

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
18 October 2001 (18.10.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/76722 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **B01D 45/12**,
B04C 5/20 // B01J 8/00, F23C 10/08, F23J 15/02

(21) International Application Number: PCT/FI01/00337

(22) International Filing Date: 6 April 2001 (06.04.2001)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
20000832 7 April 2000 (07.04.2000) FI

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **FOSTER WHEELER ENERGIA OY** [FI/FI]; Nuijamiestentie 3, FIN-00400 Helsinki (FI).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **HYPPÄNEN, Timo** [FI/FI]; Siikakoskenpolku 10, FIN-48710 Karhula (FI).

(74) Agent: **FOSTER WHEELER ENERGIA OY**; Patent Department, Antintie 3, P.O. Box 66, FIN-48601 Karhula (FI).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.


(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SEPARATING PARTICLES FROM HOT GASES



(57) **Abstract:** Method and apparatus for separating solid particles from gas exhausted from the reaction chamber of a fluidized bed reactor, the separator apparatus comprising a vortex chamber which is in horizontal direction defined by vertically-extending outer walls formed of planar water tube panels, the inside of the walls being at least partly provided with a refractory lining and defining a gas space in the vortex chamber, where at least one vertical gas vortex is established, at least one gas inlet, at least one gas outlet; and at least one solids outlet, in which apparatus the vertically-extending outer walls of the vortex chamber form at least one corner, the angle between the sides of which exceeds 90 degrees, the corner being rounded by a refractory lining on the inside of the outer walls.

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SEPARATING PARTICLES FROM HOT GASES

The present invention relates to a method and an apparatus for separating particles from hot gases according to the preambles of
5 the appended independent claims.

Thus, the invention relates to a centrifugal separator assembly and a method of separating particles in a centrifugal separator assembly attached to a fluidized bed reactor, for separating solid
10 particles from gas exhausted from the reaction chamber of the fluidized bed reactor, which separator assembly comprises a vortex chamber which is in horizontal direction defined by vertically-extending outer walls formed of planar water tube panels, the inside of the walls being provided with a refractory lining and
15 defining a gas space in the vortex chamber, where at least one vertical gas vortex is established; at least one inlet for introducing gas into the gas space from the reaction chamber; at least one outlet for discharging purified gas from the gas space; and at least one outlet for discharging separated solid particles
20 from the gas space.

The present invention relates especially to centrifugal separators utilized for separating solid particles from the process and product gases of fluidized bed reactors, especially circulating
25 fluidized bed reactors used for combustion or gasification of carbonaceous or other fuels.

It is generally known how the inlet and outlet ducts of a centrifugal separator should be arranged so as to make the flue
30 gas entering through the inlet duct produce a vertical gas vortex. Conventional centrifugal separator assemblies include one or more centrifugal separators, i.e. cyclones, defined by an outer wall having a shape of a right circular cylinder, and a conical bottom. The cyclones of a fluidized bed reactor are traditionally
35 manufactured as uncooled structures provided with a refractory lining, though the walls of the fluidized bed reactor itself are generally formed of cooled water tube panels. When connecting an uncooled particle separator to a cooled reaction chamber, it is

necessary to consider the varying thermal motion and use such arrangements that enable the relative motion, even if these arrangements are expensive and susceptible to damage. Cylindrical cyclones have also been manufactured as structures formed of water tubes, whereby the temperature difference between the cyclone and the cooled reactor chamber will remain small. To provide a water tube wall construction of a cylindrical form and connect it to the surrounding constructions requires though a lot of manual labor and is therefore expensive.

E.g. US patent No. 4,880,450 discloses a method, by which a cooled cylindrical cyclone can be connected to the furnace of a fluidized bed boiler and to the heat recovery section thereof. The cylindrical upper section of the cyclone comprises water or steam tubes attached to each other, the inner surface of which is covered with insulative material. The separator according to the patent can be connected to a cooled environment without separate elements enabling the relative motion, but the construction requires a lot of effort and is therefore expensive.

US patent No. 5,281,398 discloses an arrangement, in which particles are separated from hot gases in a centrifugal separator, the vortex chamber of which is composed of planar water tube panels. Thus, the gas space of the vortex chamber is polygonal in horizontal cross section, preferably quadrate or rectangular. This kind of a separator is inexpensive to manufacture and it can easily be connected to a reactor furnace formed of similar wall panels, whereby a compact unit is established. Traditionally, the gas volume of a separator vortex chamber is cylindrical, as the cylindrical space interferes with the maintaining of the gas vortex velocity to as small a degree as possible. The invention disclosed in US patent No. 5,281,398 is, however, based on the fact that a gas vortex can be established also in a space polygonal in cross section. In a cylindrical separator the particles separated by centrifugal forces are driven to the vortex circumference and flow downwardly along the inner walls of the vortex chamber. Appropriate operation of an polygonal separator is based on the fact that the corners of the gas space enhance the

separation of the particles and serve as suitable flow-down areas for the separated particles.

US patent No. 4,615,715 discloses an assembly, in which a
5 cylindrical cyclone manufactured of abrasion resistant material is
disposed inside a cooled enclosure which is quadrate in cross
section. In this arrangement the shape of the gas space is ideal
for maintaining the vortex velocity and nevertheless, the
manufacture of the water tube panels for the separator enclosure
10 can be automated and the separator can straightforwardly be
connected to a cooled environment. In the arrangement according to
the patent the relatively large space between the annular inner
space and the quadrate outer enclosure is filled with suitable
material. The problem with this material is that it serves as a
15 heat insulator and increases the weight and heat capacity of the
separator. Thus, it increases the temperature of the separator's
inner wall during operation and adds to its thermal inertia. Large
and rapid changes of temperature can cause damage to the material
in the intermediate space, which adds to the maintenance and
20 reparation costs. Therefore, the changes of temperature in the
separator need to be sufficiently slow, which fact is to be
considered when changing the capacity of the plant and especially
during start-ups and shut-downs. Further, the innermost surface of
the material has to be very abrasion resistant and therefore the
25 filling of the intermediate space is done by a special multi-layer
technique. This adds, however, to the construction costs and makes
the separator structure complicated.

It is an object of the present invention to provide an improved
30 centrifugal separator assembly and a method of separating
particles from hot gases.

In particular, it is an object of the present invention to provide
a compact centrifugal separator assembly and a method of
35 separating particles, which assembly is less expensive to
manufacture and the degree of particle separation of which method
is high.

Moreover, it is an object of the present invention to provide a method of separating particles and a centrifugal separator apparatus with minor need for maintenance, which apparatus can, preferably, be connected to a cooled reaction chamber.

5

In order to achieve these and other objects a centrifugal separator assembly, the characterizing features of which are disclosed in the characterizing part of the independent apparatus claim, is provided.

10

Thus, it is characteristic of the centrifugal separator assembly according to the present invention that the vertically-extending outer walls of the vortex chamber form at least one corner, the angle between the sides of which exceeds 90 degrees, the corner
15 being rounded by a refractory lining on the inside of the outer walls.

In order to achieve the objects a method of separating particles, the characterizing features of which are disclosed in the
20 characterizing part of the independent method claim, is also provided.

Thus, it is characteristic of the method of separating particles according to the present invention that the gas exhausted from the
25 reaction chamber of a fluidized bed reactor is in the vortex chamber brought to hit at least one corner rounded by a refractory lining on the inside of the outer walls, the angle between the vertically-extending outer walls of which corner exceeds 90 degrees.

30

The arrangement according to the present invention combines the advantages of planar cooling surfaces and a rounded gas space and avoids the disadvantages of thick refractory layers by providing the outer wall of the vortex chamber with a polygonal horizontal
35 cross section, where at least some of the angles are more than 90 degrees.

Separators according to US patent No 5,281,398, in which the gas space of the vortex chamber is a polygon in horizontal cross section, operate flawlessly in normal operational conditions. It has been discovered, however, that a particularly advantageous construction can be provided for the new generation separators by using such gas velocities and separator design standards that differ from those used earlier. As such development of separators is further encouraged, the angles of the gas space may in some applications cause restrictions for the total design of the reactor.

It has been discovered that in some applications the operation of a polygonal separator can be further improved by rounding off one or more corners formed by the outer walls of the vortex chamber. Further, so as to minimize structural problems and problems related to the endurance of the construction caused by rounding the corners, it is essential in the present arrangement that the angle between the planar panels of the outer wall of the vortex chamber is at the rounded outer corner distinctly over 90 degrees.

It is previously known on the basis of US patent No. 5,738,712 that the gas flow entering a rectangular vortex chamber and the gas vortex in the vortex chamber can disrupt each other unless the gas vortex is redirected in the direction of the incoming jet in the corner formed by a partition wall connected to the inlet opening. The present invention is, however, related to another problem, i.e. the gas vortex possibly remaining less optimal in the corner area of the vortex chamber.

When a vertical circular cylinder is surrounded by four vertically-extending planar panels perpendicular to each other and in a tangential relationship to the cylinder, the distance between the planar panels and the cylinder surface at the corners is about 0.414 times the cylinder radius. Consequently, if the refractory lining is provided so that the thickness of the layer in the middle of the planar panels is e.g. 0.05 times the cylinder radius, the layer is more than eight times thicker at the corners. Thus, especially in the corner areas the thermal conductivity of

the refractory layer may be low and the cooling of the outer surface is not necessarily able to keep the temperature of the inner surface low enough. Moreover, the varying thickness of the refractory lining can cause considerable temperature differences and thereby increase the risk of the layer getting damaged. A thick layer also adds to the weight of the structure and thereby causes problems related to supporting the structure.

If the cylinder is surrounded by five panels, instead of four, the angle between the panels is 108 degrees and the distance between the panels and the cylinder surface is only 0,236 times the cylinder radius at the corners. With six, seven and eight panels, the angles therebetween being 120, 128.6 and 135 degrees respectively, the distance is 0.154, 0.110 and 0.082 times the cylinder radius, respectively. Thus, the maximum thickness of the refractory layer as well as its weight and heat capacity decrease substantially, even when the angle of the separator corner is e.g. 108 degrees instead of 90 degrees. If the angle is 135 degrees, the maximum layer thickness required by the rounding is only a fifth part of what is required by the rounding of a right angle. The thermal conductivity of a thin refractory lining is high and relatively even in the various parts of the outer wall of the vortex chamber, whereby the maximum temperatures of the layer under operation decrease and the temperature differences in the various wall parts are diminished.

According to a preferred embodiment of the present invention each separator corner is rounded and approximately of the same size. In this case the number of corners is preferably 5, 6, 7 or 8 and the angles about 108, 120, 128.6 or 135 degrees, respectively. When the number of the separator corners is six or eight, a plurality of separators can preferably be connected to each other and/or to the furnace. Most preferably the separator has eight corners, whereby the parallel walls between the separator and the reaction chamber as well as between adjacent separators can be utilized when designing the structure. In some special cases it can, though, i.e. for arranging a particular support structure and a

gas inlet duct, be advantageous to manufacture also such separators, in which the number of corners is odd.

5 According to another preferred embodiment only some particle separator corners are rounded. In this case the sizes of the rounded corners can be different from the ones mentioned above. Preferably, the angles are, though, between about 110 - 150 degrees, more preferably about 135 degrees. Most preferably a separator including angles of various sizes can have a basic shape
10 of a polygon, some angles being right and not rounded and the others beveled by a planar panel and rounded by a refractory lining.

According to one preferable arrangement a particle-laden gas flow
15 entering through an inlet opening hits first, nearly perpendicularly, a wall or the other side of a right-angled corner, but after the first impact the gas flow hits at least one rounded corner. In this kind of an arrangement the first corner or wall in the vortex chamber serves as a suitable spot for
20 separating particles, but in the rounded corners after that, the aim is to maintain the velocity of the gas flow on as high level as possible.

The rounding of the corners can preferably be arranged so that in
25 the section of the vortex chamber outer wall that includes a plurality of corners the vortex chamber inner wall is continuously cylindrical. In other words the radius of curvature of the rounding is approximately the same as the distance between the center of the vortex established in the vortex chamber and the
30 inner wall of the vortex chamber. Another preferable way is to provide separate rounding in each corner area, whereby the radius of curvature of the rounding is smaller than mentioned above and a straight inner wall surface remains between the rounded parts requiring only a thin, even refractory lining to protect the wall.
35 The thickness required by the even refractory lining depends on the materials used and the operational conditions, being typically at least about 15 - 70 mm. In order to achieve the benefits gained by the rounding according to the present invention, its radius of

curvature should not be too small. Preferably, the radius of curvature of the rounding is at least about one third of the radius of the vortex established in the vortex chamber, i.e. of the distance between the vortex center and the inner wall of the vortex chamber.

When using a short radius of curvature the roundness of the chamber is not complete, but the amount of the refractory lining on the walls is even smaller than in the case of a continuously cylindrical vortex chamber. In some cases, due to the varying characteristics of the corners, it can be preferable to use various radii of curvature for the rounding in different corners. A special case according to this principle is the one, in which one or more corners formed by the outer walls are rounded and one or more not rounded.

The horizontal cross section of the vortex chamber can preferably be either nearly circular, whereby only one gas vortex is established in the vortex chamber, or oblong and shaped in a manner allowing more than one gas vortices to be established in the vortex chamber. The width of the horizontal cross section of the vortex chamber, i.e. the dimension of the vortex chamber extending in the direction of the reaction chamber wall closest to the vortex chamber, is preferably about twice the depth perpendicular to the width, whereby two adjacent gas vortices can preferably be established in the vortex chamber.

The gas inlet ducts to a vortex chamber of two gas vortices are located most preferably in the middle of the vortex chamber wall on the reaction chamber side, but they can also be disposed separately from each other, in the proximity of the outer corners of the vortex chamber wall on the reaction chamber side. The wall facing the inlet ducts arranged in the middle of the wall in the vortex chamber of two vortices on the reaction chamber side can be straight, whereby the gas flow entering the vortex chamber hits the wall almost perpendicularly. Alternatively, a wall section formed of planar water tube panels and being triangular in cross section can be provided in the middle of the wall, by rounding of

which wall section the gas flow is brought to hit a rounded wall first.

To ensure the structural strength and high separation capacity two
5 or more smaller separators, instead of one large separator, are
often constructed in a large reaction chamber. When using several
cooled cylindrical separators, the large proportion of manual work
adds to the costs excessively. Thus, for economical reasons, it is
sometimes necessary to use larger separators than is, in fact,
10 optimal. In these cases it is not always certain that a high
separation capacity can be accomplished in all conditions and
therefore, to ensure the structural strength, space consuming and
cost increasing arrangements have to be used. When using the
structure according to the present invention even small separators
15 can be manufactured at low cost, whereby such separators, being
easy to support and optimal as regards the separation capacity,
can be used.

When the outer walls of the vortex chambers manufactured according
20 to the present invention include e.g. eight angles, two adjacent
vortex chambers can preferably be arranged so that their sides run
parallel, whereby the parallel wall panels of the vortex chambers
can straightforwardly be connected to each other. The adjacent
vortex chambers can also advantageously be interconnected in such
25 a manner that they share a common straight wall section.

Centrifugal separator assemblies according to the present
invention can preferably be arranged in conjunction with a
reaction chamber so that some of the planar outer wall panels of
30 the vortex chamber are parallel to the planar wall of the reaction
chamber, whereby the vortex chamber can easily be attached to the
reaction chamber wall. The vortex chambers can also advantageously
be manufactured so that the wall sections of the vortex chambers
on the reaction chamber side are shared by the reaction chamber.

35 The possibility to use common wall sections between two separators
or between the separator and the furnace is one of the advantages
of a separator formed of planar tube panel walls, as it makes it

possible to reduce the manufacturing costs considerably. The common wall sections cannot, however, be easily supported from either side of the wall section, whereby the width of this kind of a common wall has in practice a certain maximum limit. If it is exceeded, two separate walls have to be used. Thus, the support arrangements for the common wall sections can in some cases prevent the utilization of large separators of optimum size.

The width of the planar outer wall of a rectangular separator is always at least as large as the vortex diameter, but the width of an individual wall in the separator according to the present invention can be distinctly smaller than the vortex diameter. Thus, one of the advantages of the separator according to the present invention is that the aforementioned problem related to supporting the common wall sections is encountered only with separators, the gas spaces of which have larger diameters, than those where the problem is encountered when using rectangular separators.

On the basis of the above, the diameter of the vortex chamber in a particle separator according to the present invention can in each individual case be optimized more freely than the diameter of the vortex chamber in cooled cylindrical particle separators or separators with a rectangular outer wall. Preferably, the diameter of the vortex chamber according to the present invention is about 3 - 8 m, e.g. about 5 m.

Since the vortex chambers according to the present invention are not rectangular in cross section, free triangular spaces are established when the vortex chambers are connected to each other and to the reaction chamber. Preferably, e.g. vertical support structures of the entire reactor plant can be disposed in these spaces. These free spaces can preferably also be used for the disposal of various metering and inspections ports as well as sampling connections and feed ducts for various materials.

The invention is illustrated further in the following with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which

FIGURE 1 is a vertical schematic cross-sectional view of a fluidized bed reactor comprising a centrifugal separator according to the present invention;

5

FIGURE 2 is a schematic vertical cross-sectional view of another fluidized bed reactor comprising a centrifugal separator according to the present invention;

10 FIGURE 3 is a cross-sectional view of the centrifugal separator of FIG. 1 or 2 taken along line A-A thereof;

FIGURES 4 through 6 are cross-sectional views like that of FIG. 3 showing alternative embodiments of the centrifugal separator
15 according to the present invention.

FIGURE 1 discloses a circulating fluidized bed reactor 10 comprising a reaction chamber 20, a centrifugal particle separator (cyclone) 40 and a return duct 44 for returning separated
20 particles to the chamber 20. The reaction chamber 20, being rectangular in horizontal cross section, is laterally surrounded by water tube walls, only the walls 22 and 24 of which are shown in FIG. 1. The water tube walls are formed of vertical water tubes connected to each other, as is known per se, by narrow steel ribs
25 welded between the tubes, i.e. by fins. The outer walls of the particle separator 40 are formed of similar planar water tube panels as the reaction chamber 20 walls.

Fuel and other substances needed in the reaction chamber, e.g.
30 solid bed material, are introduced into the reaction chamber through various inlet ducts, only the inlet duct 26 of which is shown in FIG. 1. The bed material in the reaction chamber is fluidized by fluidizing gas 30 introduced through a grid 28 at the bottom thereof. Fluidizing gas, e.g. air, is introduced into the
35 reaction chamber with such a velocity that bed material flows continuously entrained in the gas to the upper section of the reaction chamber 20 and further to a particle separator 40 through an inlet duct 32 disposed in the upper section.

The gas flowing from the reaction chamber 20 forms a vertical gas vortex in the particle separator 40, whereby the particles entrained in the gas are driven to the inner walls of the vortex chamber and fall through the tapered lower section 42 of the
5 vortex chamber to a return duct 44 and further back to the reaction chamber 20. The gas 46 purified of particles exits the separator through the gas outlet duct disposed in the roof section of the vortex chamber, i.e. through the center pipe 48. The
10 structure of the particle separator according to the present invention, detailed in FIG. 3 through 6, is particularly useful when the ratio between the center pipe 48 diameter and the smallest diameter of the particle separator 40 exceeds 0.4, and especially when it is over 0.5. Downstream of the center pipe 48
15 are typically provided, though not shown in FIG. 1, a heat recovery unit, a precipitator and a chimney. The lower section 42 of the particle separator 40 is preferably also formed of planar water tube panels. The lower section of the return duct is provided with an L-bend or another gas lock assembly preventing
20 the gas from flowing from the furnace 20 through the return duct 44 to the particle separator 40.

FIG. 2 is otherwise similar to FIG. 1, but in FIG. 2 the tapered lower section 42 of the separator 40 is asymmetric. Thus, in FIG.
25 2 the common wall 24 shared by the separator 40 incl. the return duct 44 forming an extension thereof, and the furnace 20, extends almost along the entire height of the furnace. FIG. 2 depicts also a heat exchange chamber 52 connected to the lower section of the return duct 44, the bed material circulating from the particle
30 separator 40 being returned to the furnace 20 through an overflow opening 54 connected to the heat exchange chamber. In the assembly according to FIG. 2 the furnace 20, the particle separator 40 and the return duct 44 form an integrated unit which is advantageous as regards the supporting of the structure, space utilization and
35 the manufacturing costs. Especially, when an assembly according to FIG. 2 is used, the unit comprising the upper and lower section 42 of the separator, the return duct 44 and the heat exchange chamber 52 can preferably be manufactured cooled so that a significant

part of the cooling pipes extend from the bottom of the heat exchange chamber up to the separator roof.

Particle separator assemblies according to various embodiments of the present invention are shown in more detail in FIG. 3 through 6. FIGURE 3 is a schematic cross-sectional view of FIG. 1 or 2 taken along line A - A thereof. The gas flowing from the reaction chamber 20 through the inlet duct 32 hits first, nearly perpendicularly, the wall 60 facing the vortex chamber, whereby a significant part of the particles entrained in the gas are retarded essentially and fall down to the lower section of the particle separator.

According to the present invention the corner 62 facing diagonally the inlet duct 32 of the vortex chamber in a nearly quadrate cross section is rounded by a refractory lining 64 so as to maintain the velocity of the gas vortex. The right angle between the perpendicular walls 60 and 66 is beveled by a planar wall section 68 so that two obtuse angles are established. Thus, the weight of the rounding material 64 remains small and its heat conductivity to the cooled outer walls 60, 66 and 68 is high. Compared to the arrangement disclosed in US patent No. 4,615,715 a considerably smaller amount of refractory lining results in a more lightweight and durable construction which is easier to support and cools more effectively.

Since a gently beveled and by a refractory lining rounded corner is more expensive to manufacture than a simple corner, only the corner facing diagonally the inlet duct is rounded in the arrangement according to FIG. 3. Thus, a particle separator which is particularly low in price and still effective, is provided. Naturally, any corners of the separator, if not all, can be beveled and lined with a refractory material. In the embodiment according to FIG. 3 the wall sections which are not rounded are provided with a thin, even refractory layer to protect the separator's water tube walls, which is not, however, shown in the illustration.

In a large fluidized bed reactor, in which a plurality of particle separators are required, a necessary number of parallel particle separators according to FIG. 3 can be provided. Two parallel separators can be disposed so that their inlet ducts run either
5 parallel with respect to the vortex chamber or are disposed symmetrically with respect to the surface between the separators, in the corners closest to or farthest from each other. Especially, when the inlet ducts 32 of two adjacent separators are arranged next to each other, the wall between the vortex chambers can be
10 partly or totally eliminated, whereby the arrangement approaches the combined vortex chamber arrangement of two vortices.

FIG. 4 illustrates a particle separator assembly arranged in a large reaction chamber 20 comprising a plurality of vortex
15 chambers 70, 70', 70''. Three parallel vortex chambers are shown in FIG. 4, but naturally they can also be larger or smaller in number than three. The entire gas space of the vortex chambers according to FIG. 4 is rounded and each chamber corner is approximately 135 degrees. The lower portion 42 of the separator
20 shown in FIG. 1 and 2 is preferably also manufactured of planar water tube panels, but it has been discovered that it is not necessary to extend the rounding according to the present invention as far as to the lower section 42.

25 The amount of refractory lining required for rounding the gas space of a vortex chamber octagonal in cross section is considerably smaller than the amount needed for rounding a quadrate vortex chamber according to US patent No. 4,615,715. The heat conductivity of a thin refractory layer is high and the
30 vortex chamber walls formed of planar water tube panels cool the separator efficiently. Thereby, such a vortex chamber is durable, can be manufactured at low cost, and its separation capacity is the highest possible.

35 Octagonal vortex chambers according to FIG. 4 can preferably be attached to each other and to the reaction chamber by connecting the parallel walls together or by providing common wall sections 72, 74 and 74', as shown in FIG. 4. The parallel walls of the

vortex chambers, and the parallel walls shared by the vortex chambers and the reaction chamber can preferably also be supported against each other by using support beams 76 and 76'.

5 Between the polygonal vortex chambers as well as between the vortex chambers and the reaction chamber remain free triangular spaces that can preferably be utilized e.g. for disposing support structures 78 for the entire reactor plant, feed ducts or metering conduits 80, 80' for additives that reduce impurities in flue
10 gases or for other substances. Between the vortex chambers 70 and 70' according to FIG. 3 are also disposed inlet ducts 82, 82' that lead the gas jets entering the vortex chambers parallel to the tangents of the gas vortices 84 and 84' in the cyclones.

15 FIG. 5 shows a separator assembly formed of two hexagonal vortex chambers. In the arrangement according to FIG. 5 one of the outer walls 86 of the vortex chamber 70 is parallel to the reaction chamber 20 wall 24. In this arrangement the separators can preferably be connected to the reaction chamber by using e.g.
20 intermediate supports. Another alternative is to arrange two hexagonal vortex chambers by providing a common wall or parallel walls between them, whereby one of the vortex chamber angles is directed toward the reaction chamber.

25 Each corner in the hexagonal vortex chambers according to FIG. 5 has been rounded separately so that straight wall sections 88 covered with a thin, smooth lining are left between the rounded corners. Especially, when the number of vortex chamber angles is less than eight, a light and durable separator assembly can
30 preferably be provided in this manner.

FIG. 6 illustrates a separator assembly of two vortices which reminds the arrangement of two adjacent vortex chambers according to FIG. 4, but is somewhat less expensive to construct. In this
35 arrangement the gas jet entering from the reaction chamber 20 through an inlet duct 82 divided by a partition wall 90 hits perpendicularly the opposite wall 60 and is divided into two

vortices which whirl to opposite directions in the rounded ends of the vortex chamber.

5 In the aforementioned examples the number of the vortex chamber angles was six or eight, but it can also be another, e.g. five or seven. While the number of angles increases, the amount of refractory lining required for the rounding decreases, but at the same time the number of water tube panels and the manufacturing costs increase. Thus, there exists an optimum number of angles,
10 normally being between five and ten.

Another factor affecting the advantageousness of the vortex chamber shape is the number of parallel walls in the construction, being larger with an even number than with an odd number of
15 angles, and especially large when the number of angles is divisible by four. Thus, a particularly preferable number of vortex chamber angles is eight, but as mentioned above, in some cases the most advantageous arrangement can be obtained by some other number of angles.

20 While the invention has been herein described by way of example in connection with what is presently considered to be the most preferred embodiments, it will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art that many modifications and combinations may be
25 made of the disclosed embodiments. Thus, the invention covers several other applications included within the scope of invention as defined in the appended claims.

CLAIMS

1. A centrifugal separator assembly attached to a fluidized bed reactor for separating solid particles from gas exhausted from a reaction chamber of the fluidized bed reactor, the separator assembly comprising:

- a vortex chamber which is in horizontal direction defined by vertically-extending outer walls formed of planar water tube panels, the inside of the walls being at least partly provided with a refractory lining and defining a gas space in the vortex chamber, where at least one vertical gas vortex is established;
- at least one inlet for introducing gas into the gas space from the reaction chamber;
- at least one outlet for discharging purified gas from the gas space; and
- at least one outlet for discharging separated solid particles from the gas space;

characterized in that the vertically-extending outer walls of the vortex chamber form at least one corner, the angle between the sides of which exceeding 90 degrees, and the corner is rounded by a refractory lining on the inside of the outer walls.

2. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the vertically-extending outer walls of the vortex chamber form at least one corner, the angle between the sides of which being about 110 - 150 degrees, and the corner is rounded by a refractory lining on the inside of the outer walls.

3. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the vertically-extending outer wall facing the gas inlet is located approximately perpendicularly to the gas inflow and the next corner after the first impact in the gas flow direction is rounded by a refractory lining on the inside of the outer walls and the angle between the outer walls forming the corner exceeds 90 degrees.

4. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the vertically-extending outer walls of the vortex chamber form at least two corners, the angle between the sides of which exceeding 90 degrees, and the corners is rounded by a refractory lining on the inside of the outer walls.

5. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the outer walls of the vortex chamber form two corners, one of which is rounded by a refractory lining on the inside of the outer walls so that the radius of curvature of the rounding is r_1 and the other of which is not rounded on the inside or is rounded in such a manner that the radius of curvature of its rounding is different from r_1 .

6. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 4, **characterized** in that the angles between every adjacent vertically-extending outer wall of the vortex chamber exceed 90 degrees and each corner formed by the adjacent vertically-extending outer walls is rounded by a refractory lining on the inside of the outer walls.

7. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 6, **characterized** in that the vertically-extending outer walls of the vortex chamber form an approximately regular polygon.

8. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 7, **characterized** in that the angles formed by the outer walls are about 108 - 135 degrees.

9. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 8, **characterized** in that the angles formed by the outer walls are about 135 degrees.

10. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the assembly consists of two separators sharing a common wall.

11. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the separator and the reactor share a common wall section.

12. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the assembly consists of two separators and a triangular free space is left between the separators, in which space a reactor support structure or a feed duct or a metering conduit is provided.

13. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 1, **characterized** in that a triangular free space is left between the separator and the reactor, in which space an inlet duct for gas exhausted from the reaction chamber or a feed duct or a metering conduit is provided.

14. A centrifugal separator assembly according to claim 1, **characterized** in that the bottom of the separator is asymmetric and forms a unit integrated with the reaction chamber and the return duct.

15. A method of separating solid particles from gas exhausted from a reaction chamber of a fluidized bed reactor in a centrifugal separator assembly comprising:

- a vortex chamber which is in horizontal direction defined by vertically-extending outer walls formed of planar water tube panels, the inside of the walls being at least partly provided with a refractory lining and defining a gas space in the vortex chamber, where at least one vertical gas vortex is established;
- at least one inlet for introducing gas into the gas space from the reaction chamber;
- at least one outlet for discharging purified gas from the gas space; and
- at least one outlet for discharging separated solid particles from the gas space;

characterized in that the exhausted gas in the vortex chamber is brought to hit at least one corner rounded by a refractory lining

on the inside of the outer walls, the angle between the vertically-extending outer walls of which corner exceeds 90 degrees.

1/4




Fig.1

2/4




Fig.2

3/4




Fig.3




Fig.4

4/4




Fig.5




Fig.6

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FI 01/00337

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC7: B01D 45/12, B04C 5/20 // B01J 8/00, F23C 10/08, F23J 15/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC7: B01D, B01J, B04C, F23C, F23J

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5281398 A (TIMO HYPPANEN ET AL), 25 January 1994 (25.01.94), column 3, line 30 - column 4, line 64, Figures --	1-15
Y	WO 9628237 A1 (FOSTER WHEELER ENERGIA OY), 19 Sept 1996 (19.09.96), page 3, line 20 - line 36; page 4, line 18 - line 28, figures 4-6 --	1-15
A	EP 0205718 A1 (ERK ECKROHRKESSEL GMBH), 30 December 1986 (30.12.86), page 5, line 6 - line 9, figure 4, claims 1,2 --	1-15



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

17 July 2001

Date of mailing of the international search report

19 -07- 2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

Swedish Patent Office

Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Ulf Nyström/MP

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FI 01/00337

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	DE 3435214 A1 (SCHMITZ, HUGO), 3 April 1986 (03.04.86), figures 3,4, claim 1 -- -----	1-15

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

02/07/01

International application No.

PCT/FI 01/00337

Patent document cited in search report			Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US	5281398	A	25/01/94	US	5460788 A	24/10/95
				US	5601788 A	11/02/97
				AT	147286 T	15/01/97
				AT	188142 T	15/01/00
				AT	194509 T	15/07/00
				CA	2053343 A	16/04/92
				CN	1043967 B	07/07/99
				CN	1060794 A	06/05/92
				CN	1065151 B	02/05/01
				CN	1113451 A	20/12/95
				DE	685267 T	20/11/97
				DE	730910 T	25/09/97
				DE	990467 T	25/01/01
				DE	69124055 D,T	28/05/97
				DE	69131884 D,T	15/06/00
				DE	69132323 D,T	25/01/01
				DK	481438 T	30/06/97
				DK	685267 T	22/05/00
				DK	730910 T	23/10/00
				EP	0481438 A,B	22/04/92
				SE	0481438 T3	
				EP	0685267 A,B	06/12/95
				SE	0685267 T3	
				EP	0730910 A,B	11/09/96
				SE	0730910 T3	
				EP	0990467 A	05/04/00
				FI	86964 B,C	31/07/92
				FI	92156 B,C	30/06/94
				FI	104620 B	00/00/00
				FI	905070 A	16/04/92
				FI	910809 D	00/00/00
				FI	944581 A	30/09/94
				JP	2013539 C	02/02/96
				JP	5123610 A	21/05/93
				JP	7041175 B	10/05/95
				KR	9408087 B	02/09/94
				PL	168295 B	31/01/96
				PL	168836 B	30/04/96
				RU	2116827 C	10/08/98
WO	9628237	A1	19/09/96	AU	4833696 A	02/10/96
				CA	2215040 A	19/09/96
				EP	0814888 A	07/01/98
				FI	973664 A	12/09/97
				JP	2963771 B	18/10/99
				JP	10510215 T	06/10/98
				KR	213509 B	02/08/99
				PL	322206 A	19/01/98
				RU	2134146 C	10/08/99
				US	5738712 A	14/04/98
EP	0205718	A1	30/12/86	AT	53112 T	15/06/90
				DE	3577907 D	00/00/00
				SE	8503211 D	00/00/00
DE	3435214	A1	03/04/86	NONE		