(12) PATENT ABRIDGMENT (11) Document No. AU-B-21736/88 (10) Acceptance No. 624337 (19) AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE

(54)**TOLUENE DISPROPORTIONATION**

International Patent Classification(s) $(51)^4$ C07C 006/12

C07C 015/04

C07C 015/08

Application No.: 21736/88 (21)

(22) Application Date: 02.09.88

(30) Priority Data

(31)Number 092842

(32)Date 02.09.87 (33)Country

US UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Publication Date: 02.03.89 (43)

(44) Publication Date of Accepted Application: 11.06.92

Applicant(s) (71)MOBIL OIL CORPORATION

(72)ROBERT PETER ABSIL; SCOTT HAN; DAVID OWEN MARLER; DAVID SAID SHIHABI

(74)Attorney or Agent PHILLIPS ORMONDE & FITZPATRICK, 367 Coffins Street, MELBOURNE VIC 3000

Prior Art Documents (56)US 4029716 US 4052476 US 4067920

(57) Claim

- 1. A process for effecting vapor phase disproportionattoluene comprising contacting conversion conditions with a catalyst composition comprising a crystalline zeolite having a silica/alumina mole ratio greater than 12 and less than 55, a Constraint Index of 1 to 12 when tested at a temperature in the range 290°C to and a diffusion rate constant of sec⁻¹.
- The process of any preceding claim wherein said zeolite is ZSM-5, ZSM-11, ZSM-12, ZSM-22. ZSM-23. ZSM-48, ZSM-50 or Beta.
- 6. The process of any preceding claim wherein said conversion conditions include a temperature of 316 - 5930c (600 to 1100° F), a pressure of atmospheric to 7000 kPa (1000 psig), a hydrogen/hydrocarbon mole ratio of 0 to 10 and a weight hourly space velocity, based upon weight of active catalyst component, of 0.1 to 30 hr -1.

AUSTRALIA

Patents Act

COMPLETE SPECIFICATION (ORIGINAL)

Class

Int. Class

Application Number: Lodged:

Complete Specification Lodged: Accepted:

Published:

Priority

. Related Art:

APPLICANT'S REFERENCE: F-4356

Name(s) of Applicant(s):

Mobil Oil Corporation

• . • . Address(es) of Applicant(s):

150 East 42nd Street,

New York,

New York,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

... Address for Service is:

PHILLIPS ORMONDE & FITZPATRICK
Patent and Trade Hark Attorneys
367 Collins Street
Melbourne 3000 AUSTRALIA

Complete Specification for the invention entitled:

TOLUENE DISPROPORTIONATION

Our Ref : 104412 POF Code: 1462/1462

The following statement is a full description of this invention, including the best method of performing it known to applicant(s):

- 1 -

6003q/1

F-4356

TOLUENE DISPROPORTIONATION

This invention is directed to a process for effecting vapor-phase disproportionation of toluene.

It is known from, for example, U.S. Patent No. 4,052,476 to effect vapor phase disproportionation of toluene by contacting toluene with a zeolite having a Constraint Index of 1 - 12, preferably ZSM-5, at a temperature of 650 - 1100°F (340 - 600°C), a hydrogen to hydrocarbon mole ratio of 0-4, a pressure of atmospheric to 1000 psig (7000 kPa) and a WHSV of 1-20.

Catalyst aging is, however, a recurrent problem with toluene disproportionation processes and there is therefore a continuing need to reduce catalyst aging. The present invention addresses this problem.

Accordingly, the invention resides in a process for the effecting vapor phase disproportionation of toluene comprising contacting toluene under conversion conditions with a catalyst composition comprising a crystalling zeolite having a silica/alumina mole ratio greater than 12 and less than 55, a Constraint Index of 1 to 12 and a diffusion rate constant of less than about 150 sec -1.

The diffusion rate constant of a particular crystalline zeolite is defined as $D/r^2 \times 10^6$ wherein D is the diffusion coefficent (cm²/sec) and r is the crystal radius (cm). The required diffusion parameters can be derived from sorption measurements provided the assumption is made that the plane sheet model describes the diffusion process. Thus for a given sorbate loading Q, the value Q/Q_{∞} , where Q_{∞} is the equilibrium sorbate loading, is directly proportionate to $(Dt/r^2)^{1/2}$ where t is the time (sec) required to reach the sorbate loading Q. Graphical solutions for the plane sheet model given by J. Crank in 'The Mathmatics of Diffusion', Oxford University Press, Ely House, London, 1967, are tabulated below:

<u>Q/Q</u> _∞	$\frac{(\mathrm{Dt/r^2})^{1/2}}{}$		
0.05	0.044		
0.10	0.088		
0.20	0.173		
0.30	0,267		
0.40	0.353		

The process of the present invention employs a catalyst comprising a zeolite having a Constraint Index of 1 to 12 (see U.S. Patent No. 4,016,218) and a silica/alumina mole ratio less than 55, preferably from 20 to less than 55, more preferably from 20 to 40. The zeolite also has a diffusion rate constant (D/r² x 10⁶) of less than about 150 sec ⁻¹, and preferably less than about 120 sec ⁻¹. Suitable zeolites include ZSM-5, ZSM-11, ZSM-12, ZSM-22, ZSM-23, ZSM-35, ZSM-48, ZSM-50 and zeolite beta with ZSM-5 being preferred. ZSM-5 is described in U.S. Patent 3,702,886; ZSM-11 is described in U.S. Patent 3,709,979; ZSM-12 is described in U.S. Patent 3,832,449; ZSM-22 is described in Canadian Patent No. 1,210,747; ZSM-23 is described in U.S. Patent 4,076,842; ZSM-35 is described in U.S. Patent 4,076,842; ZSM-35 is described in U.S. Patent 4,076,842; ZSM-35 is described in U.S. Patent 4,397,827; ZSM-50 is described in U.S. Patent 4,640,849; and zeolite beta is described in U.S. Patent 3,308,069.

Constraint Index (CI) values for some typical materials are:

ZSM-4 0.5 (316°C) ZSM-5 6-8.3 (371°C - 316°C) ZSM-11 5-8.7 (371°C - 316°C)
ZSM-12 ZSM-20 ZSM-20 ZSM-22 ZSM-23 ZSM-23 ZSM-34 ZSM-35 ZSM-35 ZSM-38 ZSM-48 ZSM-50 ZS
TEA Mordenite 0.4 (316°C) Clinoptilolite 3.4 (510°C) Mordenite 0.5 (316°C) REY 0.4 (316°C)
TMA Offretite 3.7 (316°C)
ZSM-38 2 (510°C) ZSM-48 3.5 (538°C) ZSM-50 2.1 (427°C) TMA Offretite 3.7 (316°C)

It will be noted that the Constraint Index values for some of the above zeolite vary with temperature. To be suitable for use in the present invention, a zeolite must have a Constraint Index value within the range 1-12 when tested at some temperature in the range 290 - 538°C.

For the disproportionation process of this invention the zeolite catalyst may be employed in combination with a support or binder material such as, for example, a porous inorganic oxide support or a clay binder. Non-limiting examples of such binder materials include alumina, zirconia, silica, magnesia, thoria, titania, boria and combinations thereof, generally in the form of dried inorganic oxide gels and gelatinous precipitates. Suitable clay materials include, by way of example, bentonite and kieselguhr. The relative proportion of suitable crystalline

molecular sieve of the total composition of catalyst and binder or support may vary widely with the zeolite content ranging from between 30 to 90 percent by weight and more usually in the range of 50 to 80 percent by weight of the composition. The composition may be in the form of an extrudate, beads or fluidizable microspheres.

The improved process of this invention is conducted such that disproportionation of toluene is carried out in the vapor-phase by contact in a reaction zone, such as, for example, a fixed bed of catalyst compositio, under disproportionation effective conditions, said catalyst composition being characterized as comprising the above-defined molecular sieve, preferably which has been hydrogen, hydrogen precursor and/or non-noble Group VIII metal exchanged and/or thermally treated. The effluent is separated and distilled to remove desired product, such as benzene and xylene, and unreacted reactant, i.e toluene, is recycled for further reaction.

By the present improved process toluene is converted to aromatic concentrates of high value, e.g. xylene and benzene. This process may be conducted in either batch or fluid bed operation with attendant benefits of either operation readily obtainable.

In the process of this invention, the toluene charge is preferably dried in a manner which will minimize the water entering the reaction employed. Means known in the art suitable for drying the toluene charge to the present process are numerous, including percolation through silica gel, activated alumina, molecular sieves or other suitable substance or use of liquid charge dryers.

In a typical embodiment of the present process, optimum toluene conversion is found to be from about 40 weight percent to about 50 weight percent. Yield of C_5 products and ring losses in such an embodiment appear to increase at conversion above about 40 percent and xylene yields begin to decrease when toluene conversion exceeds about 50 weight percent.

Suitable conditions for the process of the invention include a temperature of 600°F to 1100°F (316 = 593°C), preferably 650°F to about 1000°F (343 - 540°C) at a pressure of atmospheric

to 1000 psig (7000 kPa), more preferably 50 to 1000 psig (450 - 7000 kPa). The hydrogen to hydrocarbon mole ratio may be from 0 (no added hydrogen) to 10, with a preferred range of from 0 to 3. A particularly preferred range of hydrogen to hydrocarbon mole ratio will be from 0 to 2.

The invention will now be described with reference to the Examples in which all parts are given by weight, unless otherwise stated. In the Examples, when Alpha Value is examined, it is noted that the Alpha Value is an approximate indication of the catalytic cracking activity of the catalyst compared to a standard catalyst and gives the relative rate constant (rate of normal hexane conversion per volume of catalyst per unit time). It is based on the activity of a silica-alumina cracking catalyst taken as an Alpha of 1 (Rate Constant = 0.016 sec^{-1}). The Alpha Test is described in U.S. Patent 3,354,078 and in The Journal of Catalysis, Vol. IV, pp. 522-529 (August 1965).

To assist in understanding the Examples, the attached drawing is also provided which is a graph of temperature against days on stream in a toluene disproportionation process employing Catalysts A - E described in the Examples.

Example 1

Five separate ZSM-5 samples were prepared as follows:

Molecular Sieve A (invention)
6.4 parts of water were mixed with 11.7 parts 50% NaOH, 10.6 parts $AI_2(SO_4)_3$ 14H₂O and 71.4 parts amorphous silica (46.5% solids), prepared by the neutralization of sodium silicate with sulfuric acid. The reaction mixture had a composition, in mole ratios of:

SiO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	*	30
H20/Si02	\$.	5.76
0H ⁻ /Si0 ₂	=	0.072
OH-/H2O	è	0.013



F-4356

The reaction mixture was then heated to 350°F (177°C) and stirred in an autoclave at that temperature for crystallization. After full crystallinity was achieved, the resulting crystals were separated from remaining liquid by filtration, washed with water and dried.

Molecular Sieve B (comparative)

7.3 parts of water were mixed with 12.8 parts 50% NaOH, 10.1 parts ${\rm Al}_2({\rm SO}_4)_3$ * 14 H $_2$ O, 1.6 parts 2SM-5 seeds and 68.2 parts amorphous silica (47.6% solids) prepared by the neutralization of sodium silicate with sulfuric acid. The reaction mixture had a composition, in mole ratios, of:

=	32
=	5,45
=	0.105
=	0,0192
	=

The reaction mixture was then heated directly to 220°F (104°C) and stirred in an autoclave at that temperature for crystallization. After full crystallinity was achieved, the resulting crystals were separated from remaining liquid by filtration, washed with water and dried.

Molecular Sieve C (comparative)

3.1 parts of n-propylamine were added to a mixture containing 1.1 parts sodium chloride, 0.2 parts ZSM-5 seeds, 0.2 parts dispersant (mixture of polymerized aryl and substituted benzoid alkyl sulfonic acids), 2.6 parts $Al_2(SO_4)_3 \cdot 14H_2O$, 7.0 parts 50% NaOH, 25.8 parts HiSi1 233 (a precipitated hydrated SiO_2 containing about 6 wt.% free H_2O and about 4.5 wt.% bound H_2O of hydration and having an ultimate particle size of about 0.02 micron) and 59.9 parts water. The reaction mixture had a composition, in mole ratios, of:



SiO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	=	65
H ₂ 0/SiO ₂	=	9.92
OH /SiO2	=	0.163
	÷	9.2
N/A1 ₂ 0 ₃ OH ⁻ /H ₂ O	=	0.0165

wherein N is the n-propylamine. In the above ratios, the hydroxide concentration is based on only inorganic sources.

The reaction mixture was then heated directly to 220°F (104°C) and stirred in an autoclave at that temperature for crystallization. After full crystallinity was achieved, the resulting crystals were separated from remaining liquid by filtration, washed with water, exchanged with NH_4NO_3 and dried.

Molecular Sieve D (comparative)
3.1 parts of n-propylamine were added to a mixture containing 1.1 parts sodium chloride, 0.2 parts ZSM-5 seeds, 0.2 parts dispersant (mixture of polymerized aryl and substituted benzoid alkyl sulfonic acids), 2.6 parts $Al_2(SO_4)_3 \cdot 14 H_2O$, 7.0 parts 50% NaOH, 25.8 parts HiSi1 233 and 59.9 parts water. reaction mixture had a composition, in mole ratios, of;

$Si0_2/A1_20_3$	3 .	65
H20/SiO2	=	9.92
OH /SiO2	=	0.163
N/A1 ₂ 0 ₃	-=	9.2
ОН ^Т /Й ₂ Õ	=	0.0165

wherein N is the n-propylamine. In the above ratios, the hydroxide concentration is based on only inorganic sources.

The reaction mixture was then heated directly to 320°F (160°C) and stirred in an autoclave at that temperature for crystallization. After full crystallinity was achieved, the resulting crystals were separated from remaining liquid by filtration, washed with water, exchanged with NH_4NO_3 and dried.



Molecular Sieve E (comparative)

1.0 parts of water was mixed with 7.0 parts 100% NaOH, 10.8 parts $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ • 14 H_2O , 75.6 parts amorphous silica (45.2% solids) prepared by the neutralization of sodium silicate with sulfuric acid, and 5.5 parts ZSM-5 seeds (33% solids). The reaction mixture had a composition, in mole ratios, of:

$Si0_2/A1_20_3$	=	31
H_20/SiO_2	=	4.95
OH /SiO2	=	0.109
OH /H ₂ O	=	0.0219

The reaction mixture was then heated to 220°F (104°C) and stirred in an autoclave at that temperature for crystallization. After full crystallinity was achieved, the resulting crystals were separated from remaining liquid by filtration, washed with water and dried.

The above molecular sieve materials were evaluated for diffusion rate constants, composition, e.g. alumina, silica and sodium contents, surface area, particle density, pore volume and Alpha Value. Results of these evaluations are listed in Table I below.



TABL	E	I
------	---	---

Molecular Sieve	A	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	D	<u>E</u>
SiO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃ , mole ratio	26	26.	55	55	26
Na,ppm	135	120	15.0	280	
Diffusion rate $(D/r^2 \times 10^6)$, sec ⁻¹	< 150	>150	> 150	< 150	> 150
Surface area,m²/g	325	317	349	265	
Particle density,g/cc	0.87	1.01	0.88	0.93	
Pore volume,cc/g	0.77	0.61	0.76	0.70	
Alpha Value	650	710	350	290	427

Example 2

The molecular sieves A - E of Example 1 were each composited with an alumina binder and made into extrudates Catalysts A - E respectively, each catalyst comprising 65 wt.% zeolite and 35 wt.% alumina.

Each catalyst was then diluted and evaluated for toluene disproportionation in identical reactors under identical conditions. 2.3 g Catalyst A was diluted with 4.5 g inert sand, whereas 1.1 g of each of Catalysts B, C and D with 1.0 cc inert Vycor quartz chips. The reactions were conducted in 3/8-inch (0.95 cm) outside diameter stainless steel reactors and the reaction conditions were 600 psig (4240 kPa), 4.0 hr⁻¹ weight hourly space velocity (based on molecular sieve) and a hydrogen/hydrocarbon mole ratio of 2, with the temperature being adjusted to maintain a target toluene conversion of 48 ± 1 wt.%. The toluene feedstock was dried for each reaction by percolation through activated alumina.



Liquid and gas products from the reactions were analyzed by conventional chromatography. Run data are presented in Figure 1, which is a plot of reaction temperature in °F versus time on stream in days for each of the Example 2 disproportionation runs.

It is noted that for Catalyst A the start-of-cycle temperature was 750°F (400°C), which was maintained throughout the run. For Catalyst B, the initial start-of-cycle temperature was 730°F (388°C). Since Catalyst B aged rapidly, the temperature was corrected for the target conversion when necessary by using a factor of 3 wt.% toluene conversion/10°F (5°C). The same aging correction factor was used for the runs with Catalysts C and D. For Catalyst C, the start-of-cycle temprature was 849°F (454°C); and for Catalyst D, 847°F (453°C). Catalyst E reached the 48% target conversion at 775°F (413°C) initially and aged to 802°F (428°C).

From the data plotted in Figure 1, it is observed that Catalyst A showed no appreciable aging (< 0.1 °C/day) over a 30 day cycle. Catalyst E, with a silica/alumina mole ratio of 26, but a diffusion rate of greater than 150 sec⁻¹, aged 0.5°C/day over the 30 day cycle. Catalyst C, with a silica/alumina mole ratio of 55 and a diffusion rate constant of greater than 150 sec⁻¹, aged over 28°C (50°F) in the same 30 day time period, giving an aging rate of > 0.5°C/day. Catalyst B, with a diffusion rate constant greater than 150 sec⁻¹, and Catalyst D, with a silica/alumina mole ratio of 55, both showed severe aging at a rate of > 5°C/day.

THE CLAIMS DEFINING THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. A process for effecting vapor phase disproportionation of toluene comprising contacting toluene under conversion conditions with a catalyst composition comprising a crystalline zeolite having a silica/alumina mole ratio greater than 12 and less than 55, a Constraint Index of 1 to 12 when tested at a temperature in the range 290° C to 538° C, and a diffusion rate constant of less than 150 sec⁻¹.
- 2. The process of claim 1 wherein said zeolite has a silica/alumina mole ratio of from 20 to 40.
- 3. The process of claim 1 or claim 2 wherein said zeolite has a diffusion rate constant of less than 120 \sec^{-1} .
- 4. The process of any preceding claim wherein said zeolite is ZSM-5, ZSM-11, ZSM-12, ZSM-22, ZSM-23, ZSM-35, ZSM-48, ZSM-50 or Beta.
- 5. The process of any preceding claim wherein the zeolite is ZSM-5.
- 6. The process of any preceding claim wherein said conversion conditions include a temperature of $316-593^{\circ}C$ (600 to $1100^{\circ}F$), a pressure of atmospheric to 7000 kPa (1000 psig), a hydrogen/hydrocarbon mole ratio of 0 to 10 and a weight hourly space velocity, based upon weight of active catalyst component, of 0.1 to 30 hr⁻¹.
- 7. The process of any preceding claim wherein said conversion conditions include a temperature of $343 540^{\circ}$ C (650 1000° F), a pressure of 450 to 7000 kPa (50 to 1000 psig) and a hydrogen/hydrocarbon mole ratio of 0 to 3.
- 8. A process as claimed in claim 1 substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to Example 2.
- 35 DATED: 29 July 1991 PHILLIPS ORMONDE & FITZPÄTRICK Attorneys For:

MOBIL OIL CORPORATION

David & Fity fatrick

39

30

5

10

20.

EJD



