



- (51) **International Patent Classification:**  
*B24B 1/00* (2006.01) *C04B 41/68* (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**  
PCT/US2014/014503
- (22) **International Filing Date:**  
3 February 2014 (03.02.2014)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**  
61/759,879 1 February 2013 (01.02.2013) US
- (71) **Applicant:** GLOBAL POLISHING SYSTEMS LLC  
[US/US]; 10624 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite A 603, Henderson, NV 89052 (US).
- (72) **Inventor:** WETHERELL, Mark; 10624 S Eastern Avenue, Suite A 603, Henderson, NV 89052 (US).
- (74) **Agents:** NEWMAN, Richard, H. et al.; HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC, 450 West Fourth Street, Royal Oak, MI 48067 (US).
- (81) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,

BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

- (84) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published:**

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))



WO 2014/121230 A1

(54) **Title:** CONCRETE CUTTING, POLISHING AND COLORING TREATMENT SOLUTIONS

(57) **Abstract:** Systems and methods for treating concrete, which includes the steps of wetting a surface of concrete with a amorphous colloidal silica, allowing time for the colloidal silica to penetrate the concrete surface, and cutting the surface of the concrete with a bladed tool wherein the longitudinal blade portion is positioned approximately at an angle between 30 degrees and 90 degrees relative to the surface of the concrete.

## CONCRETE CUTTING, POLISHING AND COLORING TREATMENT SOLUTIONS

### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/759,879, filed February 1, 2013, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference.

### TECHNICAL FIELD

[0002] The invention, in various embodiments, relates generally to methods and products for use in treating concrete, masonry, or stone, and, more specifically, for use in the grinding and polishing of concrete.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0003] Concrete is a composite material generally defined as a mixture of two components, aggregates and paste. The paste, which typically includes cement, commonly Portland cement, and water, binds the aggregates (sand and gravel or crushed stone) into a rocklike mass as the paste hardens. The paste hardens because of the chemical hydration reaction between the cement and water.

[0004] Concrete surfaces have traditionally been polished using a series of finer and finer abrasives in order to produce a shiny, hard, durable surface. These abrasives have been attached to a machine in order to move them across the surface. These machines are by nature heavy and normally have a fairly rigid deck which is difficult or at least cumbersome to maneuver.

[0005] The majority of concrete slabs are not flat. As machines with fixed abrasives move across the surface, the larger sized grit abrasives used extend further down into the undulations

on the surface and leave scratches in the low spots. These low spots are very difficult to remove since the abrasive on the next grit of diamond tools only extends outward about half as far as the previous diamond. It therefore cannot reach the low spot created by the abrasive. This issue can often result in exposing the aggregate, because as each diamond is used, it is necessary to drive it down against the surface to try to remove the scratches left from the previous tool. As stock is removed, it requires working either in dust if cutting dry or through a slurry if cutting wet. It is difficult to see what is being accomplished under either condition. If the concrete is hard and the tool being worked with is too hard to fret then the diamonds will glaze and not remove the previous scratch. If a dry resin bonded diamonds are used then the scratches fill with resin which comes out later. The end product of dry polished floors is a high gloss with little to no clarity in the floor.

[0006] It should be readily apparent from the above that the problems with the grinding and polishing processes are many and varied. Accordingly, there is a continuing need to improve upon existing concrete cutting and polishing systems and methods.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0007] In some embodiments, the invention is directed to a cutting compound that provides several advantageous in conjunction to stock removal and polishing. The cutting material acts as the abrasive so that the machine does not have to use progressive grits of diamond abrasives applied to the surface of the floor. This compound of the invention is used in conjunction with the shape and angle of the tool according to the method of the invention in order to remove material from the floor while at the same time not producing the traditional scratches. This compound is substantially neutral in pH until it has been activated by a catalyst. The particle

size begins a transformation in size due to the catalyst. The benefit of this system is that it doesn't rely on the abrasive in the tool to create scratches, but rather, it uses the edge of the tool to literally shave the surface of the concrete to produce an end result. Since it does not create scratches in the floor, there are no scratches to be removed.

[0008] Some embodiments are directed to a method for grinding and polishing dried concrete, comprising the steps of: wetting a surface of dried concrete with a solution including amorphous colloidal silica; permitting the colloidal silica to penetrate the concrete surface for a period of time, cutting the surface of the concrete with a bladed tool having the blade portion at an approximate angle between 30 degrees and 90 degrees relative to the surface of the concrete.

[0009] In some embodiments, wetting the surface includes soaking the surface with the amorphous colloidal silica. The method may further include the step of soaking the surface with water or an aqueous solution before wetting the surface with the amorphous colloidal silica. In some embodiments, wherein the process of cutting the surface comprises shaving and removing the surface ridges from the concrete surface. The method may further include the step of applying a densifier to the cut surface. In some embodiments, the method further includes the steps of allowing the surface to dry and applying a coloring product.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0010] The invention is directed to methods for grinding and polishing concrete and compounds for facilitating such methods.

[0011] Rather than cutting the concrete with a variety of diamond abrasive grits, the method of the invention involves wetting the concrete with a compound prior to applying a tool to shave the

ridges on the concrete. The compounds of the invention are used for facilitating the stock removal and polishing of concrete.

[0012] The compound which may be used according to the non-limiting exemplary methods described herein below may comprise amorphous colloidal silicas and a fluid which exhibits hydrophobic properties when applied to the concrete. In some embodiments, the colloidal silicas have a particle size which ranges from about 1 to about 10 nanometers. In other embodiments, the colloidal silicas have a particle size which ranges from about 3 nm to about 9 nm. In some embodiments, the aforementioned compound further includes one or more surfactants. Other ingredients may include water, disinfectants and fragrances.

[0013] Dried concrete may have pores in the size range of 30 nm in diameter, while the amorphous colloidal silica in solution is about 7nm in diameter. The solution, which may be water, carries the amorphous colloidal silica into the slab via capillary action through the larger concrete pores. After a period of dwell time, the amorphous colloidal silica has been found to penetrate to about 10 to about 12 mm into the concrete slab.

[0014] After wetting the concrete slab with the solution containing amorphous silica, the method of the invention then includes the step of “shaving” the concrete by applying the blade of a tool to the surface of the wetted concrete slab at an angle, which may be from about 1 degrees to about 90 degrees relative to the plane of the surface, but is preferably between 30 degrees and 50 degrees relative to the plane of the surface.

[0015] The application of the tool also applies pressure to the solution wetting the concrete surface which facilitates driving the amorphous colloidal silica deeper into the slab. It has been found that the amorphous colloidal silica will penetrate as much as 18 mm into the concrete slab with the application of pressure.

[0016] Once into the slab, the amorphous silica has been found to crosslink with the lime, portland cement and sodium silicate in the slab, thus hardening the slab. In addition, the amorphous silica will link with the concrete shavings and dust, thus reducing dust in the atmosphere while also further hardening the concrete slab.

[0017] Since the shape of the tool does the work as much stock can be removed from the floor as needed with a single tool. It does not matter if it is a 30 grit tool or a 400 grit tool and no progressive use of grits is needed. If abrasives are encapsulated in the bond of the tool, then the tool can only create scratches that are the size of the abrasive. As discussed above, a 30 grit tool will only create a 30 grit scratch. Once it achieves a consistent 30 grit scratch it will not remove any more material. If the tool is not exposing fresh material then the surface heats, the tool will glaze and not take any more material off of the surface. With the cutting compound of the invention only a single bond of the tool is needed.

[0018] Traditional methods require hard, medium, and soft bonds in order to effectively remove stock, which require knowledge of the hardness of the slab in order to properly pick the proper tool to do the work. Hard bonded tools are required for soft concrete and soft bonded tools are required for hard concrete. If a hard bonded tool is used on hard concrete then the tool will glaze and not work. If the tool is run too fast then it will heat up and glaze. If a soft tool is run on soft concrete then it will wear out very quickly. With the cutting compound of the invention, it is not necessary to know the hardness of the concrete.

[0019] The cutting compound of the invention reduces the labor required to grind or polish the floor. Traditional methods require a diamond abrasive that is half as large as the previous diamond. This is true because the base of the cut never changes; only the peaks of the scratches are removed. So a sequence of tools starting with 30 would be 30, 50, 100, 50 semi metal, 100

resin, 200 resin, 400 resin, 800 resin. To achieve the same level of finish with the cutting compound would be 30 metals, 100 metals, 400 ceramic, 800 resins. Labor is reduced by a minimum of 50%. There are no incomplete scratches in the surface because the edge of the tool is being used and not the diamond abrasives. The last two steps traditionally completed with such tools may be replaced using the compound and products of the invention with zero diamond abrasives in the tool. There has been no difference in the end product. Because the abrasive is not fixed there is no drag on the surface. There is no roll out of the aggregate in the surface. The profile in the surface is consistent. The aggregate and the matrix cut at the same rate. Traditional means and methods create a significant amount of rollout because it cuts like the tip of a knife. When it hits a piece of sand or aggregate the hard material rolls out of the surface. The result of using the cutting compound is a denser more uniform polish as there are no voids in the surface of the polish since the abrasive is not fixed it is free floating.

**[0020]** The cutting compound of the invention does not require a heavy piece of equipment to remove significant amounts of stock from the slab. The cutting compound is wetter than water. Concrete cuts more easily when it is wet. Surface temperatures are reduced and abrasives don't glaze because they are cool. The cutting compound is abrasive and the abrasive is smaller than the porosity of the slab. The longer the dwell time of cutting compound the deeper into the slab it will penetrate. By moving the compound into the concrete it makes the concrete easier to cut.

**[0021]** The compound of the invention will not cut after it has dried it will however polish. If heavy aggregate exposure is desired then starting with a metal bonded rectangular tool is appropriate. If a surface cream polish is desired, then starting with a chamfered ceramic tool is appropriate. The angle that the tool addresses the surface of the concrete will determine the amount of material that is removed. After you have determined what tool to begin your work

you would go through the sequence of tools to achieve the desired results. For heavy stock removal use a tool that has a 90 degree edge to the tool, this would include rectangles, squares, straight edge, rombus any metal bonded tool where the edge comes straight down and the face on the floor creates a 90 degree edge. For moderate stock removal use a tool that has a radius edge on the outside of the tool and a 90 degree edge on the inside of the tool. The radius edge does not gouge the surface and the 90 degree interior edge still removes a significant amount of stock. For light stock removal a chamfered edge of less than 90 degrees is required. An interior edge of 90 is still acceptable but not required.

**[0022]** In some embodiments, a dry resin may be applied as the last step to produce a high polish. The surface may then be tested by applying water to floor and letting it sit for approximately three minutes. Enlarge the area occupied by water if the area under the original area is darker than the last area wet there is penetration. If it is all the same color the surface must be opened by either mechanical or chemical means. Super saturating the surface with water will create an environment that allows for aggressive deep cutting of the concrete.

**[0023]** In some embodiments, the method of the invention includes spraying the compound of the invention with amorphous colloidal silica onto the surface, allowing it to sit for 30 minutes and then grind the surface to produce slurry that has the consistency of heavy shaving cream. This will facilitate cutting the concrete by applying the blade to shave the concrete surface. Water may be needed to add to the material to keep it from drying out. As more concrete is removed from the slab, the material may tend to dry out.

**[0024]** Color may also be added to the concrete using a coloring product as described herein. In some embodiments, the coloring product includes the materials as shown in the table below:

Ingredient	%	CAS #	OSHA	ACGIH	OTHER
Silica, amorphous, precipitated	10-15%	7631-86-9	80mg/M <sup>3</sup> / %SiO <sub>2</sub>		10ppm
2-Butoxyethanol		111-76-2	50 ppm	20 ppm	N/A
Glycol Ether DB		112-34-5	50 ppm	20 ppm	N/A
Glycol Ether EP		2807-30-9	Not Established	Not Established	
propan-2-ol	5-7%	67-63-0	400 ppm	400 ppm	
Cr (III) (complexed in dye	2-5%	7440-47-3	0.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	
Water	60-70%	7732-18-5	Not Established	Not Established	N/A

[0025] Coloring products, such as those described herein, can be used with any carrier to impart color into concrete, such as water, acetone, or chemical densifiers. One advantage of the coloring product of the invention is that it is 100% miscible and hydrophilic. If there is water in the slab, which is quite common, then the other materials that use a salt as a pigment are pushed out of the slab. If the RH of the air is lower than the RH of the slab then the moisture will leave the slab and move into the air. If a pigment has been used to color the slab it will leave with the water. Another advantage to the coloring product of the invention is that it can be driven along with the silica of the compounds, such as the cutting compound of the invention, into the concrete, at least because the silica is porous and clear and the coloring product colors the silica and the silica penetrates the slab. The depth of penetration can be as much as about 10 to about 12mm into the concrete slab, but may be greater. A non-limiting exemplary method of use of the coloring product of the invention is described as follows:

1. Cut until floor is smooth. Two passes with a head speed of 3-400rps is sufficient.
2. Apply the coloring product at a rate of 400 sq ft per gallon. Floor MUST be dry prior to application of this material.

3. Apply Sher-Crete Clear Concrete Hardener to floor at 400- 600 sq. ft. per gallon, leaving the product on the floor for at least 30 minutes.
5. Dry Polish floor using an 800 grit tool.
6. Scrub and rinse floor, if necessary.
7. Seal using Shercrete Sealer for Stained and Polished Concrete. Apply two coats cut 50/50 with water at a rate of 2000 square feet per gallons, using a Hudson sprayer and a microfiber applicator.
8. Burnish the floor with a Hogs hair or black pad.

[0026] It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that while the invention has been described above in connection with particular embodiments and examples, the invention is not necessarily so limited, and that numerous other embodiments, examples, uses, modifications and departures from such embodiments, examples and uses are all intended to be encompassed by the spirit and scope of the invention as described herein and would be understood to one of ordinary skill in the art.

## CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method for grinding and polishing dried concrete, comprising the steps of:  
wetting a surface of dried concrete with a solution including amorphous colloidal silica;  
permitting the colloidal silica to penetrate the concrete surface over time  
cutting the surface of the concrete with a bladed tool having the blade portion at an  
approximate at an angle between 30 degrees and 90 degrees relative to the surface of the  
concrete.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein wetting the surface includes soaking the surface with the  
amorphous colloidal silica.
3. The method of claim 2, further comprising the step of soaking the surface with water or  
an aqueous solution before wetting the surface with the amorphous colloidal silica.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the step of cutting the surface comprises shaving surface  
ridges from the concrete surface.
5. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of:  
applying a densifier to the cut surface.

6. The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of allowing the surface to dry and applying a coloring product.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein wetting comprises wetting a surface of fully cured concrete.

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International application No.  
PCT/US14/14503

<p><b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b>                  IPC(8) - B24B 1/00, 7/18; C04B 41/68 (2014.01)                  USPC - 451/28, 60, 353                  According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC</p>																	
<p><b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>                  Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)                  IPC(8): B24B 1/00, 7/18; C04B 41/68 (2014.01)                  USPC: 451/28, 60, 353</p> <p>Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched</p> <p>Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)                  MicroPatent (US-G, US-A, EP-A, EP-B, WO, JP-bib, DE-C,B, DE-A, DE-T, DE-U, GB-A, FR-A); Google Scholar; IP.com; ProQuest; concrete, cement, stone, grind, grate, cut, slice, nick, cleave, carve, trim, polish, varnish, glaze, colloidal silica, silicon dioxide, silica, Ludox, solution, aqueous, water, angle, degrees, perpendicular</p>																	
<p><b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category*</th> <th>Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages</th> <th>Relevant to claim No.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>US 6,454,632 B1 (JONES, JW et al.) 24 September 2002; column 2, lines 23-28; column 3, lines 29-31; column 4, lines 10-67; column 7, lines 25-35; column 8, lines 25-64; column 9, lines 1-13</td> <td>1-7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>WO 2004/035473 A1 (GREENWOOD, P) 29 April 2004; page 1, lines 25-29; page 5, lines 21-22; page 6, lines 30-37</td> <td>1-7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>US 2008/0019774 A1 (STENZEL, OW) 24 January 2008; figure 6; paragraphs [0061], [0067]-[0068]; claim 1</td> <td>1-7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>US 2009/0221212 A1 (PALUSHAJ, S) 03 September 2009; entire document</td> <td>1-7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	Y	US 6,454,632 B1 (JONES, JW et al.) 24 September 2002; column 2, lines 23-28; column 3, lines 29-31; column 4, lines 10-67; column 7, lines 25-35; column 8, lines 25-64; column 9, lines 1-13	1-7	Y	WO 2004/035473 A1 (GREENWOOD, P) 29 April 2004; page 1, lines 25-29; page 5, lines 21-22; page 6, lines 30-37	1-7	Y	US 2008/0019774 A1 (STENZEL, OW) 24 January 2008; figure 6; paragraphs [0061], [0067]-[0068]; claim 1	1-7	A	US 2009/0221212 A1 (PALUSHAJ, S) 03 September 2009; entire document	1-7
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.															
Y	US 6,454,632 B1 (JONES, JW et al.) 24 September 2002; column 2, lines 23-28; column 3, lines 29-31; column 4, lines 10-67; column 7, lines 25-35; column 8, lines 25-64; column 9, lines 1-13	1-7															
Y	WO 2004/035473 A1 (GREENWOOD, P) 29 April 2004; page 1, lines 25-29; page 5, lines 21-22; page 6, lines 30-37	1-7															
Y	US 2008/0019774 A1 (STENZEL, OW) 24 January 2008; figure 6; paragraphs [0061], [0067]-[0068]; claim 1	1-7															
A	US 2009/0221212 A1 (PALUSHAJ, S) 03 September 2009; entire document	1-7															
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/></p>																	
<p>* Special categories of cited documents:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family	"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed						
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention																
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone																
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art																
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family																
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed																	
<p>Date of the actual completion of the international search 17 April 2014 (17.04.2014)</p>		<p>Date of mailing of the international search report <b>29 MAY 2014</b></p>															
<p>Name and mailing address of the ISA/US                  Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents                  P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450                  Facsimile No. 571-273-3201</p>		<p>Authorized officer: Shane Thomas</p> <p>PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774</p>															