



US 20250053019A1

(19) **United States**

(12) **Patent Application Publication**
Al-Husseini

(10) **Pub. No.: US 2025/0053019 A1**

(43) **Pub. Date: Feb. 13, 2025**

(54) **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
CALCULATION AND DISPLAY OF
FORMATION FLIGHT INFORMATION ON
AUGMENTED REALITY DISPLAY DEVICE**

(71) Applicant: **U.S. Army DEVCOM, Army
Research Laboratory, Adelphi, MD
(US)**

(72) Inventor: **Mahdi Al-Husseini, Enterprise, AL
(US)**

(73) Assignee: **U.S. Government, as Represented by
the Secretary of the Army, Adelphi,
MD (US)**

(21) Appl. No.: **18/923,890**

(22) Filed: **Oct. 23, 2024**

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 18/097,669,
filed on Jan. 17, 2023, now Pat. No. 12,170,025.


(60) Provisional application No. 63/301,482, filed on Jan.
20, 2022.

Publication Classification

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G02B 27/01 (2006.01)
G01C 23/00 (2006.01)
(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G02B 27/0179** (2013.01); **G01C 23/005**
(2013.01); **G02B 2027/014** (2013.01); **G02B**
2027/0183 (2013.01)

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Disclosed are systems and associated methods for calculating and displaying formation flight information, to include aircraft spacing, predicted trajectory data, collision avoidance alerts, time on target details, and chalk-specific information, on an augmented reality display designed to interface with aviation helmets. Two or more networked computing devices, each on a separate aircraft, collect some combination of aircraft altitude, location, and inertial data, preform certain calculations, and then develops a virtual overlay according to aircraft relative position and nearby aircraft trajectories. The virtual overlay is further informed by compass and gyroscopic data from an operatively coupled augmented reality display device. The developed virtual overlay is then transmitted to the display device for viewing by the pilot. The display of relevant formation flight information using augmented reality tools may result in improved formation flight spacing, emergency procedure response, and collision avoidance.






FIG 1




FIG 2




FIG 3




FIG 4





FIG 5

*FIG 6*




FIG 7




FIG 8




FIG 9




FIG 10




FIG 11




FIG 12





FIG 13

*FIG 14*




FIG 15

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CALCULATION AND DISPLAY OF FORMATION FLIGHT INFORMATION ON AUGMENTED REALITY DISPLAY DEVICE

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION(S)

[0001] This application is a continuation-in-part (CIP) of application Ser. No. 18/097,669 filed Jan. 17, 2023. The '669 application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 63/301,482 filed Jan. 20, 2022. Copies of these applications are herein incorporated by reference in their entireties for all purposes.

GOVERNMENT INTEREST

[0002] The invention described herein may be manufactured, used, and licensed by or for the United States Government.

BACKGROUND

1. Technical Field

[0003] The present invention pertains to formation flight information, and more particularly to the calculation and display of predicted trajectory data, collision avoidance alerts, time on target details, and chalk-specific information on an augmented reality device, using navigational tools to include inertial and global positioning systems.

2. Background Art

[0004] The following is a tabulation of some prior art that presently appears relevant (and are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety):

U.S. Patents

- [0005]** Patent Number Kind Code Issue Date Patentee
- [0006]** U.S. Pat. No. 6,926,233 B1 Aug. 9, 2005 Corcoran, III
- [0007]** U.S. Pat. No. 7,024,309 B2 Apr. 4, 2006 Doane
- [0008]** U.S. Pat. No. 8,949,090 B2 Feb. 3, 2015 Whitehead et al.
- [0009]** U.S. Pat. No. 9,852,547 B2 Dec. 26, 2017 Bostick et al.
- [0010]** U.S. Pat. No. 10,301,037 B2 May 28, 2019 Frolov et al.

3. Background

[0011] The Federal Aviation Administration defines formation flight in 14 C.F.R. § 91.111 as operating near other aircraft, along with a series of operational requirements regarding collision hazards and passengers for hire. Generally, formation flight consists of two or more aircraft ordered by serial and chalk, with a clear and well-defined delineation of in-air responsibilities, and a shared objective. Safe formation flight requires a thorough understanding of route structures, aircraft aerodynamics, procedures relating to lost visual contact and lost communication, in-flight link-up procedures, lead change procedures, emergency procedures, and more.

[0012] Timely, tight, and precise formation flight is an essential task for several military missions which collectively engage a wide range of rotary-wing and fixed-wing

aircraft. The United States Army Aviation enterprise especially prides itself on its Air Assault mission-set, typically involving some combination of UH-60 Black Hawk and CH-47 Chinook helicopters. Air Assault is the strategic movement of Soldiers, typically light infantry, into and across the battlefield to seize and maintain critical territory. Air Assault further encompasses several unique capabilities to include fast-roping, rappelling, and special patrol insertion and extraction. The 101st Airborne Division is the United States Army's light infantry division specializing in Air Assault operations, and Air Assault training is conducted at several specialized Air Assault schools across the United States.

[0013] Formation flight, although a force multiplier on the battlefield, is inherently risky. There are a multitude of well-documented case studies detailing fatal formation flight accidents on the civilian and military side alike, the vast majority being mid-air collisions between formation aircraft caused by either failing to properly execute emergency procedures or failing to maintain situational awareness of nearby aircraft.

[0014] Augmented reality displays provide situationally relevant information in real-time in both visually degraded environments (such as during nighttime operations) and environmentally hazardous environments (such as during formation flight). Army Aviators already train and maintain proficiency on military heads-up displays during nighttime operations; these systems are designed to integrate with existing night vision devices.

SUMMARY

[0015] Described is an intelligent augmented reality system and associated methods, featuring networked computing devices and at least one augmented reality display device. These devices provide relevant information, and thereby increased situational awareness, to pilots engaged in formation flight, to ensure enhanced flight operations.

[0016] Herein, are disclosed various embodiments for systems and associated methods for calculating and displaying formation flight information, to include aircraft spacing, predicted trajectory data, collision avoidance alerts, time on target details, and chalk-specific information, on an augmented reality display designed to interface with aviation helmets. Two or more networked computing devices, each on a separate aircraft, collect some combination of aircraft altitude, location, and inertial data, perform certain calculations, and then develops a virtual overlay according to aircraft relative position and nearby aircraft trajectories. The virtual overlay is further informed by compass and gyroscopic data from an operatively coupled augmented reality display device. The developed virtual overlay is then transmitted to the display device for viewing by the pilot. The display of relevant formation flight information using augmented reality tools may result in improved formation flight spacing, emergency procedure response, and collision avoidance.

[0017] These and other embodiments of the invention are described in more detail below.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0018] Many aspects of the present disclosure can be better understood with reference to the following drawings. The components in the drawings are not necessarily to scale,

emphasis instead being placed upon clearly illustrating the principles of the disclosure. Moreover, in the drawings, like reference numerals designate corresponding parts throughout the several views.

[0019] FIG. 1 illustrates an example of a distributed data network across a serial during formation flight along with associated hardware for each chalk aircraft, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0020] FIG. 2 depicts an example of the subcomponents of operatively coupled computing and display devices for an individual aircraft during formation flight, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0021] FIG. 3 depicts an example of formation flight along with associated naming conventions for key aircraft, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0022] FIG. 4 depicts an example of an augmented reality virtual overlay for a trailing aircraft, with the leading aircraft at different distances, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0023] FIG. 5 depicts an example of an augmented reality virtual overlay for a trailing aircraft, with an issued collision avoidance alert, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0024] FIG. 6 depicts a flowchart of a computer-implemented algorithm illustrating one example of how a collision avoidance alert may be determined and displayed, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0025] FIG. 7 depicts an example of an augmented reality virtual overlay for a formation lead aircraft, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0026] FIG. 8 depicts a flowchart of a computer-implemented algorithm illustrating an example of the decision-making function of a virtual overlay program, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0027] FIG. 9 depicts an example of a computer-generated user input form to be filled by a pilot prior to flight, that defines the display of information on the augmented reality display device, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0028] FIG. 10 depicts an example of the computing device as mounted to an aircraft cabin door window.

[0029] FIG. 11 depicts an example of the augmented reality display device display as integrated into an existing aviation helmet design.

[0030] FIG. 12 illustrates an example of a formation flight visualization on a hardware display, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0031] FIG. 13 depicts a flowchart for issuing formation flight procedural guidance on an augmented reality display device, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0032] FIG. 14 depicts a flowchart for visualizing the real-time status of a formation flight on a hardware display operatively coupled to a specified computing device to enhance command and control, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

[0033] FIG. 15 shows the spatial perspective of a pilot wearing an augmented reality display device, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0034] The concise, intelligent, and organized presentation of aircraft data using heads-up displays and similar augmented reality applications has resulted in improved

safety outcomes and enhanced overall performance. The present invention takes advantage of advances in networked applications to provide pilots critical information regarding not only their own aircraft, but aircraft in their immediate vicinity; some embodiments of the present invention may extend this application to not only the discussed dangers of formation flying, but single-ship general aviation procedures as well. Embodiments of the present invention discussed in the detailed description and shown in the drawings are not intended to restrict the scope of aircraft augmented reality applications, but rather, to illuminate the underpinnings and advantages brought about through variations on the proposed invention. Additional embodiments may be possible. The various embodiments may be used individually or together as may be needed or desired.

[0035] The figures will now be discussed in-depth to describe the present invention. FIG. 1 illustrates an example of a distributed data network, generally designated **100**, across a serial during formation flight along with associated hardware for each chalk aircraft, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. The formation flight distributed data network **100** includes user input **110**, the network itself **120**, and an operatively coupled device package per aircraft chalk **130**. The operatively coupled device package per aircraft chalk **130** may include both a computing device **131** and a display device **132**. Aircraft positioning in the formation has substantial impact on the display of information through the display device **132**, and one common arrangement of aircraft involving a leading aircraft **140** and trailing aircraft **150** is here shown. Formation flight must involve at least two aircraft, and if not simply leading and trailing in arrangement—such as if in a parallel or V configuration—the formation aircraft may instead be labeled numerically, e.g., a first, second, third aircraft and so on.

[0036] The terms “chalk,” “serial” and “lift” as used herein are military aviation terms which describe aircraft in flight formations. They are discussed in Army Training Publication No. 3-04.1 “Aviation Tactical Employment,” dated April 2016 (and, in particular, see Chapter 5, Section, V, pp 5-23), herein incorporated by reference in its entirety. In general, a “chalk” refers to a single aircraft comprised of the load contained within. A “serial” is a group of chalks. So, in a serial, different aircraft can be designated as chalk **1**, chalk **2**, chalk **3**, so on and so forth, as an example. A “lift” is comprised of one or more serials having a serial designation like serial **1**, serial **2**, serial **2**, and so on, as an example. All chalks should be designated within serials and lifts. Thus, an exemplary chalk designation of **1-2** refers to the serial **1**, chalk **2**.

[0037] The user input **110** may be accessed, filled-out, and submitted through an application on a mobile device or other platform, and is intended to be completed prior to the formation flight in accordance with the mission or objective. The user input **110** will be examined in additional depth in the discussion of FIG. 9. The network **120** may be any type of wireless network, to include, for example, a wireless local area network (WLAN), wireless personal area network (WPAN), wireless metropolitan area network (WMAN), wireless wide area network (WWAN), satellite-based networks, or any combination thereof.

[0038] The operatively coupled device package per aircraft chalk **130** includes, at a minimum, a computing device **131**, but may further include a display device **132**. In aircraft with a dual-pilot cockpit, more than computing device **131**

and display device **132** may be present, along with a means or mechanism for indicating which pilot is actively on the controls. Computing device **131** is a compact computing device capable of collecting data from a variety of sensors and the user input **110**, processing that data in-flight to generate a virtual overlay using virtual overlay program **204**, and transmitting the generated overlay to display device **132**. Computing device **131** is also capable of drawing and/or receiving data from like and networked computing devices on nearby aircraft to support with virtual overlay generation and to enable intelligent features such as collision avoidance detection.

[0039] Computing device **131** includes and/or is operatively connected to a pressure sensor **201**, GPS receiver **202**, processor **203**, virtual overlay program **204**, transceiver **205**, and may further include accelerometers **206** and gyroscopes **207**. The computing device **131** is being represented as the overall system for the aircraft. Some of elements, such as pressure sensor **201** and GPS processor **203**, may already exist elsewhere on the aircraft and may provide input for the computer device **131**. In other words, such elements need only to be operatively connected to the computing device **131**. Although, in other embodiments, such elements may be incorporated into or otherwise a part of the computing device **131** itself. Pressure sensor **201** may include, for example, one or more, or any combination of digital pressure, analogue barometric pressure, and differential pressure sensors, to determine aircraft altitude. GPS receiver **202** may include one or more global positioning system receivers that provides accurate location data calculated from delays in signal propagation from four or more GPS satellites.

[0040] GPS data is a way used for determining and tracking the position of each aircraft. As received, GPS data describes a device's location and generally includes terms of longitude, latitude, altitude, and the time that the transmission was received. Alternatively, aircraft altitude and location may be sourced to computing device **131** through any one of several existing internal or external aircraft systems designed to aggregate aircraft information. The use of known aviation-proximity sensors, such as RADAR, LIDAR, ultrasonic, or otherwise, can be included in or on the aircraft. Such sensors are common for most aircraft. They can be used to provide distance measurements between aircrafts as well as other objects. These measurements, in turn, can be used to estimate aircraft relative position in a formation. For instance, the system can be operatively configured to interact with the aviation-proximity sensors to measure and track distances between the aircrafts in formation. Relative distance between aircrafts may also be determined/estimated using the Pythagorean theorem based on their locations in free space.

[0041] Altitude measurements can be determined using the aircraft's altimeter. Altimeters traditionally use barometric pressure sensors for measuring ambient pressure outside the aircraft. Alternatively, GPS data which includes altitude information can be used. Virtual overlay program **204**, runs on processor **203**, and generates a unique virtual overlay informed by both user input **110** and the multitude of sensors on a network of computing devices **131**.

[0042] Transceiver **205** is one or more transceivers capable of receiving and transmitting data with both an operatively coupled display device **132** and like computing

devices **131** on network **120**. It includes one or more antennas and other requisite hardware and processors for radio communications.

[0043] Integrating accelerometers **206** and/or gyroscopes **207** may improve the accuracy of collected location data by employing a hybrid navigation model consisting of both inertial and GPS systems. Hybrid navigation is today pretty-standard implementation in most advanced systems (aircraft, self-driving cars, etc.). A flight plan can be uploaded ahead of flight which provides the flight path. Such data may include mapping information setting forth the flight path. Precise data, like GPS, can be used for this purpose. The hybrid navigation model tracks the aircraft's position in real time and compares it to the flight path. The system responds to maintain the aircraft on the desired flight path by making correction to the flight controls. Hybrid navigational models may use neural networks or so-called fuzzy logic correction algorithms for more precise controls. One exemplary hybrid navigation system is described in the following paper: A. Hiliuta, R. Landry and F. Gagnon, "Fuzzy corrections in a GPS/INS hybrid navigation system," in IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 591-600, April 2004, herein incorporated by reference in its entirety. This and other hybrid navigation models can be used in one or more embodiments to determine aircraft location and predict aircraft trajectory as a function of both the outputs of the GPS receiver and inertial data. Accelerometer **206** and gyroscope **207** may be packaged separately, or on a consolidated inertial measurement unit (IMU) chip. These hardware arrangements are standard to aviation industry practice and will not be further described herein.

[0044] Display device **132** includes an augmented reality display **210**, gyroscope **211**, compass **212**, transceiver **213**, and may further include a camera **214**. Camera **214** can be any camera or imaging system. Ideally, it is a high-definition video camera for providing adequate views. While conventional video cameras that record in the visible spectrum may be used other cameras, such as those which record in "night-vision" and/or IR spectrums, might be also provided. These enable night missions. In other embodiments and implementations, various other imaging system can be used for added image capture capabilities which can provide other mission benefits. For instance, a second camera (preferably, configured to provide stereo vision) can be included to greatly enhances visual depth. Additional cameras might also be provided to increase the field of view in some instances.

[0045] Augmented reality display **210** is a wearable heads-up display through which the pilot is presented critical formation flight information during flight. In embodiments, the display **210** may include a liquid crystal display (LCD), light emitting diode (LED) display or organic LED (LED) display, as non-limiting examples, operatively connected to the computing device **131** which generates and provides digital content data (e.g., video, image, sound, etc.). Augmented reality display **210** may be worn alone in the form of a headset, goggles, or glasses, or may be integrated into an existing aviation helmet design, as shown in **1100**. In some implementations, a strap can be provided with the display **210** for securely coupling it to the wearer's head; it may be elastic and/or provided with adjustable attachment means, like Velcro® or a buckle.

[0046] Gyroscope **211** measures the orientation and angular velocity of the pilot's head thus providing the pilot's

orientation. The compass **212** determining direction of the pilot's head thus providing the heading. The orientation data from the gyroscope **211**, when paired with heading data from compass **212**, may be used to map the pilot's overall operational view.

[0047] The mapping of the pilot's view is important to properly correlate the display of virtual view-dependent information with the pilot's view. This mapping allows for the estimation of the approximate location of a second aircraft in the pilot's view. Positional tracking algorithms are common and important for many headset displays, and include, for example, the well-known Oculus Rift. A discussion of a positional tracking algorithm for a heads-up display is provided in the following article: Ananth Ranganathan, "The Oculus Insight positional tracking system," AI Accelerator Institute, 27 Jun. 2022, available at: <https://www.aiacceleratorinstitute.com/the-oculus-insight-positional-tracking-system-2/> (and, in particular, see the subsection titled "Building a map and localizing"), herein incorporated by reference in its entirety. Essentially, an IMU helps predict movement between frames, and thus locations on the global map. Use of a second camera (stereo vision) can also greatly enhance global map accuracy. This algorithms and other positional tracking algorithms and models can be used in one or more embodiments to map the pilot's overall operational view on the display of the on augmented reality display **210**. By developing a map that extends 180 degrees or more around the pilot, the approximate location of a second aircraft in the pilot's view can be tracked even when not in the immediate view of the pilot. If we assume the origin exists when the pilot is facing immediately forwards, the map can reasonably be expected to extend, at the least, about 100 degrees in yaw in either direction, such that visibility of other aircraft through side windows are included. However, if the aircraft forward of our aircraft is at the 90-degree point from the origin of said map, that would suggest the two aircraft are actually abeam one another (parallel)—anything further would be a change in formation configuration (and thus a change in leading/trailing aircraft). The same technique can be used in the pitch direction too. The views can be easily scaled to the display unit. For instance, for aircrafts and object close to the pilot, virtual information can be depicted/displayed nearly to the center of the display whereas those farther away can be depicted/displayed at the edges or periphery of the display. In that way, the virtual displayed information approximates the virtual viewpoint of the wearer. The estimated positioning of a second aircraft may be used to inform the placement of key information on augmented reality display **210**.

[0048] Transceiver **213** transmits and receives data with an operationally coupled computing device **130**. It includes one or more antennas and other requisite hardware and processors for radio communications. Camera **214** may be one or more outward facing cameras strategically positioned to capture the perspective of the pilot for the purposes of improved second aircraft detection and tracking. The cameras **214** preferably collect imagery from the vantage point of a pilot in the aircraft for the purposes of improved second aircraft detection and tracking. In some embodiments, a forward-facing camera is provided in the aircrafts. The camera in trailing aircraft(s) thus can provide a "view" of leading aircraft(s). Alternatively or additionally, in embodiments, a backward-facing camera is provide in the aircrafts. The camera in leading aircraft(s) thus can provide a "view"

of trailing aircraft(s). Taken together, the various views can be input to the system and made available to the pilots given them better situational awareness.

[0049] FIG. 3 depicts an example of formation flight along with associated naming conventions for key chalk aircraft, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. Innumerable flight formation configurations exist, and although a linear flight configuration is here shown, it is to be understood that the present invention is not limited to the depicted configurations. Aircraft coupling **300** depicts two chalk aircraft, which may be leading **140** and trailing **150** in nature, or otherwise simply denoted as first and second. Typically, a formation lead aircraft **310** and formation trail aircraft **320** is designated, as these strategic positions play critical roles during both regular flight operations and emergency procedure response. Formation lead aircraft **310** and formation trail aircraft **320** may therefore feature key differences in their associated augmented reality overlays compared to aircraft located in the mid-section of the formation.

[0050] FIG. 4 depicts an example of an augmented reality virtual overlay in a trailing aircraft (such as the 1-3 trailing aircraft **150** depicted in FIG. 3), with the leading aircraft **404** (such as the 1-2 leading aircraft **140** in FIG. 3) virtually displayed on an augmented reality display embodied as goggles, at different distances, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. The goggles would be worn by a pilot or co-pilot of the trailing aircraft. In the first trailing display **400**, the leading aircraft **404** is estimated to be approximately three rotor disks away. In the second trailing display **410**, the leading aircraft **404** is estimated to be approximately seven rotor disks away. For briefing purposes, helicopter separation is often operationally defined by units of rotor disks, as opposed to meters or feet; tight spacing is one to two disks of separation, close spacing is three to five disks of separation, loose spacing is six to ten disks of separation, and extended spacing is greater than ten rotor disks. The conversion from traditional units of distance to operational units is yet another function of computing device **131**. Notably, formation aircraft may not be rotary wing in nature, and may therefore use different units of measure. This information may be captured in user input **110**. It is further noted that any aircraft other than the leading aircraft could wear display **410** (as they are technically trailing, some aircraft).

[0051] The computing device **131** may be configured to take distance estimate values and divide by a user-input spacing variable for a given aircraft to compute the operational unit for distance, like rotor disk.

[0052] As shown in trailing displays **400** and **410**, the accurate estimation of the leading aircraft position in the trailing pilot's view **404** allows for the dynamic placement of relevant information, such as distance estimation metric **403** and estimated trajectory **405**, around that position, so as not to interfere with the pilot's view of the leading aircraft. Although heads-up displays are characterized by the transparent (see-through) nature of their text and symbology, interfering in any manner with the pilot's view of an aircraft in proximity may prove dangerous. Further, for estimated trajectory **405** to be meaningful and correct, the trajectory must be instantiated at the leading aircraft's current position. Estimated trajectory **405** may be visualized differently depending on the separation distance of the leading aircraft and the presumed accuracy of the trajectory itself; for

example, the trajectory path may be thicker if the leading aircraft is closer, and thinner if the leading aircraft is further away.

[0053] Additional information of value that may be denoted on the overlay includes serial and chalk position 401, associated position-based responsibilities 402, and time on target details 406. Serial and chalk position 401 may be dynamically updated depending on the relative position of an aircraft in formation as determined by computing devices 131 on network 120. Lead changes are common in formation flight, and aircraft may not maintain their initial positioning throughout the duration of a mission. Certain emergency procedures such as lost communication procedures also involve changes in formation flight positioning. Associated position-based responsibilities 402 are tied to an aircraft's serial and chalk number and must therefore be updated as well. Examples of associated position-based responsibilities 402 include making certain radio calls, opening and closing flight-plans, and attaining situation or weather information. In the figures, "LZ BLACK" refers to the present condition at the target, that is, the landing zone is dark.

[0054] Time on target (TOT) is a military aviation term. It refers to the time remaining in flight to a defined geographic coordinate (end point), typically where some mission-driven requirement is to occur, as part of the flight plan. Time on target details 406 may include the name of the upcoming waypoint, as well as time to and/or distance from the upcoming waypoint and destination. It can be computed by taking the difference in end position and current position (distance), and by knowing the current aircraft velocity (rate) and flight path, converted to a time (time). A simple conversion for an aircraft flying at constant velocity in a straight line to the target is $\text{time} = \text{distance} / \text{rate}$. Although, more sophisticated flight duration estimation algorithms exist and could be used. Initial serial and chalk position 401, associated position-based responsibilities 402, and time on target details 406 may all be defined in user input 110 as part of an initial mission briefing. In the figures, TOT is presently indicated at 2 minutes and 39 seconds.

[0055] FIG. 5 depicts an example of an augmented reality virtual overlay for a trailing aircraft, with an issued collision avoidance alert, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. The risk of mid-air collisions increases significantly during formation flight, and these collisions are often fatal. In the case of a collision avoidance alert, the overlay 500 is stripped of all but the most critical information—namely, a prominently featured collision avoidance text alert 520, distance estimation metric 403, and estimated trajectory 405. The user may adjust which and how information is displayed in all instances to include collision avoidance, in user input 110.

[0056] FIG. 6 depicts a flowchart for a computer-implemented algorithm, generally designated as 600, illustrating one example of how a collision avoidance alert may be determined and displayed, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. Location and altitude information is collected by computing devices 131 and shared among formation aircraft on network 120. Each aircraft's relative position in the formation is determined and leading and trailing aircraft couples 300 are designated. In non-linear formations where leading and trailing aircraft couples cannot be determined, each aircraft may be coupled with its closest neighboring aircraft. The trailing aircraft in each couple then receives inertial data from its leading aircraft;

similarly, in-nonlinear formations, each aircraft receives inertial data from its closest neighboring aircraft.

[0057] The computer-implemented algorithm depicted in FIG. 6, is a predictive algorithm which essentially forecasts the aircraft's future location. It uses an exemplary 3 second forecast window. But other times for the forecast window can be used with a caveat. Too far in time, and the trajectory will likely be incorrect with the addition of new pilot control inputs. And too little in time and the response time to critical situations (like a mid-air collision) will be too little to prevent catastrophe. As demonstrated in 610 and 620 respectively, the current and leading aircraft's trajectories are predicted using a combination of location, altitude, and inertial data, out to a certain timestep, as defined using user input 110. Reducing the extent of prediction results in reduced notification time in a potential mid-air collision but serves to reduce the collision avoidance alert's sensitivity in tightly spaced formations. If the trajectory of two aircraft comes within a single rotor-disk 630, or an otherwise defined non-acceptable separation distance defined in user input 110, the collision avoidance alert overlay 500 is displayed 640. This methodology is not limited to aircraft couples and can be extended such that each aircraft is actively tracking the predicted trajectory of each other aircraft in the formation.

[0058] An interesting edge case arises for the formation lead aircraft, which is not coupled to a leading aircraft. FIG. 7 depicts an example of an augmented reality virtual overlay, generally described as 700, for a formation lead aircraft (e.g., 1-1 formation lead aircraft 310 in FIG. 3), in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. Serial and chalk position 401, associated position-based responsibilities 402, and time on target details 406 are all displayed, while distance estimation metric 403 and estimated trajectory 405 are absent. The formation lead aircraft may forego display device 132 altogether given the reduced benefit; however, the formation lead aircraft's computing device 131 remains critical to properly support operations in the aircraft trailing it.

[0059] FIG. 8 depicts a flowchart for a computer-implemented algorithm, generally designated as 800, illustrating an example of the decision-making function of a virtual overlay program, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. As in FIG. 6, location and altitude information is collected by computing devices 131 and shared among formation aircraft on network 120. Each aircraft's relative position in the formation is determined and leading and trailing aircraft couples 300 are designated. In non-linear formations where leading and trailing aircraft couples cannot be determined, each aircraft may be coupled with its closest neighboring aircraft. The trailing aircraft in each couple then receives inertial data from its leading aircraft; similarly, in-nonlinear formations, each aircraft receives inertial data from its closest neighboring aircraft. Formation flight information 820 and predicted path of the leading aircraft 810 may be displayed on the generated overlay per 850 and 840 respectively depending on user input 110 selections. Users may elect to reduce the amount of information displayed on display device 132 based on the mission type and the planned extent of aircraft spacing. This feature is intended to be accessible and editable prior to or in-flight.

[0060] FIG. 9 depicts an example of user input 110, in a digital and editable submission form, generally designated

as **900**, to be filled by a pilot prior to flight, that defines the display of information on the augmented reality display device, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. It may be generated and implemented via at least one computing device. Editable submission form **900** may include one or more formation flight information selection options **820** and one or more leading aircraft predicted path selection options **810**; these selection options allow the user to personalize generated overlays in a mission-specific manner. A mechanism for loading the intended flight plan **910** allows for the display of time on target details **406**. Providing the number, type, and ordering of formation aircraft **930** and associated responsibilities for each serial and chalk position **920** further allows for the display of serial and chalk position **401** and associated position-based responsibilities **402** on the generated overlay. The information should be relevant to the user group. The exemplary text associated with the chalk positions **920** depicted there is lingo understood by one select group of pilots, for instance. Other pilot groups will use other terms and lingo. The exemplary text associated with the chalk positions is the assigned roles and responsibilities of the formation flight. For example, ATC is talking with air traffic control to attain clearances, CTAF is talking with the common traffic advisory frequency, XPDR is setting the transponder code, AMC is the air mission commander, so on and so forth.

[0061] FIG. 10, generally designated as **1000**, depicts an example of the computing device **131** mounted to an aircraft cabin door window. Computing device **131** may be mounted anywhere on—but not necessarily in—the aircraft, whether that be a cabin door window, the glare shield, or consoles. A direct connection to the aircraft itself is needed for the accurate collection of inertial aircraft data. Mounting mechanism **1020** may include any combination of one or more suction cups, pieces of tape, and any other adhesive material or structure.

[0062] FIG. 11 depicts an example of the augmented reality display device display as integrated into to an existing aviation helmet design and is generally designated as **1100**. In embodiments, the system may make use of existing or modified aviation helmets. For instance, HGU-56P is the standard Army aviation helmet, and the helmet displayed here and modified for the invention. Display device **132** may be attached to the mounting assembly **1130**, commonly used for the attachment of night vision devices. Mounting assembly **1130** contains internal electrical leads that allow for the transfer of power to the attached device; this power is commonly transferred through power transfer cord **1140** to the battery pack port **1150**. Display device **132** may be strategically placed on any location on helmet shell **1110** or visor assembly **1120**; however, regulatory helmet mass and moment requirements must be considered. Augmented reality display **210** is here visualized as a visor that seamlessly integrates with visor assembly **1120**.

[0063] FIG. 12 illustrates an example of a formation flight visualization on a hardware display, generally designated **1200**. The hardware display **1200** might be an Apple iPad® or Samsung Galaxy® tablet device, as example, for instance, having programming to execute the techniques and methodologies disclosed herein. The formation flight visualization includes information about each aircraft in formation **1210** to include their relative position from one another, a data summary **1220**, a timestamp **1250**, a bookmarking feature **1240**, and a three-dimensional camera controller

1230. Data summary **1220** may include information on the average lateral and vertical distance between aircraft and fuel remaining, as non-limiting examples. Bookmarking feature **1240** can save visualization data as an instantaneous snapshot in time and/or as a rolling video, for later retrieval and review post-flight. Camera controller **1230** enables the pilot to rotate the formation flight visualization in 3D space to attain a different spatial perspective. The user may also be presented with options for hiding specific aircraft in formation from the visualization. Doing so can minimize clutter should a pilot be, for example, interested only in the aircraft in front of and behind them.

[0064] At times, formation flight lead change procedures and loss of visual contact/in-flight link-up procedures can occur which are potentially dangerous. As stated in the June 2023 edition of FlightFax, the Online Newsletter of Army Accident Prevention, in article titled “Formation Flight:” “During loss of visual contact/in-flight linkup, the most important consideration is reorientation. Aircrew coordination both within the aircraft and flight are key. A safe rate of closure is essential to avoid overrunning the aircraft ahead and separation by altitude can greatly increase safety margins.” (That article is hereby incorporated by reference herein in its entirety). An extension of the aforementioned methodologies can be used for providing guidance for aircraft involved with these procedures. Two considerations are noted here: 1.) it should not overwhelm the trained aviators, who primarily rely on outside observation, flight instrumentation, and crew coordination to execute the appropriate steps, and 2.) it should be careful not to overstep the guidance of the mission commander, who may see fit to deviate from previously discussed planning factors which would support the invention. It is also worth noting that these procedures are varied in execution—meaning that the independent claim should be broad enough to support any such procedure. Alternatively, this methodology can be split according to into key activities, the first on rendezvous and join up procedures, and the second on lead changes.

[0065] FIG. 13 depicts a flowchart for issuing formation flight procedural guidance on an augmented reality display device, generally designated **1300**. Position data for each formation aircraft is collected. In step **1310**, the aggregated data is compared to identify the relative position in formation of each aircraft (1-1, 1-2, 1-3, etc.), depending on the type of formation being flown. In step **1360**, the pilot selects the procedure to be selected using a user input form on a hardware display. This leads to step **1320**, the identification of the formation flight procedure to be conducted. Formation flight procedures include lead changes, formation changes, in-flight link-ups, inadvertent instrument meteorological conditions (IIMC) breakup, lost communication procedures, loss of visual contact, actions on enemy contact, and downed aircraft procedures. The latter five are types of in-flight mission contingencies and therefore may not be formally selected via step **1360**, but rather inherently recognized by the proposed invention by considering flight and environmental data inputs and relating them to procedural flight and environmental data regimes for specific contingencies. Certain formation flight procedures involve different subsets of aircraft. For example, in a lead change, a select aircraft from within the formation changes places with the current lead aircraft. Other than those two aircraft, all other aircraft are unaffected. In the case of an inadvertent instrument meteorological conditions (IIMC) breakup, however, all aircraft in

formation are uniquely affected. Step **1330** involves determining the subset of formation flight aircraft affected by the flight procedure identified, in accordance with the requirements of the flight procedure and relative position of aircraft information. In step **1340**, specific procedural guidance is then mapped to each aircraft in the selected subset. Specific procedural guidance is then issued to the augmented reality displays in the subset aircraft per step **1350**. Returning to the IIMC break-up example, each aircraft in formation would need to adjust their altitude, heading, and airspeed to ensure lateral and vertical deconfliction. The procedural guidance would follow a plan developed by the air mission commander for how to accomplish these tasks in an orderly and mission-specific manner. Different plans may exist for different mission and/or conditions. The plan would be uploaded ahead of the flight onto the application on the hardware display. It would provide pilot's step-by-step instructions for adjusting altitude, heading, and airspeed to ensure lateral and vertical deconfliction. The instructions may be provided as needed. For instance, they can be time dependent, step/actions dependent, and/or measurements dependent. (As a non-limiting example, guidance instructions on desired flight speed can be continually updated and adjusted based on velocity measurements).

[0066] Military formation flights in large-scale conflicts may extend as long as eighty aircrafts, necessitating techniques and methodologies for enhanced command and control by the flight lead. Given that many military aircraft are dual pilot, meaning that while one pilot is flying, the other is responsible for referencing aids, communicating, and/or navigating. This allows the use of a hardware display, as introduced in the method claim and specification, to visualize in two- or three-dimensional space the current state of a large, ongoing military formation flight. The data summary included in the visualization may further include details about lateral and vertical spacing of aircraft, and possibly any information collected at each aircraft that can be relayed back to others in the rear of the formation.

[0067] FIG. **14** depicts a flowchart for visualizing the real-time status of a formation flight on a hardware display operatively coupled to a specified computing device to enhance command and control, generally designated **1400**. Position, altitude, and inertial data is collected for each aircraft in formation using one or more data capture devices. In step **1410**, data from each aircraft is transmitted to a specified aircraft's computing device. In step **1420**, the aggregated data is processed to generate a real-time formation flight visualization display (such as display **1200** shown in FIG. **12**). The visualization and associated statistics may be saved per step **1440**, for instance, using bookmark feature **1240** discussed above.

[0068] FIG. **15** shows the spatial perspective of a pilot wearing an augmented reality display device, generally designated **1500**. Leading aircraft **1540**, centered on a 240-degree heading **1550**, is outside the field of vision of the augmented reality display centered on a 330-degree heading **1560**. That the leading aircraft is on a 240-degree heading is calculated using the relative position and orientation of each pair of subsequent aircraft. Given that the aircraft **1540** is outside the prescribed field of view, destination data **1510**, serial and chalk number **1520**, and chalk responsibilities **1530** are displayed on the augmented reality device in a standard configuration. Should aircraft **1540** be within the prescribed field of view, visualized data **1510**, **1520**, and

1530 are rearranged so as to prevent overlap with the leading aircraft. The size of aircraft **1540** to be displayed in the field of view can be estimated based on aircraft proximity, which in turn helps identify the corners of a bounding box large enough to enclose the entirety of the leading aircraft.

[0069] The aircraft dimensions in length, width, and height are known for each aircraft type (e.g., UH-60 Black Hawk, CH-47 Chinook, etc.), and the approximate distance between the trailing aircraft cockpit and the leading aircraft is also known through the system, as discussed above. A simple proportion can then be determined. One simple and most conservative approach is to tie the proportionality to the case where the leading aircraft is 90 degrees offset (as in a sharp right or left turn) from the trailing aircraft, because that is when the aircraft takes up the most space on the trailing aircraft display. Some tuning, via trial-and-error, could be used to get the bounding boxes just right. It is also conceivable to pass back from the leading aircraft the rate of turn, which coincides with the extent to which the leading aircraft has a lateral profile. This can help minimize the size of the bounding box on the trailing aircraft.

[0070] The related data **1510**, **1520**, and **1530** are then kept out of the bounding box of the display. In certain circumstances, aircraft **1540** may only partially overlap the augmented reality display device field of vision, in which case the identified bounding box only covers the overlapping portion. Should the wearer turn his/her head, the aircraft **1540** may come into the field of view and it and/or the related data will then be displayed.

[0071] There have been described herein various novel system and methodologies which involve display devices for formation flight operations. They collect, process, and visualize information suitable for a pilot and/or co-pilot to readily understand and to make flight operations more effective.

[0072] The foregoing description of the specific embodiments will so fully reveal the general nature of the embodiments herein that others may, by applying current knowledge, readily modify and/or adapt for various applications such specific embodiments without departing from the generic concept, and, therefore, such adaptations and modifications should and are intended to be comprehended within the meaning and range of equivalents of the disclosed embodiments. It is to be understood that the phraseology or terminology employed herein is for the purpose of description and not of limitation. Therefore, while the embodiments herein have been described in terms of preferred embodiments, those skilled in the art will recognize that the embodiments herein may be practiced with modification within the spirit and scope of the appended claims.

1. A method for issuing formation flight procedural guidance on an augmented reality display device comprising:
 - collecting position data for each formation aircraft using one or more computing devices;
 - determining the relative position in formation of each formation aircraft based on the collected position data;
 - identifying a formation flight procedure to be conducted;
 - determining the subset of formation aircraft affected by the identified formation flight procedure;
 - mapping procedural guidance for the identified procedure to each formation aircraft in the subset, based on their relative position in formation; and

issuing procedural guidance to an operatively coupled augmented reality display device in each formation aircraft in the subset using one or more computing devices.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the identification of the formation flight procedure to be conducted is accomplished through a user input form.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein one or more computing devices collect location data using sensors comprises one or more global positioning system (GPS) receivers.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein each responsibility for each aircraft displayed on one or more operatively coupled augmented reality display devices is updated in accordance with the relative position in formation of each formation aircraft following completion of the flight procedure.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the completion of the formation flight procedure and/or subsequent cancellation of issued procedural guidance is accomplished through a user input form.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the completion of the formation flight procedure and/or subsequent cancellation of issued procedural guidance is accomplished by determining whether the position of each aircraft in the formation is within a threshold for gauging normal operations.

7. A method for identifying a leading aircraft on a trailing aircraft augmented reality display and arranging formation flight information on the trailing aircraft augmented reality display accordingly comprising:

forming a subsequent couple using computing devices, wherein a computing device on the leading aircraft is a lead computing device and a computing device on the trailing aircraft is a trail computing device;

determining the location of the leading aircraft on the trailing aircraft augmented reality display using data from the lead computing device, data from the trail computing device, and data from one or more gyroscopes and/or one or more compasses associated with the trailing augmented reality display;

determining the size of the leading aircraft on the trailing aircraft augmented reality display using data from the lead computing device and data from the trail computing device; and

arranging formation flight information for a virtual overlay on the trailing aircraft augmented reality display such that the formation flight information does not obstruct the view of the leading aircraft.

8. The method of claim 7, wherein the formation flight information on the virtual overlay of the trailing aircraft augmented reality display is continually rearranged in response to changes in the location and proximity of the coupled leading aircraft.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein the data collected from the lead computing device and trail computing device to determine location of the leading aircraft on the trailing aircraft augmented reality display comprises position and altitude data.

10. A method for visualizing the real-time status of a formation flight on a hardware display operatively coupled to a specified computing device comprising:

collecting position, altitude, and inertial data for each aircraft in formation flight using one or more computing devices;

transmitting collected aircraft data to a specified computing device in the formation flight;

processing the collected aircraft data to generate a real-time formation flight visualization and data summary; and

displaying the formation flight visualization and data summary on a hardware display operatively coupled to the specified computing device in the formation flight.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein the formation flight visualization and data summary comprise aircraft altitude, position, and orientation data for each aircraft in the formation.

12. The method of claim 10, wherein the formation flight visualization and data summary comprise lateral and vertical spacing between formation aircraft.

13. The method of claim 10, wherein the formation flight visualization and data summary is stored in the memory of the hardware display for post-flight review.

14. A system for calculating and displaying formation flight information in an aircraft, using an augmented reality display device, the system comprising:

one or more augmented reality display devices wearable by a pilot of an aircraft, each located with a different aircraft in the formation, each augmented reality display device comprising a display screen at least partially transparent which is configured to display formation flight information;

one or more computing devices, each located within a different aircraft in the formation, to include at least one aircraft in the formation containing an augmented reality display device, each computing device comprising and/or operatively connected to;

data capture means for obtaining aircraft position, orientation, altitude, and velocity data;

a data analysis module for determining aircraft relative position and velocity;

a communication module for:

transmitting and receiving data with a computing device in a second aircraft; and

transmitting and receiving data with an operatively coupled augmented reality display device; and

a virtual overlay program for displaying information relating to aircraft relative position and velocity on the screen of the operatively coupled augmented reality display device.

15. The system of claim 14, wherein the data capture means for obtaining aircraft position and altitude data comprises one or more global positioning system (GPS) receivers.

16. The system of claim 14, wherein the data capture means for obtaining aircraft orientation data comprises one or more gyroscopes.

17. The system of claim 14, wherein the data capture means for obtaining aircraft velocity data comprises one or more accelerometers.

18. The system of claim 14, wherein the data capture means for obtaining aircraft altitude data comprises one or more pressure sensors.

* * * * *