

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
4 November 2004 (04.11.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/095282 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: G06F 11/34, 9/38

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/GB2004/001586

(22) International Filing Date: 14 April 2004 (14.04.2004)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
10/422,025 23 April 2003 (23.04.2003) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION [US/US]; New Orchard Road, Armonk, NY 10504 (US).

(71) Applicant (for MG only): IBM UNITED KINGDOM LIMITED [GB/GB]; P.O. Box 41, North Harbour, Portsmouth, Hampshire PO6 3AU (GB).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): ARMSTRONG, William, Joseph [US/US]; 5106 Nicklaus Drive NW, Rochester, MN 55901 (US). FLOYD, Michael, Stephen [US/US]; 15108 Terra verde Drive, Austin, TX 78717

(US). LEITNER, Larry, Scott [US/US]; 11328 Avering lane, Austin, TX 78754 (US). KALLA, Ronald, Nick [US/US]; 2143 Hilton Head Drive, Round Rock, TX 78664 (US). SINHAROY, Balaram [US/US]; 15E Hudson Harbor Drive, Poughkeepsie, NY 12601 (US).


(74) Agent: WALDNER, Philip; IBM United Kingdom Limited, Intellectual Property Law, Hursley Park, Winchester, Hampshire SO21 2JN (GB).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: ACCOUNTING METHOD AND LOGIC FOR DETERMINING PER-THREAD PROCESSOR RESOURCE UTILIZATION IN A SIMULTANEOUS MULTI-THREADED (SMT) PROCESSOR



(57) Abstract: An accounting method and logic for determining per-thread processor resource utilization in a simultaneous multi-threaded (SMT) processor provides a mechanism for accounting for processor resource usage by programs and threads within programs. Relative resource use is determined by detecting instruction dispatches for multiple threads active within the processor, which may include idle threads that are still occupying processor resources. If instructions are dispatched for all threads or no threads, the processor cycle is accounted equally to all threads. Alternatively if no threads are in a dispatch state, the accounting may be made using a prior state, or in conformity with ratios of the threads' priority levels. If only one thread is dispatching, that thread is accounted the entire processor cycle. If multiple threads are dispatching, but less than all threads are dispatching (in processors supporting more than two threads), the processor cycle is billed evenly across the dispatching threads. Multiple dispatches may be detected for the threads and a fractional resource usage determined for each thread and the counters may be updated in accordance with their fractional usage.

WO 2004/095282 A1



GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK,
TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW,
ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

Published:

— *with international search report*

**ACCOUNTING METHOD AND LOGIC FOR DETERMINING PER-THREAD PROCESSOR RESOURCE
UTILIZATION IN A SIMULTANEOUS MULTI-THREADED (SMT) PROCESSOR**

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Technical Field

The present invention relates generally to processors and computing systems, and more particularly, to a simultaneous multi-threaded (SMT) processor. The present invention also relates to processor usage accounting systems.

Description of the Related Art

Present-day high-speed processors include the capability of simultaneous execution of instructions, speculative execution and loading of instructions and simultaneous operation of various resources within a processor. In particular, it has been found desirable to manage execution of one or more threads within a processor, so that more than one execution thread may use the processor and so that resources more effectively than they are typically used by a single thread.

Prior processor designs have dealt with the problem of managing multiple threads via a hardware state switch from execution of one thread to execution of another thread. Such processors are known as hardware multi-threaded (HMT) processors, and as such, can provide a hardware switch between execution of one or the other thread. An HMT processor overcomes the limitations of waiting on a stalled thread by permitting the hardware to switch execution to another thread. Execution of both threads can be performed not simultaneously, but by allocating execution slices to each thread when the other thread experiences a stall condition such as a cache miss.

Simultaneous multi-threaded (SMT) processors provide an even more efficient use of processor resources, as multiple threads may simultaneously use processor resources. Multiple threads are concurrently executed in an SMT processor so that multiple processor execution units, such as floating point units, fixed point instruction units, load/store units and others can be performing tasks for one (or more depending on the execution units' capabilities) of multiple threads simultaneously. Storage and register resources may also be allocated on a per-thread basis so that the complete internal state switch of the HMT is avoided.

Accounting for processor time use is necessary for administration of computer services sales, as well as for internal cost-accounting management when, for example, some processor runs are for research and development activities that permit the hardware to be capitalized in a different manner for tax purposes than other uses. A server may be partitioned and processor time sold to multiple users "on demand" or on an as-used basis. Additionally, processor time may be utilized by hardware owners or lessors and also subcontracted out to entities paying for services. Therefore, accurate accounting for processor execution time is a necessity in computer architectural and software models. Also, processor time usage, especially on a per-thread basis, is useful for profiling programs for optimization.

In single-threaded processing systems, accounting is generally straightforward. A count of processor cycle use or even simple "wall-clock" time measurement can be provided for complete job runs, as even if multiple threads within multiple programs are executed, they are not executed simultaneously, but sequentially. A tally of cycle times is maintained until a job is complete and the total is presented for accounting purposes. The measured time correlates directly to processor resource utilization.

In an HMT machine, the task is similar in that within the processor hardware, only one thread is executing at a time and multiple counters are used to track the processor time usage of each thread while, by running a counter only while its associated thread is active. However, in an SMT processor, two or more threads may be simultaneously executing within a single processor core and the usage of resources by each thread is not easily determined by a simple execution count or time measurement.

It is therefore desirable to provide a method and apparatus that can account for processor time usage in an SMT processor. It is further desirable to provide a method for accounting for resource usage within an SMT processor usage among threads executing within such a processor.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The objective of accounting for processor time usage within a simultaneous multi-threaded (SMT) processor is accomplished in a processor having processor utilization counters and a method for accounting for processor time usage.

The processor incorporates multiple processor usage cycle counters, one associated with each thread executing within a processor to provide a count corresponding to processor resource usage by each thread. Relative resource usage is detection on a per-thread basis and is used to update the cycle counters. The resource usage detection may be carried out by detecting the presence of a particular cycle state indicating active instruction processing for a thread. The cycle state is detected by sampling periodically, which may be at each clock cycle, and the counters are incremented (or alternatively decremented) in conformity with the detected cycle state. The detected state is chosen to be indicative of relative processor resource usage by each thread.

The detected cycle state may be a dispatch of an instruction for a thread. Cycles for which neither thread is in the particular cycle state may be charged evenly to each thread, or the cycle may be charged in conformity with a last dispatch state for all threads. Alternatively, non-indicating cycles may be charged in conformity with an ordered thread priority, which gives an indication of relative thread resource usage. Cycles in which one thread is in the particular cycle state and the other thread is not may be charged fully to the thread in the particular cycle state, thus providing for charging active threads over idle threads. Also, cycles may be charged in conformity with fractional values indicating the number of resources used in a given cycle by each thread.

The processor may be capable of both SMT and single-threaded (ST) operating modes, and the accounting may be selectively responsive to a selected mode, charging all cycles to a single thread executing in ST mode.

The foregoing and other objectives, features, and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following, more particular, description of the preferred embodiment of the invention, as illustrated in the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The novel features believed characteristic of the invention are set forth in the appended claims. The invention itself, however, as well as a preferred mode of use, further objectives, and advantages thereof, will best be understood by reference to the following detailed description of an illustrative embodiment when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein like reference numerals indicate like components, and:

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a system in accordance with an embodiment of the invention.

Figure 2 is a block diagram of a processor core in accordance with an embodiment of the invention.

Figure 3 is a block diagram of details of functional units within processor core **10** of **Figure 2**.

Figure 4 is a flowchart depicting a method in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENT

With reference now to the figures, and in particular with reference to **Figure 1**, there is depicted a block diagram of a system in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. The system includes a processor group **5** that may be connected to other processor groups via a bridge **37** forming a super-scalar processor. Processor group **5** is connected to an L3 cache unit **36** system local memory **38** and various peripherals **34**, as well as to two service processors **34A** and **34B**. Service processors provide fault supervision, startup assistance and test capability to processor group **5** and may have their own interconnect paths to other processor groups as well as connecting all of processors **30A-D**.

Within processor group **5** are a plurality of processors **30A-D**, generally fabricated in a single unit and including a plurality of processor cores **10A** and **10B** coupled to an L2 cache **32** and a memory controller **4**. Cores **10A** and **10B** provide instruction execution and operation on data values for general-purpose processing functions. Bridge **37**, as well as other bridges within the system provide communication over wide buses with other processor groups and bus **35** provide connection of processors **30A-D**, bridge **37**, peripherals **34**, L3 cache **36** and system local memory **38**. Other global system memory may be coupled external to bridge **37** for symmetrical access by all processor groups.

Processor cores **10A** and **10B** are simultaneous multi-threaded (SMT) processors capable of concurrent execution of multiple threads. Processor cores **10A** and **10B** further support a single-threaded operating mode for efficient execution of a single thread when program execution conditions dictate single threaded operation, e.g., when high-priority program

execution must be completed by a known time, or when one thread in a multi-threaded processor is known to be idle. Multi-threading introduces some inefficiencies over full-time execution of a single-thread, but overall there is a system efficiency advantage as threads are often idle waiting on other tasks to complete. Therefore transitioning between single-threaded and multi-threaded mode provides an advantage in adapting to one or more of the above-described conditions, and embodiments of the present invention provide accounting for processor time in a manner consistent with a processor that provides processor time accounting responsive to such transitions.

Referring now to **Figure 2**, details of a processor core **10** having features identical to processor cores **10A** and **10B** is depicted. A bus interface unit **23** connects processor core **10** to other SMT processors and peripherals and connects L1 Dcache **22** for storing data values, L1 Icache **20** for storing program instructions and cache interface unit **21** to external memory, processor and other devices. L1 Icache **20** provides loading of instruction streams in conjunction with instruction fetch unit IFU **16**, which prefetches instructions and may include speculative loading and branch prediction capabilities. An instruction sequencer unit (ISU) **12** controls sequencing of instructions issued to various internal units such as a fixed point unit (FXU) **14** for executing general operations and a floating point unit (FPU) **15** for executing floating point operations. Global completion tables (GCT) **13** track the instructions issued by ISU **12** via tags until the particular execution unit targeted by the instruction indicates the instructions have completed execution.

Fixed point unit **14** and floating point unit **15** are coupled to various resources such as general-purpose registers (GPR) **18A**, floating point registers (FPR) **18B**, condition registers (CR) **18C**, rename buffers **18D**, count registers/link registers (CTR/LR) **18E** and exception registers (XER) **18F**. GPR **18A** and FPR **18B** provide data value storage for data values loaded and stored from L1 Dcache **22** by load store unit (LSU) **19**. CR **18C** stores conditional branching information and rename buffers **18D** (which may comprise several rename units associated with the various internal execution units) provides operand and result storage for the execution units. XER **18F** stores branch and fixed point exception information and CTR/LR **18E** stores branch link information and count information for program branch execution. GPR **18A**, FPR **18B**, CR **18C**, rename buffers **18D**, CTR/LR **18E** and XER **18F** are resources that include some fixed (architected) registers that store information during execution of a program and must be

provided as a fixed set for each executing thread, other non-architected registers within the above resources are free for rename use. Control logic **11** is coupled to various execution units and resources within processor core **10**, and is used to provide pervasive control of execution units and resources. An SCOM/XSCOM interface unit **25** provides a connection to external service processors **34A-B**.

Referring now to **Figure 3**, details of functional blocks within processor core **10** are depicted. ISU **12** indicates to control logic **11** when instructions are dispatched for particular threads executing with processor core **10**. Control logic **11** updates half-cycle counters **42A** and **42B** selectively depending on whether instructions were dispatched by ISU **12** for a thread associated with a given counter (thread 0 for half-cycle counter **42A** and thread 1 for half-cycle counter **42B**) in a given cycle as detected by a dispatch detect circuit **44**. Outputs of half-cycle counters **42A** and **42B** are latched by a latches **43A** and **43B** that are coupled to timebase cycle counter **47**. On each 8th processor cycle of timebase cycle counter **47**, the output of latches **43A** and **43B** are provided to increment thread usage counters **41A** and/or **41B**, providing an update of thread usage counters **41A** and/or **41B** every 8 processor cycles that the timebase cycle counter **47** is active (which will preclude special operations where the timebase is inactive from being charged to active threads). Timebase cycle counter **47** resets latches **43A** and **43B** after the increment. The above-described action provides counts at the outputs of thread usage counters **41A** and/or **41B** that are fractions of the timebase counter for the processor and can be used directly to compute the relative thread resource usage in a "usage time" value.

In accordance with alternative embodiments of the invention, other particular cycle states can be used by control logic **11** and ISU **12** (or other execution unit within processor core **10**) to trigger an update of half-cycle counter **42A** and/or **42B** in each cycle, but instruction dispatch is chosen in the exemplary illustration of the invention, as instruction dispatch provides a mechanism for measuring the commencing of each processing activity using processor core **10**, instead of the progress or completion of such activities, which are dependent on code efficiency. For example, an inefficiently coded program may generate many incorrect branch predictions and consequent prefetches and flushes, using critical processor resources while generating few completions. Therefore, use of instruction completions rather than instruction dispatches would generate a lower processor time usage measurement for thread executing inefficient

code. Therefore, the use of instruction dispatch as the particular trigger event for updating half-cycle counters **41A** and **41B** provides an estimate of processor resource usage that does not "reward" inefficient code.

While prior accounting models have been able to allocate essentially all of processor core **10** time to a particular thread, due to the lack of simultaneous execution of threads in a given processor, in an SMT processor, "billing" of processor time usage on a per-thread basis must be distributed across the two or more threads that may be simultaneously executing within processor core **10**. When ISU **12** is not dispatching instructions for any thread using processor core **10**, it is still necessary to account for the use of processor core **10** by all of the threads. The threads may not be commencing new work in the form of dispatched instructions but are still "tying up" processor core **10**, or may be active in phases of execution other than instruction dispatch. For example, one thread may be causing long prefetch sequences and another thread may be performing a lengthy floating point operation. Processor resources and execution units are being used actively by both threads, while only a small number of instruction completions would be associated with processing intervals in accordance with the above example. Another particular instruction state that is preferable to completions are instruction fetches, that would provide an indication similar to that of the instruction dispatch, but providing usage counts biased more toward IFU **16** and L1Icache **20** usage, rather than the usage of execution units including FXU **14**, FPU **15** and their associated resources.

Therefore, the exemplary embodiment described herein provides a mechanism for accounting for all processor core **10** cycles based on an estimate of execution unit and resource usage, even when no dispatch occurs in a given cycle (or in alternative embodiments, other particular cycle states or resource usages detected by control logic **11**). In cycles where no instruction dispatch occurs for any thread, the cycle is accounted an equal division of the cycle value for each thread executing within processor core **10** (including idle threads that have not been unloaded from processor core **10** and are still tying up processor resources). But, in an alternative embodiment or selectable behavior of control logic **11**, control logic **11** may remember the last dispatch state and may use that to update half-cycle counter **42A** and/or **42B** instead. Another alternative is for control logic **11** to use the relative thread priorities set in processor core **10** to fractionally divide the clock cycle value used to updated half-cycle counter **42A** and/or **42B** in order to properly allocate a cycle in which no dispatch occurs for any thread.

Similarly, when instructions are dispatched in a given cycle for more than one thread, the cycle is accounted an equal division of the cycle value for each thread for which instructions are dispatched. Or again, control logic **11** may use the relative thread priorities set in processor core **10** to fractionally divide the clock cycle value used to updated half-cycle counter **42A** and/or **42B** in order to properly allocate a cycle in which dispatches occur for all threads.

Therefore, in the equal division methodology (and not the priority or prior cycle scheme listed as alternatives above), in a processor core that supports the execution of one or two threads, a one-half value is accounted to each thread for cycles in which no dispatch occurs or in cycles in which an instruction dispatch occurs for each thread. In cycles where an instruction dispatch occurs for only one thread, the thread for which instruction dispatch occurs is billed for the entire cycle. Control logic **11** detects one of four potential states: neither thread dispatching, thread 0 dispatching, thread 1 dispatching, or both threads dispatching, and updates thread 0 half-cycle counter **42A** and thread 0 half-cycle counter **42B** in conformity with the above-described cycle billing distribution.

Since the lowest distribution value described above is for an allocation of one-half cycle increments, half-cycle counters **42A** and **42B** are updated by an increment (or decrement) of 1 for the half-cycle or 2 for the full cycle allocation. In practice, each of thread usage counters **41A** and **41B** are updated at one eighth of the possible instruction dispatch rate for chip area, power and timing reasons (or due to the rate of timebase cycle counter **47** which is chosen as 1/8 of the processor cycle time for the same reasons), so the four-bit half-cycle counters **42A** and **42B** that do not form part of the "architected" thread usage counters provide an effective 8 cycle usage count per overflow (since a value of two will be allocated to the total increment of half-cycle counters **42A** and **42B**). Half-cycle counters **42A** and **42B** overflow into the portion of thread usage counters **41A** and **41B** that are read by fixed point unit **14** when an accounting routine (program) retrieves the processor cycle time usage information. Half-cycle counters **42A** and **42B** are implemented as adders that add two sub-counts for a full cycle allocation or one-sub count for a half cycle allocation.

In an alternative embodiment of the present invention, processor cycle time usage unit **40** uses an addition to increment (or subtraction to decrement) thread usage counters **41A** and **41B**. The added value is

determined by dispatch detect circuit **44** detecting that multiple dispatches are being made for a given thread, and the associated sub-counter is incremented by (has added to it) a value proportional to the ratio of the number of instructions dispatched for the thread to the total number of instructions dispatched for all threads for the cycle. For example, when processor core **10** is executing two threads and in a given cycle 3 instructions are dispatched for a first thread and one instruction is dispatched for the second thread, a value of 3/4 is allocated to the thread usage counter for the first thread and 1/4 is allocated to the thread usage counter for the second thread. If no dispatches are made in a given cycle, the cycle is still allocated evenly between the threads.

Referring now to **Figure 4**, a method in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention is depicted in a flowchart. The method depicted is for accounting within an SMT processor having an SMT and an ST mode and is capable of simultaneously executing multiple threads in SMT mode. If the processor is in ST mode (**decision 50**) the thread cycle counter for the executing thread is updated by 1 cycle value every cycle (**step 51**). If the processor is in SMT mode (**decision 50**) if only one thread is dispatching (**decision 52**), the associated thread cycle counter is updated by 1 cycle value (**step 53**). If all threads are dispatching (**decision 54**), the thread cycle counters for all threads are updated equally according to a fraction of a cycle determined by the number of threads or according to the number of dispatches issued (or resources used) for each thread (**step 55**). If no threads are dispatching, the thread cycle counters can be updated equally, or in proportion to the last dispatch sampling, or in proportion to their priority (**step 57**). Finally if no threads are dispatching, the thread cycle counters can likewise be updated equally, or in proportion to the last dispatch sampling, or in proportion to their priority (**step 58**)

While the invention has been particularly shown and described with reference to the preferred embodiment thereof, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that the foregoing and other changes in form, and details may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

CLAIMS

1. A method of accounting for processor time usage in a processor, comprising:

at a periodic interval, determining relative resource usage of all threads executing within said processor; and

in response to said determining, updating a plurality of processor resource usage counts each associated with a particular one of a plurality of threads in conformity with said relative resource usage.

2. The method of Claim 1, wherein said periodic interval is each processor clock cycle, and wherein said determining determines whether or not each thread is in a particular cycle state.

3. The method of Claim 2, wherein said determining further determines a number of resources for which each thread is in a particular cycle state.

4. The method of Claim 3, wherein said updating fractionally updates each of said plurality of said processor resource usage counts in conformity with said determined number of resources used by said associated thread.

5. The method of Claim 2 or 3, wherein said particular cycle state is a state indicating an instruction dispatch for a thread.

6. The method of any of Claims 2 to 5, wherein in response to said determining determining that one or more of said plurality of threads are in said particular cycle state, said updating equally updates each of said plurality of said processor resource usage counts associated with said one or more threads.

7. The method of any of Claims 2 to 6, wherein said updating equally updates each of said plurality of said processor resource usage counts in response to said determining determining that none of said plurality of threads are in said particular cycle state.

8. The method of any of Claims 2 to 7, wherein said updating fractionally updates each of said plurality of said processor resource usage counts in conformity with a determined number of resources used by said associated thread from a prior one of said periodic intervals, in

response to said determining further determining that none of said plurality of threads are in said particular cycle state.

9. The method of any of Claims 2 to 8, wherein said updating fractionally updates each of said processor resource usage counts in conformity with a priority level of said associated thread, in response to said determining determining that none of said plurality of threads are in said particular cycle state.

10. The method of any of Claims 3 to 9, wherein said processor has a single-threaded mode of operation and a multi-threaded mode of operation and further comprising:

determining whether or not said processor is in said single-threaded mode; and

in response to determining that said processor is in said single-threaded mode, performing said updating such that each processor cycle is attributed to a single thread executing within said processor.

11. A processor supporting concurrent execution of a plurality of threads, said processor comprising:

a plurality of resources used by said plurality of threads;

an instruction control unit coupled to said plurality of resources, wherein said instruction control unit controls a number of resources used by said processor at periodic intervals;

a plurality of processor resource usage cycle counters, each associated with a particular one of said plurality of threads; and

a logic circuit coupled to said instruction control unit and said processor resource usage cycle counters, wherein said logic circuit updates each of said processor resource usage cycle counters in conformity with a determination of relative resource usage by said associated thread.

12. The processor of Claim 11, wherein said periodic interval is each processor clock cycle, and wherein said logic circuit determines whether or not each thread is in a particular cycle state.

13. The processor of Claim 12, wherein said control logic further determines a number of resources for which each thread is in a particular cycle state.

14. The processor of Claim 13, wherein said control logic fractionally updates each of said plurality of said processor resource usage counts in conformity with said determined number of resources used by said associated thread.

15. The processor of Claim 12, 13 or 14 wherein said particular cycle state is a state indicating an instruction dispatch for a thread.

16. The processor of any of Claims 12 to 15, wherein said control logic, in response to determining that one or more of said plurality of threads are in said particular cycle state, equally updates each of said plurality of said processor resource usage counts associated with said one or more threads.

17. The processor of any of Claims 12 to 16, wherein said control logic equally updates each of said plurality of said processor resource usage counts in response to determining that none of said plurality of threads are in said particular cycle state.

18. The processor of any of Claims 12 to 17, wherein said control logic fractionally updates each of said plurality of said processor resource usage counts in conformity with a determined number of resources used by said associated thread in a prior one of said periodic intervals, in response to determining that none of said plurality of threads are in said particular cycle state.

19. The processor of any of Claims 12 to 18, wherein said control logic fractionally updates each of said plurality of processor resource usage counts in conformity with a priority level of said associated thread, in response to said determining that none of said plurality of threads are in said particular cycle state.

20. The processor of any of Claims 11 to 19, wherein said processor has a single-threaded mode of operation and a multi-threaded mode of operation, and wherein said control logic determines whether or not said processor is in said single-threaded mode, and in response to determining that said processor is in said single-threaded mode, and updates said

processor resource usage cycle counter associated with a single thread executing within said processor at each cycle.

21. A processor supporting concurrent execution of a plurality of threads, said processor comprising:

a plurality of resources used by said plurality of threads;

an instruction control unit coupled to said plurality of resources, wherein said instruction control unit controls a dispatch of instructions within processor at each clock cycle;

a plurality of processor resource usage cycle counters, each associated with a particular one of said plurality of threads; and

a logic circuit coupled to said instruction control unit and said processor resource usage cycle counters, wherein said logic circuit updates each of said processor resource usage cycle counters equally if said instruction control unit is dispatching instructions for none or all of said threads, and updates said processor resource usage cycle counter associated with a first thread if said instruction control unit is dispatching instructions for only said first thread.

22. The processor of Claim 21, wherein said processor has a single-threaded mode of operation and a multi-threaded mode of operation, and wherein said control logic determines whether or not said processor is in said single-threaded mode, and in response to determining that said processor is in said single-threaded mode, and updates said processor resource usage cycle counter associated with a single thread executing within said processor at each cycle.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2





Fig. 3

Fig. 4



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/GB2004/001586

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5 835 705 A (RANDOLPH JACK CHRIS ET AL) 10 November 1998 (1998-11-10) column 4, line 65 - column 5, line 4; figures 4-6 -----	10,20
Y	US 6 535 905 B1 (KALAFATIS STAVROS ET AL) 18 March 2003 (2003-03-18) column 2, lines 1-12 -----	5,15

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/GB2004/001586

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2001056456	A1	27-12-2001	NONE	
US 6175814	B1	16-01-2001	NONE	
US 5835705	A	10-11-1998	CN 1193144 A	16-09-1998
			GB 2324393 A , B	21-10-1998
			JP 10275100 A	13-10-1998
			SG 60202 A1	22-02-1999
			TW 385387 B	21-03-2000
			US 6052708 A	18-04-2000
US 6535905	B1	18-03-2003	AU 4480200 A	17-11-2000
			CN 1364261 T	14-08-2002
			EP 1185924 A2	13-03-2002
			TW 476915 B	21-02-2002
			WO 0067113 A2	09-11-2000
			US 2003023834 A1	30-01-2003
			US 2003018685 A1	23-01-2003
			US 2003023835 A1	30-01-2003
			US 2003023658 A1	30-01-2003
			US 2003023659 A1	30-01-2003
			US 2003018686 A1	23-01-2003
			US 2003018687 A1	23-01-2003