



(43) International Publication Date
16 April 2015 (16.04.2015)

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2015/054064 A1

- (51) **International Patent Classification:**
E21B 33/12 (2006.01) *E21B 43/247* (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**
PCT/US2014/059063
- (22) **International Filing Date:**
3 October 2014 (03.10.2014)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**
14/047,238 7 October 2013 (07.10.2013) US
- (71) **Applicant:** **BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED**
[US/US]; P.O. Box 4740, Houston, TX 77210 (US).
- (72) **Inventors:** **XU, YingQing**; 11711 Rivergroove Court,
Tomball, TX 77377 (US). **ROSENBLATT, Steve**; 3600
Montrose Boulevard, No. 502, Houston, TX 77006 (US).
- (74) **Agent:** **PORTER, Andre, J.**; Baker Hughes Incorporated,
Intellectual Property Counsel, P.O. Box 4740, Houston,
TX 77210-4740 (US).
- (81) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM,
AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,

BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM,
DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT,
HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR,
KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG,
MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM,
PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC,
SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN,
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

- (84) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ,
TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU,
TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE,
DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU,
LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK,
SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ,
GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- *as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a
patent (Rule 4.17(ii))*

Published:

- *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

(54) **Title:** FRACK PLUG WITH TEMPORARY WALL SUPPORT FEATURE




FIG. 2

(57) **Abstract:** In a fracturing application a packer for a given zone to be fractured is reinforced during fracturing with an insert that is preferably a sleeve. During times of high collapse pressure loading, the sleeve provides the needed support. When fracturing is over the liner sleeve is caused to disappear. The preferred material is controlled electrolytic materials but other materials that can disappear when the anticipated loading is diminished can also be used. The disappearing can be motivated chemically or thermally among other contemplated methods. As a result, there is a larger available bore when production is ready to start.



WO 2015/054064 A1

FRACK PLUG WITH TEMPORARY WALL SUPPORT FEATURE

Inventors: YingQing Xu and Steve Rosenblatt

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The field of the invention is temporary structural support for tubulars to allow them to withstand large loads during an initial part of their service life and smaller loads later such that a flow path diameter is maximized when the greater strength is no longer needed.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] Fracturing methods commonly involve a technique of starting at the well bottom or isolating a portion of the well that is not to be perforated and fractured with a plug. The first zone is then perforated and fractured and then another plug is placed above the recently perforated zone and the process is repeated in a bottom up direction until all the zones are perforated and fractured. At the end of that process the collection of barriers are milled out. To aid the milling process the plugs can be made of non-metallic or composite materials. While this technique is workable, there was still a lot of time spent to mill out even the softer bridge plugs and remove that milling debris from the wellbore.

[0003] In the past there have been plugs used that are milled out as described in USP 7,533,721. Some are forcibly broken to open a passage such as in USP 6,026,903. Other designs created a plug with material that responded to a magnetic field as the field was applied and removed when the field was removed. This design was described in USP 6,926,089 and 6,568,470. In a multi-lateral application a plug was dissolved from within the whipstock to reopen the main bore after the lateral was completed. This is described in USP 6,145,593. Barriers that assist in extending telescoping passages and then are removed for access to fracture the formation are described in USP 5,425,424. Longitudinally extending radially expanded packers to get them to release is shown in USP 7,661,470.

[0004] In a variation of the above designs US Publication 2013/0000914 discusses a thin wall mandrel that is then expanded to enlarge the passage through the mandrel as a way of increasing production after sequential fracturing is over. While this design addressed the need for a larger bore diameter for subsequent production, the design still had issues with collapse

resistance when the packer was set and the pressures used in fracturing were applied to the annular space causing an excessive compressive collapse force on the frack packer mandrel.

[0005] More recently a design to temporarily support a shear component in a shear plane has been described by William Hered and Jason Barnard in an application called Reinforced Shear Components and Methods of Using Same. Here a disc was interposed in the shear plane and retained in position against a bias force. At a predetermined time the bias force was allowed to move the disc out of the shear plane so that the structure was weakened in the shear plane and the desired failure could occur in the shear plane to release two members to move relatively.

[0006] The present design seeks to address the need for compressive strength against external pressures that would otherwise cause a collapse while at the same time addressing the later need for a larger flow diameter for subsequent production where the fracking was done and there no longer was a need to hold back against compressive collapse forces from outside the mandrel. This is accomplished without a need for expansion. A tubular insert is made of structural tubular materials preferable controlled electrolytic materials or CEM. Controlled electrolytic materials have been described in US Publication 2011/0136707 and related applications filed the same day. The related applications are incorporated by reference herein as though fully set forth. After the packer is set in tension and subjected to fracturing forces it no longer needs high collapse resistance and the CEM sleeve is removed to make a larger flow diameter for subsequent production. Although a fracturing example is used illustratively to describe how the invention operates, those skilled in the art will appreciate that other applications are envisioned where a tubular structure responds to differing pressure conditions at different times in a service life. For example in the fracking situation the anticipated tensile load for production is about 30,000 to 50,000 pounds force and for fracturing can be orders of magnitude higher. Those skilled in the art will better appreciate these and other aspects of the present invention from the detailed description and the associated drawings while recognizing that the full scope of the invention can be obtained from the appended claims.

[0007]

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0008] In a fracturing application a packer for a given zone to be fractured is reinforced during fracturing with an insert that is preferably a sleeve. During times of high collapse pressure loading, the sleeve provides the needed support. When fracking is over the liner sleeve is caused to disappear. The preferred material is controlled electrolytic materials but other materials that can disappear when the anticipated loading is diminished can also be used. The disappearing can be motivated chemically or thermally among other contemplated methods. As a result, there is a larger available bore when production is ready to start.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0009] FIG. 1 is a section view of a prior art isolation device set with relative movement between a mandrel and a housing;

[0010] FIG. 1A is the view of FIG. 1 with an alternative arrangement for the prior art isolation device that removes the upper slip;

[0011] FIG 2 is a section view of the present invention with a thin mandrel supported internally by a sleeve to provide collapse resistance during fracking operations;

[0012] FIG 3 is the tool of FIG. 2 showing the sleeve removed leaving a large passage through the thin mandrel to enhance production flow after fracturing is complete.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

[0013] Before describing the present invention in great detail, a brief review of the current state of the art will be useful. FIG. 1 illustrates a packer **10** having a seal **12** and upper slips **14** and lower slips **16** that ride up cones **18** and **20**, respectively. Mandrel **22** is engaged by a setting tool that is not shown at profile **24** while the setting tool moves sleeve **26** so that sleeve **26** moves toward ring **28** that is held fixed by the setting tool that is not shown. As a result the mandrel **22** is put into about 30,000 to 50,000 pounds of force in tension. As a result of such relative movement the seal **12** and the slips **14** and **16** are extended to anchor the packer **10** and seal around it to the borehole wall. The FIG. 1A design simply omits the top anchor slip **14** but in all other ways is identical to the design of FIG. 1.

[0014] The issue that has been discovered with the designs of FIGS. 1 and 1A is that after packer 10 is set and a ball is circulated down to land on ball seat (both are not shown) at the top of mandrel 22 to create a pressure barrier. When the fracking process is begun the pressures in the annular space around the packer 10 are so high that there is a great deal of collapse force, represented by arrows 28 such that the mandrel 22 is prone to collapse under this differential pressure between the annular space and internally to the mandrel 22. The problem with adding wall thickness to the mandrel 22 is that the reduced drift of the passage through the mandrel 22 will impede later production flow, which is not desirable. Other options like using exotic materials to gain greater collapse strength add significant costs to each packer 10. In a very long interval for fracking there can be dozens of such packers that allow the interval to be fracked in increments. Thus the overall job cost goes up to an unacceptable degree. Prior solutions that are described above used expansion to increase the flow bore but in these designs the tradeoff was the expense and extra time to accomplish the expansion while still leaving the problem of a lack of collapse resistance. Other solutions involving springs to urge discs out of shear planes in unrelated applications had no ready application to the problem addressed by the present invention due to the particular performance requirements of the tools in question. In essence, the principle issue is different performance requirements for a tool at different times in a context where addressing one performance requirement at one time does not defeat the purpose of the tool at a later time. Stated differently, a simple solution had to solve two conflicting problems that could affect tool performance at different times.

[0015] The preferred embodiment illustrates this concept in a packer but is applicable to other tools particularly in situation where the need to tolerate pressure differentials conflicts with the need to enhance the flow regime through the tool.

[0016] FIG. 2 shows the addition of a controlled electrolytic material (CEM) sleeve 30 to the mandrel 22'. The wall thickness of the mandrel 22' is substantially thinner than the wall thickness of the mandrel 22. The reason for this is that mandrel 22' will only need to resist the tension loading of the set packer 10'. The collapse resistance, which is needed during fracturing but not

during production is provided by the CEM sleeve **30**. While the preferred material is CEM because of its ability to disappear under the appropriate environment conditions other materials that disappear such as with chemical reactions or thermal exposures could also be used as alternatives. Another alternative would be materials that can selectively change shape so that they can stay in the FIG. 3 position when needed for collapse resistance and then be moved out of the way after a shape change so that they can drop to the hole bottom or to below the lowest producing zone or even to a bigger section of the string where there will later be minimal interference with production flow. Shape memory alloys could be used for such an application and made to change shape when crossing the critical temperature. While the fracking was going on the sleeve **30** stays in the FIG. 2 position to provide resistance to collapse. After the fracking is concluded the sleeve **30** is removed leaving behind the thinner wall of mandrel **22'** which can have an effect of making diameter **32** more than 20% larger than diameter **34**. The redesigned mandrel **22'** just needs to tolerate the setting tension load of about 30000 to 50000 pounds of force. The remaining components of the packer **10** on the exterior can be used in the FIGS. 2 and 3 design making the present invention an easy retrofit with existing equipment by requiring a simple redesign of the mandrel **22'** and the associated sleeve **30**.

[0017] What is shown is a simple solution to a pressure rating issue that is transient with the same element also solving the flow through issue that occurs at a discrete time and happens to be solved with removal of the same element that solved the previous problem. This concept can be applied in a variety of tools at surface or subterranean locations.


[0018] The sleeve can be secured using a press fit, adhesive or threads or any other type of fastener. The support sleeve can be all the same material or a variety of materials that can serve the function of collapse support while being readily removable in a variety of the above described ways.

[0019] The above description is illustrative of the preferred embodiment and many modifications may be made by those skilled in the art without departing from the invention whose scope is to be determined from the literal and equivalent scope of the claims below:


We claim:

1. A tool for subterranean use, comprising:
a body having a passage therethrough;
a support member disposed in said passage at a location where said support member enhances differential pressure resistance of said body in at least one of burst and collapse pressure loading;
said support member selectively removable from said location for subsequent enlargement of said passage at said location at a time when said differential pressure resistance is no longer a factor for said body.
2. The tool of claim 1, wherein:
said support member has a tubular shape.
3. The tool of claim 2, wherein:
said support member is removed by dissolving.
4. The tool of claim 2, wherein:
said support member is removed by heat.
5. The tool of claim 2, wherein:
said support member is removed by a shape change.
6. The tool of claim 2, wherein:
said support member is made at least in part from CEM.
7. The tool of claim 2, wherein:
said support member is made at least in part from a shape memory alloy.
8. The tool of claim 1, wherein:
said passage in said body is defined by a mandrel;
said support member is surrounded by said mandrel.
9. The tool of claim 8, wherein:
said support member is press fit into said mandrel.
10. The tool of claim 8, wherein:
the presence of said support member allows a reduction of wall thickness for said mandrel when withstanding differential burst or collapse pressure loading to a thickness that allow said mandrel to withstand stresses imposed when the tool is in a set position.

11. The tool of claim 8, wherein:
said body further comprises a seal and at least one slip that are radially extended in response to relative movement between said mandrel and an associated setting sleeve.
12. The tool of claim 11, wherein:
removal of said support member combined with a corresponding reduction in wall thickness for said mandrel enabled by the initial presence of said support member allows a resulting passage diameter that is at least 20% larger than using only the mandrel for differential pressure resistance in at least one of burst and collapse pressure loading.
13. The tool of claim 12, wherein:
said support member has a tubular shape.
14. The tool of claim 13, wherein:
said support member is removed by dissolving.
15. The tool of claim 13, wherein:
said support member is removed by heat.
16. The tool of claim 13, wherein:
said support member is removed by a shape change.
17. The tool of claim 13, wherein:
said support member is made at least in part from CEM.
18. The tool of claim 13, wherein:
said support member is made at least in part from a shape memory alloy.
19. The tool of claim 13, wherein:
said support member is press fit into said mandrel.



(PRIOR ART)
FIG. 1



(PRIOR ART)
FIG. 1A




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US2014/059063**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER****E21B 33/12(2006.01)i, E21B 43/247(2006.01)i**

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

E21B 33/12; E21B 33/127; E21B 33/1295; E21B 29/10; E21B 33/13; E21B 43/10; E21B 43/247

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Korean utility models and applications for utility models

Japanese utility models and applications for utility models

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

eKOMPASS(KIPO internal) & Keywords:plug, temporary, support member, pressure loading, pressure resistance, CEM, and shaped memory alloy

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 7152673 B2 (LOHBECK, WILHELMUS CHRISTIANUS MARIA) 26 December 2006 See column 2, line 35 - column 4, line 33 and figures 3-4.	1-10
Y		11-19
Y	US 2011-0297400 A1 (PESSIN et al.) 08 December 2011 See paragraphs [0027]-[0029] and figure 4.	11-19
A	US 7661470 B2 (DOANE et al.) 16 February 2010 See column 3, lines 4-43 and figures 1-2.	1-19
A	US 6026903 A (SHY et al.) 22 February 2000 See column 9, line 50 - column 13, line 42 and figures 3A-3C.	1-19
A	WO 2008-151314 A2 (BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED et al.) 11 December 2008 See paragraphs [0010]-[0011] and figures 1-4.	1-19



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

14 January 2015 (14.01.2015)

Date of mailing of the international search report

14 January 2015 (14.01.2015)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR

International Application Division
Korean Intellectual Property Office
189 Cheongsu-ro, Seo-gu, Daejeon Metropolitan City, 302-701,
Republic of Korea

Facsimile No. ++82 42 472 3473

Authorized officer

LEE, Jong Kyung

Telephone No. +82-42-481-3360



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2014/059063

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 7152673 B2	26/12/2006	CA 2462234 A1	17/04/2003
		CA 2462234 C	31/05/2011
		CN 1564903 A	12/01/2005
		GB 0407036 D0	28/04/2004
		GB 2397084 A	14/07/2004
		GB 2397084 B	16/03/2005
		NO 20041828 A	04/05/2004
		RU 2004113434 A	20/09/2005
		RU 2290495 C2	27/12/2006
		US 2005-0000686 A1	06/01/2005
		WO 03-031771 A1	17/04/2003
US 2011-0297400 A1	08/12/2011	FR 2935455 A1	05/03/2010
		US 2010-051259 A1	04/03/2010
		US 8020294 B2	20/09/2011
US 7661470 B2	16/02/2010	AU 2002-357884 A1	09/07/2003
		AU 2006-242451 A1	09/11/2006
		AU 2006-285396 A1	08/03/2007
		CA 2606179 A1	09/11/2006
		CA 2606179 C	14/12/2010
		CA 2606406 A1	08/03/2007
		CA 2606406 C	15/02/2011
		EP 1963617 A1	03/09/2008
		EP 1963617 B1	13/01/2010
		GB 2400872 A	27/10/2004
		GB 2410274 A	27/07/2005
		GB 2410275 A	27/07/2005
		GB 2410276 A	27/07/2005
		GB 2440073 A	16/01/2008
		GB 2467254 A	28/07/2010
		GB 2467255 A	28/07/2010
		US 2003-0116328 A1	26/06/2003
		US 2003-0141079 A1	31/07/2003
		US 2004-0035588 A1	26/02/2004
		US 2004-0182583 A1	23/09/2004
		US 2005-0028989 A1	10/02/2005
		US 2005-0034876 A1	17/02/2005
		US 2005-0161229 A1	28/07/2005
		US 2005-0189120 A1	01/09/2005
		US 2005-0189121 A1	01/09/2005
		US 2005-0217869 A1	06/10/2005
		US 2008-0196884 A1	21/08/2008
		US 6959759 B2	01/11/2005
		US 6986390 B2	17/01/2006
		US 7044231 B2	16/05/2006
		US 7051805 B2	30/05/2006
		US 7117949 B2	10/10/2006
		US 7134504 B2	14/11/2006

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2014/059063

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 6026903 A	22/02/2000	US 7341110 B2	11/03/2008
		US 7387170 B2	17/06/2008
		US 7493945 B2	24/02/2009
		WO 03-054349 A1	03/07/2003
		WO 2006-119037 A2	09/11/2006
		WO 2006-119037 A3	22/03/2007
		WO 2006-119129 A2	09/11/2006
		WO 2006-119129 A3	28/12/2006
		WO 2007-027228 A1	08/03/2007
		CA 2190929 A1	23/05/1997
		CA 2190929 C	15/01/2002
		CA 2190932 A1	23/05/1997
		CA 2190932 C	25/02/2003
		CA 2227642 A1	28/07/1998
		CA 2227642 C	26/11/2002
		EP 0681087 A2	08/11/1995
		EP 0681087 A3	02/07/1997
WO 2008-151314 A2	11/12/2008	EP 0681087 B1	06/09/2000
		EP 0775803 A2	28/05/1997
		EP 0775803 A3	17/10/2001
		EP 0775804 A2	28/05/1997
		EP 0775804 A3	17/10/2001
		EP 0855491 A2	29/07/1998
		EP 0855491 A3	18/10/2000
		US 5479986 A	02/01/1996
		US 5685372 A	11/11/1997
		US 5765641 A	16/06/1998
		US 5826661 A	27/10/1998
		US 5947205 A	07/09/1999
		US 6119783 A	19/09/2000
		US 2008-0296014 A1	04/12/2008
		WO 2008-151314 A3	05/03/2009