and a cloned hTERT fragment. In order to create an artificial saliva sample, natural saliva from donor 'N270' was centrifuged, and the supernatant, containing the amylase enzyme (which is the target of the Phadebas® assay – see below) but without cells, was mixed with a dilution of the artificial cloned DNA. This mixture was applied to the inner surface of the ski-mask fabric, around the mouth orifice.

[0173] The artificial DNA for the bloodstain sample (FIG. 2C) was created by WGA: 10 ng of natural DNA of male donor 'N283' that were extracted from a single hair were used as template for a WGA reaction using the Repli-g Midi kit, yielding 10 µg amplified artificial DNA. In contrast to the handgun and ski-mask samples, the artificial DNA in this sample contained a representation of the entire genome of 'N283', and not only CODIS loci. In order to create an artificial blood sample, the natural blood of female donor 'N227' was centrifuged and the red blood cell fraction (containing no nuclei) was isolated and mixed with a dilution of the artificial WGA DNA. Drops of this artificial blood were dripped from a height of 1 meter onto the floor and allowed to dry.

[0174] Analysis of artificial DNA evidence. The three samples were processed according to the routine forensic procedure performed in crime scenes. Samples were collected from the external surface of
the handgun action (with a sterile swab, dampened by distilled water), from the ski-mask fabric (a portion of the wool around the mouth orifice), and from the bloodstains (with a sterile swab dampened by distilled water). A portion of the ski-mask sample was tested for presence of saliva using the Phadebas® assay, and the results were positive (data not shown), due to the presence of amylase in the supernatant of the natural saliva extract. A portion of the bloodstain sample was tested for the presence of human blood DNA using the HEXAGON OBTI assay, and the results were positive (data not shown), due to the presence of hemoglobin in the red blood cells. DNA was extracted from all three samples by organic extraction, and quantification was performed with the Quantifiler™ kit. One nanogram of DNA from each sample was used for genotyping with Profiler Plus®. The capillary electropherograms were analyzed with GeneMapper ID-X, and the resulting profiles are depicted in FIG. 2D (partial profiles). The genotypes of all three samples were identical to the genotypes of the artificial DNA that was used in their production. Furthermore, in the artificial saliva and blood samples there were no observable traces of natural DNA from the saliva and blood donors (whose partial profiles are shown in FIG. 2E and 2F, respectively), and all artificial profiles received a perfect GeneMapper ID-X score, consistent with a single contributor.

[0175] Independent analysis of artificial blood evidence. In order to check whether the profiling results obtained in our laboratory were dependant on our specific setup, we sent a duplicate swab of the artificial blood sample to a leading forensic DNA laboratory for analysis. The procedures employed by this laboratory have been validated according to standards established by the Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods (SWGDAM) and adopted as US Federal Standards. DNA was extracted from the sample in the laboratory using the EZ1 DNA Investigator Kit (QIAGEN), and quantified using a proprietary real time PCR assay (both extraction and quantification methods were different than those employed in our lab). Genotyping was performed with Profiler Plus® and COfiler® (Applied Biosystems). The report received from the laboratory states that “The DNA profile obtained from sample 2S09-002-001 [the artificial blood swab] is consistent with a male contributor”, and the profiling results, both in Profiler Plus® and COfiler® were identical to the genotype of the artificial DNA of donor 'N283', with “No Edits” (i.e. no anomalies found in any of the analyzed loci; see report in Text S3).

[0176] These results demonstrate that artificial DNA can easily be applied to surfaces of objects or incorporated into genuine human tissues, thereby creating artificial forensic evidence that, after undergoing the entire forensic casework procedure, yields perfect profiles.
Example 4: DNA authentication assay.

[0177] Authenticating the in vivo source of forensic DNA samples requires a method that is able to distinguish between in vitro synthesized and in vivo generated DNA. Distinguishing between the two types of DNA is possible because all current methods for in vitro synthesis/amplification of DNA generate products that are different than in vivo generated DNA in their composition and/or chemical properties. However, since there are many different methods for in vitro synthesis/amplification of DNA, and since each method generates different types of products, finding a single method which can differentiate between the two types of DNA can be challenging.

[0178] A simple approach for this purpose could have been establishing the extent of genomic coverage in the DNA sample, or more specifically, determining the existence or absence of non-CODIS loci. Artificial DNA samples that are synthesized by PCR or molecular cloning generally contain only a small set of loci (CODIS alleles and perhaps the hTERT locus or similar targets for DNA quantification), and do not contain other non-CODIS loci, which represent the vast majority of the genome. PCR amplification of non-CODIS loci will therefore fail in such samples and this simple approach can be useful for exposing artificial DNA that was synthesized by such methods. However, such an approach cannot differentiate between natural DNA and artificial DNA that was synthesized by WGA, since such DNA contains a representation of all genomic loci, similarly to natural DNA. Therefore this approach alone cannot differentiate between natural and all types of artificial DNA.

[0179] We developed a DNA authentication assay that differentiates between natural and all types of artificial DNA based on analysis of methylation patterns. Methylation is an epigenetic chemical modification of DNA, occurring in mammals in the form of a methyl group (\(-\text{CH}_3\)) that is enzymatically added to the C5 position of cytosine in some CpG dinucleotides (Mirand and Jones. DNA methylation: the nuts and bolts of repression. J Cell Physiol. 213 (2007) 384-390). DNA methylation is believed to inhibit gene expression in animal cells, probably by affecting chromatin structure (Hashimshony et al., The role of DNA methylation in setting up chromatin structure during development. Nat. Genet. 34 (2003) 187-192). In the human genome 70-80% of all CpGs are methylated, while unmethylated CpGs are grouped in clusters called "CpG islands" (Bird, DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory. Genes Dev. 16 (2002) 6-21). The authentication assay is based on the fact that unlike in vitro synthesized DNA which is completely unmethylated, in vivo
generated DNA contains loci that are completely and consistently methylated and other loci that are completely and consistently unmethylated.

[0180] In one embodiment of the assay, DNA from a forensic sample in question is treated with sodium bisulfite, which converts all unmethylated cytosines to uracils, while leaving the methylated cytosines unaffected (Frommer et al., A genomic sequencing protocol that yields a positive display of 5-methylcytosine residues in individual DNA strands. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89 (1992) 1827-1831) (in subsequent PCR, uracils are amplified as if they are thymines, resulting in conversion of the sequence "CG" to "TG" in unmethylated but not in methylated CpG dinucleotides). Following bisulfite conversion, the DNA is amplified by PCR at a set of loci, containing one reference CODIS locus (FGAref), and four non-CODIS loci (NT18, ADD6, MS53, SW14). These loci were chosen because NT18 and ADD6 are consistently methylated, while MS53 and SW14 are consistently unmethylated in human tissues such as blood, saliva and epidermis (the source of touch DNA). The primers for amplification of this set of loci were designed to enable detection of incomplete bisulfite conversion (a major concern in this type of assay) by being completely devoid of cytosines (or guanines, depending on whether the sense or antisense strands are to be amplified). Such primers amplify with equal efficiency both converted and unconverted DNA, thus facilitating detection of incomplete conversion of the DNA upon sequencing. Following PCR, the presence or absence of amplicons is determined. This can be achieved by electrophoresis of PCR products, or alternatively, by real time PCR. Complete absence of amplicons (including FGAref) indicates a problem in the procedure due to PCR inhibitors, insufficient template, etc. Successful amplification of the CODIS reference locus (FGAref) with concomitant failure of amplification of the non-CODIS loci indicate that the DNA is artificial and was synthesized by one of the methods that generate only a subset of genomic loci (e.g. PCR or cloning of CODIS loci). Successful amplification of all loci indicates that the DNA contains a full representation of the genome and is either natural DNA or artificial DNA synthesized by WGA. Differentiation between these two types of DNA is achieved by sequencing the four non-CODIS amplicons and analysis of their methylation pattern. The DNA is determined to be of in vivo origin if its methylation pattern is consistent with that of in vivo generated DNA (i.e. complete methylation of all CpGs in NT18 and ADD6 alongside with complete non-methylation of all CpGs in MS53 and SW14), otherwise it is determined to be of in vitro origin.

[0181] Demonstration of the DNA authentication assay. We applied the DNA authentication assay to 20 mock forensic samples, 10 with natural DNA, 10 with artificial DNA, and a negative control sample
without DNA (Table 4). Following DNA extraction, all samples were treated with sodium bisulfite and amplified at the four non-CODIS loci and the FGAref locus (FIG. 3). All samples with natural DNA showed successful amplification of all loci, and the FGAref amplicon was present in all samples, both natural and artificial (but not in the negative control sample). Samples 13,14,16,17,19,20 which contain artificial DNA synthesized by PCR or molecular cloning, failed to amplify the four non-CODIS loci, since the DNA in these samples contains only CODIS loci. These samples were therefore determined to be non-authentic and were not processed further. The remaining artificial DNA samples (11,12,15,18) contained WGA-synthesized DNA and in these sample all loci amplified successfully, similar to natural DNA.

[0182] The natural and WGA-synthesized DNA samples were processed further by sequencing at the four non-CODIS loci and analysis of the methylation status at all CpG positions (Table 4). All natural DNA samples showed complete methylation of all 12 CpG positions in NT18, complete methylation of all 11 CpG positions in ADD6, no methylation in any of the 6 CpG positions in MS53, and no methylation in any of the 17 CpG positions in SW14. In contrast, all WGA-synthesized samples showed no methylation in any of the CpG positions of NT18, ADD6, MS53, and SW14, reflecting the complete lack of methylation in these samples (FIG. 4 shows partial sequences in a natural and an artificial sample). Based on this methylation analysis, the 10 natural samples were determined to be authentic, and the four WGA-synthesized samples were determined to be non-authentic. Therefore the assay was successful in determining the correct status of all 20 samples (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Source of DNA</th>
<th>FGAref amplified</th>
<th>Methylated CpG positions</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Source of DNA</td>
<td>FGAref amplified</td>
<td>Methylated CpG positions</td>
<td>Decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>In vivo (blood)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>In vivo (blood)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>In vivo (blood)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>In vivo (blood)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>In vivo (saliva)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>In vivo (saliva)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>In vivo (saliva)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>In vivo (skin)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>In vivo (skin)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>In vivo (skin)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>In vitro (WGA)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>0/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>In vitro (WGA)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>0/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>In vitro (PCR)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>In vitro (Cloning)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loci</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Presence</th>
<th>O/12</th>
<th>O/11</th>
<th>O/6</th>
<th>O/17</th>
<th>Authentication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em> (WGA)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-authentic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em> (PCR)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>Non-authentic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em> (Cloning)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>Non-authentic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em> (WGA)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0/12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-authentic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em> (PCR)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>Non-authentic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em> (Cloning)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>Non-authentic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Negative Control</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No amp.</td>
<td>No decision b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of methylated CpG positions out of total number of CpG positions in each locus. No amp. = No amplicon observed; Bold indicates results inconsistent with DNA of *in vivo* origin.

**b**" "No decision" is outputted when there is no amplification in any of the loci. Possible reasons may be insufficient/degraded template DNA, PCR inhibitors, etc.

**[0183]** These results demonstrate the case at which artificial DNA evidence can be produced, and that such evidence "passes" the current forensic procedure as genuine. The fact that an independent forensic laboratory, which provides services to United States law enforcement agencies, analyzed our artificial blood sample yielding a perfectly normal, single contributor DNA profile – attests to the problem.

**[0184]** In this case the artificial DNA was designed to have the profile of donor 'N283', and was amplified from a minute amount of DNA extracted from a single hair of 'N283'. Similarly, we produced artificial samples of DNA amplified from a cigarette butt and a dry saliva stain on absorbent paper. Such common everyday objects, which can be used to obtain source DNA for producing artificial samples, can be obtained from practically anyone. Even this constraint is removed when considering the possibility to produce artificial evidence using the "cloned CODIS allele library", since any profile can be assembled without the need for source DNA, only requiring knowledge of the 26 numbers that make up the desired profile.

**[0185]** Once source DNA from a person or knowledge of his/her profile is obtained, the actual manufacturing of the artificial sample is simple and straightforward. Generating large amounts of artificial DNA can be performed overnight, using basic laboratory equipment and commercial kits, requires only basic knowledge in molecular biology, and little financial expense. There is a very large and growing number of people with the necessary expertise and access to the required equipment, such as scientists, research students, lab technicians in hospitals, pharmaceutical or biotech companies, etc. Moreover, since commercial molecular biology services are becoming widespread and DNA with any sequence can be ordered online, manufacturing an artificial DNA sample does not require much more than a personal computer and link to the internet.
[0186] Authentication is necessary for preventing false DNA matches. The DNA profiles of millions of people are registered in rapidly growing national databases, and the current trend around the world is to include more and more profiles in them, not only of convicted offenders, but also of arrestees. Profiles from casework samples are routinely searched against these databases (e.g. by automatic software such as CODIS), and when an identical profile is found, a DNA "match" is made, making the identified person a suspect in the case and usually leading to his arrest (Bond and Hammond, The value of DNA material recovered from crime scenes. J Forensic Sci. 53 (2008) 797-80). The suspect is then expected to explain how his/her DNA was found at the crime scene, and failure to provide a satisfactory explanation will lead to indictment. The weight of such DNA evidence in the courtroom today is very strong, and is considered key to the conviction and exoneration of suspects (Jobling and Gill, Encoded evidence: DNA in forensic analysis, Nat. Rev. Genet. 5 (2004) 739-51). In some jurisdictions, DNA evidence alone can lead to conviction without the requirement of any corroborating evidence (Levitt, Forensic databases: benefits and ethical and social costs. Br. Med. Bull. 83 (2007) 235-248). However, even when supporting evidence is required by law, there is little doubt that the presence of DNA evidence from a crime scene against a defendant places him/her at a dire position.

[0187] The combination of the ease at which artificial DNA samples can be manufactured, with the fact that a registered DNA profile found at a crime scene will automatically lead to a database "match", and the heavy weight of DNA evidence in the courtroom, creates a problematic situation which we believe should be addressed by the forensic community by adopting a DNA authentication assay for casework samples.

[0188] SNP based profiling approaches are also susceptible to fabrication. Recently, alternatives to STR based profiling have been proposed, primarily single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) based approaches, in which sequence variants are used for generating a "profile" (Sobrino et al., SNPs in forensic genetics: a review on SNP typing methodologies. Forensic Sci Int 154 (2005) 181-194). SNP based approaches may be advantageous over STR profiling, since they perform better on degraded DNA samples, and they are easily detected using an automated high-throughput system Butler et al., STRs vs. SNPs: thoughts on the future of forensic DNA testing. Forensic Sci. Med. Pathol. 3 (2007) 200-205; K. Babol-Pokora and J. Berent, SNP-minisequencing as an excellent tool for analysing degraded DNA recovered from archival tissues. Acta Biochim. Pol. 55 (2008) 815-819; Nakahara et al., Automated SNPs typing system based on the Invader assay. Leg Med (Tokyo), 2009. Similar to STR based
profiling, SNP based approaches are also susceptible to fabrication by the methods described here. Even if a very large number of SNPs are to be used in profiling, this will not effectively deal with the problem of WGA-based fabrication, since WGA produces a full representation of the genome, and therefore is expected to produce a perfect "SNP profile".

[0189] **Integrating DNA authentication into the forensic procedure.** The DNA authentication assay described here can be used to distinguish between natural and artificial DNA, regardless of the method used for producing the artificial DNA. Since the assay employs bisulfite sequencing, a procedure that is relatively labor intensive, time consuming, and requiring specific expertise, it may be best suited as a service provided by dedicated labs to the forensic community. However, in order to reduce costs and possible backlogs, and to reduce the risks of errors related to lengthening of the chain of custody, it may be advantageous to develop an integrated DNA authentication assay that will be performed in existing forensic laboratories, as part of the regular forensic procedure.

[0190] **Other approaches to DNA authentication.** Analysis of methylation patterns represents only one of several possible approaches that can be used for DNA authentication. Alternative methods may be based on analysis of stutter products, representation bias, distribution of DNA fragment sizes, and presence of non-genomic sequences. Stutter products are artifacts caused by slippage of the DNA polymerase on repeated sequences (Shinde et al., Taq DNA polymerase slippage mutation rates measured by PCR and quasi-likelihood analysis: (CA/GT), and (A/T), microsatellites. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31 (2003) 974-980), and are expected to be found in higher percentages in pre-amplified artificial DNA. Representation bias refers to differences in copy number between different genomic loci that are an inherent consequence of *in vitro* amplification of DNA (Lasken et al., Whole genome amplification: abundant supplies of DNA from precious samples or clinical specimens. *Trends Biotechnol.* 21 (2003) 531-535). Analysis of the distribution of fragment sizes can also reveal the origin of the DNA: in natural DNA, the distribution has an expected stereotypical pattern (which is a function of the extraction method used and the extent of degradation), different from the patterns observed in various types of *in vitro* synthesized DNA. Non genomic sequences such as primer dimers, plasmid sequences, artificial oligonucleotide linkers, etc., are not expected to be found in natural DNA (with the possible exception of bacterial sequences), but are expected to be found in various types of *in vitro* synthesized DNA.
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SEQUENCES

Constitutively methylated loci:

**NT 010718.15**

```
cccagaagccagtgggcgtggctcaataaaatatattaagcagtctttcccaagagtgtggtggtgtggttggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtg
20821 gtcaaaat attaatgtca tgtgatatat gctgaaatgt taactactgctg attgtcacatc
20881 atcaataaat aatgcagttgca acgaagaagtcttactatg ccgtccttacatgacg

AC024591

10321 ggagacagtga gaagtcaaaccttc tattgacccag aaccttaaacgtgtgatcgg agagcagatct
10381 agtcggttgagatctgtgggc aaggagtgcagcc tctgccctctt atcgtaggtgac acaaaaaaccgt
10441 ccagccgcgttctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctcttctctctctctt
Contiguously methylated CG loci:
WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA, comprising:
   (a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
   (b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated loci in the sample;
   (c) detecting one or more unmethylated loci in the sample, wherein the unmethylated loci are known to be unmethylated in natural DNA; and
   (d) determining a representation bias of the nucleic acids in the sample;
   wherein the absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; the absence the absence of one or more methylated loci, the absence of one or more unmethylated loci, or an increased representation bias in the sample compared to natural DNA indicates that the sample is artificial; otherwise the sample is natural.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the detecting is carried out using PCR.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the one or more target loci are CODIS loci.

4. The method of claim 3, wherein the detecting one or more loci is performed using CODIS STR primers.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated loci and the detecting one or more unmethylated loci comprises amplifying the loci in a single amplicon.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein determining the representation bias comprises:
   (i) calculating the Relative Copy Number (RCN) of at least two detected loci;
   (ii) calculating the Representation Bias Value (RBV) of the sample; and
   (iii) calculating a likelihood parameter representing the likelihood of obtaining an RBV equal to or greater than the RBV obtained in step (ii) in a natural DNA sample;
   wherein when the value of the likelihood parameter obtained in step (iii) is smaller than a predefined threshold, the nucleic acids from the sample are artificial, and when the value of the likelihood parameter obtained in step (iii) is equal to or larger than a predefined value, the nucleic acids from the sample are natural.
7. The method of claim 1, wherein determining the representation bias comprises:
   (i) calculating the Relative Copy Number (RCN) of at least two detected loci for the sample
   and for a reference sample;
   (ii) calculating the Representation Bias Value (RBV) of the sample; and
   (iii) calculating a likelihood parameter representing the likelihood of obtaining an RBV equal
to or greater than the RBV obtained in step (ii) in a natural DNA sample;
   wherein when the ratio between the value of the likelihood parameter obtained from the
sample and the value of the likelihood parameter obtained from natural DNA is smaller than a
predefined value, the nucleic acids from the sample are artificial, and when the ratio is equal to or
larger than a predefined value, the nucleic acids from the sample are natural.

8. The method of claim 1 further comprising:
   determining the amount of PCR stutter in the nucleic acids of the sample.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein determining the amount of PCR stutter comprises:
   (i) subjecting the sample to PCR analysis using primers specific to one or more loci;
   (ii) analyzing the PCR amplification products using capillary electrophoresis;
   (iii) processing the capillary electrophoresis data for detection of alleles and stutter peaks;
   (iv) determining the -1 and/or +1 stutter fraction;
   (v) calculating the likelihood parameters representing the likelihoods of obtaining the stutter
values obtained in step (iv) in a natural nucleic acid sample;
   (vi) calculating the joint likelihood value of the sample, representing the likelihood that the
sample was generated in vivo;
   wherein when the joint likelihood value obtained in step (vi) is smaller than a predefined
threshold, the nucleic acids from the sample are artificial, and when the joint likelihood value
obtained in step (vi) is equal to or larger than a predefined threshold, the nucleic acids from the
sample are natural.

10. The method of claim 8, wherein determining the amount of PCR stutter comprises:
   (i) subjecting the sample and a reference sample of natural DNA to PCR analysis using
primers specific to one or more loci;
   (ii) analyzing the PCR amplification products using capillary electrophoresis;
   (iii) processing the capillary electrophoresis data for detection of alleles and stutter peaks;
(iv) determining the -1 and/or +1 stutter fraction;
(v) calculating the likelihood parameters representing the likelihoods of obtaining the stutter values obtained in step (iv) in a natural nucleic acid sample; and
(vi) calculating the joint likelihood value of the sample, representing the likelihood that the sample was generated \textit{in vivo};

wherein when the ratio between the value of the joint likelihood parameter obtained from the test sample in step (vi) and the value of the joint likelihood parameter obtained from a reference sample is smaller than a predefined value, the nucleic acids from the test sample are artificial, and when the ratio is equal to or larger than a predefined value, the nucleic acids from the test sample are natural.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein the likelihood parameter is calculated by comparison to a database or calculated by comparison to a normal distribution of corresponding values.

12. The method of claim 1, wherein the one or more methylated or partially methylated loci comprise at least one CG locus.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein detecting the one or more methylated or partially methylated loci comprises:

(i) determining the methylation status of each CG locus in the set of CG loci wherein the CG loci are methylated in natural DNA;

(ii) determining the ratio between methylated CG loci and total CG loci in the set of CG loci, and

(iii) comparing the ratio obtained in step (ii) to a predefined threshold value,

wherein a ratio lower than the threshold value is indicative that the nucleic acids are artificial, and wherein a ratio equal to or larger than the threshold value is indicative that the nucleic acids are natural.

14. The method of claim 12, wherein detecting the one or more methylated or partially methylated loci comprises:

(i) determining the methylation status of each CG locus in the set of CG loci, wherein the CG loci are methylated in natural DNA;

(ii) determining the ratio between methylated CG loci and total CG loci in the set of CG
loci; and

(iii) comparing the ratio obtained in step (ii) to a corresponding ratio obtained from an in vitro generated reference sample;

wherein a significantly larger ratio obtained from the test sample in comparison to the corresponding ratio obtained from the reference sample is indicative that the nucleic acids are artificial, and wherein a ratio obtained from the test sample that is not significantly larger than the ratio obtained from the reference sample is indicative that the nucleic acids are natural.

15. The method of claim 12, wherein detecting the one or more methylated or partially methylated loci comprises:

(i) determining the methylation status of each CG locus in the set of CG loci wherein the CG loci are constitutively methylated in natural DNA;

(ii) determining the ratio between methylated CG loci and total CG loci in the set of CG loci; and

(iii) comparing the ratios obtained in step (ii) to a corresponding ratio obtained from an in vivo generated reference sample;

wherein comparable ratios of the test sample and the reference sample are indicative that nucleic acids from the test sample are natural, and wherein the ratio of the test sample is not comparable to the ratio of the reference sample, this is indicative that nucleic acids from the test sample are artificial.

16. The method of claim 1, wherein detecting one or more methylated loci is by bisulfite sequencing.

17. The method of claim 1, wherein detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated loci is by methylation specific PCR.

18. The method of claim 1, wherein detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated loci is by methylation-sensitive endonuclease digestion.

19. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

detecting whether one or more non-genomic sequences are present in the sample, wherein the non-genomic sequence is selected from the group consisting of: primers, primer dimers,
additional adenine nucleotides, plasmid sequences, non-genomic sequences ligated to ends of genomic sequences, non-genomic sequences created by non-template polymerization.

20. The method of claim 19, wherein determining the presence of the non-genomic sequences is by cloning of the nucleic acids from the test sample, and sequencing the cloned molecules.

21. The method of claim 1, further comprising: determining the distribution of nucleic acid fragment lengths in the sample.

22. The method of claim 21, wherein determining the distribution of nucleic acid fragment lengths in the nucleic acids comprises:
   (i) subjecting nucleic acids from a test sample to size fractionation; and
   (iii) determining the distribution of fragment lengths for the nucleic acids;
wherein the absence of fragments larger than about 10 kilobases in the nucleic acids is indicative that the nucleic acids are artificial, wherein the presence of fragments larger than about 10 kilobases in the nucleic acids is indicative that the nucleic acids are natural.

23. The method of claim 21, wherein determining the distribution of nucleic acid fragment lengths in the nucleic acids comprises:
   (i) subjecting nucleic acids from the sample and from an in vivo generated reference sample to size fractionation;
   (ii) determining the distribution of fragment lengths for the nucleic acids;
   (iii) comparing the distributions obtained in step (ii); and
   (iv) determining the probability that both distributions represent random samplings from the same source;
wherein, a probability determined in step (iv) that is less than about 0.05 indicates that the nucleic acids from the sample are artificial, and wherein a probability determined in step (iv) that is equal to or larger than about 0.05 indicates that the nucleic acids from the test sample are natural.

24. The method of claim 1 further comprising: detecting the presence of RNA in the sample.
25. The method of claim 24, wherein detecting RNA in the sample is by RT-PCR of one or more transcribed loci, and wherein the absence of RT-PCR amplification products indicates that the sample contains artificial DNA.

26. The method of claim 1, wherein the sample is selected from a group consisting of: blood, saliva, semen, epidermis, nasal discharge, buccal cells, hair, nail clippings, menstrual excretion, vaginal cells, urine, bone, and feces.

27. The method of claim 1, wherein the sample is a forensic sample.

28. The method of claim 1, wherein the sample is derived from a human source.

29. A method for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA, the method comprising:
   (a) detecting one or more control loci in the sample;
   (b) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
   (c) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated loci in the sample;
   (d) detecting one or more unmethylated loci in the sample, wherein the unmethylated loci are known to be unmethylated in natural DNA; and
   (e) determining a representation bias of the nucleic acids in the sample;

   wherein the absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; absence of one or more methylated loci, the absence of one or more unmethylated loci, and an increased representation bias in the sample compared to natural DNA indicates that the sample is artificial; otherwise the sample is natural.

30. A method for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA, the method comprising:
   (a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
   (b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated loci in the sample; and
   (c) determining a representation bias of the nucleic acids in the sample;

   wherein the absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; absence of one or more methylated loci and an increased representation bias in the sample compared to natural DNA indicates that the sample is artificial; otherwise the sample is natural.
31. A method for distinguishing between natural and artificial DNA, the method comprising: detecting one or more loci in the sample and analyzing the sample using at least two of the methods selected from the group consisting of:
   (a) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated loci in the sample;
   (b) detecting one or more unmethylated loci in the sample, wherein the unmethylated loci are known to be unmethylated in natural DNA; and
   (c) determining a representation bias of the one or more methylated loci and the one or more unmethylated loci;
   (d) determining the amount of PCR stutter in the nucleic acids of the sample;
   (e) detecting whether one or more non-genomic sequences are present in the sample, wherein the non-genomic sequence is selected from the group consisting of: primers, primer dimers, additional adenine nucleotides, plasmid sequences, non-genomic sequences ligated to ends of genomic sequences, non-genomic sequences created by non-template polymerization;
   (f) determining the distribution of nucleic acid fragment lengths in the sample; and
   (g) detecting the presence of RNA in the sample,
wherein the analysis of the sample using the at least two methods (a)-(g) indicates whether the sample is natural or artificial.

32. A method for verifying that a profiled DNA sample is natural, the method comprising:
   (a) detecting one or more control loci in the sample;
   (b) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
   (c) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated loci in the sample;
   (d) detecting one or more unmethylated loci in the sample, wherein the unmethylated loci are known to be unmethylated in natural DNA;
   (e) determining a representation bias of genotyped loci in the DNA profile;
   (f) determining a representation bias of the nucleic acids in the sample; and
wherein the absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of one or more methylated or partially methylated loci in the sample, and a similarity between the representation bias determined in steps (e) and (f) indicates that the DNA profile corresponds to natural DNA.

33. The method of claim 32, wherein detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated loci is by bisulfite sequencing.
34. The method of claim 32, wherein detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated loci is by methylation-sensitive endonuclease digestion.

35. A method for verifying that a profiled DNA sample is natural, the method comprising:
   (a) detecting the one or more control loci in the sample;
   (b) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
   (c) determining a representation bias of genotyped loci in the DNA profile;
   (d) determining a representation bias of the nucleic acids in the sample; and wherein the absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; similarity between the representation bias determined in steps (c) and (d) indicates that the original DNA profile corresponds to natural DNA.

36. A kit for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:
   (a) primers for amplifying one or more target loci in the sample;
   (b) primers for amplifying one or more methylated or partially methylated loci in the sample;
   (c) primers for amplifying one or more unmethylated loci in the sample, wherein the unmethylated loci are known to be unmethylated in natural DNA; and
   (d) one or more methylation-sensitive restriction endonucleases;

and instructions for using the kit to assay a sample for one or more target loci and artificial DNA.

37. The kit of claim 36, wherein the primers for amplifying the one or more target loci in the sample are CODIS STR primers.

38. The kit of claim 36, wherein the primers for amplifying one or more methylated or partially methylated loci are selected from the group shown in Table 1 and the section entitled Sequences.

39. The kit of claim 36, wherein the primers for amplifying one or more unmethylated loci are selected from the group shown in Table 1 and the section entitled Sequences.

40. The kit of claim 36, wherein the one or more methylation-sensitive restriction endonucleases are selected from the group consisting of: HpaII, HhaI, AciI, BstUI, and HpyCH4.
41. The kit of claim 36 further comprising reagents for PCR amplification.

42. The kit of claim 41, wherein the reagents for PCR amplification comprise a buffer and a thermostable polymerase.

43. A method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, comprising:
   (a) determining whether a first target genomic locus in a sample is methylated;
   (b) determining whether a second target genomic locus in the sample is unmethylated, wherein the second target genomic locus is known to be unmethylated in natural DNA; and
   wherein the presence of either or both of (i) an unmethylated first target genomic locus and (ii) a methylated second genomic locus, indicates that DNA in the sample is artificial.

44. The method of claim 43, further comprising determining whether a first reference genomic locus is present in the sample, wherein the inability to detect the first reference genomic locus in the sample indicates that DNA in the sample is artificial.

45. The method of claim 44, further comprising determining whether a second reference genomic locus is present in the sample, wherein the inability to detect the second reference genomic locus in the sample indicates that DNA in the sample is artificial.

46. A method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, comprising:
   (a) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample; and
   (b) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in step (a);
   wherein the presence of all CG loci with a methylation level of the analyzed CG loci comparable to a methylation reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural, otherwise the DNA is artificial.

47. A method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, comprising:
   (a) detecting one or more control loci;
   (b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample; (c) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in step (b);
wherein the presence of all loci with a methylation level of the analyzed CG loci comparable to a methylation reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural, otherwise the DNA is artificial.

48. A method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, comprising:

(a) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(c) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in steps (a) and (b);

wherein the presence of all CG loci with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels is indicative that the DNA is natural, otherwise the DNA is artificial.

49. A method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(c) detecting one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(d) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in steps (b) and (c);

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

50. A method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;
(c) detecting one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(d) detecting one or more control loci in the sample;

(e) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in steps (b) and (c);

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

51. A method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(c) detecting one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(d) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in steps (b) and (c);

(e) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci comprising of the profiling-linking loci, CG loci, and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels, and a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

52. A method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;
(c) detecting one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(d) detecting one or more control loci in the sample;

(e) determining the methylation level of the CG loci detected in steps (b) and (c);

(f) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci comprising of the profiling-linking loci, CG loci, control loci, and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with methylation levels of the analyzed CG loci comparable to methylation reference levels, and a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

53. A method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, comprising:

(a) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(b) determining a representation bias level in the set of bias-prone loci;

wherein presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

54. A method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(c) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci detected in steps (a), (b), and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.
55. A method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(c) detecting one or more control loci in the sample;

(d) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci detected in steps (a)-(c), and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

56. A method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, comprising:

(a) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(b) detecting one or more slippage loci in the sample;

(c) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci detected in steps (a)-(b);

(d) calculating a stutter level for the slippage loci detected in step (c);

wherein presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level, and a stutter level comparable to a stutter reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

57. A method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(c) detecting one or more slippage loci in the sample;
(d) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci detected in steps (a)-(c), and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;

(e) calculating a stutter level for the slippage loci detected in step (c);

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level, and a stutter level comparable to a stutter reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

58. A method for determining whether a profiled DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) detecting one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;

(b) detecting two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(c) detecting one or more slippage loci in the sample;

(d) detecting one or more control loci in the sample;

(e) determining a representation bias level in the set of loci detected in steps (a)-(d), and the loci used in the profiling of the DNA sample;

(f) calculating a stutter level for the slippage loci detected in step (c);

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; presence of all loci with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level, and a stutter level comparable to a stutter reference level is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

59. A kit for assaying DNA, comprising:

1. two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:

   (a) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
(b) primers for amplifying one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(c) primers for amplifying one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are known to be constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(d) one or more methylation-sensitive restriction endonucleases;

(e) DNA polymerase enzyme; and

(f) reagents for restriction and PCR; and

(2) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

60. The kit of claim 59, wherein at least one set of primers are fluorescently labeled.

61. The method of any of the preceding claims wherein the sample contains a mixture of natural and artificial DNA.

62. A method for determining, in a mixture containing alleles of more than one individual, whether the alleles of a specific individual correspond to natural DNA, comprising:

(a) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated alleles of CG loci corresponding to the specific individual;

(b) detecting one or more alleles of CG loci corresponding to the specific individual, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA, and are used for profiling of the sample;

(c) determining the methylation level of alleles detected in steps (a) and (b);

wherein the presence of all alleles with methylation levels comparable to methylation reference levels for natural DNA is indicative that the DNA is natural, otherwise the DNA is artificial.

63. A method for determining the presence of natural DNA from an individual in a mixture of DNAs, comprising comparing the methylation level of a known allele from a DNA
sample from a first individual to a methylation reference level for natural DNA and determining whether the allele from the first individual is natural or artificially synthesized.

64. The method of claim 63, wherein the allele is a CG locus that is constitutively methylated or partially methylated in natural DNA.

65. A method for determining, in a mixture containing alleles of more than one individual, whether the alleles of a specific individual correspond to natural DNA, comprising:

(a) detecting one or more methylated or partially methylated alleles of CG loci corresponding to the specific individual;

(b) detecting one or more alleles of CG loci corresponding to the specific individual, wherein the CG loci are constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA, and are used for profiling of the sample;

(c) detecting one or more control alleles corresponding to the specific individual in the sample;

(d) determining the methylation level of alleles detected in steps (a) and (b);

(e) determining a representation bias level in the set of alleles detected in steps (a) - (c), and in the alleles of the specific individual contained in the profile;

wherein absence of all loci is indicative of amplification failure; the presence of all alleles with methylation levels comparable to methylation reference levels, and with a representation bias level comparable to a representation bias reference level, is indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

66. A method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising:

(a) determining the distribution of nucleic acid fragment lengths in the sample;

(b) determining whether the distribution determined in step (a) is comparable to a reference distribution of nucleic acid fragment lengths;
wherein comparable distributions of nucleic acid fragment lengths of the sample and reference are indicative that the DNA is natural; otherwise the DNA is artificial.

67. A method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising detecting the presence or absence of nucleic acid fragments larger than 10 kilobases in the sample, wherein presence is indicative that the DNA is natural.

68. A method for determining whether a DNA sample is natural or artificial, the method comprising detecting the presence or absence of RNA in the sample, wherein presence is indicative that the DNA is natural and absence is indicative that the DNA is artificial.

69. A kit, comprising

(a) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1forward – ttcgttctaaactagtcaagtgt; PL1reverse – ggtcagctgactatgggtt;

(b) primers for constitutively methylated CG locus NT18: NT18forward – gctcgtgtgcaagcagctc; NT18reverse – ggagctgtgcagctcttcc;

(c) primers for constitutively unmethylated CG locus SW14: SW14forward – gtggcgccatcttccgttaaa; SW14reverse – cgttacaagaccaacgctgta;

(d) HpaII methylation-sensitive restriction endonuclease;

(e) DNA polymerase enzyme;

(f) reagents for restriction and PCR; and

(g) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

70. The kit of claim 69, wherein one or more of the primers is fluorescently labeled.

71. A kit for verifying that a DNA profile obtained from a sample represents natural DNA, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:

(a) primers for amplifying one or more control loci in the sample;

(b) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
(c) primers for amplifying one or more methylated or partially methylated CG loci in the sample;

(d) primers for amplifying one or more CG loci in the sample, wherein the CG loci are known to be constitutively unmethylated in natural DNA;

(e) one or more methylation-sensitive restriction endonucleases;

(f) DNA polymerase enzyme;

(g) reagents for restriction and PCR; and

(h) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

72. The kit of claim 71, wherein one or more of the primers is fluorescently labeled.

73. The kit of claim 71, further comprising reagents and enzymes for PCR amplification.

74. A kit comprising:

(a) primers for control locus CL1: CL1forward – aggaggtgggaaggttgtggt; CL1reverse – tgaagctcagggcactgagc;

(b) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1forward – ttcgttctaaactagacaaggt; PL1reverse – ggtcaggctgactatggagtt;

(c) primers for constitutively methylated CG locus NT18: NT18forward – gctcgggtcgaagctgc; NT18reverse – ggagctgtgagctgttccc;

(d) primers for constitutively unmethylated CG locus SW14: SW14forward – gttgcggcatctctggttaaa; SW14reverse – cgttacaaagaccaagcagcta;

(e) HpaII methylation-sensitive restriction endonuclease;

(f) DNA polymerase enzyme;

(g) reagents for restriction and PCR; and

(h) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

75. The kit of claim 74, wherein one or more of the primers is fluorescently labeled.
76. A kit for verifying that a DNA profile obtained from a sample represents natural DNA, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:
   (a) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
   (b) primers for amplifying two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;
   (c) DNA polymerase enzyme;
   (d) reagents for restriction and PCR; and
   (e) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

77. A kit, comprising:
   (a) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1forward – ttcttgtctaaactatgacaagtgt; PL1reverse – ggtcagcctgactatgaggtt;
   (b) primers for bias-prone loci BPL1 and BPL2: BPL1forward – acgtgacgtggagacagggag; BPL1reverse – cccagagcagacgcaggt; BPL2forward – gttggccatcttgcggttaa; BPL2reverse – cgttaacacacacgacagga;
   (c) DNA polymerase enzyme;
   (d) reagents for PCR; and
   (e) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

78. The kit of claim 77, wherein one or more of the primers is fluorescently labeled.

79. A kit for verifying that a DNA profile obtained from a sample represents natural DNA, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:
   (a) primers for amplifying one or more control loci in the sample;
   (b) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
   (c) primers for amplifying two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;
   (d) DNA polymerase enzyme;
   (e) reagents for restriction and PCR; and
   (f) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

80. A kit, comprising:
(a) primers for control locus CL1: CL1forward – agagagttggaaaggtttggtt; CL1reverse – tgaagactcagggcaactgagc;

(b) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1forward – ttctgtctaaactatgacaaggt; PL1reverse – ggtcagctgactatggagt;

(c) primers for bias-prone loci BPL1 and BPL2: BPL1forward – acgtgacgatggagacaggag; BPL1reverse – cccagagctgaatgcaagtgg; BPL2forward – gtagcgcattctccggtaaa; BPL2reverse – cgtaacaagaccaagcagcga;

(d) DNA polymerase enzyme;

(e) reagents for PCR; and

(f) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

81. The kit of claim 80, wherein one or more of the primers is fluorescently labeled.

82. A kit for verifying that a DNA profile obtained from a sample represents natural DNA, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:

(a) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
(b) primers for amplifying two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;

(c) primers for amplifying one or more slippage loci;
(d) DNA polymerase enzyme;
(e) reagents for restriction and PCR; and

(f) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

83. A kit, comprising:

(a) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1forward – ttctgtctaaactatgacaaggt; PL1reverse – ggtcagctgactatggagt;

(b) primers for bias-prone loci BPL1 and BPL2: BPL1forward – acgtgacgatggagacaggag; BPL1reverse – cccagagctgaatgcaagtgg; BPL2forward – gtagcgcattctccggtaaa; BPL2reverse – cgtaacaagaccaagcagcga;
(c) primers for slippage loci SL1 SL2: SL1forward – aacgagggcaagataagactcca; SL1reverse – ttcggattgggcaagagatc; SL2forward – taagaataatcagatgtgacgttg; SL2reverse – atacataggatggatggatagc;

(d) DNA polymerase enzyme;

(e) reagents for PCR; and

(f) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

84. The kit of claim 83, wherein one or more of the primers is fluorescently labeled.

85. A kit for verifying that a DNA profile obtained from a sample represents natural DNA, the kit comprising two or more reagents selected from the group consisting of:

(a) primers for amplifying one or more control loci in the sample;
(b) primers for amplifying one or more profile-linking loci in the sample;
(c) primers for amplifying two or more bias-prone loci in the sample;
(d) primers for amplifying one or more slippage loci;
(e) DNA polymerase enzyme;
(f) reagents for restriction and PCR; and
(g) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

86. A kit, comprising:

(a) primers for control locus CL1: CL1forward – agagaggtgaaggttttgg; CL1reverse – ttagactcaggagcaagtgc;

(b) primers for profile-linking locus PL1: PL1forward – ttcggattcaactgacaagtg; PL1reverse – ggtagctgactatggagtt;

(c) primers for bias-prone loci BPL1 and BPL2: BPL1forward – aagagcatgagagagagag; BPL1reverse – cccagagctgatgacagtgg; BPL2forward – gttggccttctgggttaaa; BPL2reverse – cggtaacagagacaacacgta;
(d) primers for slippage loci SL1 SL2: SL1 forward – acagggcaagagtaagctca; SL1 reverse –
ttcgggtggggcaagggatc; SL2 forward – taagaataatcagttgactttgg; SL2 reverse –
atacataggctgatggatatag;

(e) DNA polymerase enzyme;

(f) reagents for PCR; and

(g) instructions for using the kit to assay a DNA sample.

87. The kit of claim 86, wherein one or more of the primers is fluorescently labeled.
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