

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
4 September 2008 (04.09.2008)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2008/104735 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification:  
*A61K 45/06* (2006.01) *A61P 25/04* (2006.01)

(74) Agents: **HOLMES, Jeremy** et al.; Reckitt Benckiser PLC,  
Legal Department - Patents Group, Dansom Lane, Hull  
HU8 7DS (GB).

(21) International Application Number:  
PCT/GB2008/000521

(22) International Filing Date:  
15 February 2008 (15.02.2008)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
0703966.2 1 March 2007 (01.03.2007) GB

(81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*):  
**RECKITT BENCKISER HEALTHCARE (UK) LIMITED** [GB/GB]; 103-105 Bath Road, Slough, Berkshire SL1 3UH (GB).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **CHAPLEO, Christopher, Bourne** [GB/GB]; Reckitt Benckiser Healthcare (UK) Limited, Dansom Lane, Hull HU8 7DS (GB). **HYDE, Neil** [GB/GB]; Reckitt Benckiser Healthcare (UK) Limited, Dansom Lane, Hull HU8 7DS (GB).

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

(54) Title: IMPROVED MEDICINAL COMPOSITIONS COMPRISING BUPRENORPHINE AND NALTREXONE

(57) Abstract: An analgesic composition, in parenteral unit dosage form or in a unit dosage form suitable for delivery via the dermis or mucosa, comprises buprenorphine and an amount of naltrexone such that the ratio by weight of buprenorphine to naltrexone delivered to or reaching the plasma of a patient is in the range 100:1 to 5000:1. The analgesic action of the buprenorphine is potentiated by the low dose of naltrexone. Also provided are a method of treatment of pain and the use of buprenorphine and naltrexone for the manufacture of a medicament.



WO 2008/104735 A1

## IMPROVED MEDICINAL COMPOSITIONS COMPRISING BUPRENORPHINE AND NALTREXONE

The present invention relates to medicinal compositions  
5 containing buprenorphine in combination with naltrexone;  
as well as to their use in the manufacture of such  
compositions and in clinical practice, as analgesics.

Whilst opioids are particularly effective in the  
10 management of moderate to severe pain their use is limited  
by unpleasant and potentially dangerous adverse effects.  
Such adverse effects can include sedation, respiratory  
depression, nausea and gastrointestinal problems. Thus  
efforts have been made to minimise adverse effects.

15

There are many opioids and some produce more significant  
adverse effects than others. Accordingly, careful  
selection of the opioid employed in an analgesic  
composition may itself reduce the incidence and severity  
20 of adverse effects. One particularly suitable opioid is  
buprenorphine which has been shown to have both agonist  
(morphine-like) and antagonist properties without  
producing significant physical dependence.

25 Buprenorphine (International Non-proprietary Name for N-  
cyclopropylmethyl-7[alpha]-[1-(S)-hydroxy-1,2,2-trimethyl-  
propyl]6,14-endoethano-6,7,8,14-tetrahydronororipavine) is  
a potent opiate partial agonist analgesic lacking the  
psychotomimetic effects found with other opiate  
30 analgesics. However, buprenorphine suffers from side  
effects typical of opiate agonists such as nausea and  
vomiting, constipation and respiratory depression in some  
patients, although there is a ceiling to its effects on

respiratory depression as a direct consequence of its partial agonist properties.

Attempts have also been made to enhance the analgesic effect of opioids while minimising the incidence and severity of adverse effects by combining opioid treatment with other drugs.

One approach is the addition of a non-opioid analgesic to the opioid treatment. The rationale here is that lower levels of opioid should be required to achieve antinociception and thus there should be a reduction of adverse effects.

Another approach is the co-administration of an opioid agonist and low doses of an opioid antagonist. One such antagonist is naltrexone (International Non-proprietary Name for 1-N-cyclopropylmethyl-14-hydroxynordihydromorphinone) which is a pure opiate antagonist. Naltrexone is also known for oral administration (50 mg/day) as a maintenance drug for opiate addicts to block the effects of self-administered opiates and contribute to the extinction of drug craving.

In GB 2167663A there is disclosed an analgesic composition in parenteral or sublingual form comprising an active dose of buprenorphine and an amount of naltrexone sufficient to prove aversive to a narcotic addict by parenteral administration but insufficient to compromise the analgesic action of the buprenorphine. The parenteral dosage form may contain buprenorphine and naltrexone within the weight ratio of 12:1 to 3:1 and the sublingual form may contain buprenorphine and naltrexone within the

ratio 4:1 to 1:1. The testing in GB-A-2167663A was on rats.

In EP 1242087A it is disclosed that parenteral and sub-lingual dosage forms of buprenorphine are potentiated and enhanced by low doses of naltrexone. Based on testing on rats, there is stated a suitable ratio by weight of buprenorphine to naltrexone of 12.5:1 to 22.5:1, preferably 15:1 to 20:1.

10

Human studies have now been carried out and have generated new findings for the combined use of buprenorphine, as opioid agonist, and naltrexone, as opioid antagonist. These new findings extend our understanding of the therapeutic doses which will give effective analgesia in humans.

According to a first aspect of the present invention there is provided an analgesic composition, in parenteral unit dosage form or in a unit dosage form suitable for delivery via the mucosa or dermis, the composition comprising buprenorphine and an amount of naltrexone such that the ratio by weight of buprenorphine to naltrexone delivered to or reaching the plasma of a patient is in the range 100:1 to 5000:1.

25

It is believed that the analgesic action of buprenorphine is potentiated by the relatively small level of naltrexone.

30

It is to be understood that the terms buprenorphine and naltrexone as used herein are intended to cover simple related, pharmaceutical compounds such as esters, bases

and salts, for example acid addition salts. Particularly preferred salts are the hydrochlorides. However the ratios and weights referred to herein refer to buprenorphine and naltrexone per se, not salts, bases or  
5 esters.

The term parenteral is intended to encompass administration of the compositions by any way other than through the alimentary tract.

10

The term mucosa or mucosal is intended to encompass any mucous membrane and includes oral mucosa, rectal mucosa, vaginal mucosa and nasal mucosa. The term dermal denotes non-mucosal skin.

15

Administration may take a few minutes. Preferably it takes place over a period of at least one minute, preferably at least two minutes, preferably at least three minutes. Preferably it takes place over a period of up to  
20 ten minutes, preferably up to seven minutes, preferably up to five minutes.

The unit dosage form for transdermal or transmucosal administration may, for example, be a tablet, film, spray,  
25 patch, rub-in composition or lozenge. Administration, which will be further described in the second aspect, may comprise the delivery of a medicament comprising buprenorphine and naltrexone, preferably in such a form.

30 Transdermal administration may encompass any mode of administration through the dermis. Transmucosal administration may encompass any mode of administration through the mucosa, and sites of administration may

include, for example, vaginal and rectal mucosa and, preferably, mucosa of the oral-nasal cavity, for example nasal, throat, buccal and, sublingual sites. Nasal and sublingual administration is especially preferred.

5

Preferably the defined ratio of buprenorphine to naltrexone is achieved within sixty minutes after administration means herein within sixty minutes after administration being completed, that is, preferably at  
10 some time within sixty minutes of administration being completed, the defined drug ratio in the plasma is achieved.

Preferred compositions comprise buprenorphine and  
15 naltrexone such that the ratio by weight of buprenorphine to naltrexone provided delivered to or reaching the plasma of the patient is at least X:1 (X to 1) where X is 120, preferably 140, preferably 160.

20 Preferred compositions comprise buprenorphine and naltrexone such that the ratio by weight of buprenorphine to naltrexone delivered to or reaching the plasma of the patient is no greater than Y:1 (Y to 1) where Y is 1000, preferably 500, preferably 180.

25

The composition may comprise a parenteral unit dosage form and the ratio of buprenorphine to naltrexone within the parenteral composition may be substantially the same as that produced in the plasma of a patient upon application.  
30 Thus the parenteral dosage form may comprise buprenorphine and naltrexone in any of the weight ratios stated above for the weight ratios in the plasma.

In a human being, as stated in EP 1242087B dosages of about 40 µg of buprenorphine per kilogram of body weight are suitably required to obtain satisfactory pain relief in the absence of potentiation. Thus for typical body weights of 50 to 80 kg, the buprenorphine dosage would be from 2 mg to 3.2 mg of buprenorphine per day. This would conveniently be administered as four unit doses.

The amounts of buprenorphine which are required to be effective in the compositions of the invention are less than the amounts which are required to be effective in the absence of the potentiating effects of naltrexone.

Importantly when equal doses of buprenorphine with and without the potentiating effect of naltrexone are compared, the magnitude and duration of analgesia achieved by the former compositions (i.e. also containing naltrexone), are markedly increased. Therefore the same analgesic performance can be achieved with a lower buprenorphine dose when combined with naltrexone. It is proposed that an increased analgesic effect can be achieved and/or reduced concentration of buprenorphine can be used, within or across the therapeutic range.

Suitably, unit doses of the compositions of the present invention (containing naltrexone) contain buprenorphine in an amount which is below that required to obtain corresponding pain relief in a unit dose of buprenorphine without naltrexone.

30

Suitably, the compositions of the present invention comprise at least 10 µg of buprenorphine per unit dose, preferably at least 15 µg, preferably at least 20 µg,

preferably at least 30 µg, and most preferably at least 40 µg. These values reflect the benefit of the invention in achieving analgesia at low dosages.

5 Suitably, the compositions of the present invention may contain any amount of buprenorphine, up to the upper end of conventional clinical practice. Suitably, they may contain up to up to 32 mg buprenorphine per unit dose, preferably up to 16 mg, preferably 8 mg, preferably up to  
10 4 mg, preferably up to 2 mg, preferably up to 1 mg, preferably up to 600 µg, preferably up to 400 µg, preferably up to 200 µg, preferably up to 160 µg, preferably up to 100 µg.

15 Suitably, in accordance with the present invention, a patient is administered at least 0.25 µg of buprenorphine per kg (of body weight) per 24 hours. Preferably the amount is at least 0.5 µg, preferably at least 1 µg, preferably at least 1.5 µg and most preferably at least 2  
20 µg.

Suitably, in accordance with the present invention, a patient is administered up to 640 µg of buprenorphine per kg per 24 hours. Preferably the amount is up to 320 µg,  
25 preferably up to 160 µg, preferably up to 80 µg, preferably up to 40 µg, preferably up to 20 µg, preferably up to 16 µg, and preferably up to 12 µg. Most preferably the amount is not greater than 8 µg.

30 Suitably by use of compositions of the present invention the amount of buprenorphine administered to a patient for the purpose of achieving relief from pain is at least 40 µg per 24 hours, preferably at least 60 µg, preferably at



least 80  $\mu\text{g}$ , preferably at least 120  $\mu\text{g}$ , and most preferably at least 160  $\mu\text{g}$ .

Suitably by use of compositions of the present invention  
5 the amount of buprenorphine administered to a patient for the purpose of achieving relief from pain is up to 32 mg, preferably up to 16 mg, preferably up to 8 mg, preferably up to 4 mg, preferably up to 2 mg, preferably up to 1 mg, preferably up to 800  $\mu\text{g}$ , preferably up to 600  $\mu\text{g}$ ,  
10 preferably up to 400  $\mu\text{g}$ , preferably up to 200  $\mu\text{g}$ , preferably up to 160  $\mu\text{g}$ , preferably up to 100  $\mu\text{g}$ .

Suitably, a composition containing naltrexone comprises from 0.01 to 4  $\mu\text{g}$  of naltrexone per unit dose, preferably  
15 0.05 to 1.2  $\mu\text{g}$ , most preferably 0.1 to 0.6  $\mu\text{g}$ .

Suitably the amount of naltrexone administered to a patient is between 0.001 and 0.2  $\mu\text{g}$  per kg body weight per 24 hours. Preferably the amount is at least 0.002  $\mu\text{g}$ , and  
20 preferably at least 0.004  $\mu\text{g}$ , per kg body weight per 24 hours. Preferably the amount is not greater than 0.1  $\mu\text{g}$ , preferably not greater than 0.05  $\mu\text{g}$ , preferably not greater than 0.03  $\mu\text{g}$ , preferably not greater than 0.015  $\mu\text{g}$ , and most preferably not greater than 0.007  $\mu\text{g}$ , per kg  
25 body weight per 24 hours.

Suitably the amount of naltrexone administered to a patient is in the range from 0.1  $\mu\text{g}$  to 12  $\mu\text{g}$  per 24 hours, preferably 0.2 to 5  $\mu\text{g}$ , preferably 0.35 to 2.4  $\mu\text{g}$ , and  
30 most preferably 0.5 to 1.5  $\mu\text{g}$ .

References above to the amounts of compounds which may be administered to a patient are with reference to an adult patient.

- 5 Whatever the absolute amounts of buprenorphine and naltrexone administered, the definition(s) stated herein of the ratio of buprenorphine to naltrexone must be satisfied.
- 10 It is preferable to formulate the compositions in unit dosage forms i.e. physically discrete units containing the appropriate amounts of buprenorphine and naltrexone, together with pharmaceutically acceptable diluents and/or carriers. Such unit dosage forms for parenteral
- 15 administration are suitably in the form of ampoules. For delivery via the mucosa may for example be in the form of sublingual tablets, films or lozenges.

Compositions of the invention may contain a buffer system,

20 for example an organic acid and a salt thereof, such as citric acid and sodium citrate.

Compositions in the form of sublingual dosage forms suitably contain soluble excipients selected from

25 materials such as lactose, mannitol, dextrose, sucrose or mixtures thereof. They suitably also contain granulating and disintegrating agents selected from materials such as starch, binding agents such as povidone or hydroxypropyl-methyl cellulose and lubricating agents such as magnesium

30 stearate.

Compositions intended for parenteral administration may comprise an isotonic solution of buprenorphine and

naltrexone in sterile water. Conveniently the solution may be made isotonic by use of dextrose and sterilised by autoclaving or by filtration through a membrane filter. The compositions may be administered intramuscularly, 5 intradermally, intraperitoneally, intravenously, intraarterially, subcutaneously or by the epidural route.

The compositions for parenteral administration, or for delivery via the mucosa, such as by sublingual 10 administration, as detailed above, may be prepared by manufacturing techniques which are well known to those skilled in the art.

According to a second aspect the present invention there 15 is provided a method for the treatment of pain in a human patient, which method comprises the administration to a human patient, by a parenteral or dermal or mucosal route, of buprenorphine and naltrexone such that the ratio by weight of buprenorphine to naltrexone delivered to or 20 reaching the plasma of a patient is in the range: 100:1 to 5000:1.

Preferred ratios of buprenorphine to naltrexone delivered to or reaching plasma of the patient are as defined above 25 with respect to the first aspect.

Suitably, the method comprises delivery via the mucosa. The method may comprise delivery in a sublingual unit dosage form.

30

Suitably, the method comprises the administration of buprenorphine and an amount of naltrexone for the purpose of potentiating the analgesic action of the buprenorphine

and in particular to optimising the balance between the analgesic action of the buprenorphine and the anti-abuse presence of the naltrexone. It will be appreciated that this balance is extremely important. The medicament must  
5 be a potent analgesic for it to fulfil its intended function. At the same time in the present day it is vitally important that opioid medicaments discourage abuse by addicts. It is believed that the present invention is extremely effective in these respects.

10

Separate administration of buprenorphine and of naltrexone is not excluded in the method. Suitably, however, the method comprises administering a composition comprising buprenorphine and naltrexone, to a human. Suitably, the  
15 method employs a composition according to the first aspect. The definitions given above in relation to the first aspect apply to the second aspect, noting however that the buprenorphine and naltrexone may in principle be administered separately in the second aspect.

20

Suitably, the method comprises administering to the human or animal from 0.25 µg to 20 µg per kilogram of body weight of buprenorphine per day.

25 The method may comprise administering a dose of buprenorphine which would, if administered alone, produce minimal or no antinociception. The method may comprise administering to the human amounts of buprenorphine and naltrexone as stated above in relation to the first aspect  
30 of the invention.

The method may comprise any feature as described in relation to the first aspect.

According to a third aspect of the present invention there is provided the use of buprenorphine and naltrexone in the manufacture of a medicament for the treatment of pain, wherein the buprenorphine and naltrexone are used in an amount such that the medicament is delivered to a patient at, or reaches in the plasma of a patient, a ratio by weight in the range 100:1 to 5000:1, buprenorphine:naltrexone.

10

Suitably this aspect comprises the use of buprenorphine and of naltrexone in the manufacture of a medicament for the treatment of pain, wherein buprenorphine is used for its analgesic effect, but at lower level than would be needed, for a given analgesic effect against a given pain in a given patient, in the absence of naltrexone. Thus the naltrexone potentiates the analgesic effect of buprenorphine. Further, it renders the medicament less attractive to drug addicts.

20

The use of buprenorphine and of naltrexone in the manufacture of a medicament according to the third aspect may comprise any feature as described in relation to the first or second aspect.

25

Suitably, the use of buprenorphine and of naltrexone in the manufacture of a medicament comprises the manufacture of a medicament comprising a composition according to the first aspect. However the use of buprenorphine and of naltrexone in the manufacture of a medicament having two dosage units, containing buprenorphine and naltrexone respectively, is not excluded.

30

The present invention will now be illustrated by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

5 Figure 1 comprises graphs showing pain tolerance for buprenorphine and combinations with naltrexone;

Figure 2 comprises graphs showing pain tolerance for buprenorphine and combinations with naltrexone;

10

Figure 3 comprises comparative graphs; and

Figure 4 is a comparative graph.

15 Methods

Nociceptive testing

The cold pressor (CP) test was used to assess  
20 antinociception of buprenorphine and buprenorphine and  
naltrexone combinations. The compound forms were  
buprenorphine as HCl salt and naltrexone as HCl salt. The  
CP test utilised two plastic cylindrical containers, one  
of which was filled with warm water and the other with a  
25 combination of water and crushed ice to achieve a "slushy"  
consistency. The subject immersed the non-dominant forearm  
and hand into the warm water for exactly 2 minutes. At 1  
minute 45 seconds, a blood pressure cuff on the immersed  
arm was inflated to a pressure 20 mm Hg below the  
30 diastolic blood pressure. The blood pressure cuff  
minimised the role of blood flow in determining the  
reaction to cold. At exactly 2 minutes, the forearm was  
transferred from the warm water to the cold water bath.

The subject's eyes were covered for the entire procedure to minimise distraction and cues for time. Upon immersion of the limb in the cold water bath, subjects were asked to indicate when they first experienced pain (pain threshold, CPTHR), then asked to leave their arm submerged until they can no longer tolerate the pain (pain tolerance, CPTOL). Pain threshold and tolerance times were recorded in seconds from immersion in cold. An undisclosed cut-off of 180 seconds was imposed, after which time pain tolerance can no longer be accurately assessed due to numbness. Pain tolerance (CPTOL) is the reported pain response parameter in the current investigations.

For the present tests nociceptive testing was conducted in the same environment, with minimal background noise, audible voices and no clock with audible ticking. Ambient room temperature and lighting was consistent. At no time did the experimenter discuss with the subject his/her performance on the test, or answer any questions related to the average pain tolerance time or any previous results.

#### Screening

Before testing subjects were screened according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria based upon such factors as previous medical conditions and drug abuse.

#### Test Procedure

30

Suitable screened subjects were tested according to the following procedure. Subjects provided a urine sample upon arrival on the day of testing, which was tested for

drugs of abuse (opioids, cannabinoids, benzodiazepines and sympathomimetic amines) and, for female subjects, pregnancy. A 22 gauge indwelling venous catheter was inserted into the best available forearm vein on each arm  
5 (above the CP immersion line for the non-dominant arm). A male luer lock adaptor injection site was attached to each catheter. One catheter was used for blood sampling throughout the testing day, and the other for infusions. The participant was then connected to a monitor, which was  
10 set to continuously monitor physiological parameters for the duration of the testing session.

On each testing day, subjects received a 30 minute unblinded intravenous infusion of saline, followed by one  
15 or more 30 minute drug (or placebo) infusions. The purpose of the initial saline infusion was two-fold: to establish whether any changes in pain or physiological parameters would occur as a response to the infusion process itself, and to ensure that there was no  
20 obstruction to venous access via the catheter and the infusion pump was operating correctly.

Infusions were administered using a Syringe Pump. Drugs and saline were prepared in 30ml BD Plastipak syringes.  
25 Infusions were run at a rate of 20ml per hour for 30 minutes. Each syringe was attached to a minimum volume extension set (150cm tubing, female luer lock, male luer lock, 0.5mL/30cm). The male luer lock was attached to a lever lock cannula. The extension set was primed with the  
30 drug/saline, and inserted into the injection site. In buprenorphine:antagonist ratio studies, BUP and antagonist were administered simultaneously. For the simultaneous infusion of two drugs (via one cannula), a Y-type catheter



extension set with two injection sites was attached to the catheter, and the lever lock cannulas (connected via the minimum volume extension set to each syringe) were inserted in each of the injection sites.

5 Testing sessions were conducted on numerous occasions during each testing day. Each testing session consisted of the following measures in the order listed: nausea and sedation recorded, blood sample taken, physiological parameters recorded (pulse, oxygen saturation and blood  
10 pressure), nociceptive testing (as detailed above) completed, and respiration recorded (breaths per minute counted for one full minute during warm water component of CP).

15 Testing sessions were conducted at set intervals throughout each testing day. These were as follows: 1. Prior to the commencement of infusions; 2. Twenty minutes after the commencement of the 30 minute saline infusion; 3. Twenty minutes after the commencement of the 30 minute  
20 drug infusion, and hourly following the cessation of the (last) drug infusion. This is referred to as the washout period. The purpose of conducting the testing session 20 minutes after commencing each 30 minute infusion was to allow time for the testing to be completed before starting  
25 the subsequent infusion.

#### Comparison of results

As baseline values were different between conditions,  
30 CPTOL data were expressed as percent change from baseline in order to compare the effect associated with different drug combinations. Each participant's response at each time point for each condition was expressed as a percent

change from baseline response according to the equation below. Data are expressed as the mean ( $\pm$ SEM) of these values at each post-drug testing session for each condition.

5

$$\frac{\text{Post-drug latency} - \text{baseline latency}}{\text{baseline latency}} \times 100$$

This provided a value for percentage change CPTOL.

### Examples

10

#### Example 1

Four healthy Caucasian participants (2 males, 2 females) ranging in age from 21 to 33 years (Mean $\pm$ SEM, 26.0  $\pm$ 5.3 years) were enrolled in the study. Mean body weight was 74 kg ( $\pm$ 13.3, range 57 - 89 kg) and mean CPTOL at screening was 30.7 seconds ( $\pm$ 6.9, range 25 - 39 seconds). There were no significant differences between males and females in terms of age ( $p=0.782$ ) or CPTOL at screening ( $p=0.792$ ).

20

Subjects were administered buprenorphine and naltrexone in a ratio of 100:1 by IV infusion with buprenorphine administered at a dose of 0.5  $\mu$ g/kg body weight. The washout monitoring was performed for a period of 4 hours. The CPTOL results are presented in Figure 1. No adverse effects causing concern were noted.

25

#### Example 2 - comparative

30

As a comparative example the same subjects from Example 1 were administered, on a separate day, buprenorphine and saline (referred to subsequently as "BUP only") by IV infusion. Buprenorphine was again administered at a dose of 0.5 µg/kg body weight and the washout monitoring performed over 4 hours. The CPTOL results are presented in Figure 1.

#### Example 3

10

The same subjects from Example 1 were administered, on a separate day, buprenorphine and naltrexone in a ratio of 133:1 by IV infusion with buprenorphine administered at a dose of 0.5 µg/kg body weight. The washout monitoring was performed for a period of 4 hours. The CPTOL results are presented in Figure 1. No adverse effects causing concern were noted.

#### Example 4

20

The same subjects from Example 1 were administered, on a separate day, buprenorphine and naltrexone in a ratio of 166:1 by IV infusion with buprenorphine administered at a dose of 0.5 µg/kg body weight. The washout monitoring was performed for a period of 4 hours. The CPTOL results are presented in Figure 1. No adverse effects causing concern were noted.

#### Example 5

30

The same subjects from Example 1 were administered, on a separate day, buprenorphine and naltrexone in a ratio of 200:1 by IV infusion with buprenorphine administered at a

dose of 0.5 µg/kg body weight. The washout monitoring was performed for a period of 4 hours. The CPTOL results are presented in Figure 1. No adverse effects causing concern were noted.

5

#### Example 6

Four healthy Caucasian volunteers (2 male, 2 female) participated in the study. Mean age of participants was 23.3 (1.89, range 18-27), and CPTOL at screening ranged from 27 to 37 seconds (32.3±2.5 seconds). Mean body weight was 76.0 kg (±10.7, range 59-107 kg). There was no significant difference between male and female subjects in terms of age (p=0.312), however CPTOL at screening was higher among the females (36 and 37 seconds) compared to the males (27 and 29 seconds) (p=0.037).

Subjects were administered buprenorphine and naltrexone in a ratio of 200:1 by IV infusion with buprenorphine administered at a dose of 0.5 µg/kg body weight. The washout monitoring was performed for a period of 4 hours. The CPTOL results are presented in Figure 2. No adverse effects causing concern were noted.

#### 25 Example 7 - comparative

As a comparative example the same subjects from Example 6 were administered, on a separate day, buprenorphine and saline (referred to subsequently as "BUP only") by IV infusion. Buprenorphine was again administered at a dose of 0.5 µg/kg body weight and the washout monitoring

performed over 4 hours. The CPTOL results are presented in Figure 2.

#### Example 8

5

The same subjects from Example 6 were administered, on a separate day, buprenorphine and naltrexone in a ratio of 500:1 by IV infusion with buprenorphine administered at a dose of 0.5 µg/kg body weight. The washout monitoring was performed for a period of 4 hours. The CPTOL results are presented in Figure 2. No adverse effects causing concern were noted.

#### Example 9

15

The same subjects from Example 6 were administered, on a separate day, buprenorphine and naltrexone in a ratio of 1000:1 by IV infusion with buprenorphine administered at a dose of 0.5 µg/kg body weight. The washout monitoring was performed for a period of 4 hours. The CPTOL results are presented in Figure 2. No adverse effects causing concern were noted.

#### Example 10

25

The same subjects from Example 6 were administered, on a separate day, buprenorphine and naltrexone in a ratio of 5000:1 by IV infusion with buprenorphine administered at a dose of 0.5 µg/kg body weight. The washout monitoring was performed for a period of 4 hours. The CPTOL results are presented in Figure 2. No adverse effects causing concern were noted.

Comparison of Examples 1-10

The percentage change for CPTOL from the baseline was calculated for Examples 2, 4 and 5 and the results are presented in Figure 3. It may be seen that there was a benefit of the buprenorphine and naltrexone combinations compared to buprenorphine alone.

The percentage change for CPTOL from the baseline was calculated for Examples 7 and 9 and the results are presented in Figure 4. It may be seen that there was a marked benefit, particularly early on, of the buprenorphine and naltrexone combination compared to buprenorphine alone.

15

Example 11 - Buprenorphine/Naltrexone parenteral composition

20 A parenteral formulation having the following composition:

	mg/ml.
Buprenorphine as HCl salt	0.1
Naltrexone as HCl salt	0.0006
Anhydrous dextrose	50.0
Hydrochloric acid	to pH 4.0
Water for injection	to 1.0 ml

was prepared by dissolving dextrose, buprenorphine hydrochloride and naltrexone hydrochloride in that order with stirring, in about 95% batch volume of water for injection. The acidity of the solution was adjusted to pH

25

4.0 by the addition of 0.1M hydrochloric acid, and the solution was made up to volume with water for injection. The solution was filtered through a membrane filter and transferred to sterilised 2 ml glass ampoules containing 2  
5 ml of the solution. The ampoules were sealed and the product sterilised by autoclaving.

**CLAIMS**

1. An analgesic composition, in parenteral unit dosage form or in a unit dosage form suitable for delivery via  
5 the mucosa or dermis, the composition comprising buprenorphine and an amount of naltrexone such that the ratio by weight of buprenorphine to naltrexone delivered to or reaching the plasma of a patient is in the range 100:1 to 5000:1.
- 10 2. A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein the amount of buprenorphine in the unit dosage form is from 10 µg to 8mg.
- 15 3. A composition as claimed in claim 1 or 2, wherein the composition contains buprenorphine and naltrexone in a ratio of 120:1 to 1000:1, preferably 140:1 to 500:1, preferably 150:1 to 180:1.
- 20 4. A method for the treatment of pain in a human patient, which method comprises the administration to a human patient, by a parenteral or dermal or mucosal route, of buprenorphine and naltrexone such that the ratio by weight  
25 of buprenorphine to naltrexone delivered to or reaching the plasma of a patient is in the range: 100:1 to 5000:1.
5. Use of buprenorphine and naltrexone in the manufacture of a medicament for the treatment of pain, wherein the buprenorphine and naltrexone are used in an amount such  
30 that the medicament is delivered to a patient at, or reaches in the plasma of a patient, a ratio by weight in the range: 100:1 to 5000:1, buprenorphine:naltrexone.



6. A method of use as claimed in claim 5 or 6 wherein the administration of buprenorphine is in the range 0.25 to 640 µg per kg of body weight per 24 hours.

5 7. A composition or method or use, substantially as hereinbefore described in accordance with the present invention

1/3




FIG. 1

2/3




FIG. 2

3/3

FIG. 3




FIG. 4