



US00RE48509E

(19) **United States**
(12) **Reissued Patent**
McClure et al.

(10) **Patent Number:** **US RE48,509 E**
(45) **Date of Reissued Patent:** ***Apr. 13, 2021**

(54) **RASTER-BASED CONTOUR SWATHING FOR GUIDANCE AND VARIABLE-RATE CHEMICAL APPLICATION**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC A01B 60/00-008; A01B 69/00-008
See application file for complete search history.

(71) Applicant: **AgJunction LLC**, Hiawatha, KS (US)

(56) **References Cited**

(72) Inventors: **John A. McClure**, Scottsdale, AZ (US);
Dennis M. Collins, Overgaard, AZ (US)

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,586,537 A 6/1971 Rennick
3,596,228 A 7/1971 Reed, Jr.
(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **AGJUNCTION LLC**, Scottsdale, AZ (US)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(*) Notice: This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

AU 2002244539 10/2002
AU 2002244539 B2 10/2002
(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **16/053,650**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(22) Filed: **Aug. 2, 2018**

Noh, Kwang-Mo, Self-tuning controller for farm tractor guidance, Iowa State University Retrospective Theses and Dissertations, Paper 9874, (1990).

Related U.S. Patent Documents

(Continued)

Reissue of:

(64) Patent No.: **8,718,874**
Issued: **May 6, 2014**
Appl. No.: **13/776,512**
Filed: **Feb. 25, 2013**

Primary Examiner — Robert L Nasser
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Schwabe Williamson & Wyatt

U.S. Applications:

(60) Division of application No. 15/147,689, filed on May 5, 2016, now Pat. No. Re. 47,055, which is an (Continued)


(57) **ABSTRACT**

A raster-based system for global navigation satellite system (GNSS) guidance includes a vehicle-mounted GNSS antenna and receiver. A processor provides guidance and/or autosteering commands based on GNSS-defined pixels forming a grid representing an area to be treated, such as a field. Specific guidance and chemical application methods are provided based on the pixel-defined treatment areas and preprogrammed chemical application prescription maps, which can include variable chemical application rates and dynamic control of the individual nozzles of a sprayer.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A01B 69/00 (2006.01)
B62D 6/00 (2006.01)
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **A01B 69/008** (2013.01); **A01B 79/005** (2013.01); **G05D 1/027** (2013.01);
(Continued)

14 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data

application for the reissue of Pat. No. 8,718,874, which is a continuation of application No. 12/689,184, filed on Jan. 18, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,386,129.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/145,542, filed on Jan. 17, 2009.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

A01B 69/04 (2006.01)

A01B 79/00 (2006.01)

G05D 1/02 (2020.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC *G05D 1/0274* (2013.01); *G05D 1/0278* (2013.01); *G05D 2201/0201* (2013.01)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,727,710 A 4/1973 Sanders
 3,815,272 A 6/1974 Marleau
 3,899,028 A 8/1975 Morris
 3,987,456 A 10/1976 Gelin
 4,132,272 A 1/1979 Holloway
 4,170,776 A 10/1979 MacDoran
 4,180,133 A 12/1979 Collogan
 4,398,162 A 8/1983 Nagai
 4,453,614 A 6/1984 Allen
 4,529,990 A 7/1985 Brunner
 4,637,474 A 1/1987 Leonard
 4,667,203 A 5/1987 Counselman, III
 4,689,556 A 8/1987 Cedrone
 4,694,264 A 9/1987 Owens
 4,710,775 A 12/1987 Coe
 4,714,435 A 12/1987 Stipanuk
 4,739,448 A 4/1988 Rowe
 4,751,512 A 6/1988 Longaker
 4,769,700 A 9/1988 Pryor
 4,785,463 A 11/1988 Janc
 4,802,545 A 2/1989 Nystuen
 4,812,991 A 3/1989 Hatch
 4,813,991 A 3/1989 Hale
 4,858,132 A 8/1989 Holmquist
 4,864,320 A 9/1989 Munson
 4,894,662 A 1/1990 Counselman
 4,916,577 A 4/1990 Dawkins
 4,918,607 A 4/1990 Wible
 4,963,889 A 10/1990 Hatch
 5,031,704 A 7/1991 Fleischer
 5,100,229 A 3/1992 Lundberg
 5,134,407 A 7/1992 Lorenz
 5,148,179 A 9/1992 Allison
 5,152,347 A 10/1992 Miller
 5,155,490 A 10/1992 Spradley
 5,155,493 A 10/1992 Thursby
 5,156,219 A 10/1992 Schmidt
 5,165,109 A 11/1992 Han
 5,173,715 A 12/1992 Rodal
 5,177,489 A 1/1993 Hatch
 5,185,610 A 2/1993 Ward
 5,191,351 A 3/1993 Hofer
 5,194,851 A 3/1993 Kraning et al.
 5,202,829 A 4/1993 Geier
 5,207,239 A 5/1993 Schwitalia
 5,239,669 A 8/1993 Mason
 5,255,756 A 10/1993 Follmer
 5,268,695 A 12/1993 Dentinger
 5,293,170 A 3/1994 Lorenz
 5,294,970 A 3/1994 Dornbusch
 5,296,861 A 3/1994 Knight
 5,311,149 A 5/1994 Wagner
 5,323,322 A 6/1994 Mueller
 5,334,987 A 8/1994 Teach
 5,343,209 A 8/1994 Sennott
 5,345,245 A 9/1994 Ishikawa

5,359,332 A 10/1994 Allison
 5,361,212 A 11/1994 Class
 5,365,447 A 11/1994 Dennis
 5,369,589 A 11/1994 Steiner
 5,375,059 A 12/1994 Kyrtos
 5,390,124 A 2/1995 Kyrtos
 5,390,125 A 2/1995 Sennott
 5,390,207 A 2/1995 Fenton
 5,416,712 A 5/1995 Geier
 5,430,654 A 7/1995 Kyrtos et al.
 5,442,363 A 8/1995 Remondi
 5,444,453 A 8/1995 Lalezari
 5,451,964 A 9/1995 Babu
 5,467,282 A 11/1995 Dennis
 5,471,217 A 11/1995 Hatch
 5,476,147 A 12/1995 Fixemer
 5,477,228 A 12/1995 Tiwari
 5,477,458 A 12/1995 Loomis
 5,490,073 A 2/1996 Kyrtos
 5,491,636 A 2/1996 Robertson
 5,495,257 A 2/1996 Loomis
 5,504,482 A 4/1996 Schreder
 5,511,623 A 4/1996 Fraiser
 5,519,620 A 5/1996 Talbot
 5,521,610 A 5/1996 Rodal
 5,523,761 A 6/1996 Gildea
 5,534,875 A 7/1996 Diefes
 5,543,804 A 8/1996 Buchler
 5,546,093 A 8/1996 Gudat
 5,548,293 A 8/1996 Cohen
 5,561,432 A 10/1996 Knight
 5,563,786 A 10/1996 Torii
 5,568,152 A 10/1996 Janky
 5,568,162 A 10/1996 Samsel
 5,583,513 A 12/1996 Cohen
 5,589,835 A 12/1996 Gildea
 5,592,382 A 1/1997 Colley
 5,596,328 A 1/1997 Stangeland
 5,600,670 A 2/1997 Turney
 5,604,506 A 2/1997 Rodal
 5,608,393 A 3/1997 Hartman
 5,610,522 A 3/1997 Locatelli
 5,610,616 A 3/1997 Vallot
 5,610,845 A 3/1997 Slabinski
 5,612,883 A 3/1997 Shaffer
 5,615,116 A 3/1997 Gudat
 5,617,100 A 4/1997 Akiyoshi
 5,617,317 A 4/1997 Ignagni
 5,621,646 A 4/1997 Enge
 5,631,658 A 5/1997 Gudat et al.
 5,638,077 A 6/1997 Martin
 5,644,138 A 7/1997 Allen
 5,646,844 A 7/1997 Gudat et al.
 5,663,879 A 9/1997 Trovato et al.
 5,664,632 A 9/1997 Fraiser
 5,673,491 A 10/1997 Brenna
 5,680,140 A 10/1997 Loomis
 5,684,476 A 11/1997 Anderson
 5,684,696 A 11/1997 Rao
 5,706,015 A 1/1998 Chen
 5,717,593 A 2/1998 Gvili
 5,725,230 A 3/1998 Walkup
 5,731,786 A 3/1998 Abraham
 5,739,785 A 4/1998 Allison
 5,757,316 A 5/1998 Buchler
 5,765,123 A 6/1998 Nimura
 5,777,578 A 6/1998 Chang
 5,810,095 A 7/1998 Orbach
 5,828,336 A 10/1998 Yunck
 5,838,562 A 11/1998 Gudat
 5,854,987 A 12/1998 Sekine
 5,862,501 A 1/1999 Talbot
 5,864,315 A 1/1999 Welles
 5,864,318 A 1/1999 Cozenza
 5,875,408 A 2/1999 Bendett
 5,877,725 A 3/1999 Kalafus
 5,890,091 A 3/1999 Talbot
 5,899,957 A 5/1999 Loomis
 5,906,645 A 5/1999 Kagawa

US RE48,509 E

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,912,798	A	6/1999	Chu	6,392,589	B1	5/2002	Rogers
5,914,685	A	6/1999	Kozlov	6,397,147	B1	5/2002	Whitehead
5,917,448	A	6/1999	Mickelson	6,415,229	B1	7/2002	Diekhans
5,918,558	A	7/1999	Susag	6,418,031	B1	7/2002	Archambeault
5,919,242	A	7/1999	Greatline	6,421,003	B1	7/2002	Riley
5,923,270	A	7/1999	Sampo	6,424,915	B1	7/2002	Fukuda
5,926,079	A	7/1999	Heine	6,431,576	B1	8/2002	Viaud
5,927,603	A	7/1999	McNabb	6,434,462	B1	8/2002	Bevly
5,928,309	A *	7/1999	Korver G01C 21/165 342/359	6,445,983	B1	9/2002	Dickson
5,929,721	A	7/1999	Munn	6,445,990	B1	9/2002	Manring
5,933,110	A	8/1999	Tang	6,449,558	B1	9/2002	Small
5,935,183	A	8/1999	Sahm	6,463,091	B1	10/2002	Zhodzichshsky
5,936,573	A	8/1999	Smith	6,463,374	B1	10/2002	Keller
5,940,026	A	8/1999	Popeck	6,466,871	B1	10/2002	Reisman
5,941,317	A	8/1999	Mansur	6,469,663	B1	10/2002	Whitehead
5,943,008	A	8/1999	Van Dusseldorp	6,484,097	B2	11/2002	Fuchs
5,944,770	A	8/1999	Enge	6,501,422	B1 *	12/2002	Nichols G01S 19/14 342/357.36
5,945,917	A	8/1999	Harry	6,515,619	B1	2/2003	McKay, Jr.
5,949,371	A	9/1999	Nichols	6,516,271	B2	2/2003	Upadhyaya
5,955,973	A	9/1999	Anderson	6,539,303	B2	3/2003	McClure
5,956,250	A	9/1999	Gudat	6,542,077	B2	4/2003	Joao
5,969,670	A	10/1999	Kalafus	6,549,835	B2	4/2003	Deguchi
5,987,383	A	11/1999	Keller	6,553,299	B1	4/2003	Keller
6,014,101	A	1/2000	Loomis	6,553,300	B2	4/2003	Ma
6,014,608	A	1/2000	Seo	6,553,311	B2	4/2003	Ahearn
6,018,313	A	1/2000	Engelmayer	6,570,534	B2	5/2003	Cohen
6,023,239	A	2/2000	Kovach	6,577,952	B2	6/2003	Geier
6,052,647	A	4/2000	Parkinson	6,587,761	B2	7/2003	Kumar
6,055,477	A	4/2000	McBurney	6,606,542	B2	8/2003	Hauwiller
6,057,800	A	5/2000	Yang	6,611,228	B2	8/2003	Toda
6,061,390	A	5/2000	Meehan	6,611,754	B2	8/2003	Klein
6,061,632	A	5/2000	Dreier	6,611,755	B1	8/2003	Coffee
6,062,317	A	5/2000	Gharsalli	6,622,091	B2	9/2003	Perlmutter
6,069,583	A	5/2000	Silvestrin	6,631,916	B1	10/2003	Miller
6,070,673	A	6/2000	Wendte	6,643,576	B1	11/2003	O'Connor
6,073,070	A	6/2000	Diekhans	6,646,603	B2	11/2003	Dooley
6,076,612	A	6/2000	Carr	6,657,875	B1	12/2003	Zeng
6,081,171	A	6/2000	Ella	6,671,587	B2	12/2003	Hrovat
6,100,842	A	8/2000	Dreier	6,688,403	B2	2/2004	Bernhardt
6,122,595	A	9/2000	Valery	6,703,973	B1	3/2004	Nichols
6,128,574	A	10/2000	Diekhans	6,711,501	B2	3/2004	McClure
6,144,335	A	11/2000	Rogers	6,721,638	B2	4/2004	Zeitler
6,191,730	B1	2/2001	Nelson, Jr.	6,732,024	B2	5/2004	Rekow
6,191,733	B1	2/2001	Dizchavez	6,744,404	B1	6/2004	Whitehead
6,198,430	B1	3/2001	Hwang	6,754,584	B2	6/2004	Pinto
6,198,992	B1	3/2001	Winslow	6,774,843	B2	8/2004	Takahashi
6,199,000	B1	3/2001	Keller	6,789,014	B1	9/2004	Rekow et al.
6,205,401	B1	3/2001	Pickhard	6,792,380	B2	9/2004	Toda
6,212,453	B1	4/2001	Kawagoe et al.	6,804,587	B1	10/2004	O'Connor et al.
6,215,828	B1	4/2001	Signell	6,819,269	B2	11/2004	Flick
6,229,479	B1	5/2001	Kozlov	6,819,780	B2	11/2004	Benson et al.
6,230,097	B1	5/2001	Dance	6,822,314	B2	11/2004	Beasom
6,233,511	B1	5/2001	Berger	6,865,465	B2	3/2005	McClure
6,236,916	B1	5/2001	Staub	6,865,484	B2	3/2005	Miyasaka
6,236,924	B1	5/2001	Motz	6,900,992	B2	3/2005	Kelly
6,253,160	B1	6/2001	Hanseder	6,876,920	B1	4/2005	Mailer
6,256,583	B1	7/2001	Sutton	6,922,635	B2	7/2005	Rorabaugh
6,259,398	B1	7/2001	Riley	6,931,233	B1	8/2005	Tso
6,266,595	B1 *	7/2001	Greatline A01B 79/005 340/990	6,967,538	B2	11/2005	Woo
6,285,320	B1	9/2001	Olster	6,990,399	B2	1/2006	Hrazdera
6,292,132	B1	9/2001	Wilson	7,006,032	B2	2/2006	King
6,307,505	B1	10/2001	Green	7,026,982	B2	4/2006	Toda
6,313,788	B1	11/2001	Wilson	7,027,918	B2	4/2006	Zimmerman
6,314,348	B1	11/2001	Winslow	7,031,725	B2	4/2006	Rorabaugh
6,325,684	B1	12/2001	Knight	7,089,099	B2	8/2006	Shostak
6,336,051	B1	1/2002	Pangels	7,142,956	B2	11/2006	Heiniger
6,336,066	B1	1/2002	Pellenc	7,162,348	B2 *	1/2007	McClure A01B 69/004 280/456.1
6,345,231	B2	2/2002	Quincke	7,191,061	B2	3/2007	McKay
6,356,602	B1	3/2002	Rodal	7,231,290	B2	6/2007	Steichen
6,373,432	B1	4/2002	Rabinowitz et al.	7,248,211	B2	7/2007	Hatch
6,377,889	B1	4/2002	Soest	7,271,766	B2	9/2007	Zimmerman
6,380,888	B1	4/2002	Kucik	7,277,784	B2	10/2007	Weiss
6,389,345	B2	5/2002	Phelps	7,277,792	B2	10/2007	Overschie
				7,292,186	B2	11/2007	Miller
				7,324,915	B2	1/2008	Altman
				7,358,896	B2	4/2008	Gradincic
				7,373,231	B2	5/2008	McClure

US RE48,509 E

(56)	References Cited		2008/0059889	A1 *	3/2008	Parker	G06F 16/29
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS		2008/0103690	A1 *	5/2008	Dix	715/748 A01B 69/008 701/516
	7,388,539 B2	6/2008	Whitehead	2008/0129586	A1	6/2008	Martin
	7,395,769 B2	7/2008	Jensen	2008/0195268	A1	8/2008	Sapilewski et al.
	7,400,956 B1 *	7/2008	Feller	2008/0204312	A1	8/2008	Euler
			A01B 69/008 342/357.36	2009/0099730	A1 *	4/2009	McClure
							A01B 69/008 701/41
	7,428,259 B2	9/2008	Wang	2009/0099737	A1 *	4/2009	Wendte et al.
	7,437,230 B2	10/2008	McClure	2009/0121932	A1	5/2009	Whitehead et al.
	7,451,030 B2	11/2008	Eglinton	2009/0171583	A1	7/2009	DiEsposti
	7,460,942 B2	12/2008	Mailer	2009/0174597	A1	7/2009	DiLellio
	7,479,900 B2	1/2009	Horstemeyer	2009/0174622	A1	7/2009	Kanou
	7,505,848 B2	3/2009	Flann	2009/0177395	A1	7/2009	Stelpstra
	7,522,100 B2	4/2009	Yang	2009/0177399	A1	7/2009	Park
	7,571,029 B2	8/2009	Dai	2009/0259397	A1	10/2009	Stanton
	7,623,952 B2	11/2009	Unruh et al.	2009/0259707	A1	10/2009	Martin
	7,689,354 B2	3/2010	Heiniger	2009/0262014	A1	10/2009	DiEsposti
	RE41,358 E	5/2010	Heiniger et al.	2009/0262018	A1	10/2009	Vasilyev
	7,835,832 B2	11/2010	Macdonald et al.	2009/0262974	A1	10/2009	Lithopoulos
	7,885,745 B2	2/2011	McClure et al.	2009/0265054	A1	10/2009	Basnayake
	8,018,376 B2	9/2011	McClure et al.	2009/0265101	A1	10/2009	Jow
	8,140,223 B2	3/2012	Whitehead et al.	2009/0265104	A1	10/2009	Shroff
	8,190,337 B2	5/2012	McClure	2009/0273372	A1	11/2009	Brenner
	8,214,111 B2	7/2012	Heiniger et al.	2009/0273513	A1	11/2009	Huang
	8,265,826 B2	9/2012	Feller et al.	2009/0274079	A1	11/2009	Bhatia
	8,311,696 B2	11/2012	Reeve	2009/0274113	A1	11/2009	Katz
	8,386,129 B2	2/2013	Collins	2009/0276155	A1	11/2009	Jeergage
	8,401,704 B2	3/2013	Pollock et al.	2009/0295633	A1	12/2009	Pinto
	8,437,901 B2	5/2013	Anderson	2009/0295634	A1	12/2009	Yu
	8,489,291 B2	7/2013	Dearborn et al.	2009/0299550	A1	12/2009	Baker
	8,521,372 B2	8/2013	Hunt et al.	2009/0322597	A1	12/2009	Medina Herrero
	8,548,649 B2	10/2013	Guyette et al.	2009/0322598	A1	12/2009	Fly
	8,583,315 B2	11/2013	Whitehead et al.	2009/0322600	A1	12/2009	Whitehead
	8,583,326 B2	11/2013	Collins et al.	2009/0322601	A1	12/2009	Ladd
	8,589,013 B2	11/2013	Pieper et al.	2009/0322606	A1	12/2009	Gronemeyer
	8,594,879 B2	11/2013	Roberge et al.	2009/0326809	A1	12/2009	Colley
	8,634,993 B2	1/2014	McClure et al.	2010/0013703	A1	1/2010	Tekawy
	8,639,416 B2	1/2014	Jones et al.	2010/0026569	A1	2/2010	Amidi
	8,649,930 B2	2/2014	Reeve et al.	2010/0030470	A1	2/2010	Wang
	8,676,620 B2	3/2014	Hunt et al.	2010/0039316	A1	2/2010	Gronemeyer
	8,718,874 B2	5/2014	McClure	2010/0039318	A1	2/2010	Kniecik
	8,768,558 B2	7/2014	Reeve et al.	2010/0039320	A1	2/2010	Boyer
	8,781,685 B2	7/2014	McClure	2010/0039321	A1	2/2010	Abraham
	8,803,735 B2	8/2014	McClure	2010/0060518	A1	3/2010	Bar-Sever
	8,897,973 B2	11/2014	Hunt et al.	2010/0063649	A1	3/2010	Wu
	8,924,152 B2	12/2014	Hunt et al.	2010/0084147	A1	4/2010	Aral
	9,002,565 B2	4/2015	Jones et al.	2010/0085249	A1	4/2010	Ferguson
	9,002,566 B2	4/2015	McClure et al.	2010/0085253	A1	4/2010	Ferguson
	9,141,111 B2	9/2015	Webber et al.	2010/0103033	A1	4/2010	Roh
	9,162,703 B2	10/2015	Miller et al.	2010/0103034	A1	4/2010	Tobe
	9,173,337 B2	11/2015	Guyette et al.	2010/0103038	A1	4/2010	Yeh
	9,223,314 B2	12/2015	McClure et al.	2010/0103040	A1	4/2010	Broadbent
	9,255,992 B2	2/2016	McClure	2010/0106414	A1	4/2010	Whitehead
	9,389,615 B2	7/2016	Webber et al.	2010/0106445	A1	4/2010	Kondoh
	2002/0072850 A1	6/2002	McClure et al.	2010/0109944	A1	5/2010	Whitehead
	2002/0107609 A1	8/2002	Benneweis	2010/0109945	A1	5/2010	Roh
	2003/0014171 A1	1/2003	Ma	2010/0109947	A1	5/2010	Rintanen
	2003/0187560 A1	10/2003	Keller et al.	2010/0109948	A1	5/2010	Razoumouv
	2003/0187577 A1	10/2003	McClure	2010/0109950	A1	5/2010	Roh
	2003/0208311 A1 *	11/2003	McClure	2010/0111372	A1	5/2010	Zheng
			G01S 19/41 701/50	2010/0114483	A1	5/2010	Heo
	2003/0208319 A1	11/2003	Eli	2010/0117894	A1	5/2010	Velde
	2004/0039514 A1	2/2004	Steichen	2010/0185364	A1	7/2010	Collins
	2004/0186644 A1	9/2004	McClure et al.	2010/0274452	A1	10/2010	Ringwald et al.
	2004/0212533 A1	10/2004	Whitehead	2010/0312428	A1	12/2010	Roberge et al.
	2005/0080559 A1	4/2005	Ishibashi	2011/0015817	A1	1/2011	Reeve
	2005/0225955 A1	10/2005	Grebenkemper	2011/0018765	A1	1/2011	Whitehead et al.
	2005/0265494 A1	12/2005	Goodlings	2011/0054729	A1	3/2011	Whitehead et al.
	2006/0167600 A1	7/2006	Nelson	2011/0270495	A1	11/2011	Knapp
	2006/0178825 A1	8/2006	Eglinton	2012/0174445	A1	7/2012	Jones et al.
	2006/0215739 A1	9/2006	Williamson	2013/0179026	A1	7/2013	McClure et al.
	2007/0021913 A1 *	1/2007	Heiniger et al.	2014/0266877	A1	9/2014	McClure
			A01B 69/008 701/412	2014/0277676	A1	9/2014	Gattis
	2007/0078570 A1	4/2007	Dai	2015/0175194	A1	6/2015	Gattis
	2007/0088447 A1	4/2007	Stothert	2016/0039454	A1	2/2016	Mortimer
	2007/0121708 A1	5/2007	Simpson	2016/0154108	A1	6/2016	McClure et al.
	2007/0205940 A1	9/2007	Yang	2016/0205864	A1	7/2016	Gattis et al.
	2007/0285308 A1	12/2007	Bauregger				

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2016/0214643 A1 7/2016 Joughin et al.
 2016/0252909 A1 9/2016 Webber et al.
 2016/0334804 A1 11/2016 Webber et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

AU	2002325645	B2	3/2003
AU	2002325645		9/2007
JP	07244150		9/1995
WO	09836288	B2	8/1998
WO	2000024239	A1	5/2000
WO	2003019430	A1	3/2003
WO	2005119386	A1	12/2005
WO	2008080193	A1	7/2008
WO	WO-2008080193		7/2008
WO	2009066183	A2	5/2009
WO	2009126587	A1	10/2009
WO	2009148638	A1	12/2009
WO	2010005945	A1	1/2010
WO	WO-2010005945		1/2010

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Van Zuydam, R.P. Centimeter-Precision Guidance of Agricultural Implements in the Open Field by Means of Real Time Kinematic DGPS, ASA-CSSA-SSSA, pp. 1023-1034 (1999).
 ARINC Engineering Services, Interface Specification IS-GPS-200, Revision D, Online [retrieved on May 18, 2010]. Retrieved from the Internet: <<http://www.navcen.uscg.gov/gps/geninfo/IS-GPS-200D.pdf>> Dec. 7, 2004; p. 168, para (0001).
 "Eurocontrol, Pegasus Technical Notes on SBAS", report [online], Dec. 7, 2004 [retrieved on May 18, 2010]. Retrieved from the Internet: <<http://www.icao.int/icao/en/ro/nacc/meetings/2004/gnss/documenta tion/Pegasus/tn.pdf>> Dec. 7, 2004; p. 89, paras (0001)-(0004).
 "ISO", 11783 Part 7 Draft Amendment 1 Annex, Paragraphs B.6 and B.7 ISO 11783-7 2004 DAMI, ISO; Mar. 8, 2004.
 "Orthman Manufacturing Co., www.orthman.com/html/guidance.htm", 2004, regarding the "Tracer Quick-Hitch".
 Bevely, David M., "Comparison of INS v. Carrier-Phase DGPS for Attitude Determination in the Control of Off-Road Vehicles"; Ion 55th Annual Meeting; Jun. 28-30, 1999; Cambridge, Mass; p. 497-504.
 Han, Shaowel et al., "Single-Epoch Ambiguity Resolution for Real-Time GPS Attitude Determination with the Aid of One-Dimensional Optical Fiber Gyro". GPS Solutions, vol. 3, No. 1; pp. 5-12 (1999); John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
 International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT/1B2008/003796 dated Jul. 15, 2009.
 International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT/US2004/015678 dated Jun. 21, 2005.
 International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT/US2009/063594 dated Jan. 11, 2010.
 International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT/US2010/021334 dated Mar. 12, 2010.
 International Search Report for PCT/ AU2008/000002 dated Feb. 28, 2008.
 International Search Report for PCT/US2009/033567 dated Feb. 9, 2009.

International Search Report for PCT /US2009/033693 dated Mar. 30, 2009.
 International Search Report for PCT/US2009/039686 dated May 26, 2009.
 International Search Report for PCT /US2009/049776 dated Aug. 11, 2009.
 International Search Report for PCT/US2009/060668 dated Dec. 9, 2009.
 International Search Report for PCT /US2009/067693 dated Jan. 26, 2010.
 International Search Report for PCT /US2010/026509 dated Apr. 20, 2010.
 International Search Report for PCT/US209/034376 dated Nov. 2, 2009.
 Irsigler, M. et al., "PPL Tracking Performance in the Presence of Oscillator Phase Noise", GPS Solutions, vol. 5, No. 4; pp. 45-57; 2002.
 Kaplan, E.D. "Understanding GPS: Principles and Applications"; Artech House, MA; 1996.
 Keicher, R. et al. "Automatic Guidance for Agricultural Vehicles in Europe", Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, vol. 25, Jan. 2000; pp. 169-194.
 Last, J.D. et al., "Effect of skyware interference on coverage of radio beacon stations", IEEE Proc.—Radar, Sonar Navig., vol. 14, No. 3; Jun. 1997; pp. 163-168.
 Lin, Dai et al., "Real-time Attitude Determination for Microsatellite by Lamda Method Combined with Kalman Filtering", a collection of the 22nd AIAA International Communications Satellite Systems Conference and Exhibit Technical Papers vol. 1, Monterey, CA, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc.; May 2004; 136-143.
 Park, Chansik et al., "Integer Ambiguity Resolution for GPS Based Attitude Determination System", SICE Jul. 29-31, 1998, Shiba, 1115-1120.
 Parkinson, Bradford W., et al., "Global Positioning System: Theory and Applications, vol. 11"; Bradford W. Parkinson and James J. Spiker, Jr., eds., Global Positioning System: Theory and Applications, vol. II: 1995, AIAA, Reston, VA, USA; pp. 3-50; (1995), 3-50.
 Rho, Hyundho et al., "Dual-Frequency GPS Precise Point Positioning with WADGPS Corrections", [retrieved on May 18, 2010]. Retrieved from the Internet: <<http://gauss.gge.unb.ca/papers/pdf/iongnss2005.rho.wadgps.pdf>> Jul. 12, 2006.
 Schaer, et al., "Determination and Use of GPS Differential Code Bias Values", Presentation [online]. Retrieved on May 18, 2010. Retrieved from the Internet: <<http://nng/esa.esa.de/ws2006/REPR2.pdf>> May 8, 2006.
 Takac, Frank et al., "SmartRTK: A Novel Method of Processing Standardized RTCM Network RTK information for High Precision Positioning"; Proceedings of ENC GNSS 2008, Toulouse, France; Apr. 22, 2008.
 Ward, Phillip, W., "Performance Comparisons Between FLL, PLL and a Novel FLL-Assisted-PLL Carrier Tracking Loop Under RF Interference Conditions", 11th Int. Tech Meeting of the Satellite Division of the US Inst. Of Navigation, Nashville, TN; Sep. 15018, 1998; p. 783-795.
 Xu, Jiangning et al., "An EHW Architecture for Real-Time GPS Attitude Determination Based on Parallel Genetic Algorithm", The Computer Society Proceedings of the 2002 NASA/DOD Conference on Evolvable Hardware (EH '02)(2002).

* cited by examiner






FIG. 1





CONTINUED ON FIG. 3b

FIG. 3a




FIG. 3b




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6






FIG. 7



**RASTER-BASED CONTOUR SWATHING
FOR GUIDANCE AND VARIABLE-RATE
CHEMICAL APPLICATION**

Matter enclosed in heavy brackets [] appears in the original patent but forms no part of this reissue specification; matter printed in italics indicates the additions made by reissue; a claim printed with strikethrough indicates that the claim was canceled, disclaimed, or held invalid by a prior post-patent action or proceeding.

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED
APPLICATIONS

[This application is] *The present application is a second reissue application of U.S. Pat. No. 8,718,874 filed as U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/776,512 on Feb. 25, 2013. The present application is a divisional of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/147,689, filed May 5, 2016, now U.S. Pat. No. RE47,055, issued Sep. 25, 2018, which is a reissue of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/776,512, filed Feb. 25, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,718,874, issued May 6, 2014, which is a continuation of and claims priority in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/689,184, filed Jan. 18, 2010, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,386,129, issued Feb. 26, 2013, which claims priority in U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/145,542, filed Jan. 17, 2009, [both of] which are incorporated herein by reference.*

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to automated equipment control using a raster-based database, including vehicle navigation and guidance using global navigation satellite system (GNSS), inertial navigation system (INS) and other positioning inputs, and machine control functions such as variable-rate chemical applications in agricultural spraying.

2. Description of the Related Art

GNSS technology advanced vehicle and machine guidance and control in various technical fields, including the field of agricultural guidance by enabling reliable, accurate systems, which are relatively easy to use. GNSS guidance systems are adapted for displaying directional guidance information to assist operators with manually steering the vehicles. For example, the OUTBACK® steering guidance system, which is available from Hemisphere GPS LLC of Calgary, Alberta, Canada and is covered by U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,539,303 and 6,711,501 (incorporated herein by reference), includes an on-board computer capable of storing various straight-line and curved (“contour”) patterns. An advantage of this system is its ability to retain field-specific cultivating, planting, spraying, fertilizing, harvesting and other patterns in memory. This feature enables operators to accurately retrace such patterns. Another advantage relates to the ability to interrupt operations for subsequent resumption by referring to system-generated logs of previously treated areas. The OUTBACK S steering guidance system, and related product offerings from Hemisphere GPS LLC, utilize “near point search method” technology, which logs GPS-defined positions along swath edges, the nearest of which are located for placing the edge of the next swath against the last.

Another type of GPS guidance utilizes “form line following,” wherein vectors, which can be straight-line (A-B) or curved (contour), are computed based on equipment widths

offset from the previously-driven form lines. A disadvantage with this type of system is that initial form lines must be driven and delineated based upon which subsequent form lines must be computed and followed. Significant computer overhead can be occupied with such tasks, whereby trade-offs are required between component costs and system responsiveness.

GNSS vehicle guidance equipment using the above techniques is available as a steering guide with a graphical user interface (GUI) for manually-steered vehicles, and also with an autosteer function for automatically steering the vehicle along all or part of its travel path. Automated systems can also control an agricultural procedure or operation, such as spraying, planting, tilling, harvesting, etc. Examples of such equipment are shown in U.S. Pat. No. 7,142,956, which is incorporated herein by reference. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0186644 shows satellite-based vehicle guidance control in straight and contour modes, and is also incorporated herein by reference. U.S. Pat. No. 7,162,348 is incorporated herein by reference and discloses an articulated equipment position control system and method whereby a working component, such as an implement, can be guided independently of a motive component, such as a tractor. The implement can optionally be equipped with its own GNSS antenna and/or receiver for interacting with a tractor-mounted GNSS system.

Ideally crops would be planted in perfectly straight, evenly-spaced rows. Guidance through such fields would consist of following relatively simple straight-line patterns. Such guidance modes are commonly referred to as straight line or “A-B” in reference to the equipment traveling in a straight line from point A to point B in a repeating pattern in order to cover an entire field, which is typically flat and rectangular and therefore efficiently divided into multiple, parallel swaths. However, field conditions in many areas are not suitable for A-B guidance. For example, hilly terrain sometimes requires the formation of constant-elevation terraces.

Guidance systems accommodate such irregular conditions by operating in “contour following” modes consisting of curvilinear tracks defined by multiple GNSS points along which the equipment is guided. Initial planting passes made with manual and visually-guided navigation, which may or may not be supplemented with GNSS navigational aids, can cause crop rows to deviate from straight lines. Accommodating such irregular crop rows in subsequent operations (e.g., spraying and harvesting) may require the equipment to deviate from straight-line passes.

“Tramline” (sometimes referred to as “match tracks”) is another operating mode available with some modern GNSS guidance systems. In tramline operating mode the existing crop rows are relatively well protected because the equipment follows or “matches” the previously-driven passes. The equipment wheels or tracks are thus confined between the crop rows. Machine damage from running over crops is thus avoided, or at least minimized.

Preferably a system embodying an aspect of the present invention would avoid the drawbacks inherent in the previous systems described above and be adaptable to various machine control applications, including variably controlling the output of individual nozzles in agricultural sprayers. In particular, raster (e.g., bitmap) data bases can be used with previously-defined world geodetic systems, such as WGS 84, thereby eliminating overhead-intensive tasks such as continuously running extensive searches for points along the edges of previously-driven swaths or computing form lines.

Heretofore there has not been available a raster-based contour swathing system and method with the advantages and features of the present invention.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In the practice of the present invention, a system and method are provided for automatically guiding and controlling vehicles and equipment using GNSS for defining a raster-based database of pixels defining either an entire area to be treated, or a subset through which a vehicle travels. For example, agricultural equipment comprising a tractor and an implement can be equipped with a vector position and heading sensor subsystem including a GNSS receiver and antennas and an optional inertial navigational system (INS) with X, Y and Z axis sensors for sensing equipment attitude changes through six degrees of freedom. Such sensors typically comprise gyroscopes and/or accelerometers. A 2D map array comprises an XY grid of pixels, which is scalable according to the requirements of a particular operation. Guidance operations are accomplished by marking pixels as "applied" when treated on an equipment pass. Subsequent passes can guide off of the applied pixel areas, using "target" aim point pixels and/or swath-width spacing to one side or the other of the applied areas. Moreover, machine control functions can actuate certain operations based on equipment position. For example, spray nozzles on a sprayer implement can be selectively and individually actuated over areas to be sprayed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a plan view of agricultural equipment equipped with GNSS and (optionally) INS guidance and control systems, shown in operation on a field defined by an XY array of pixels.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram of a GNSS/INS/RTK tractor and implement system for implementing the raster-based guidance system and method.

FIGS. 3a and 3b show a flowchart of a raster-based guidance method.

FIG. 4 is a flowchart of another aspect of the raster-based guidance method using target pixels.

FIG. 5 is a flowchart of another aspect of the raster-based guidance method.

FIG. 6 is a flowchart of another aspect of the raster-based guidance method.

FIG. 7 is a flowchart of another aspect of the raster-based guidance method including spray nozzle control.

FIG. 8a is a diagram of material application parameters on exiting a treated area.

FIG. 8b is another diagram of material application parameters on entering an area to be treated.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

I. Introduction and Environment

As required, detailed embodiments of the present invention are disclosed herein; however, it is to be understood that the disclosed embodiments are merely exemplary of the invention, which may be embodied in various forms. Therefore, specific structural and functional details disclosed herein are not to be interpreted as limiting, but merely as a basis for the claims and as a representative basis for teaching one skilled in the art to variously employ the present invention in virtually any appropriately detailed structure.

Certain terminology will be used in the following description for convenience in reference only and will not be limiting. For example, up, down, front, back, right and left refer to the invention as oriented in the view being referred to. The words "inwardly" and "outwardly" refer to directions toward and away from, respectively, the geometric center of the embodiment being described and designated parts thereof. Global navigation satellite systems (GNSS) are broadly defined to include GPS (U.S.), Galileo (proposed), GLONASS (Russia), Beidou (China), Compass (proposed), IRNSS (India, proposed), QZSS (Japan, proposed) and other current and future positioning technology using signals from satellites, using single or multiple antennae, with or without augmentation from terrestrial sources. Inertial navigation systems (INS) include gyroscopic (gyro) sensors, accelerometers and similar technologies for providing output corresponding to the inertia of moving components in all axes, i.e. through six degrees of freedom (positive and negative directions along transverse X, longitudinal Y and vertical Z axes). Yaw, pitch and roll refer to moving component rotation about the Z, X and Y axes respectively. Said terminology will include the words specifically mentioned, derivatives thereof and words of similar meaning.

II. Guidance and Control System 4

Referring to the drawings in more detail, the reference numeral 2 generally designates a piece of agricultural equipment, which is equipped with a raster-based guidance and control system 4 embodying an aspect of the present invention. Without limitation on the generality of equipment 2, a motive component 6 is connected to a working component 7 through an optional articulated connection or hitch 34 (collectively comprising the equipment or vehicle 2). Also by way of example, the motive component 6 can comprise a tractor or other vehicle and the working component 7 can comprise a ground-working implement. However, the system 4 can be applied to other equipment configurations for a wide range of other applications. Such applications include equipment and components used in road construction, road maintenance, earthworking, mining, transportation, industry, manufacturing, logistics, etc.

FIG. 1 shows the equipment 2 operating on a portion of a field 10 with an array of XY pixels 50, which are used for providing guidance and controlling the operation of the implement 7, which can comprise a sprayer with individual nozzles 8.

FIG. 2 is a schematic block diagram showing the components of the GNSS guidance/control system 4. The tractor 6 components include a GNSS receiver 12 including a first vehicle antenna 21, an optional second vehicle antenna 22, an RF (down) converter 14, a tracking device 16 and an optional rover RTK receiver 18. A guidance processor CPU 23 includes a GUI display 24, a microprocessor 26 and a media storage device 28. Vehicle steering 30 and INS components 31 (e.g., gyroscopes and/or accelerometers) are connected to the guidance processor 23. GNSS-derived data is transferred from the GNSS receiver 12 to the guidance processor CPU 23. The implement 7 can include a first implement antenna 41 and an optional second implement antenna 42, which are connected to the vehicle GNSS receiver 12 and provide GNSS data thereto.

An implement steering subsystem 36 receives steering commands from the guidance processor CPU 23 via a CAN bus 32 or some other suitable connection, which can be wireless. The implement 7 is mechanically connected to the vehicle 6 by a hitch 34, which can be power-driven for active implement positioning in response to implement steering commands, or a conventional mechanical linkage. The hitch

34 can be provided with sensors for determining relative attitudes and orientations between the vehicle **6** and the implement **7**. Examples of such an articulated connection and an implement steering system are described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,865,465, 7,162,348 and 7,460,942, which are incorporated herein by reference. The implement **8** can comprise any of a wide range of suitable implements, such as planting, cultivating, harvesting and spraying equipment. For example, spraying applications are commonly performed with a boom **5**, which can be equipped for automatic, selective control of multiple nozzles **8** and other boom operating characteristics, such as height, material dispensed, etc. By way of example and without limitation, the implement **7** can comprise an agricultural sprayer with a spray nozzle control **38** connected to the guidance processor CPU **23** by the CAN bus **32** for individually controlling the spray nozzles **8**.

The GNSS/INS guidance and control system **4** can be configured in various combinations of components and thereby accommodate a wide range of guidance and control operations. For example, RTK guidance can be accommodated with a base **44** including an RTK receiver **46** and an RTK transmitter **48**, which can be mounted at a fixed-position reference point in the general vicinity of fields being worked by the equipment **2**. Moreover, various combinations of receivers and antennas can be used on the vehicle **6** and/or the implement **7**, including single frequency (L1 only) and dual frequency (L1 and L2). Various forms of signal correction can also be utilized, including Satellite Based Augmentation System (SBAS), Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) and private subscription services.

The GNSS receiver **12** disclosed herein can be adapted for various satellite navigational systems, and can utilize a variety of SBAS technologies. Technology is also available for continuing operation through satellite signal interruptions, and can be utilized with the system **4**. The antennas **21**, **22** can be horizontally aligned transversely with respect to a direction of travel of the tractor **6**, i.e. parallel to its transverse X axis. The relative positions of the antennas **21**, **22** with respect to each other can thus be processed for determining yaw, i.e. rotation with respect to the vertical Z axis. The INS **31** can include inertial sensors (e.g., gyroscopes and accelerometers) for detecting and measuring inertial movement with respect to the X, Y and Z axes corresponding to yaw, roll and pitch movements in six degrees of freedom. Signals from the receiver **12** and the INS sensors are received and processed by the microprocessor **26** based on how the system **4** is configured and programmed

III. Raster-based Guidance and Control Method

FIGS. **3a** and **3b** show a method of raster-based guidance and control according to an aspect of the present invention. From a start **40** the system **4** is initialized at **42**, including setting a pixel grid resolution at **44**. Without limitation, pixel grid resolution in the approximate range of 0.05 meters to 5 meters can be useful for various operations, depending on the desired accuracy.

Setup of a raster-based database (DB) of XY pixel grid pages **48** occurs at **46**. An example of a pixel grid page **48** is shown in FIG. **1** and includes multiple pixels **50**. Pixel grid pages can cover entire fields, or, alternatively from decision box **51**, can be freeform and automatically expandable in any direction through a tiling method at step **52**. [An] *In an example, the tiling method, applied to a system including a vehicle having motive and working components that are guidable over an area being treated by the working component, may include: providing an XY pixel grid corre-*

sponding to the area; providing a raster-based database page comprising said XY pixel grid for said area; defining additional raster-based XY pixel grid pages in said area; and expanding said database by tiling said pixel grid pages over said area. Referring now to block 48, an exemplary preferred method is to use a rectangular grid based on WGS 84 comprising GPS-based coordinates for generating a grid page at 48. Scale factors for latitude and longitude are set at 56 and an initial reference point is defined at 58. A location in the grid area can be generated at 60 on a GIS system, such as the Map Star™ program available from Hemisphere GPS of Calgary, Alberta, Canada, or in real-time in the field on the guidance system 4. Locations in the grid area are defined by the number of pixels east-west (EW) and north-south (NS) from the reference location at 62. A linear or multidimensional database is accessed at 64 using the XY pixel indices computed at 62. The database can be accessed and read and/or written to (R/W) at 64. In an example, a method may include: creating with said processor a linear or multidimensional database comprising said pixel grid pages; accessing with said processor said database; marking pixels in said database as treated; and guiding said vehicle using said treated pixel markings.

In an exemplary field spraying operation using the sprayer **7**, the equipment **2** is driven in an initial pass at **66** in a “swath” mode with its swath width comprising one of the operating parameters whereby all pixels covered by the spray boom **5** are marked as “applied” (**50a** in FIG. **1**) at step **68**. On a subsequent adjacent pass, the database around the spray boom end locations is examined for the closest applied pixel **50a** at **70**, which is designated **50d** (tested and applied) in FIG. **1**, and is then used for instantaneous guidance control at **72**, either through a visual GUI at **74** and/or an autosteering function at **76**.

As shown in FIG. **1**, the operator can thereby drive against previously covered (applied) pixels **50a**. The database can be programmed for “unapplied” **50e** and “applied” **50a** pixel status conditions. Other pixel status conditions can include “vehicle track” **50b**, “unapplied test” **50c**, “applied test” **50d**, “unapplied” **50e**, “under-applied” **50f** and “over-applied” **50g** (FIG. **1**). The process continues via a loop through the “another pass” decision box **78** until complete or interrupted, whereafter an application map showing database values, pixel status, equipment positions and headings is computed at **80** and output at **82** with the operation ending at **84**.

FIG. **4** shows another method of guidance using the vehicle **6** location, swath (e.g., spray boom **5**) width and direction of travel. From start **86**, initialize **88** and detect guidance **90**, vehicle track/target pixels **50b** (FIG. **1**) ahead of the equipment **2** are “walked up” from the center of the vehicle **6** to a target pixel point ahead using either a Bresenham-type algorithm at **91** or by directly computing a track/target pixel **50b** ahead at **92**. Then the unapplied test pixels **50c** to the side of the track/target pixel **50b** are tested for “applied” status at **93**. Upon detecting an applied test pixel **50d** at **94**, its distance away from the track/target pixel **50b** relative to the implement swath width (i.e. “offset” generally equal to half of the swath width) is obtained at **95**, related to swath width at **96**, used to determine guidance at **97** and the method ends at **98**.

As shown in FIG. **5**, a similar method can be used for computing guidance using two dimensions (2D). From start **102** and initialize **104**, multiple scans to the side of the vehicle and different distances ahead of it are tested at **106**, **108** respectively to detect previously-applied areas along curves at **110** and to implement curve guidance at **112**. The

output can be provided visually via a GUI 24 and/or used in an autosteering algorithm at 114. Speed control at 116 and end-of-row turnaround at 118, 120 can be enabled and optimized. The method ends at 122.

FIG. 6 shows a variation comprising a 3D method using the altitudes of the different pixels for adjusting guidance and steering. From a start 124 vehicle dynamics are input as operating parameters at 126, guidance is detected at 128 and pixel altitudes are input at 130. For example, the method can compensate by remaining closer to the applied area to adjust for vehicle downhill slippage and hillside chemical spray patterns at 132. Such 3D information can also correspond to crop heights with the system making suitable adjustments, also at 132. The method ends at 134.

FIG. 7 shows another method of the invention involving sprayer nozzle control. From a start 140 the nozzle and spray dynamics are initialized at 142. A chemical spray prescription map including the positions represented by pixels and target chemical application rates (e.g. gallons per acre) is input at 144. Operation commences as the spray boom crosses an area at 146 and flow rate is computed based on desired coverage (i.e. prescription database value) and vehicle speed at 148. The database is read for the locations of the spray nozzles at 150 whereby their pixel-defined locations are used for determining chemical applications and nozzle control at 152. At 154 the dispensing rate for one or more of the nozzles 8 is reduced to zero if the equipment 2 travels outside the predetermined application area, e.g., field 10. A comparison with the prescription occurs at 156 followed by reapplication as necessary at 158 followed by measure actual applied rate and update prescription database to reflect remaining application at 159 followed by a loop back to 146. The process shown in FIG. 7 is continuous in the sense that the operator can start and stop at any time and the sprayer will only dispense when located over a pixel 50 with a non-zero prescription database value. Thus, the field 50 is completely treated when all of its pixels 50 have zero prescription database values, and the system will no longer dispense.

In conjunction with the methods described above, variable rate control can be accomplished using multiple channels for individual nozzle control of chemical applications. For example, the CAN bus 32 communicates individual nozzle control commands from the processor 23 to the spray nozzles 8, which can be monitored and boom pressure controlled thereby for correct calibration. Individual nozzle flow rate control across the entire spray boom accommodates swath overlaps whereby spray nozzle output would be reduced or shut off. Nozzles 8 can also be shut off upon entry into previously-applied areas and no-spray areas, such as outside the field boundaries.

The pixel status in the method of the present invention includes information on the chemical(s) application rates(s). As the spray boom 5 crosses the treatment area the database is read for each nozzle 8 location and the desired rates per area, e.g. gallons per acre. The nozzle flow rate is then adjusted to the required output, e.g., in gallons per minute (GPM) based on the current nozzle speed. The amount of coverage during turning of the vehicle can also vary according to the nozzle locations in the turn, with the outermost nozzle 8 traveling fastest (requiring the greatest flow rate) and the innermost nozzle traveling slowest (requiring the least flow rate). Such speeds can vary considerably in turns and are accommodated by the system 4.

Alternative algorithms can be utilized for managing chemical application. For example, in a "rate reduction to zero" algorithm the application rates can be progressively

reduced on one or more passes as required to "zero out" the applied material quantities across the boom widths whereby on subsequent passes the applied rate will be zero gallons per acre. Alternatively, in an "as applied map" algorithm the application rates can be read back in real time from the processor 23 and subtracted from the desired target rate per pixel and written back as the remaining desired rates with a flag indicating partial application marking the partially-treated (under-applied) pixels 50f. The real time database display reflects the remaining rates required for each pixel, the remaining chemical required for the completion of the field area and the remaining quantities available.

Various output information can be provided to an operator, e.g., indicating pixel status originally and currently, "as applied" mapping and remaining chemical application rates by pixel for job completion. By individually controlling the flow rates at the nozzles 8, the desired prescription map area rate can be achieved, thereby optimizing variable rate coverage for increased crop production. Less-experienced operators can be accommodated because the system 4 reduces the likelihood of over-application or application outside the field perimeter.

FIGS. 8a and 8b show conditions encountered at field perimeters (i.e. area boundaries). FIG. 8a shows a preemptive shut off as the vehicle approaches the area boundary. Programming the system 4 with such "look-ahead" capabilities can prevent chemical application beyond the area boundary. FIG. 8b shows commencing application upon entering a coverage area, which can occur in phases with a first applied material quantity, from which the remaining quantity of material to be applied can be determined in order to achieve the target chemical application.

It is to be understood that the invention can be embodied in various forms, and is not to be limited to the examples discussed above.

What is claimed and desired to be secured by Letters Patent is as follows:

[1. A method of guiding an agriculture sprayer vehicle including a motive component and a spray component with a spray boom having opposite ends and multiple spray nozzles mounted in spaced relation between said ends, said components being interconnected by a power hitch adapted for laterally shifting said working component relative to said motive component, which method comprises the steps of:

- providing an XY pixel grid corresponding to the area;
- providing a raster-based database page comprising said XY pixel grid for said area;
- providing a processor on the vehicle;
- providing a GNSS guidance system connected to the processor on the vehicle;
- receiving GNSS positioning signals with said guidance system;
- providing said GNSS positioning signals as input to said processor;
- computing GNSS-based positioning for said vehicle with said processor;
- defining a GNSS-defined reference point on said area and storing the reference point coordinates with said processor;
- computing X and Y pixel indices based on said GNSS-defined vehicle position in relation to said reference point with said processor;
- treating portions of said area with said working component;
- with said processor marking pixels in said treated area portions as treated;

guiding said vehicle over said area utilizing said treated pixel information;
 defining additional raster-based XY pixel grid pages in said area;
 expanding said database by tiling said pixel grid pages over said area;
 generating X and Y scale factors for said database;
 relating said X and Y scale factors to latitude and longitude respectively;
 computing X and Y pixel indices based on the difference between current GNSS-defined position coordinates and the reference position coordinates;
 creating with said processor a linear or multidimensional database comprising said pixel grid pages;
 accessing with said processor said database;
 marking pixels in said database as treated;
 defining a swath coverage area with said working component ends forming opposite edges of said swath;
 with said GNSS system and said processor seeking pixels in proximity to said swath edges;
 with said GNSS system and said processor guiding said vehicle along said swath edges;
 providing an autosteer system on said vehicle;
 with said processor generating steering commands using the marked pixel information and said XY pixel page database;
 outputting said steering commands to said autosteer system for automatically steering said vehicle over said area;
 with said processor and said GNSS system laterally shifting said working component relative to said motive component for maintaining said working component generally within said swath;
 computing an application map for said area corresponding to treatments of pixels therein with said working component;
 guiding said vehicle with said application map while treating said pixels; and
 detecting a vehicle direction of travel with said GNSS system;
 walking up the pixels in the vehicle direction of travel to a target pixel;
 testing pixels in multiple scans alongside said vehicle path for treated conditions based on a swath width of said working component;
 guiding said vehicle towards said target pixel using said treated condition pixel information alongside said vehicle path;
 testing multiple distances ahead for treated pixels;
 detecting a curve condition defined by treated pixels;
 guiding said vehicle alongside said curve using said treated pixel information;
 preprogramming said processor with variables corresponding to vehicle performance dynamics;
 determining altitudes of said pixels with said GNSS system; and
 adjusting guidance and steering for vehicle slippage, sloping surface chemical spray patterns and crop heights using said vehicle performance dynamics and said pixel altitudes.]

2. A memory storing instructions that, when executed by at least one processing device of an agriculture vehicle that includes a motive component and a working component, cause the at least one processor to perform operations including:

providing an XY pixel grid page corresponding to an area, the grid page including pixels associated with different portions of the area;
guiding the agricultural vehicle in an initial pass over the area corresponding to the grid page;
during the initial pass of the area, marking the pixels in the XY pixel grid page associated with portions of the area treated by the working component as applied pixels;
during another pass of the area, detecting a vehicle path with at least one sensor of the vehicle;
walking up pixels in the XY pixel grid page along the vehicle path to a target pixel in the XY pixel grid page;
testing the pixels in the XY pixel grid page alongside the vehicle path for the applied pixels based on a swath width of the working component; and
guiding the vehicle towards the target pixel based on applied pixels identified alongside the vehicle path.
 3. The memory of claim 2, wherein the operations further include:
testing multiple distances ahead for the applied pixels;
detecting a curve defined by the applied pixels; and
guiding the vehicle alongside the curve defined by the applied pixels.
 4. The memory of claim 2, wherein the operations further include:
determining altitudes for the identified portions of the area;
assigning the altitudes to the pixels associated with the identified portions of the area; and
adjusting guidance and steering of the vehicle based on the altitudes assigned to the pixels associated with the portions of the area covered by the working component.
 5. The memory of claim 2, wherein the operations further include:
defining additional XY pixel grid pages; and
combining the additional XY pixel grid pages with the provided XY pixel grid page.
 6. The memory of claim 2, wherein the operations further include:
identifying a swath coverage area with swath edges corresponding with opposite ends of the working component;
identifying the pixels in proximity to the swath edges; and
steering the vehicle based on the pixels in proximity to the swath edges.
 7. The memory of claim 2, wherein the operations further include:
assigning chemical prescription rate values to the pixels;
compute amounts of a chemical applied to the portions of the area;
compare the amounts of the chemical applied to the portions of the area with the target chemical prescription rate values assigned to the pixels associated with the portions of the area; and
adjusting the amounts of additional chemical output from individual spray nozzles of the working component on the portions of the area based on the comparisons of the amounts of the chemical previously applied to the portions of the area and the chemical prescription rate values assigned to the pixels associated with the portions of the areas.
 8. The memory of claim 2, wherein the operations further include detecting and measuring inertial movement using a gyroscope and accelerometer on the vehicle.

11

9. A method of operating an agriculture vehicle that includes a motive component and a working component, the method comprising:

providing an XY pixel grid page corresponding to an area, the grid page including pixels associated with different portions of the area;

guiding the agricultural vehicle in an initial pass over the area corresponding to the grid page;

during the initial pass of the area, marking the pixels in the XY pixel grid page associated with portions of the area treated by the working component as applied pixels;

during another pass of the area, detecting a vehicle path with at least one sensor of the vehicle;

walking up pixels in the XY pixel grid page along the vehicle path to a target pixel in the XY pixel grid page;

testing the pixels in the XY pixel grid page alongside the vehicle path for the applied pixels based on a swath width of the working component; and

guiding the vehicle towards the target pixel based on applied pixels identified alongside the vehicle path.

10. The method of claim 9, further comprising:

testing multiple distances ahead for the applied pixels;

detecting a curve defined by the applied pixels; and

guiding the vehicle alongside the curve defined by the applied pixels.

11. The method of claim 9, further comprising:

determining altitudes for the identified portions of the area;

assigning the altitudes to the pixels associated with the identified portions of the area; and

12

adjusting guidance and steering of the vehicle based on the altitudes assigned to the pixels associated with the portions of the area covered by the working component.

12. The method of claim 9, further comprising:

defining additional XY pixel grid pages; and combining the additional XY pixel grid pages with the provided XY pixel grid page.

13. The method of claim 9, further comprising:

identifying a swath coverage area with swath edges corresponding with opposite ends of the working component;

identifying the pixels in proximity to the swath edges; and steering the vehicle based on the pixels in proximity to the swath edges.

14. The method of claim 9, further comprising:

assigning chemical prescription rate values to the pixels; compute amounts of a chemical applied to the portions of the area;

compare the amounts of the chemical applied to the portions of the area with the target chemical prescription rate values assigned to the pixels associated with the portions of the area; and

adjusting the amounts of additional chemical output from individual spray nozzles of the working component on the portions of the area based on the comparisons of the amounts of the chemical previously applied to the portions of the area and the chemical prescription rate values assigned to the pixels associated with the portions of the areas.

15. The method of claim 9, further comprising detecting and measuring inertial movement using a gyroscope and accelerometer on the vehicle.

* * * * *