

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 907 698 B1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(45) Date of publication and mention
of the grant of the patent:
03.09.2003 Bulletin 2003/36

(21) Application number: **97925182.4**

(22) Date of filing: **10.06.1997**

(51) Int Cl.7: **C10M 149/10**, C10M 167/00
// (C10M167/00, 129:93,
133:52, 149:10),
(C10N30/04, 40:25)

(86) International application number:
PCT/GB97/01556

(87) International publication number:
WO 97/047709 (18.12.1997 Gazette 1997/54)

(54) The use of a functionalised viscosity improver in a lubricant for diesel engines

Die Verwendung einer funktionalisierten Viskositätsverbesserer in Schmiermittel für Dieselmotoren

L'utilisation d'un agent améliorant la viscosité fonctionnalisé dans un lubrifiant pour moteurs diesel

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AT BE CH DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC
NL PT SE**

(30) Priority: **12.06.1996 GB 9612278**

(43) Date of publication of application:
14.04.1999 Bulletin 1999/15

(73) Proprietor: **CASTROL LIMITED**
Swindon, Wiltshire SN3 1RE (GB)

(72) Inventors:
• **GOLDBLATT, Irwin**
Edison, NJ 08817 (US)
• **McHENRY, Michael**
Washington, NJ 07882 (US)
• **HENDERSON, Kenneth**
Somerville, NJ 08876 (US)
• **CARLISLE, Danny**
Hungerford, Berkshire RG11 0JR (GB)

- **AINSCOUGH, Niall**
Near Reading RG8 0JT (GB)
- **BROWN, Myron**
Westfield, NJ 07090 (US)
- **TITTEL, Richard**
Jamesburg, NJ 08831 (US)

(74) Representative: **Hymers, Ronald Robson et al**
BP INTERNATIONAL LIMITED
Patents Division
Chertsey Road
Sunbury-on-Thames Middlesex, TW16 7LN (GB)

(56) References cited:
WO-A-96/12746 US-A- 4 146 489
US-A- 4 699 723 US-A- 5 427 702

Remarks:

The file contains technical information submitted
after the application was filed and not included in this
specification

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

EP 0 907 698 B1

Description

[0001] The present invention concerns the use of a functionalised viscosity improver in a lubricant for use in diesel engines, including passenger car and heavy duty commercial diesel engines.

[0002] Diesel engines produce soot as a by-product of the combustion process. The soot increases the viscosity of lubricants used in the diesel engines and eventually the lubricants become too thick to be workable. The soot therefore reduces the working life of the lubricants, and money and time are wasted in early replacement of the unworkable lubricant. The soot also causes wear of the diesel engines, which reduces their working life.

[0003] Lubricants currently on the market for diesel engines typically comprise: 65-80% by weight of base oil; 5-15% by weight of viscosity index improver(s) (VII); and 10-22% by weight of a detergent inhibitor (DI) package. The viscosity index improver(s) (VII) may be a non-dispersant viscosity index improver (NDVII) and/ or a dispersant viscosity index improver (DVII). One function of the detergent inhibitor (DI) package is to provide a dispersant to disperse the soot and thereby prevent soot related viscosity increase of the lubricant and wear on the engine. The choice and amount of viscosity index improver(s) (VII) used in the lubricant, e.g. whether it is a NDVII or a DVII, will affect the choice and amount of dispersant, which is provided by the detergent inhibitor package (DI), present in the lubricant. In conventional formulations, the choice and amount of DI package is normally chosen to provide approximately at least:

a) 6% dispersant(s) in the finished lubricant when using a non-dispersant viscosity index improving agent (NDVII); or

b) 4% dispersant(s) in the finished lubricant when using a traditional dispersant viscosity index improving agent (DVII), such as those available prior to approximately 1994.

[0004] Although it is possible to increase the amount of soot that is dispersed by a lubricant by increasing the amount of dispersant, which is provided by the detergent inhibitor (DI) package, present in the lubricant, increasing the amount of dispersant can cause the following negative effects: it can increase the wear on the engine by interfering with the anti-wear additives in the formulation; it can cause damage to seals in contact with the lubricant; it negatively impacts low temperature viscometrics; and it can increase the cost of the lubricant.

[0005] The inventors of the present invention are therefore concerned with the problem of increasing the amount of soot that is dispersed by a lubricant without increasing the amount of dispersant, which is normally provided by the detergent inhibitor (DI) package, present in the finished lubricant. The inventors are also concerned with the problem of controlling soot related viscosity increase with lower levels of dispersant, which is normally provided by the DI package, than conventionally used by those skilled in the art.

[0006] In accordance with the present invention there is provided use as claimed in claim 1.

[0007] In accordance with the present invention there is also provided a method of controlling viscosity changes of a lubricant in a diesel engine that are caused by build-up of soot, the method comprising using the lubricant defined above as the lubricant in the diesel engine.

[0008] The inventors have found a lubricant that allows an increased amount of soot to be dispersed without increasing the amount of dispersant, which is normally provided by the detergent inhibitor (DI), present in the lubricant.

[0009] The inventors have also found a highly functionalised graft copolymer, which, when incorporated into a lubricant, allows the lubricant to disperse soot produced as a by-product of a diesel engine without adversely affecting the viscosity of the lubricant.

[0010] The inventors have also found that lower than conventional amounts (e.g. 4% or less) of dispersant, which is normally provided by the DI package, may be used in combination with the highly functionalised graft copolymer defined above to control soot related viscosity increase.

[0011] The inventors have also found that the lubricants of the present invention can comprise lower than conventional amounts (e.g. 4% or less) of dispersant, which is normally provided by the detergent inhibitor (DI), and still meet the current industry soot handling tests for passenger car and heavy duty commercial diesel engines.

[0012] By 'adversely affecting the viscosity of the lubricant', we mean increasing the viscosity of the lubricant to an unacceptable level, for example, a level defined in a standard industry test such as the Mack T8 Test.

[0013] Highly functionalised graft copolymers suitable for use in the present invention may be prepared using either a solution grafting process or a melt grafting process.

[0014] Preferred solution graft copolymers suitable for use in the present invention are disclosed in WO 96/12746, which was published on 2nd May 1996.

[0015] Other suitable graft copolymers may be prepared by grafting maleic anhydride on to the polyolefin copolymer and reacting the resulting succinic anhydride with an appropriate nitrogen containing moiety such as polyamine. Polyolefins grafted to the appropriate level may be prepared by following the practices disclosed in, for example, US-A-4,144,181; US-A-4,507,515; US-A-4,557,847; US-A-4,632,769; US-A-4,693,838; US-A-4,707,285; and US-A-5,350,532. Suitable solution graft copolymers may be comprised of Mannich Base condensates.

[0016] WO 96/12746, discloses the reaction materials and reaction conditions that are needed to produce a preferred graft copolymer for use in the present invention. In particular, this document discloses the reaction materials and the reaction conditions that are needed to produce the graft copolymer reaction product and the viscosity index improving agent which can be used in the preferred lubricant of the present invention.

[0017] WO 96/12746, discloses: examples of preferred polyolefins that are suitable for use as a backbone for grafting; preferred graftable monomers that are suitable for being grafted on to the polyolefin backbone; and preferred initiators, solvents and inhibitors that are suitable for the grafting reaction.

[0018] WO 96/12746, also discloses suitable melt reaction conditions for producing the preferred grafted polyolefin by a melt reaction process.

[0019] WO 96/12746, also discloses test methods for determining: the proportions of nitrogen on the grafted copolymer and the process fluid (assuming the reaction is carried out in a process solvent); the amount of residual unreacted graftable monomer in a graft copolymer; the dispersancy of the graft copolymer; the UV/RI ratio; and the aromatic content of solvent or process fluid used in the solution grafting reactions.

[0020] WO 96/12746, also discloses details of the preferred: base oils; graft copolymers; non-grafted polyolefins; other dispersants; detergents; anti-wear agents; anti-oxidants; pour point depressants; and minor ingredients.

[0021] A preferred melt grafted copolymer for use in the present invention is PARATONE 8500®, available from Exxon Chemical Company. US-A-5,427,702 also discloses suitable melt grafted copolymers for use in the present invention. WO 96/12746 also discloses details of melt grafted copolymers for use in the present invention.

[0022] By 'highly functionalised graft copolymer reaction product', we preferably mean a graft copolymer reaction product that has at least 13 mole percent (based on a polymer having a molecular weight of 100,000) of monomer grafted on to the polyolefin copolymer. More preferably, 20 to 30 mole percent or greater of monomer is grafted on to the polyolefin copolymer.

[0023] The highly functionalised graft copolymer reaction product preferably has either:

a) an ADT value of at least 8, more preferably at least 16, when it has been prepared using a solution grafting process; or

b) an ADT value of at least 1 when it has been prepared using a melt grafting process. The ADT test is a method developed by The Rohm & Haas Company for determining the dispersancy of grafted dispersant polyolefins. Details of the test method can be found in the WO 96/12746, which was published on 2nd May 1996.

[0024] The highly functionalised graft copolymer preferably has the property of increasing the viscosity index of a lubricating base oil stock by at least 20 points when present at a level of 1% by weight of solids in the base oil stock.

[0025] The highly functionalised graft copolymer preferably comprises at least one of the following monomers grafted on to the polymer backbone:

1-vinyl-2-pyrrolidinone;
N-vinyl imidazole;
N-allyl imidazole;
1-vinyl pyrrolidone;
2-vinyl pyridine;
4-vinyl pyridine;
N-methyl-N-vinyl-acetamide;
di-allyl formamide;
N-methyl-N-allyl formamide;
N-ethyl-N-allyl formamide;
N-cyclohexyl-N-allyl formamide;
4-methyl-5-vinyl thiazole;
N-allyl di-iso-octyl phenothiazine;
2-methyl-1-vinylimidazole;
3-methyl-1-vinylpyrazole;
N-vinyl-purine;
N-vinyl piperazines;
N-vinyl succinimide;
Vinylpiperidines;
Vinylmorpholines;

and combinations of those materials.

[0026] The highly functionalised graft copolymer preferably comprises at least 1.2% by weight of N-vinylimidazole,

grafted on to the polymer backbone.

[0027] The highly functionalised graft copolymer preferably comprises at least 1.2% by weight of suitable grafted monomers such as succinic anhydride or acid functionality such as fumaric acid which, after functionalizing the polymer backbone, is derivatised with morpholines, tetra pentamine, triethyl tetramine or other suitable amines or nitrogen containing moieties.

[0028] The highly functionalised graft copolymer reaction product preferably comprises a graft copolymer backbone having a weight average molecular weight of from 20,000 to 500,000 and a polydispersivity of less than 10.

[0029] The lubricating oil preferably comprises: lubricant base stock(s) (mineral, synthetic and mixtures thereof); a detergent inhibitor (DI) package; and the highly functionalised graft copolymer defined above. In addition, it may also comprise any standard commercial viscosity index improver (VII).

[0030] The lubricating oil preferably comprises:

- A. more than 75% by weight of lubricant base stock(s);
 - B. from 0.1% to 2.5% by solids weight of the above mentioned highly functionalised graft copolymer; and
 - C. from 0% to 2.5% by solids weight of any commercially available viscosity index improver; and
 - D. from 1% to 20% by weight of a detergent inhibitor (DI) package.
- The lubricant preferably comprises: from 0.1% to less than 10%, preferably from 0.2% to less than 7% by weight, more preferably from 0.2% to less than 4%, even more preferably from 0.2% to less than 2% by weight, of dispersant, which is normally provided by the DI package.

[0031] The lubricant may comprise the usual optional additives such as detergents, extreme pressure antiwear inhibitors, oxidation inhibitors, rust inhibitors, friction modifiers, foam inhibitors and other minor additives. Suitable additives can be found in WO 96/12746.

[0032] It should be clear to persons skilled in the art that WO 96/12746, discloses the details of the highly functionalised graft copolymers that are suitable for use in the present invention to disperse the soot in diesel engines.

[0033] The invention will now be described with reference to the following examples:

EXAMPLES

[0034] WO 96/12746, discloses: specific examples of laboratory preparations of preferred grafted polyolefins; specific examples of pilot plant preparations of preferred graft copolymers; a specific example of a pilot plant preparation of a comparative graft copolymer; specific examples of preparations of preferred graft copolymers and a comparative graft copolymer; and specific examples of preparation of a preferred graft copolymer by extrusion. US-A-5,427,702 also provides details for the preparation of preferred graft copolymers by extrusion. The person skilled in the art is therefore directed to WO 96/12746 and US-A-5,427,702.

[0035] The following tests were conducted to show that lubricants falling within the present invention are capable of dispersing soot produced by diesel engines without adversely affecting the viscosity of the lubricant. All treat rates are given as percent weight.

Illustration of Passenger Car Diesel Soot Handling- BMW TDS

Test Procedure- BMW TDS 80 HOUR TEST

[0036] The BMW TDS test was carried out using a new type M51 engine for each series of tests. The engine was a 2.5 litre, 6 cylinder, turbocharged and intercooled indirect injection diesel engine. The engine was adapted for engine test bed operation. The modifications were made to the fuel system, the oil cooling system and the engine cooling system.

[0037] After the engine was installed ready for the test, a 7 hour break-in procedure was run. The break-in procedure was followed by an 80 hour reference test using a high quality, commercially available, fully synthetic engine oil. The BMW TDS test involved a 15 hour period of increasing engine speeds and loads, which was then repeated twice for a total of 45 hours running time. This was followed by a 5 hour period of low/ medium speed with maximum load, followed by 5 hours of medium speed with maximum load. The test was completed by 25 hours at maximum engine speed and load. The test conditions are given below.

[0038] Following the reference test on a new engine, 4 candidate runs were conducted. Prior to each candidate, a comprehensive engine flush was performed to remove all traces of the previous oil. The candidates were compared to the reference run because the engine used in the test was not built to laboratory standards. Evaluation of each test was by sludge measurement, oil consumption and used oil analysis.

[0039] In the examples below, the detergent inhibitor (DI) package was constant, with the exception of the type and

amount of dispersant present.

Example A1 (comparative)

[0040] A 15W50 oil was blended in Exxon base stocks with a NDVII (an unfunctionalised olefin copolymer, Paratone 8002®, available from Exxon Chemical Company). The level of dispersant (LZ6420®, available from Lubrizol Corp.) present in the lubricant formulation was 6.5%.

Example A2

[0041] As for Example A1 except that the dispersant level was reduced to 1.5% in the finished lubricant and over half of the NDVII was replaced with a highly functionalised graft copolymer (0.88% weight by solids). The highly functionalised graft copolymer was the graft copolymer prepared in Example 10 of WO 96/12746.

Results

[0042] These are summarised in the tables below.

[0043] The results from Examples A1 and A2 were very similar, despite the fact that the level of dispersant in the finished lubricant was 6.5% in Example A1 but only 1.5% in Example A2.

Conclusion

[0044] These results show that use of the highly functionalised graft copolymer defined in the present patent application at 0.88% weight by solids provides the same dispersancy as the use of 5% dispersant, which is provided by the DI package, in the finished lubricant formulation. Therefore, the use of the highly functionalised graft copolymer makes it possible to control soot related viscosity increase in a lubricant in a diesel engine with a DI package comprising a much lower amount of dispersant.

BMW TDS TESTS		
Examples	Composition of Lubricant	
	A1 comparative	A2
NDVII	Olefin copolymer	Olefin copolymer
NDVII Code	Paratone 8002® (Available from Exxon Chemical Company)	Paratone 8002® (Available from Exxon Chemical Company)
Treat (%)	13	6
DVII	None	Graft copolymer
DVII Code		Highly functionalised graft copolymer obtained in Example 10 of WO 96/12746
Treat		8.8
Graft Copolymer solids in oil (%)	None	0.88
DI package (%)	11.25	6.25
Dispersant in DI package	LZ6420® (Available from Lubrizol)	LZ6420® (Available from Lubrizol)
Dispersant level in DI package (%)	6.5	1.5
Vis Grade	15W50	15W50
Exxon Stock	75.75%	78.95%

EP 0 907 698 B1

(continued)

BMW TDS TESTS		
Examples	Composition of Lubricant	
<u>INSPECTIONS</u>		
Sludge merit (out of 10)	8.8	8.6
TBN change (%)	-22.73	-18.52
%Kvis, 100°C,increase	110.2	113.44
Insolubles Increase (%)	5.2	4.9

BMW 2.5 TDS TEST

[0045]

BMW TEST CONDITIONS				
Speed and Load Sites				
Line No.	Engine Speed	Torque nm	Step Duration (hours)	Cycle Test Times (hours)
1	750	0	1	1,16,31
2	1,000	20	1	2,17,32
3	1,000	100	1	3,18,33
4	1,000	WOT	1	4,19,34
5	2,200	20	1	5,20,35
6	2,200	100	1	6,21,36
7	2,200	200	1	7,22,37
8	2,200	WOT	1	8,23,38
9	3,500	20	1	9,24,39
10	3,500	100	1	10,25,40
11	3,500	200	1	11,26,41
12	3,500	WOT	1	12,27,42
13	4,800	20	1	13,28,43
14	4,800	100	1	14,29,44
15	4,800	WOT	1	15,30,45
16	TEST LOOPS BACK TO LINE 1..3 TIMES			
17	2,000	100	5	50
18	3,000	100	5	55
19	4,800	WOT	25	80

[0046] Test ends at 80 hours plus the power curve time (approx. 25 mins) plus the oil levelling times.

[0047] Oil samples to be taken at 50, 60, 70, 80 **WITH ENGINE RUNNING**.

OPERATING CONDITIONS

[0048] Listed in the attached table are the operating conditions for the final 25 hour endurance period of the BMW TDS Oil Thickening Test.

Data Logging Frequency	(hourly)	minimum
Speed	(1/min)	4800±25
Torque Output	(Nm)	199 -219
Coolant Inlet Temperature	(°C)	90 approx.
Coolant Outlet Temperature	(°C)	100 ±2
Oil Gallery Temperature	(°C)	115 ±2
Boost Air Inlet Temperature	(°C)	30 Max
Fuel Consumption	(kg/hr)	35 approx.
Oil Pressure	(Bar)	4.1 approx.
Exhaust Smoke Number	(Bosch Index)	2.5 maximum
Blowby Quantity	(1/min)	83 approx.
Oil Consumption (over each stage)	(grams)	record

Further Illustration of Passenger Car Diesel Soot Handling- The 'XUD11ATE' Engine Test

[0049] The XUD11ATE engine test (CEC L-56-T-95) is a recently established European test for determining the ability of a lubricant to control viscosity changes caused by soot that is produced as a by-product from the combustion process of modern passenger car diesel engines. The XUD11ATE engine test is an integral part of the Association des Constructeurs Europeens D'Automobiles European Oil Sequences For Light Duty Diesel Engines. The test also evaluates sludge and piston cleanliness. A critical parameter is the viscosity increase in the lubricant at 3.0% soot in oil. The required level of soot must be achieved before the end of the test.

EXAMPLE B1:

[0050] A formulation similar to A2 was assessed in the XUD11ATE test as a 15W50 in BP stocks. The highly functionalised graft copolymer was PARATONE 8500® (available from Exxon Chemical Company) at 0.88% solids, with an additional 5% of NDVII (PARATONE 8002®, an unfunctionalised olefin copolymer) to adjust the viscometrics. The DI package treat rate was 7.07%, which gave a dispersant level in the finished lubricant of 1.75%. The dispersant used in this Example was TLA1605X®, available from The Texaco Additive Company.

[0051] The test results meet the European ACEA B2-96 requirements for this engine test. The viscosity increase at 3% soot was 182.8%, the piston merit 43.2 and the sludge rating was 9.50.

EXAMPLE B2:

[0052] The above test was repeated replacing the TLA 1605X® with 7.07% of an alternative dispersant, OLOA 375C® (available from The Chevron Chemical Company). The level of the dispersant in the lubricant was again at 1.75%.

[0053] The test results meet the European ACEA B2-96 requirements for this engine test. The viscosity increase at 3% soot was 195.1%, the piston merit 51.8 and the sludge rating was 9.57.

CONCLUSION:

[0054] Use of the lubricant comprising the highly functionalised graft copolymer controls the soot related viscosity increase and allows the lubricant to meet the industry specification at unexpectedly low dispersant treat rates (1.75%).

Illustration of Heavy Duty Commercial Diesel Soot Handling- Mack T8 Test

[0055] The Mack T8 engine test is an established test for determining the ability of a lubricant to control viscosity changes caused by soot that is produced as a by-product from the combustion process of modern heavy duty truck diesel engines. The Mack T8 engine test is an integral part of the American Petroleum Institute's CG4 lubricant specification for on-highway trucks. The test also evaluates sludge and oil consumption. The critical parameter is the viscosity increase in the lubricant at 3.8% soot in oil, measured by Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The required level

of soot may be achieved before the end of the test (250 hours). At the end of the test, the soot and viscosity increase are measured. These measurements are used as a measure of oil performance.

Details of Mack T8 Test (ASTM 4485)

[0056]

Equipment: Mack E7-350, six cylinder turbocharged, intercooled diesel engine. 12.0 litres, 350 BHP.

Purpose: Evaluation of viscometric performance and soot loading of engine oils in turbocharged and inter-cooled diesel engines.

Test Conditions:

Duration, hrs	250 at full load
Speed, rpm	1800
Torque, lb/ft	1010-1031
Oil sump temp, °C	102-107
Coolant out temp, °C	85
Fuel	0.03-0.05% Sulphur

Method of Rating: Viscosity increases from used oil in analysis are measured. Test method also stipulates max. oil consumption of 0.0005 lbs/BHP/hr.

[0057] In the examples below, we followed the Mack T8 Test (excluding the 3.8% requirement) to compare relative performance of Examples C1 and C2.

[0058] In the examples below, the detergent inhibitor package was constant and the amount of dispersant derived from the DI package was varied.

Example C1 (Comparative)

[0059] A 15w40 was blended in Sun HPO® Group 2 base stocks with a NDVII (an unfunctionalised olefin copolymer, Paratone 8011®, available from Exxon Chemical Company). The level of dispersant used in the lubricant formulation was 4%.

Example C2

[0060] A 15w40 was blended in Sun HPO® Group 2 base stocks with the highly functionalised graft copolymer obtained by following Example 10 of WO 96/12746. The level of dispersant derived from the DI package present in the lubricant formulation was 1%.

Results

[0061] These are summarised in the table below.

[0062] The results from Examples C1 and C2 are significantly different. At equivalent soot contents there are marked differences in the viscosity increase and soot particle sizes. Example C2, with the highly functionalised graft copolymer falling within the present invention (Example 10 of WO 96/12746), shows good viscosity control throughout the test. The average soot particle size is maintained at a relatively low value, which accounts for the lower viscosity increase. Example C2 also shows better sludge control.

Conclusion

[0063] These results show the use of a lubricant comprising the highly functionalised graft copolymer defined in the present application gives superior performance when compared to a conventional formulation which uses 3% more dispersant derived from a DI package in the finished lubricant formulation.

Mack T8 Results					
Oil Code	Time	% Soot	Size (nm)	Kvis, 100°C, Increase	Polydispersity Index
Example C1 (Comparative)	50 hours	1.2	163.4	0.69	0.24
	100 hours	1.8	165	1.98	0.24
	150 hours	2.4	177.5	4.27	0.51
	200 hours	3	191.9	14.43	0.1
	250 hours	3.6	186.4	38.55	0.06
Average Rocker Cover Sludge	7.6				
Oil Code	Time	% Soot	Size (nm)	V100 Increase	Polydispersity Index
Example C2	50 hours	0.8	146.9	0.3	0.14
	100 hours	1.4	144	0.95	0.34
	150 hours	2.3	135.3	1.54	0.141
	200 hours	3	146.8	2.1	0.19
	250 hours	3.6	142.3	2.41	0.05
Average Rocker Cover Sludge	8.12				

Claims

1. Use of a functionalised viscosity improver in a lubricant in a diesel engine to disperse soot produced by the diesel engine, the soot being dispersed without adversely affecting the viscosity of the lubricant; the lubricant additionally comprising a dispersant; the functionalised viscosity index improver comprising a highly functionalised graft copolymer reaction product of a nitrogen or an oxygen and nitrogen containing, ethylenically unsaturated, aliphatic or aromatic monomer having from 2 to 50 carbon atoms grafted on to a polyolefin copolymer.
2. The use claimed in claim 1, wherein the graft copolymer comprises at least 13 mole percent (based on a polymer having a molecular weight of 100,000) of the monomer grafted on to the polyolefin copolymer.
3. The use claimed in claims 1 or 2, wherein the monomer comprises a member selected from the following list:
 - 1-vinyl-2-pyrrolidinone;
 - N-vinyl imidazole;
 - N-allyl imidazole;
 - 1-vinyl pyrrolidone;
 - 2-vinyl pyridine;
 - 4-vinyl pyridine;
 - N-methyl-N-vinyl-acetamide;
 - di-allyl formamide;
 - N-methyl-N-allyl formamide;
 - N-ethyl-N-allyl formamide;
 - N-cyclohexyl-N-allyl formamide;
 - 4-methyl-5-vinyl thiazole;
 - N-allyl di-iso-octyl phenothiazine;
 - 2-methyl-1-vinylimidazole;
 - 3-methyl-1-vinylpyrazole;
 - N-vinyl-purine;

N-vinyl piperazines;
 N-vinyl succinimide;
 Vinylpiperidines;
 Vinylmorpholines;

and combinations of those materials.

4. The use claimed in claim 3, wherein the graft copolymer comprises at least 1.2% by weight of N-vinylimidazole grafted on to the polymer backbone
5. The use claimed in claims 1 or 2, wherein the graft copolymer comprises at least 1.2% by weight of suitable monomers such as succinic anhydride or acid functionality such as fumaric acid which, after functionalizing the polymer backbone, is derivatized with morpholines, tetra pentamine, triethyl tetramine or other suitable amines or nitrogen containing moieties.
6. The use claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the graft copolymer reaction product has either:
 - a) an ADT value of at least 8, more preferably at least 16, when it has been prepared using a solution grafting process; or
 - b) an ADT value of at least 1 when it has been prepared using a melt grafting process.
7. The use claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the graft copolymer backbone has a weight average molecular weight of from 20,000 to 500,000 and a polydispersity of less than 10.
8. The use claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the lubricant comprises from 0.1% to less than 10%, preferably from 0.2% to less than 7%, more preferably from 0.2% to less than 4%, even more preferably from 0.2% to less than 2%, by weight of dispersant, normally provided by a detergent inhibitor (DI) package.
9. A method of controlling viscosity changes of a lubricant in a diesel engine that are caused by build-up of soot, the method comprising using a functionalised viscosity improver in a lubricant as defined in any one of claims 1-8 as the lubricant in the diesel engine.

Patentansprüche

1. Verwendung eines funktionalisierten Viskositätsverbesserers in einem Schmiermittel in einem Dieselmotor, um durch den Dieselmotor erzeugten Ruß zu dispergieren, wobei der Ruß ohne nachteilige Beeinflussung der Viskosität des Schmiermittels dispergiert wird; das Schmiermittel zusätzlich einen Dispersant umfasst; wobei der funktionalisierte Viskositätsindexverbesserer ein stark funktionalisiertes Pfcopolymerreaktionsprodukt von einem Stickstoff oder einem Sauerstoff und Stickstoff enthaltenden, ethylenisch ungesättigten, aliphatischen oder aromatischen Monomer mit 2 bis 50 Kohlenstoffatomen, gepfropft auf ein Polyolefincopolymer, umfasst.
2. Verwendung nach Anspruch 1, wobei das Pfcopolymer mindestens 13 Molprozent (bezogen auf ein Polymer mit einem Molekulargewicht von 100 000) des auf das Polyolefincopolymer gepfropften Monomers umfasst.
3. Verwendung nach Ansprüchen 1 oder 2, wobei das Monomer ein Mitglied, ausgewählt aus der nachstehenden Liste, umfasst: 1-Vinyl-2-pyrrolidinon; N-Vinylimidazol; N-Allylimidazol; 1-Vinylpyrrolidon; 2-Vinylpyridin; 4-Vinylpyridin; N-Methyl-N-vinylacetamid; Diallylformamid; N-Methyl-N-allylformamid; N-Ethyl-N-allylformamid; N-Cyclohexyl-N-allylformamid; 4-Methyl-5-vinylthiazol; N-Allyldi-iso-octylphenothiazin; 2-Methyl-1-vinylimidazol; 3-Methyl-1-vinylpyrazol; N-Vinylpurin; N-Vinylpiperazine; N-Vinylsuccinimid; Vinylpiperidine; Vinylmorpholine und Kombinationen von jenen Materialien.
4. Verwendung nach Anspruch 3, wobei das Pfcopolymer mindestens 1,2 Gewichtsprozent von auf das Polymergerüst gepfropftem N-Vinylimidazol umfasst.
5. Verwendung nach Ansprüchen 1 oder 2, wobei das Pfcopolymer mindestens 1,2 Gewichtsprozent von geeigneten Monomeren, wie Bernsteinsäureanhydrid, oder Säurefunktionalität, wie Fumarsäure, umfasst, welche nach Funktionalisieren des Polymergerüsts mit Morpholinen, Tetrapentamin, Triethyltetramin oder anderen geeigneten

Aminen oder Stickstoff enthaltenden Einheiten derivatisiert ist.

6. Verwendung nach einem der vorangehenden Ansprüche, wobei das Pfropfcopolymerreaktionsprodukt aufweist, entweder: a) einen ADT-Wert von mindestens 8, bevorzugter mindestens 16, wenn es unter Verwendung eines Lösungspfropfverfahrens hergestellt wurde, oder b) einen ADT-Wert von mindestens 1, wenn es unter Verwendung eines Schmelzpfropfverfahrens hergestellt wurde.
7. Verwendung nach einem der vorangehenden Ansprüche, wobei das Pfropfcopolymergerüst ein gewichtsmittleres Molekulargewicht von 20 000 bis 500 000 und eine Polydispersität von weniger als 10 aufweist.
8. Verwendung nach einem der vorangehenden Ansprüche, wobei das Schmiermittel 0,1% bis weniger als 10%, vorzugsweise 0,2% bis weniger als 7%, bevorzugter 0,2% bis weniger als 4%, stärker bevorzugt 0,2% bis weniger als 2 Gewichtsprozent Dispersant, normalerweise bereitgestellt mit einer Detergentinhibitor-(DI)-Packung, umfasst.
9. Verfahren zum Bekämpfen von Viskositätsänderungen eines Schmiermittels in einem Dieselmotor, die durch Ansammeln von Ruß verursacht werden, wobei das Verfahren Anwenden eines funktionalisierten Viskositätsverbessers in einem Schmiermittel, wie in einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 8 definiert, als das Schmiermittel in dem Dieselmotor umfasst.

Revendications

1. Utilisation d'un agent améliorant la viscosité fonctionnalisé dans un lubrifiant pour moteurs diesel pour disperser la suie produite par le moteur diesel, la suie étant dispersée sans nuire à la viscosité du lubrifiant, le lubrifiant comprenant en outre un dispersant ; l'agent améliorant l'indice de viscosité fonctionnalisé comprenant un produit de réaction copolymère greffé hautement fonctionnalisé d'un monomère aliphatique ou aromatique, à insaturation éthylénique et contenant de l'azote ou de l'oxygène et de l'azote, comportant de 2 à 50 atomes de carbone greffé sur un copolymère de polyoléfine.
2. Utilisation selon la revendication 1, dans laquelle le copolymère greffé comprend au moins 13 pour cent molaires (sur la base d'un polymère présentant un poids moléculaire de 100 000) du monomère greffé sur le copolymère de polyoléfine.
3. Utilisation selon les revendications 1 ou 2, dans laquelle le monomère comprend un élément sélectionné à partir de la liste suivante :

1-vinyl-2-pyrrolidinone ;
 N-vinyl-imidazole ;
 N-allyl-imidazole ;
 1-vinyl-pyrrolidone ;
 2-vinyl-pyridine ;
 4-vinyl-pyridine ;
 N-méthyl-N-vinyl-acétamide ;
 di-allyl-formamide ;
 N-méthyl-N-allyl-formamide ;
 N-éthyl-N-allyl-formamide ;
 N-cyclohexyl-N-allyl-formamide ;
 4-méthyl-5-vinyl-thiazole ;
 N-allyl-di-iso-octyl-phénothiazine ;
 2-méthyl-1-vinylimidazole ;
 3-méthyl-1-vinylpyrazole ;
 N-vinyl-purine ;
 N-vinyl-pipérazines ;
 N-vinyl-succinimide ;
 Vinylpipéridines ;
 Vinylmorpholines ;

et des combinaisons de ces matériaux.

4. Utilisation selon la revendication 3, dans laquelle le copolymère greffé comprend au moins 1,2 % en poids de N-vinylimidazole greffé sur l'ossature de polymère.

5. Utilisation selon les revendications 1 ou 2, dans laquelle le copolymère greffé comprend au moins 1,2 % en poids de monomères appropriés, comme l'anhydride succinique ou une fonctionnalité acide telle que l'acide fumarique qui, après avoir fonctionnalisé l'ossature de polymère, est dérivée avec des morpholines, de la tétrapentamine, de la triéthyltétramine ou d'autres amines ou moitiés contenant de l'azote appropriées.

6. Utilisation selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans laquelle le produit de réaction copolymère greffé présente soit :

a) une valeur ADT d'au moins 8, plus préférablement d'au moins 16, lorsqu'il a été préparé en utilisant un procédé de greffage en solution ; ou

b) une valeur ADT d'au moins 1 lorsqu'il a été préparé en utilisant un procédé de greffage à l'état fondu.

7. Utilisation selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans laquelle l'ossature du copolymère greffé présente un poids moléculaire moyen allant de 20 000 à 500 000, et une poly-dispersivité inférieure à 10.

8. Utilisation selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans laquelle le lubrifiant comprend de 0,1 % à moins de 10 %, de préférence de 0,2 % à moins de 7 %, plus préférablement de 0,2 % à moins de 4 %, et même plus préférablement de 0,2 % à moins de 2 % en poids de dispersant, qui est normalement fourni par une dose d'inhibiteur détergent (DI).

9. Procédé de contrôle des variations de la viscosité d'un lubrifiant dans un moteur diesel causées par une augmentation de la suie, le procédé comprenant l'utilisation d'un agent améliorant la viscosité fonctionnalisé dans un lubrifiant défini dans l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 8 comme lubrifiant dans le moteur diesel.