



(12) **DEMANDE DE BREVET CANADIEN**
CANADIAN PATENT APPLICATION
(13) **A1**

(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2018/06/13
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2018/12/20
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2019/11/14
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: US 2018/037354
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2018/232000
(30) Priorité/Priority: 2017/06/16 (US15/625,612)

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *A01N 25/30* (2006.01),
A01N 31/02 (2006.01), *A01N 33/04* (2006.01)
(71) Demandeurs/Applicants:
W.M. BARR & COMPANY, INC., US;
MICROBAN PRODUCTS COMPANY, US
(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
LAN, TIAN, US;
HANNA, SAMUEL JAMES, US;
SLOAN, GINA PARISE, US;
AYLWARD, BRIAN PATRICK, US;
WELCH, KAREN TERRY, US;
...
(74) Agent: GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

(54) Titre : DESINFECTANT DE SURFACE AYANT UNE PROPRIETE BIOCIDES RESIDUELLE
(54) Title: SURFACE DISINFECTANT WITH RESIDUAL BIOCIDAL PROPERTY

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

A disinfectant formulation comprising a poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline) and a quaternary ammonium compound or combination of quaternary ammonium compounds is provided. A wipe having a residual biocidal property is also provided. The wipe formulation comprises a substrate comprised of a fibrous material, and the formulation present on or in the substrate. The formulation comprises a poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline), a quaternary ammonium compound or combination of quaternary ammonium compounds, a surfactant, water, and an optional fragrance.

(72) **Inventeurs(suite)/Inventors(continued)**: SHIREMAN, DENNIS EARL, US; KAVCHOK, KEVIN ANDREW, US;
HAWES, CHARLES L., US; TURMENNE, JESSE DOUGLAS, US; PETKUS, MATTHEW MICHAEL, US

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau

(43) International Publication Date
20 December 2018 (20.12.2018)



(10) International Publication Number
WO 2018/232000 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:

A01N 25/30 (2006.01) A01N 33/04 (2006.01)
A01N 31/02 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2018/037354

(22) International Filing Date:

13 June 2018 (13.06.2018)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

15/625,612 16 June 2017 (16.06.2017) US

(71) Applicants: W.M. BARR & COMPANY, INC. [US/US];
6750 Lenox Center Court, Suite 200, Memphis, TN 38115
(US). MICROBAN PRODUCTS COMPANY [US/US];
11400 Vanstory Drive, Huntersville, NC 28078 (US).

(72) Inventors: LAN, Tian; 13232 Willow Breeze Lane,
Huntersville, NC 28078 (US). HANNA, Samuel, James;
6610 Woodstream Drive, Charlotte, NC 28210 (US).
SLOAN, Gina, Parise; 218 Wilson Farm Road, Statesville,
NC 28625 (US). AYLWARD, Brian, Patrick; 9621
Harvest Pond Avenue NW, Concord, NC 28027 (US).
WELCH, Karen, Terry; 5038 Century Drive, Kannapo-
lis, NC 28081 (US). SHIREMAN, Dennis, Earl; 14 Cy-
press Creek, Marion, AR 72364 (US). KAVCHOK, Kevin,
Andrew; 327 Mill Creek Road, Iron Station, NC 28080
(US). HAWES, Charles, L.; 8824 Lybrook Cove East,
Cordova, TN 38016 (US). TURMENNE, Jesse, Douglas;
133 Thomas Springs Drive, Mount Holly, NC 28120 (US).
PETKUS, Matthew, Michael; 2105 Channel Ave, Mem-
phis, TN 38113 (US).

(74) Agent: JACKSON, Susan, S.; Nelson Mullins Riley &
Scarborough LLP, One Wells Fargo Center, 23rd Floor, 301
South College Street, Charlotte, NC 28202 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,
AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ,
CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO,
DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN,
HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JO, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP,
KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME,
MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ,
OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA,
SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN,
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ,
UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ,
TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK,
EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV,
MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM,
TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW,
KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: SURFACE DISINFECTANT WITH RESIDUAL BIOCIDAL PROPERTY

(57) Abstract: A disinfectant formulation comprising a poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline) and a quaternary ammonium compound or combi-
nation of quaternary ammonium compounds is provided. A wipe having a residual biocidal property is also provided. The wipe for-
mulation comprises a substrate comprised of a fibrous material, and the formulation present on or in the substrate. The formulation
comprises a poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline), a quaternary ammonium compound or combination of quaternary ammonium compounds, a
surfactant, water, and an optional fragrance.

WO 2018/232000 A1

SURFACE DISINFECTANT WITH RESIDUAL BIOCIDAL PROPERTY

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority from U.S. continuation-in-part application
5 15/625,612 filed on June 16, 2017, which is a continuation-in-part application claiming
priority from U.S. continuation-in-part patent application 15/162,068, filed on May 23,
2016, which claims priority from U.S. patent application 14/948962, filed on November
23, 2015, which claims priority from U.S. provisional patent application serial
no. 62/084,917, filed on November 26, 2014, and from U.S. provisional patent application
10 serial no. 62/127,075, filed on March 2, 2015, and from U.S. provisional patent
application serial no. 62/166,403, filed on May 26, 2015, in the United States Patent and
Trademark Office. The disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference in their
entireties.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

15 The present invention relates to the field of disinfectant formulations, and more
specifically, to a disinfectant formulation imparting a residual biocidal property.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Microbes exist everywhere in the modern world. While some are beneficial to
humans and the environment, others may have significant negative consequences for
20 contaminated articles as well as the persons, animals and ecological members coming in
contact with them. There are a number of industries and environments where such
microbes are especially prevalent.

Healthcare

A hospital-acquired infection (HAI; alternatively a “nosocomial infection”) is an
25 infection whose development is favored by a hospital or healthcare environment. Such

maladies typically are fungal or bacterial infections and can afflict the victim locally or systemically. Nosocomial infections can cause severe pneumonia as well as infections of the urinary tract, bloodstream, and other parts of the body.

Nosocomial infections have severe medical implications for patients and care
5 providers. In the United States, data suggest that approximately 1.7 million instances of hospital-associated infections occur each year, with nearly 100,000 deaths resulting therefrom. European data and surveys indicate Gram-negative bacterial infections alone account for 8,000-10,000 deaths each year.

Several aggravating factors contribute to the high HAI rate. Hospitals, urgent care
10 centers, nursing homes, and similar facilities focus their treatments on those with serious illnesses and injuries. As a result, these facilities house abnormally highly concentrated populations of patients with weakened immune systems.

A trio of pathogens is commonly found in healthcare settings and together account for approximately one-third of nosocomial infections: coagulase-negative *Staphylococci*
15 (15%), *Candida* species (11%), and *Escherichia coli* (10%).

Worse, it is the more robust disease-causing pathogens that are present in such environments. The six so-called “ESKAPE pathogens” – *Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter* species – possess antibiotic resistance and are implicated in
20 nearly half of all nosocomial infections. Their resistance to one or more biocidal agents makes such infections particularly dangerous.

In particular, the broad nutritional versatility of *Pseudomonas* permits its survival in extreme environments, including survival on surfaces not intensively cleaned and sterilized. This pathogen’s ubiquity in the hospital environment makes it a leading cause

of Gram-negative nosocomial infections. Particularly vulnerable are immune-compromised patients (e.g. those afflicted with cystic fibrosis, cancer, or burns).

The most common means of HAIs is through direct or indirect contact transmission. Direct contact transmission involves a patient contacting either a
5 contaminated patient or worker. As care providers move through the healthcare institution, they come into contact with its many patients. These workers unwittingly act in a manner analogous to bees in a garden, “pollinating” rooms and wards as they care for residents.

Indirect contact transmission occurs when the patient contacts a contaminated object or surface. The healthcare environment presents an array of articles capable of
10 passively vectoring pathogens.

Nosocomial infections further deal a serious blow to the volume, quality, and cost of healthcare provided by hospitals and other institutions. In addition to the roughly 100,000 HAI-related deaths occurring annually in the United States, an estimated two million more victims are forced to endure the physical ravages and emotional distress
15 associated with these serious and avoidable illnesses.

Institutions have reacted by creating policies to impose more stringent cleanliness and disinfection requirements upon staff and the patient environment. These programs typically include frequent hand-washing and frequent disinfection of surfaces. Despite implementation of programs to curb nosocomial infections, infections still occur at
20 unacceptably high rates.

Home care and Household

Household environments also face microbes. A main disadvantage associated with consumer disinfectants and sanitizers is that, while they can be effective at initially killing microbes, the surface is easily and quickly re-contaminated through contact, airborne
25 microbes, and un-killed residual microbes before treatment. While some of the

disinfectants would continue to offer some control if simply left on the surface, this would result in a greasy or tacky residue that would be easily negated by casual contact with the surface. Thus, there is a desire for a home care and household cleaner that kills microbes quickly on contact, then acts as a residual disinfectant but yet does not have this
5 undesirable sticky or tacky effect. Such cleaners may be useful for general purpose household cleaning, bathroom cleaning, and spray protectants.

A difference between hospital and healthcare cleaners and household products is the allowable VOC (volatile organic content). The regulations for most non-aerosol household consumer disinfectants are a maximum of 1% VOC.

10 Food Service

The food service industry also faces outbreaks in contamination of pathogens in the workplace and spreading disease out to consumers. Even though food manufacturers adopt vigorous hygiene plans and comply with tight government hygiene regulations, major outbreaks of microbes are still reported occasionally that causes serious illness
15 among consumers. Disinfectants with residual activities should effectively alleviate the issue.

Biofilm

A biofilm is generally defined as a layer of microorganisms adhering to the surface of a structure, which may be organic or inorganic, that secrete a protective coating that is
20 biological in origin. Biofilms present a large problem for public health because of increased resistance of biofilm-associated organisms to antimicrobial agents and for the potential of biofilm borne organisms to cause infections. Thus, there is a need for a solution to disinfection with regard to biofilms. Current disinfectants do not have the ability to kill biofilms or to seal or lock-in biofilms on surfaces to prevent cross-
25 contamination events and to prevent out growth. There are limited solutions for surface

biofilm problems. For example, there is a building body of evidence that surface biofilms pose a problem in the healthcare setting for the above mentioned reasons. In addition, biofilms are a recognized problem of possible contamination within the food industry. Other industries face similar concerns. Thus, there is a need for a solution targeted at potential biofilm problem areas that can be used to eradicate biofilms.

In summary, there remains a need for a formulation able to confer a residual biocidal activity to treated surfaces. It would be further advantageous if the formulation were combined with a surface disinfectant, to enable a single cleaning to both disinfect and impart the residual biocidal effect.


It further would be advantageous for the residual biocidal property to be durably associated with the treated surface, such that it may continue to provide microbial reduction for an extended period of time after application.

It further would be advantageous if there is a formulation(s) effective across a wide range of industries and applications.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a disinfectant formulation imparting a residual biocidal property. The disinfectant formulation comprises a polymer binder, wherein the polymer binder is an oxazoline homopolymer or an extended or a modified polymer based on an oxazoline homopolymer, and a biocidal compound. The disinfectant formulation further comprises a carrier.

In an aspect of the invention the oxazoline homopolymer has a structure of:



wherein R₁ is a hydrogen, alkyl, alkenyl, alkoxy, alkylamino, alkynyl, allyl, amino, anilino, aryl, benzyl, carboxyl, carboxyalkyl, carboxyalkenyl, cyano, glycosyl, halo, hydroxyl, oxazolinium mesylate, oxazolinium tosylate, oxazolinium triflate, silyl oxazolinium, phenolic, polyalkoxy, quaternary ammonium, thiol, or thioether group; R₂ is
5 a hydrogen, alkyl, alkenyl, alkoxy, alkylamino, alkynyl, allyl, amino, anilino, aryl, benzyl, carboxyl, carboxyalkyl, carboxyalkenyl, cyano, glycosyl, halo, hydroxyl, oxazolinium mesylate, oxazolinium tosylate, oxazolinium triflate, silyl oxazolinium, phenolic, polyalkoxy, quaternary ammonium, thiol, or thioether group or a macrocyclic structure; R₃ is a hydrogen, alkyl, alkenyl, alkoxy, aryl, benzyl, hydroxyalkyl, or perfluoroalkyl group;
10 and n is in a range of 1 to 1,000,000.

In another aspect of the invention other features of the disinfectant formulation(s) are provided.

In yet another aspect of the invention, an article having the disinfectant formulation(s) of the present invention is provided as well as methods of making, using
15 and applying the disinfectant formulation(s).

Further areas of applicability of the present invention will become apparent from the detailed description provided hereinafter. It should be understood that the detailed description and specific examples, while indicating the preferred embodiments of the invention, are intended for purposes of illustration only and are not intended to limit the
20 scope of the invention

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The following description of the embodiments of the present invention is merely exemplary in nature and is in no way intended to limit the invention, its application, or uses. The present invention has broad potential application and utility, which is
25 contemplated to be adaptable across a wide range of industries. The following description

is provided herein solely by way of example for purposes of providing an enabling disclosure of the invention, but does not limit the scope or substance of the invention.

As used herein, the terms "microbe" or "microbial" should be interpreted to refer to any of the microscopic organisms studied by microbiologists or found in the use
5 environment of a treated article. Such organisms include, but are not limited to, bacteria and fungi as well as other single-celled organisms such as mold, mildew and algae. Viral particles and other infectious agents are also included in the term microbe.

"Antimicrobial" further should be understood to encompass both microbicidal and microbistatic properties. That is, the term comprehends microbe killing, leading to a
10 reduction in number of microbes, as well as a retarding effect of microbial growth, wherein numbers may remain more or less constant (but nonetheless allowing for slight increase/decrease).

For ease of discussion, this description uses the term antimicrobial to denote a broad spectrum activity (e.g. against bacteria and fungi). When speaking of efficacy
15 against a particular microorganism or taxonomic rank, the more focused term will be used (e.g. antifungal to denote efficacy against fungal growth in particular).

Using the above example, it should be understood that efficacy against fungi does not in any way preclude the possibility that the same antimicrobial composition may demonstrate efficacy against another class of microbes.

20 For example, discussion of the strong bacterial efficacy demonstrated by a disclosed embodiment should not be read to exclude that embodiment from also demonstrating antifungal activity. This method of presentation should not be interpreted as limiting the scope of the invention in any way.

Disinfectant Formulation

The present invention is directed to a disinfectant formulation. In an aspect of the invention, the disinfectant formulation is in a liquid form. The composition of the disinfectant formulation comprises a biocidal compound and a polymer binder. The composition may further comprise a solvent (such as water or a low molecular weight
5 alcohol), a surfactant, a colorant, a fragrance, among other components.

A liquid composition is formulated having surface disinfection and residual biocidal properties. The formulation can be applied to a surface by spraying, rolling, fogging, wiping or other means. The formulation acts as a surface disinfectant, killing infectious microbes present on the surface.

10 Once dried, the liquid formulation leaves a residual protective film on the surface. The residual film possesses a biocidal property, enabling it to maintain protection of the surface against microbial contamination for an extended time period after its application.

In a preferred embodiment, the surface disinfectant formulation imparts a film with the capacity to quickly kill bacteria and other germs for at least 24 hours after deposit of
15 the film on the treated surface. In an aspect of the invention, quick kill generally refers to a time period of about 30 seconds to about 5 minutes. The film will remain on the surface and is durable to multiple touches and wearing of the surface.

In another embodiment of the present invention, the disinfectant formulation is a liquid composition comprising a polymer binder, a biocidal compound, a carrier such as a
20 solvent, and other optional components such as fragrances.

Biofilm Sealant

In an embodiment of the present invention, the disinfectant formulation is a biofilm sealant. The biofilm sealant once applied to a surface forms a polymer film. The polymer film is applied wet and dries as a film layer to lock in and prevent subsequent outgrowth of
25 biofilm microorganisms. The biofilm sealant, although preferably in the form of a liquid,

may also take other forms such as a gel, or other form. Once the biofilm sealant is in place, the microbes of the biofilm have limited access to oxygen and exogenous nutrients. The biofilm sealant has the potential to seal in bacteria but also to provide extended release of antimicrobials into the film to kill the microorganisms in the biofilm.

5 In an embodiment of the present invention, the biofilm sealant comprises a polymer binder and a biocidal compound. The biocidal compound may include, but is not limited to, any biocidal compound set forth herein.

In an embodiment of the present invention, the biofilm sealant comprises an oxazoline homopolymer as the polymer binder. The oxazoline homopolymer may have
10 any of the structures as set forth herein.

In an embodiment of the present invention, the biofilm sealant comprises a polymer binder, a biocidal compound, and an enzyme(s). The enzyme is to assist in degrading the biofilm and provide for enhanced antimicrobial penetration by the biocidal agent and ultimately biofilm removal. Example enzymes include, but are not limited to,
15 proteinase, DNase, RNase, and carbohydrate specific enzymes that can degrade the extracellular matrix associated with the biofilm.


In an embodiment of the present invention, the biofilm sealant comprises a polymer binder and an antibody as a biological material for slow release into the film. The antibody functionality will bind to the biofilm microbe to prevent cross-contamination.

20 In an embodiment of the present invention, the biofilm sealant comprises a polymer binder, and a bacteriophage or a mixture of bacteriophages as a biological material for slow release into the film. The bacteriophage act as a targeted antimicrobial agent to kill biofilm associated organisms.

In an embodiment of the present invention, the biofilm sealant comprises a polymer binder, and a mixture of bacteriophages, antimicrobial agents, enzymes and antibodies as a biological material for slow release into the film.

Polymer Binder

5 In an aspect of the invention, the polymer binder is an oxazoline homopolymer. As another feature of the invention, the oxazoline homopolymer has the following structure:



wherein

10 R₁ and R₂ are end groups determined by the polymerization techniques used to synthesize oxazoline homopolymer. R₁ and R₂ are independently selected and include, but are not limited to, hydrogen, alkyl, alkenyl, alkoxy, alkylamino, alkynyl, allyl, amino, anilino, aryl, benzyl, carboxyl, carboxyalkyl, carboxyalkenyl, cyano, glycosyl, halo, hydroxyl, oxazolinium mesylate, oxazolinium tosylate, oxazolinium triflate, silyl
15 oxazolinium, phenolic, polyalkoxy, quaternary ammonium, thiol, or thioether groups. Alternatively, R₂ could include a macrocyclic structure formed during synthesis as a consequence of intramolecular attack.


For example, R₁ is a methyl group and R₂ is oxazolinium tosylate if methyl tosylate is used as the initiator in the cationic initiated polymerization of oxazoline.

20 R₃ is an end group determined by the type of oxazoline used in the preparation of the polymer binder of this invention. R₃ includes, but is not limited to, hydrogen, alkyl, alkenyl, alkoxy, aryl, benzyl, hydroxyalkyl, or perfluoroalkyl. For example, R₃ is an ethyl

group if ethyloxazoline is the monomer used to prepare the polymer binder for the present invention.

n is the degree of oxazoline polymerization in the homopolymer. n is in a range of 1 to 1,000,000. Preferably, n is in a range of 500 to 250,000; most preferably, n is in a
5 range of 2500 to 100,000.

Similar to oxazoline homopolymer, extended or modified polymers with some variations based on the oxazoline homopolymer are also suitable for the present invention. The techniques and options for performing chemical or molecular structure variations or modifications to oxazoline should be familiar to those skilled in the art. A class of
10 extended or modified polymers based on oxazoline homopolymer can be represented with the following molecular structure:



wherein


R₁ and R₃ have the same definition as those given in the above oxazoline
15 homopolymer.

B is additional monomer repeating unit linked to oxazoline in a copolymer. The types of arrangement of the repeating units between B and oxazoline in the copolymer can include, but are not limited to, block, alternating, periodic, or combinations thereof. There is no limitation as to the types of B that can be used to copolymerize with or modify the
20 oxazoline of the present invention.

n is the degree of polymerization for an oxazoline repeating unit; n in the copolymer is in a range of 1 to 1,000,000 and the degree of polymerization for B repeating unit in the copolymer m is in a range of 0 to 500,000 at the same time. Preferably, n is in a

range of 500 to 250,000 and m is in a range of 20 to 10,000; and most preferably, n is in a range of 2500 to 100,000 and m is in a range of 50 to 5,000. In addition to linking B to ethyloxazoline through copolymerization, B could also be linked to oxazoline as an end group in a cationic polymerization by using B as a cationic initiator if B itself is already a quaternary ammonium compound.

Not intended to be all inclusive, B can be, for example, ethyleneimine with the following molecular structure:



wherein

R₁ and R₂ end groups have the same definition as those outlined for oxazoline homopolymer.

R₃ includes, but is not limited to, hydrogen, alkyl, alkenyl, alkoxy, aryl, benzyl, hydroxyalkyl, or perfluoroalkyl.

R₄ includes, but is not limited to, hydrogen, alkyl, alkenyl, alkoxy, aryl, benzyl, hydroxyalkyl, or perfluoroalkyl.

m is in a range of 0 to 500,000; preferably, in a range of 20 to 10,000; and most preferably, in a range of 50 to 5,000.


n is in a range of 1 to 1,000,000; preferably, 500 to 250,000; most preferably, in a range of 2500 to 100,000.

The synthesis of oxazoline and ethyleneimine copolymer can be phased into two steps, for example. In a first step, a cationic ring opening polymerization technique can be used to make polyoxazoline homopolymer. In a second step, the polyoxazoline made in the first step can be hydrolyzed to convert part of polyoxazoline repeating units into

polyethyleneimine. Alternatively, oxazoline-ethylenimine copolymer can be made with the appropriate respective monomers, an oxazoline and an aziridine. The result would be a cationic polymer having the above structure.

The degree of polymerization for oxazoline repeating unit n in the copolymer is in a range of 1 to 1,000,000 and the degree of polymerization for ethyleneimine repeating unit in the copolymer m is in a range of 0 to 500,000 at the same time. Preferably, n is in a range of 500 to 250,000 and m is in a range of 20 to 10,000, and most preferably n is in a range of 2500 to 100,000 and m is in a range of 50 to 5,000.


Alternatively, the nitrogen in the ethyleneimine repeating unit could be further quaternized to generate the following cationic copolymer:



Any quaternization technique that is familiar to those skilled in the art could be used to quaternize the polymer of this example. R_1 , R_2 , R_3 and R_4 have the same meaning as those designated in the above oxazoline-ethyleneimine copolymer. R_5 includes, but is not limited to, a hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl, or other types of alkyl group. The corresponding anion X^- is a halogen, sulfonate, sulfate, phosphonate, phosphate, carbonate/bicarbonate, hydroxy, or carboxylate.

The ranges for n and m are also the same as those described in oxazoline-ethyleneimine copolymer.

Another example of B that can be used for the present invention is polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride. Polyethyloxazoline modified with polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride has the following structure:



wherein

R_1 and R_4 have the same meaning as described in previous example for quaternized oxazoline-ethyleneimine copolymer.

5 R_2 and R_3 , independently, include, but are not limited to, short chain alkyl groups such as C_1 to C_6 . The corresponding anion X^- is a halogen, sulfonate, sulfate, phosphonate, phosphate, carbonate/bicarbonate, hydroxy, or carboxylate.

n and m are defined and numbered the same as in previous examples.

B could be other olefins including, but not limited to, diallyldimethylammonium chloride, styrene, methoxystyrene, and methoxyethene. Ethyloxazoline can also be
 10 copolymerized with heterocyclic monomers such as oxirane, thietane, 1,3-dioxepane, oxetan-2-one, and tetrahydrofuran to enhance the performance of the polymer for the present invention. The binder used in this invention could also employ pendant oxazoline groups on a polymer backbone, such as an acrylic or styrene based polymer, or a
 15 copolymer containing acrylic or styrene.

Examples of commercially available polyethyloxazolines include, but are not limited to, Aquazol 500 from Polymer Chemistry Innovations, Inc.


The amount of polymer binder that can be used in the liquid formulation can vary somewhat depending upon desired length of residual activity of the composition and the
 20 nature of all the other components in the composition. Preferably, the amount of polymer binder in the liquid formulation is in a range of 0.1% to 20% based on the weight of liquid formulation. In a liquid formulation for healthcare applications, the amount of polymer binder in the liquid formulation is more preferably in a range of 0.5% to 10%, and most preferably in a range of 0.8% to 5%. In liquid formulations for all-purpose and bathroom

cleaners, the amount of polymer binder in the liquid formulation is more preferably in a range of 0.1% to 10%, and most preferably in a range of 0.1% to 5%.

The polymer binder preferably is water-soluble and can be readily removed from surface if any buildup is noticed. Present in small amounts, it nonetheless can provide a durable bond between biocidal compound and the treated surface to facilitate residual efficacy.

Biocidal Compound

The biocidal compound may be a quaternary ammonium compound (QAC) with the following molecular structure:



wherein

R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , and R_4 are independently selected and include, but are not limited to, alkyl, alkoxy, or aryl, either with or without heteroatoms, or saturated or non-saturated. Some or all of the functional groups may be the same.


The corresponding anion X^- includes, but is not limited to, a halogen, sulfonate, sulfate, phosphonate, phosphate, carbonate/bicarbonate, hydroxy, or carboxylate.

QACs include, but are not limited to, n-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride, di-n-octyl dimethyl ammonium chloride, dodecyl dimethyl ammonium chloride, n-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium saccharinate, and 3-(trimethoxysilyl) propyldimethyloctadecyl ammonium chloride.


Combinations of monomeric QACs are preferred to be used for the invention. A specific example of QAC combination is N-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride (40%); N-octyl decyl dimethyl ammonium chloride (30%); di-n-decyl dimethyl

ammonium chloride (15%); and di-n-dioctyl dimethyl ammonium chloride (15%). The percentage is the weight percentage of individual QAC based on the total weight of blended QACs composition.

5 Polymeric version of the QACs with the following structures can also be used for the invention.



or



10

wherein

R_1 , R_2 , R_5 , and R_6 , independently, include, but are not limited to, hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl or other longer carbon alkyl groups.

15 R_3 and R_4 are independently selected and include, but are not limited to, methylene, ethylene, propylene or other longer alkylene linking groups.

n is the degree of polymerization; n is an integer in a range of from 2 to 10,000.

Examples of cationic polymers with the above structure, include but are not limited to, polyamines derived from dimethylamine and epichlorohydrin such as Superfloc C-572 commercially available from Kemira Chemicals.

20 Still another polymeric QAC suitable for the invention is polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride or polyDADMAC.

Yet another class of QACs useful for the present invention are those chemical compounds with biguanide moiety in the molecule. Examples of this class of cationic antimicrobials include, but are not limited to, PHMB and chlorhexidine.

Examples of commercially available quaternary ammonium compounds include,
5 but are not limited to, Bardac 205M and 208M from Lonza, and BTC885 from Stepan Company.

The biocidal compound may be a weak acid, which has been shown to be particularly effective in bathroom cleaners. In these type of products, citric, sulfamic (also known as amidosulfonic acid, amidosulfuric acid, aminosulfonic acid, and sulfamidic
10 acid), glycolic, lactic, lauric and capric acids are useful as both an effective biocide and a cleaning agent for soap scum and hard wart deposits.

Other compounds which may be useful are silane quaternary salts such as 3(trihydroxysilyl)propyldimethyloctadecyl ammonium chloride. These may have the added benefit of reacting to the surface being treated for an enhancement of the residual
15 properties.

Further biocidal compounds suitable for use in the present liquid formulation span a broad range of antimicrobials, biocides, sanitizers, and disinfectants. A water soluble or dispersible biocidal compound is preferred, although biocides soluble in alcohol may be alternatively employed.

20 A non-exhaustive list of biocidal compounds suitable for use in the present formulation include triclosan, zinc pyrithione, metal salts and oxides, phenols, botanicals, halogens, peroxides, heterocyclic antimicrobials, aldehydes, and alcohols.

The concentration of biocidal compound in the formulation can be in a range of 0.05% to 20% based on the weight of the liquid composition. For a liquid formulation for
25 a healthcare application, preferably in a range of 0.1% to 20%, and more preferably in a

range of 0.5% to 3%. For a liquid formulation for all-purpose and bathroom cleaners, preferably in a range of 0.05% to 10%. For a formulation for a protectant, preferably in a range of 0.05% to 2%.

Carrier

5 The carrier or media for the liquid formulation of this invention can be any solvent that is volatile and allow easy evaporation at ambient condition. Examples of liquid carriers include, but are not limited to, water and low molecular weight alcohols such as C1 to C8 alkanols. Specific examples include, but are not limited to, ethanol, isopropyl alcohol, butanol, pentanol, and combinations thereof.

10 Another class of solvents for use in the invention includes alkylene glycol ether. Examples include, but are not limited to, ethylene glycol monopropyl ether, ethylene glycol monobutyl ether, ethylene glycol monoethyl ether, ethylene glycol monohexyl ether, diethylene glycol monomethyl ether, diethylene glycol monoethyl ether, diethylene glycol monobutyl ether, diethylene glycol monoethyl ether, triethylene glycol monomethyl ether, triethylene glycol monoethyl ether, triethylene glycol monobutyl ether, propylene glycol methyl ether, propylene glycol methyl ether acetate, propylene glycol n-butyl ether, dipropylene glycol n-butyl ether, dipropylene glycol methyl ether, dipropylene glycol methyl ether acetate, propylene glycol n-propyl ether, dipropylene glycol n-propyl ether, and tripropylene glycol methyl ether.

20 Another class of solvents for use in the invention is based on terpenes and their derivatives such as terpene alcohols, terpene esters, terpene ethers, or terpene aldehydes. Examples of solvents, include but are not limited to, pine oil, lemon oil, limonene, pinene, cymene, myrcene, fenchone, borneol, nopol, cineole, ionone and the like.

 A preferred carrier in a liquid formulation for a home care cleaning application is
25 water.

If the method of the application of the liquid formulation of the present invention is pressurized aerosol, a propellant may be needed in the composition. A variety of propellants or mixtures can be used for the present invention and should be familiar to those skilled in the art. C1 to C10 hydrocarbons or halogenated hydrocarbons are typical
5 propellants in aerosol compositions known to the industry. Examples of such propellants include, but are not limited to, pentane, butane, propane, and methane. Other types of propellants that can be used for the present invention also include compressed air, nitrogen, or carbon dioxide. Alternatively, a bag on valve package may be used to aerosol the product without directly add a propellant to the composition.

10 Either a single solvent or a mixture of the above solvents can be used for the present invention. The types of solvents used for the present invention may depend upon the intended uses of the residual disinfectant composition. For example, if the composition of the present invent is intended for home care use, cleaning the contaminated surfaces free of all types of dirt or soil may be of primary interest. Liquid carrier or media
15 that assist and enhance the removal of soil may be formulation of the invention. For example, the residual disinfectant formulation or composition of the present invention may desire to include alkyl or multi-alkyl glycol ethers for better cleaning performance in the home care version of the formulation of the present invention. On the other hand, if the primary goal of the residual disinfectant composition is to be used at a health care facility
20 where the major concern is hospital acquired infection, then quick drying of the liquid composition of the present invention may be more desirable than cleaning dirt or soil out of the surfaces. Low molecular weight alcohols should be considered to help the liquid formulation of the present invent dry fast after the application. Also, a low molecular weight alcohol in the liquid formulation will strengthen the sanitizing activity of the liquid
25 composition.

For health care use of the residual disinfectant, a mixture of water and low molecular weight alcohol is preferred. The amount of alcohol present in the liquid formulation is preferred to be at such a level that the liquid formulation is capable of forming a zerootropic mixture between the alcohol and water. A minimum amount of alcohol, if present, in the liquid composition is 10%. Preferably, for health care use of the residual disinfectant, the alcohol concentration is 30%, and most preferably the alcohol concentration is at least 50% based on the weight of liquid formulation for the health care use of the composition of the invention.

Surfactant

A surfactant or wetting agent may be employed. The surfactant assists the liquid formulation to spread and evenly coat the surface being treated. The surfactant additionally contributes to the formation of a zeotropic mixture between alcohol and water, thus facilitating a rapid and uniform drying of the liquid formulation once being applied onto surface. A surfactant also plays an important role in the residual disinfectant liquid formulation of the present invention for home care use if the soil cleaning performance is the key feature the product is designed to possess.

Surfactants appropriate for the present liquid formulation include, but are not limited to, those that are nonionic, anionic, or amphoteric in nature. Examples of commercially available wetting agents include, but are not limited to, Ecosurf SA-4 or Tergitol TMN-3 from Dow Chemical, and Q2-5211 from Dow Corning.

An amine oxide surfactant is preferred especially when the QAC is used as the biocidal compound in the formulation.

In the category of nonionic surfactants, ethoxylated alcohols with different amounts of ethylene oxides or HLB values can be used. Examples of ethoxylated alcohols include, but are not limited to, Triton X-100 (Dow Chemical, Midland MI), Ecosurf EH

nonionic surfactant series from Dow Chemical, Tergitol nonionic surfactant series from Dow Chemical, the Surfonic surfactant series from Huntsman Corp., the Neodol surfactant series from Shell, the Ethox surfactant series from Ethox Chemicals and the Tomadol surfactant series from Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.

5 Another class of nonionic surfactants include alkylpolyglucosides. Examples include the Glucopon Series from BASF and the Ecoteric series from Huntsman.

 An alternative class of surfactants that is preferred for the liquid formulation are silane-based surfactants. Examples include but, are not limited to, silicone polyethers organofunctional or reactive silane wetting agents, and fluorochemical based wetting
10 agents.

 The content of the surfactant in the liquid formulation is in a range of 0% to 10%, preferably in a range of 0.01% to 5%.

 Depending on the targeted uses, a liquid formulation of the present invention for home care use may need appropriate pH condition. For example, if the liquid product is
15 used in the kitchen area, a high pH product may be desired in order to effectively remove grease soils commonly found in the area. If the product is used in bathroom area, soap scum and hard water deposits may be the primary concern. In such case, a low pH product may be more appropriate for such a purpose. There is no limitation on the types of pH adjusting agents that can be added into the liquid composition of the present invention.
20 Example of pH adjusting agents that can be used include, but are not limited to, triethanolamine, diethanolamine, monoethanolamine, sodium hydroxide, sodium carbonate, potassium hydroxide, potassium carbonate, calcium carbonate, citric acid, acetic acid, hydrochloric acid, sulfamic acid, sulfuric acid and the like.

 Other than components mentioned above, additional functional components may
25 be included in the liquid composition of the present invention. Additional components

include, but are not limited to, chelants, compatibilizers, coupling agents, corrosion inhibitors, rheology modifiers, fragrances, colorants, preservatives, UV stabilizers, optical brighteners, and active ingredient indicators.

In an embodiment of the present invention, the liquid solution comprises a polymer
5 binder, a quaternary ammonium compound, a silicone-based surfactant, and ethanol. The liquid formulation can be made or mixed by any conventional method known to one of ordinary skill in the art. There are no preferred addition procedures for the formulation of the present invention provided that the formulation is ultimately homogeneous, compatible and stable. For example, if the polymer binder is a solid, it may be preferable to first
10 dissolve or disperse the polymer in a carrier such as water or alcohol to make a stock polymer binder liquid dispersion. The stock polymer binder liquid dispersion may be readily added into the formulation of the present invention during the mixing procedure.

Application of Liquid Formulation

The liquid formulation may be applied by a variety of means. If sprayed, the liquid
15 formulation advantageously may be supplied in a conventional bottle with a sprayer. The sprayer can be a trigger sprayer. As an option to a trigger sprayer, an aerosol can also be used to deliver the liquid formulation on to surfaces. Additional application means include, but are not limited to, fogging, rolling, brushing, mopping, and using a wipe by a variety of application devices. It is within the scope of the present invention that wipe
20 products can also be made comprising or pre-treated with the disinfectant formulation(s) of the present invention, for example, for off-the-shelf sale or use.

In an embodiment of the invention, a wipe is provided having up to 24-hour residual sanitization activity. The wipe is capable of protecting a hard surface from microbial growth and protection against bacteria without leaving a significant residue or
25 build-up.

In an embodiment of the invention, the wipe is comprised of a substrate and a formulation applied on the substrate or present in the substrate of the wipe.

The wipe formulation provides a solid transparent film water soluble enough to be easily removed with additional cleanings yet durable enough to remain on the surface even
5 after being touched.

The substrate is any fibrous material capable of absorbing and/or adsorbing water, preferably at least three times its weight in water. The substrate comprises a synthetic material, a natural material, or a combination thereof. Examples of synthetic materials include, but are not limited to, polypropylene, polystyrene, polyester, nylon, rayon,
10 acrylic, spandex, and a combination thereof. Examples of natural materials include, but are not limited to, cotton, linen, silk, wool, hemp, flax, and a combination thereof.

The substrate may be woven or non-woven. A non-woven substrate may be made by any number of manufacturing methods. The resulting non-woven substrate may be, but is not limited to, melt-blown, coformed, spunbonded, airlaid, hydroentangled, spunlaced,
15 bonded, carded, laminated, or a combination thereof. For example, a substrate may comprise a melt-blown polymeric material.

In an embodiment of the invention, the wipe formulation comprises a biocidal compound, a polymer binder and a wetting agent/surfactant.

For a substrate comprising a natural material, the wipe formulation may comprise a
20 cationic containing compound that is designed to compete with the quaternary ammonium compound(s) for anionic species sites on the substrate. Salts with high ionic strengths can also be used. Examples of salts for use in the wipe formulation include, but are not limited to, potassium citrate, sodium citrate, magnesium sulphate, sodium chloride, ammonium chloride, potassium chloride, and a combination thereof.

The wipe formulation provides initial disinfection then once dried leaves a residual protective film on the surface. The film possesses biocidal properties with the ability to kill bacteria, for example, within a 5-minute contact time for up to 24 hours after initial application.

5 In a preferred embodiment, the wipe formulation comprises a poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline), a quaternary ammonium compound or combination of quaternary ammonium compounds, an alcohol ethoxylate, water, and an optional fragrance.

Quaternary ammonium compounds (QAC) are especially suited for this wipe application as they provide protection against a broad range of microorganisms. Examples
10 of such compounds are commercially available from Stepan Chemical under the trade name BTC885. BTC885 contains 50% of a blend of quaternary ammonium compounds. A similar material is also commercially available from Lonza under the trade name Bardac 205M.

Preferably, the quaternary ammonium compound and the binder are in a ratio of
15 quaternary ammonium compound to binder of 1:4 to 1:1.

Preferably, the wipe formulation comprises a poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline) in an amount of 1 weight % to 5 weight %, wherein the weight percentage is based on the total weight of the wipe formulation.

Preferably, the wipe formulation comprises a quaternary ammonium compound in
20 an amount of at least 0.2 weight percent, preferably 0.2 weight percent to 2 weight percent, wherein the weight percentage is based on the total weight of the wipe formulation.

Preferably, the wipe formulation comprises a nonionic surfactant.

In an embodiment of the invention, the nonionic surfactant is an alcohol
25 ethoxylate. Preferably, the wipe formulation comprises the alcohol ethoxylate in an

amount of 0.05 weight % to 0.5 weight %, wherein the weight percentage is based on the total weight of the wipe formulation. A preferred alcohol ethoxylate is a linear alcohol ethoxylate with at least 9 moles of ethylene oxide, more preferably with at least 12 moles of ethylene oxide. It is unexpected that an alcohol ethoxylate, such as in this group, when
5 used in the formulation of the present invention surprisingly and favorably contributes to the aesthetics of the resulting film by drying to a hard transparent film (as opposed to a tacky, hazy film) yet remains water soluble enough to allow release of the quaternary ammonium compound(s) for antimicrobial efficacy.

A weak acid including, but not limited to, citric acid, lactic acid, sulfamic acid, glycolic acid, or a combination thereof can be added to the formulation to increase
10 efficacy against gram negative bacteria and aid in hard water stain or soap scum soil removal.

Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, disodium salt or EDTA disodium salt can be added to the formulation to increase antimicrobial efficacy in the presence of hard water.

The wipe formulation optionally comprises a fragrance. If a fragrance is present, the wipe formulation preferably comprises a fragrance in an amount of 0 weight % to 0.5 weight %, wherein the weight percentage is based on the total weight of the wipe
15 formulation.

Water is a suitable carrier for inert and active materials. Solvents such as ethanol
20 or isopropanol are optionally added to decrease drying time.

The wipe formulation of the present invention is effective for the above purposes without requiring other components to be present in the formulation, although other components may be present. For example, the wipe formulation of the present invention is effective for its residual sanitization activity without hydrogen peroxide as a component
25 of the formulation.

To disinfect a contaminated surface, spray the liquid formulation until the area is completely covered. The wet formulation subsequently may be wiped dry with a dry cloth or paper towel.

The invention also relates to an article treated with a disinfectant formulation in
5 accordance with aspects of the invention.

Examples

The following examples illustrate liquid formulations made in accordance with aspects of the present invention. The testing results on these formulations demonstrate the desired residual sanitizing or disinfecting performance once being applied onto surfaces
10 and dried. Cleaning performance is also tested on those formulations that not only provide residual disinfecting benefit but also cleaning features.

Formulations were tested for residual efficacy using the EPA 01-1A protocol. Briefly, bacteria were added to a glass slide and allowed to dry on the surface. The formulation was then sprayed onto the surface and dried to form a transparent film. Once a
15 film had formed, the glass slide was exposed to alternating wet and dry cycles using the Gardner wear tester as described in the protocol. In between each cycle the slide was re-inoculated with bacteria. After the appropriate number of wear and re-inoculations (48 passes and 11 re-inoculations for healthcare formulation and 24 passes 5 re-inoculation for homecare formulation) the slide was exposed to bacteria for the indicated time frame (i.e.
20 5 minutes) followed by recovery in an appropriate neutralizing solution.

In addition to residual efficacy, initial efficacy of the composition of the present invention was also tested according to ASTM E 1153.

A modified ASTM D4488 was used to evaluate the hard surface cleaning performance for the home care composition of the present invention. A soil of the
25 following composition was used for the evaluation.

Table 1

Components	Weight percentage of each component (%)
Pure vegetable oil	75
TM-122 AATCC carpet soil	25

*TM-122 AATCC carpet soil was obtained from Textile Innovators

In the process of making a soiled ceramic tile for the cleaning test, around 2 grams
 5 of the liquid soil was placed on an aluminum foil. A roller was used to roll and spread out
 the soil on the foil and let the roller pick up the soil as much as possible. The soil on the
 roller was transferred to the glazed surface of a ceramic tile evenly by rolling the soiled
 roll on the ceramic surface. The soiled ceramic tile was then baked in oven set at 180C for
 45 minutes. The baked tile was conditioned at room temperature for 24 hours before
 10 being used for the cleaning test.

A Gardner wear tester was used in the cleaning test. Scouring pads of around 1 cm
 width were attached to the abrasion boat for the wearing. Around 4 grams of test
 formulation was placed in a weighing boat. The attached scouring pad was dipped into the
 weighing boat to pick up the testing formulation.

15 The cleaning process started immediately after the pad is wetted with the cleaning
 formulation. Seven wearing cycles (back and forth) were used in the test.

Residual disinfectant examples for healthcare

The following formulation in the example uses alcohol as the major carrier in order
 to provide fast drying property to the liquid formulations.

20 Table 2

Components	HE1 (wt %)	HE2 (wt %)	HE3 (wt%)
Water	balance	balance	balance
Ethanol	70	70	0
2-Propanol	0	0	70

Polyethyloxazoline	2	2	2
Quaternary ammonium compound	0.8	1.2	1.2
Wetting agent/Surfactant	0.1	0.1	0.1

The residual efficacy testing was conducted using EP01-1A protocol and the results are listed in the following Table.

Table 3

Formulation	EP01-1A (average log reduction bacterial)
HE1	3.53
HE2	5.50
HE3	4.50

5

These formulations show excellent residual efficacy result based on EP01-1A test.

The ASTM E 1153 test protocol was also followed to assess the initial biocidal property of HE2. Test results are presented in the following table.

Table 4

Initial Efficacy	Time	Method	
Bacterial	3 log reduction	Complete kill (<10 CFU/PFU)	
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	30 seconds	1 minute	ASTM E 1153
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	30 seconds	30 seconds	ASTM E 1153
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	30 seconds	30 seconds	ASTM E 1153
MRSA	30 seconds	30 seconds	ASTM E 1153
VRE	30 seconds	30 seconds	ASTM E 1153
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	30 seconds	30 seconds	ASTM E 1153
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	30 seconds	1 minute	ASTM E 1153
Fungal			
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	1 minute	5 minutes	ASTM E 1153
<i>Tricophyton mentagrophytes</i>	1 minute	5 minutes	ASTM E 1153
Viral			
H1N1 (envelope)	30 seconds	30 seconds	ASTM E 1053

MS2 (Non-enveloped)	30 seconds	5 minutes	ASTM E 1053
Residual Efficacy	Time frame of exposure	Log reduction	Method
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	5 minutes	>3	EPA 01-1A
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	5 minutes	>3	EPA 01-1A
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	5 minutes	>3	EPA 01-1A

These data clearly demonstrate that sample surfaces treated with the exemplary liquid formulation disclosed herein possess a demonstrable biocidal activity at the indicated time frame.

5 Residual disinfectant cleaner examples for homecare

These compositions are formulated using water as the carrier. They are intended for homecare use where VOC regulations prohibit most use of high levels of organic solvents such as alcohols.

Table 5

Components	H1 (wt%)	H2 (wt%)	H3 (wt%)	H4 (wt%)	H5 (wt%)
Water	balance	balance	balance	balance	balance
EDTA tetra sodium	0	0	0	0	0.4
Polyethyloxazoline	1	1	1	0.5	0.5
Ethoxylated alcohol #1	0.33	0	0	0	0
Ethoxylated alcohol #2	0	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
Quaternary ammonium compound	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Ethanolamine	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Wetting Agent	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

10

The residual efficacy of these formulations were assessed using EP01-1A protocol and the results are listed in the following Table.

Table 6

Formulation	EP01-1A (average log reduction)
-------------	---------------------------------

	bacterial)
H1	3.53
H2	5.50
H3	5.50
H4	4.90
H5	3.80

Enterobacter aerogenes was the bacterial for H1 testing and Staphylococcus aureus was the bacteria used in the testing for the rest of the formulations.

The testing results demonstrate that the H1 to H5 all provide residual efficacy to the treated surfaces. The cleaning performance was also evaluated using the modified ASTM D4488 test method.

The testing results also clearly visually showed the formulation of present invention not only provided residual efficacy against bacterial but also good cleaning performance on soiled surfaces.

Additional formulations set forth in the Tables below were tested for home care and home cleaning applications. To solubilize the fragrance, a pre-mix is prepared containing the fragrance, quaternary ammonium compound, surfactant and glycol ether if present.

Table 7 - Light Duty Protectant Formulations

Component	P1 (wt %)	P2 (wt %)	P3 (wt %)	P4 (wt %)	P5 (wt %)	P6 (wt %)	P7 (wt %)	P8 (wt %)	P9 (wt %)	P10 (wt %)	P11 (wt %)	P12 (wt %)	P13 (wt %)	P14 (wt %)	P15 (wt %)
Polyethyl-oxazoline	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50
Quaternary ammonium compound	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.10
Fragrance	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Wetting agent	0.30									0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Amine Oxide		0.30				0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30						
Ethoxylated Cationic surfactant			0.30												
Dicoco quat				0.30											
Ethoxylated alcohol					0.30										
Tri-ethanolamine						0.50				0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
NaEDTA							0.10								

Sodium metasilicate pentahydrate								0.10							
Sodium Carbonate									0.10						
Water*	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B

Component	P16 (wt %)	P17 (wt %)	P18 (wt %)	P19 (wt %)	P20 (wt %)	P21 (wt %)	P22 (wt %)	P23 (wt %)	P24 (wt %)	P25 (wt %)	P26 (wt %)	P27 (wt %)	P28 (wt %)	P29 (wt %)
Polyethyl-oxazoline	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.50
Quaternary ammonium compound	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Fragrance	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Wetting agent														
Amine Oxide	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30										
Ethoxylated Cationic surfactant														
Dicoco quat														
Ethoxylated alcohol					0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Tri-ethanolamine	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50						
NaEDTA									0.10			0.10		
Sodium metasilicate pentahydrate										0.10			0.10	
Sodium Carbonate											0.10			0.10
Water*	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B

*B means balance water

Table 8 - All Purpose Cleaner Formulations

Component	A1 (wt %)	A2 (wt %)	A3 (wt %)	A4 (wt %)	A5 (wt %)	A6 (wt %)	A7 (wt %)	A8 (wt %)	A9 (wt %)	A10 (wt %)	A11 (wt %)	A12 (wt %)	A13 (wt %)	A14 (wt %)	A15 (wt %)
Polyethyl-oxazoline	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.20	1.00	1.20	1.00
Quaternary ammonium compound	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.40	0.80	0.40
Fragrance	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Amine Oxide		0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.60	0.45	0.45	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.45
Ethoxylated Alcohol 1	0.50														
Ethoxylated Alcohol 2															
Alkyl-polyglucoside															
Tri-ethanolamine								1.0							
Glycol Ether 1															5.00
Glycol Ether 2															
NaEDTA			0.40												
Sodium metasilicate pentahydrate				0.10					0.25	0.25	0.25	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Sodium Carbonate					0.10										
STPP						0.10									
TKPP							0.10								
Water*	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B

Component	A16 (wt %)	A17 (wt %)	A18 (wt %)	A19 (wt %)	A20 (wt %)	A21 (wt %)	A22 (wt %)	A23 (wt %)	A24 (wt %)	A25 (wt %)	A26 (wt %)	A27 (wt %)	A28 (wt %)	A29 (wt %)	A30 (wt %)	A31 (wt %)	A32 (wt %)
Polyethyl-oxazoline	1.0	0.80	0.80	1.0	1.00	1.20	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Quaternary ammonium compound	0.80	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Fragrance	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Amine Oxide	0.60	0.60	0.60	1.50	1.20	0.60									0.60		

Ethoxylated Alcohol 1								0.10		0.20			0.60	0.60		0.80	
Ethoxylated Alcohol 2									0.10		0.20	0.20					0.80
Alkyl-polyglucoside							0.60	0.50	0.50	0.40	0.40	0.40					
Tri-ethanolamine				0.50								0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Glycol Ether 1			5.00										2.40			2.40	
Glycol Ether 2														2.40	2.40		2.40
NaEDTA																	
Sodium metasilicate pentahydrate	0.10	0.10						0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05					
Sodium Carbonate																	
STPP																	
TKPP																	
Water*	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B

Table 9 - Bathroom Cleaner Formulations

Component	B1 (wt %)	B2 (wt %)	B3 (wt %)	B4 (wt %)	B5 (wt %)	B6 (wt %)	B7 (wt %)	B8 (wt %)	B9 (wt %)	B10 (wt %)	B11 (wt %)	B12 (wt %)	B13 (wt %)	B14 (wt %)	B15 (wt %)
Polyethyl-oxazoline	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Quaternary ammonium compound	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Fragrance	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Amine Oxide	0.84		0.42	0.84		0.42	0.84		0.42	0.84		0.42	0.84		0.42
Ethoxylated alcohol 1		0.84			0.84			0.84			0.84			0.84	
Ethoxylated alcohol 2			0.50			0.50			0.50			0.50			0.50
Glycol Ether				4.00	4.00	4.00				4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
NaEDTA	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90									
Citric Acid							2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50			
Sulfamic Acid													2.50	2.50	2.50
Water*	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B

Component	B16 (wt %)	B17 (wt %)	B18 (wt %)	B19 (wt %)	B20 (wt %)	B21 (wt %)	B22 (wt %)	B23 (wt %)	B24 (wt %)
Polyethyl-oxazoline	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Quaternary ammonium compound	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Fragrance	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Amine Oxide	0.80		0.40	0.80		0.40	0.80		0.40
Ethoxylated alcohol 1		0.80			0.80			0.80	
Ethoxylated alcohol 2			0.50			0.50			0.50
Glycol Ether						4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
NaEDTA									
Citric Acid	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50			
Sulfamic Acid							2.50	2.50	2.50
Water*	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B

5 A concentrate of a water based formulation is prepared by removing a portion of the water from the formulation, concentrating the remaining raw materials. The resulting concentrate can then be diluted back to use strength prior to use. The 5 times and 10 times concentrates are typically used in the janitorial and sanitization supply industries with customers in the hospitality area. Concentrates can be prepared at 15 times, 20 times, or

even higher levels, as desired, and still be within the scope of the present invention. Concentrates are desirable in these industries as it reduces shipping costs and conserves storage space. Table 10 illustrates example concentrate formulations in an embodiment of the invention.

5 Table 10 – Concentrate Formulations

Component	Protectant 5X	Protectant 10X	Protectant 20X	All Purpose Cleaner 5X	All Purpose Cleaner 10X	All Purpose Cleaner 20X	Bathroom Cleaner 5X	Bathroom Cleaner 10X	Bathroom Cleaner 20X
Polyethyl-oxazoline	5.0	10	20	5.0	10	20	5.0	10	20
Quaternary ammonium compound	1.0	2.0	4	2.5	5.0	10	1.6	3.2	6.4
Fragrance	0.25	0.50	1.0	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.75	1.5	3.0
Surfactant	0.50	1.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	16	3.0	6.0	12
Glycol Ether				12.5	25	50			
TEA	2.5	5.0	10						
Citric Acid							12.5	25	50
Water*	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B

Comparative Example

Multiple polymeric compounds were tested for the capability to provide persistent bioburden reduction utilizing the EPA 01-1A protocol against *Enterobacter aerogenes*. 50
 10 microL of each formulation was allowed to dry on glass carriers before being subjected to the test. Each test was conducted in the presence of 5% FBS. As noted in Table 11, the combination of polyoxazoline and quaternary ammonium provided a synergistic residual sanitizing benefit.

Table 11

	Formula 1	Formula 2	Formula 3	Formula 4	Formula 5
Active Ingredient	Quaternary Ammonium Blend	Quaternary Ammonium blend	Quaternary Ammonium blend	Quaternary Ammonium blend	Quaternary Ammonium blend
Polymer	3 Glycidoxypentyl-trimethoxysilane	Trimethoxysilyl-propyl (Polyethyleneimine) SSP-060	acrylic emulsion	polyamide resin	polyoxazoline
Aesthetics	hazy film	tacky film when dry	clear, non-tacky film	clear, non-tacky film	clear, non-tacky film
Associated Log Reduction	NT*	NT*	0.5 log reduction	1.8 log reduction	5 log reduction

* indicates not tested (NT) due to poor aesthetics

Example – Wipe formulation

Wipe formulations A-I were prepared and tested on various substrates.

5 In the tests for Formulations A-F, 8 grams of formulation was added to a 7" x 8", 100% PP, 34 gram per square meter substrate. The substrate, which was obtained from Rockline Industries, was a Kimberly Clark melt blown product. The results are shown in Table 12 below.

Table 12

	A (wt%)	B (wt%)	C (wt%)	D (wt%)	E (wt%)	F (wt%)
Aquazol 500	1.50	1.50	1.50	0.60	0.90	1.20
BTC 885 (50% Active)	0.45	0.90	1.43	1.00	1.00	1.00
Tomadol 25- 12	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Fragrance	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Water	balance	balance	balance	balance	balance	balance
Log Reduction*	2.4	3.9	4.7	<0.5	2.8	3.2
Result *	Fail	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass

10

* Clorox method 01-1A results – The method was adapted to wipes by folding the substrate lengthwise into 1" folds then wrapping the folded substrate strip across the second, third and fourth fingers. One pass was made across the glass carriers. A log reduction greater than 3.0 was required to be considered passing.

15 In each of the tests for Formulations G and H, 11 grams of a formulation was added to a 7" x 8" 100% polyester substrate from US Nonwovens (Item # XNW-8045). The results are shown in Table 13 below.

Table 13

	G (wt %)	H (wt %)
--	-------------	-------------

Aquazol 500	1.50	1.50
BTC 885 (50% Active)	1.00	1.40
Tomadol 25-12	0.20	0.20
Fragrance	0.10	0.10
Water	balance	balance
Log Reduction*	2.2	4.1
Result*	Fail	Pass

* Clorox method 01-1A results – The method was adapted to wipes by folding the substrate lengthwise into 1” folds then wrapping the folded substrate strip across the second, third and fourth fingers. One pass was made across the glass carriers. A log
5 reduction greater than 3.0 was required to be considered passing.

In tests for Formulation I, 8 grams of the formulation was added to a 7” x 8”, 100% polypropylene (PP), 35 grams per square meter substrate. The substrate was from Diamond wipes (Item # P50535). The results are shown in Table 14 below.

Table 14

	I (wt %)
Aquazol 500	1.50
BTC 885 (50% Active)	0.90
Tomadol 25-12	0.20
Fragrance	0.10
Water	balance
Log Reduction*	4.3
Result*	Pass

10

* Clorox method 01-1A results – The method was adapted to wipes by folding the substrate lengthwise into 1” folds then wrapping the folded substrate strip across the second, third and fourth fingers. One pass was made across the glass carriers. A log
15 reduction greater than 3.0 was required to be considered passing.

It will therefore be readily understood by those persons skilled in the art that the present composition and methods are susceptible of broad utility and application. Many

embodiments and adaptations other than those herein described, as well as many variations, modifications and equivalent arrangements, will be apparent from or reasonably suggested to one of ordinary skill by the present disclosure and the foregoing description thereof, without departing from the substance or scope thereof.

5 Accordingly, while the present composition and methods have been described herein in detail in relation to its preferred embodiment, it is to be understood that this disclosure is only illustrative and exemplary and is made merely for purposes of providing a full and enabling disclosure.

 The foregoing disclosure is not intended or to be construed to limit or otherwise to
10 exclude any such other embodiments, adaptations, variations, modifications and equivalent arrangements.

What is claimed is:

1. A wipe having a residual biocidal property, the wipe comprising:

a substrate comprised of a fibrous material, and

a formulation present on or in the substrate;

5 wherein the formulation comprises a poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline), a quaternary ammonium compound or combination of quaternary ammonium compounds, a surfactant, water, and an optional fragrance.

10

2. The wipe according to claim 1, wherein the substrate is water absorbent, water adsorbent, or both.

3. The wipe according to claim 1, wherein the substrate is comprised of a material
15 selected from the group consisting of a natural material, a synthetic material, and a combination thereof.

4. The wipe according to claim 3, wherein the natural material is selected from the group consisting of cotton, linen, silk, wool, hemp, flax, and a combination thereof.

20

5. The wipe according to claim 3, wherein the synthetic material is selected from the group consisting of polypropylene, polystyrene, polyester, nylon, rayon, acrylic, spandex, and a combination thereof.

25 6. The wipe according to claim 1, wherein the substrate is woven or non-woven.

7. The wipe according to claim 1, wherein non-woven substrate is selected from the group consisting of melt-blown, coformed, spunbonded, airlaid, hydroentangled, spunlaced, bonded, carded, laminated, and a combination thereof.

5

8. The wipe according to claim 1, wherein the quaternary ammonium compound or combination of quaternary ammonium compounds is in a ratio to poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline) of 1:4 to 1:1.

10 9. The wipe according to claim 1, wherein the poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline) is in an amount of 1 weight % to 5 weight %, and the weight percentage is based on total weight of the formulation.

10. The wipe according to claim 1, wherein the quaternary ammonium compound is in
15 an amount of at least 0.2 weight percent, and the weight percentage is based on total weight of the formulation.

11. The wipe according to claim 10, wherein the quaternary ammonium compound is in an amount of 0.2 weight percent to 2 weight percent, and the weight percentage is based
20 on total weight of the formulation.

12. The wipe according to claim 1, wherein the surfactant is a nonionic surfactant.

13. The wipe according to claim 1, wherein the surfactant is an alcohol ethoxylate.

25

14. The wipe according to claim 13, wherein the alcohol ethoxylate is in an amount of 0.05 weight % to 0.5 weight %, and the weight percentage is based on total weight of the formulation.

5 15. The wipe according to claim 13, wherein the alcohol ethoxylate is a linear alcohol ethoxylate with at least 9 moles of ethylene oxide.

16. The wipe according to claim 1, wherein the fragrance is in an amount of 0 weight % to 0.5 weight %, and the weight percentage is based on total weight of the formulation.

10

17. The wipe according to claim 1, wherein the formulation further comprises an alcohol, a salt, an acid, or a combination thereof.

18. A wipe having a residual biocidal property, the wipe comprising:

15

a substrate comprised of a fibrous material, and

a formulation present on or in the substrate;

wherein the formulation comprises:

1 weight % to 2 weight % of a poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline),

at least 0.4 weight % of a quaternary ammonium compound or combination

20

of quaternary ammonium compounds,

0.1 weight % to 0.3 weight % of an alcohol ethoxylate with at least 9 moles of ethylene oxide, and

a balance of water,

wherein weight percentages are based on total weight of the formulation.

25

19. The wipe according to claim 18, wherein the substrate is comprised of a material selected from the group consisting of a natural material, a synthetic material, and a combination thereof.

5 20. The wipe according to claim 19, wherein the synthetic material is selected from the group consisting of polypropylene, polystyrene, polyester, nylon, rayon, acrylic, spandex, and a combination thereof.

21. The wipe according to claim 18, wherein the alcohol ethoxylate has at least 12
10 moles of ethylene oxide.

22. The wipe according to claim 18, further comprising 0.05 weight percent to 0.2 weight percent of a fragrance.

15 23. A disinfectant formulation comprising:

1 weight % to 2 weight % of a poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline),

at least 0.4 weight % of a quaternary ammonium compound or combination
of quaternary ammonium compounds,

0.1 weight % to 0.3 weight % of an alcohol ethoxylate with at least 9 moles
20 of ethylene oxide, and

a balance of water,

wherein weight percentages are based on total weight of the formulation, and

wherein the formulation provides up to 24-hour residual sanitization activity.

24. The disinfectant formulation according to claim 23, wherein the alcohol ethoxylate has at least 12 moles of ethylene oxide.

25. A disinfectant formulation comprising:

5 a polymer binder in a range of 0.1% to 20% based on the weight of the disinfectant formulation, wherein the polymer binder is an oxazoline homopolymer or an extended or a modified polymer based on an oxazoline homopolymer,

a biocidal compound in a range of 0.05% to 20% based on the weight of the disinfectant formulation,

10 a surfactant in a range of 0.01% to 20% based on the weight of the disinfectant formulation,

a pH adjusting agent in a range of 0.01% to 10% based on the weight of the disinfectant formulation,

15 glycol ether in a range of 1% to 50% based on the weight of the disinfectant formulation, and

water in a range of 0% to 99.9% based on the weight of the disinfectant formulation.