

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
16 March 2006 (16.03.2006)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2006/027645 A2

- (51) International Patent Classification : **C04B 28/04**,
14/06
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/IB2005/001807
- (22) International Filing Date: 2 June 2005 (02.06.2005)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
PA/A/2004/008735
9 September 2004 (09.09.2004) MX
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **GCC TECHNOLOGY AND PROCESSES S.A.** [CH/CH];
Avenue de Rumine, 37, CH-1005 Lausanne (CH).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


- (72) Inventor; and
- (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **GARCÍA LUNA, Armando** [MX/MX]; Avenida Linda Vista No. 117, Col. Lindavista, 67130 Monterrey, Nuevo León (MX).
- (74) Agent: **GAYTÁN, GUZMÁN, Patricia**; Actúa Bufete y Asociados S.A. de C.V., Cerrada de Club No. 6, Fraccionamiento Villas Kent, Col. La Asunción, Estado de México (MX).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

— as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii)) for the following designations AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: IMPROVED MORTAR COMPOSITIONS WITH BASE ON ULTRA-FINE CLINKER, REFINED SAND AND CHEMICAL ADDITIVES



(57) Abstract: The invention describes improved mortar compositions that can reach compressive strength values up to six times more than the obtained ones by conventional mortars at the first hours of setting, as well as up to three times more at 28 days of setting. Cementitious materials, such as Portland cement and clinker of Portland cement were refined in a high energy mill until reaching the greater fineness possible (100% < 10,um) to obtain a material with greater mechanical resistance by compression that those that are reached with normal cement. The compositions of the invention containing the refined cementitious material as well as refined and suitably distributed sand, develop compressive strengths substantially higher (greater to 100 MPa).

WO 2006/027645 A2



MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW, ARIPO patent (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LI, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG)

— as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for all designations

Published:

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

Improved mortar compositions with base on ultra-fine clinker, refined sand and chemical additives.

Field of the invention.

- 5 The present invention is related to the field of construction, specifically to the development of improved mortar compositions that exhibit greater compressive strength.

Background of the invention.

- 10 Portland cement (PC) is the cementitious material widely used in the world. A very important part of the wealth of a country is mainly in the infrastructure on which it counts, as they would be constructions, highways, and bridges, among others, all these produced with concrete with PC. In the last years, there has been emphasis in the importance of doing more lasting and more resistant constructions facilitating with it the
- 15 life of the human being, so that the infrastructure can be maintained in better conditions and by more time. In order to obtain the previous, high performance cements (HPC) have been used¹.

- From the environmental point of view its also justified the use of cements that produce
- 20 concrete with more durability by their repercussion in the emission to the atmosphere of smaller amounts of carbon dioxide (CO₂), an important reduction in consumption of electrical energy, as well as reduction of the problems caused by an inadequate disposition of the damaged material that is replaced by new.

- 25 It has been spoken much of the associated disadvantages of a greater fineness of the cement like a retrogression in compressive strengths, high rates of generated heat, and the substantial increase in the water demand, among others^{2,3}, same that would not actually allow to wait for high values in the compressive strength.

- 30 Previous works conducted in slightly refined cement have revealed that its compressive strength can be increased by means of a refinement of particles in the rank of few microns or sub-micrometric³. On the other hand, looking for a type of cement that could be injected in the cracks originated after a damage to concrete structures, or looking for that type of cement which it could be molded in specific geometries, it was discover that

the refinement of the cement causes a number of disadvantages, such as:

- a) A retrogression in its resistance,
- b) Unusual faster setting times, and
- c) A great demand of water by the refined cement, which dramatically reduces its
5 compressive strength^{2,3}.

On the other hand, although it has been observed that the use of superfine clinker in combination with superfine sand and additives for the mortar production can reach values of compressive strength from 18.9 to 20.8 N/mm², which surpass the values
10 obtained for conventional mortars⁴ as well as the disadvantages in the refined cement use (low compressive strength), these compositions do not offer an ample range of applications.

Because in the industry of construction the cement and mainly mortar (cement, sand
15 and water) is a union material of multiple elements (blocks, bricks, etc.) or structures of civil engineering, is important that these materials provide durable unions, complete and of adequate resistance that influence in a greater quality and resistance of the constructive elements.

20 In this one sense and added to the fact that the compositions of cement or mortar generate viscous watery mixtures that sometimes are difficult to handle, multiple improvements have been generated on the matter.

To date multiple developments for the improvement of mortar have been used, as much
25 in their compressive strength as in their characteristics, using additives that cause repellence to water such as fatty acids, polymeric or organic remainders^{5,6,7,8} and including the air addition in their structure to reduce to their weight⁹.

On the other hand cementitious mixtures are known with a particle size distribution
30 minor to 7 μm at 50% and minor to 24 μm at 95%, containing superfine Portland cement clinker, superfineness sand of 5% to 95% in weight with respect to superfine clinker, modifiers (activators of surface, expansive components, fluidizers, delayers, accelerators and water retention agents) and additives such as bentonite, silica and naphthalene sulphonate formaldehyde condensate⁴.

Also are known cement compositions for floor coverings that contain fineness sand as aggregate with more advantageous characteristics of fluidity and develop compressive strengths of the order from 34 MPa to 48 MPa¹⁰.

- 5 Nevertheless, the previous developments include multiple elements and compressive strength limited to a few applications, which the production cost increases and it is necessary to apply a greater amount of energy and work in its obtaining.

By the previous, it is important to continue developing improved mortar compositions
10 that allow the generation of more resistant concretes with versatile uses repelling in the generation of better characteristics of the cementitious materials.

Brief description of the figures.

Figure 1. Shows a graph of the particle size distribution (PSD in μm) of the milled sand
15 used in the compositions of the invention according to the values shown in Table 2. The sand was graduated according to ASTM C778. Abscissas represents the particle size (μm) and ordinates the percentage (%).

Figure 2. Shows a graph of the values shown in the Table 3, that shows the
20 compressive strength (Kg/cm^2) of test tubes of PC and standard sand in relation to the addition of variable percentages of reducing water agent.

Figure 3. Shows a graph of the values shown in the Table 4, that shows the
compressive strength (Kg/cm^2) of test tubes elaborated with standard sand and variable percentages of mixtures of ultra-fine clinker and PC.

Figure 4. Shows a graph of the values shown in the Table 5, that shows the
25 compressive strength (Kg/cm^2) of test tubes elaborated with mixtures of 30% of ultra-fine clinker, 70% of PC, 20% of milled sand, 80% of standard sand and variable percentage of additive. Series F shows the resistance reached with Glenium-106.

Figure 5. Shows a graph of the values shown in the Table 6, that shows the
30 compressive strength (Kg/cm^2) of test tubes elaborated with mixtures of PC, additive and variable percentage of milled sand and standard sand.

Figure 6. Shows a graph of the values shown in the Table 7, that shows the
compressive strength (Kg/cm^2) of test tubes elaborated with mixtures of 30% of ultra-fine clinker, 70% of PC, additive and variable percentage of milled

sand and standard sand.

Objectives of the invention.

It's one of the objectives of the invention to provide improved mortar compositions that exhibit greater compressive strengths than the obtained by conventional mortars.

It's another of the objectives of the invention to provide improved mortar compositions that include ultra-fine clinker and refined sand with a particle size smaller to 10 μm to a particle size distribution of 100%.

10

It's another one of the objectives of the invention to provide improved mortar compositions with greater compressive strengths from 2 to 6 times in its magnitude, than the obtained by conventional mortars in the first hours of setting, as well as up to three times more in its magnitude at 28 days of setting.

15

It's another one of the objectives of the invention to provide improved mortar compositions with compressive strengths from 10 MPa to 57 MPa at 1 day of setting and from 46 MPa to 108 MPa at 28 days of setting.

20 Detailed description of the invention.

In spite of the existing improvements until day in mortar compositions, before the present invention improved mortar compositions did not exist with greater compressive strengths values superior to 100 MPa at 28 days of setting.

25 The mortar compositions of the present invention exhibit greater compressive strengths than the observed ones with mortars made solely with PC. Also they use different mixtures of PC and refined clinker, process at levels of a ultra-fine Portland cement (UPC), generating with it a very significant improvement in the compressive strength properties and being in new particle size distributions and increase in the specific
30 superficial area.

On the other hand, the compositions of the invention also include PC as well as standard sand, elements commonly used in the mortar obtaining for construction and additionally a reducing water additive.

In one of the embodiments of the invention, the mortar compositions comprise:

- a) A cementitious material, selected of the group that consist of PC, ultra-fine PC, ultra-fine clinker or combinations of such,
- b) Sand, selected of the group that consists of standard sand, milled sand or combinations of the same ones, and
- c) A reducing water additive, selected of the group that consists of Rheobuild 3000, Glenium-106 or combinations of such,

wherein the cementitious material and the sand are in a proportion 1:1 in weight with respect to the total weight of the composition and the additive is additionally in a percentage in weight from 1 to 2.5 with respect to the weight of the cementitious material in the composition.

In one of the preferred embodiments of the invention, the compositions comprise:

- a) Like cementitious material, a combination of:
 - Ultra-fine clinker in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 0 to 70%, and
 - PC in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 30 to 100%
- b) Like sand, a combination of:
 - Standard sand in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 60 to 100%, and
 - Milled sand in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 0 to 40%
- c) Like reducing water additive, Rheobuild 3000 in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material in the composition from 1 to 2.5%.

Although are still more preferred those compositions that comprise:

- a) Like cementitious material, a combination of:
 - Ultra-fine clinker in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material of 30%, and
 - PC in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material of 70%

b) Like sand, a combination of:

- Standard sand in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 60 to 90%, and
- Milled sand in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 10 to 40%

5

c) Like reducing water additive, Rheobuild 3000 in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material in the composition of the 2.25%.

10 For all the compositions of the invention, the ultra-fine PC or the ultra-fine clinker has a particle size smaller to 10 μm to a particle size distribution of the 100%, preferably of 9.8 μm . This cementitious material can be obtained by means of the milling of PC or clinker nodules in high energy mills, for example by the methodology described by De la Garza-Gutierrez and collaborators¹¹, although similar methods of milling that obtain the

15 indicated particle size can be used. Also the milled sand has a particle size smaller to 10 μm to a particle size distribution of 95%, and is obtained in the same way that the ultra-fine cementitious material, by means of standard sand milling in mills of high energy.

20 For the used standard sand, this one corresponds to the type of silica sand credited by ASTM for the mortar manufacture (2.75 times the weight of cement) in its original state as it is commercially obtained, although any type of sand that fulfills the standards can be used. Nevertheless for the case of the milled sand, those are preferred that can reach the particle size previously indicated after their milling.

25

On the other hand, the PC that can be used for the obtaining of the compositions of the invention, includes ordinary Portland cement (OPC), cement type I, type II, type IV, type V and mixtures of such. Also, commercial presentations of PC that contain variable percentage of filler agents (permissible approx 10% by the norms), are included here.

30 Nevertheless other cements can be used with which the mortar compositions of the invention can be obtained.

The pastes obtained by the mixed of the compositions of the invention with water, are characterized by their reduced water content and for their easy handling, independently

to be conformed by elements with very small particle sizes. Although actually the use of this one type of materials is not very recommendable for the obtaining of mortars or high resistance concretes due to their great water absorption capacity, the compositions of the invention surpass these difficulties and of surprising way they can reach very superior values of compressive strength than the observed ones for similar materials. The compressive strength developed by the compositions of the invention is comparable with the compressive strength of high alumina cement, without the disadvantages to include alumina in high concentrations and the high costs that imply their production.

10

The superior compressive strength compositions of the invention, allow to manipulate and to control the setting time required (from 3 minutes to 3 hours), which allows a great versatility in their applications.

15 With the mixture of the elements that conform the mortar compositions of the invention, are obtained formulations with:

- A smaller demand of water (w/c of 0.40),
- High compressive strengths at early stages (1 and 3 days) and an important increase in the final compressive strength reached (28 days), when using refined PC or clinker of refined PC.
- Improvements in the mortar fluidity, allowing to diminish the amount of water applied when replacing part of the standard sand by milled sand, and
- High compressive strengths from early stages (1 day) at 28 days, due to the milled sand use in certain proportions.

25

The compositions of the invention can be set up in mortars of early high resistance, mortars or grouts to seal fissures, high resistance concretes, raincoat concretes, or in applications that require constructive materials with high compressive strengths.

30 Also, in comparison with known similar compositions to date, the compositions of the invention represent advantages such as the not-inclusion of other materials that they can increase the price or complicate the mixed process, such as bauxite, high alumina kaolins, mineralized additives and harmful additives to the health, etc.

Like a way to illustrate the present invention, the following examples appear, so they don't restrict the scope of the invention.

Example 1.

5 **Milling of materials.**

A commercial GmbH-Zoz Simoloyer CM01 milling device operated by computer was used to refine the materials of the invention.

High energy milling operations were dry-conducted in air atmosphere, whereas the
10 temperature of vial container was kept at room temperature using a cooling system with recycled water placed by outside the container. Some drops of propylene glycol were added to avoid powder stacking in the milling camera. A stainless steel milling container with capacity of 2 liters was used, covered in its interior with an inner coating of Si_3N_4 to avoid erosion of container and therefore later contamination. The use of the Simoloyer
15 device is limited to a maximum of operation of 900 rpm and 100°C , like safety measure in its operation; 2.3 Kg of stabilized ZrO_2 balls (YTZ, 5 mm diameter) were used as grinding media in milling operations. A commercial particle size distribution (PSD) apparatus Mastersize 2000 - Marvern Inst. was used as well a commercial equipment of X ray diffraction (DRX), Siemens D-5000 to follow crystallographic changes.

20

Example 2.

Obtaining of ultra-fine cementitious material.

Clinker obtained by conventional processes and with a particle size distribution as its
illustrate in table 1 (clinker), was ground according to example 1, during 60 minutes,
25 with a relation balls/powder (B/P) of 40 (40 g of balls by 1 g of powder), at a rotational speed of mill shaft-impelling arms of 500 rpm and with the addition of 6 drops of propylene glycol¹¹.

The particle size distribution obtained after the milling procedure is show in table 1
30 (ultra-fine clinker). These powders were mixed with dehydrated natural gypsum powder (see table 1, gypsum) to form a refined Portland cement, identified like MK.

Table 1 shows the particle size distribution (PSD in μm) of the elements of the compositions of the invention. Portland cement is distinguished (PC).

Table 1

Material	PSD (μm)								
	1%	10%	20%	25%	50%	75%	80%	90%	100%
PC	0.6	2.1	3.97	5.5	12	23	26.6	36.4	63
Clinker	0.6	2.15	4.55	6.12	14.8	33	40.5	66.1	190
Ultra-fine clinker	0.45	0.67	0.98	1.12	2.38	4.1	4.98	6.87	9.8
Gypsum	2	13.1	39.9	60	355	610	708	967	1800
Sand - Spex	0.45	1.63	2.33	4.5	15	35	45.6	73.9	185

Example 3.**Milled sand obtaining.**

Standard sand type silica sand credited by ASTM for the mortar manufacture, was ground in a Spex mill during 60 minutes, with a relation balls/powder (B/P) of 4 (4 g of balls by 1 g of powder), at a rotation speed of the mill shaft-impelling arms of 500 rpm and with the addition of 3 drops of propylene glycol, obtaining the particle size distribution show in figure 1 (Arena-Spex). Later the sand thus obtained, was put under a process of milling in a high energy Simoloyer mill according in example 1, during 30 minutes, with a relation balls/powder (B/P) of 20 (20 g of balls by 1 g of powder), at a rotation speed of the mill shaft-impelling arms of 500 rpm and with the addition of 21 drops of propylene glycol¹¹, obtaining the particle size distribution show in table 2 and figure 1.

The resulting sand of this one last process was used for the obtaining of the compositions of the invention.

Table 2

Material	PSD (μm)						
	0.13%	16.3%	39%	73%	95%	99.5%	100%
Milled sand	0.04	1	2	5	10	15	20

Example 4.**Portland cement obtaining.**

Portland cement containing limestone was obtained in Cements of Chihuahua plant by means of conventional methods. The cement chemical composition was the following:
 5 CaO (64.2%), SiO₂ (21.8%), Al₂O₃ (4.1 %), Fe₂O₃ (3.3%), MgO (1.4%), K₂O (0.61%), SO₃ (2.5%), Na₂O (0.15%), and other traces. The cement particle size distribution can be observed in table 1 (PC).

Example 5.10 **Obtaining of mortar mixtures of the invention.**

Mortar mixtures were prepared with the elements described previously by means of conventional mixes with mixers. Later test tubes were prepared in mortars of 5x5x5cm according to ASTM C-109 to determine the compressive strength of each one of them.

15 **Example 6.****Mixtures of PC, standard sand and reducing water additive.**

The mortar mixtures were obtained agreed to example 5, using Rheobuild-3000 like reducing water agent. Table 3 and figure 2 show the compressive strengths reached in mixtures of PC, standard sand and 0, 1 and 2.25% of reducing water agent, based on
 20 cement weight, in comparison with control mixture (without additive).

Table 3

Series	% Cementitious		% Sand		%*	Days of cured (Kg/cm ²)			
	MK	PC	Milled sand	Standard sand		Additive	1	3	7
Control	0	100	0	100	0	94	220	279	340
A	0	100	0	100	1	97	294	321	494
B	0	100	0	100	2.25	14	267	341	459

* Percentage with respect to the total weight of the cementitious.

30

As it can be observed in table 3, the addition of 1% of reducing water agent does not have important effect in the compressive strength observed at the first day, but in the following evaluations a change can be observed generating greater compressive strength than the PC without additive, for example 494 Kg/cm² at 28 days (approx. 500 Kg/cm²). This value represents 160 Kg/cm² more than without additive.

In the case of the sample with 2.25% of reducing water agent, practically there is no gain of compressive strength at 1 day, not even the compressive strength that offers the normal PC without additive. For the day 3 their values of compressive strength are increased substantially so that it surpasses the value observed for PC; at the day 28 registers a compressive strength of 459 Kg/cm², superior value than the PC value, but smaller than the values reached with 1.0 % of reducing water agent.

As it can be observed, the addition of the reducing water additive increases the compressive strength values at 28 days near 500 Kg/cm², in comparison with the value that is obtained with a normal PC without additive (Figure 2).

Example 7.

Mixtures of MK, PC, standard sand and reducing water additive.

The mortar mixtures were obtained agreed to example 5, being used Rheobuild-3000 like reducing water agent. Table 4 and figure 3 show the compressive strengths reached in mixtures of different proportions of MK and PC, standard sand and with 2.25% of reducing water, based on the cement weight, in comparison with a control mixture (without additive).

Table 4 show the compressive strength results of test tubes elaborated with mixtures of milled clinker, MK and PC.

Table 4

Series	% Cementitious		% Sand		%*	Days of cured (Kg/cm ²)			
	MK	PC	Milled sand	Standard sand	Additive	1	3	7	28
Control	0	100	0	100	0	94	220	279	340
A	0	100	0	100	2.25	14	267	341	459
B	10	90	0	100	2.25	96	386	481	508
C	20	80	0	100	2.25	151	398	489	527
D	30	70	0	100	2.25	317	427	496	576
E	40	60	0	100	2.25	305	420	462	543

* Percentage with respect to the total weight of the cementitious.

As it can be observed in table 4, the mixture of milled clinker MK and PC correspond to the test tubes elaborated mixing 10, 20, 30 and 40% of MK with the corresponding amount of PC, according to the case, to complete the 100% of cementitious, being remained fixed the percentage of standard sand (100%) and additive (2.25%).

5

For the mixture with 10% of MK, the compressive strength results obtained at one day (96 Kg/cm²) and at 28 days (508 Kg/cm²) they are similar to the shown ones in figure 2 for a test tube of 100% of PC with 1% of reducing water additive. Nevertheless for test tubes with intermediate dates is observed an important difference, since at 3 days as to
10 7 days, the compressive strength development is greater with 10 % of MK than with 0% of MK; at 3 days 386 Kg/cm² are reached against 267 Kg/cm² and at 7 days 481 Kg/cm² are reached against 341 Kg/cm².

The obtained data show that the addition of MK to the mortar composition causes the
15 development of higher compressive strengths at early stages, in comparison with the control.

The mentioned above can be corroborated when observing the results at the first day of setting for the samples with 20 and 30% of MK, since in the first case reaches a value of
20 151 Kg/cm² and for the second a value of 317 Kg/cm². This means that a mortar with 30% of MK allows reach at the first day the compressive strength that develops a normal PC without additives at 28 days. In addition this mixture allows surpass the final compressive strengths of the PC alone and the PC with reducing water additive.

25 **Example 8.**

Mixtures of MK, PC, milled sand, standard sand and variable amounts of reducing water additive.

The mortar mixtures were obtained agreed to example 5, being used Rheobuild-3000 and Glenium-160 like reducing water agents. Table 5 and figure 4 show the
30 compressive strengths reached in mixtures of fixed proportions of MK, PC, milled sand and standard sand, and with 1, 1.5, 2, 2.25 and 2.5% of reducing water additive, based on the cement weight, in comparison with a control mixture (without additive).

Table 5

Series	% Cementitious		% Sand		%*	Days of cured (Kg ^f /cm ²)			
	MK	PC	Milled sand	Standard sand	Additive	1	3	7	28
Control	0	100	0	100	0	94	220	279	340
A	30	70	20	80	1	358	524	641	790
B	30	70	20	80	1.5	395	621	755	914
C	30	70	20	80	2	505	666	738	820
D	30	70	20	80	2.25	565	706	811	985
E	30	70	20	80	2.5	505	638	789	957
F	30	70	20	80	2.25	373	633	728	832

* Percentage with respect to the total weight of the cementitious.

15 As it can be observed in figure 4, at 1 day the compressive strengths are increased in accordance with the percentage of additive is increased, except for the last proportion corresponding to 2.5% where its value diminishes.

20 With the obtained results, a maximum value of compressive strength was observed at 1 day for the proportion with 2.25 % of additive being this value 565 Kg/cm²; in fact this mixture had the maximum values in all the test stages. Series F of figure 4 shows the compressive strengths values of the selected mixture when another reducing water additive is used (Glenium 106) in the same amount than Rheobuild-3000.

25 **Example 9.**

Mixtures of PC, milled sand, standard sand and reducing water additive.

The mortar mixtures were obtained agreed to example 5, being used Rheobuild-3000 as reducing water agent. Table 6 and figure 5 show the compressive strengths reached in mixtures of variable proportions of milled sand, standard sand, PC, and with 2.25% of
30 reducing water additive, based on cement weight, in comparison with a control mixture (without additive).

Table 6

Series	% Cementitious		% Sand		%*	Days of cured (Kg/cm ²)			
	MK	PC	Milled sand	Standard sand	Additive	1	3	7	28
Control	0	100	0	100	0	94	220	279	340
A	0	100	0	100	2.25	14	267	341	459
B	0	100	20	80	0	213	430	574	677
C	0	100	10	90	2.25	80	527	723	809
D	0	100	20	80	2.25	272	736	855	979
E	0	100	30	70	2.25	416	752	876	1081

* Percentage with respect to the total weight of the cementitious

Figure 5 show the compressive strength values when a mortar with PC is prepared and a mixture of 20% of Milled Sand with 80% of standard sand is used, without using additive. The addition in that percentage of milled sand improves the fluidity of the mortar and decreases the relation w/c from 0.485 to 0.44. In addition, the milled sand increases the resistance of the PC in all dates of test showing a greater compressive strength value in comparison with the control, at the first day of 213 Kg/cm² and at 28 days of 677 Kg/cm².

For the case of the test tubes elaborated with pure PC and mixed with several percentage of milled sand and standard sand, it can be observed that a greater percentage of milled sand it is greater the compressive strength reached in all the stages of the test tubes. Thus, for mortar with 30% of milled sand the highest values are obtained; that is, 416 Kg/cm² at 1 day and 1081 Kg/cm² at 28 days (figure 5).

Example 10.

Mixtures of variable amounts of MK, PC, milled sand, standard sand and fixed amounts of reducing water additive.

The mortar mixtures were obtained agreed to example 5, being used Rheobuild-3000 as reducing water agent. Table 7 and figure 6 show the compressive strengths reached in mixtures of variable proportions of milled sand and standard sand, MK, PC, and with 2.25% of reducing water additive, based on the cement weight, in comparison with a

control mixture (without additive).

Table 7

Series	% Cementitious		% Sand		%*	Days of cured (Kg/cm ²)			
	MK	PC	Milled sand	Standard sand	Additive	1	3	7	28
Control	0	100	0	100	0	94	220	279	340
A	30	70	10	90	2.25	328	629	809	857
B	30	70	20	80	2.25	565	715	806	985
C	30	70	30	70	2.25	366	649	728	1018
D	30	70	40	60	2.25	369	660	763	1000

* Percentage with respect to the total weight of the cementitious.

As it can be observed in figure 6, when comparing the samples with 10% of milled sand with and without MK, the most remarkable difference sees at first day since the mixture with MK developed 328 Kg/cm² whereas a mixture without it reached 80 Kg/cm² (figure 5 series C). To later stages, the difference is every small, but always above of which it contains MK that does not contain it.

The comparison between the compressive strengths values in mixtures with 20% of milled sand, with and without MK, allows to observe again the influence of the MK to increase the gain of compressive strengths values at 1 day, since the value for this combination reached 565 Kg/cm². The difference between the obtained compressive strengths values for these compositions to other stages is practically null. This composition in particular shows the maximum compressive strength value at 1 day, whereas for any other composition the compressive strengths values are below this one. Another interest data that appears for this composition is that the demand of water presents a minimum of 0.33 of w/c.

The composition with 30% of milled sand and 30% of MK, develops a smaller compressive strength at 1 day in relation to the composition with 20% of milled sand, nevertheless the reached one at 28 days (1018 Kg/cm²) could be equivalent to this last one after practicing a statistical analysis. It is possible to confirm the mentioned above since the sample with 20% of milled sand has generated buckets with compressive

strength values above 1000 Kg/cm², but the average of 985 Kg/cm² is the one that is shown.

For series D of figure 6 that corresponds to the mortar elaborated with 30% of MK and 5 40% of milled sand, significant changes with series C of figure 6 are not observed comparatively (30% of milled sand). The compressive strength development is equivalent in both cases. As it can be observed, for the compositions of example 9 and 10, the milled sand addition to the PC as to the PC-MK mixture, practically improves the compressive strength in all the stages.

10

References.

1. P.C. Hewlett. *Lea's Chemistry of Cement and Concrete*. Young Wiley & Sons. Inc., Fourth Ed. 1997.
2. L. Shondeep Sarkar and J. Wheeler. Important properties of an ultra fine cement -
15 Part 1. *Cement and Concrete Research* 31 (2001) 119 - 123.
3. L. Shondeep Sarkar and J. Wheeler. Microstructural development of an ultra fine
Cement - Part 1. *Cement and Concrete Research* 31 (2001) 125 - 128
4. Chartschenko, Igor. 1995. *Superfine cement binder mixture, process for its
preparation and device for carrying out the process*. EP 0696558.
- 20 5. Karkare, Millind V. 1998. *Mortar admixtures and method of preparing same*. USPat
5736600.
6. Karkare, Millind V. 2002. *Mortar admixtures and method of preparing same*. US
2002/0005149 A1.
7. Bonnel, Bernard. 1971. *Quick-setting cementitious compositions*. USPat 3591542.
- 25 8. Yate, James. 1932. *Improvements in rendering building materials waterproof*. GB
368498.
9. Weinberg, Victor. 1944. *Improvements in the manufacture of porous light weight
mortars and concrete and building insulating and other materials prepared
therefrom*. GB 575439.
- 30 10. Ogden, J. Herbert. 1999. *Cement composition self-leveling floor coating
formulations and their method of use*. USPat 5873936.
11. De la Garza-Gutiérrez, H. 2003. *Optimizing milling parameters for refining Portland
cement*. *Journal of Metastable and Nanocrystalline Materials*. Vol. 15-16, pp. 395-
400.

Claims.

1. A mortar composition characterized because it comprises:
 - a) A cementitious material, selected of the group that consist of Portland cement, ultra-fine Portland cement, ultra-fine clinker or mixtures of such, wherein the
5 particle size of the ultra-fine cementitious material is smaller to 10 μm to a particle size distribution of 100%,
 - b) Sand, selected of the group that consists of silica sand credited by ASTM for mortar manufacture, milled sand or mixtures of such, wherein the particle size of the milled sand is smaller to 10 μm to a particle size distribution of 95%, and
 - 10 c) A reducing water additive, selected of the group that consists of Rheobuild 3000, Glenium-106 or mixtures of such,
wherein the cementitious material and the sand are in a proportion of 1:1 in weight with respect to the total weight of the mortar composition and the additive is additionally in a percentage in weight from 1 to 2.5 with respect to the weight of the
15 cementitious material in the mortar composition.
2. The composition of claim 1, characterized because it comprises:
 - a) Like cementitious material, a mixture of:
 - Ultra-fine clinker in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 0 to 70%, and
 - 20 - Portland cement in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 30 to the 100%
 - b) Like sand, a mixture of:
 - Sand credited by ASTM in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 60 to 100%, and
 - 25 - Milled sand in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 0 to 40%, and
 - c) Reducing water additive, Rheobuild 3000 in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material in the composition from 1 to 2.25%.
- 30 3. The composition of claim 2, characterized because it comprises:
 - a) Like cementitious material, a mixture of:
 - Ultra-fine clinker in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material of 30%, and
 - Portland cement in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of

the cementitious material of 70%

- b) Like sand, a mixture of:
 - Sand credited by ASTM in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 60 to 90%, and
 - 5 - Milled sand in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 10 to 40%, and
- c) Reducing water Additive, Rheobuild 3000 in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material in the composition of the 2.25%.
4. The composition of claim 1, characterized because it comprises:
 - 10 a) Portland cement,
 - b) Sand credited by ASTM, and
 - c) Reducing water additive, Rheobuild 3000 in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the Portland cement in the composition from 1 to 2.25%.
5. The composition of claim 1, characterized because it comprises:
 - 15 a) Like cementitious material, a mixture of:
 - Ultra-fine clinker in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 10 to 50%, and
 - Portland cement in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from the 50 to 90%
 - 20 b) Sand credited by ASTM, and
 - c) Reducing water additive, Rheobuild 3000 in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material in the composition of 2.25%.
6. The composition of claim 3, characterized because it comprises:
 - 25 a) Like cementitious material, a mixture of:
 - Ultra-fine clinker in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material of 30%, and
 - Portland cement in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material of 70%
 - 30 b) Like sand, a mixture of:
 - Sand credited by ASTM in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand of 80%, and
 - Milled sand in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand of 20%, and
 - c) Reducing water additive, Rheobuild 3000 in a proportion in weight with respect

to the total weight of the cementitious material in the composition from 1 to 2.5%.

7. The composition of claim 1, characterized because it comprises:
 - a) Portland cement,
 - 5 b) Like sand, a mixture of:
 - Sand credited by ASTM in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 70 to 90%, and
 - Milled sand in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 10 to 30%, and
 - 10 c) Reducing water additive, Rheobuild 3000 in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material in the composition of the 2.25%.
8. The composition of claims 1 and 2, characterized because it has a compressive strength from 10 MPa to 57 MPa at 1 day of setting and a compressive strength from 46 MPa to 108 MPa at 28 days of setting.
- 15 9. The composition of claim 3, characterized because it has a compressive strength of 33 MPa from 57 MPa at 1 day of setting and a compressive strength from 86 MPa to 102 MPa at 28 days of setting.
10. The composition of claim 4, characterized because it has a compressive strength of 46 MPa to 50 MPa at 28 days of setting.
- 20 11. The composition of claim 5, characterized because it has a compressive strength from 1.4 MPa to 32 MPa at 1 day of setting and a compressive strength from 46 MPa to 58 MPa at 28 days of setting.
12. The composition of claim 6, characterized because it has a compressive strength from 36 MPa to 57 MPa at 1 day of setting and a compressive strength from 80
25 MPa to 94 MPa at 28 days of setting.
13. The composition of claim 7, characterized because it has a compressive strength from 8 MPa to 42 MPa at 1 day of setting and a compressive strength from 81 MPa to 108 MPa at 28 days of setting.
14. A method for the obtaining of mortar composition of claim 1, characterized because
30 comprises the steps of:
 - a) Mix a cementitious material, selected of the group that consist of Portland cement, ultra-fine Portland cement, ultra-fine clinker or mixtures of such, wherein the particle size of the ultra-fine cement material is smaller to 10 μm to a particle size distribution of 100%, with sand, selected of the group that consist

of silica sand credited by ASTM for the mortar manufacture, milled sand or mixtures of the same ones, wherein the particle size of milled sand is smaller to $10\mu\text{m}$ to a particle size distribution of 95%, in a proportion 1:1 in weight with respect to the total weight of the composition, and

- 5 b) Add a reducing water additive, selected of the group that consists of Rheobuild 3000, Glenium-106 or mixtures of such, in a percentage in weight from 1 to the 2.5 with respect to the weight of the cementitious material in the composition.
15. The method of claim 14, characterized because the reducing water additive is Rheobuild 3000.
- 10 16. The method of claim 15, characterized because the composition has a compressive strength from 10 MPa to 57 MPa at 1 day of setting and a compressive strength from 46 MPa to 108 MPa at 28 days of setting.
17. The use of an ultra-fine cementitious material and milled sand for the mortar manufacture with compressive strengths up to 108 MPa at 28 days of setting.
- 15 18. The use of claim 17, characterized because the particle size of the ultra-fine cementitious material is smaller to $10\mu\text{m}$ to a particle size distribution of 100% and the milled sand is smaller to $10\mu\text{m}$ to a particle size distribution of 95%.
19. The use of claim 18, characterized because the ultra-fine cementitious material is selected of the group that consists of ultra-fine Portland cement, ultra-fine clinker or
- 20 mixtures of such.
20. A method to increase the compressive strength of mortar compositions conformed by cementitious material and sand, characterized because the method comprises to add to the mortar composition a reducing water additive, selected of the group that consists of Rheobuild 3000, Glenium-106 or mixtures of such.
- 25 21. The method of claim 20, characterized because the additive is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 1 to 2.5%.
22. The method of claim 21, characterized because the additive is added in a proportion from 1 to 2.25%.
- 30 23. The method of claim 21 to 22, characterized because the reducing water additive is Rheobuild 3000.
24. A method to increase the compressive strength of mortar compositions conformed by cementitious material and sand, characterized because the method comprises to add:

- a) To the cementitious material, an ultra-fine cementitious selected of the group that consists of ultra-fine Portland cement, ultra-fine clinker or mixtures of such, with a particle size smaller to $10\mu\text{m}$ to a particle size distribution of the 100%, and
- 5 b) To the mortar composition, a reducing water additive selected of the group that consists of Rheobuild 3000, Glenium-106 or mixtures of such.
25. The method of claim 24, characterized because the ultrafine cementitious is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 10 to 70%.
- 10 26. The method of claim 25, characterized because the ultrafine cementitious is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 10 to 50%.
27. The method of claim 26, characterized because the ultrafine cementitious is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material
- 15 of 30%.
28. The method of claim 25 to 27, characterized because the reducing water additive is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 1 to 2.5%.
29. The method of claim 28, characterized because the reducing water additive is
- 20 added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 1 to 2.25%.
30. A method to increase the compressive strength of mortar compositions conformed by cementitious material and sand, characterized because the method comprises to add:
- 25 a) To the sand, milled sand with a particle size smaller to $10\mu\text{m}$ to a particle size distribution of 95%, and
- b) To the mortar composition, a reducing water additive, selected of the group that consists of Rheobuild 3000, Glenium-106 or mixtures of such.
31. The method of claim 30, characterized because the milled sand is added in a
- 30 proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 10 to 40%.
32. The method of claim 31, characterized because the milled sand is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 10 to 30%.
33. The method of claim 32, characterized because the milled sand is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand of 20%.

34. The method of claims 31 to 33, characterized because the reducing water additive is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 1 to 2.5%.
35. The method of claim 34, characterized because the reducing water additive is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 1 to 2.25%.
36. A method to increase the compressive strength of mortar compositions conformed by cementitious material and sand, characterized because the method comprises to add:
- a) To the cementitious material, an ultra-fine cementitious selected of the group that consists of ultra-fine Portland cement, ultra-fine clinker or mixtures of such, with a particle size smaller to 10 μm to a particle size distribution of the 100%,
 - b) To the sand, milled sand with a particle size smaller to 10 μm to a particle size distribution of 95%, and
 - c) To the mortar composition, a reducing water additive, selected of the group that consists of Rheobuild 3000, Glenium-106 or mixtures of such.
37. The method of claim 36, characterized because the ultrafine cementitious is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 10 to 70% and the milled sand in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 10 to 40%.
38. The method of claim 37, characterized because the ultrafine cementitious is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 10 to 50% and the milled sand in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand from 10 to 30%.
39. The method of claim 38, characterized because the ultrafine cementitious is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material of 30% and the milled sand in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of sand of 20%.
40. The method of claim 37 to 39, characterized because the reducing water additive is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 1 to 2.5%.
41. The method of claim 40, characterized because the reducing water additive is added in a proportion in weight with respect to the total weight of the cementitious material from 1 to 2.25%.

FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4




FIGURE 5




FIGURE 6

