

(19) **DANMARK**



Patent- og  
Varemærkestyrelsen

(10) **DK/EP 2473034 T3**

(12) Oversættelse af  
europæisk patentskrift

- 
- (51) Int.Cl.: **A 01 N 43/12 (2006.01)** **A 01 N 25/00 (2006.01)** **A 01 N 57/20 (2006.01)**  
**A 01 N 61/00 (2006.01)** **A 01 N 65/00 (2009.01)** **A 01 P 13/00 (2006.01)**  
**A 01 P 21/00 (2006.01)**
- (45) Oversættelsen bekendtgjort den: **2021-02-08**
- (80) Dato for Den Europæiske Patentmyndigheds  
bekendtgørelse om meddelelse af patentet: **2020-11-04**
- (86) Europæisk ansøgning nr.: **10814542.6**
- (86) Europæisk indleveringsdag: **2010-09-03**
- (87) Den europæiske ansøgnings publiceringsdag: **2012-07-11**
- (86) International ansøgning nr.: **US2010047770**
- (87) Internationalt publikationsnr.: **WO2011028975**
- (30) Prioritet: **2009-09-03 US 239774 P**
- (84) Designerede stater: **AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV  
MC MK MT NL NO PL PT RO SE SI SK SM TR**
- (73) Patenthaver: **FBSciences Holdings, Inc., 153 North Main Street Suit 100, Collierville TN 38017, USA**
- (72) Opfinder: **GOODWIN, Brian, B., 153 N. Main Street, Suite 100, Collierville, TN 38017, USA**
- (74) Fuldmægtig i Danmark: **HØIBERG P/S, Adelgade 12, 1304 København K, Danmark**
- (54) Benævnelse: **Frøbehandlingssammensætninger og fremgangsmåde**
- (56) Fremdragne publikationer:  
**WO-A2-01/40441**  
**JP-A- 5 194 951**  
**JP-A- 10 273 409**  
**JP-A- H04 258 225**  
**JP-A- 2008 501 353**  
**US-A- 4 624 694**  
**US-A- 5 026 416**  
**US-A1- 2004 261 481**  
**US-A1- 2009 199 314**  
**US-B1- 6 458 747**  
**Delta Farm Press: "High yields with Carbon Power products", , 23 July 2009 (2009-07-23), XP002712266,**  
**Retrieved from the Internet: URL: [http://deltafarmpress.com/equipment/agribusiness-high-yields-carbon-power-](http://deltafarmpress.com/equipment/agribusiness-high-yields-carbon-power-products)**  
**products [retrieved on 2013-09-04]**  
**FBsciences: "NPK Enhancement Products Boost Crop Yields", FBsciences News , 5 September 2008 (2008-09-**  
**05), XP002712267, Retrieved from the Internet: URL: [http://www.fbsadvantage.com/news/npk-enhancement-](http://www.fbsadvantage.com/news/npk-enhancement-products-boost-crop-yields/?year=2008&month=9)**  
**products-boost-crop-yields/?year=2008&month=9 [retrieved on 2013-08-04]**  
**DATABASE WPI Week 200027 Thomson Scientific, London, GB; AN 2000-311021 XP002712268, & JP 2000**

Fortsættes ...



092918 A (AKIYAMA YG) 4 April 2000 (2000-04-04)



# DESCRIPTION

## TECHNICAL FIELD

**[0001]** The present invention relates to seed compositions and methods for coating a seed, which can be used for improving overall seed and plant health and methods for reducing susceptibility of a seed or plant to stress and/or disease or improving plant production.

## BACKGROUND

**[0002]** Various mixtures of organic compounds have been proposed in the art as fertilizer additives. Specifically, a humic acid composition, Bio-Liquid Complex™, is stated by Bio Ag Technologies International (1999) [www.phelpstek.com/portfolio/humic\\_acid.pdf](http://www.phelpstek.com/portfolio/humic_acid.pdf) to assist in transferring micronutrients, more specifically cationic nutrients, from soil to plant.

**[0003]** TriFlex™ Bloom Formula nutrient composition of American Agritech is described as containing "phosphoric acid, potassium phosphate, magnesium sulfate, potassium sulfate, potassium silicate[and] sodium silicate." TriFlex™ Grow Formula 2-4-1 nutrient composition of American Agritech is described as containing "potassium nitrate, magnesium nitrate, ammonium nitrate, potassium phosphate, potassium sulfate, magnesium sulfate, potassium silicate, and sodium silicate." Both compositions are said to be "fortified with selected vitamins, botanical tissue culture ingredients, essential amino acids, seaweed, humic acid, fulvic acid and carbohydrates." See [www.horticulturesource.com/product\\_info.php/products\\_id/82](http://www.horticulturesource.com/product_info.php/products_id/82). These products are said to be formulated primarily for "soilless hydrogardening" (i.e., hydroponic cultivation) of fruit and flower crops, but are also said to outperform conventional chemical fertilizers in container soil gardens. Their suitability or otherwise for foliar application as opposed to application to the hydroponic or soil growing medium is not mentioned. See [www.americanagritech.com/product/product\\_detail.asp?ID=1&pro\\_id\\_pk=4-0](http://www.americanagritech.com/product/product_detail.asp?ID=1&pro_id_pk=4-0).

**[0004]** The trademark Monarch™, owned by Actagro, LLC is a fertilizer composition containing 2-20-15 primary plant nutrients with 3% non plant food organic compositions derived from natural organic materials.

## SUMMARY

**[0005]** The invention provides, in a first aspect, a seed composition as defined in claim 1. Thus there is now provided a seed composition comprising: a seed coated with a first component; wherein the first component is coated on the seed in an amount of from 0.01mg/kg seed weight to 30 mg/kg seed weight, wherein the first component comprises an agriculturally acceptable complex mixture of dissolved organic material (DOM), comprising natural organic matter that is partially humified, wherein the first component has been obtained by removing a natural organic matter from its source and concentrating, such that the concentration of dissolved organic matter (DOM) is from 1000 ppm to 500,000 ppm, and wherein the first component is characterized by meeting the following



parameters: a. the first component comprises a mixture of condensed aromatic hydrocarbons, lignins, and tannins and/or condensed tannins; b. the first component has an oxygen-to-carbon ratio for the dissolved organic matter (DOM) of greater than about 0.5; c. the first component comprises a total number of tannin compounds greater than about 200, the tannin compounds having a hydrogen to carbon ratio of about 0.5 to about 1.4, and an aromaticity index of less than about 0.7 as measured by mass spectroscopy; and d. the first component comprises a mass distribution of about 47-56% lignin compounds, 33-42% tannin compounds, and about 8-11% condensed aromatic hydrocarbon compounds, as measured by mass spectroscopy.

**[0006]** The invention provides, in a second aspect, a method as defined in claim 7. Thus there is still further provided a method comprising coating a seed with a first component, wherein the first component is coated on the seed in an amount of from 0.01mg/kg seed weight to 30 mg/kg seed weight, wherein the first component comprises an agriculturally acceptable complex mixture of dissolved organic material (DOM) comprising natural organic matter that is partially humified, wherein the first component has been obtained by removing a natural organic matter from its source and concentrating, such that the concentration of dissolved organic matter (DOM) is from 1000 ppm to 500,000 ppm, and wherein the first component is characterized by meeting the following parameters: (a) the first component comprises a mixture of condensed aromatic hydrocarbons, lignins, and tannins and/or condensed tannins; (b) the first component has an oxygen-to-carbon ratio for the dissolved organic matter of greater than about 0.5; (c) the first component comprises a total number of tannin compounds greater than about 200, the tannin compounds having a hydrogen to carbon ratio of about 0.5 to about 1.4, and an aromaticity index of less than about 0.7 as measured by mass spectroscopy; and (d) the first component comprises a mass distribution of about 47-56% lignin compounds, 33-42% tannin compounds, and about 8-11% condensed aromatic hydrocarbon compounds, as measured by mass spectroscopy.

**[0007]** In one embodiment, the first component enhances one or more of germination, emergence, root development, chlorophyll production, cold resistance, water log resistance, and nutrient uptake compared to a seed not contacted with the first component. The method can optionally further comprise contacting with the first component a foliar surface of a plant derived from the seed contacted with the first component.

**[0008]** The method may be a method for improving growth or nutrition of a plant, and may comprise applying a composition comprising the first component and a pesticide, and optionally a plant nutrient, as a coating to a seed.

**[0009]** The method may be a method for reducing delayed or attenuated growth or yield of a genetically modified (GM) plant having a specific tolerance to a chemical antagonist, the method comprising coating the seed of a GM plant with a composition comprising the first component, a chemical antagonist associated with the genetic modification of the plant; and optionally, a plant nutrient.

**[0010]** The seed coating may be applied in the form of a plant nutrient composition comprising, in aqueous solution, the first component; and a second component selected from at least one pesticide, fertilizer, growth regulator, and mixtures thereof.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION



**[0011]** The present claimed invention relates to a seed composition comprising a seed coated with a first component, and a method comprising coating a seed with a first component. Thus disclosed and described herein, but not claimed, are compositions for coating seeds comprising a first component as defined in the claims and optionally, a second component comprising at least one pesticide (individually or collectively, a herbicide, an insecticide, a fungicide, a bactericide, an anti-viral, plant nutrient, or combinations thereof). Compositions for coating seeds, as disclosed and described herein, vary depending on the intended method of application, the plant species to which they are to be applied, growing conditions of the plants, and other factors.

**[0012]** Compositions for coating seeds, as disclosed and described herein, can take the form of aqueous solutions, oil-in-water emulsions, or water-in-oil emulsions. Small amounts of insoluble material can optionally be present, for example in suspension in the medium, but it is generally preferred to minimize the presence of such insoluble material.

### ***The First Component***

**[0013]** The first component as used in the present claimed invention is defined in claims 1 and 7. In general, the first component may comprise a mixture of organic molecules isolated and extracted from sources rich in natural organic matter into an aqueous solution. The natural organic matter is primarily derived from plant materials that have been modified to varying degrees over time in a soil environment. Some of the plant materials have been recently deposited in the environment. Part of the natural organic matter has passed through a partial process of humification to become partially humified natural organic matter. Humification includes microbial, fungal, and/or environmental (heat, pressure, sunlight, lightning, fire, etc.) degradation and/or oxidation of natural organic matter. The first component contains natural organic matter that has not substantially undergone humification (partially humified natural organic matter). In one embodiment, the natural organic matter may be obtained from environments typically containing or providing anywhere between about 5 ppm, to about 500 ppm of dissolved organic matter (DOM). In other embodiments, the natural organic matter is obtained from environments typically containing or providing between about 500 ppm to about 3000 ppm or more DOM.

**[0014]** Natural organic matter is extremely complex, with thousands of compounds generally present, depending upon the source and the environmental conditions prevalent about the source. Humic substances such as Fulvic Acid (CAS No. 479-66-3) and Humic Acid (CAS No. 1415-93-6) are examples of organic complexes that are derived from natural organic matter, however, the first component is chemically and biologically unique from Fulvic and Humic acid, as detailed below.

**[0015]** The first component contains dissolved organic matter, the organic matter being formed during the process of humification as described above, such as microbial, fungicidal, and/or environmental (heat, pressure, sunlight, lightning, fire, etc.) degradation processes. Other natural or synthetic natural organic matter degradation processes may be involved or may be used. In one embodiment, the first component contains predominantly natural organic matter that has not undergone substantial humification (partially humified natural organic matter). The amount of



humification may be determined and characterized using known methods, for example, by  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR.

**[0016]** The first component is obtained by removing a natural organic matter from its source, optionally processing, and concentrating to provide the first component having a dissolved organic matter (DOM) concentration of from 1000 ppm to 500,000 ppm. The DOM level may, in one embodiment, be from anywhere between about 10X to about 5000X relative to its original source. In another embodiment, the first component concentrations of dissolved organic matter (DOM) concentration level can be between about 7500X up to about 50,000X. The first component may be adjusted to a DOM value represented by any ppm value between 1000 ppm and 50,000 ppm, inclusive of any ppm value in 500 ppm increments (e.g., 10,500 ppm, 11,000 ppm, 11,500 ppm, 12,000 ppm, etc.) in aqueous solution. In certain embodiments, the first component is approximately between about 91% to about 99% water, the remaining organic material being primarily DOM with minor amounts of alkali-, alkali earth-, and transition metal salts. In yet other embodiments, the DOM of the first component has been dried or lyophilized in a form suitable for reconstitution with an aqueous solution.

**[0017]** The first component comprises a mixture of condensed aromatic hydrocarbons, lignins, and tannins and/or condensed tannins. The first component is a complex mixture of substances, typically a heterogeneous mixture of compounds for which no single structural formula will suffice. Elemental and spectroscopic characterization of the first component differentiates it from most other humic-based organic complexes, such as Humic and Fulvic Acids, as further discussed below. Blending of individual batches of the first component may be performed to provide consistency and to compensate for the normal variations of a naturally-derived material.

**[0018]** Detailed chemical and biological testing has shown that the complex mixture of substances of the first component is a unique composition both in its biological effect on plants and its chemical composition compared to Humic and Fulvic acids.

#### ***Characterization and Methods for the First Component***

**[0019]** The organic compounds making up the first component, can be characterized in a variety of ways (e.g., by molecular weight, distribution of carbon among different functional groups, relative elemental composition, amino acid content, carbohydrate content, etc.). The first component can be characterized relative to known standards of humic-based substances.

**[0020]** For purposes of characterizing carbon distribution among different functional groups, suitable techniques include, without limitation,  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR, elemental analysis, Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectroscopy (FTICR-MS) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The chemical characterization of the first component and Humic substance standards were carried out using Electro spray Ionization Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Spectroscopy (ESI-FTICR-MS), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and elemental analysis for metals using ICP-AES, conducted by Huffman Laboratories, Inc. and the University of Washington.

**[0021]** Elemental, molecular weight, and spectroscopic characterization of the first component is consistent with an organic complex that consists primarily of lignin and tannin compounds (and mixtures of condensed and un-condensed tannin), condensed aromatic hydrocarbons and trace



amounts of lipid and inorganics. Thousands of compounds may be present, with molecular weights ranging from 225 to 700 daltons, the majority of compounds having between about 10 to about 39 carbon atoms per molecule. The first component is generally composed of carbon, oxygen, and hydrogen, with small amounts of nitrogen, and sulfur. The first component may also contain potassium and iron at levels above 5%.

[0022] The elemental composition of the dissolved solids typically present in the first component is given in Table A. If the organic compounds are separated from the inorganic elements, the elemental breakdown is: C 55%, H 4%, O 38%, N 1.8%, and S 2.2%.

Table A. Average Elemental Composition of dissolved solids in the first component, based upon average values from 10 different lots.

| Element      | %    |
|--------------|------|
| Carbon       | 35.1 |
| Oxygen       | 24.6 |
| Hydrogen     | 2.5  |
| Sulfur       | 2.1  |
| Nitrogen     | 1.3  |
| Potassium    | 27.3 |
| Iron         | 6.1  |
| Calcium      | 0.2  |
| Sodium       | 0.2  |
| Phos phorous | 0.1  |
| Other        | 0.5  |

[0023] Among the classes of organic compounds present in the first component, preliminary analysis generally revealed that there were lignin and tannin (mixture of condensed and un-condensed), condensed aromatic hydrocarbons, unidentified substances and some lipids present. Each of these classes of compounds were further characterized by a rather narrow Mw range and number of carbons/molecule. The breakdown of the number and percentage of each of the various compound classes, their MWs and carbon atoms/molecule (Carbon Range) for a first representative sampling of the first component is given in Table B1.

Table B 1. Compound Classes in the first component along with size and carbon ranges for compounds in each class. Based upon composite of 3 different production batches. Results for individual batches are very similar.

| Compound Class     | # Compounds | % of Total | Size Range (daltons) | Carbon Range |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Lignin             | 1139        | 57         | 226 - 700            | 11 to 39     |
| Tannin             | 587         | 30         | 226 - 700            | 10 to 31     |
| Condensed Aromatic | 220         | 11         | 238 - 698            | 13 to 37     |
| Lipid              | 18          | 1          | 226 - 480            | 14 to 30     |
| Carbohydrate       | 1           | 0          | 653                  | 24           |



| Compound Class | # Compounds | % of Total | Size Range (daltons) | Carbon Range |
|----------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Other          | 23          | 1          | 241 - 651            | 12 to 33     |

**[0024]** A breakdown of the number and percentage of each of the various compound classes, their MWs and carbon atoms/molecule (Carbon Range) for a second representative sampling based upon an average of 3 different production batches for the first component is given in Table B2.

Table B2. Compound Classes in the first component, along with size and carbon ranges for compounds in each class. Based upon average of 3 different production batches. Results for individual batches are very similar.

| Compound Class     | #   | % of Total | Size Range (daltons) | Carbon Range |
|--------------------|-----|------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Lignin             | 711 | 56         | 226-700              | 11 to 39     |
| Tannin             | 410 | 33         | 226-700              | 10 to 31     |
| Condensed Aromatic | 122 | 10         | 238-698              | 13 to 37     |
| Lipid              | 12  | ~1         | 226-480              | 14 to 30     |
| Carbohydrate       | 1   | 0          | 653                  | 24           |
| Other              | 14  | ~1         | 241-651              | 12 to 33     |

**[0025]** Table C, summarizes the oxygen-to-carbon (O/C) and hydrogen-to-carbon (H/C) ratios used in defining the classes described above.

Table C. Elemental Ratios and chemical classifications used in characterizing samples of the first component.

| Class              | O/C        | H/C       | Aromaticity Index |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Lignin             | 0.15 - 0.6 | 0.6 - 1.7 | <0.7              |
| Tannin             | 0.6 - 1.0  | 0.5 - 1.4 | <0.7              |
| Condensed Aromatic | 0.1 - 0.7  | 0.3 - 0.7 | >0.7              |
| Lipid              | 0 - 0.2    | 1.8 - 2.2 |                   |
| Carbohydrate       | 0.6 - 1.0  | 1.8 - 2.2 |                   |

### ***Comparison with Humic Substance Standards***

**[0026]** Comparative elemental and structural characterization of Humic Substances versus samples of the first component were performed. Three humic substances standards from the International Humic Substances Society were used: Leonardite Humic Acid (LHA), Pahokee Peat Humic Acid (PPHA), and Suwannee River Fulvic Acid II (SRFA). Each humic substance standards and each sample of the first component was analyzed by FTIR and ESI-FTICR-MS. A portion of each humic



substance standard was dissolved in  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ /water for the ESI-FTICR-MS analysis. Three samples of the first component (#1, #2, and #3) were prepared for analysis with cation exchange resin (AG MP-50, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Comparison of the Humic Substance standards and each sample of the first component is presented in Table D.

Table D. Comparison of humic substance standards samples of the first component.

| Sample                            | O/C  | H/C  | DBE   | Avg. MW |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|-------|---------|
| Suwannee River Fulvic Acid (SRFA) | 0.39 | 1.01 | 12.7  | 445.7   |
| Pahokee Peat Humic Acid (PPHA)    | 0.34 | 0.75 | 16.29 | 429.8   |
| Leonardite Humic Acid (LHA)       | 0.3  | 0.79 | 15.8  | 423.6   |
| #1                                | 0.54 | 0.87 | 13.7  | 472.9   |
| #2                                | 0.54 | 0.89 | 13.23 | 456.9   |
| #3                                | 0.5  | 0.91 | 13.23 | 455.7   |

**[0027]** Table D indicates that there are major differences between the Humic Substances standards and the samples representing the first component. For example, the O/C ratio is less than 0.4 in all of the Humic Substances but is at least 0.5 for the first component samples. The DBE for the samples is also significantly lower than for the Humic Acid Standards and the average MW is greater.

**[0028]** Based on mass spectral analysis, there are a number of compounds present in the first component samples that are substantially absent or greatly reduced in the Humic Substance standards. In particular, at least one component of the first component correspond with one or more tannin compounds. By comparison, in the Humic Substance standards, the % of tannin compounds are present in a small amount. For example, in the Fulvic Acid standard and in the Humic Acid standards, both standards are at least 3X-4X less than the % tannins found in the first component samples, as shown in Table E.

Table E. Number and % tannins in Humic Substance Standards versus first component samples.

| Sample                            | # tannins | % of tannin compounds |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Suwannee River Fulvic Acid (SRFA) | 192       | 8.8                   |
| Pahokee Peat Humic Acid (PPHA)    | 9         | 1.2                   |
| Leonardite Humic Acid (LHA)       | 22        | 1.2                   |
| #1                                | 441       | 35.2                  |
| #2                                | 357       | 34.6                  |
| #3                                | 432       | 28.3                  |

**[0029]** Comparing the Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectra for the IHSS standards and first component samples, there are similarities, primarily in the region from  $1600$  to  $1800\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . In both sets of samples we see a very strong peak at around  $1700\text{ cm}^{-1}$  due to the C=O stretch from a



carboxyl functional group and a peak in the 1590 to 1630 region which is consistent with a C=C bond from alkenes or aromatics. However, significant differences in the region from 700 to 1450  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  are observed. Peaks at 1160 to 1210 are present in all the spectra and are from the C-O bond of alcohols, ethers, esters and acids. The biggest difference is the peak at 870  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  in the first component samples, which is absent in the IHSS standards. This peak may be due to the C-H bond of alkenes and aromatics.

**[0030]** Based on the characterization data, the first component may contain relatively small molecules or supramolecular aggregates with a molecular weight distribution of about 300 to about 18,000 daltons. Included in the organic matter from which the mixture of organic molecules are fractionated are various humic substances, organic acids and microbial exudates. The mixture is shown to have both aliphatic and aromatic characteristics. Illustratively, the carbon distribution shows about 35% in carbonyl and carboxyl groups; about 30% in aromatic groups; about 18% in aliphatic groups, about 7% in acetal groups; and about 12% in other heteroaliphatic groups.

**[0031]** In some embodiments, the mixture of compounds in the first component comprises organic molecules or supramolecular aggregates with a molecular weight distribution of about 300 to about 30,000 daltons, for example, about 300 to about 25,000 daltons, about 300 to about 20,000 daltons, or about 300 to about 18,000 daltons.

**[0032]** Characterizing carbon distribution among different functional groups, suitable techniques can be used include without limitation  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR, elemental analysis, Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectroscopy (FTICR-MS) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR).

**[0033]** In one embodiment, carboxy and carbonyl groups together account for about 25% to about 40%, for example about 30% to about 37%, illustratively about 35%, of carbon atoms in the mixture of organic compounds of the first component.

**[0034]** In one embodiment, aromatic groups account for about 20% to about 45%, for example about 25% to about 40% or about 27% to about 35%, illustratively about 30%, of carbon atoms in the mixture of organic compounds of the first component.

**[0035]** In one embodiment, aliphatic groups account for about 10% to about 30%, for example about 13% to about 26% or about 15% to about 22%, illustratively about 18%, of carbon atoms in the mixture of organic compounds of the first component.

**[0036]** In one embodiment, acetal and other heteroaliphatic groups account for about 10% to about 30%, for example about 13% to about 26% or about 15% to about 22%, illustratively about 19%, of carbon atoms in the mixture of organic compounds of the first component.

**[0037]** In one embodiment, the ratio of aromatic to aliphatic carbon is about 2:3 to about 4:1, for example about 1:1 to about 3:1 or about 3:2 to about 2:1 in the first component.

**[0038]** In a particular illustrative embodiment, carbon distribution in the mixture of organic compounds of the first component is as follows: carboxy and carbonyl groups, about 35%; aromatic groups, about 30%; aliphatic groups, about 18%, acetal groups, about 7%; and other heteroaliphatic



groups, about 12%.

**[0039]** Elemental composition of the organic compounds of the first component is independently, in one series of embodiments, as follows, by weight: C, about 28% to about 55%, illustratively about 38%; H, about 3% to about 5%, illustratively about 4%; O, about 30% to about 50%, illustratively about 40%; N, about 0.2% to about 3%, illustratively about 1.5%; S, about 0.2% to about 4%, illustratively about 2%.

**[0040]** Elemental composition of the organic compounds of the first component is independently, in another series of embodiments, as follows, by weight: C, about 45% to about 55%, illustratively about 50%; H, about 3% to about 5%, illustratively about 4%; O, about 40% to about 50%, illustratively about 45%; N, about 0.2% to about 1%, illustratively about 0.5%; S, about 0.2% to about 0.7%, illustratively about 0.4%.

**[0041]** In a particular illustrative embodiment, elemental distribution is, by weight: C, about 38%; H, about 4%; O, about 40%; N, about 1.5%; and S, about 2%. The balance consists mainly of inorganic ions, principally potassium and iron in the first component.

**[0042]** In another particular illustrative embodiment, elemental distribution is, by weight: C, about 50%; H, about 4%; O, about 45%; N, about 0.5%; and S, about 0.4% in the first component.

**[0043]** Among classes of organic compounds that can be present in the first component are, in various embodiments, amino acids, carbohydrates (monosaccharides, disaccharides and polysaccharides), sugar alcohols, carbonyl compounds, polyamines, lipids, and mixtures thereof. These specific compounds typically are present in minor amounts, for example, less than 5% of the total % of compounds.

**[0044]** Examples of amino acids that can be present include, without limitation, arginine, aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glycine, histidine, isoleucine, serine, threonine, tyrosine and valine.

**[0045]** Examples of monosaccharide and disaccharide sugars that can be present include, without limitation, glucose, galactose, mannose, fructose, arabinose, ribose and xylose.

**[0046]** Based on the above chemical, elemental and structural characterization, the first component is chemically and biologically unique from Humic and Fulvic acids or combinations thereof. Further, as a result of the nature and extent of gene regulation and overall effect of the first component with respect to improved plant health, drought and salinity stress resistance, it is generally believed that the first component is unique to that of known humic and/or fulvic acid compositions and treatments, for which such activity and properties are generally lacking in quality and quantity. Other beneficial plant function attributes of the first component may be present or result from the methods of treatment and/or the gene regulation obtained from the first component.

**[0047]** Without being bound by theory, it is believed that at least the ability of the first component to complex ions assists in plant nutrition by facilitating uptake and/or translocation of ions in the plant. Facilitating uptake and/or translocation of ions may occur through preferential movement of ions via the xylem or phloem to the growing and fruiting points of the plant. Alternatively, or in combination with the above, facilitating uptake and/or translocation of ions may occur through regulation of one or



more genes related to ion transport or other biological function of the plant or seed. Facilitating uptake and/or translocation of ions may occur through absorption and transport via the seed coat of the pre- or post-planted seed. Inorganic ions can be positively charged cations or negatively charged anions. Examples of inorganic cations include  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ . Examples of inorganic anions include borate and silicate. Such reversible binding or complexing may take the form of chelation or by ionic or non-ionic interaction. Other abilities of the first component to assist in plant nutrition can be present or employed.

**[0048]** A suitable mixture of organic compounds can be found, for example, as one of many components in products marketed as Carbon Boost-S soil solution and KAFE™-F foliar solution of Floratine Biosciences, Inc. (FBS). Information on these products is available at [www.fbsciences.com](http://www.fbsciences.com). Thus, exemplary compositions of embodiments disclosed and described herein can be prepared by adding to Carbon Boost™-S or KAFE™-F foliar solution as the first component, at least one pesticide as the second component, to a suitable volume of water. In one embodiment, the active ingredient is CAS Reg. No. 1175006-56-0, and corresponds, by way of example, to the first component.

**[0049]** The amount of the first component that should be present in the composition depends on the particular organic mixture used. The amount should not be so great as to result in a physically unstable composition, for example by exceeding the limit of solubility of the mixture in the composition, or by causing other essential components to fall out of solution. On the other hand, the amount should not be so little as to fail to provide enhanced nutrition, growth, enhanced stress resistance, or enhanced disease protection when applied to a target plant species. For any particular organic mixture, one of skill in the art can, by routine formulation stability and bioefficacy testing, optimize the amount of organic mixture in the composition for any particular use.

**[0050]** Particularly where a mixture of organic compounds, as found, for example, in the commercially available formulations sold under the tradenames Carbon Boost™-S and KAFE™-F, is used, the amount of the first component needed in a nutrition composition will often be found to be remarkably small. Typically, a suitable ratio of the first component to the optional second component, when present, is about 1:2000 to about 1:5, for example about 1:1000 to about 1:10 or about 1:500 to about 1:20, illustratively about 1:100. If using Carbon Boost™-S or KAFE™-F solution as the source of organic compounds, a suitable amount of such solution to be included in a concentrate composition of second component herein is about 1 part by weight Carbon Boost™-S or KAFE™-F solution in about 5 to about 25, for example about 8 to about 18, illustratively about 12, parts by weight of the concentrate composition.

**[0051]** Optionally, additional components can be present in a composition for coating a seed according to the present disclosure, together with the first component and optionally a second component as described above. For example, the composition for coating a seed can further comprise as a third component at least one agriculturally acceptable source of a plant nutrient other than those used as the first and second component. Additional sources of these nutrients can be present, if desired.) Examples of other plant nutrients, sources of which can optionally be included, are potassium (K), and sulfur (S), phosphorus (P), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu) and boron (B).

**[0052]** Advantageously, addition of multivalent cations such as  $\text{Ca}^{+2}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{+2}$ ,  $\text{Mn}^{+2}$ , or  $\text{Fe}^{+2/3}$  to an



aqueous composition containing the first component and a pesticide generally known to complex with the multivalent cations such as Ca, Mg, Mn, or Fe can substantially maintain the potency of the pesticide compared to a solution comprising the multivalent cations and pesticide in the absence of the first component.

**[0053]** For example, it is generally known that glyphosate effectiveness is reduced when combined in an aqueous solution comprising of multivalent cations such as  $Mn^{+2}$ . Thus, it may be required to treat the plant or its locus with separate applications of the herbicide and the nutrient. The first component provides for the direct mixing (single tank) of herbicide/ multivalent cation nutrients such as  $Ca^{+2}$ ,  $Mg^{+2}$ ,  $Mn^{+2}$ , or  $Fe^{+2/3}$ , for example, glyphosate and  $Mn^{+2}$ , as further described below.

**[0054]** Other ingredients can optionally be present in a composition for coating a seed as disclosed and described herein, including such conventional formulation adjuvants as surfactants (for example to enhance wetting of leaf surfaces), spray drift controlling agents, antifoam agents, viscosity modulating agents, antifreezes, coloring agents, etc. Any of these can be added if desired, so long as they do not destabilize essential components of the composition.

**[0055]** Processes for preparing a composition for coating a seed as disclosed and described herein typically involve simple admixture of the required ingredients. If desired, any of the ingredients can be pre-dissolved in a suitable volume of water before mixing with other ingredients. Order of addition is not generally critical.

### ***Second Component***

**[0056]** The optional second component can be a pesticide, where the term "pesticide" herein refers to at least one herbicide, insecticide, fungicide, bactericide, anti-viral, plant nutrient, or a combination thereof.

**[0057]** Herbicides can include, for example, any herbicide that is effective for the control or remediation of weeds, for example imidazolinone, sulfonylurea, glyphosate, glufosinate, L-phosphinothricin, triazine, benzonitrile and combinations thereof. Herbicides also include Dicamba (3,6-dichloro-o-anisic acid or 3,6-dichloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid), the active ingredient in herbicides such as Banvel.™, (BASF), Clarity™, (BASF), and Vanquish™ (Syngenta).

**[0058]** Insecticides can include, for example, any insecticide that is effective for the control or remediation of insects, and include ovicides and larvicides. Exemplary insecticides include organochlorines, organophosphates, carbamates, neonicotinoids, phenylpyrazoles, and pyrethroids, for example tefluthrin, terbufos, cypermethrin, thiodicarb, lindane, furathiocarb, acephate, butocarboxim, carbofuran, NTN, endosulfan, fipronil, diethion, aldoxycarb, methiocarb, oftanol, (isofenphos), chlorpyrifos, bendiocarb, benfuracarb, oxamyl, parathion, capfos, dimethoate, fonofos, chlorfenvinphos, cartap, fenthion, fenitrothion, HCH, deltamethrin, malathion, disulfoton, and combinations thereof. In one embodiment, the second component comprises an insecticidally effective amount of at least one neonicotinoid or phenylpyrazole insecticide, and combinations thereof.



**[0059]** Fungicides can include, for example any fungicide that is effective for the control of fungi and oomycetes, such as, those effective for the control or remediation of the phytopathogenic fungi belonging to the Ascomycetes (*Fusarium spp.*, *Thielaviopsis spp.*, *Verticillium spp.*, *Magnaporthe grisea*), Basidiomycetes (*Rhizoctonia spp.*, *Phakospora pachyrhizi* Sydow, *Puccinia spp.*); and Oomycetes (*Phytophthora*, *Pythium spp.*, *Phytophthora spp.*). Exemplary fungicides include Benomyl (also known as Benlate), Bitertanol, Captan, Carbendazim, Carboxin (also known as Carbothiin), Capropamid, Cymoxanil, Cyprodinil, Difenconazole, Ethirimol, Fenpiclonil, Fenpropimorph, Fludioxonil, Fluquinconazole, Flutolanil, Flutriafol, Fosetyl-aluminum, Fuberidazole, Guazatine, Hymexanol, Kasugamycin, Imazalil, Imibenconazole, Iminoctadine-triacetate, Ipconazole, Iprodione, Mancozeb, Maneb, Mepronil, Metalaxyl, Metalaxyl-M (Mefenoxam), Metconazole, Metiram, MON 65500 (Silthiopham-ISO proposed), Myclobutanil, Nuarimol, Oxadixyl, Oxine-copper, Oxolinic acid, Perfurazoate, Pencycuron, Prochloraz, Propamocarb hydrochloride, Pyroquilon, Quintozene (also known as PCNB), Silthiopham--see MON 65500, Tebuconazole, Tecnazene, Tetraconazole, Thiabendazole, Thifluzamide, Thiophenate-methyl, Thiram, Tolclofos-methyl, Triadimenol, Triazoxide, Triflumizole, Triticonazole, and combinations thereof. In one embodiment, the second component comprises a fungicidally effective amount of at least two fungicides including at least one phenylamide (acylalanine type), at least one phenylpyrrole, and at least one triazole. In another embodiment, the second component comprises a fungicidally effective amount of at least three fungicides including at least one phenylamide (acylalanine type), at least one phenylpyrrole, and at least one triazole. In one embodiment, the fungicide is selected from the family of strobilurins, for example, Quadris™ (Syngenta) Headline™ (BASF) and Stratego™ (Bayer).

**[0060]** Bactericides can include, for example, any bactericides that are effective for the control or remediation of Agrobacterium, Burkholderia, Proteobacteria (e.g., *Xanthomonas spp.* and *Pseudomonas spp.*) Phytoplasma, and Spiroplasma.

**[0061]** Anti-viral agents can include, for example, agents that are effective for the control or remediation of asymptomatic viruses, protozoa and parasitic plants. Nematicides, for example abamectin, can be used as a nematode seed treatment.

**[0062]** In one embodiment, the second component comprises a combination of an insecticidally effective amount of at least one neonicotinoid or phenylpyrazole insecticide and a fungicidally effective amount of at least one fungicide selected from phenylamide (acylalanine type), phenylpyrrole or triazole. In one specific embodiment, the second component comprises a combination of an insecticidally effective amount of at least one neonicotinoid or phenylpyrazole insecticide and a fungicidally effective amount of at least three fungicides including at least one phenylamide (acylalanine type), at least one phenylpyrrole, and at least one triazole.

**[0063]** The second component can also include growth regulators, for example, cytokinins, auxins, gibberellins, and combinations thereof.

**[0064]** The second component can also comprise one or more plant macronutrients or plant micronutrients. The term "macronutrient" can refer to an element for plant growth which is utilized by plants in proportionally larger amounts relative to micronutrients. The term "micronutrients" refers to an element utilized by plants during growth which are used in smaller amounts relative to macronutrients. For example, plant macronutrients include nitrogen, potassium, phosphorus, calcium, magnesium and sulfur. The second component can comprise various combinations and



relative amounts of individual macronutrients. For example, plant micronutrients include iron, manganese, zinc, copper, boron, molybdenum and cobalt. Numerous compounds and substances are available to provide micronutrients as the second component. Various combinations and relative amounts of micronutrients can be utilized in the second component.

**[0065]** The second component can also include, in addition to any of the above, a mold inhibitor, an absorbant, a penetrant, and combinations thereof.

### ***Methods***

**[0066]** The method of the claimed invention, according to the second aspect and as defined in claim 7, comprises coating a seed with the first component. Methods of use of the composition for coating a seed, as described herein, for seed treating, are therefore further disclosed. Methods of the present invention are appropriate both for field use and in protected cultivation, for example, greenhouse use.

**[0067]** While the present methods can be beneficial for gramineous (belonging to the grass family) crops such as cereal crops, including corn, wheat, barley, oats and rice, they are also highly appropriate for non-gramineous crops, including vegetable crops, fruit crops, broad-leaved field crops such as soybeans, seed crops or a crop of any species grown specially to produce seed..The terms "fruit" and "vegetable" herein are used in their agricultural or culinary sense, not in a strict botanical sense; for example, tomatoes, cucumbers and zucchini are considered vegetables for present purposes, although botanically speaking it is the fruit of these crops that is consumed.

**[0068]** Vegetable crops include without limitation:

leafy and salad vegetables such as amaranth, beet greens, bitterleaf, bok choy, Brussels sprout, cabbage, catsear, celtuce, choukwee, Ceylon spinach, chicory, Chinese mallow, chrysanthemum leaf, corn salad, cress, dandelion, endive, epazote, fat hen, fiddlehead, fluted pumpkin, golden samphire, Good King Henry, ice plant, jambu, kai-lan, kale, komatsuna, kuka, Lagos bologi, land cress, lettuce, lizard's tail, melokhia, mizuna greens, mustard, Chinese cabbage, New Zealand spinach, orache, pea leaf, polk, radicchio, rocket (arugula), samphire, sea beet, seekale, Sierra Leone bologi, soko, sorrel, spinach, summer purslane, Swiss chard, tatsoi, turnip greens, watercress, water spinach, winter purslane and you choy;

flowering and fruiting vegetables such as acorn squash, Armenian cucumber, avocado, bell pepper, bitter melon, butternut squash, caigua, Cape gooseberry, cayenne pepper, chayote, chili pepper, cucumber, eggplant (aubergine), globe artichoke, luffa, Malabar gourd, parwal, pattypan squash, perennial cucumber, pumpkin, snake gourd, squash (marrow), sweetcorn, sweet pepper, tinda, tomato, tomatillo, winter melon, West Indian gherkin and zucchini (courgette);

podded vegetables (legumes) such as American groundnut, azuki bean, black bean, black-eyed pea, chickpea (garbanzo bean), drumstick, dolichos bean, fava bean (broad bean), French bean, guar, haricot bean, horse gram, Indian pea, kidney bean, lentil, lima bean, moth bean, mung bean, navy bean, okra, pea, peanut (groundnut), pigeon pea, pinto bean, rice bean, runner bean, soybean, tarwi, tepary bean, urad bean, velvet bean, winged bean and yardlong bean;



bulb and stem vegetables such as asparagus, cardoon, celeriac, celery, elephant garlic, fennel, garlic, kohlrabi, kurrat, leek, lotus root, nopal, onion, Prussian asparagus, shallot, Welsh onion and wild leek;

root and tuber vegetables, such as ahipa, arracacha, bamboo shoot, beetroot, black cumin, burdock, broadleaf arrowhead, camas, canna, carrot, cassava, Chinese artichoke, daikon, earthnut pea, elephant-foot yam, ensete, ginger, gobo, Hamburg parsley, horseradish, Jerusalem artichoke, jicama, parsnip, pignut, plectranthus, potato, prairie turnip, radish, rutabaga (swede), salsify, scorzonera, skirret, sweet potato, taro, ti, tigernut, turnip, ulluco, wasabi, water chestnut, yacon and yam; and

herbs, such as angelica, anise, basil, bergamot, caraway, cardamom, chamomile, chives, cilantro, coriander, dill, fennel, ginseng, jasmine, lavender, lemon balm, lemon basil, lemongrass, marjoram, mint, oregano, parsley, poppy, saffron, sage, star anise, tarragon, thyme, turmeric and vanilla.

**[0069]** Fruit crops include without limitation apple, apricot, banana, blackberry, blackcurrant, blueberry, boysenberry, cantaloupe, cherry, citron, clementine, cranberry, damson, dragonfruit, fig, grape, grapefruit, greengage, gooseberry, guava, honeydew, jackfruit, key lime, kiwifruit, kumquat, lemon, lime, loganberry, longan, loquat, mandarin, mango, mangosteen, melon, muskmelon, orange, papaya, peach, pear, persimmon, pineapple, plantain, plum, pomelo, prickly pear, quince, raspberry, redcurrant, starfruit, strawberry, tangelo, tangerine, tayberry, ugli fruit and watermelon.

**[0070]** Seed crops, for example, specialized crops used to produce seed of any plant species, include, in addition to cereals (e.g., barley, corn (maize), millet, oats, rice, rye, sorghum (milo) and wheat), non-gramineous seed crops such as buckwheat, cotton, flaxseed (linseed), mustard, poppy, rapeseed (including canola), safflower, sesame and sunflower.

**[0071]** Other crops, not fitting any of the above categories, include without limitation sugar beet, sugar cane, hops and tobacco.

**[0072]** Each of the crops listed above has its own particular nutrition and disease protection needs. Further optimization of compositions described herein for particular crops can readily be undertaken by those of skill in the art, based on the present disclosure, without undue experimentation.

**[0073]** Methods of using the compositions for coating a seed as disclosed and described herein comprise applying said composition as described herein to a seed.

**[0074]** The term "agriculturally acceptable" applied to a material or composition herein means not unacceptably damaging or toxic to a plant or its environment, and not unsafe to the user or others that may be exposed to the material when used as described herein.

**[0075]** A "foliar surface" herein is typically a leaf surface, but other green parts of plants have surfaces that may permit absorption of active ingredient, including petioles, stipules, stems, bracts, flowerbuds, etc., and for present purposes "foliar surfaces" will be understood to include surfaces of such green parts.



**[0076]** A "locus" as used herein is inclusive of a foliar surface and also includes an area in proximity to a plant or the area in which a plurality of seed is or can be sown.

**[0077]** "Seed treatment" as used herein refers generally to contacting a seed with a compound or composition of matter containing or comprising at least one active ingredient (a.i. or AI). The compound or composition of matter may be in any form suitable to the seed, for example, liquid, gel, emulsion, suspension, dispersion, spray, or powder. Seed treatment is inclusive of seed coating and seed dressing.

**[0078]** "Seed coating" or "seed dressing" as used herein refers generally to a coating or matrix formed on at least part of the seed, the coating or matrix containing or comprising the at least one AI. Optional compounds or agents may be included in the seed coating to facilitate the seed coating process or the disintegration/releasing of the at least one AI from the coating, or to prevent excessive dust-off or to add color to the treated seed.

**[0079]** The term "seed" as used herein, is not limited to any particular type of seed and can refer to seed from a single plant species, a mixture of seed from multiple plant species, or a seed blend from various strains within a plant species. The disclosed and described compositions for coating a seed can be utilized to treat gymnosperm seed, dicotyledonous angiosperm seed and monocotyledonous angiosperm seed.

**[0080]** Compositions for coating a seed as disclosed and described herein can be applied using any conventional system for applying liquid or solid to a seed. Most commonly, application by spraying will be found most convenient, but other techniques, including application by tumbling, brush or by rope-wick can be used if desired. For spraying, any conventional atomization method can be used to generate spray droplets, including hydraulic nozzles and rotating disk atomizers. Introduction of the composition into an irrigation system can be used.

**[0081]** Compositions for coating a seed as disclosed and described herein can be provided in concentrate form, (e.g., liquid, gel, or reconstitutable powder form), suitable for further dilution and/or mixing in water prior to application to the seed. Alternatively, they can be provided as a ready-to-use solution for direct application. Because compositions for coating a seed as disclosed and described herein can be combined with other fertilizer solutions and/or with pesticide solutions, they can be diluted and/or reconstituted by mixing with such other solutions.

**[0082]** For seed treatment applications, a concentrate composition can be diluted up to about 600-fold or more with water, more typically up to about 100-fold or up to about 40-fold. Illustratively, a concentrate product can be applied at about 0.1 mg/Kg seed to about 100 mg/Kg seed, for example about 0.1 mg/Kg seed, 0.5 mg/Kg seed, 0.75 mg/Kg seed, 1.0 mg/Kg seed, 1.25 mg/Kg seed, 1.5 mg/Kg seed, 1.75 mg/Kg seed, 2.0 mg/Kg seed, 2.5 mg/Kg seed, 3.0 mg/Kg seed, 3.5 mg/Kg seed, 4.0 mg/Kg seed, 4.5 mg/Kg seed, 5.0 mg/Kg seed, 5.5 mg/Kg seed, 6.0 mg/Kg seed, 6.5 mg/Kg seed, 7.0 mg/Kg seed, 7.5 mg/Kg seed, 8.0 mg/Kg seed, 8.5 mg/Kg seed, 9.0 mg/Kg seed, 9.5 mg/Kg seed, and 10.0 mg/Kg seed. A concentrate product can also be applied at about 15 mg/Kg, 20 mg/Kg, 25 mg/Kg, and 30 mg/Kg.

### ***Seed Treatments and Seed Coatings***



**[0083]** In one embodiment, the method of the second aspect is a method of promoting healthy growth of plant seeds that comprises contacting the seeds with an aqueous composition comprising the first component and optionally a second component selected from one or more pesticides and/or one or more natural plant hormones. The seeds may be contacted with the aqueous composition by conventional means such as spraying, rolling, or tumbling. Thus, the first component can be combined with a second component selected from pesticides, for example, Fipronil and other fluorocyanobenpyrazoles, strobilurins, tebuconazole, a broad-spectrum fungicide treatment that protects against wide range of diseases in cereal grains, soybeans, and other crops as well as other members of the class of azoles; thiram, a fungicide treatment for control of damping-off, *Phytophthora*, and other soil-borne diseases effective in a broad range of crops; myclobutanil, a fungicide effect for sore shin and black root rot in cotton; imidacloprid and other neonicotinoids, effective for systemic, early-season insect control; metalaxyl, for systemic control of *Pythium* and *Phytophthora*; combinations of pesticides such as tebuconazole and metalaxyl; and tebuconazole, imidacloprid and metalaxyl; imazapyr (StrigAway®) to provide effective protection against *Striga*; zinc ions, copper ions, manganese ions, or combinations thereof (e.g., Zn+Cu, Zn+Mn); glyphosate. Combinations of the first component and the pesticide can be mixed in aqueous media at a concentration, and brought into contact with the seeds for a time sufficient to provide improved plant health and/or growth.

**[0084]** In one particular embodiment, an effective seed treatment comprises a seed treated with a combination comprising the first component and glyphosate.

**[0085]** In another particular embodiment, an effective seed treatment comprises a seed treated with a combination comprising the first component, glyphosate, and a  $Mn^{+2}$  and/or  $Zn^{+2}$  ion source.

**[0086]** In another particular embodiment, an effective seed treatment comprises a seed treated with a combination comprising the first component and second component comprising a plant growth hormone. The plant growth hormone can be from the class of abscisic acid, auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins, brassinolides, salicylic acid, jasmonates, plant peptides, polyamines, and stringolactones.

**[0087]** In another embodiment, the method of the second aspect is a method of promoting healthy growth of plant seeds that comprises applying to the seeds a coating or dressing of a polymer or other matrix, the polymer or matrix comprising the first component and optionally one or more pesticides and/or one or more natural plant hormones. The polymer or matrix is capable of releasing the first component and optionally one or more pesticides and/or one or more natural plant hormones (collectively, "the actives"). The polymer or matrix can be designed to release the actives in response to temperature, moisture content, sunlight, time, or combinations thereof. The polymer or matrix can quickly dissolve or disintegrate releasing the actives or can controllably release the actives over time or in response to a predetermined condition such as temperature, moisture content, sunlight, time, or combinations thereof. The polymer or matrix can be multi-layer, with discrete layers, for example, for disrupting the coating to allow moisture ingress, housing the actives, etc. Suitable polymers or matrixes include hydrogels, microgels, or sol-gels. Specific materials and methods of coatings seeds useful in this regard include such process and materials as used, for example, Intellicoat™ (Landec Inc., Indiana); ThermoSeed™ (Incotec, Netherlands) CelPril™ (Bayer



CropScience); ApronMaxx™ (Syngenta); and Nacret™ (Syngenta). The actives can be provided as nanoparticles and incorporated into the polymer or matrix, or directly adhered to the seed coat. The thickness of the polymer or matrix coating may be between from about 0.01 mils to about 10 mils in thickness. The coating can further provide protection for the seeds from mechanical and environmental damages.

**[0088]** For seed treatment or seed coatings as described above, the amount of the first component is 0.01mg/kg seed weight to 30 mg/kg seed weight. After an initial application of the first component as a seed treatment, in one embodiment it has been found advantageous to apply one or more subsequent soil and/or foliar applications of the first component, for example, after emergence. Application frequency can be, for example, a single application, or up to four applications per season. In certain situations, a single application will suffice. In other situations, the first and/or second and/or third and/or fourth application may precede, supersede, or correspond to a particular growth cycle of the plant, or a known life cycle or endemic habit of an insect, parasite, or undesirable plant species.

#### ***First Component Compositions for Plant Health***

**[0089]** Methods as described in detail above are useful for nutrition of a plant. Any benefit of enhanced nutrition can be a benefit of the present methods, including without limitation higher quality produce, improved growth and/or a longer growing season (which in either case can lead to higher yield of produce), improved plant stress management including increased stress tolerance and/or improved recovery from stress, increased mechanical strength, improved root development, improved drought resistance and improved plant health. Combinations of benefits can be obtained. It has been observed that seeds contacted with the first component emerge faster and have significantly increased chlorophyll production, greatly improved cold resistance, and improved water log resistance compared to seeds not contacted with the first component.

**[0090]** In various embodiments, yield of produce can be increased, for example by at least about 2%, at least about 4%, at least about 6%, at least about 8%, at least about 10%, at least about 15%, at least about 25% or at least about 50%, over plants not receiving a nutrient treatment comprising the first component.

**[0091]** Improved plant health, particularly resistance to or protection from disease, especially bacterial or fungal disease, is an important benefit of methods disclosed and described herein. In one embodiment, a method is provided for reducing susceptibility of a plant to insect, fungal or bacterial disease. "Reduced susceptibility" herein includes reduced incidence of fungal or bacterial infection and/or reduced impact of such infection as occurs on the health and growth of the plant. It is believed, without being bound by theory, that the enhanced nutrition afforded by compositions disclosed and described herein strengthens the plant's natural defenses against fungal and bacterial pathogens. Examples of such pathogens include, without limitation, *Alternaria spp.*, *Blumeria graminis*, *Botrytis cinerea*, *Cochliobolus miyabeanus*, *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Diplocarpon rosae*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Magnaporthe grisea*, *Magnaporthe salvinii*, *Phaeosphaeria nodorum*, *Pythium aphanidermatum*, *Pythium ultimum*, *Sclerotinia homoeocarpa*, *Septoria nodorum*, *Sphaerotheca pannosa*, *Sphaerotheca xanthii*, *Thanatephorus cucumeris* and *Uncinula necator*.

**[0092]** A single species of pathogen can cause a variety of different diseases in different crops.



Examples of bacterial and fungal diseases of plants include, without limitation, anthracnose, armillaria, ascochyta, aspergillus, bacterial blight, bacterial canker, bacterial speck, bacterial spot, bacterial wilt, bitter rot, black leaf, blackleg, black rot, black spot, blast, blight, blue mold, botrytis, brown rot, brown spot, cercospora, charcoal rot, cladosporium, clubroot, covered smut, crater rot, crown rot, damping off, dollar spot, downy mildew, early blight, ergot, erwinia, false loose smut, fire blight, foot rot, fruit blotch, fusarium, gray leaf spot, gray mold, heart rot, late blight, leaf blight, leaf blotch, leaf curl, leaf mold, leaf rust, leaf spot, mildew, necrosis, peronospora, phoma, pink mold, powdery mildew, rhizopus, root canker, root rot, rust, scab, smut, southern blight, stem canker, stem rot, verticillium, white mold, wildfire and yellows.

**[0093]** As early as 1999, genetically modified (GM) plants, for example, glyphosate-resistant soybean, when compared to the top conventional varieties, provided a lower yield (sometimes referred to as "yield drag"). Such patterns were observed when other traits were introduced into soybeans by conventional breeding. While it may not be attributable to the glyphosate-resistant trait or the GM nature of the crop, there is evidence, suggesting manganese deficiency in some glyphosate-resistant plants, may contribute to this effect. Attempts to circumvent the glyphosate-resistant plant's manganese deficiency have been hindered by lower herbicidal performance of the glyphosate. At least one theory attributes the decline in glyphosate performance when physically blended with sources of metal ions to chelation of metal ion by the glyphosate, and hence a decrease in the overall effectiveness of a given concentration of glyphosate.

**[0094]** As discussed above, the first component can be mixed with glyphosate and multivalent metal cations without significant decrease in the effectiveness of the herbicide and/or decrease the effectiveness of the uptake of metal cation. While it may be that the first component is disrupting the complexation or chelation of the metal cations with the glyphosate, it is generally believed, without being bound to any particular theory, that the first component regulates at least one gene associated with ion transport of the target plant such that the effectiveness of the glyphosate is maintained and/or the uptake of the metal cation is maintained relative to a composition of glyphosate/metal cation without the first component. This is possible even though the total available concentration of the glyphosate is effectively reduced from interaction with the metal cation. As a result, the amount of metal cation sensitive herbicide glyphosate need not be increased in application rate or can be reduced when used in combination with the first component and optionally, the multivalent metal cations.

### ***Experimental Examples***

#### **Experiment 1 - *Enhanced Seed Germination.***

**[0095]** Three different crops (corn, soybean, and canola) were evaluated. In each experiment, 50 seeds were placed on sponges which had been soaked with 500 ml of water (the Control) or with 500 ml water that contained varying amounts of the first component. The first component concentrations varied from 0.6 mg A.I./Kg solution to 12 mg A.I./Kg. After seeds were placed on the sponges, they were placed in a dark growth chamber at 22° C and the number of seeds which had germinated was determined every 24 hours until 90% of the seed had germinated or 120 hours, whichever came first.



Germination results are shown in Tables 1-3.

Table 1. Canola Germination of control vs contact with first component.

| First Component Treatment | Time (hours) |    |    |    |     |
|---------------------------|--------------|----|----|----|-----|
|                           | 24           | 48 | 72 | 96 | 120 |
| Corn Control              | 0%           | 7% | 20 | 35 | 47  |
| 0.6mg/Kg Corn seed        | 0%           | 9  | 38 | 75 | 77  |
| 1.2mg/Kg Corn seed        | 0%           | 11 | 36 | 60 | 66  |
| 6.0mg/Kg Corn seed        | 0%           | 11 | 24 | 69 | 71  |
| 12.0mg/Kg Corn seed       | 0%           | 12 | 32 | 51 | 58  |

Table 2. Soybean Germination of control vs contact with first component.

| First Component Treatment | Time (hours) |    |     |     |     |
|---------------------------|--------------|----|-----|-----|-----|
|                           | 24           | 48 | 72  | 96  | 120 |
| Soybean Control           | 0%           | 0% | 4%  | 18% | 81% |
| 0.6 mg/Kg Soybean seed    | 0%           | 0% | 24% | 44% | 90% |
| 1.2 mg/Kg Soybean seed    | 0%           | 0% | 24% | 46% | 96% |
| 6.0 mg/Kg Soybean seed    | 0%           | 0% | 17% | 40% | 93% |
| 12.0 mg/Kg Soybean seed   | 0%           | 0% | 16% | 38% | 96% |

Table 3. Corn Germination of control vs contact with first component.

| First Component Treatment | Time (hours) |    |     |     |      |
|---------------------------|--------------|----|-----|-----|------|
|                           | 24           | 48 | 72  | 96  | 120  |
| Corn Control              | 0%           | 0% | 4%  | 18% | 81%  |
| 0.6 mg/Kg Corn seed       | 0%           | 0% | 5%  | 65% | 100% |
| 1.2 mg/Kg Corn seed       | 0%           | 0% | 10% | 60% | 95%  |
| 6.0 mg/Kg Corn seed       | 0%           | 0% | 10% | 65% | 95%  |
| 12.0 mg/Kg Corn seed      | 0%           | 0% | 20% | 70% | 95%  |

**[0096]** The results demonstrate the enhanced germination rate of a variety of crop seeds after contacting with the first component.

#### **Experiment 2- First Component Application Rate Study**

**[0097]** The purpose of this experiment was to evaluate the effect of varying rates of the first component on seed germination. Three different crops (wheat, rice, and canola) were evaluated. The experiment was set up as a randomized complete block design with treatment rates that ranged from 0.039 mg A.I./kg of seed to 1.56 mg A.I./kg of seed.

**[0098]** A total of 20 seeds were grown in small soil filled plastic trays. The trays were filled with a 30:60 mixture of a commercial granular ceramic amendment from the golf course green's construction industry called PermO<sup>2</sup>Pore and 0.1 mm sand. Small holes were punched under each



tray to allow for drainage. This soil mixture has been shown in previous experiments to provide an ideal balance of water holding capacity, drainage and air exchange. The seeds were treated with water and A.I. so that the total spray volume was identical for all seed. After treatment, the seeds were placed on the growth medium and placed in a dark growth chamber at 22° C. The number of seeds which had germinated was determined every 24 hours until 90% of the seed had germinated or 120 hours, whichever came first. Germination results (number of seeds of the 20 seeds that germinated) are summarized below in Tables 3, 4, and 5.

Table 4. Wheat Germination Rate of control vs contact with first component.

| First Component Treatment | Time (hours) |      |      |
|---------------------------|--------------|------|------|
|                           | 24           | 48   | 96   |
| Untreated Wheat Control   | 13.5         | 17.3 | 17.8 |
| 0.039 mg/Kg Wheat seed    | 16.0         | 18.5 | 19.0 |
| 0.156 mg/Kg Wheat seed    | 17.5         | 18.5 | 18.8 |
| 0.312 mg/Kg Wheat seed    | 15.3         | 18.5 | 18.5 |
| 1.56 mg/Kg Wheat seed     | 12.8         | 16.8 | 17.8 |

Table 5. Rice Germination Rate of control vs contact with first component.

| First Component Treatment | Time (hours) |      |      |
|---------------------------|--------------|------|------|
|                           | 72           | 96   | 120  |
| Untreated Rice Control    | 3            | 8.3  | 12.3 |
| 0.039 mg/Kg Rice seed     | 7.8          | 13.5 | 14.5 |
| 0.156 mg/Kg Rice seed     | 13.0         | 16.5 | 17.5 |
| 0.312 mg/Kg Rice seed     | 9.5          | 13.5 | 15.5 |
| 1.56 mg/Kg Rice seed      | 7.3          | 11.3 | 13.8 |

Table 6. Canola Germination Rate of control vs contact with first component.

| First Component Treatment | Time (hours) |      |      |      |
|---------------------------|--------------|------|------|------|
|                           | 24           | 36   | 48   | 96   |
| Untreated Canola Control  | 8.8          | 17.5 | 18.3 | 19.8 |
| 0.039 mg/Kg Canola seed   | 12.0         | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 |
| 0.234 mg/Kg Canola seed   | 11.8         | 18.8 | 19.5 | 19.5 |
| 1.56 mg/Kg Canola seed    | 10.3         | 17.0 | 17.8 | 18.8 |

**[0099]** This experiment demonstrated that first component as an A.I. applied directly to seed increased the germination rate of all three crops, and increased total number of germinated seed for wheat and rice. The temperature in the growth chamber was nearly optimum for the wheat and canola to germinate, but was sub-optimal for the rice which demonstrates the effect of A.I. in mitigating stress that impacts seed germination.

### Experiment 3- Clearfield Rice Germination

**[0100]** The purpose of this experiment was to evaluate the first component as an A.I. as a seed



treatment to improve germination of two Clearfield Rice Varieties of hybrid rice (CL 111 and CL 151). For both varieties there was an Untreated Control and two application rates of A.I., 3.12 mg A.I./kg of seed and 0.312 mg A.I./kg of seed, respectively. The trial was set up with a Randomized Complete Block design with three replicates per treatment. Each replicate consisted of 25 seed on a sponge. Seeds were treated with A.I. and allowed to dry, and then the seeds were placed on a sponge, which was kept wet with deionized water and the sponge was kept at 20° C. Grains of rice were evaluated once every 24 hours under a binocular microscope to determine the number of germinated seeds per sponge. Grains were counted as germinated when the first shoot pierced the outer husk. Data showing the germination with time for each variety are shown below in Tables 7 and 8.

Table 7. Rice Variety CL 111 Germination Rate of control vs contact with first component.

| First Component Treatment | Time (hours) |    |      |       |       |       |
|---------------------------|--------------|----|------|-------|-------|-------|
|                           | 0            | 74 | 48   | 72    | 96    | 120   |
| Untreated CL 111 Control  | 0%           | 0% | 1.3% | 29.3% | 80.0% | 86.7% |
| 3.12mg/Kg CL 111 seed     | 0%           | 0% | 2.7% | 42.7% | 92.0% | 94.7% |
| 0.312mg/Kg CL 111seed     | 0%           | 0% | 4.0% | 53.3% | 92.0% | 96.0% |

Table 8. Rice Variety CL 151 Germination Rate of control vs contact with first component.

| First Component Treatment | Time (hours) |      |       |       |       |       |
|---------------------------|--------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                           | 0            | 24   | 48    | 72    | 96    | 120   |
| Untreated CL 151 Control  | 0%           | 1.0% | 32.0% | 65.3% | 73.3% | 76.0% |
| 3.12mg/Kg CL 151 seed     | 0%           | 1.5% | 34.7% | 66.7% | 76.0% | 76.0% |
| 0.312mg/Kg CL 151 seed    | 0%           | 4.0% | 50.7% | 74.7% | 82.7% | 84.0% |

**[0101]** This experiment demonstrates that the first component as an A.I. applied to hybrid rice as a seed treatment increases both the rate of germination as well as the final percentage of seed that is germinated. Total germination increases were greater than 10% for both varieties with the low rate of A.I. versus the non-treated seed.

#### Experiment 4 - Seedling Mortality Prevention

**[0102]** The purpose of this experiment was to observe the effect of the first component on seedling mortality. While conducting Experiment 3 above, it was observed that for CL 151 seedlings, there was a significant amount of disease in the seedling phase that resulted in high amounts of seedling mortality. As shown in Table 9, both rates of A.I. reduced the incidence of seedling mortality with the lower rate providing the best control.

Table 9. Rice Variety CL 151 Seedling Disease Mortality- control vs contact with first component.

| CL 151 Treatment  | Mortality % |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Untreated Control | 25.3        |
| 3.12 mg/Kg seed   | 16.0        |
| 0.312 mg/Kg seed  | 13.3        |



**Experiment 5 - Comparative Examples**

**[0103]** A seed treatment product (STP) consisting of an NPK fertilizer (11-11-7) with 0.08% Zn, and 0.036% Gibberellic Acid was evaluated for emergence response in soybean seed, and compared with a control and a commercial seed treatment product, ApronMaxx (Syngenta). A fourth treatment sample consisted of a combination of STP and ApronMaxx. Seed was treated with 2 fl. oz. of seed treatment product per 100 pounds of seed and the seed were planted in pots and placed in a greenhouse. There were 6 replicates per treatment. Treatments were evaluated at 4 and 5 days after planting (DAP). Emergence was rated as follows: **N**-No emergence -0 points; **C**-Crook, just breaking soil surface - 1 point; and **E**-Fully emerged -2 points. Results are shown in Table 10:

Table 10. Emergence data for comparative examples

| <b>Treatment</b>                                                                                                                                                                          | <b>Average Rating</b> |   |             |   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------|---|
| <b>Time</b>                                                                                                                                                                               | <b>5DAP</b>           |   | <b>6DAP</b> |   |
| Control                                                                                                                                                                                   | 0.356                 | b | 0.960       | b |
| STP                                                                                                                                                                                       | 1.306                 | a | 1.720       | a |
| ApronMaxx                                                                                                                                                                                 | 0.444                 | b | 0.960       | b |
| ApronMaxx+ STP                                                                                                                                                                            | 1.378                 | a | 1.710       | a |
| Means within columns and borders followed by the same letter Protected LSD are not different at the 5% level of significance (unless noted otherwise) as tested by Fishers Protected LSD. |                       |   |             |   |

**[0104]** This experiment demonstrates the effect of STP in speeding up germination and emergence of soybean seeds, compared to the Control and to ApronMaxx. It also shows that when STP was added to ApronMaxx, the emergence was nearly identical to the STP alone and significantly better than the Control or ApronMaxx alone.

**Experiment 6 - First Component vs Comparative Example and Synergistic Combination of the First component and Comparative Example**

**[0105]** In an experiment with soybean seed, the first component was compared to STP from Experiment 5 and with a combination of the first component and STP to determine the effect upon rate of germination. This trial was conducted using soybean seed treated with the first component alone, STP alone, or a combination of the first component and STP. In this experiment, 50 seeds were placed on sponges which had been soaked with 500 ml of water (the Control) or with 500 ml water that contained varying amounts of the first component or the first component plus STP. Germination was measured after 48, 72, and 120 hours. Results are shown in Table 11.

Table 11. Soybean emergence results with the first component ("CP") and STP

| <b>Treatment</b>          | <b>%Emerged</b> |             |             |             |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| <b>Time</b>               | <b>24hr</b>     | <b>48hr</b> | <b>72hr</b> | <b>96hr</b> |
| Control                   | 0%              | 4%          | 18%         | 81%         |
| 0.6 mg/Kg A.I. + .03% STP | 0%              | 3%          | 30%         | 81%         |



| Treatment                 | %Emerged |      |      |      |
|---------------------------|----------|------|------|------|
| Time                      | 24hr     | 48hr | 72hr | 96hr |
| 1.2 mg/Kg A.I. + .03% STP | 0%       | 15%  | 26%  | 92%  |
| 0.6 mg/Kg A.I.            | 0%       | 24%  | 44%  | 90%  |
| 1.2 mg/Kg A.I.            | 0%       | 24%  | 46%  | 96%  |

**[0106]** This experiment demonstrates that the first component as A.I. alone at either 0.6 mg/Kg or 1.2 mg/Kg rate causes the germination rate to increase faster than the control or the STP plus the first component. 1.2 mg/Kg of first component added to the STP improved performance significantly over the 0.6 mg/Kg of the first component added to the STP. However, when the same experiment was repeated for corn, the results showed the opposite effect. Here, both treatments alone improved germination versus the control, but adding STP to each treatment with the first component resulted in a further enhancement in the germination rates as seen in Table 12.

Table 12. Corn emergence results with the first component (A.I.) and STP.

| Treatment                 | %Emerged |      |       |
|---------------------------|----------|------|-------|
| Time                      | 72hr     | 96hr | 120hr |
| Control                   | 0%       | 50%  | 95%   |
| 0.6 mg/Kg A.I. + .03% STP | 10%      | 60%  | 95%   |
| 1.2 mg/Kg A.I. + .03% STP | 15%      | 90%  | 100%  |
| 0.6 mg/Kg A.I.            | 5%       | 65%  | 100%  |
| 1.2 mg/Kg A.I.            | 10%      | 60%  | 95%   |

**[0107]** In the case of corn, the first component alone improves germination, but there is an additive effect or synergistic effect when the STP is added to the first component as demonstrated by the above experimental data.

#### ***Formulations comprising the First Component and a Second Component (Pesticide)***

**[0108]** Reference Experiment 7. The purpose of this experiment was to determine whether the first component, when tank mixed with a non selective herbicide (glyphosate), was able to enhance weed control or the speed of the weed control. The glyphosate formulation used was Roundup Powermax™ from Monsanto. A field trial was established using a Randomized Complete Block (RCB) Design with 3 replicates in each treatment. 4 weed species or weed categories were evaluated: cutleaf evening primrose (Oenothera laciniate) OEOLA, narrow-leaved vetch (Vicia angustifolia) VICAN, Carolina geranium (Geranium carolinianum) GERCA, and other grasses OTGRA. Plots were sprayed with two rates of glyphosate, each with and without the first component, and weed control ratings were made at 7, 14, and 28 days after application (DAA). Weed control was reported as percent of weeds killed out of the original population. Test results are shown in Table 13.

Table 13. Weed control of Glyphosate tank mixed first component (A.I.). Means followed by the same letter do not significantly differ (P=0.10, LSD). Mean comparisons performed only when AOV



Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.

| Product(s)                    | Rate        | Ratings  |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|                               |             | OEOLA    |           |           | VICAN    |           |           | GERCA    |           |           | OTGRA    |           |           |
|                               | fl<br>oz/ac | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA |
| Roundup<br>Powermax           | 22          | 58 a     | 55<br>ab  | 76 b      | 21<br>bc | 35 c      | 68 b      | 21<br>ab | 40<br>bc  | 51a       | 64 a     | 90b       | 99 a      |
|                               |             |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
| Roundup<br>Powermax<br>+ A.I. | 22          | 60 a     | 68 a      | 87 a      | 25 a     | 40<br>ab  | 72 a      | 25 a     | 45 a      | 49<br>ab  | 60<br>ab | 97 a      | 99 a      |
|                               | 6           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
|                               |             |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
| Roundup<br>Powermax           | 13.2        | 33 c     | 50 b      | 59<br>cd  | 17 d     | 42 a      | 62 d      | 17 c     | 40<br>bc  | 43 c      | 43<br>cd | 91 c      | 99 a      |
|                               |             |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
| Roundup<br>Powermax<br>+ A.I. | 13.2        | 47 b     | 57<br>ab  | 67 c      | 23<br>ab | 42 a      | 65 c      | 23<br>ab | 43<br>ab  | 45 c      | 47 c     | 92 c      | 99 a      |
|                               | 6           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |

**[0109]** This experiment clearly shows that the combination of first component and pesticide (glyphosate) promoted faster response and improved efficacy of broad weed control. The data also demonstrates the ability to use less of the pesticide when combined with the first component with little or no change in pesticide efficiency.

**[0110]** Experiment 8. This experiment was conducted in a manner similar to Experiment 4, except that manganese ( $Mn^{+2}$ ) and zinc ( $Zn^{+2}$ ) micronutrients were combined with the glyphosate and to the combination of the first component and glyphosate. In this case, the purpose was to show that when Mn and Zn are tank mixed with glyphosate and the first component that there is no antagonism between the metals and the glyphosate with regard to the efficacy of weed control. It is generally known that the addition of  $Zn^{+2}$  or  $Mn^{+2}$  to a spray solution of glyphosate will produce a significant loss of efficacy (See, e.g., "Glyphosate interaction with manganese in tank mixtures and its effect on glyphosate absorption and translocation", Bernards et al., Weed Science, 53:787-794. 2005; and Scroggs et al., "Response of Weeds to Zinc-Glyphosate Mixtures", Louisiana Agriculture Magazine, Summer, 2008.). With  $Zn^{+2}$ , the loss of weed control efficacy can be 50% or more. In this trial, both the  $Zn^{+2}$  and the  $Mn^{+2}$  were present as the sulfate salts at concentrations of 6 vol % and 5 vol % respectively. Results are shown in Table 14.

Table 14. Weed control of Glyphosate tank mixed with Mn, Zn, and the first component (A.I.). Means followed by the same letter do not significantly differ ( $P=0.10$ , LSD). Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.



| Product(s)                                | Rate        | Ratings  |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|                                           |             | OEOLA    |           |           | VICAN    |           |           | GERCA    |           |           | OTGRA    |           |           |
|                                           | fl<br>oz/ac | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA |
| Roundup<br>Powermax                       | 22          | 58 a     | 55<br>ab  | 76 b      | 21 b     | 35 b      | 68 b      | 21<br>ab | 40<br>bc  | 51ab      | 64 a     | 90b       | 99 a      |
|                                           |             |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
| Roundup<br>Powermax<br>+ A.I.             | 22          | 60 a     | 68 a      | 87 a      | 25 a     | 40 a      | 72 a      | 25 a     | 45 a      | 49 b      | 60<br>ab | 97 a      | 99 a      |
|                                           | 6           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
|                                           |             |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
| Roundup<br>Powermax<br>+ MnSO4<br>+ A.I.  | 22          | 40<br>bc | 57<br>ab  | 72<br>bc  | 23<br>ab | 35 b      | 67 b      | 23 a     | 37 c      | 61a       | 50<br>bc | 94<br>ab  | 99 a      |
|                                           | 1qt.ac      |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
|                                           | 6           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
|                                           |             |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
| Roundup<br>Powermax<br>+ MnSO4<br>+ ZnSO4 | 22          | 47 b     | 65ab      | 78<br>fg  | 23<br>ab | 37<br>ab  | 65 c      | 23 a     | 38 c      | 43 b      | 62<br>ab | 94<br>ab  | 99 a      |
|                                           | 1qt.ac      |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
|                                           | 1qt.ac      |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |

[0111] The data of Table 14 demonstrates that in this trial, results for the  $Mn^{+2}$  and  $Mn^{+2}$  plus  $Zn^{+2}$  treatments with the first component were not statistically different than the glyphosate alone, thus showing that there was no substantial antagonism between the glyphosate and these metals when the first component is present.

[0112] Reference Experiment 9. An experiment was conducted to determine whether the first component when tank mixed with two non-selective herbicides (glyphosate and glufosinate) was able to enhance weed control or the speed of the control. The glyphosate formulation used was Roundup Powermax™ from Monsanto and the glufosinate was Ignite™ Herbicide from Bayer CropScience. A field trial was established using a Randomized Complete Block (RCB) Design with 3 replicates in each treatment. There were 4 weed species or weed categories evaluated: Canada horseweed (Erigeron Canadensis) ERICA, Common dandelion (Taraxacum officinale) TAROF, Annual bluegrass (Poa annua) POAAN, and Mouse-ear chickweed (Cerastium vulgatum) CERVU. Plots were sprayed and each of the herbicides were applied with and without the first component. Weed control ratings were made at 9, 16, and 28 days after application (DAA). Weed control was reported as percent of weeds killed out of the original population. Test results are shown in Table 15.

Table 15. Weed control of Glyphosate and Glufosinate tank mixed with the first component (A.I.). Means followed by the same letter do not significantly differ ( $P=0.10$ , LSD). Mean comparisons performed only when AOV Treatment P(F) is significant at mean comparison OSL.



| Product(s)                    | Rate        | Ratings  |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|                               |             | OEOLA    |           |           | VICAN    |           |           | GERCA    |           |           | OTGRA    |           |           |
|                               | fl<br>oz/ac | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA | 7<br>DAA | 14<br>DAA | 28<br>DAA |
| Roundup<br>Powermax           | 22          | 38 b     | 80<br>ab  | 98 a      | 33 d     | 55 d      | 86 a      | 75 c     | 97 a      | 96 a      | 65 b     | 99 a      | 98 a      |
|                               |             |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
| Roundup<br>Powermax<br>+ A.I. | 22          | 48 b     | 75<br>abc | 96<br>ab  | 50 c     | 62 c      | 87 a      | 78<br>bc | 99 a      | 95 a      | 65 b     | 99 a      | 98 a      |
|                               | 6           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
|                               |             |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
| Ignite                        | 23          | 83 a     | 75<br>abc | 92 c      | 70 b     | 88 b      | 96 a      | 82 b     | 84 c      | 58 b      | 82 a     | 95 b      | 95 a      |
|                               |             |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |
| Ignite +<br>A.I.              | 23          | 92 a     | 93 a      | 94<br>abc | 85 a     | 96 a      | 95 a      | 90 a     | 88 b      | 59 b      | 92 a     | 97<br>ab  | 97 a      |
|                               | 6           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |          |           |           |

**[0113]** Results in this experiment show the first component significantly enhances the activity for both glyphosate and glufosinate. Thus, the combination of the first component with pesticide is synergistic.

#### ***Prophetic Examples***

**[0114]** In plants metabolism is generally unpredictable, i.e., one cannot predict from prior traditional uses of a herbicide, what effects may result, especially for genetically modified (GM) plants. For example, dicamba contact of dicamba-tolerant crops (e.g., DMO-expressing crops) would benefit from the compositions and methods disclosed and described herein as the first component likely will regulate one or more genes of the GM dicamba-tolerant crop and as a result, it is generally believed that the compositions and methods would effectively provide one or more of the following:

increase in dicamba metabolites, including DCSA;

increase in dicamba effectiveness or the use of lower levels of dicamba than possible without the first component;

increased resistance of dicamba-tolerant crops against biotic (e.g., insects, fungi, viruses, nematodes, and other pathogens) and abiotic stresses (e.g., drought, cold, ozone, soil nutrient deficiencies), with resulting increases in yields and improved quality of dicamba-tolerant crops;

increase the absorption of multivalent metal ions in dicamba-tolerant crops.

**[0115]** It is further believed that the benefits of the methods and compositions herein disclosed and described would be useful for other GM crops and may further be applicable to the development of



such GM crops.

**[0116]** Thus, a method of the second aspect is contemplated which is a method for increasing the germination rate of a seed, , the method comprising contacting the seed with the first component and with dicamba or a product of DMO-mediated metabolism thereof in an amount that improves the germination of the seed as compared to a seed of the same genotype not contacted with the first component and the dicamba or a product of DMO-mediated metabolism thereof. In one embodiment, the seed comprises a transgene that encodes DMO.

**[0117]** The words "comprise", "comprises", and "comprising" are to be interpreted inclusively rather than exclusively.

## REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

### Non-patent literature cited in the description

- CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, 479-66-3 [\[0014\]](#)
- CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, 1415-93-6 [\[0014\]](#)
- CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, 1175006-56-0 [\[0048\]](#)
- **BERNARDS et al.** Glyphosate interaction with manganese in tank mixtures and its effect on glyphosate absorption and translocation *Weed Science*, 2005, vol. 53, 787-794 [\[0110\]](#)
- **SCROGGS et al.** Response of Weeds to Zinc-Glyphosate Mixtures *Louisiana Agriculture Magazine*, 2008, [\[0110\]](#)



**PATENTKRAV**

## 1. Frøsammensætning omfattende:

et frø coatet med en første komponent,

5            hvor den første komponent er coatet på frøet i en mængde på fra 0,01 mg/kg frøvægt til 30 mg/kg frøvægt,

hvor den første komponent omfatter en landbrugsmæssigt acceptabel kompleks blanding af opløst organisk materiale (DOM), som omfatter naturligt organisk materiale, der er delvis befugtet,

10           hvor den første komponent er opnået ved fjernelse af et naturligt organisk stof fra dets kilde og koncentrering, således at koncentrationen af opløst organisk stof (DOM) er fra 1000 ppm til 500.000 ppm,

og hvor den første komponent er kendetegnet ved at opfylde følgende parametre:

15           a. den første komponent omfatter en blanding af kondenserede aromatiske carbonhydrider, ligniner og tanniner og/eller kondenserede tanniner;

b. den første komponent har et oxygen-til-carbon-forhold for det opløste organiske stof (DOM), der er større end ca. 0,5;

20           c. den første komponent omfatter et samlet antal tanninforbindelser, der er større end ca. 200, hvor tanninforbindelserne har et hydrogen-til-carbon-forhold på ca. 0,5 til ca. 1,4 og et aromatiseringsindeks på mindre end ca. 0,7 målt ved massespektroskopi; og

25           d. den første komponent omfatter en massefordeling på ca. 47-56% ligninforbindelser, 33-42% tanninforbindelser og ca. 8-11% kondenserede aromatiske carbonhydridforbindelser målt ved massespektroskopi.

2. Frøsammensætning ifølge krav 1, hvor den første komponent er kendetegnet ved at omfatte en blanding af kondenserede aromatiske carbonhydrider, ligniner og tanniner og/eller kondenserede tanniner, kendetegnet ved, at mindst 10% af det samlede antal



forbindelser i sammensætningen er tanniner og/eller kondenserede tanniner.

5 3. Frøsammensætning ifølge krav 1, hvor den første komponent er kendetegnet ved at omfatte en blanding af kondenserede aromatiske carbonhydrider, ligniner og tanniner og/eller kondenserede tanniner, kendetegnet ved, at mindst 20% af det samlede antal forbindelser i sammensætningen er tanniner og/eller kondenserede tanniner.

10 4. Frøsammensætning ifølge krav 1, som yderligere omfatter en anden komponent, hvor den anden komponent er mindst ét pesticid, plantenæringsstof eller en kombination deraf, hvor pesticidet er et herbicid, et insekticid, et fungicid, et baktericid, et antiviralt middel eller kombinationer deraf.

15 5. Frøsammensætning ifølge krav 1, som yderligere omfatter en polymer eller matrix, der er i kontakt med frøet, hvor den polymer eller matrix, der er i kontakt med frøet, indeholder den første komponent, som kan frigives.

6. Frøsammensætning ifølge krav 5, hvor den polymer eller matrix, der kommer i kontakt med frøet, yderligere omfatter en anden komponent.

20 7. Fremgangsmåde omfattende: coating af et frø med en første komponent, hvor den første komponent er coatet på frøet i en mængde på fra 0,01 mg/kg frøvægt til 30 mg/kg frøvægt, hvor den første komponent omfatter en landbrugsmæssigt acceptabel kompleks blanding af opløst organisk materiale (DOM), som omfatter naturligt organisk materiale, der er delvis befugtet, 25 hvor den første komponent er opnået ved fjernelse af et naturligt organisk stof fra dets kilde og koncentration, således at koncentrationen af opløst organisk stof (DOM) er fra 1000 ppm til 500.000 ppm, og hvor den første komponent er kendetegnet ved at opfylde følgende parametre:

30 (a) den første komponent omfatter en blanding af kondenserede aromatiske carbonhydrider, ligniner og tanniner og/eller kondenserede tanniner;

(b) den første komponent har et oxygen-til-carbon-forhold for det opløste organiske stof, der er større end ca. 0,5;



- (c) den første komponent omfatter et samlet antal af tanninforbindelser, der er større end ca. 200, hvor tanninforbindelserne har et hydrogen-til-carbon-forhold på ca. 0,5 til ca. 1,4 og et aromatiseringsindeks på mindre end ca. 0,7 målt ved massespektroskopi; og
- 5 (d) den første komponent omfatter en massefordeling på ca. 47-56% ligninforbindelser, 33-42% tanninforbindelser og ca. 8-11% kondenserede aromatiske carbonhydridforbindelser målt ved massespektroskopi.
8. Fremgangsmåde ifølge krav 7, hvor den første komponent er kendetegnet ved at omfatte en blanding af kondenserede aromatiske carbonhydrider, ligniner og tanniner
- 10 og/eller kondenserede tanniner, kendetegnet ved, at mindst 10% af det samlede antal forbindelser i sammensætningen er tanniner og/eller kondenserede tanniner.
9. Fremgangsmåde ifølge krav 7, hvor den første komponent er kendetegnet ved at omfatte en blanding af kondenserede aromatiske carbonhydrider, ligniner og tanniner
- 15 og/eller kondenserede tanniner, kendetegnet ved, at mindst 20% af det samlede antal forbindelser i sammensætningen er tanniner og/eller kondenserede tanniner.
10. Fremgangsmåde ifølge krav 7, som yderligere omfatter at bringe frøet i kontakt med en anden komponent, hvor den anden komponent er mindst ét pesticid, hvor pe-
- 20 sticidet er et herbicid, et insekticid, et fungicid, et baktericid, et antiviralt middel eller kombinationer deraf.
11. Fremgangsmåde ifølge krav 7, hvor frøet bringes i kontakt med den første komponent og en polymer eller en matrix.
- 25
12. Fremgangsmåde ifølge krav 11, hvor den polymer eller matrix, der er i kontakt med frøet, indeholder den første komponent, som kan frigives.
13. Fremgangsmåde ifølge krav 11, hvor den polymer eller matrix, der er i kontakt med frøet, yderligere omfatter en anden komponent.
- 30
14. Fremgangsmåde ifølge krav 7, hvor frøet er af en ikke-graminøs afgrøde, hvor frøet er af en frugt- eller grønsagsafgrøde, og hvor frøet er genetisk modificeret.



15. Fremgangsmåde ifølge krav 7, der yderligere omfatter et trin med at bringe den første komponent i kontakt med en bladoverflade af en plante afledt af frøet, der er i kontakt med den første komponent.