

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
27 March 2008 (27.03.2008)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2008/034232 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:
G01N 23/04 (2006.01) *G01N 23/10* (2006.01)

GEORGIEV, Stephan [CA/CA]; 3359 rue des Quatre
Saisons, St-Hubert, Quebec J3Y 8P3 (CA).

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/CA2007/001658

(74) Agent: SMART & BIGGAR; 1000 de la Gauchetière
Street West, Suite 3300, Montreal, Quebec H3B 4W5 (CA).

(22) International Filing Date:
17 September 2007 (17.09.2007)

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,
AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH,
CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG,
ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL,
IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK,
LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW,
MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL,
PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SV, SY,
TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA,
ZM, ZW.

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/825,993 18 September 2006 (18.09.2006) US
60/826,752 25 September 2006 (25.09.2006) US
60/893,516 7 March 2007 (07.03.2007) US
60/917,235 10 May 2007 (10.05.2007) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): OP-
TOSECURITY INC. [CA/CA]; 505 Blvd du Parc
Technologique, Quebec, Quebec G1P 4S9 (CA).


(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM,
ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI,
FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MT, NL, PL,
PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM,
GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): GUDMUNDSON,
Dan [CA/CA]; 7 Jardin de Merici, #1002, Quebec, Quebec
G1S 4N8 (CA). DOYLE, Aidan [CA/CA]; 10600 Avenue
du Parc, App. 4, Québec, Québec, G1S 2W7 (CA).

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ASSESSING CHARACTERISTICS OF LIQUIDS



(57) Abstract: A method to perform security screening at an airport on hand-carried baggage. The method includes requesting passengers with hand carried baggage to remove from the baggage a container that holds a liquid and perform an x-ray inspection on the hand carried baggage and on the container while the container remains outside the baggage. According to the method, the results of the x-ray inspection are used to determine if the baggage contains illegal objects and if the liquid is a security threat.



Declaration under Rule 4.17:

— *of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv))*

Published:

— *with international search report*

TITLE: Method and apparatus for assessing characteristics of liquids

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to technologies for assessing properties of liquids, in particular determining if a liquid presents a security threat. The invention has numerous applications, in particular it can be used for scanning hand carried baggage at airport security check points.

10 *BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION*

 Some liquids or combinations of liquids and other compounds may cause enough damage to bring down an aircraft. As no reliable technology-based solution currently exists to adequately address this threat, authorities have implemented a ban of most liquids, gels and aerosols in cabin baggage.

15 As a result, there have been disruptions in operations (e.g., a longer screening process; changed the focus for screeners; additional line-ups), major inconveniences for passengers (as well as potential health hazards for some) and economic concerns (e.g., increased screening costs; lost revenues for
20 airlines and duty free shops; large quantities of confiscated - including hazardous - merchandise to dispose of), and so on.

 Clearly, there is a need to provide a technology-based solution to address the threat of fluids that are flammable, explosive or commonly used as
25 ingredients in explosive or incendiary devices.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

 The invention provides a method to perform security screening at an airport on hand-carried baggage. The method includes requesting passengers
30 with hand carried baggage to remove from the baggage a container that holds a liquid and to perform an x-ray inspection on the hand carried baggage and on

the container while the container remains outside the baggage. The results of the x-ray inspection are used to determine:

1. if the baggage contains illegal objects;
2. if the liquid is a security threat.

5

The invention also provides a security screening system to determine if a container holding a liquid presents a security threat. The screening system includes an input for receiving image data conveying an image of the liquid product generated when the liquid product is subjected to penetrating radiation.

10 The screening system also includes a knowledge bank containing a plurality of entries, each entry containing information about one or more liquid characteristics. The screening system further includes a logic module which uses the image data to determine if the liquid in the container can be matched to anyone of the entries and then uses those results to assess if the liquid poses a
15 security threat.

The invention also provides a security screening system to determine if a container bearing a liquid product identification holds a liquid matching that product identification. The screening system has an inspection device for
20 acquiring one or more characteristics of the container and for deriving a response of the liquid to penetrating radiation. The system also includes a knowledge bank containing responses of different commercially available liquids to penetrating radiation, each response mapped to one or more characteristics of a container in which the liquid is packaged and sold in the market. A logic
25 module is also provided for searching the knowledge bank to identify one or more entries matching the one or more characteristics acquired by the characterization module and for comparing the responses of the identified entries to the response of the liquid, the logic module using the results of comparing operation to determine if the liquid in the container matches the
30 product identification on the container.

The invention further provides a security screening system to determine if a container bearing a liquid product identification holds a liquid that poses a security threat. The screening system has a characterization module to acquire

one or more characteristics of the container and an inspection device for subjecting the container to penetrating radiation and for deriving a response of the liquid to the penetrating radiation. A knowledge bank is also provided containing responses of different commercially available liquids to penetrating radiation, each response mapped to one or more characteristics of a container in which the liquid is packaged and sold in the market. A logic module searches the knowledge bank to identify one or more entries matching the one or more characteristics acquired by the characterization module and for comparing the responses of the identified entries to the response of the liquid, the logic module using the results of said comparing to determine if the liquid in the container poses a security threat.

The invention also provides a computer readable medium containing a knowledge bank, the knowledge bank having a plurality of entries, each entry comprising:

- a) one or more characteristics of a container in which a liquid is packaged and sold in the market;
- b) a response of the liquid observed when the liquid is subjected to penetrating radiation.

20

The invention further provides a system for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number. The apparatus having an input for receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional X-ray image of the container holding the liquid and a computer based logic module for:

- i) processing the X-ray image data to derive path length information, the path length information being indicative of a length of a path followed by X-rays through the liquid;
- ii) using the path length information to determine the parameter.

30

The invention further provides a method for determining if a liquid product comprised of a container holding a liquid presents a security threat. The method includes receiving image data conveying an image of the liquid product produced when the liquid product is subjected to penetrating radiation and also

providing a knowledge bank storing a plurality of entries, each entry containing information about one or more liquid characteristics. The method further includes using the image data to determine if the liquid in the container can be matched to anyone of the entries and then using the results of the matching
5 operation in assessing if the liquid in the container poses a security threat.

The invention yet provides a method for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number. The method includes the steps of
10 receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional X-ray image of the container holding the liquid and processing the X-ray image data with a computer to:

- i) derive path length information, the path length information being indicative of a length of a path followed by X-rays through the liquid;
- 15 ii) determine the parameter by using the path length information.

The invention further provides a system for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number. The apparatus has an input for receiving
20 X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional X-ray image of the container holding the liquid and a computer based logic module for:

- i) processing the X-ray image data to derive container height information, the container height information being indicative of a length of a path followed by X-rays through the liquid;
- 25 ii) using the container height information to determine the parameter.

The invention also provides system for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number. The apparatus having an input for
30 receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional X-ray image of the container holding the liquid and a computer based logic module for:

- i) processing the X-ray image data to derive liquid height information indicative of a height of the body of liquid held by the container;
- ii) using the liquid height information to determine the parameter.

The invention further provides method for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number. The method includes the steps of receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional X-ray image of the container holding the liquid and processing the X-ray image data with a computer to:

- i) derive liquid height information indicative of a height of the body of liquid held by the container;
- ii) determine the parameter by using the liquid height information.

The invention also provides a system for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number. The apparatus includes an input for receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional X-ray image of the container holding the liquid, the X-ray image data conveying compound attenuation information indicating a degree with which X-rays are attenuated by the liquid and by the container walls and a computer based logic module for:

- i) processing the X-ray image data to compensate the compound attenuation information for the attenuation due to the container walls and derive attenuation information due to the liquid;
- ii) using the attenuation information due to the liquid to derive the parameter.

The invention also provides a security screening system to determine if a liquid product comprised of a container holding a liquid presents a security threat. The screening system having an input for receiving image data conveying an image of the liquid product produced when the liquid product is subjected to penetrating radiation and a display for displaying an image of the liquid product generated on the basis of the image data. The screening system also has a user interface including at least one user interface tool allowing an operator to perform a designation on the display of the container, the designation generating location data identifying an area of the image where the container resides and a logic module to select a portion of the image data on the

basis of the location data and to process the selected image data portion to determine if the liquid in the container poses a security threat.

The invention further provides a security screening system to determine if
5 a liquid product comprised of a container holding a liquid presents a security threat. The security screening system including an input for receiving image data conveying an image of the liquid product produced when the liquid product is subjected to penetrating radiation and a display for displaying an image of the liquid product generated on the basis of the image data. The security screening
10 system further including a logic module to process the image data to determine if the liquid in the container poses a security threat, the logic module issuing commands to the display to cause the display to visually enhance a portion of the image where the container resides to make the container visually more distinguishable from other objects appearing in the image.

15

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

A detailed description of examples of implementation of the present invention is provided hereinbelow with reference to the following drawings, in which:

20

Figure 1a is a block diagram of an apparatus using X-rays to scan hand carried baggage at a security check point, according to a non-limiting example of implementation of the invention;

25 Figure 1b is a more detailed illustration of the X-ray apparatus of Figure 1a;

Figure 2a is a more detailed block diagram of the processing module of the apparatus shown in Figure 1b;

30 Figure 2b is a generalized block diagram of the process implemented by the apparatus at Figure 1 to perform the security screening;

Figure 3 is a block diagram of the procedure followed by passengers to have their hand carried baggage screened at the security checkpoint;

Figure 4 is graph illustrating the total X-ray attenuation in H₂O due to various X-ray matter interactions;

Figure 5 is a generalized illustration of the photoelectric X-ray absorption process;

Figure 6 is a generalized illustration of the Compton scattering effect;

Figure 7 is detailed block diagram of a first non-limiting example of the process shown in Figure 3;

Figure 8 illustrates an experimental set-up for implementing the method shown in Figure 7;

Figure 9 is an illustration showing the image information derived from the set-up of Figure 7;

Figure 10 is an X-ray image of a container holding a liquid, showing a line-like Region Of Interest (ROI) along which grey level values are calculated;

Figure 11 is a graph illustrating the grey level profile along the ROI of Figure 10;

Figure 12 is a graph illustrating the grey level profile of a high energy (hi-E) X-ray image of a container holding a liquid, showing that the grey level profile matches the cross-sectional shape of the container;

Figure 13 is a graph illustrating the grey level profile of the low energy (low-E) X-ray image of the container shown in Figure 12, also showing that the low -E grey level profile matches the cross-sectional shape of the container;

Figures 14 to 18 are graphs illustrating the grey level profiles of hi-E X-ray images of different liquid containers and the corresponding container shapes;

Figure 19a is a detailed block diagram of a second non-limiting example of
5 implementation of the process shown in Figure 3;

Figure 19b is a table-like representation of a knowledge bank storing information about liquid products and their associated threat statuses;

10 Figure 20 is a set-up for implementing the method shown in Figure 19a;

Figure 21 is a detailed block diagram of a third non-limiting example of implementation of the process shown in Figure 3;

15 Figure 22 is a graph showing the variation of the diffraction/scattering signature with molecular density;

Figure 23 is a flow chart of a process for performing X-ray image processing to remove the contribution in the image of the belt of the X-ray imaging system;

20

Figure 24 is a flow chart of a process for performing X-ray image processing to determine in the X-ray image the location and orientation of a tray;

Figure 25 is a flow chart of a process for performing X-ray image processing to
25 remove the contribution in the image of the tray detected in Figure 24;

Figure 26 is a flowchart of a process for performing a calculation of the density and the effective atomic number of a liquid in a X-ray image;

30 Figure 27 is a flowchart of a process for performing X-ray image processing to remove the contribution in the image of the wall of a container that appears in the image;

Figure 28 is a flowchart of a process for determining threat assessment of a liquid;

Figure 29 is a diagram of an X-ray image scanner illustrating a method to
5 compute the path length of the X-ray beams through a body of liquid held inside a container;

Figure 30 is a simulated x-ray image of two overlapping containers;

10 Figure 31 is a flowchart of a process for allowing the operator to specify on the image at Figure 30 the container to be analyzed;

Figure 32 is a simulated X-ray image illustrating the mapping between image portions and individual detectors of the X-ray imaging system.

15

In the drawings, embodiments of the invention are illustrated by way of example. It is to be expressly understood that the description and drawings are only for purposes of illustration and as an aid to understanding, and are not intended to be a definition of the limits of the invention.

20

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

With reference to Fig. 1a, there is shown a specific non-limiting example of a system 10 for use in screening containers with liquids, in accordance with a non-limiting embodiment of the present invention. The system 10 comprises an
25 x-ray apparatus 100 that applies an x-ray screening process to a liquid 104 (Note that for the purpose of this specification "liquid" refers to a state of matter that is neither gas nor solid and that generally takes the shape of the container in which it is put. This definition would, therefore encompass substances that are pastes or gels, in addition to substances having a characteristic readiness to
30 flow. For instance, toothpaste, and other materials having the consistency of toothpaste would be considered to fall in the definition of "liquid".) contained in a container 102 that is located within a screening area of the x-ray apparatus 100. In an airport setting, a passenger may place the container 102 in a tray

which is then placed onto a conveyor 114 that causes the container 102 to enter the screening area of the x-ray apparatus 100. The x-ray apparatus 100 outputs an image signal 116 to a processing module 200.

5 The processing module 200 may be co-located with the x-ray apparatus 100 or it may be remote from the x-ray apparatus 100 and connected thereto by a communication link, which may be wireless, wired, optical, etc. The processing module 200 receives the image signal 116 and executes a method (to be described later on) to produce a threat assessment 118. The processing
10 module 200 has access to a database 400 which constitutes a knowledge bank via a communication link 120 that may be local to the processing module 200 (e.g., on a common printed circuit board, or connected as a peripheral device thereto by cable or Bluetooth), or which can be remote from the processing
15 module 200 (e.g., connected via a wireline, wireless or optical link that may traverse a data network). The processing module 200 may be implemented using software, hardware, control logic or a combination thereof.

 The threat assessment 118 is provided to a console 300 and/or to a security station 500, where the threat assessment 118 can be conveyed to an
20 operator 130 or other security personnel. The console 300 can be embodied as a piece of equipment that is in proximity to the x-ray apparatus 100, while the security station 500 can be embodied as a piece of equipment that is remote from the x-ray apparatus 100. The console 300 may be connected to the security station 500 via a communication link 124 that may traverse a data
25 network (not shown).

 The console 300 and/or the security station 500 may comprise suitable software and/or hardware and/or control logic to implement a graphical user interface (GUI) for permitting interaction with the operator 130. Consequently,
30 the console 300 and/or the security station 500 may provide a control link 122 to the x-ray apparatus 100, thereby allowing the operator 130 to control motion (e.g., forward/backward and speed) of the conveyor 114 and, as a result, to control the position of the container 102 within the screening area of the x-ray apparatus 100.

In accordance with a specific non-limiting embodiment, and with reference to Fig. 1b, the x-ray apparatus 100 is a dual-energy x-ray apparatus 100A. However, persons skilled in the art will appreciate that the present invention is not limited to such an embodiment. Continuing with the description of the dual-energy x-ray apparatus 100A, an x-ray source 202 emits x-rays 206 at two distinct photon energy levels, either simultaneously or in sequence. Example energy levels include 50 keV (50 thousand electron-volts) and 150 keV, although persons skilled in the art will appreciate that other energy levels are possible.

Generally speaking, x-rays are typically defined as electromagnetic radiation having wavelengths that lie within a range of 0.001 to 10 nm (nanometers) corresponding to photon energies of 120 eV to 1.2 MeV. Although the electromagnetic radiation referred to primarily throughout this description are x-rays, those skilled in the art will appreciate that the present invention is also applicable to electromagnetic radiation having wavelengths (and corresponding photon energies) outside this range.

A detector 218 located generally along an extension of the path of the x-rays 206 receives photons emanating from the combination of the liquid 104 and the container 102 in which it is located. Some of the incoming photons (X-rays 206) will go straight through the container/liquid 104 combination while some will interact with the container/liquid 104 combination. There are a number of interactions possible, such as:

- The Rayleigh scattering (coherent scattering)
- The photoelectric absorption (incoherent scattering)
- The Compton scattering (incoherent scattering)
- The pair production;
- Diffraction

The total attenuation of the contribution of the various X-rays - matter interactions is shown in figure 4. In this example the matter is H₂O but the attenuation profile for other materials is generally similar. For today's state-of-the-art security screening systems, the energy levels commonly utilized lie
5 between 50 keV and 150 keV.

The photoelectric absorption (Figure 5) of X-rays occurs when the X-ray photon is absorbed, resulting in the ejection of electrons from the shells of the atom, and hence the ionization of the atom. Subsequently, the ionized atom returns to the neutral state with the emission of whether an Auger electron or an
10 X-ray characteristic of the atom. This subsequent X-ray emission of lower energy photons is however generally absorbed and does not contribute to (or hinder) the image making process. This type of X-ray interaction is dependent on the effective atomic number of the material or atom and is dominant for atoms of high atomic numbers. Photoelectron absorption is the dominant
15 process for X-ray absorption up to energies of about 25 keV. Nevertheless, in the energy range of interest for security applications, the photoelectric effect plays a smaller role with respect to the Compton scattering, which becomes dominant.

20 Compton scattering (Figure 6) occurs when the incident X-ray photon is deflected from its original path by an interaction with an electron. The electron gains energy and is ejected from its orbital position. The X-ray photon loses energy due to the interaction but continues to travel through the material along an altered path. Since the scattered X-ray photon has less energy,
25 consequently it has a longer wavelength than the incident photon. The event is also known as incoherent scattering, because the photon energy change resulting from an interaction is not always orderly and consistent. The energy shift depends on the angle of scattering and not on the nature of the scattering medium. Compton scattering is proportional to material density and the
30 probability of it occurring increases as the incident photon energy increases.

The diffraction phenomenon of the x-rays by a material with which they interact is related to the scattering effect described earlier. When the x-rays are

scattered by the individual atoms of the material, the scattered x-rays may then interact and produce diffraction patterns that depend upon the internal structure of the material that is being examined.

5 The photons received by the detector 218 include photons that have gone straight through the liquid 104 and the container 102; these photons have not interacted in any significant matter with the liquid 104. Others of the received photons have interacted with the liquid 104 or the container.

10 In accordance with a specific non-limiting embodiment of the present invention, the detector 218 may comprise a low-energy scintillator 208 and a high-energy scintillator 210, which can be made of different materials. The low-energy scintillator 208 amplifies the intensity of the received photons such that a first photodiode array 212 can produce a low-energy image 220. Similarly, the
15 high-energy scintillator 210 amplifies the intensity of the received photons such that a second photodiode array 214 can produce a high-energy image 222. The low-energy image 220 and the high-energy image 222 may be produced simultaneously or in sequence. Together, the low-energy image 220 and the high-energy image 222 form the aforesaid image signal 116.

20

Referring back to Figure 1a, the processing module 200 receives the image signal 116 and processes the signal in conjunction with data contained in a knowledge bank 400 to determine if the liquid in the container poses a security threat. The determination can include an explicit assessment as to whether the
25 liquid is a threat or not a threat. Alternatively, the determination can be an identification of the liquid or the class of materials to which the liquid belongs, without explicitly saying whether the liquid is threatening or not threatening. For example, the processing module can determine that the liquid is "water", hence the operator 130 would conclude that it is safe. In a different example, the
30 processing module 200 determines that the liquid belongs to a class of flammable materials, in which case the operator 130 would conclude that it would be a security threat. Also, the determination can be such as to provide an explicit threat assessment and at the same time also provide an identification of the liquid in terms of general class of materials or in terms of a specific material.

The results of the determination are conveyed in the threat assessment signal 118 which is communicated to the console 300 and/or the security station 500 where it is conveyed to the operator 130.

5 Figure 2a is a high level block diagram of the processing module 200. The processing module 200 has a Central Processing Unit (CPU) 300 that communicates with a memory 302 over a data bus 304. The memory 302 stores the software that is executed by the CPU 300 and which defines the functionality of the processing module 200. The CPU 300 exchanges data with
10 external devices through an Input/Output (I/O) interface 306. Specifically, the image signal 116 is received at the I/O interface 306 and the data contained in the signal is processed by the CPU 300. The threat assessment signal 118 that is generated by the CPU 300 is output to the console 300 and/or the security station 500 via the I/O interface 306. Also, communications between the
15 knowledge bank 400 and the processing module 200 are made via the I/O interface 306.

Figure 2b is a high level block diagram that illustrates the functions performed by the processing module 200 in assessing whether or not the liquid
20 in the container presents a security risk. This block diagram applies to the example of implementation shown at Figure 1a and also to other examples of implementation that will be described later. The first step of the process, illustrated at 400 is to perform a characterization of the product that is being screened. By "product" is meant the combination container and liquid inside.
25 The characterization step returns information conveying distinctive features of the product that allows distinguishing the product from other products. The characterization step is performed on the container but it may also include the liquid inside. For instance the characterization step 400 may return information such as the general shape of the container, its height, cross-sectional profile
30 and width among many other parameters. Characterization of the liquid is optional and may provide information such as the color of the liquid (assuming of course the container is transparent).

The characterization step 400 can be performed by using different types of equipment capable to capture the distinctive features of the product. One example is an apparatus using penetrating radiation such as the X-ray imaging system 100 of Figure 1a. This is convenient since the same apparatus can be
5 used to characterize the product and also obtain the response of the liquid in the container to X-rays. Yet another example is to use a device that will obtain an image of the product and perform the characterization based on that image. The image may be two dimensional or three dimensional. Yet another possibility is to use equipment to read machine readable labels or tags on the
10 container. The reading can be done optically or via radio frequency (RF) information capture.

The characterization step of the product is followed by a determination of the response of the liquid in the container to X-rays, as shown at step 402. The
15 response represents the interaction of the liquid with the X-rays as discussed above. The response can be expressed in terms parameters characterizing the liquid. Examples of parameters include:

- The density of the liquid;
- The effective atomic number of the liquid (Z_{eff});
- 20 • The diffraction/scattering signature
- The viscosity of the liquid

At step 404, a knowledge bank is searched on the basis of the product characterization performed at step 400. In the vast majority of cases, the
25 screening process described in Figure 2b will be performed on commercially available products such as water bottles, juices, soft drinks, personal hygiene items such as toothpaste, shampoo, lotions, etc. The knowledge bank contains characterization data for a number of those commercially available products and the associated responses to X-rays of the genuine liquids in the containers. So,
30 step 404 searches the knowledge bank to locate one or more entries that match the product characterization derived at step 400. If one or more entries are found that match the product characterization, the corresponding responses to X-rays are extracted from the knowledge bank and compared to the response

obtained at step 402. If the response extracted from the knowledge bank 400 matches the response obtained at step 402 then the process concludes that the product that is being screened is a genuine product, in other words the liquid inside matches the commercial identification on the container. On the other hand, if no match is found, such as when the response to X-rays derived at step 402 does not match any of the responses associated with the one or more entries extracted from the knowledge bank 400, this constitutes a good indication that the original liquid in the container has been substituted with a different liquid.

10

The process determines at step 406 a threat assessment on the basis of the knowledge bank search. The threat assessment conveys information indicating if the product is a security risk. Any container that holds liquid which is other than the commercial labelling on the container is considered suspect. Although there may be perfectly legitimate cases (a water bottle filled with juice) those instances are still flagged as security threats to provide the security personnel at the check point to investigate further.

Note that the mere fact that a product can be matched to an entry in the knowledge bank 400 does not per se indicate that the product is safe. While the knowledge bank 400 contains a large number of reference information for safe and legitimate products, it may also contain reference entries for prohibited products. If a product can be matched to an entry for a prohibited product then an "unsafe" threat assessment will issue. For instance, if a container labelled as holding acid or another corrosive or flammable substance is scanned, it will be considered as a threat irrespective of the results of the knowledge bank search. If a match is found then it means that the liquid in the container has not been substituted with something else but since the liquid is prohibited then the assessment triggers a security alert. On the other hand, if no match can be established then the product is considered suspect because the original liquid may have been substituted with something else.

In the above examples, the knowledge bank 400 provides a threat status reference. If a match is found with an entry in the knowledge bank 400, then the

threat status of the product can be derived on the basis of the threat status of the entry. In a possible variant, the knowledge bank 400 is designed in a way as to provide no threat status information directly or indirectly on the entries it contains. In this instance, when a match between a product that is being scanned and an entry in the knowledge bank 400 would, therefore, indicate that the response of the liquid in the container, as determined by the processing module 200 is essentially correct. Those correct measurements therefore can be used as a sound basis for further processing or assessment to derive the threat status of the product. For example, the response of the liquid to x-rays is used to determine the density of the liquid and its effective atomic number. If a match in the knowledge bank 400 has been found, this means that the determined density and effective atomic number values have been validated and can be relied upon to perform the threat status assessment. The actual threat status assessment can be done on the basis of a combination of those values; certain combinations can be associated with dangerous materials while certain others with safe materials.

In the instance where the step 404 fails to find a match between the product and an entry in the knowledge bank 400, the option exists to assume that the product is a security threat since no reference to an entry is possible that has a known security threat status or at least one that can validate the response of the liquid determined by the processing module 200. Another possibility is to continue the processing and rely nevertheless on the response of the liquid as determined by the processing module 200 to provide a threat assessment.

Figure 3 is a flowchart of the method that is implemented at a security checkpoint at an airport or any other suitable location to screen hand carried baggage that relies on one example of implementation of the liquid screening process described earlier. The security checkpoint where this method is implemented would use an X-ray imaging system of the type shown in Figure 1a for example. At step 500 the passenger approaching the checkpoint is requested by security personnel or shown directives appearing on a board or any suitable display to remove any containers holding liquids that may be

present in the hand carried baggage. At step 502 the containers are placed in a tray and put on the conveyor belt of the X-ray imaging system. The hand carried baggage is similarly put on the conveyor belt of the X-ray imaging system. The containers and the hand carried baggage are therefore separately
5 scanned but in a serial fashion by the same X-ray imaging system. At step 504 the operator of the X-ray imaging system examines the X-ray image that is generated as a result of the X-ray scan to determine if it contains illegal objects. At step 506 the containers in the tray are scanned and the image signal 116 is processed by the processing station 200 to determine if anyone of the liquids
10 poses a security threat. If no security threat is found then the passenger is permitted to put the containers back in the hand carried baggage and to proceed beyond the checkpoint.

Figure 7 is a more detailed flowchart of the process for performing a
15 security screening on a container holding a liquid, according to a first non-limiting example of implementation. The process uses X-ray scanning to perform the characterization of the product (container + liquid) and also to determine the response of the liquid to X-rays. In other words a single X-ray scan is used to extract both pieces of information. One example of an X-ray
20 imaging system that can be used for this purpose is the equipment manufactured by Gilardoni in Italy, model number FEP ME 640 DETEX. This machine is a dual energy device that produces X-rays at high and low energy values that are HI (high) = 74.298 keV and Lo (low) = 55.398 keV, respectively.

25 Figure 8 illustrates the general configuration of the X-ray imaging system. The machine 800 has a conveyor belt 802 on which items to be scanned are placed. The X-ray source 804 is located below the conveyor belt 802. Detector arrays 806, 808 are placed on the vertical and the horizontal walls of the casing. For clarity, when the conveyor belt 802 advances the container through the x-
30 ray machine 800, the direction of movement would follow an imaginary line that would be perpendicular to the sheet of the drawing.

A container that is being scanned is shown at 810. In this example, the container is a 1.3 mm thick polypropylene bottle filled with liquid.

Referring back to the flowchart of Figure 7, the process starts at step 702 where the container is placed in a tray (not shown in Figure 8 for clarity) and then placed on the conveyor belt 802. The X-ray scan is then performed. At
5 step 702 the processing module 200 (Figure 1a) acquires the image information 116. In this particular example, the image information 116 is the raw data file output by the X-ray imaging system. The raw data file is then converted at step 706 into distinct image files. This is best shown at Figure 9. The raw data file exported from the X-ray imaging system is converted into three separate image
10 files, namely HI, Lo and class data. The HI file represents the X-ray attenuation at the HI energy level. The Lo file represents the X-ray attenuation at the Lo level. Finally, the class data file is the material classification image that uses colors to illustrate the materials from which the objects shown in the image are made. Class data files are generated by the X-ray imaging system directly and
15 they are normally displayed on the monitor of the X-ray imaging system. In this particular example the class data information is not being used, however one can certainly envisage integrating the class data information to the processing to further refine the results of the security assessment.

20 The HI and the Lo files are grey level image files showing X-ray energies quantified in a number of different levels. The number of grey levels used can vary depending upon the desired resolution; usually the higher the number of grey levels used the better the precision will be. Test conducted with images encoded at 256 grey levels (each pixel is represented by an 8 bit value) have
25 demonstrated that the process works, however the error resulting from the loss of information due to the fairly coarse encoding is not negligible. Therefore, grey levels in excess of 256 would be preferred. However, images encoded at less than 256 grey levels can still be used for some specific applications that require a lesser degree of detection detail.

30

Referring back to Figure 7, the image files HI and Lo are then subjected to two parallel processing threads, 710 and 712 that determine respectively, the density and effective atomic number of the liquid and characterize the product. Note that these threads are not independent. The results of the processing

thread 712 are supplied to the processing thread 710, such that the density and effective number computations can take into account the X-rays attenuation resulting from the presence of the container.

5 The processing thread 712 starts at step 714 where an edge detection of the container is performed. The purpose is to derive from the information in the HI, Lo image files the location and characteristics of the container. Figures 10 and 11 illustrate the general principle of the edge detection process. Consider in Figure 10 the X-ray image of the container 1000 (Lo image information).
10 Figure 11 shows the grey level profile in the image taken along the imaginary line 1002 drawn across the container 1000. The areas 1004 and 1006 in Figure 11 correspond to areas along the line 1002 that are outside the container 100. The zone 1008 corresponds to the location of the container. It can be observed that the shape of the grey level profile curve matches quite precisely the cross-
15 sectional shape of the container 1000. Figures 12 to 18 provide additional examples. Figure 12 is the HI image of a container and the associated grey level profile curve. Figure 13 shows the grey level curve of the corresponding HI image. In both cases, the curves match the generally rectangular cross-sectional profile of the container. Specifically, the inflection points 1202 and
20 1204 correspond to the container edges 1206 and 1208, respectively. The flat region 1210 between the inflection points 1202 and 1204 corresponds to the flat top surface 1212 of the container.

 Figures 14, 15, 16 and 17 show examples of grey level profiles of
25 containers having rounded features. Figures 14, 16 and 17 clearly show that the grey scale profile matches the rounded cross-sectional contour of the bottle.

 Figure 18 is the grey level profile along the container (from top to bottom). Again the profile shows characteristic features of the container. In
30 particular, the area 1802 of the curve corresponds to the bottom portion of the container, the area 1804 shows the top of the container, the area 1806 reveals the notch below the cap and the depression 1808 corresponds to the waist in the middle of the container.

Referring back to Figure 7, the edge detection process 714, therefore performs an analysis of the HI and the Lo image data to detect the edges of the container. Assume for the sake of this example that the container lies horizontally in the tray as it is being scanned by the x-ray machine. Accordingly, the grey level image produced by the x-ray machine will resemble a plan view of the container. The software executed by the processing module 200 which performs the edge detection process applies the following logic:

1. The first step is to locate a portion of the edge. The software searches for detectable grey level transition that occurs in the image as a result of the container wall. Specifically, due to the structure/material of the container wall a well defined grey level transition will show in the image. To facilitate the edge detection process it is possible to provide the operator console 300 with user interface tools that will allow the operator to designate in the X-ray image the general area where the container is located. In this fashion, the software will start the image analysis in an area of the image that is known to contain the image of a container. Specifically, the user interface on the console 300 is designed such as to display to the operator 130 the X-ray image obtained as a result of the scanning operation. The X-ray image displayed may be the derived from the HI image data, the Lo image data or a combination thereof. Once the image is shown to the operator 130, he or she uses a tool to indicate where a container lies. Figure 30 shows an example of such x-ray image where several containers appear at once. Specifically this image shows two containers 3100 and 3102 that are partially on top of each other. This may arise when they have been placed in the tray hastily, which is likely to occur in practice quite often.

The operator 130 first identifies the container to be processed. Assume that this is container 3100. The operator 3100 then uses a user interface tool to designate the container 3100 to the software. The tool may be any suitable user interface tool such as

pointer device such as a mouse or a touch sensitive feature allowing the operator 130 to touch the screen at the area of interest. When the pointer device is activated at the location 3104, which by convention is deemed to correspond generally to the centre of the container 3100, the activation will produce location data. The location data identifies an area in the image where the container 3100 resides. The software uses the location data to select the portion of the image data to which the location data points to and starts the image analysis in that area. The selected area corresponds to the location 3104. The software operates with the assumption that the container features that will be identified should have some degree of symmetry about that location. The software scans the image data by moving further away from the location 3104 until a sharp grey level gradient is located that corresponds to a container edge. In principle since the location 3104 is in the centre of the container then a container edge should be detected in the image at two places equally spaced from the location 3104.

Another possibility is for the operator to designate with the pointing device specifically the edge of the container that is to be analysed. For instance the operator 130 "clicks" the mouse or touches the screen with his/her finger at the location 3106 that corresponds to the edge of the container 3100.

Yet another possibility is for the operator to perform the designation by "drawing" on the image a zone curtailing the area where the container 3100 is located. For instance the operator 130 can use the pointing device to draw the line 3108 around the container 3100.

With any one of the methods described earlier, the edge detection software receives operator guidance to perform an image analysis

and extract from the image one or more characterizing features of the container 3100.

Figure 31 provides a flowchart that summarizes the above process. At step 3200 the image of the one or more containers is shown on the console 300 of the operator. At step 3202 the operator uses a suitable user interface tool to designate the container to be analyzed. As indicated earlier, the user interface tool may be a pointing device, among others. At step 3204 information about the location in the image where the container is located is communicated to the processing module 200 such that the container analysis can be performed.

2. Referring back to figure 30, the next step of the process is to track the outline of the container 3100. As the software has identified a portion of the container's edge, the software logic then starts tracking that edge. The tracking logic tracks the sharp grey level gradient in the image to follow the container edge. In doing so, the tracking logic uses a set of assumptions; otherwise it may stray, in particular at areas where two or more container edges meet. This is shown in the area 3110 where the edges of the two different containers 3100 and 3102 intersect each other. If the tracking software is moving along the edge 3112 (in the direction shown by the arrow) it will eventually encounter the location 3114 where the edges of the two containers 3100 and 3102 cross each other. At that location, the edge tracking software has at least three different edges that it can track, namely edge portion 3116, 3118 and 3120, while only one solution (edge 3120 is valid). To avoid straying along the non-valid solutions (edges 3118 and 3116) one of the assumptions is that the edge of the container has no sharp edges or turns. A sharp edge or turn is defined by a radius value, which is a parameter that can be permanently set or made adjustable. Accordingly, when the tracking software reaches the location 3114 the solutions that correspond to edge portions 3118

and 3116 are rejected because they involve a sharp departure from the existing course (edge portion 3112). Then only solution 3120 remains as valid.

5 Other assumptions can also be used. One is the container symmetry attribute. Most of the containers are symmetrical about one or more axes. When one side of the container wall has been tracked the other side should in principle be a mirror image of the first side, accordingly only solutions that correspond to that mirror
10 image path would be retained. Another assumption is the maximal or minimal dimension of the container or of its constituent parts. For instance, it is known that containers typically have dimensions that do not exceed a certain limit that is considered to be a maximal value. Accordingly if an edge length extends
15 beyond those limits the detection process may be considered invalid. Similarly, minimal dimensions can also be taken into consideration. If an edge length is below a value that is considered to be a minimum for a container height or width, the detection process may be considered invalid.

20

3. When the tracking logic has completed the identification of the container edge, then the software performs a validation on the basis of the overall container shape defined. Specifically the software will compute certain geometric features or properties of
25 the container and determine if they fall into acceptable acceptance windows. Examples of such geometric features include:

30 The height of the container. Usually, most containers would have a height that would fall in a certain range, say from 3 inches up to 18 inches. Any container height dimension outside that range should be suspect.

The width of the container. As in the case with the container height, the container width usually falls in a certain range, for

instance between 1 inch and 6 inches. Containers having a width outside that range would also be suspect.

The ratio height/width which is considered to be valid only if the value computed falls in a predetermined range.

5

A volume prediction of the container. On the basis of the container outline one can predict what the internal volume could be. While to perform an accurate volume computation the actual thickness (3rd dimension) of the container is required, that dimension can be assumed in order to provide volume estimation. The container thickness would normally be in the range of 1 inch to 6 inches. This allows providing a volume estimation that defines a window allowing rejecting solutions associated with volume values that are outside the window.

10

15

4. When the container validation process has been completed, the outline of the container can be emphasised to the operator 130, as a final "sanity check". This step is identified at block 716 of Figure 7. Specifically, the processing module 200 issues commands to the display such that the display visually enhances a portion of the image where the container is located. This makes the container more visible with relation to other objects in the x-ray image. Examples image enhancements include:

20

25

- a. Colouring or otherwise highlighting the areas of the image that correspond to the portions where the edge has been identified;
- b. Colouring or otherwise highlighting the container in its entirety;
- c. De-emphasising the image except the areas where the container lies. This technique does not change the pixels of the x-ray image in the region of the container but

30

: 26

changes all the pixels that surround the container image such as to make the container more visible.

5 The highlighting process uses the edge detection data obtained by the edge detection software as a result of the x-ray image analysis. The edge detection data defines in the x-ray image the areas where an edge has been identified. The highlighting process then uses this information to manipulate the x-ray image pixels such that the container stands out with relation to its
10 surroundings.

If the edge identification has been done correctly the operator 130 would see the container 3100 highlighted. The operator 130 can then apply human judgment on the results. If the edge tracking
15 operation is correct then the results can be accepted and the processing allowed continuing. Otherwise, if the operator 130 sees on the screen a highlighted shape that does not correspond to a container then he/she aborts the operation.

20

At step 718 the edge detection data obtained by the edge detection software is processed to extract one or preferably more than one characteristics of the container. Examples of characteristics include:

- 25
- The height of the container
 - The maximal transverse dimension of the container;
 - Wall thickness
 - Generalized geometric shapes that are found in the container.
 - The geometric shape identification is a software
30 processing of the container image to try to identify in that image geometric features or shapes that can be used to characterize the container. For example, the software may look at the main body of the container

(disregard the neck portion) to determine if the container falls in any one of a set of predefined geometric shapes.

Examples of geometric shapes include:

- rectangular container;
- square container;
- upwardly tapered container;
- downwardly tapered container.

At step 720 the knowledge bank 400 is searched on the basis of the characteristics of the container identified previously. The knowledge bank 400 is designed as a database that has a number of entries, each entry being associated with a product that a passenger is susceptible to carry in his/her baggage at a security checkpoint where the process of Figure 7 is being implemented. Each entry includes two different classes of information. The first class is characterization information about the product. The characterization information includes one or more features of the container in which the liquid is stored. Examples of features include:

- Container height;
- Wall thickness;
- The transverse dimension of the container;
- Geometric shapes found in the container or the set of predefined geometric shapes to which the container belongs;
- Generic container templates;
- Physical parameters of the container;
- Chemical parameters of the container such as the material from which the container is made;
- Height off belt;
- Path length calculation parameters (see description later for path length calculation);
- Contour details.

In addition the characterization information may also include information about the liquid (other than its response to X-rays), such as the color of the liquid, smell or visual texture, among others. Under the current example, the characterization information includes solely information about the container.

5

The second class includes the responses of liquids (the genuine products) that are sold or commercially made available in the containers having the characteristics stored in the knowledge bank 400. In the specific example of implementation discussed here, the penetration radiation used to obtain a response from the liquid is X-rays, however, other types of electromagnetic radiation can be used without departing from the spirit of the invention. The information stored in the knowledge bank 400 that characterizes the response to the liquid to X-rays includes density and effective atomic numbers for each liquid. This is useful for applications where the X-ray imaging system only provides an image output obtained on the basis of photons that have passed straight through the sample. For X-ray imaging systems where the image output also takes into account scattering/diffraction then the knowledge bank 400 can also include the diffraction/scattering signature of the liquid.

Figure 22 shows a graph of the diffraction/scattering signature for a number of different materials, in particular propanol, acetone, methanol and hydrogen peroxide. The visible texture of the scattering/diffraction signature changes with the density of the materials and constitutes a feature that can distinguish the different materials. In this example, all the materials shown are flammable, hence "illegal" for transport in the hand carried baggage aboard an aircraft.

Accordingly, the knowledge bank can be augmented by storing in association with each entry the diffraction/scattering signature of the liquid. The diffraction/scattering signature can be in the form of an image file or under any other suitable representation that would allow a comparison to be made with the diffraction/signature of a material that is being scanned such as to determine if both signatures match.

The diffraction/scattering signature can be used alone to determine if a liquid matches an entry in the knowledge bank, but preferably it can be used in conjunction with the other elements of information that define the response of the liquid to X-rays, such as density and effective atomic number.

5

Typically, a neural network would be used to determine if the observed diffraction/scattering signature of a liquid matches anyone of the signatures stored in the knowledge bank 400.

10 Assuming now that the knowledge bank search is successful and a unique and unmistakable match is found on the basis of the product characterization information provided, then the search will extract the nominal container height (step 722) and the nominal wall thickness (724) of the container from the knowledge bank 400. The read container height and wall
15 thickness are communicated to a processing block 726 which computes the X-ray path length of the container that is being scanned by the X-ray apparatus. This processing block will be discussed in greater detail later.

On the other hand, if no match is found in the knowledge bank 400, then
20 the processing continues at step 740 where a height estimation is performed for the container. In this case, the container height data generated during the container characterization step 718 is read and that information is used as container height information. Similarly, at step 738 an estimate of the container wall thickness is produced from the edge detection data obtained at the edge
25 detection process. Both the estimated edge thickness and container height are then supplied to the block 726 which performs the X-ray path length computation. The x-ray path length analysis will be described in greater detail later.

30 The processing thread 710 that runs in parallel with the processing thread 712 performs image processing in order to identify the response of the liquid in the container that is being scanned to X-rays. The first step of the process (step 728) is to locate in the HI and Lo images the tray in which the container is placed for the scanning process. Since the tray signature is known,

known image processing techniques can be used to identify the location of the tray in the images and its orientation. The tray signature resides in the memory 302 of the processing module 200.

5 The flowchart of Figure 24 shows in greater detail the process for identifying the location and the orientation of the tray in the HI and Lo images. To make the identification of the tray simpler, the tray is provided with a marker that is highly visible to X-rays. This may be a piece of metal that will highly attenuate X-rays, which is located at a known position in the tray. Therefore,
10 the detection of the tray position in the image starts by determining where in the HI and the Lo images that marker can be found. For easier identification, the maker can be of an easily recognizable shape unlikely to be confused with other objects placed in the tray during the X-ray scanning process.

15 At step 2400 the process receives the HI and the Lo image information. The HI image is scanned at 2402 to locate the marker. The image is searched using any well known image scanning techniques on the basis of the marker signature at the HI energy level extracted from the memory 302 of the processing module 200. If the marker is found, its coordinates are recorded.
20 The same process is repeated at step 2404, this time on the Lo image. The coordinates of the marker are also generated.

At step 2406 the tray position and contour is determined by processing both sets of marker coordinates. Since the position of the marker in the tray is
25 known and the shape of the tray is also known, then step 2406 will determine the location of the tray in the HI and Lo images, its contour and its orientation. The process outputs at step 2408 data that defines the location of the tray, its contour and its orientation in both images. The location, contour and orientation should be such as to allow identifying in each image the pixels "overlaid" by the
30 tray, in other words the pixels whose grey levels include the contribution of the tray to the overall X-ray attenuation.

A somewhat similar operation is performed at step 730 on the HI and Lo images to remove the contribution from the belt 802 (Figure 8). The belt 802

31

attenuates to a known degree the X-ray radiation and step 730 compensates the images accordingly. This is done by modifying the grey levels of the pixels in the HI and the Lo images to produce a compensated image that will show a lesser degree of attenuation. The detailed process for removing the contribution of the belt 802 is shown by the flowchart of Figure 23. Step 2300 receives the HI and the Lo images information. At step 2302 the signature of the belt 802 for the HI energy level is read from the memory 302 of the processing module 200. A search is made in the image such as align or "overlay" the read signature with the signature appearing in the image. A similar operation is performed at step 2304 for the Lo image. Steps 2306 and 2308 compensate the HI and Lo images such as to remove the effect of the belt 802. The compensation is done only in the areas of the HI and Lo images that are encompassed within the belt signature, hence the areas where the gray levels convey attenuation information due to the belt 802 presence (the attenuation due to the belt 802 is stored in the memory 302). The compensation is done by changing the grey levels to remove the attenuation due to the belt. Since the belt 802 is a relatively uniform structure, the compensation that is made on the HI and the Lo images consists of reducing the grey level intensity in each pixel by a value that corresponds to the attenuation caused by the belt 802. Accordingly, steps 2306 and 2308 produce synthetic HI and Lo images in which the effect of the belt 802 is removed.

The HI and Lo synthetic images are processed at step 732 (Figure 7) to remove the contribution of the tray. The details of the tray removal are shown in the flowchart of Figure 25. The HI and the Lo synthetic images as well as the data that defines the location of the tray (obtained from the process at Figure 24) are received by the process at step 2500. Step 2502 processes the data that defines the location of the tray for the HI and the Lo levels in conjunction with the tray X-ray signature at the HI and Lo levels. The X-ray signature for the HI and the Lo levels is extracted from the memory 302. The processing at step 2502 modifies the signature extracted from the memory 302 such as to shift it to the current tray location. In other words, the X-ray signature of the tray that is stored in the memory 302 corresponds to a certain reference tray location. To be able to use this signature in cases where the tray is in a position other than

the reference position, then the signature must be manipulated such as to displace the grey level features that define the signature to the positions where the tray is actually located. Step 2502 performs this operation by using any suitable image processing techniques that translate and/ or rotate the pixels that convey the X-ray attenuation caused by the tray in the actual tray position that was previously determined. This produces a real tray signature, for both HI and Lo energy levels that can be used subsequently to compensate the HI and the Lo images for the presence of the tray.

Step 2504 performs the tray removal operation. The process at step 2504 receives the synthetic HI and Lo images (compensated for the belt) and also the real tray signature generated earlier. The real tray signature for each energy level is "subtracted" from the corresponding synthetic image such as to remove from the synthetic image the X-ray attenuation information resulting from the tray.

Step 2506 outputs the HI and Lo synthetic images that have been cleaned to remove the effects of the belt and the tray.

Referring back to Figure 7, step 734 further modifies the HI and the Lo images received from the process at step 732 to remove from the image information the attenuation due to the container wall. The material from which the container is made will determine the extent to which the container wall removal is critical. For glass materials it is necessary to remove their contribution since glass materials tend to attenuate X-ray significantly as in practice they are quite thick. On the other hand, when the container is made of plastic that attenuates X-rays to a much lesser degree, the compensation of the image is not absolutely required. The same also holds true for thin walled metallic containers, such as aluminium beverage cans.

30

The step 734 receives the HI and the Lo images compensated for the presence of the belt and of the tray, information that approximates the wall thickness of the container (the approximation will be described later), real wall thickness information and material of container extracted from the knowledge

bank 400 as output at step 724 (if a match in the knowledge bank 400 has been found) and the coordinates of the container contour from the edge detection process 714. If the product (container + liquid) that is being scanned has been accurately recognized at step 720 (a match exists in the knowledge bank 400),
5 then the approximation of the wall thickness is not required. The wall thickness approximation is used only if the product recognition process at step 720 is uncertain or has failed. The flowchart at Figure 27 illustrates in greater detail the process for compensating the HI and the Lo images for the attenuation resulting from the container walls.

10

Step 2800 is the start of the process. That step receives the following information:

15

1. HI and Lo images compensated for the attenuation by the belt and tray;
2. Coordinates of the container contour. This information is received from the processing at step 714 (edge detection). This information specifies the outline of the container and defines the area of the HI and Lo images that will need to be compensated to
20 remove the effect of the container wall.
3. The estimated wall thickness;
4. The real wall thickness and the material from which the container is made (information obtained from step 724, if available).

25

If only a wall thickness estimation is available (no real wall thickness information found) then the process proceeds at step 2802 that computes the attenuation brought by the container. Since at that point no knowledge exists about the material from which the container is made, the process at step 2802 assumes that the material is glass, which in most practical cases would be the
30 worst case scenario (the greatest degree of attenuation). The step 2802, therefore computes the attenuation that the glass material of the estimated thickness will create such that the HI and Lo images can be compensated accordingly. The process performed at step 2502 is a computational step that uses the following algorithm for HI energy level image:

34

$$Bottle_Contr.Hi = MAX_{GS} \left[\left[1 - e^{-\rho_{glass} [aHiZ_{eff_glass}^3 + bHi]} \right] \times X_I \right] \times 100\%$$

and the following algorithm for the Lo energy level image:

$$5 \quad Bottle_Contr.Lo = MAX_{GS} \left[\left[1 - e^{-\rho_{glass} [aLoZ_{eff_glass}^3 + bLo]} \right] \times X_I \right] \times 100\%$$

Where:

- *Bottle_Contr.Hi* is the container wall attenuation at the Hi energy level expressed in percentage;
- *Bottle_Contr.Lo* is the container wall attenuation at the Lo energy level expressed in percentage;
- MAX_{GS} is the Maximum Gray Scale (actual value of the background or input energy)
- $\rho_{glass} = 2.469 g / cm^3$
- $Z_{eff_glass} = 12.12$
- a_{Hi} , b_{Hi} , a_{Lo} and b_{Lo} are constants that are dependent on the particular X-ray imaging system used for the scanning. The values of those constants are obtained during the calibration phase of the machine and they are stored in the memory 302 of the processing module 200.

The glass density (ρ_{glass}) and effective atomic number (Z_{eff_glass}) are stored in the memory 302 of the processing module 200. Alternatively, the glass density and effective atomic number could be stored in the knowledge bank 400, as a parameter of container. In this fashion, it could be possible to provide for each glass container specific density and effective atomic numbers that match well the specific container material. This could be useful if it is expected to find in use different containers made of different glass compositions such that the density and the effective atomic numbers are not all the same across the glass containers population.

Therefore, the step 2802 outputs the attenuation in the X-ray images at the HI and at the Lo energy levels that the glass container produces. The output is supplied to step 2804 that uses this information to compensate the HI and the Lo images accordingly. Step 2804 will be described in greater detail later.

Assuming now that instead of estimated wall thickness information, real wall thickness information is available, then the step 2802 is performed only if the material from which the container is made is glass. Specifically, at decision step 2808 the material from which the container is made is verified. The material from which the container is made is stored in the knowledge bank 400. If the material is glass then step 2802 described above is performed. On the other hand, if the material is plastic then the processing goes directly to the output 2810. In other words, if the container is made of plastic, no image compensation is performed. The reason for bypassing the image compensation is that a plastic introduces a negligible degree of X-ray attenuation, therefore the HI and the Lo images do not need to be compensated.

Step 2804 receives the X-ray attenuation introduced by the glass container for the HI and the Lo energy levels. Also, step 2804 receives the HI and the Lo images compensated for the belt and the tray and the container contour information. Step 2804 performs image processing to remove the attenuation introduced by the container in the area defined by the container contour information. The pixels in the area defined by the container contour information are modified such that their values no longer reflect the contribution of the attenuation introduced by the glass material. Step 2804 therefore outputs at step 2808 HI and Lo images that have been compensated for the influence on the X-rays of the belt, the tray and the container wall. Therefore, the HI and the Lo images now provide attenuation information of the liquid and allow computing parameters of the liquid.

For clarity, it should be mentioned that the compensation for the container wall has essentially the effect to "remove" the container wall in the x-

ray image within the contour of the container. In other words the portion of the wall that is generally parallel to the x-ray image plane is being erased. The wall portions of the container that are generally perpendicular to the x-ray image plane and which would define its contour still remain in the image.

5

Since the HI and Lo X-ray images are two dimensional, the path length calculation, in one non-limiting example of implementation, is an indirect mathematical operation based on a combination of trigonometry operations and shape recognition algorithms. Knowing the exact physical characteristics of the X-ray imaging system, it is possible to calculate the height of the liquid container, and therefore the path lengths followed by the X-ray beams, by using the position of the container on the conveyor belt 802 with respect to the fixed reference points of the X-ray scanner itself. As these reference points remain identical from one scan to the next, the path length calculation is not affected by the random position of the containers in the plastic tray. Should there be bubbles in the liquid under test, their presence can be filtered out by either appropriate filtering algorithms or by considering the bubble physical characteristics in order to remove their contribution from the liquid.

Figure 29 illustrates the path length determination process. Figure 29 is a cross-section of the X-ray imaging system 3000 showing the belt 802 on which the container 3002 is placed. For clarity, the belt 802 moves the container 3002 through the x-ray imaging system 3000 in a direction that is perpendicular to the sheet. This X-ray imaging system 3000 has a radiation source 3004 that is located below the belt 802 and also an L-shaped set of detectors that has a vertical array 3006 and a horizontal array 3008. The array 3006 is shown arbitrarily as having 12 detectors, $(3006_1, \dots, 3006_{12})$ and the array 3008 has 12 detectors $(3008_1, \dots, 3008_{12})$ as well. Note that in practice, X-ray imaging systems have a much higher numbers of detectors in order to provide a suitable image resolution.

30

The position of the source 3004 is well known and fixed. In addition, the geometry of the detector arrays 3006 and 3008 is such that it is possible to map portions of the x-ray image (Lo and Hi) to individual detectors of the arrays 3006

and 3008. In other words, it is possible to tell for a certain portion of the image, which ones of the detectors produced that portion of the image. Figure 32 provides more details in this regard. Figure 32 shows a simulated x-ray image of a body of liquid 3300, shaped in the form of a container. The image is
5 obtained as a result of a movement of the container 3300 by the belt 802 with relation to the detector arrays 3006 and 3008. Therefore, individual detectors of the arrays 3006, 3008 produce individual bands in the image. The image bands are shown in Figure 32 and for clarity numbered with the corresponding detector reference numerals.

10

Referring back to Figure 29 assume for the sake of this example that the X-ray source 3004 is turned on and generates X-ray beams that are directed through the container 3002. While there are many beams passing through the container 3002, consider only two of them, namely the beam 3010 and the
15 beam 3012 that intersect the top and bottom edges of the container 3002. The beam 3010 will reach the detector 3008₂ while the beam 3012 will reach the detector 3008₇. By analyzing the image it is possible to determine which detectors of the arrays 3006, 3008 received the beams 3010 and 3012. Specifically, the features of the container 3002 through which the beams 3010
20 and 3012 pass are first located in the image and their respective positions in the image noted. In particular the processing module processed the x-ray image information to locate the top and the bottom edges of the container 3002 and once those features have been identified, their position in the image is recorded. Since the image positions are mapped to corresponding detectors of the arrays
25 3006 and 3008, it is possible to derive which ones of the detectors in the arrays 3006, 3008 received the beams 3010 and 3012. On the basis of the position of those features in the image, the detectors are identified. Once the identity of the detectors has been found, both lengths L1 and L2 can be trigonometrically calculated using angles alpha and beta. Finally, the path length H can be
30 simply derived by the formula $H = (L_1 - L_2) \tan \alpha$. In this example, H would be the height of the body of liquid held in the container.

The above process works well for containers that are generally rectangular in shape. For containers that are rounded, such as cylindrical shapes for instance, the following cylinder parametric equation can be used:

$$\vec{c}(z, \theta) = u(z, \theta) [\cos \theta \hat{i} + \sin \theta \hat{j}] + z \hat{k}$$

Where $u(z, \theta)$ will be adjusted according to every individual shape of container.

This equation is a known ray casting formula that is used to calculate object interceptions in 3d space.

Once the path length through the liquid has been computed at step 726, the process continues at step 738 where the density and the effective atomic number of the liquid are computed. The process will be described in greater detail in conjunction with the flowchart on Figure 26. The process starts at step 2700. The information that is used to perform the various computations includes:

1. The HI and the Lo images as output from the processing at step 734 (the contribution of the belt, the tray and the container wall have been removed).
2. HI, Lo (bgnd) which are the images compensated for the presence of the belt.
3. Coordinates in the HI and the Lo images that are within the boundary of the liquid body in the container, where the density and the effective atomic number will be assessed. Typically, to obtain a better accuracy the density and the effective atomic number will be assessed at more than one location.
4. The path length (height of the liquid body) at the coordinates specified at 3. Both the coordinates and the path length values are obtained from the processing at step 726.

Step 2702 receives the HI and the Lo image information as well as the coordinates where the density and effective atomic numbers will be assessed. The processing at step 2702 will essentially extract from the HI and the Lo images the grey level values at each of the coordinates. If each coordinate is
 5 larger than a single pixel, say it encompasses several pixels in the HI and the Lo images, then the grey level extraction could include averaging the grey level values encompassed within each coordinate area. Therefore, the processing at step 2702 outputs two sets of grey level values, the first set extracted from the HI image and the second extracted from the Lo image.

10

The two sets of grey level values are handled by the process at step 2704. That step computes the X-ray attenuation coefficients for each of the coordinates. So, in addition to the grey level values sets, the process at step 2704 also receives the path length values from step 2700, where each path
 15 length value is associated to a given coordinate. As mentioned above, a given path length value is essentially the thickness of the body of fluid through which the X-rays pass. Note that the path length is not necessarily the same for all the coordinates.

20 The processing at step 2704 applies the following algorithm for computing the attenuation coefficient for the various coordinates at the HI energy level:

$$\mu_{Hi_{1...n}} = \frac{1}{XPL_{1...n}} \times Ln \left(\frac{I_{Hi(bgnd)}}{I_{Hi(final)_{1...n}}} \right)$$

25 Where:

1. $\mu_{Hi_{1...n}}$ is the attenuation coefficient at the HI energy level for the coordinates 1...n;
2. $XPL_{1...n}$ is the path length at coordinates 1..n for the HI energy level;
3. $I_{Hi(bgnd)}$ at coordinates 1...n for the HI energy level;
- 30 4. $I_{Hi(final)_{1...n}}$ are the grey level values at coordinates 1...n for the HI energy level.

A similar equation is used to compute the attenuation coefficients at the various coordinates at the Lo energy level.

$$\mu_{Lo_{1...n}} = \frac{1}{XPL_{1...n}} \times Ln \left(\frac{I_{Lo(bgnd)}}{I_{Lo(final)_{1...n}}} \right)$$

5

Where:

1. $\mu_{Lo_{1...n}}$ is the attenuation coefficient at the HI energy level for the coordinates 1...n;
2. $XPL_{1...n}$ is the path length at coordinates 1..n for the HI energy level;
- 10 3. $I_{Lo(bgnd)}$ at coordinates 1...n for the HI energy level;
4. $I_{Lo(final)_{1...n}}$ are the grey level values at coordinates 1...n for the HI energy level.

The processing continues at steps 2706 and 2708 that compute the
15 density of the liquid and the effective atomic number of the liquid at the respective coordinates. The density computation at step 2706 receives as input the X-ray attenuation coefficients, and machine calibration constants. Specifically, the density computation is effected by using the following algorithm:

20

$$\rho_{1...n} = \frac{(a_{Hi} \times \mu_{Lo_{1...n}}) - (a_{Lo} \times \mu_{Hi_{1...n}})}{(a_{Hi} \times b_{Lo}) - (a_{Lo} \times b_{Hi})}$$

Where:

- 25 1. $\rho_{1...n}$ is the density of the liquid at the coordinates 1...n. Note that the density computation uses grey level information from both the HI and the Lo X-ray images;

41

2. $a_{Hi}, a_{Lo}, b_{Hi}, b_{Lo}$ are X-ray imaging system constants. These constants are stored in the memory 302 of the processing module 200;
3. $\mu_{Lo_{1...n}}$ is the attenuation coefficient at the HI energy level for the coordinates 1...n;
4. $\mu_{Hi_{1...n}}$ is the attenuation coefficient at the HI energy level for the coordinates 1...n.

Step 2708 computes the effective atomic number at the coordinates 1...n.

10 This computation also makes use of the attenuation coefficients computed earlier for the HI and Lo energy levels and also uses the X-ray imaging system constants. Specifically, the following algorithm can be used to perform the computation:

$$Z_{eff_{1...n}} = 2.94 \sqrt{\frac{(-b_{Hi} \times \mu_{Lo_{1...n}}) + (b_{Lo} \times \mu_{Hi_{1...n}})}{(a_{Hi} \times \mu_{Lo_{1...n}}) - (a_{Lo} \times \mu_{Hi_{1...n}})}}$$

Where:

1. $Z_{eff_{1...n}}$ is the effective atomic number of the liquid measured at the coordinates 1...n;
2. $a_{Hi}, a_{Lo}, b_{Hi}, b_{Lo}$ are X-ray imaging system constants. These constants are stored in the memory 302 of the processing module 200;
3. $\mu_{Lo_{1...n}}$ is the attenuation coefficient at the HI energy level for the coordinates 1...n;
4. $\mu_{Hi_{1...n}}$ is the attenuation coefficient at the HI energy level for the coordinates 1...n.

Finally, step 2710 outputs the density and the effective atomic number for each or the 1...n coordinates.

Referring back to the flowchart of Figure 7, the computation of the density and the effective atomic number at step 738 leads to step 740 where a determination is made as to whether or not the product that was scanned by the X-ray imaging system is a security threat. This determination will be described in greater detail in connection with the flowchart on Figure 28. The process starts at step 2900. The processing at step 2900 receives the following information:

1. $Z_{eff_{1...n}}$ is the effective atomic number of the liquid measured at the coordinates 1...n, as computed at step 738.
2. $\rho_{1...n}$ is the density of the liquid at the coordinates 1...n, also as computed at step 738.
3. $(\Delta\rho, \Delta Z_{eff})_{sys}$ which is the system error or standard error generated by the system itself.

Step 2902 computes an average density value for the liquid and also the standard deviation. Specifically, the average density is determined by:

$$\rho_{average} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i$$

Where:

1. $\rho_{average}$ is the average density of the liquid.

Step 2902 also computes the standard deviation $\Delta\rho$ of $\rho_{1...n}$ with relation to $\rho_{average}$. The standard deviation is expressed by $\Delta\rho = \sigma(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \dots, \rho_n)$.

Similarly, step 2904 computes the average effective atomic number along with the standard deviation. Specifically, the average effective atomic number is determined by:

$$Z_{eff-average} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_{eff_i}$$

Where:

1. $Z_{eff-average}$ is the average effective atomic number of the liquid.

5

Step also computes the standard deviation ΔZ_{eff} of $Z_{eff_{1..n}}$ with relation to $Z_{eff-average}$. The standard deviation is expressed by $\Delta Z_{eff} = \sigma(Z_{eff_1}, Z_{eff_2}, Z_{eff_3}, \dots, Z_{eff_n})$.

10 Steps 2902 and 2904 output to step 2906, which is the next step in the processing thread, $\rho_{average}$, $\Delta \rho$, $Z_{eff-average}$, ΔZ_{eff} , $\Delta \rho_{sys}$ and $\Delta Z_{eff-sys}$.

Step 2906 generates density and effective atomic number lookup values to query the knowledge bank 400. More specifically, the processing at step 15 2906 computes an effective atomic number lookup window to select potential matching candidates in the knowledge bank 400. This lookup window is mathematically defined as:

$$[Z_{eff-LU}] = Z_{eff-average} \pm \sum (\Delta Z_{eff} + \Delta Z_{eff-sys})$$

20 The lookup window is defined by a low effective atomic number value $Z_{eff-LU-Low}$ and by a high effective atomic number value $Z_{eff-LU-Hi}$.

The density lookup window is mathematically defined as:

$$[\rho_{LU}] = \rho_{average} \pm \sum (\Delta \rho + \Delta \rho_{sys}).$$

25 density value ρ_{LU-low} and by a high effective atomic number value $\rho_{LU-high}$.

The knowledge bank 400 is queried on the basis of the density and effective atomic number lookup windows. The selection process is such that a product in the knowledge bank 400 for which an effective atomic number and a 30 density value fall in the respective lookup windows are retained as potential

candidates. The list of candidates is then processed at step 2910 that determines if the liquid poses a security threat. More specifically, the processing at step 2910 tries to determine to what degree anyone of the candidates matches the characteristics of the product scanned by the X-ray
5 imaging system.

A "candidate" is essentially an entry in the knowledge bank 400. Most of those entries are likely to be associated to commercially available products such as product for human consumption (water, juice, soft drinks, etc.) and personal
10 hygiene product (shampoo, toothpaste, deodorant, skin care cream, washing gel, etc.), among others that passengers are likely to have in hand carried baggage. As discussed earlier, each candidate that is selected at step 2908 is defined by certain characterizing information, such as density, effective atomic number and container characterization among others. This characterizing
15 information is then compared with the product characterization effected as a result of the X-ray scan to determine if a match can be found. If a match exists, this means that in all likelihood the liquid in the container that was scanned by the X-ray imaging system is "genuine" in other words matches the labelling on the container. So, if the product that is being scanned is a liquid filled
20 container, where the container is labelled as a bottle of water, a match would indicate that in all probability the liquid is water and has not been substituted by something else.

The process for determining if the product characterization matches any
25 one of the candidates involves comparing the product characterization with the information that characterizes each candidate. In a specific and non-limiting example of implementation, a first comparison is made between the density (as computed from the X-ray images) of the scanned product and the density information for each one of the candidates. The candidate that matches best
30 the density of the scanned product is retained. Next, the effective atomic number (as computed from the X-ray images) of the product is compared to the effective atomic number of the candidate that was retained. If a match is found then the final step of the assessment includes comparing the container features identified from the X-ray images with the container features stored for that

candidate in the knowledge bank 400. If a match is found then the system concludes that the product that was scanned by the X-ray imaging system is authentic and corresponds to the candidate.

5 The decision as to whether or not the scanned product is a security threat depends on the nature of the candidate. If the candidate is identified in the knowledge bank 400 as being "safe" then scanned product is deemed safe too. On the other hand, if the scanned product matches a candidate that is deemed "illegal" such as for example a flammable liquid or another dangerous chemical,
10 then the scanned product would be deemed "unsafe".

 In instances where no match can be found between the scanned product and a candidate, which occurs when the effective atomic number of the best candidate (the candidate retained subsequent to the density comparison) does
15 not match the effective atomic number of the scanned product, or when the container characterization of the best candidate does not match the container characterization of the scanned product, the system assumes that the scanned product is suspect and triggers an alert. This situation would occur if a passenger would be attempting to pass at the security check point a container
20 labelled as a common "safe" product such as a soft drink bottle, in which the soft drink has been replaced by another liquid, which has a different density and/or effective atomic number than the soft drink.

 There are many other threat assessment strategies that can be used
25 without departing from the spirit of the invention. For instance, the knowledge bank 400 can be augmented to include scattering/diffraction signatures of the various entries stored therein. In this fashion, the system would be provided with an additional parameter that can be used to decide if a match exists between the scanned product and anyone of the knowledge bank 400 entries.

30

 Note that in instances where the container of the scanned product can be alone used to identify a specific entry in the knowledge bank 400, then the threat assessment process at step 2910 would be greatly simplified since a
 since candidate exists to which the scanned product is being compared.

After the threat assessment has been completed, the system issues via the user interface the decision, which in one example could be a simple "pass" indicating that the product is safe or "fail" indicating that no match was found
5 which would prompt a rejection of the product (the passenger would not be allowed to proceed with it) or a manual search/inspection in an attempt to identify with greater precision the nature of the product.

The flow chart in Figure 19a illustrates another example of
10 implementation of the invention where the characterization of the product is made by reference to the Universal Product Code (UPC) bar code that appears on the product. Nearly all the products that are sold today in the market use a bar code system that facilitate checkout procedures and also help tracking inventories. UPC barcodes originate with the Uniform Product Council that
15 manages the allocation of the barcodes to different manufacturers. A typical bar code that is applied to the product package has generally two components; one is the machine readable part and the other the human readable part. The machine readable part appears as a series of bars while the human readable part is a series of digits appearing below the machine readable bars. A typical
20 UPC bar code has a part that identifies the manufacturer and another part that identifies the actual product within that manufacturer's product line. Since UPC barcodes are used primarily for payment and inventory control purposes they are unique for each product. Accordingly, the UPC barcode constitutes a unique identifier for almost every product that is found in market today.

25

The process at the flowchart of Figure 19a starts at step 1900 where the bar code of the product (container + liquid) whose security status is to be established is read. This operation is performed by using a standard bar code reader of a type known in the art. The information obtained as a result of the
30 reading operation is then used to search a knowledge bank 1902 and usually will be sufficient to uniquely identify the product among the plurality of products stored in the knowledge bank 1902.

The structure of the knowledge bank is shown in Figure 19b. The information in the knowledge bank 1908 can be organized as a table. Each entry of the table is associated with a certain liquid product. Typically, the products in the table are those that are most likely to be carried by passengers a security checkpoint. Examples include bottled water, soft drinks, and juice and cosmetic/healthcare products, among others. Each entry of the knowledge bank is identified by the UPC bar code applied on the product by its manufacturer. Since bar codes are unique, that entry conveniently constitutes a key on the basis of which the knowledge bank 1908 can be searched. In the specific example of implementation shown at Figure 19b, the knowledge bank 1908 has seven data fields for each entry. The data fields are as follows:

- 1) The UPC bar code that is expressed in any suitable format.
- 2) The density of the liquid. The density may be the real density (as measured by standard techniques) or the density as assessed as a result of an X-ray scan, or both. In this example, only one density value is shown assuming that the real density and the one obtained as a result of an X-ray scan are the same.
- 3) The effective atomic number of the liquid as measured by X-rays.
- 4) Container features, such as visual characteristics that distinguish the container. Examples include the dimensions of the container (height and transverse dimensions), type of container (screw cap, can or other), general container shape (cylindrical, rectangular cross-section, etc), and unique visual features such as ridges or projections on the walls, among many others. One possibility is to store in this data field a 3d image of the product that would show the product from different sides. With the appropriate image viewer, the operator can, therefore be provided with a complete image of the product that was found to match the barcode search operation. The container features also include information on the wall thickness and the material from which the wall is made such as to allow compensating the X-ray image data for the attenuation by the container walls.
- 5) The diffraction/back scatter signature.
- 6) The product identification. This could be the name/brand of the product, as it appears on the label of the product. The information can be stored as an

image of the label to allow the operator to see on a computer screen how the label looks.

- 7) The threat status. This indicates if the product is safe or not safe. For instance, the first three products in the table are common household items that crate no danger. Accordingly, if the screening operation confirms that products carried by passengers at the security check point correspond to anyone of those entries, then the products are deemed safe. On the other hand if a product is identified as matching the last entry, namely a strong acid, an alarm should be triggered on the basis of the fact that the product is not allowed beyond the checkpoint.

It should be recognized that the structure of the knowledge bank 1908 can include more information about liquid products or less information, without departing from the spirit of the invention.

15

- Referring back to Figure 19a, step 1904 determines the response of the liquid in the container to penetrating radiation, X-rays in particular. This can be done in the same way as described previously under the first example of implementation. In short, step 1904 will derive parameters of the liquid from the X-ray scan, such as density, effective atomic number, and diffraction/back scatter signature, among others. This can be done by referring or using information stored in the knowledge bank 1908, such as for example the thickness of the container wall and the material from which the container wall is made such as to perform X-ray image compensation for the attenuation of the X-rays by the container wall.

25

- Next, the comparison step 1906 determines the threat status of the liquid product. This is done by comparing parameters of the liquid product as extracted from the knowledge bank to those measured by the X-ray scan. Assume for the sake of this example, that at step 1900 the bar code on the container was correctly read and the search step 1902 identified an entry in the knowledge bank on the basis of the bar code. The comparison step 1906 will then read the data associated with this entry, such as the density and effective atomic number of the liquid, the container features, diffraction/back scatter

30

signature, product information and threat status. Next, step 1906 will compare the parameters such as the density, effective atomic number and/or diffraction/backscatter signature to the parameters that were assessed by the X-ray inspection.

5

The results of the comparison are passed to step 1910 that performs the threat assessment. If there is a match between the parameters read from the knowledge bank and those measured by the X-ray inspection machine, then the process assumes that the container that is being inspected contains a liquid that is consistent with the label on the container; in other words the liquid in the original container has not been substituted by something else. Accordingly, if no substitution has been made and the container contains the original product, then the threat assessment step displays or otherwise communicates to the operator the threat status from the matching entry in the knowledge bank. For instance if the matching entry is associated with a product that has "safe" threat status, then the step 1910 will conclude that the product can be carried beyond the check point. Otherwise, when the matching entry is associated with an "unsafe" product the step 1910 will notify the security operator accordingly.

On the other hand, if no match is found between the parameters read from the knowledge bank and those measured by the X-ray inspection machine, the logic concludes that the liquid in the container is different from what the label says. This is a strong indication that the original liquid has been substituted by something else, in which case the product is deemed "unsafe".

25

Figure 20 is a block diagram of the equipment used to implement the method described in Figure 19a. The installation is very similar to the set-up described in connection with Figure 1 and for that reason whenever possible similar reference numbers will be used. The main distinction resides in the addition of a bar code reader 2000 that generates a bar code signal on output 2002 conveying the bar codes scanned by the reader 2000. The output 2002 connects to the processing module 200.

30

In this example of implementation the bar code reader 2000 is separate from the X-ray apparatus 100. Specifically, the bar code reader 2000 may be a hand-held reader of the type commonly used at checkout payment stations, in stores. Alternatively, the bar code reader 2000 may be a stationary device that
5 has a reading window. The container is presented in front of the reading window to allow the bar code to be read.

In the case of a hand held bar code reader 2000, the operator 130 would scan the liquid product whose threat level is to be assessed such as to read the
10 bar code. Once the bar code is acquired, the knowledge bank 1908 is searched by the processing module 200 to locate the entry associated with that code. If the entry in the knowledge bank 1908 is identified, information about the entry can be displayed on the operator console 300. For instance one or more container features can be visually shown on the console 300, such as a
15 three-dimensional image of the container, allowing the operator to visually confirm that the entry in the knowledge bank 1908 indeed matches the container that was scanned.

Next, the operator 130 processes the container as discussed earlier. In
20 particular, the liquid product is placed in the tray and the tray put on the conveyor belt of the X-ray apparatus 100. The X-ray scan is performed and the results are passed to the processing module 200. The processing module will process the X-ray image data to extract the response of the liquid in the container to the X-rays. The response is compared to the parameters stored in
25 the previously identified knowledge bank 1908 entry.

The results of the threat assessment performed by the processing module 200 can then be displayed on the operator console 300.

30 In the instance where the bar code reader is a fixed device, it can be integrated in the X-ray apparatus such that the bar code on each container is read as the liquid product is put on the conveyor belt. This may require positioning the containers in the tray in such a way as to leave the bar codes exposed.

The reader will appreciate that many options exist to position the bar code reader in a way to suit a wide variety of possible applications.

5 In a possible variant, the bar code reader can be replaced with a Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) tags reader, suitable for liquid products that use such RFID tags for identification purposes. More specifically, RFID tags have an antennae and a small electronic circuit holding the information to supply when the RFID tag is interrogated. RFID tags can be read over relatively short
10 distances (10 feet or less) and the reading does not have to be in the line of sight of the reader. In this type of application the liquid product to be scanned may be passed close to an RFID tag reader that will gather the identifying information. For instance, the RFID tag reader may be integrated to the X-ray apparatus 100 adjacent the conveyor of the X-ray apparatus. As the liquid
15 product is put in the tray on the conveyor the liquid product will pass close enough the RFID tag reader for the reading operation to take place.

It is desirable to provide a knowledge bank 1908 that is as extensive as possible. In this fashion, most of the liquid products that a passenger is likely to
20 carry through the security checkpoint can be referenced to an entry in the knowledge bank, allowing to precisely determine if the liquid product is a threat or not. Building the knowledge bank 1908 would involve gathering the necessary information for a wide variety of liquid products and then entering that information in the database that would constitute the knowledge bank 1908.

25

Gathering the initial information may be done by purchasing the liquid products that should be referenced in the knowledge bank 1908 and performing an analysis to obtain the necessary data. For instance, for each product the bar code on the container is read with a bar code reader and the information stored.
30 Next the container is analysed to generate the various features of interest that are to be stored in the knowledge bank 1908, such as its visual features, container wall thickness and material from which the container wall is made. Finally, the response of the liquid product to X-rays is determined and the

resulting parameters such as density, effective atomic number and/or diffraction/scattering signature obtained.

One simplified way of obtaining the response of the liquid to X-rays is to process the liquid product in the X-ray apparatus 100 as per the process described in the flowchart of Figure 7. Once the container walls thickness and container wall material is known, the computation of the liquid density, effective atomic number and/or diffraction scattering signature can be made on the basis of the information contained in the X-ray image.

10

The information generated as a result of this initial data gathering is loaded in the knowledge bank 1908, which as discussed previously, is in the form of a database. The database can be structured in any suitable fashion, on any suitable computer readable medium, without departing from the spirit of the invention.

15

In use, the system shown in Figure 1a or 20 would be operated at security check points such as at airports. The entity that operates the units would normally be a government agency or a private contractor mandated by the government to enforce security at the checkpoints. In order to perform adequately, the system should be updated regularly to keep knowledge bank 1908 current. Specifically, the knowledge bank 1908 should be updated periodically to reference new liquid products that are being released on the market and that are susceptible to be carried by passengers through the security checkpoint.

25

The knowledge bank updating information is illustrated by the flowchart on Figure 21. Initially, a list is obtained on the new products that have been recently commercialized and that should be loaded in the knowledge bank 1908. This can be done in various ways. For instance, manufacturers of products that are most likely to be carried through the check point may be queried to determine what are the new products that have been released in the market since the last knowledge bank 1908 update cycle. Once the list of those products is set, then samples are obtained. At step 2100 the samples are

30

processed as discussed previously to extract the relevant data. The relevant data is then loaded in the knowledge bank 1908, at step 2102

The knowledge bank 1908, either in its entirety or only the updated part is
5 transmitted to the various locations that use it to perform security screening. The transmission can be done electronically, such as over the Internet or manually by recording the update on a portable machine readable medium, which is then loaded in a reader on the computer that manages the knowledge bank 1908. This operation is shown at step 2104. The number of locations that
10 need to be updated will depend upon the manner in which the individual security checkpoints work. If each security checkpoint is a stand alone unit and has its own knowledge bank 1908, then each security checkpoint has to be updated individually. On the other hand, if the security checkpoints are networked, a more automated updating procedure is possible. For instance, if the network is
15 such that a common knowledge bank 1908 is provided which services a plurality of security checkpoints, then a single update is sufficient. On the other hand, if the networked arrangement uses a plurality of knowledge banks local to the respective security checkpoints, then the data to perform the update can be electronically sent in the field to the various security checkpoints to make local
20 updates.

The knowledge bank update would normally be in the form of a subscription or available on demand. In this fashion the entity that performs the knowledge bank 1908 update will charge the end user (government entity or private
25 contractor) for the updates. The financial arrangements can vary and many may be in the form of a fixed fee arrangement valid for a predetermined time period, say one year. During the subscription period the end user receives automatically updates, as soon as they become available. When the update is done on demand, then an update is sent only when requested and a payment is
30 made by the end user after reception of the service.

Although various embodiments have been illustrated, this was for the purpose of describing, but not limiting, the invention. Various modifications will

become apparent to those skilled in the art and are within the scope of this invention, which is defined more particularly by the attached claims.

CLAIMS:

- 1) Method to perform security screening at a security checkpoint on hand-carried baggage, comprising:
 - 5 a) requesting passengers with hand carried baggage to remove from the baggage a container that holds a liquid;
 - b) performing an X-ray inspection on the hand carried baggage and on the container while the container remains outside the baggage;
 - c) using the results of the X-ray inspection to determine if the baggage
10 contains illegal objects;
 - d) using the results of the X-ray inspection to determine if the liquid is a security threat.
- 2) A method as defined in claim 1, wherein the X-ray inspection is performed
15 on the hand-carried baggage and on the container with the same X-ray imaging system.
- 3) A method as defined in claim 2, wherein the X-ray imaging system includes a conveyor belt on which objects to be inspected are placed and which
20 transports the objects through the X-ray imaging system, said method including placing the hand carried baggage and the container on the conveyor belt such that they can be carried through the X-ray imaging system while the container remains outside the hand carried baggage.
- 25 4) A method as defined in claim 3, including placing the container on the conveyor belt in a tray.
- 5) A method as defined in claim 4, wherein the X-ray imaging system produces X-ray image data, the method including performing an analysis of the X-ray
30 image data with a computer in determining if the liquid is a security threat.
- 6) A method as defined in claim 5, wherein the X-ray image data represents a two-dimensional image.

- 7) A method as defined in claim 6, wherein the analysis of the X-ray image data includes determining a density of the liquid in the container on the basis of information conveyed by the image data.
- 5 8) A method as defined in claim 7, wherein the analysis of the X-ray image data with a computer includes determining an effective atomic number of the liquid in the container on the basis of information conveyed by the image data.
- 10 9) A method as defined in claim 6, wherein the analysis of the X-ray image data includes compensating the image data for the attenuation of the X-rays produced by the conveyor belt.
- 15 10) A method as defined in claim 6, wherein the analysis of the X-ray image data includes compensating the image data for the attenuation of the X-rays produced by the conveyor belt.
- 20 11) A method as defined in claim 6, wherein the analysis of the X-ray image data includes compensating the image data for the attenuation of the X-rays produced by the container walls.
- 25 12) A method as defined in claim 7, wherein the analysis of the X-ray image data includes deriving from information conveyed by the X-ray image data a height of the body of liquid in the container.
- 30 13) A method as defined in claim 12, wherein the analysis of the X-ray image data includes identifying a location of the container in the two-dimensional image.
- 14) A method as defined in claim 13, wherein the analysis of the X-ray image data includes deriving data from the image data that characterizes the container.

15) A method as defined in claim 5, wherein the X-ray image data conveys an X-ray diffraction/scattering signature of the liquid in the container.

16) A security screening system to determine if a liquid product comprised of a container holding a liquid presents a security threat, said screening system comprising:

- a) an input for receiving image data conveying an image of the liquid product produced when the liquid product is subjected to penetrating radiation;
- 10 b) a knowledge bank storing a plurality of entries, each entry containing information about one or more liquid characteristics;
- c) a logic module for:
 - i) using the image data to determine if the liquid in the container can be matched to anyone of the entries;
 - 15 ii) using the results of the operation at step i) in assessing if the liquid in the container poses a security threat.

17) A security screening system as defined in claim 16, wherein the plurality of entries have known security threat statuses, wherein the logic module
20 assesses the security threat status of the liquid in the container on the basis of the security threat status of one of the entries that is found to match the liquid in the container.

18) A security screening system as defined in claim 16, wherein the penetrating
25 radiation includes X-rays wherein the image of the liquid product is an X-ray image.

19) A security screening system as defined in claim 18, wherein the X-ray image is a two-dimensional image.

30

20) A security screening system as defined in claim 19, wherein the logic module determines a density of the liquid in the container on the basis of information conveyed by the X-ray image.

21)A security screening system as defined in claim 20, wherein the logic module uses the density of the liquid in the container in determining if the liquid in the container can be matched to anyone of the entries.

5 22)A security screening system as defined in claim 19, wherein the logic module determines an effective atomic number of the liquid in the container on the basis of information conveyed by the X-ray image.

10 23)A security screening system as defined in claim 22, wherein the logic module uses the effective atomic number of the liquid in the container in determining if the liquid in the container can be matched to anyone of the entries.

15 24)A security system as defined in claim 19, wherein the X-ray image data conveys an X-ray diffraction/scattering signature of the liquid in the container.

20 25)A security screening system as defined in claim 24, wherein the logic module uses X-ray diffraction/scattering signature of the liquid in the container in determining if the liquid in the container can be matched to anyone of the entries.

26)A security screening system as defined in claim 18, wherein:

- 25 a) data entered at said input conveys a characteristic of the liquid product;
b) the logic module using the characteristic to search the knowledge bank to identify one or more entries as potential candidates that can match the liquid in the container;
c) the logic module using the image data to determine if the liquid can be matched to anyone of the potential candidates.

30

27)A security screening apparatus as defined in claim 26, wherein the container includes a component selected from the group consisting of bar code and RFID tag.

28)A security screening apparatus as defined in claim 27, wherein the data entered at said input conveys the bar code and the characteristic of the liquid product includes the bar code.

5 29)A security screening apparatus as defined in claim 28, wherein the bar code is a UPC bar code.

30)A security screening apparatus as defined in claim 29, wherein the knowledge bank stores a plurality of UPC codes associated with respective entries in the knowledge bank.

31)A security screening apparatus as defined in claim 26, wherein the characteristic of the liquid product includes information about at least one feature of the shape of the container.

15

32)A security screening apparatus as defined in claim 31, wherein the knowledge bank stores container shape features associated with respective entries in the knowledge bank.

20 33)A method for determining if a liquid product comprised of a container holding a liquid presents a security threat, said method comprising:

- a) receiving image data conveying an image of the liquid product produced when the liquid product is subjected to penetrating radiation;
- b) providing a knowledge bank storing a plurality of entries, each entry containing information about one or more liquid characteristics;
- c) using the image data to determine if the liquid in the container can be matched to anyone of the entries;
- d) using the results of the operation at step c) in assessing if the liquid in the container poses a security threat.

30

34)An system for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number, said apparatus comprising:

- a) an input for receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional X-ray image of the container holding the liquid;
- b) a computer based logic module for:
 - i) processing the X-ray image data to derive path length information,
5 the path length information being indicative of a length of a path followed by X-rays through the liquid;
 - ii) using the path length information to determine the parameter.

35) A system as defined in claim 34, wherein the X-ray image data is generated
10 by an X-ray imaging apparatus that includes a conveyor belt on which objects to be scanned are placed and which transports the objects through the X-ray imaging apparatus.

36) A system as defined in claim 35, wherein the computer based logic module
15 processes the X-ray image data to compensate the X-ray image data for the attenuation of the X-rays produced by the conveyor belt, in deriving the parameter.

37) A system as defined in claim 34, wherein the computer based logic module
20 processes the X-ray image data to compensate the X-ray image data for the attenuation of the X-rays produced by at least one container wall in deriving the parameter.

38) A method for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the
25 parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number, said method comprising:

- a) receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional X-ray image of the container holding the liquid;
- b) processing the X-ray image data with a computer to:
 - 30 i) derive path length information, the path length information being indicative of a length of a path followed by X-rays through the liquid;
 - ii) determine the parameter by using the path length information.

39)An system for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number, said apparatus comprising:

- a) an input for receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional
5 X-ray image of the container holding the liquid;
- b) a computer based logic module for:
 - i) processing the X-ray image data to derive container height information, the container height information being indicative of a length of a path followed by X-rays through the liquid;
 - 10 ii) using the container height information to determine the parameter.

40)A system as defined in claim 39, wherein the X-ray image data is generated by an X-ray imaging apparatus that includes a conveyor belt on which objects to be scanned are placed and which transports the objects through
15 the X-ray imaging apparatus.

41)A system as defined in claim 40, wherein the computer based logic module processes the X-ray image data to compensate the X-ray image data for the attenuation of the X-rays produced by the conveyor belt, in deriving the
20 parameter.

42)A system as defined in claim 39, wherein the computer based logic module processes the X-ray image data to compensate the X-ray image data for the attenuation of the X-rays produced by at least one container wall, in deriving
25 the parameter.

43)A system as defined in claim 40, wherein the container is scanned in a tray that is carried on the conveyor belt, wherein the computer based logic module processes the X-ray image data to compensate the X-ray image data for the attenuation of the X-rays produced by the tray, in deriving the
30 parameter.

44)A method for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number, said method comprising:

- 5 a) receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional X-ray image of the container holding the liquid;
- b) processing the X-ray image data with a computer to:
 - i) derive container height information, the container height information being indicative of a length of a path followed by X-rays through the liquid;
 - 10 ii) determining the parameter by using the container height information.

45)An system for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number, said apparatus comprising:

- 15 a) an input for receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional X-ray image of the container holding the liquid;
- b) a computer based logic module for:
 - i) processing the X-ray image data to derive liquid height information indicative of a height of the body of liquid held by the container;
 - 20 ii) using the liquid height information to determine the parameter.

46)A system as defined in claim 45, wherein the X-ray image data is generated by an X-ray imaging apparatus that includes a conveyor belt on which objects to be scanned are placed and which transports the objects through the X-ray imaging apparatus.

47)A system as defined in claim 46, wherein the computer based logic module processes the X-ray image data to compensate the X-ray image data for the attenuation of the X-rays produced by the conveyor belt in deriving the parameter.

48)A system as defined in claim 45, wherein the computer based logic module processes the X-ray image data to compensate the X-ray image data for the

attenuation of the X-rays produced by at least one container wall in deriving the parameter.

- 49) A system as defined in claim 46, wherein the container is scanned in a tray
5 that is carried on the conveyor belt, wherein the computer based logic module processes the X-ray image data to compensate the X-ray image data for the attenuation of the X-rays produced by the tray in deriving the parameter.
- 10 50) A method for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number, said method comprising:
- a) receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional X-ray image of the container holding the liquid;
 - 15 b) processing the X-ray image data with a computer to:
 - i) derive liquid height information indicative of a height of the body of liquid held by the container;
 - ii) determine the parameter by using the liquid height information.
- 20
- 51) An system for determining a parameter of a liquid in a container, the parameter being selected in the group consisting of density and effective atomic number, said apparatus comprising:
- a) an input for receiving X-ray image data representing a two-dimensional
25 X-ray image of the container holding the liquid, the X-ray image data conveying compound attenuation information indicating a degree with which X-rays are attenuated by the liquid and by the container walls;
 - b) a computer based logic module for:
 - i) processing the X-ray image data to compensate the compound
30 attenuation information for the attenuation due to the container walls and derive attenuation information due to the liquid;
 - ii) using the attenuation information due to the liquid to derive the parameter.

52)A system as defined in claim 51, including a database for storing container wall parameters, said computer based logic module querying the database to extract one or more container wall parameters and using the one or more container wall parameters to compensate the compound attenuation information for the attenuation due to the container walls.

53)A system as defined in claim 51, wherein one of said container wall parameters is a material from which the container walls are made.

54)A system as defined in claim 52, wherein one of said container wall parameters is a thickness of the container walls.

55)A security screening system to determine if a container bearing a liquid product identification holds a liquid matching that product identification, said screening system comprising:

a) an inspection device for:

- i) deriving a response of the liquid to the penetrating radiation;
- ii) acquiring one or more identification features of the container;

b) a knowledge bank containing responses of different commercially available liquids to penetrating radiation, each response mapped to one or more identification features of the container in which the liquid is packaged and sold in the market;

c) a logic module for searching the knowledge bank to identify one or more entries matching the one or more identification features acquired by the inspection device and for comparing the responses of the identified entries to the response of the liquid, said logic module using the results of said comparing to determine if the liquid in the container matches the product identification on the container.

56)A security screening system to determine if a container bearing a liquid product identification holds a liquid that poses a security threat, said screening system comprising:

a) a characterization module to acquire one or more characteristics of the container;

- b) an inspection device for subjecting the container to penetrating radiation and for deriving a response of the liquid to the penetrating radiation;
- c) a knowledge bank containing responses of different commercially available liquids to penetrating radiation, each response mapped to one or more characteristics of a container in which the liquid is packaged and sold in the market;
- d) a logic module for searching the knowledge bank to identify one or more entries matching the one or more characteristics acquired by the characterization module and for comparing the responses of the identified entries to the response of the liquid, said logic module using the results of said comparing to determine if the liquid in the container poses a security threat.

57) A computer readable medium containing a knowledge bank, said knowledge bank having a plurality of entries, each entry comprising:

- a) one or more characteristics of a container in which a liquid is packaged and sold in the market;
- b) a response of the liquid observed when the liquid is subjected to penetrating radiation.

20

58) A computer readable storage medium as defined in claim 57, wherein said response conveys a density of the liquid.

59) A computer readable storage medium as defined in claim 57, wherein said response conveys an effective atomic number of the liquid.

25

60) A security screening system to determine if a liquid product comprised of a container holding a liquid presents a security threat, said screening system comprising:

- a) an input for receiving image data conveying an image of the liquid product produced when the liquid product is subjected to penetrating radiation;
- b) a display for displaying an image of the liquid product generated on the basis of the image data;

30


- c) a user interface including at least one user interface tool allowing an operator to perform a designation on the display of the container, the designation generating location data identifying an area of the image where the container resides;
- 5 d) a logic module to select a portion of the image data on the basis of the location data and to process the selected image data portion to determine if the liquid in the container poses a security threat.

61) A security screening system as defined in claim 60, wherein the processing
10 performed by said logic module includes identifying edges of the container.

62) A security screening system to determine if a liquid product comprised of a container holding a liquid presents a security threat, said screening system comprising:

- 15 a) an input for receiving image data conveying an image of the liquid product produced when the liquid product is subjected to penetrating radiation;
- b) a display for displaying an image of the liquid product generated on the basis of the image data;
- 20 c) a logic module to process the image data to determine if the liquid in the container poses a security threat;
- d) said logic module issuing commands to the display to cause the display to visually enhance a portion of the image where the container resides to make the container visually more distinguishable from other objects
25 appearing in the image.

1/28

**FIG. 1a**

2/28






FIG. 1b


3/28

**FIG. 2a**


4/28

**FIG. 2b**



5/28

**FIG. 3**

6/28

**FIG. 4**

7/28

FIG. 5**FIG. 6**










FIG. 7

9/28




**FIG. 8****FIG. 9**

10/28**FIG. 10****FIG. 11**



11/28

**FIG. 12****FIG. 13**


12/28

**FIG. 14****FIG. 15****FIG. 16**

13/28

**FIG. 17****FIG. 18**

14/28

**FIG. 19a**

1908

UPC bar code	Density	Effective atomic number	Container features	Diffraction/backscatter signature	Product identification	Threat status
1001...001					Toothpaste brand Z	Safe
0011...110					Orange juice brand Y	Safe
1100...111					Shampoo brand X	Safe
1100...000					Acid	Unsafe

FIG. 19b

16/28





FIG. 20

17/28

**FIG. 21**

18/28




FIG. 22




FIG. 23

20/28




FIG. 24





FIG. 25

22/28



23/28




FIG. 27

24/28




FIG. 28

25/28






FIG. 29

26/28

**FIG. 30**

27/28

**FIG. 31**

28/28




FIG. 32

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY
PCT
INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 89019-35	FOR FURTHER ACTION see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below	
International application No. PCT/CA2007/001658	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 17 September 2007 (17-09-2007)	(Earliest)Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 18 September 2006 (18-09-2006)
Applicant OPTOSECURITY INC. ET AL		

This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This international search report consists of a total of 6 sheets.

☒ It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. Basis of the report

a. With regard to the **language**, the international search was carried out on the basis of:

☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed

☐ a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))

b. ☐ This international search report has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43.6bis(a)).

c. ☐ With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I

2. ☐ **Certain claims were found unsearchable** (see Box No. II)

3. ☒ **Unity of invention is lacking** (see Box No. III)

4. With regard to the **title**,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant

☐ the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows :

5. With regard to the **abstract**,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant

☐ the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2, by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority

6. With regard to the **drawings**,

a. the figure of the **drawings** to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1a

☒ as suggested by the applicant

☐ as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure

☐ as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention

b. ☐ none of the figures is to be published with the abstract

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of the first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons :

1. ☐ Claim Nos. :
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely :

2. ☐ Claim Nos. :
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically :

3. ☐ Claim Nos. :
because they are dependant claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows :

Group A - Claims 1-15 are directed to a method to perform security screening at a security checkpoint on hand-carried baggage that involves X-ray inspection of containers holding liquids separately from the X-ray inspection of the baggage.

Group B - Claims 16-33, 55-59 are directed to a system and method of screening liquids that uses a knowledge bank that includes information on liquid characteristics.

Group C - Claims 34-54 are directed to a system and method of determining a parameter of a liquid.

Group D - Claims 60-62 are directed to a security system that includes a display for displaying images of the liquid and the ability to select a portion of the image data.

1. ☒ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claim Nos. :
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claim Nos. :

Remark on Protest ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.

☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.

☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/CA2007/001658

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC: **G01N 23/04** (2006.01) , **G01N 23/10** (2006.01)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 8: G01N 23/*; G08B 21/*; G08B 13/*; B65D 55/*; B65D 85/*

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic database(s) consulted during the international search (name of database(s) and, where practicable, search terms used)

Delphion database; Derwent database; WEST database; IEEE database; Google Patent database; Canadian Patent Database; Keywords: security/airport security/threat status/security threat/screening; X-ray/radiation/liquid; baggage/container/image/database/path length/density

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2004/0252024 A1 (Huey et al.) 16 December 2004 (16-12-2004) *Fig. 1; Fig. 9 *page 1, paragraph [0002] to page 3, paragraph [0043]* *page 4, paragraph [0053] to page 5, paragraph [0069]* *page 6, paragraph [0082] to page 8, paragraph [0101]* *claim 1; claim 8; claim 9; claim 18; claim 25; claim 34* *claim 39; claim 41* *abstract*	1-8, 12, 15
----- Y		9-11, 13, 14, 20-25, 55, 56
Y	US 6,018,562 (Willson) 25 January 2000 (25-01-2000) *column 1, line 25 to column 4, line 50* *column 6, line 57 to column 8, line 13* *column 12, lines 2-4* *column 13, line 40 to column 15, line 63* *column 17, line 34 to column 18, line 8* *Fig. 2; Fig. 2A; Fig. 6*	9-11, 13, 14

[X] Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

[X] See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

12 December 2007 (12-12-2007)

Date of mailing of the international search report

10 January 2008 (10-01-2008)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA
Canadian Intellectual Property Office
Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT
50 Victoria Street
Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9
Facsimile No.: 001-819-953-2476

Authorized officer

C. Fletcher (819- 934-7564)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/CA2007/001658

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US H2110 H (Newman) 5 October 2004 (05-10-2004) *column 1, line 16 to column 4, line 23* *Fig. 1* *claim 1* *abstract*	16-19, 26, 33, 57, 58

Y		20-25, 27-32, 55, 56, 59
Y	US 2006/0086794 A1 (Knowles et al.) 27 April 2006 (27-04-2006) *claims 614-622; claim 649; claim 663*	27-32
X	US 2001/0033636 A1 (Hartick et al.) 25 October 2001 (25-10-2001) *page 1, paragraphs [0003]-[0011]* *page 2, paragraph [0023]* *claim 1* *abstract*	34, 38,

Y		35-37, 39-54, 59
Y	US 6,721,387 B1 (Naidu et al.) 13 April 2004 (13-04-2004) *column 1, line 15 to column 4, line 49* *column 6, lines 26-39* *column 9, lines 48-50* *claim 1; claim 15*	35-37, 39-54
Y	US 2006/0203960 A1 (Schlomka et al.) 14 September 2006 (14-09-2006) *page 1, paragraph [0001] to page 4, paragraph [0056]* *claim 1; claim 4; claim 9* *abstract*	60-62
Y	US 5,692,029 (Husseiny et al.) 25 November 1997 (25-11-1997) *column 1, lines 10-50* *column 6, lines 25-45* *column 8, line 66 to column 14, line 16* *column 17, lines 45-63* *column 18, line 67 to column 25, line 8* *column 31, line 8 to column 32, line 8* *column 33, line 4 to column 34, line 47* *column 39, lines 3-62* *column 42, lines 11-15* *abstract*	60-62
A	US 7,065,175 B2 (Green) 20 June 2006 (20-06-2006) *whole document*	1-62
A	US 2004/0016271 A1 (Shah et al.) 29 January 2004 (29-01-2004) *whole document*	1-62
A	US 6,707,879 B2 (McClelland et al.) 16 March 2004 (16-03-2004) *whole document*	1-62
A	US 2005/0173284 A1 (Ambrefe) 11 August 2005 (11-08-2005) *whole document*	1-62

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.
PCT/CA2007/001658

Patent Document Cited in Search Report	Publication Date	Patent Family Member(s)	Publication Date
US2004252024	16-12-2004	CA2528028 A1 CN1802676 A EP1636772 A2 WO2004111777 A2	23-12-2004 12-07-2006 22-03-2006 23-12-2004
US6018562	25-01-2000	AU7730696 A EP0873511 A1 JP3080994 B2 JP11500229 T NO975083 A WO9718462 A1	05-06-1997 28-10-1998 28-08-2000 06-01-1999 04-11-1997 22-05-1997
USH2110H	05-10-2004	NONE	
US2006086794A1	27-04-2006	CA 2096427C CA 2128217A1 CA 2132899A1 CA 2240377A1 EP 0954826A1 EP 0954826A4 EP 0954826B1 EP0958546A1 US7299986B2 US7303132B2 US2001000615A1 US2001000907A1 US2001007334A1 US2001015380A1 US2001017320A1 US2001017321A1	05-11-1996 22-07-1993 18-02-1996 26-06-1997 10-11-1999 24-10-2001 23-06-2004 24-11-1999 27-11-2007 04-12-2007 03-05-2001 10-05-2001 12-07-2001 23-08-2001 30-08-2001 30-08-2001
US2001033636A1	25-10-2001	GB0027194D0 GB0027195D0 GB0027197D0 GB2359718A GB2359718B GB2359719A GB2359719B GB2359720A GB2359720B US6483894B2 US6532276B1 US6542578B2 US6839406B2 US2001036250A1 US2002181656A1 US2003169843A1	27-12-2000 27-12-2000 27-12-2000 29-08-2001 31-12-2003 29-08-2001 31-12-2003 29-08-2001 26-05-2004 19-11-2002 11-03-2003 01-04-2003 04-01-2005 01-11-2001 05-12-2002 11-09-2003
US6721387B1	13-04-2004	NONE	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/CA2007/001658

Patent Document Cited in Search Report	Publication Date	Patent Family Member(s)	Publication Date
US2006203960A1	14-09-2006	CN1327249C	18-07-2007
		CN1751250A	22-03-2006
		EP1597611A1	23-11-2005
		JP2006518039T	03-08-2006
		US7263160B2	28-08-2007
		WO2004072685A1	26-08-2004
US5692029A	25-11-1997	US 5600303A	04-02-1997
US7065175B2	20-06-2006	EP1603460A2	14-12-2005
		JP2006519394T	24-08-2006
		US7274768B2	25-09-2007
		US2004174959A1	09-09-2004
		US2006193434A1	31-08-2006
		WO2005018437A2	03-03-2005
		WO2005018437A3	20-10-2005
US2004016271	29-01-2004	NONE	
US6707879B2	16-03-2004	CA2443469 A1	17-10-2002
		CA2462523 A1	10-04-2003
		EP1388124 A1	11-02-2004
		EP1440333 A2	28-07-2004
		IL158189 D0	28-03-2004
		IL161205 D0	31-08-2004
		US6721391 B2	13-04-2004
		US7139406 B2	21-11-2006
		US2003085163 A1	08-05-2003
		US2005008119 A1	13-01-2005
		US2005031076 A1	10-02-2005
		US2006115109 A1	01-06-2006
		US2006274916 A1	07-12-2006
		US2007195994 A1	23-08-2007
US2005173284	11-08-2005	AU2003281427 A1	23-01-2004
		CA2491151 A1	15-01-2004
		EP1552485 A2	13-07-2005
		US6888460 B2	03-05-2005
		US2007126575 A1	07-06-2007
		US2007132580 A1	14-06-2007
		WO2004006202 A2	15-01-2004