



(51) International Patent Classification:

B01D 53/32 (2006.01) **F01N 3/08** (2006.01)
B01J 19/08 (2006.01) **H05H 1/24** (2006.01)
F01N 3/027 (2006.01) **F02M 26/02** (2016.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2017/019539

(22) International Filing Date:

24 February 2017 (24.02.2017)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

62/299,549 24 February 2016 (24.02.2016) US

(71) Applicant: **PARADIGM OF NY, LLC** [US/US]; 1800
 Brighton Henrietta Townline Road, Rochester, NY 14623
 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(71) Applicants : **SCHICKLER, John, F.** [US/US]; 22 Twin
 Circle Dr., Rochester, NY 14624 (US). **ERBLAND, John,
 H.** [US/US]; 40 Vineyard Hill, Fairport, NY 14450 (US).
RIEGER, Neville, F. [US/US]; 12 Stonecreek Lane, Pitts-
 ford, NY 14534 (US).

(74) Agent: **SCOTT, Steven, R.**; 949 County Road 217, Jack-
 sonville, FL 32234 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
 kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,
 AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,
 BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM,
 DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT,
 HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN,
 KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA,
 MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG,
 NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS,
 RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY,
 TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN,
 ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
 kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,
 GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ,
 TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU,
 TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE,
 DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU,
 LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK,
 SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ,
 GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:


- as to the identity of the inventor (Rule 4.17(i))
- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a
 patent (Rule 4.17(ii))
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the
 earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: CALIBRATED NON-THERMAL PLASMA SYSTEMS FOR CONTROL OF ENGINE EMISSIONS

FIG. 2D

Calibrated Non-Thermal Plasma System with Multiple Reactors



(57) Abstract: The instant invention is based on techniques for using non-thermal plasma reactors in both the main exhaust pipe and in the exhaust gas recirculation feed pipe to reduce particulate matter sufficiently to meet EPA limits for PM and enhanced exhaust gas recirculation to meet NO_x limits. More specifically, it is based upon the use of a non-thermal plasma device in which a high voltage charge in the plasma reactor causes extremely rapid oxidation of soot particles in the exhaust stream of an engine and further chemical reactions that aid in the reduction of NO_x. The primary benefit of this technology is that it can be calibrated to optimize both soot and NO_x reduction.



— *of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv))*

Published:

— *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

— *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))*

CALIBRATED NON-THERMAL PLASMA SYSTEMS **FOR CONTROL OF ENGINE EMISSIONS**

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application claims the benefit and priority of U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 62/299,549 titled "Calibrated Non-Thermal Plasma Systems for Control of Diesel Emissions" filed February 24, 2016, the same being incorporated herein by reference.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

[0002] Not Applicable.

REFERENCE TO MICROFICHE APPENDIX

[0003] Not Applicable.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The instant invention pertains generally to non-thermal plasma related systems and methods of use of such systems in reducing pollutants and particularly particulate matter and Nitrous Oxides in the gas stream of internal combustion engines, including diesel engines. More particularly, the instant invention is directed to a calibrated system for placement and control of such non-thermal plasma-based systems.

RELEVANT ART

[0002] Understanding of current methods of control of particulate matter (PM) and nitrous oxides (NOx) in internal combustion engines can be facilitated by reference to FIG. 1, illustrating a prior art emission control system for a diesel engine. These methods rely upon a combination of diesel particulate filters 1 (also referred to as DPF 1) for reducing PM emissions and exhaust gas recirculation 2 (also referred to as EGR 2) or selective catalytic reduction 3 (also referred to as SCR 3) for reduction of NOx. However, though the control of NOx through usage of Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 to cool the combustion process is a technology employed extensively in gasoline engines, its usage in diesels is a greater challenge because of the propensity of those engines to emit more PM as the combustion process is cooled.

[0003] Therefore, although Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 is a relatively proven technology in gasoline engines, it has met with resistance to further usage by numerous diesel manufacturers. Diesel manufacturers currently advocate usage of SCR 3 (Selective Catalytic Reduction) instead of Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 due to the problems caused by PM accumulations in both the induction and the exhaust systems of diesel engines. Even in diesel engines using new Diesel Particulate Filter 1 technology in conjunction with Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 it has been found that induction systems were severely contaminated with PM. In many cases the intake systems become so badly clogged that the soot has to be chipped out with hand tools and the components soaked in brake cleaner to restore the engine to normal operation.

[0004] The above analysis indicates that the root causes of the failure of Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 in diesel systems arise directly from the vulnerability of the exhaust

management systems to PM contamination. Therefore, capturing the PM in a DPF 1 does nothing to prevent the upstream problems. Any component of exhaust management upstream of the DPF 1 is therefore vulnerable unless measures are taken to eliminate the PM in the upstream systems. Further, while SCR 3 is a successful way to reduce NO_x, it is also reliant upon replenishing of the reactant, usually an aqueous urea fluid called Diesel Exhaust Fluid, or DEF 4, and also requires tanks, pumps and controls over the rate of injection. Therefore, some manufacturers have sought to retain Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 and not adopt SCR 3 as their method of meeting emission standards for NO_x while using DPF 1 to control PM. This approach has also proved unsuccessful. Further, reduction of PM with DPF 1 has its own set of problems in costs of maintenance of the filter itself as well as of the regeneration control systems. In addition, the filters can be very obtrusive in their mounting and locations, as well as being an added weight on the vehicle. Moreover, they require additional fuel usage for regeneration.

[0005] Outside of the aforesaid problems, current clean diesel technology relies upon sensing of various engine parameters that influence both combustion and exhaust after treatment. The technical challenge of creating these systems is to calibrate and balance the control of all of these parameters to control exhaust emissions while still providing the power required for the application of the engine. The basis of calibration in the control of internal combustion engines is to achieve a perfect stoichiometric ratio of air to fuel under all driving conditions. Current federal mandates expect automatic fuel trim under the complete driving cycle, even under varying conditions that include: Ambient conditions; Altitude; Road surface conditions, Acceleration and deceleration, including braking; Load; Driver habits; and Idling vs. driving. The sensors required to accomplish the above performance are currently over 100 in number. The monitoring systems to

determine carbon output and fuel economy can calculate carbon conversion down to the atomic level of fuel at fill-up to that in exhaust and in the exhaust filter. They assume complete conversion of the carbon into either a gas or to elemental carbon. The Electronic Control Module 6 (also referred to as ECM 6) for the engine automatically adjusts for the above conditions and alters the fuel trim according to the original calibration. However, as previously discussed, if the sticky Particulate Matter (PM) gets trapped on the exhaust tubing, cylinder walls or other unintended surfaces, then the carbon counting becomes erroneous and the calibration drifts to being erroneous.

[0006] These problems led to the development of non-thermal plasma (NTP 5) as a potential replacement for DPF 1, or minimally, as a means of reducing the size and maintenance of DPFs 1 as well as a way to protect the upstream components, including the Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 system, from soot contamination. The use of non-thermal plasma systems/reactors (NTP 5) to reduce harmful emissions has proven to be especially beneficial in increasing combustion efficiency while improving emissions. A plasma is an ionization gas where atoms as well as positive and negative ions and electrons are intermixed, though it may be electrically neutral in the aggregate. A thermal plasma (such as an electric arc) is a plasma which is, in the aggregate, comprised of constituents at the same heated temperature, while a non-thermal plasma (such as a neon lamp) is one where the electrons are “hot” while the other components of the plasma are “cold.”

[0007] NTPs 5 can be used to break up large organic fuel molecules for easier and more complete combustion. (See, e.g., U.S. Patent Publication Nos. 2004/0185396, 2005/0019714, and 2008/031473). NTPs 5 have also been shown to be useful in reducing NO_x emissions (U.S. Patent Nos. 6,482,368 and 6,852,200) as well as reducing particulate

matter (U.S. Patent No. 5,263,317 and U.S. Patent Publication No. 2007/0045101). (*See, also*, U.S. Patent No. 9,260,998). However, NTP 5 systems in diesel engines have nonetheless proven hard to implement due to the effects of the pollutants and breakdown products on these systems, and particularly by the accumulation of particulate matter, which can result in physical blockage of narrow gas-flow regions and other problems. Thus, though NTP 5 systems have shown remarkable promise, it is clear that there is a need for NTP-based systems in which PM accumulation is efficiently reduced or eliminated. This allows and/or maximizes the benefit of NTP in converting PM into gaseous carbon compounds, such as carbon dioxide, and assists the ECM in maintaining a reliable fuel trim over all drive cycles and conditions. Therefore, the entire control system remains in tune to maintain optimum engine performance and the lowest emissions, along with optimum fuel economy.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0008] The instant invention is based on techniques for using NTP 5 in both the main exhaust pipe and in the Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 feed pipe to reduce PM sufficiently to meet EPA limits for PM and enhanced EGR 2 to meet NOx limits. More specifically, it is based upon the use of a non-thermal plasma (NTP 5) device in which a high voltage charge in the plasma reactor causes extremely rapid oxidation of soot particles in the exhaust stream of an engine and further chemical reactions that aid in the reduction of NOx. The primary benefit of this technology is that it can be calibrated to optimize both soot and NOx reduction, depending upon the choices made in the engine design.

[0009] In addition, the invention meets EPA limits without usage of DPFs 1, allowing usage of the invention on engines for vehicles and diesel powered equipment as the original installation, and thereby opening the device to a broad market potential far into the future. These goals and objects are accomplished via the aforesaid use of calibrated non-thermal plasma systems utilizing calibration parameters necessary to integrate the system on a variety of engines.

[0010] Further, the invention calibrates the functions and efficiency of NTP 5 in coordination with other systems of emission control through the engine control module (ECM 6). This calibration is possible by altering a number of the plasma generation functions, as well as by altering the constituents of the gas streams entering the NTP 5 reactor. For example, alteration of the applied voltage and sharing of power throughout the plasma reactor for maximum efficiency are both possible.

[0011] Moreover, the inventors have found that the exhaust constituents may be altered by bleeding compressed air into both the Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 loop and the main exhaust before it reaches the NTP 5 reactor and discovered that doing so enhances the efficiency of the NTP 5 reactor in oxidizing PM. The basis for the beneficial effects of air injection is that NTP 5 reactors are oxygen dependent. Therefore, to the extent that oxygen can be used to maximum efficiency in the reaction, the overall efficiency of the NTP 5 can be enhanced by assuring an abundance of oxygen. Thus, in sum, our invention accomplishes the following objects and goals and/or provides the following benefits:

- (1) No interference with or alteration of existing EPA-approved systems.
- (2) Continuous reduction of PM and NO_x throughout all drive cycles.
- (3) No maintenance or adjustments.

- (4) Protection of Emission Controls and Exhaust Aftertreatment Systems via reductions in: Exhaust Gas Recirculation valve and cooler clogging and failures; Diesel Oxidation Catalyst face plugging and sensor contamination; Diesel Particulate Filter dosing frequency, sensor contamination, plugging and filter cleaning; SCR dosing frequency, DEF usage and frequency of injector contamination by urea; and Turbocharger sensor contamination.
- (5) Protection of Base Engine via reductions in: Oil contamination, viscosity growth, lubricity loss and sludge deposits; Cylinder wear and carbon jacking of rings; Wear of cam shafts, followers and rocker arms; Turbocharger bearing failures due to oil contamination; and Oil change frequency.
- (6) Fuel Economy and Combustion Improvement via reductions in: Exhaust Gas Recirculation requirement for control of NOx; Backpressure in exhaust plumbing; Turbocharger tailoring of Exhaust Gas Recirculation vs. boost; Cylinder wall friction; and thin films on cylinder wall interference with combustion.

These and other aspects of the inventive concept and goals can best be understood by reference to the drawing figures and description that follow.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0012] The novel features believed to be characteristic of this invention are set forth with particularity in the appended claims. The invention itself, however, both as to its organization and method of operation, together with further object and advantages thereof, may best be understood by reference to the following description taken in connection with the accompanying drawings in which:

[0013] FIG. 1 provides a schematic diagram of a prior art system of emission treatment in association with a diesel engine.

[0014] FIG. 2A provides a first schematic diagram of an emission treatment system in accordance with the teachings of the invention in association with a diesel engine, illustrating a single reactor NTP system inserted into the exhaust stream prior to the Exhaust Gas Recirculation tap and other features.

[0015] FIG. 2B provides a second schematic diagram of an emission treatment system in accordance with the teachings of the invention in association with a diesel engine, illustrating the same concepts as FIG. 2A with the addition of a temperature sensor in the exhaust stream and other features.

[0016] FIG. 2C provides a third schematic diagram of an emission treatment system in accordance with the teachings of the invention in association with a diesel engine, illustrating the same concept as FIG. 2B with the addition of a multiplexer connected to the NTP system power supply and other features.

[0017] FIG. 2D provides a fourth schematic diagram of an emission treatment system in accordance with the teachings of the invention in association with a diesel engine, illustrating the same concepts as FIG. 2C with the application to a multiple reactor NTP system and other features.

[0018] FIG. 3A provides experimental data showing the impact of a fixed voltage at two vehicle speeds, 40 and 50 mph, with the highest PM reduction achieved at 94.1%.

[0019] FIG. 3B provides experimental data showing the impact of varying voltage and rpm and sampling exhaust at three separate sites in the exhaust system, with highest PM reduction achieved at 86.9%.

[0020] FIG. 3C provides experimental data showing the impact of varying voltage and rpm and sampling exhaust at two separate sites in the exhaust system, with highest PM reduction achieved at 100%.

DESCRIPTION

[0021] As previously noted, the inventors have determined that the overall efficiency of the NTP 5 reactor can be enhanced by assuring an abundance of oxygen. The following two examples of experimental results achieved further confirm these findings.

[0022] Example 1: Dynamometer Tests of a 2010 Freightliner M2 Tractor Equipped with a Cummins 8.3 Liter Diesel with Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR) 2 and DPF 1 Aftertreatment – Engine Out Overall Emissions:

PEMS Emission Analyzer

Aggregate Summary - Average Values

50 MPH

O2[%]	NOx[ppm]	FC[g/s]	PM10[mg/s]
12.16	142	5.32	0.449

30 MPH

O2[%]	NOx[ppm]	FC[g/s]	PM10[mg/s]
15.82	132	3.38	0.298

[0023] Example 2: Dynamometer Tests of a 2010 Freightliner M2 Tractor

Equipped with a Cummins 8.3 Liter Diesel with Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 and DPF 1

After treatment – Engine Out and Tailpipe Particulate Matter (PM) at Various Engine

Operating Modes:

<u>Gas Analyzer PM Level Correlation (10mg/sec) with Gravimetric Filter Samples</u>	<u>Engine Out</u>	<u>Tailpipe (TP)</u>	<u>TP % Steady</u>	<u>NTP System Residual Capac.*</u>
	PM Level			
30 MPH Steady Throttle	0.2724	0.0378		
30 MPH Fan Clutch Activated	0.5876	0.0421	10.2%	
30 MPH Acceleration, Idle to 30 MPH	0.7867	0.0422	11.1%	
30 MPH Aggregate	0.294	0.0392	3.7%	+30%
50 MPH Steady Throttle	0.3277	0.0362		
50 MPH Fan Clutch Activated	0.559	0.0417	15.2%	
50 MPH Acceleration Idle to 50 MPH	1.750	0.1283	254%	
50 MPH Aggregate	0.450	0.045	24%	+24.5%

*Residual Capac. = NTP % PM Reduction per Gravimetric Analysis minus Tailpipe PM % Steady without NTP.

[0024] The above research clearly indicates that the usage of NTP 5 as a means of reducing PM and NO_x in diesel exhaust gases is a process that depends upon oxygen availability in the exhaust stream and that the efficiency of the process is dependent upon both the power available in the NTP 5 as well as upon the operating mode of the engine. Therefore, application of NTP 5 requires a calibration of power availability at each NTP 5 reactor in the exhaust stream as well as insurance of sufficient oxygen availability to maximize PM reduction at various driving modes of the vehicle. The following embodiments achieve those requirements by integrating insertion of NTP 5 enhancements to existing aftertreatment systems, with the additional potential that some portions of the existing aftertreatment systems may be eliminated or down-sized. (See, also, FIGS. 3A-

3C, which serve to reinforce the concepts already presented that the efficiency of PM reduction is the result of calibrated factors that comprehend engine load and RPM).

[0025] As noted, FIGS. 2A through 2D illustrate various system arrangements in keeping with the teachings of the invention. FIG. 2A illustrates a single NTP 5 reactor insertion into the exhaust stream prior to the Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 tap, with the additional provision of air (or oxygen) injection at the NTP 5 reactor controlled by a valve between the air source 7 and the NTP 5. Any number of discs within the NTP 5 reactor are included and air injection rate is controlled to maximize efficiency (sufficient residual capacity) of PM removal for various engine operating modes. FIG. 2B illustrates the same concepts as FIG. 2A with the addition of a temperature sensor 8 in the exhaust stream connected to a thermostatically controlled valve for calibration of the air (or oxygen) injection rate. FIG. 2C illustrates the same concept as FIG. 2B with the addition of a multiplexer 9 connected to the NTP 5 system power supply in preparation for power sharing to multiple NTP 5 reactors. FIG. 2D illustrates the same concepts as FIG. 2C with the application to multiple NTP 5 reactors for maximum efficiency in PM removal and NOx reduction through pretreatment of the gases entering either the Exhaust Gas Recirculation 2 loop or the main aftertreatment system or both. As will be noted, the multiple NTP 5 reactors are (and can) be arranged in both series and parallel arrangements.

[0026] While the embodiments are illustrated as capable of operation as independent systems, they are also capable of being separately controlled by linkage with the main control system of the engine. It is of primary importance, and is indeed the essence of the claims, that the NTP 5 system be calibrated to work in concert with the

other control systems of the engine and be both sized and calibrated in such a manner as to achieve optimum reactivity and capacity for both PM and undesirable exhaust gas reductions. The sizing and calibrations of the NTP 5 system is achieved through the application of a mathematical model already existing in current electronic control modules 6 which comprehends the premeasured loading of the engine, its operating modes and the resultant exhaust stream variables, including oxygen levels. Radial clearance flow, flow rate of the exhaust, and the electrical potential across the radial clearance are examples of key parameters included in the model. That modeling is assumed in the claims.

[0027] Essential to the success of NTP 5 as a means of controlling PM and exhaust gases in a diesel engine is the provision of power sharing for maximum efficiency at each NTP 5 reactor. Therefore, the mathematical model used by the ECM 6 predetermines the necessary power at each reactor for various engine modes and allows calculation of how much power must be available to assure sufficient residual capacity at each reactor. Likewise, the model allows prediction of the necessary oxygen availability at each reactor so that the residual capacity is optimized by the availability of sufficient oxygen. In practice, the inventors have found that NTP 5 voltage should be maintained at approximately 25,000 Volts, while Oxygen levels should comprise approximately 18-25% of that gas stream by volume. (Cf., FIGS. 3A through 3C). Hence, these will generally be considered optimum levels to be maintained by the system of the invention.

[0028] PARTS LIST:

- 1 diesel particulate filters ("DPFs")
- 1A diesel oxidation catalyst ("DOC")

- 2 exhaust gas recirculation ("EGR")
- 3 selective catalytic reduction ("SCR")
- 3A ammonia oxidation catalyst ("AOC")
- 4 diesel exhaust fluid ("DEF")
- 5 nonthermal plasma generator or reactor ("NTP")
- 6 electronic control module ("ECM")
- 7 air source or air compressor
- 8 temperature sensor
- 9 multiplexer
- 10 variable geometry turbocharger ("VGT")

[0029] In view of the foregoing, it should be clear that numerous changes and variations can be made without exceeding the scope of the inventive concept outlined. Accordingly, it is to be understood that the embodiment(s) of the invention herein described is/are merely illustrative of the application of the principles of the invention. Reference herein to details of the illustrated embodiment(s) is not intended to limit the scope of the claims, which recite those features regarded as essential to the invention.

We Claim:

CLAIMS

1. A calibrated non-thermal plasma system for control of internal combustion engine emissions, comprising:

an internal combustion engine including an exhaust gas recirculation system receiving exhaust gas from an outlet for said engine and recirculating a portion of said exhaust gas to an engine intake for said engine while a remaining portion of said exhaust gas exits said recirculation system via a recirculation system outlet for processing in aftertreatment systems before exiting to the atmosphere;

at least one non-thermal plasma reactor receiving and processing exhaust gas from said recirculation system intermediate said engine outlet and said recirculation system outlet;

an air source providing air for injection into said exhaust stream intermediate said engine and said non-thermal plasma reactor via a control valve; and

a control system to maximize removal of particulate matter from said exhaust gas stream comprising an electronic control module controlling at least one of power provided to said non-thermal plasma reactor, and said control valve providing air for injection into said exhaust stream.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein said aftertreatment systems include at least one of:

a diesel oxidation catalyst,

a diesel particulate filter, and

a selective catalytic reduction system.

3. The system of claim 1, wherein said control system monitors oxygen levels intermediate said engine outlet and said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor, and actuates said control valve to maintain oxygen levels in said non-thermal plasma reactor at an optimum level to maximize elimination of particulate matter from said exhaust gas stream.
4. The system of claim 2, wherein said control system monitors oxygen levels intermediate said engine outlet and said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor, and actuates said control valve to maintain oxygen levels in said non-thermal plasma reactor at an optimum level to maximize elimination of particulate matter from said exhaust gas stream.
5. The system of claim 1, wherein said control system monitors oxygen levels intermediate said engine outlet and said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor, and actuates a power supply for said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor to maintain power levels in said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor at an optimum level to maximize elimination of particulate matter from said exhaust gas stream.
6. The system of claim 2, wherein said control system monitors oxygen levels intermediate said engine outlet and said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor, and actuates a power supply for said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor to maintain power levels in said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor

at an optimum level to maximize elimination of particulate matter from said exhaust gas stream.

7. The system of claim 3, wherein said control system monitors oxygen levels intermediate said engine outlet and said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor, and actuates a power supply for said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor to maintain power levels in said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor at an optimum level to maximize elimination of particulate matter from said exhaust gas stream.

8. The system of claim 4, wherein said control system monitors oxygen levels intermediate said engine outlet and said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor, and actuates a power supply for said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor to maintain power levels in said at least one non-thermal plasma reactor at an optimum level to maximize elimination of particulate matter from said exhaust gas stream.

9. The system of claim 1, wherein at least one of:

said at least one non-thermal reactor comprises at least one of: a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in parallel, and a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in series, and

a multiplex voltage controller provides power to said at least one non-thermal reactor.

10. The system of claim 2, wherein at least one of:

said at least one non-thermal reactor comprises at least one of: a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in parallel, and a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in series, and

a multiplex voltage controller provides power to said at least one non-thermal reactor.

11. The system of claim 3, wherein at least one of:

said at least one non-thermal reactor comprises at least one of: a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in parallel, and a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in series, and

a multiplex voltage controller provides power to said at least one non-thermal reactor.

12. The system of claim 4, wherein at least one of:

said at least one non-thermal reactor comprises at least one of: a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in parallel, and a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in series, and

a multiplex voltage controller provides power to said at least one non-thermal reactor.

13. The system of claim 5, wherein at least one of:

said at least one non-thermal reactor comprises at least one of: a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in parallel, and a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in series, and

a multiplex voltage controller provides power to said at least one non-thermal reactor.

14. The system of claim 6, wherein at least one of:

said at least one non-thermal reactor comprises at least one of: a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in parallel, and a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in series, and

a multiplex voltage controller provides power to said at least one non-thermal reactor.

15. The system of claim 7, wherein at least one of:

said at least one non-thermal reactor comprises at least one of: a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in parallel, and a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in series, and

a multiplex voltage controller provides power to said at least one non-thermal reactor.

16. The system of claim 8, wherein at least one of:

said at least one non-thermal reactor comprises at least one of: a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in parallel, and a plurality of non-thermal reactors arranged in series, and

a multiplex voltage controller provides power to said at least one non-thermal reactor.

17. The system of claim 1, wherein at least one of: said at least one non-thermal reactor voltage is maintained at approximately 25,000 Volts, and Oxygen

maintained at approximately 18-25% of the exhaust gas stream entering said at least one non-thermal reactor.

18. The system of claim 2, wherein at least one of: said at least one non-thermal reactor voltage is maintained at approximately 25,000 Volts, and Oxygen maintained at approximately 18-25% of the exhaust gas stream entering said at least one non-thermal reactor.

19. The system of claim 3, wherein at least one of: said at least one non-thermal reactor voltage is maintained at approximately 25,000 Volts, and Oxygen maintained at approximately 18-25% of the exhaust gas stream entering said at least one non-thermal reactor.

20. The system of claim 4, wherein at least one of: said at least one non-thermal reactor voltage is maintained at approximately 25,000 Volts, and Oxygen maintained at approximately 18-25% of the exhaust gas stream entering said at least one non-thermal reactor.

FIG. 1
(PRIOR ART)




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B




FIG. 2C




FIG. 2D

Calibrated Non-Thermal Plasma System with Multiple Reactors




FIG. 3B

EPG#	W	Filter S/W	Initial Wt.	Final Wt.	Difference (mg)	Comments	Pre reactor EGR by 4488	% Red.	% PM
Pre reactor	0	16.07.033	79.447	79.597	0.150	Treated by Paradigm EGR only	0.124		
Pre reactor	0	16.07.032	79.952	80.019	0.117	Average of filters 443 and 449			
Post reactor	0	16.07.031	80.262			Other scrapped			
Post reactor	12.5	16.07.034	80.361	80.437	0.076	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.076	38.5%	65.2%
Post reactor	15	16.07.035	79.983	80.051	0.068	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.068	44.9%	69.7%
Post reactor	100	16.07.036	78.931	78.959	0.026	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.027	61.0%	78.7%
Post reactor	20	16.07.037	79.772	79.809	0.037	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.038	68.4%	82.1%
Post reactor	22.5	16.07.038	75.262	75.293	0.031	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.024	76.5%	86.5%
Pre reactor	0	16.07.040	79.166	79.387	0.221	Extract by Paradigm EGR	0.221		
EGR by pass	0	16.07.040	80.491	81.278	0.787	Extract by Passes Paradigm EGR	0.787		
Post reactor	15	16.07.041	79.514	79.652	0.138	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.133	24.5%	52.8%
Post reactor	15	16.07.042	80.213	80.215	0.002	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.462	17.0%	41.3%
Post reactor	20	16.07.043	80.408	80.512	0.104	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.164	57.1%	79.2%
Pre reactor	20	16.07.042	80.130	80.383	0.253	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.213	37.1%	75.9%
Post reactor	24	16.07.045	80.351	80.506	0.155	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.187	52.8%	76.7%
Pre reactor	0	16.07.045	80.119	80.648	0.529	Treated by Paradigm EGR only	0.497		
Pre reactor	0	16.07.047	81.500	81.515	0.015	Average of filters 445 and 447	0.356		
Post reactor	20	16.07.048	80.500	80.681	0.181	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.191	57.6%	79.5%
Post reactor	25	16.07.049	79.537	80.582	0.205	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.205	58.8%	74.0%
Post reactor	25	16.07.050	81.000	81.128	0.128	Treated by Paradigm EGR and Paradigm A1	0.128	79.3%	85.7%

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT	International application No. PCT/US 2017/019539												
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER <i>B01D 53/32 (2006.01)</i> <i>B01J 19/08 (2006.01)</i> <i>F01N 3/027 (2006.01)</i> <i>F01N 3/08 (2006.01)</i> <i>H05H 1/24 (2006.01)</i> <i>F02M 26/02 (2016.01)</i> According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC													
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) B01D 53/00, 53/30, 53/32, 53/34, B01J 19/00, 19/08, 19/10, 19/12, F01N 3/00-3/38, 99/00, H05H 1/00, 1/24-1/52, F02M 26/00-26/73 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) PatSearch (RUPTO internal), USPTO, PAJ, Esp@cenet, DWPI, EAPATIS, PATENTSCOPE													
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%;">Category*</th> <th style="width: 70%;">Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Relevant to claim No.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">A</td> <td>US 2011/0072799 A1 (FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC) 31.03.2011</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1-20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">A</td> <td>WO 2005/060446 A2 (GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION) 07.07.2005</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1-20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">A</td> <td>RU 2105164 C1 (CHELYABINSKY GOSUDARSTVENNY TEKHNICHESKY UNIVERSITET) 20.02.1998</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1-20</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	A	US 2011/0072799 A1 (FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC) 31.03.2011	1-20	A	WO 2005/060446 A2 (GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION) 07.07.2005	1-20	A	RU 2105164 C1 (CHELYABINSKY GOSUDARSTVENNY TEKHNICHESKY UNIVERSITET) 20.02.1998	1-20
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.											
A	US 2011/0072799 A1 (FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC) 31.03.2011	1-20											
A	WO 2005/060446 A2 (GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION) 07.07.2005	1-20											
A	RU 2105164 C1 (CHELYABINSKY GOSUDARSTVENNY TEKHNICHESKY UNIVERSITET) 20.02.1998	1-20											
☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.													
<table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> * Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family </td> </tr> </table>		* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family										
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family												
Date of the actual completion of the international search 09 June 2017 (09.06.2017)	Date of mailing of the international search report 03 August 2017 (03.08.2017)												
Name and mailing address of the ISA/RU: Federal Institute of Industrial Property, Berezhkovskaya nab., 30-1, Moscow, G-59, GSP-3, Russia, 125993 Facsimile No: (8-495) 531-63-18, (8-499) 243-33-37	Authorized officer V. Novikov Telephone No. 8-499-240-25-91												