(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau

(43) International Publication Date
13 September 2007 (13.09.2007)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2007/102735 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:
A61P 39/00 (2006.01) *A61M 31/00* (2006.01)
A61K 38/08 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/NL2007/050092

(22) International Filing Date: 6 March 2007 (06.03.2007)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:

60/779,896	7 March 2006 (07.03.2006)	US
06076181.4	7 June 2006 (07.06.2006)	EP
60/811,878	7 June 2006 (07.06.2006)	US

(71) Applicant *(for all designated States except US)*: **BIOTEMPT B.V.** [NL/NL]; Hoge Linthorst 1, NL-7958 NZ Koekange (NL).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants *(for US only)*: **BENNER, Robbert** [NL/NL]; Middeldijk 25, NL-2992 SH Barendrecht (NL). **KHAN, Nisar Ahmed** [NL/NL]; Statenweg 114-C, NL-3039 JL Rotterdam (NL). **CARLTON, Richard, Michael** [US/US]; 3 Secor Drive, Port Washington, NY 11050 (US).

(74) Agent: **VAN LOON, C.J.J.**; Vereenigde, NL-2517 JR Den Haag (NL).

(81) Designated States *(unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available)*: AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States *(unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available)*: ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MT, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:
— *with international search report*
— *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments*

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) **Title:** USE OF PEPTIDES FOR THE CONTROL OF RADIATION INJURY

(57) **Abstract:** The invention relates to the field of drug development against acute radiation injury caused by exposure to high-energy electromagnetic waves (X-rays, gamma rays) or particles (alpha particles, beta particles, neutrons). To date, there is no effective drug to ameliorate radiation injury after accidental exposure to ionizing irradiation. The invention provides a method of treating radiation injury of a subject in need thereof comprising administering to the subject a peptide, or functional analogue or derivative thereof, of smaller than 30 amino acids. Furthermore, the invention provides use of a peptide, or functional analogue or derivative thereof, of smaller than 30 amino acids for the production of a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of a subject suffering from or believed to be suffering from radiation injury. In particular, the invention provides anti-radiation peptides having a dose reduction factor (DRF) against acute gamma irradiation of at least 1.10, said DRF determinable by testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a test group of mice treated with said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and, testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a control group of mice treated only with the vehicle of said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and wherein the DRF is calculated by dividing the LD5O/3O of the peptide-treated animals by the LD50/30 of the vehicle-treated animals.

WO 2007/102735 A1

USE OF PEPTIDES FOR THE CONTROL OF RADIATION INJURY

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0001] The invention relates to the field of drug development against acute radiation injury caused by exposure to high-energy electromagnetic waves (X-rays, gamma rays) or particles (alpha particles, beta particles, neutrons). To date, there is no effective drug to ameliorate radiation injury after accidental exposure to ionizing irradiation.

BACKGROUND

[0002] Radiation injury is damage to tissues caused by exposure to radiation. Herein, radiation refers to ionizing radiation caused by high-energy electromagnetic waves (X-rays, gamma rays) or particles (alpha particles, beta particles, neutrons). Such radiation is emitted by radioactive substances (radioisotopes), such as uranium, radon, and plutonium. Such radiation is also produced by man-made sources, such as x-ray and radiation therapy machines. Radiation dose is measured in several different units, but all relate to the amount of energy deposited. The units include the roentgen (R), the gray (Gy), and the sievert (Sv). The sievert and gray are similar, except the sievert takes into account the biologic effects of different types of radiation. The two main types of radiation exposure are irradiation and contamination. Many radiation accidents expose a person to both.

[0003] Irradiation is exposure to radiation waves that pass directly through the body from outside the body. Irradiation can make a person sick immediately (acute radiation illness). Additionally, irradiation, particularly in high doses, can damage a person's genetic material (DNA), causing chronic (delayed) disorders, such as cancer and birth defects. However, irradiation does not make the person or his tissues radioactive. Contamination is contact with and retention of radioactive material, typically in the form of a dust or liquid. The radioactive material may stay on the skin, where it can fall or be rubbed off, contaminating other people and objects. The material also may be absorbed by the body through the lungs, digestive tract, or breaks in the skin. The absorbed material is transported to various sites in the body, such as the bone marrow, where it continues to release radiation. This internalized radiation does not cause

acute radiation illness such as internal bleeding only but may produce chronic disorders such as cancer as well.

[0004] People are constantly exposed to low levels of natural radiation (background radiation). Radiation comes from outer space (cosmic radiation), although much of it is blocked by the earth's atmosphere. Exposure to cosmic radiation is greater for people living or working at high radioactive elements, particularly radon gas, also are present in many rocks and minerals. These elements end up in a variety of substances, including food and construction materials. In addition, people are exposed to radiation from man-made sources, including the environmental radiation that results from nuclear weapons testing and radiation from various medical tests and treatments. The average person receives a total of about three to four mSv (1 mSv = $^{1}/_{1000}$ Sv) per year from natural radiation and man-made sources. People who work with radioactive materials and with x-ray sources are at risk of exposure to higher levels of radiation. People who are receiving radiation treatments for cancer may receive very high levels of radiation. Nuclear weapons release massive amounts of radiation. These weapons have not been used against people since 1945. However, a number of nations now possess nuclear weapons, and several terrorist groups have also tried to obtain them, raising the possibility that these weapons could once again be used.

[0005] The damaging effects of radiation depend on several factors, including the amount (dose) and duration of exposure. A single, rapid dose of radiation to the entire body can be fatal, but the same total dose given over a period of weeks or months may have much less effect. For a given dose, genetic damage is more likely with rapid exposure. The effects of radiation also depend on how much of the body is exposed. For example, more than 6 Gy generally causes death when the radiation is distributed over the entire body; however, when concentrated in a small area, as in radiation therapy for cancer, three or four times this amount can be given without serious harm for the subject as a whole. The distribution of radiation is also important, because certain parts of the body are more sensitive to radiation. Organs and tissues in which cells are multiplying quickly, such as the intestines and bone marrow, are harmed more easily by radiation than those in which cells multiply more slowly, such as muscles and tendons. The genetic material of sperm and egg cells can be damaged by radiation. During

radiation therapy for cancer, therefore, every attempt is made to shield the more vulnerable parts of the body from radiation so that high doses can be delivered primarily to the cancer. Radiation exposure produces two types of injury: acute (immediate) and chronic (delayed). Acute radiation injury triggers inflammation through vascular endothelial damage leading to leaking vessels. A vascular response and a cellular response follow. Ionizing radiation depresses immunity and damages intestinal epithelium, both of which promote microbial translocation from the intestines.

[0006] Radiation therapy for cancer mainly produces symptoms in the part of the body that receives radiation. For example, in radiation therapy for rectal cancer, abdominal cramping and diarrhea are common because of the effects of radiation on the small intestine.

[0007] The search for non-toxic radioprotective agents which can protect normal tissue against radiation damage began soon after World War II. Extensive radiobiological research yielded numerous agents which, when given before radiation exposure, protected animals (primarily rodents) against radiation injuries [Prasad KN. Handbook of radiobiology. 2nd edn. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 1995]. From these studies it became clear that agents, which scavenge free radicals and/or cause hypoxia, may be of radioprotective value. Unfortunately most of these compounds at radioprotective doses were found to be toxic to humans. With the decreased risk of nuclear confrontation experienced during the evolution of the cold war and later, the interest in the study of radioprotective agents markedly decreased. Due to rapid growth of X-ray-based diagnostic equipments and increased use of radiological procedures in the early diagnosis of disease, concerns are being raised about increased frequency somatic and heritable mutations that can enhance the risk of gene-linked diseases in present and future generations. Therefore, it has become imperative that normal tissues be protected against potential radiation damage no matter how small that damage might be.

[0008] Commonly, radioprotective agents are defined as compounds that are administered before exposure to ionizing radiation to reduce its damaging effects, including radiation-induced lethality [H.B. Stone et al., Models for evaluating agents intended for the prophylaxis, mitigation and treatment of radiation injuries. Report of an NCI Workshop, December 3-4, 2003, Radiat Res 162: 711-728.]. They have applications in radiological terrorism, military scenarios, clinical oncology, space travel, radiation site cleanup [R.H.

Johnson, Dealing with the terror of nuclear terrorism, Health Phys 87: S3-7., F.A.J. Mettler, G.L. Voelz, Major radiation exposure—what to expect and how to respond, N Engl J Med 346: 1554-1561, 2001] C.K. Nair, D.K. Parida, T. Nomura, Radioprotectors in radiotherapy, J Radiat Res (Tokyo) 42:21-37, J.K. Waselenko, T.J. MacVittie, W.F. Blakely, N. Pesik, A.L. Wiley, W.E. Dickerson, H.Tsu, D.L. Confer, C.N. Coleman, T. Seed, P. Lowry, J.O. Armitage, N. Dainiak, Medical management of the acute radiation syndrome: Recommendations of the Strategic National Stockpile Radiation Working Group, Ann Intern Med 140: 1037-1051.]. Recently, the U.S. Office of Science and Technology Policy and the Homeland Security Council have made the development of new radioprotectors a top research priority. Although synthetic radioprotectors such as the aminothiols have yielded the highest protective factors, typically they are more toxic than naturally occurring protectors. In general, the best radioprotective agents also have been reported to result in the highest behavioral toxicity.

[0009] In a military radiation scenario, the effective mitigation of radiation induced health consequences and performance-degrading effects can reduce the casualty load at medical treatment facilities, sustain a more effective operational force after a radiation exposure event, allow commanders to conduct operations in radiation field environments with reduced risk of decremented performance due to acute tissue injury, and reduce the negative psychological impact on personnel tasked to operate in contaminated environments. The ideal radioprotectant would be nontoxic, would not degrade performance, and would be effective after a single administration, particularly when expedited entry is required into an area with potential external radiation hazards.

[0010] In a paper (Landauer et al., NATO RTG-099 2005) presented at the NATO Human Factors and Medicine Panel Research Task Group 099 "Radiation Bioeffects and Countermeasures" meeting, held in Bethesda, Maryland, USA, June 21-23, 2005, and published in AFRRI CD 05-2, genisteine was forwarded as giving prevention of gamma radiation-induced mortality in mice, having a "Dose reduction Factor" (DRF) at the best dose (200 mg/kg; which resulted in the highest survival rate when administered to mice 24 hr before irradiation) of 1.16. When given at 1 hour prior to whole body irradiation (WBI), no radioprotection was observed. Other studies describing the radiation protection activity of a drug code-named ON-01210 that were presented at the 51st Radiation Research Society (April, 2004), show that this particular

drug ON-01210 (like other drugs that are currently under investigation for radiation-exposure) is protective only if it is given pre-radiation exposure. This particular drug has a sulfhydryl component (4-carboxystyrl-4-chlorobenzylsulfone) that works as an antioxidant, scavenging the free radicals that are generated as the radiation damages the cells.

[0011] Also, as stated in the annual report to the Congress of the US Department of Defense (March 2005; http://medchembio.amedd.army.mil/docs/CBDP_Report_To_Congress.pdf), currently, there are no commercially-available non-toxic pharmaceutical agents or diagnostic capabilities suitable for use in military operational environments. An aminothiol compound, amifostine, is FDA approved for use in patients receiving chemotherapy or radiation therapy, but its performance-degrading toxic side effects prohibit its use in a fit fighting force, and its intravenous route of administration requires that medical professionals be available.. Other pharmacologic agents, such as hematopoietic cytokines for treating bone marrow injury, may be used off-label on a case-by-case basis by an individual physician, but regulatory restrictions for such use make it impractical for treating large numbers of casualties during military operations. Antibiotics are commonly used to treat the infectious sequelae of radiological injuries, but they must be appropriately selected to effectively treat exogenous and endogenous systemic infections while only little affecting beneficial intestinal anaerobic bacteria. In addressing the issue of currently limited medical countermeasure alternatives, a novel compound, 5-androstenediol (5-AED; Whitnall et al., Experimental Biology and Medicine 226:625-627 (2001)), has been under study at the Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute (AFRRI). Again, the compound showed good efficacy as a radioprotectant when administered prior to irradiation challenge in a mouse model. Improvements in survival were observed when AED was administered by sc injection between 24 h before and 2 h after gamma-irradiation of mice. A dose reduction factor of 1.3 was calculated from probit survival curves for the administration prior to WBI. Protection was observed in both male and female mice, with and without subsequent inoculation with lethal doses of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. No protection was observed with a number of other steroids: dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), 5-androstene-3B,7B, 17B-triol (AET), androstenedione, or estradiol. However, expanded studies in a nonhuman primate (NHP) model during the past year in preparation for the IND application proved 5-AED is far less effective than in the mouse

model when administered as a radioprotectant but yielded good efficacy in the NHP model when administered therapeutically in serial doses shortly following irradiation.

[0012] *Acute Radiation Illness.* Acute radiation illness generally occurs in people whose entire body has been exposed to radiation. Acute radiation illness progresses through several stages, beginning with early symptoms (prodrome) and followed by a symptom-free period (latent stage). Various syndromes (patterns of symptoms) follow, depending on the amount of radiation the person received. The greater the amount of radiation, the more severe the symptoms and the quicker the progression from the early symptoms to the actual syndrome. The symptoms and time course are consistent from person to person for a given amount of radiation exposure. Doctors can predict a person's radiation exposure from the timing and nature of the symptoms. Doctors divide acute radiation syndromes into three groups based on the main organ system affected, although there is overlap among these groups.

[0013] The hematopoietic syndrome is caused by the effects of radiation on the bone marrow, spleen, and lymph nodes—the primary sites of blood cell production (hematopoiesis). Loss of appetite (anorexia), lethargy, nausea, and vomiting begin 2 to 12 hours after exposure to 2 Gy or more of radiation. These symptoms resolve within 24 to 36 hours after exposure, and the person feels well for a week or more. During this symptom-free period, the blood-producing cells in the bone marrow, spleen, and lymph nodes begin to waste away and are not replaced, leading to a severe shortage of white blood cells, followed by a shortage of platelets and then red blood cells. The shortage of white blood cells can lead to severe infections. The shortage of platelets may cause uncontrolled bleeding. The shortage of red blood cells (anemia) causes fatigue, weakness, paleness, and difficulty breathing during physical exertion. After four to five weeks, if the person survives, blood cells begin to be produced once more, but the person feels weak and tired for months.

[0014] The gastrointestinal syndrome is due to the effects of radiation on the cells lining the digestive tract. Severe nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea begin 2 to 12 hours after exposure to 4 Gy or more of radiation. The symptoms may lead to severe dehydration, but they resolve after two days. During the next four or five days, the person feels well, but the cells lining the digestive tract, which normally act as a protective barrier, die and are shed. After this

time, severe diarrhea—often bloody—returns, once more resulting in dehydration. Bacteria from the digestive tract invade the body, producing severe infections. People who have received this much radiation also likely develop the hematopoietic syndrome, which results in bleeding and infection and increases their risk of death.

[0015] The cerebrovascular (brain) syndrome occurs when the total dose of radiation exceeds 20 to 30 Gy. A person rapidly develops confusion, nausea, vomiting, bloody diarrhea, and shock. Within hours, blood pressure falls, accompanied by seizures and coma. The cerebrovascular syndrome is considered always fatal.

[0016] *Chronic Effects of Radiation.* Chronic effects of radiation result from damage to the genetic material in dividing cells. These alterations may cause abnormalities of cell growth, such as cancer. In severely irradiated animals, damage to reproductive cells has been shown to lead to defective offspring (birth defects). However, little deformities resulting from irradiation have been observed in the offspring of survivors of the nuclear blasts in Japan. It may be that radiation exposure below a certain (unknown) level does not alter genetic material enough to cause birth defects.

[0017] Irradiation injury is suspected when a person becomes ill after receiving radiation therapy or being exposed to radiation in an accident. No specific tests are available to diagnose the condition, although certain tests may be used to detect infection, low blood count, or organ malfunction. To determine the severity of radiation exposure, doctors measure the number of lymphocytes (a type of white blood cell) in the blood. The lower the lymphocyte count 48 hours after exposure, the worse the radiation exposure.

[0018] Radioactive contamination, unlike irradiation, can be determined by surveying a person's body with a Geiger counter, a device that detects radiation. Swabs from the nose, throat, and any wounds also are checked for radioactivity.

[0019] The outcome of radiation injury depends on the dose, dose rate (how quickly the exposure has occurred), and distribution over the body as well as on the person's underlying state of health. In general, most people who have received more than 6 Gy of WBI die of gastrointestinal syndrome. Because doctors are unlikely to know the exact amount of radiation a person has received, they usually judge outcome by the person's symptoms. The cerebrovascular syndrome is fatal within hours to a few days. The gastrointestinal syndrome

generally is fatal within three to ten days, although some people survive for a few weeks. Many people who receive proper medical care survive the hematopoietic syndrome, depending on their total amount of radiation; those who do not survive typically die after 8 to 50 days.

[0020] Irradiation has no current emergency treatment, but doctors closely monitor the person for the development of the various syndromes and treat the symptoms as they arise. Also, and unfortunately, very few medical products exist to counter the variety of acute and long-term toxicities that can result from nuclear or radiological attacks. Contamination requires immediate removal of the radioactive material to prevent it from being taken up by the body. Skin contaminated by radioactive materials should be scrubbed immediately with large amounts of soap and water or with a solution designed for this purpose, when available. Small puncture wounds should be cleaned vigorously to remove all radioactive particles, even though scrubbing may cause pain. Contaminated hair is clipped off, not shaved—shaving may abrade the skin and allow contamination to enter the body. Scrubbing continues until the Geiger counter shows that the radioactivity is gone. If a person has recently swallowed radioactive material, vomiting is induced. Some radioactive materials have specific antidotes that can prevent absorption of swallowed material. Most such antidotes are given only to people exposed to significant radioactive contamination, such as from a major reactor accident or nuclear explosion. Potassium iodide prevents the thyroid gland from absorbing radioactive iodine and lowers the risk of thyroid cancer. Other drugs, such as diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA), ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), and penicillamine, can be given intravenously to remove certain radioactive elements after they have been absorbed.

[0021] When contamination is not suspected, nausea and vomiting can be reduced by taking drugs to prevent vomiting (antiemetics); such drugs are routinely given to people undergoing radiation therapy. Dehydration is treated with fluids given intravenously.

[0022] People with the gastrointestinal or hematopoietic syndrome are kept isolated so that they do not contact infectious microorganisms. Blood transfusions and injections of growth factors (such as erythropoietin and colony-stimulating factor) that stimulate blood cell production are given to decrease bleeding and increase blood counts. If the bone marrow is severely damaged, these growth factors are ineffective, and sometimes bone marrow transplantation is performed, although the success rate is low.

[0023] People with the gastrointestinal syndrome require anti-emetics, fluids given intravenously, and sedatives. Some people may be able to eat a bland diet. Antibiotics, such as neomycin, are given to kill bacteria in the intestine that may invade the body. Antibiotics as well as antifungal and antiviral drugs are given intravenously when necessary. Treatment for the cerebrovascular syndrome is geared toward providing comfort by relieving pain, anxiety, and breathing difficulties. Drugs are given to control seizures.

[0024] People with chronic effects of radiation or disorders caused by radiation therapy receive treatment directed at their symptoms. Sores or ulcers can be removed or repaired surgically and can be helped to heal with the use of high-pressure (hyperbaric) oxygen therapy. Radiation-induced leukemia is treated with chemotherapy. Blood cells can be replaced through transfusions. No treatment can reverse sterility, but low levels of sex hormones as a result of abnormal ovarian and testicular functioning can be treated with replacement hormones. Investigators are currently exploring ways to prevent or reduce radiation-induced normal tissue injury using cytokines, growth factors, and various other therapies. Amifostine or pilocarpine-HCl have been shown to decrease the severity of dry mouth (xerostomia) in people with head and neck cancer treated with radiation therapy.

[0025] Clinical and experimental studies of the acute and late effects of radiation on cells have enhanced our knowledge of radiotherapy and have led to the optimization of radiation treatment schedules and to more precise modes of radiation delivery. However, as both normal and cancerous tissues have similar response to radiation exposure, radiation-induced injury on normal tissues may present either during, or after the completion of, the radiotherapy treatment. Studies on both NSAIDs and prostaglandins have indeed shown some evidence of radioprotection. Both have the potential to increase the survival of cells but by entirely different mechanisms. Studies of cell kinetics reveal that cells in the mitotic (M) and late G2 phases of the cell cycle are generally most sensitive to radiation compared with cells in the early S and G1/G0 phases. Furthermore, radiation leads to a mitotic delay in the cell cycle. Thus, chemical agents that either limit the proportion of cells in the M and G2 phases of the cell cycle or enhance rapid cell growth could in principle be exploited for their potential use as radioprotectors to normal tissue during irradiation. NSAIDs have been shown to exert anti-cancer effects by causing cell-cycle arrest, shifting cells towards a quiescence state (G0/G1).

The same mechanism of action was observed in radioprotection of normal tissues. An increase in arachidonic acid concentrations after exposure to NSAIDs also leads to the production of an apoptosis-inducer ceramide. NSAIDs also elevate the level of superoxide dismutase in cells. Activation of heat shock proteins by NSAIDs increases cell survival by alteration of cytokine expression. A role for NSAIDs with respect to inhibition of cellular proliferation possibly by an anti-angiogenesis mechanism has also been suggested. Several *in vivo* studies have provided evidence suggesting that NSAIDs may protect normal tissues from radiation injury. Prostaglandins do not regulate the cell cycle, but they do have a variety of effects on cell growth and differentiation. PGE2 mediates angiogenesis, increasing the supply of oxygen and nutrients, essential for cellular survival and growth. Accordingly, PGE2 at sufficiently high plasma concentrations may enhance cellular survival by inhibiting pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-a and IL-1ß. Thus, PGE2 acts as a modulator, rather than a mediator, of inflammation. Prospective studies have suggested the potential use of misoprostol, a PGE1 analogue, before irradiation, in prevention of radiation-induced side effects. The current understanding of the pharmacology of NSAIDs and prostaglandins shows some potential to minimize the adverse effects of radiation on normal tissue when used preventively.

[0026] In addition to transiently inhibiting cell cycle progression and sterilizing those cells capable of proliferation, irradiation disturbs the homeostasis affected by endogenous mediators of intercellular communication (humoral component of tissue response to radiation). Changes in the mediator levels may modulate radiation effects either by assisting a return to normality (e.g., through a rise in H-type cell lineage-specific growth factors) or by aggravating the damage. The latter mode is illustrated with reports on changes in eicosanoid levels after irradiation and on results of empirical treatment of radiation injuries with anti-inflammatory drugs. Prodromal, acute and chronic effects of radiation are accompanied by excessive production of eicosanoids (prostaglandins, prostacycline, thromboxanes and leukotrienes). These endogenous mediators of inflammatory reactions may be responsible for the vasodilatation, vasoconstriction, increased microvascular permeability, thrombosis and chemotaxis observed after radiation exposure. Glucocorticoids inhibit eicosanoid synthesis primarily by interfering with phospholipase A2 whilst non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs prevent prostaglandin/thromboxane synthesis by inhibiting cyclooxygenase. When administered

after irradiation on empirical grounds, drugs belonging to both groups tend to attenuate a range of prodromal, acute and chronic effects of radiation in man and animals.

[0027] U.S. Patent 5,380,668 to Herron (Jan. 10, 1995), the contents of the entirety of which are incorporated by this reference, discloses, among other things, various compounds having the antigenic binding activity of hCG. The oligopeptides disclosed therein are disclosed generally for use in diagnostic methods. Various patents and patent applications to Gallo et al. (*e.g.*, U.S. Patent 5,677,275 (corresponding to WO 96/04008 A1), U.S. Patent 5,877,148 (also corresponding to WO 96/04008 A1), WO 97/49721 A1, U.S. Patent 6,319,504 (corresponding to WO 97/49373), U.S. Patent Application 2003/0049273 A1 (also corresponding to WO 97/49373), U.S. Patent 5,968,513 (corresponding to WO 97/49418), U.S. Patent 5,997,871 (corresponding to WO 97/49432), U.S. Patent 6,620,416, U.S. Patent 6,596,688, WO 01/11048 A2, WO 01/10907 A2., and U.S. Patent 6,583,109) relate to various oligopeptides and their use in, among other things, "inhibiting HIV infection," "treating or preventing HIV infection," "treating or preventing cancer," "treating or preventing a condition characterized by loss of body cell mass," "treating or preventing a condition associated with pathological angiogenesis," "treating or preventing hematopoietic deficiency," "*ex vivo* gene therapy," "expanding blood cells *in vitro*," and/or "providing blood cells to a subject." As described in PCT International Publication No. WO 03/029292 A2 (published April 10, 2003), PCT International Publication No. WO 01/72831 A2 (published October 4, 2001), and U.S. Patent Application Publications 20020064501 A1 (published May 30, 2002), 20030119720 A1 (published June 26, 2003), 20030113733 A1 (published June 19, 2003), and 20030166556 A1 (published September 4, 2003), U.S. Patent Application No. 11/249,541, filed on October 13, 2005, International Application No. PCT/EP2005/003707, filed on April 8, 2005, U.S. Patent Application No. 10/821,256, filed on April 8, 2004, U.S. Patent Application No. 10/262,522, filed on September 30, 2002, International Application No. PCT/NL01/00259 (International Publication No. WO 01/72831 A2) filed March 3, 2001, U.S. Patent 6,844,315 and U.S. Patent 6,921,751, the contents of all of which are incorporated by this reference, compositions containing some of the oligopeptides described herein have immunoregulatory activity useful in, for example, the treatment of sepsis and other disease states and conditions.

[0028] The current invention relates to the body's innate way of modulating important physiological processes and builds on insights reported in PCT International Publications WO99/59617 and WO01/72831 and PCT International Application PCT/NL02/00639, the contents of the entirety of all of which are incorporated herein by this reference. These applications describe small gene-regulatory peptides that are present in pregnant women and are derived from proteolytic breakdown of placental gonadotropins, such as hCG. These breakdown products are often only about 2 to 6 amino acids long and were shown to have unsurpassed immunological activity that is exerted by regulating expression of genes encoding inflammatory mediators such as cytokines. Surprisingly, it was found that breakdown of hCG provides a cascade of peptides that helps maintain a pregnant woman's immunological homeostasis. These peptides balance the immune system to assure that the mother stays immunologically sound while her fetus does not get prematurely rejected during pregnancy, but instead is safely carried until its time of birth.

[0029] Furthermore, the current invention relates to US application 10/821,240 which provides methods for screening and identifying further small gene-regulatory peptides and using the results from such screens, for example, with peptides derived from a reference peptide. For example, peptides to be analyzed were derived from C-Reactive Protein (CRP) (*e.g.*, human CRP), such peptides include, LTSL, FVLS, NMWD, LCFL, MWDF, FSYA, FWVD, AFTV, and WDFV; peptides derived from Beta-catenin (*e.g.*, human CTNB), such as GLLG, TAPS, VCQV, CLWT, VHQL, GALH, LGTL, TLVQ, QLLG, YAIT, LCEL, GLIR, APSL, ITTL, QALG, HPPS, GVLC, LCPA, LFYA, NIMR, NLIN, LHPP, LTEL, SPIE, VGGI, QLLY, LNTI, LWTL, LYSP, YAMT, LHNL, TVLR, and LFYA; peptides derived from beta-hCG (*e.g.*, human CG), such as GLLLLLLLS, MGGTWA, TWAS, TLAVE, RVLQ, VCNYRDV, FESI, RLPG, PRGV, NPVVS, YAVALS, LTCDDP, EMFQ, PVVS, VSYA, GVLP, FQGL, and AVAL; peptides derived from Bruton's tyrosine kinase (*e.g.*, human BTK), such as LSNI, YVFS, LYGV, YVVC, FIVR, NILD, TIMY, LESI, FLLT, VFSP, FILE, TFLK, FWID, MWEI, QLLE, PCFW, VHKL, LYGV, LESI, LSNI, YVFS, IYSL, and NILD; and peptides derived from matrix metalloproteinase-2 (*e.g.*, human MM02), such as FKGA, FFGL,GIAQ, LGCL, YWIY, AWNA, ARGA, PFRF, APSP, CLLS, GLPQ, TFWP, AYYL, FWPE, CLLG, FLWC, RIIG, WSDV,

PIIK, GLPP, RALC, LNTF, LSHA, ATFW, PSPI, AHEF, WRTV, FVLK, VQYL, KFFG, FPFR, IYSA, and FDGI, and others

[0030] DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to the field of developing drugs against acute radiation injury caused by exposure to high-energy electromagnetic waves (x-rays/photons and/or natural gamma rays) and/or other high energy ionising particles (alpha particles, beta particles, neutrons, protons, pi-mesons). To date, there is no effective drug to ameliorate radiation injury after accidental exposure to ionizing irradiation, or after damage to healthy tissues during therapeutic radiation or radio-mimetic agents; nor is there an effective prophylactic drug to prevent or minimize such injuries when administered before the event (for example, to first responders). The present inventors surprisingly observed that relatively small, non-toxic peptides can be effective as drugs against radiation damage. Importantly, the anti-radiation peptides of the invention are not only useful as prophylactic agents but can also protect when administered several hours after exposure to radiation. This makes them extremely suitable for use in a military radiation scenario e.g. when dealing with the terror of nuclear terrorism. Accordingly, the invention provides a method of preventing or treating radiation injury of a subject in need thereof comprising administering to the subject a peptide, or functional analogue thereof, of smaller than 30 amino acids. Preferably, said peptide or functional analogue thereof is administered to said subject post radiation, i.e. following exposure of said subject to a source of radiation. Furthermore, the invention provides use of a peptide, or functional analogue thereof, of smaller than 30 amino acids for the production of a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of a subject suffering from or believed to be suffering from radiation injury. In particular, the invention provides anti-radiation peptides having a dose reduction factor (DRF) against acute whole body irradiation of at least 1.10, said DRF determinable by testing which dose of whole body irradiation (WBI) results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) in a test group of experimental rodents (e.g. mice) treated with said peptide immediately or up to 72 hours after WBI, versus the dose of WBI that results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) in an untreated control

group, and wherein the DRF is calculated by dividing the LD50/30 radiation dose of the peptide- treated animals by the LD50/30 radiation dose of the vehicle-treated animals.

[0031] The invention provides a method for treating a subject suffering from or believed to be suffering from radiation injury, said method comprising providing the subject with a pharmaceutical composition comprising an anti-radiation peptide of smaller than 30 amino acids. Current radioprotection agents are of a non-peptide nature or comprise large proteins such as cytokines. The present invention discloses that peptides, such as MTRVLQGVLPALPQVVC, that are smaller than 30 amino acids are useful for protection against and treatment of radiation injury. For the first time it is shown that a peptide drug is capable of reducing damaging effects of radiation when it is administered after exposure to radiation has taken place. For example, the anti-radiation peptide consists of up to 29, up to 28, up to 27, up to 26, up to 25, up to 24, up to 23, up to 22, up to 21, up to 20, up to 19, up to 18, up to 17, up to 16 or up to 15 amino acids.

[0032] It is however preferred that said peptide is smaller than 15 amino acids. For example, the anti-radiation peptide preferably consists of up to 14, up to 13, up to 12, up to 11, up to 10, up to 9 or up to 8 amino acids. Some examples of useful peptides are LPGCPRGVNPVVS, DINGFLPAL and QPLAPLVG. However, when peptides are used for self-medication, for example as is provided herein with an autoinjector, from a safety viewpoint it is preferred that said peptide is smaller than 7 amino acids.Such a peptide will generally not bind to the MHC receptors, thereby decreasing the risk of the development of autoimmunity initiated by an immune response against administered peptide.

[0033] This size of smaller than 7 amino acids (aa) is also particularly preferred because it was determined (when comparing peptides derived from the human proteome with those derived from pathogen proteomes, in particular of viruses or bacteria (Burroughs et al., Immunogenetics, 2004, 56: 311-320)) that with a peptide size of 7 aa only 3% overlap between self or non-self is found. For peptides of 6 aa, that overlap in human self with pathogen non-self was determined to be 30%, for peptides of 5 aa, 90%, and for 4 aa long (and smaller) peptides, 100% overlap between the peptides present in the human proteome and the peptides present in the proteome of pathogens was determined. Based on these data, it is now herein recognized that when the self-non-self difference is not present, risk of adverse immune reactions, such as

anaphylactic shock, is greatly diminished, which is a distinct advantage when non-medically trained persons administer any drug to themselves or to others.

[0034] From the viewpoint of preventing adverse reactions such as anaphylactic shock it is thus preferred that the peptide consists of 2 to 6 amino acids, more preferably consists of 3 to 5 amino acids, and most preferably consists of 3 or 4 amino acids. From the viewpoint of activity, based on a general insight that activity is broader with increasing peptide size, if only to withstand full proteolysis longer whereby metabolic fragments of 3 aa still have activity, it is herein preferred that said peptide consists of 4 amino acids. Above and below described compositions are preferably used for the treatment of acute radiation injury.

[0035] The use of peptides to protect against radiation injury has been proposed in the art. The Japanese patent applications JP09157291 and JP09157292 describe specific 6-mer and 9-mer peptide sequences having an *in vitro* inhibiting effect on activated oxygen, scavenging actions of activated oxygen free radicals and antioxidizing activity. It is speculated that the peptides are useful *in vivo* to suppress the adverse effects of various types of events known to be associated with active oxygen formation, including radiation damage. No *in vivo* radiation experiments were conducted.

[0036] JP09176187 teaches a histidine-containing 6-mer peptide analog having active oxygen-scavenging activity. Peritoneal administration of a peptide at 660 mg/kg of body weight 20 minutes prior to irradiation increased the survival rate of mice from 10% in the control group to 70% in the treated group. No *in vivo* post-radiation experiments were conducted.

[0037] WO2006/032269 describes a homogenate of blood cells from which components having a molecular weight of more than 3 kDa have been removed. The homogenate is reported to be suitable for improving the cellular immune response in a subject. Among a long list of diverse immunological diseases and pathological conditions, it is proposed that the homogenate can be administered to a patient in a prophylactic fashion in a treatment using chemotherapeutical agents and/or radiation in order to optimize the patient's general condition. It is noted that the study does not involve any radiation experiments. Furthermore, although the homogenate likely comprises among others a mixture of proteins, the identity of the active component(s) is not clear at all and they may well be of non-proteinaceous nature. At any rate, no distinct peptides have been isolated or identified therein.

[0038] EP 0572688 discloses a specific peptide comprising 14 amino acid residues which at 20 mg/kg body weight was found to confer protection in mice against whole body radiation. The effect was only observed when the compound was applied 1 hour before radiation. However, no difference relative to control data was observed when peptide was administered 1 hour after exposure to irradiation.

[0039] These prior art disclosures are in marked contrast to the present invention; the anti-radiation peptides as disclosed herein can provide protection even if administered several hours after whole body irradiation.

[0040] Subjects having received sub-lethal radiation doses will already benefit from the anti-inflammatory properties of some of the small peptides identified herein, but surprisingly most benefit will come from the anti-gastrointestinal syndrome activity of the small peptides, notably of the 3- and 4-mer peptides at dosages of above 1 mg/kg, preferably of above 5 mg/kg, more preferably of above 10 mg/kg bodyweight. Considering the low immunogenic nature of the small peptides (i.e. those of 3 to 4 aa), dosing to up to 100 mg/kg with small peptides, and in some cases when need for treatment is determined to be acute considering the condition of the subject in need of treatment, of up to 200 mg /kg, 500 mg/kg or even 1 g/kg will be possible. Consequently, the treatment of subjects who have radiation injury that comprises damage of the lining of the intestinal tract of said subject, the so-called gastrointestinal syndrome, has now been made possible; the peptides allowing the epithelial lining to slowly recover.

[0041] For a better activity of peptides under high radiation dosage it is preferred to select a peptide for inclusion in a pharmaceutical composition of the invention or for inclusion in an autoinjector according to the invention that has a dose reduction factor (DRF) against acute gamma irradiation of at least 1.10, said DRF determinable by testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a test group of mice treated with said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and, testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a control group of mice treated only with the vehicle of said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and, wherein the DRF is calculated by dividing the LD50/30 of the peptide-treated animals by the LD50/30 of the vehicle-treated animals.

[0042] It is even more preferred to use a peptide having a dose reduction factor (DRF) of at least 1.20, more preferably of at least 1.25, especially when said radiation injury is irradiation injury. Such peptides as identified herein are also called anti-radiation peptide. The invention provides a method and pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of irradiation injury irrespective whether the radiation is emitted by radioactive substances (radioisotopes), such as uranium, radon, and plutonium, or is produced by man-made sources, such as x-ray and radiation therapy machines.

[0043] The invention also provides use of a peptide of smaller than 30 amino acids for the production of a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment a subject suffering from or believed to be suffering from radiation injury. As above, it is preferred that said peptide be smaller than 15 amino acids, and, for self-medication or for administration by laymen, it is even more preferred that said peptide be smaller than 7 amino acids. Several useful 3-mer peptides for use in the production of a pharmaceutical composition for treatment of radiation injury are identified herein as VVC, LAG, and AQG.

[0044] Similarly, several useful 4-mer peptides for treatment of radiation injury are LQGV, QVVC, MTRV, AQGV, LAGV, LQAV, PGCP, VGQL, RVLQ, EMFQ, AVAL, FVLS, NMWD, LCFL, FSYA, FWVD, AFTV, LGTL, QLLG, YAIT, APSL, ITTL, QALG, GVLC, NLIN, SPIE, LNTI, LHNL, CPVQ, EVVR, MTEV, EALE, EPPE, LGTL, VGGI, RLPG, LQGA, and LCFL, useful 5-mer peptides for treatment of radiation injury are TLAVE, VEGNL, and LNEAL, useful 6-mer peptides for treatment of radiation injury are VLPALP, MGGTWA, LTCDDP, useful 7-mer peptides for treatment of radiation injury are VLPAPLQ, VCNYRDV, and CPRGVNP, a useful 8-mer peptide for treatment of radiation injury is QPLAPLVG and a useful 9-mer peptide for treatment of radiation injury is DINGFLPAL.

[0045] Other peptides, especially 3 or 4-mer peptides, can be found by testing for anti-cell cycle activity in proliferation assays, for example by using the plant growth assay as provided herein. Use of a peptide for the production of a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment a subject suffering from or believed to be suffering from radiation injury wherein said peptide consists of 2 to 6 amino acids is herein particularly provided. Again, from the viewpoint of preventing adverse reactions such as anaphylactic shock it is thus preferred that the pharmaceutical composition is made with a peptide that consists of 2 to 6 amino acids, more

preferably consists of 3 to 5 amino acids, and most preferably consists of 3 or 4 amino acids. If only from the viewpoint of activity, based on a general insight that activity is broader with increasing peptide size, if only to withstand full proteolysis (after administration) longer whereby metabolic fragments of 3 aa still have activity, it is herein preferred that said peptide consists of 4 amino acids.

[0046] Furthermore, it is particularly useful that subjects in need of treatment for radiation injury can now be treated via a mere subcutaneous or intra-muscular injection, thereby allowing self-treatment with an autoinjector or treatment by non-trained or non-medical personnel, thereby greatly facilitating the organisation of help in emergency scenarios where thousands of people may need to be treated. If only intravenous or similarly risky intraperitoneal injections had been found to be useful, subjects in need of treatment would be harder to help, when compared now to the situation that simple administration tools such as autoinjectors are provided herein.

[0047] In particular, the invention also provides use of a peptide smaller than 30 amino acids for the production of a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of radiation injury wherein said pharmaceutical composition is contained in an autoinjector. An autoinjector is a medical device designed to deliver a single dose of a particular (typically life-saving) drug, sometimes also described as a pre-filled syringe for self-injection or injection by non-medical personnel or laymen. As used herein, the term "autoinjector" does not refer to an injector for the automated application of a biological (e.g. peptide) sample in an analytical system, such as a chromatography apparatus, as is described for example in Husek et al. (J. of Chromatography B: Biomedical Sciences & Applications, Elsevier, Amsterda, Vol. 767, no.1, (2002) pg. 169-174).

[0048] By design, autoinjectors are easy to use and are intended for self-administration by patients or administration by laymen to patients. The site of injection typically is into the thigh or the buttocks, wherein said treatment comprises subcutaneous or intramuscular injection with said peptide. Because autoinjectors may be designed to automatically and reliably deliver a desired dose of medicament, they facilitate quick, convenient, and accurate delivery of medicaments. In particular, autoinjectors are well suited for use by subjects who must self-administer therapeutic substances or by healthcare workers who must inject multiple subjects over a relatively short period of time, for instance in an emergency situation. Moreover,

autoinjectors incorporating a needled injection mechanism may be designed so that the needle is hidden from view before, during, and even after an injection operation, thereby reducing or eliminating any anxiety associated with the act of penetrating a visible needle into the subject's tissue. Though their precise specifications vary widely, needled autoinjectors generally include a body or housing, a needled syringe or similar device, and one or more drive mechanisms for inserting a needle into the tissue of the subject and delivering a desired dose of liquid medicament through the inserted needle. The drive mechanisms included in state of the art needled autoinjectors generally include a source of energy capable of powering the drive mechanism. This energy source may be, for example, mechanical (i.e., spring-loaded), pneumatic, electromechanical, or chemical, as described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,149,626, 6,099,504, 5,957,897, 5,695,472, 5,665,071, 5,567160, 5,527,287, 5,354,286, 5,300,030, 5,102,393, 5,092,843, 4,894,054, 4,678,461, and 3,797,489, the contents of each such patent being incorporated herein by reference. International Publications numbered WO 01/17593, WO 98/00188, WO 95/29720, WO 95/31235, and WO 94/13342 also describe various injectors including different drive mechanisms. Most autoinjectors are (optionally spring-loaded) syringes. An autoinjector of the present invention, in particular the body or housing thereof which is in direct contact with the peptide, is preferably made of a material which has a minimal affinity for peptides. This can reduce unwanted adhesion or sticking of peptides to the autoinjector to a minimum. A very suitable material is polypropylene, in particular essentially pure polypropylene.

[0049] Autoinjectors were initially designed to overcome the hesitation associated with self-administration of needle-based drugs. Examples of such autoinjectors are Epipen® or the recently introduced Twinject®, which is often prescribed to persons who are at risk for anaphylaxis. Another example of an autoinjector is the Rebiject® for interferon beta used to treat Multiple Sclerosis. Autoinjectors are often used in the military to protect personnel from chemical warfare agents. In the United States Military, an autoinjector is part of every Biological or Chemical Weapons Response kit. It is issued to every soldier in the event they may face Biological or Chemical Weapons. The needle automatically injects the person once you activate it, piercing any clothes (even multiple layers) one may be wearing. An autoinjector herein not only comprises above described injection devices, that usually is spring-driven, whereby the skin

penetration and/or the injection of the drug takes place automatically, but also comprises pre-filled syringes, or autoinjector cartridges and the like.

[0050] The invention provides such an autoinjector useful for the treatment of (ir)radiation injury irrespective of whether the radiation is emitted by radioactive substances (radioisotopes), such as uranium, radon, and plutonium, or is produced by man-made sources, such as x-ray and radiation therapy machines. The invention also provides an autoinjector comprising a pharmaceutical composition consisting of a peptide of smaller than 30 amino acids (herein also called anti-radiation peptide) and a suitable excipient. Suitable excipients are known in the art, see for example the Handbook of Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Formulations (edited by Sarfaraz K Niazi; ISBN: 0849317460 and incorporated herein by reference).

[0051] Suitable excipients for example are composed of water, propylene glycol, ethyl alcohol, sodium benzoate and benzoic acid as buffers, and benzyl alcohol as preservative; or of mannitol, human serum albumin, sodium acetate, acetic acid, sodium hydroxide, and water for injections. Other exemplary compositions for parenteral administration via an autoinjector include injectable solutions or suspensions which may contain, for example, suitable non-toxic, parenterally acceptable diluents or solvents, such as mannitol, 1,3-butanediol, water, Ringer's solution, an isotonic sodium chloride solution, or other suitable dispersing or wetting and suspending agents, including synthetic mono- or diglycerides, and fatty acids, including oleic acid.

[0052] In one embodiment, an autoinjector comprises as an active ingredient an anti-radiation peptide (or functional analog thereof) that is capable of reducing adverse effects of radiation in a subject when administered after the subject has been exposed to radiation. Preferably, said peptide can confer at least a partial protection against radiation damage if administered at least 30 minutes, more preferably at least one hour, most preferably at least several hours or even several days (e.g. 3 days) post irradiation. This type of autoinjector is also referred to as an "emergency-autoinjector", reflecting its applicability in unexpected emergency situations.

[0053] In one embodiment, the invention provides an autoinjector containing a sterile solution packaged within a syringe-like device that delivers its entire 5 mL contents automatically upon activation. Each mL contains 100 mg, preferably 200 mg, anti-radiation

peptide compounded with an excipient, such as an excipient comprising propylene glycol, ethyl alcohol, sodium benzoate and benzoic acid as buffers, and benzyl alcohol as preservative. In a preferred embodiment, the autoinjector for the treatment of radiation injury carries an anti-radiation peptide smaller than 15 amino acids, more preferably smaller than 7 amino acids.

[0054] Preferred are autoinjectors for the treatment of acute radiation injury carrying a peptide of 3 to 4 aa in length, preferably a peptide which has a dose reduction factor (DRF) against acute gamma irradiation of at least 1.10, said DRF determinable by testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a test group of mice treated with said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and, testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a control group of mice treated only with the vehicle of said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and, wherein the DRF is calculated by dividing the LD50/30 of the peptide-treated animals by the LD50/30 of the control animals.

[0055] Even more preferred is an autoinjector carrying a peptide which has a dose reduction factor (DRF) of at least 1.20, more preferably of at least 1.25. Suitable peptides for inclusion in an autoinjector are also those that have anti cell-cycle activity in plants, as determined herein. Very suitable peptides for use in an autoinjector of the invention are VVC, LAG, AQG, LQGV, QVVC, MTRV, AQGV, LAGV, LQAV, PGCP, VGQL, RVLQ, EMFQ, AVAL, FVLS, NMWD, LCFL, FSYA, FWVD, AFTV, LGTL, QLLG, YAIT, APSL, ITTL, QALG, GVLC, NLIN, SPIE, LNTI, LHNL, CPVQ, EVVR, MTEV, EALE, EPPE, LGTL, VGGI, RLPG, LQGA, LCFL, TLAVE, VEGNL, or LNEAL.

[0056] The invention also provides a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of a subject suffering from or believed to be suffering from radiation injury, said pharmaceutical composition comprising: a pharmacologically effective amount of anti-radiation peptide, or a functional analogue thereof, or pharmaceutical composition as identified herein together with a pharmaceutically acceptable diluent. The invention herewith provides a method of treating or preventing radiation injury in a subject in need thereof or in potential need thereof, said method comprising: administering to the subject a pharmaceutical composition comprising: means for treating or preventing radiation injury, and a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient, wherein said

means comprise an anti-radiation peptide or pharmaceutical composition as identified herein, in particular wherein said radiation injury comprises irradiation injury.

[0057] In one embodiment, the invention provides a method of treating radiation injury of a subject in need thereof comprising administering to the subject a composition comprising an oligopeptide obtainable or derivable from the peptide MTRVLQGVLPALPQVVC or from the peptide LPGCPRGVNPVVS. It is preferred that the oligopeptide is selected from the group consisting of MTR, MTRV, LQG, LQGV, VLPALP, VLPALPQ, QVVC, VVC, AQG, AQGV, LAG, LAGV and any combination thereof. In another embodiment, it is preferred that the oligopeptide is selected from the group consisting of LPGC, CPRGVNP and PGCP. Such oligopeptides are particularly useful when said radiation injury comprises irradiation injury. The invention also provides a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of radiation injury comprising an oligopeptide obtainable or derivable from the peptide MTRVLQGVLPALPQVVC or from the peptide LPGCPRGVNPVVS, such as an oligopeptide is selected from the group of MTR, MTRV, LQG, LQGV, VLPALP, VLPALPQ, QVVC, VVC, AQG, AQGV, LAG, LAGV, LPGC, CPRGVNP and PGCP, and combinations of any thereof and use of an such (oligo)peptide(s) for the production of a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of radiation injury.

[0058] Earlier we reported inhibition of septic shock in mice by a 6-mer oligopeptide (VLPALP) derived from the beta-chain of human chorionic gonadotropin hormone. Also, we showed that several other short (from trimeric peptides up) peptides derived from loop 2 of the beta chain of hCG (residues 41-57), and modifications of some of said peptides obtained by alanine substitution of single amino acids, have similar anti-inflammatory activity. Furthermore, we provide our rational for selecting several of these for continuing development towards a therapeutic compound for treatment of acute inflammatory conditions after accidental exposure to ionizing irradiation.

[0059] Human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) is a heterodimeric placental glycoprotein hormone required in pregnancy. In human pregnancy urine and in commercial hCG preparations it occurs in a variety of forms, including breakdown products. Several investigators have studied the effects of heterodimeric hCG and its variants on the immune system because of their putative role in preventing the rejection of the fetal allograft during pregnancy. Several reports have

suggested modulation of the immune system by intact hormone, but such effects of breakdown products have not been reported. Earlier we (Khan et al., *Hum. Immunol.* 2002 Jan; 63(1):1-7), reported inhibition of septic shock in mice by a 6-mer oligopeptide (VLPALP) derived from the beta-chain of human chorionic gonadotropin hormone. A single treatment with this hexapeptide after high dose lipopolysaccharide (LPS) injection inhibited septic shock in mice. Benner and Khan (*Scand. J. Immunol.* 2005 Jul; 62 Suppl 1:62-6) studied the possible immunological activity of the *in vivo* liberated peptide fragments originating from nicking of the sequence MTRVLQGVLPALPQVVC (residues 41-57) of loop 2 of the beta-subunit of hCG. Here it is reported that several of 3 – 7 amino acid-long peptides taken from loop 2 of the beta-subunit --and alanine-replacement peptides derived of some-- displayed significant anti-inflammatory activity as measured by the inhibition of septic shock syndrome in mice and are beyond that considered useful for treatment of radiation injury, in particular of radiation injury comprising gastrointestinal syndrome and for the production of a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of radiation injury, in particular of radiation injury comprising gastrointestinal syndrome.

The invention also provides a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity. The cell cycle is an ordered set of events, culminating in cell growth and division into two daughter cells. The stages of the cell cycle are G1-S-G2-M. The G1 stage stands for "GAP 1". The S stage stands for "Synthesis". This is the stage when DNA replication occurs. The G2 stage stands for "GAP 2". The M stage stands for "mitosis", and is when nuclear (chromosomes separate) and cytoplasmic (cytokinesis) division occur. The term ''anti-cell cycle activity'' as used herein is meant to indicate that the peptide is capable of altering cell cycle dynamics. For example, it comprises altering, i.e. increasing or reducing, the frequency of cell division. In one embodiment, it refers to an anti-proliferative activity.

[0060] Provided is a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising PGCP, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising VGQL, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising RVLQ, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising EMFQ, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising AVAL, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising FVLS, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell

cycle activity comprising NMWD, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising LCFL, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising FSYA, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising FWVD, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising AFTV, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising LGTL, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising QLLG, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising YAIT, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising APSL, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising ITTL, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising QALG, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising GVLC, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising NLIN, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising SPIE, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising LNTI, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising LHNL, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising CPVQ, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising EVVR, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising MTEV, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising EALE, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising EPPE, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising LGTL, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising VGGI, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising RLPG, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising LQGA, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising LCFL, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising TLAVE, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising VEGNL, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising LNEAL, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising MGGTWA, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising LTCDDP, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising VCNYRDV, a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising CPRGVNP, and a pharmaceutical composition having anti-cell cycle activity comprising DINGFLPAL.

[0061] Legends

[0062] Figure 1 Whole Body Irradiation of mice treated with AQGV (peptide EA-230).

[0063] "WBI" stands for Whole Body Irradiation. Radiation damage protection *in vivo* was assessed after WBI (6.5 to 9.8Gy, Philips MG 30 at 81cGy/min) on anesthetized C57Bl/6 mice, and survival differences were measured by Kaplan-Meirer analysis. All groups of mice got the first injection with peptide or vehicle (control animals) 3 hours after the WBI. The group that got a placebo injection experienced 80% mortality, as predicted in this model. The dose of radiation of 8.6 Gray(= 8.6 Gy) is known, in this species, to cause about an 80% mortality, so it is called the LD80 (lethal dose for 80%). The deaths starting around Day 10 - which is typical for what happens in WBI to animals or humans: By around Day 10, the gut lining is so damaged and leaky from the radiation that bacteria get into the circulation and cause gastrointestinal syndrome, and the bone marrow is so damaged that there aren't enough white blood cells to fight the infection ("Bone Marrow Syndrome"), and death ensues. The group with "x" as the symbol got the first injection IV, and the second injection subcutaneously (SC) 3 hours after that first injection. 100% of these animals survived. What the graph does not show is that they didn't show *any* signs of sickness at all. To an outside observer, they would look like perfectly normal mice. The group with the triangle symbol got its first injection of the peptide via the SC route. Then it got additional SC injections every 48 hours, for a total of 3 doses (in addition to the first dose) - meaning, on Day 3, Day 5, and Day 7. Note that only one of these animals died. The group with the square symbol was identical in procedures to the group with the triangle symbol, except that the 48 hour SC injections continued on until a total of 6 doses had been injected (in addition to the first dose). So the dosing continued on until Day 13. Note that this prolonging of the treatment was associated with complete protection (no mortality whatsoever in this group). The animals in this group showed no signs of sickness. What we can conclude from this data is that when the animals got two doses of peptide in the first day (with the first one being IV), there was complete protection against a highly-lethal dose of WBI. When the animals got lower-level

treatment (SC only), if the treatment was prolonged until the 2nd week, here again there was complete protection.

[0064] Figure 2 Second set of radioprotection experiments with peptide AQGV

[0065] Escalating doses of Whole Body Irradiation (WBI), with one single exposure given to any particular cohort, and the exposure dose going progressively higher, for each subsequent cohort. A single dose of peptide EA-230 (AQGV) was administered subcutaneously, but with treatment delayed until three days (72 hr) after the WBI. The test is called the Dose Reduction Factor ("DRF"), which is defined as ratio between the LD50 of the treated group to the LD50 of the control group. The LD50 refers to that dose which is lethal to 50% of the animals tested. An acceptable DRF value is 1.20. To pass the test, at Day 30 after WBI a candidate drug must have an LD50 at a radiation dose that is at least 20% higher (an increase by a factor of 1.20) than the LD50 dose for the control animals. If e.g. the LD50 for the control animals is 8.2 Gy, then, the LD50 for a candidate drug would have to be at least 20% higher, which in this case would mean a dose of 8.2 x 1.20 = 10.4 Gy.

[0066] Figure 3 An example of the effect of oligopeptides in *Arabidopsis thaliana* cell-cycle analysis. Compounds NAK 4 (LQGV) and NAK 9 (VVC) show a clear effect on the tested markers. For the cell cycle marker (pCDG), a clear effect was observed, for both time points, in the roots. In the transition zone and cotyledons the effect was observed in a time- and/or dose-dependent manner. In the case of the auxin responsive marker (DR5::GUS) the same was observed as with the cell cycle marker. NAK 26 (DINGFLPAL) shows less consistent and time-dependent effect. An effect was only observed in time in the roots. No effect was observed in the transition zone and cotyledons.

[0067] Figure 4. A test on representative oligopeptides for their effect on proliferation during rapid growth of murine monocytes induced by CD3 when avid cell division occurs. Mice (n=5) were treated i.p. PBS, Nak4 (LQGV), Nak47 (LAGV), Nak46 (AQGV) provided by Ansynth BV,The Netherlands, or Nak46*(AQGV provided by Diosynth BV,The Netherlands).

Mice were treated with 0.5 mg/kg of 5 mg/kg peptide for one hour after which spleens were isolated and spleen cell suspensions were made. Spleen cell suspensions were pooled per group and cultured *in vitro* (in three-fold) in the presence of PBS or of anti-CD3 antibody and proliferation was tested at 0, 12, 24 and 48 hours after culture.

[0068] DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0069] As used herein, a "purified, synthetic or isolated" peptide is one that has been purified from a natural or biotechnological source, or, more preferably, is synthesized as described herein.

[0070] "Composition," as used herein, refers to chemical compounds that contain or consist of the oligopeptide. The oligopeptide is preferably isolated before inclusion within the composition. The oligopeptide preferably consists of two (2) to six (6) amino acids, most preferably of three (3) to four (4) amino acids.

[0071] For instance, a preferred compound could, in one embodiment be: NT A Q G V CT wherein NT at the N-terminus is selected from the group of H--, CH3--, an acyl group, or a general protective group; and CT at the C-terminus is selected from the group of small (e.g. 1 to 5 amino acids) peptides, --OH, --OR^1, --NH_2, --NHR^1, --NR^1R^2, or --$N(CH_2)_{1-6}NR^1R^2$, wherein R^1 and R^2, when present, are independently selected from H, alkyl, aryl, (ar)alkyl, and wherein R^1 and R^2 can be cyclically bonded to one another.

[0072] "Alkyl" as used herein, is preferably a saturated branched or unbranched hydrocarbon having one to six carbon atoms, for example, methyl, ethyl, and isopentyl.

[0073] "Aryl" as used herein, is an aromatic hydrocarbon group, preferably having 6 to 10 carbon atoms, such as phenyl or naphthyl.

[0074] "(Ar)alkyl" as used herein, is an arene group (having both aliphatic and aromatic portions), preferably having 7 to 13 carbon atoms such as benzyl, ethylbenzyl, n-propylbenzyl, and isobutylbenzyl.

[0075] "Oligopeptide" as used herein, are peptides having from 2 to 12 amino acids joined together by peptide bonds. Equivalent to oligopeptides are compounds having the same or equivalent side chains as the particular amino acids used in an oligopeptide, and arranged

sequentially in the same order as the peptides, but joined together by non-peptide bonds, *e.g.*, by isosteric linkages such as the keto isostere, hydroxy isostere, diketo isostere, or the keto-difluoromethylene isostere.

[0076] "Composition" also includes, for example, an acceptable salt of the oligopeptide or a labeled oligopeptide. As used herein, "acceptable salt" refers to salts that retain the desired activity of the oligopeptide or equivalent compound, but preferably do not detrimentally affect the activity of the oligopeptide or other component of a system in which uses the oligopeptide. Examples of such salts are acid addition salts formed with inorganic acids, for example, hydrochloric acid, hydrobromic acid, sulfuric acid, phosphoric acid, nitric acid, and the like. Salts may also be formed with organic acids such as, for example, acetic acid, oxalic acid, tartaric acid, succinic acid, maleic acid, fumaric acid, gluconic acid, citric acid, malic acid, ascorbic acid, benzoic acid, tannic acid, pamoic acid, alginic acid, polyglutamic acid, and the like. Salts may be formed with polyvalent metal cations such as zinc, calcium, bismuth, barium, magnesium, aluminum, copper, cobalt, nickel and the like or with an organic cation formed from N,N'-dibenzylethylenediamine or ethylenediamine, or combinations thereof (*e.g.*, a zinc tannate salt).

[0077] Such a pharmaceutical composition may be administered to the subject parenterally or orally. Such a pharmaceutical composition may consist essentially of oligopeptide and PBS. It is preferred that the oligopeptide is of synthetic origin. Suitable treatment for example entails administering the oligopeptide in the pharmaceutical composition to the patient intravenously in an amount of from about 0.1 to about 35 mg/kg body mass of the subject. It may be useful that the pharmaceutical composition consists essentially of from one to three different oligopeptides.

[0078] The thus developed chemical entity can be administered and introduced *in vivo* systemically, topically, or locally. The peptide, or its modification, can be administered as the entity as such or as a pharmaceutically acceptable acid- or base addition salt, formed by reaction with an inorganic acid (such as hydrochloric acid, hydrobromic acid, perchloric acid, nitric acid, thiocyanic acid, sulfuric acid, and phosphoric acid); or with an organic acid (such as formic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, glycolic acid, lactic acid, pyruvic acid, oxalic acid, malonic acid, succinic acid, maleic acid, and fumaric acid); or by reaction with an inorganic base (such as

sodium hydroxide, ammonium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide); or with an organic base (such as mono-, di-, trialkyl and aryl amines and substituted ethanolamines). A selected peptide and any of the derived entities may also be conjugated to sugars, lipids, other polypeptides, nucleic acids and PNA; and function in-situ as a conjugate or be released locally after reaching a targeted tissue or organ.

[0079] A "substitution" with regard to the various amino acids generally relate to substituting a group such as alkoxy, halogen, hydroxy, nitro, or lower alkyl onto an aromatic ring for hydrogen that would usually be present. Substitutions can also be made on the alkyl chain connecting the aromatic portion to the peptide backbone, with, for instance lower alkyl groups substituting for hydrogen. Still further substitutions can be made at the alpha position of an amino acid, also using an alkyl group.

[0080] Preferred substitutions involve the use of fluorine or chlorine as a halogen, and methoxy as an alkoxy group. With regard to alkyl and lower alkyl, generally alkyl groups having fewer (1 to 3) carbon atoms are preferred.

[0081] The compounds according to the general formula may be prepared in a manner conventional for such compounds. To that end, suitably N alpha protected (and side-chain protected if reactive side-chains are present) amino acid analogs or peptides are activated and coupled to suitably carboxyl protected amino acid or peptide derivatives either in solution or on a solid support. Protection of the alpha-amino functions generally takes place by urethane functions such as the acid-labile tertiary-butyloxycarbonyl group ("Boc"), benzyloxycarbonyl ("Z") group and substituted analogs or the base-labile 9-fluoremyl-methyloxycarbonyl ("Fmoc") group. The Z group can also be removed by catalytic hydrogenation. Other suitable protecting groups include the Nps, Bmv, Bpoc, Aloc, MSC, etc. A good overview of amino protecting groups is given in *The peptides, Analysis, Synthesis, Biology*, Vol. 3, E. Gross and J. Meienhofer, eds. (Academic Press, New York, 1981). Protection of carboxyl groups can take place by ester formation, for example, base-labile esters like methyl or ethyl, acid labile esters like tert. butyl or, substituted, benzyl esters or hydrogenolytically. Protection of side-chain functions like those of lysine and glutamic or aspartic acid can take place using the aforementioned groups. Protection of thiol, and although not always required, of guanidino, alcohol and imidazole groups can take place using a variety of reagents such as those described in *The Peptides, Analysis,*

Synthesis, Biology, id. or in *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 59(3), 331-344 (1987). Activation of the carboxyl group of the suitably protected amino acids or peptides can take place by the azide, mixed anhydride, active ester, or carbodiimide method especially with the addition of catalytic and racemization-suppressing compounds like 1-N-N-hydroxybenzotriazole, N-hydroxysuccinimide, 3-hydroxy-4-oxo-3,4-dihydro-1,2,3,-benzotriazine, N-hydroxy-5 norbornene-2,3-dicar-boxyimide. Also the anhydrides of phosphorus based acids can be used. *See, e.g., The Peptides, Analysis, Synthesis, Biology, supra* and *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 59(3), 331-344 (1987).

[0082] It is also possible to prepare the compounds by the solid phase method of Merrifield. Different solid supports and different strategies are known *see, e.g.* Barany and Merrifield in *The Peptides,* Analysis, *Synthesis, Biology*, Vol. 2, E. Gross and J. Meienhofer, eds. (Acad. Press, New York, 1980); Kneib-Cordonier and Mullen, *Int. J. Peptide Protein Res.*, 30, 705-739 (1987); and Fields and Noble, *Int. J. Peptide Protein Res.*, 35, 161-214 (1990). The synthesis of compounds in which a peptide bond is replaced by an isostere, can, in general, be performed using the previously described protecting groups and activation procedures. Procedures to synthesize the modified isosteres are described in the literature, e.g., for the --CH_2--NH-- isostere and for the --CO--CH_2 -- isostere.

[0083] Removal of the protecting groups, and, in the case of solid phase peptide synthesis, the cleavage from the solid support, can take place in different ways, depending on the nature of those protecting groups and the type of linker to the solid support. Usually deprotection takes place under acidic conditions and in the presence of scavengers. *See, e.g.* volumes 3, 5 and 9 of the series on *The Peptides Analysis, Synthesis, Biology, supra.*

[0084] Another possibility is the application of enzymes in synthesis of such compounds; for reviews see, *e.g.*, H.D. Jakubke in *The Peptides, Analysis, Synthesis, Biology*, Vol. 9, S. Udenfriend and J. Meienhofer, eds. (Acad. Press, New York, 1987).

[0085] Although possibly not desirable from an economic point of view, oligopeptides according to the invention could also be made according to recombinant DNA methods. Such methods involve the preparation of the desired oligopeptide thereof by means of expressing recombinant polynucleotide sequence that codes for one or more of the oligopeptides in question in a suitable microorganism as host. Generally the process involves introducing into a cloning

vehicle (*e.g.*, a plasmid, phage DNA, or other DNA sequence able to replicate in a host cell) a DNA sequence coding for the particular oligopeptide or oligopeptides, introducing the cloning vehicle into a suitable eukaryotic or prokaryotic host cell, and culturing the host cell thus transformed. When a eukaryotic host cell is used, the compound may include a glycoprotein portion.

As used herein, a "functional analogue" of a peptide includes an amino acid sequence, or other sequence monomers, which has been altered such that the functional properties of the sequence are essentially the same in kind, not necessarily in amount.

[0086] The functionality of a peptide or a functional analogue thereof can be determined using an *in vivo* and/or *in vitro* testing. In vitro testing is preferred. In one embodiment, a functional peptide analogue is subjected to comparative testing using a reference or control peptide, for instance a peptide analog consisting solely of L-amino acids. A suitable test comprises determining the capability of the candidate peptide to affect cell cycle dynamics. For example, the effect on cell cycle progression may be determined using a plant model system, e.g. the *Arabidopsis* system exemplified herein below, or using cultured (mammalian) cells. In a further aspect it involves determining the ability of the candidate peptide to inhibit apoptosis, for example by inducing a (temporary) G2-M cell cycle arrest.

[0087] An analogue can be provided in many ways, for instance, through "conservative amino acid substitution." Also, peptidomimetic compounds can be designed that functionally or structurally resemble the original peptide taken as the starting point but that are for example composed of non-naturally occurring amino acids or polyamides. With "conservative amino acid substitution," one amino acid residue is substituted with another residue with generally similar properties (size, hydrophobicity), such that the overall functioning is likely not to be seriously affected. However, it is often much more desirable to improve a specific function. An analogue can also be provided by systematically improving at least one desired property of an amino acid sequence. This can, for instance, be done by an Ala-scan and/or replacement net mapping method. With these methods, many different peptides are generated, based on an original amino acid sequence but each containing a substitution of at least one amino acid residue. The amino acid residue may either be replaced by alanine (Ala-scan) or by any other amino acid residue (replacement net mapping). This way, many positional variants

of the original amino acid sequence are synthesized. Every positional variant is screened for a specific activity. The generated data are used to design improved peptide derivatives of a certain amino acid sequence.

An analogue can also be, for instance, generated by substitution of an L-amino acid residue with a D-amino acid residue. This substitution, leading to a peptide that does not naturally occur in nature, can improve a property of an amino acid sequence. It is, for example, useful to provide a peptide sequence of known activity of all D-amino acids in retro inversion format, thereby allowing for retained activity and increased half-life values. By generating many positional variants of an original amino acid sequence and screening for a specific activity, improved peptide derivatives comprising such D-amino acids can be designed with further improved characteristics. It has been shown in the art that peptides that are protected by D-amino acids at either one or both termini were found to be more stable than those consisting of L-amino acids only. Other types of modifications include those known in the art of peptide drug development to have beneficial effects for use of the peptide in a pharmaceutical composition. These effects may include improved efficacy, altered pharmacokinetics, increasing stability resulting in a longer shelf-life and less stringent cold chain handling requirements.

[0088] In one embodiment of the invention, an anti-radiation peptide comprises a sequence of amino acids joined together in a chain by peptide bonds between their amino and carboxylate groups, wherein at least one amino acid is a D-amino acid. For example, the anti-radiation peptide is selected from the group consisting of VVC, LAG, AQG, LQGV, QVVC, MTRV, AQGV, LAGV, LQAV, PGCP, VGQL, RVLQ, EMFQ, AVAL, FVLS, NMWD, LCFL, FSYA, FWVD, AFTV, LGTL, QLLG, YAIT, APSL, ITTL, QALG, GVLC, NLIN, SPIE, LNTI, LHNL, CPVQ, EVVR, MTEV, EALE, EPPE, LGTL, VGGI, RLPG, LQGA, LCFL, TLAVE, VEGNL, LNEAL, VLPALP, MGGTWA, LTCDDP, VLPAPLQ, VCNYRDV, CPRGVNP, QPLAPLVG and DINGFLPAL, wherein at least one of the amino acid residues indicated by the standard one-letter code is a D -amino acid.

[0089] A person skilled in the art is well able to generate analogous compounds of an amino acid sequence. This can, for instance, be done through screening of a peptide library. Such an analogue has essentially the same functional properties of the sequence in kind, not necessarily in amount. Also, peptides or analogues can be circularized, for example, by

providing them with (terminal) cysteines, dimerized or multimerized, for example, by linkage to lysine or cysteine or other compounds with side-chains that allow linkage or multimerization, brought in tandem- or repeat-configuration, conjugated or otherwise linked to carriers known in the art, if only by a labile link that allows dissociation. Synthetic versions of these oligopeptides as described above, and functional analogues or breakdown products, are herein provided to be used in methods to the treatment of radiation injury and subsequent disease.

[0090] As used herein, a "functional analogue" of a peptide is preferably smaller than the peptide from which it is derived and thus rather made by deletions and/or substitutions than by additions in size. Also, as used herein, a "functional analogue" of a peptide, does not refer to a larger protein or peptide merely containing an amino acid sequence identified as an anti-radiation peptide that is flanked by more amino acids at one or both sides.

[0091] The term "pharmaceutical composition" as used herein is intended to cover both the active composition of the invention alone or a composition containing the composition of the invention together with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, diluent or excipient. Of course, a pharmaceutical composition may comprise a mixture of at least two anti-radiation peptides or analogs as disclosed herein. Acceptable diluents of an oligopeptide as described herein in the detailed description are, for example, physiological salt solutions or phosphate buffered salt solutions. In one embodiment, an oligopeptide or composition is administered in an effective concentration to an animal or human systemically, for example, by intravenous, intra-muscular or intraperitoneal administration. Another way of administration comprises perfusion of organs or tissue, be it *in vivo* or *ex vivo*, with a perfusion fluid comprising an oligopeptide or composition according to the invention. The administration may be done as a single dose, as a discontinuous sequence of various doses, or continuously for a period of time sufficient to permit substantial modulation of gene expression. In the case of a continuous administration, the duration of the administration may vary depending upon a number of factors that would readily be appreciated by those skilled in the art.

[0092] The administration dose of an active molecule may be varied over a fairly broad range. The concentrations of an active molecule that can be administered are typically limited by efficacy at the lower end and the solubility of the compound at the upper end. The optimal dose or doses for a particular patient should and can be determined by the physician or medical

specialist involved, taking into consideration well-known relevant factors such as the condition, weight and age of the patient, etc.

[0093] The active molecule may be administered directly in a suitable vehicle, such as, for example, phosphate-buffered saline ("PBS") or solutions in alcohol or DMSO. Pursuant to preferred embodiments of the present invention, however, the active molecule is administered through a single dose delivery using a drug-delivery system. A suitable drug-delivery system would be pharmacologically inactive or at least tolerable. It should preferably not be immunogenic nor cause inflammatory reactions, and should permit release of the active molecule so as to maintain effective levels thereof over the desired time period. Alternatives are known in the art as suitable for purposes of sustained release and are contemplated as within the scope of the present invention. Suitable delivery vehicles include, but are not limited to, the following: microcapsules or microspheres; liposomes and other lipid-based release systems; viscous instillates; absorbable and/or biodegradable mechanical barriers and implants; and polymeric delivery materials, such as polyethylene oxide/polypropylene oxide block copolymers, polyesters, cross-linked polyvinyl alcohols, polyanhydrides, polymethacrylate and polymethacrylamide hydrogels, anionic carbohydrate polymers, etc. Useful delivery systems are well known in the art.

[0094] One formulation to achieve the active molecule release comprises injectable microcapsules or microspheres made from a biodegradable polymer, such as poly(dl-lactide), poly(dl-lactide-co-glycolide), polycaprolactone, polyglycolide, polylactic acid-co-glycolide, poly(hydroxybutyric acid), polyesters or polyacetals. Injectable systems comprising microcapsules or microspheres having a diameter of about 50 to about 500 micrometers offer advantages over other delivery systems. For example, they generally use less active molecules and may be administered by paramedical personnel. Moreover, such systems are inherently flexible in the design of the duration and rate of separate drug release by selection of microcapsule or microsphere size, drug loading and dosage administered. Further, they can be successfully sterilized by gamma irradiation.

[0095] The design, preparation, and use of microcapsules and microspheres are well within the reach of persons skilled in the art and detailed information concerning these points is available in the literature. Biodegradable polymers (such as lactide, glycolide and caprolactone

polymers) may also be used in formulations other than microcapsules and microspheres; *e.g.*, pre-made films and spray-on films of these polymers containing the active molecule would be suitable for use in accordance with the present invention. Fibers or filaments comprising the active molecule are also contemplated as within the scope of the present invention.

[0096] Another highly suitable formulation for a single-dose delivery of the active molecule in accordance with the present invention involves liposomes. The encapsulation of an active molecule in liposomes or multilamellar vesicles is a well-known technique for targeted drug delivery and prolonged drug residence. The preparation and use of drug-loaded liposomes is well within the reach of persons skilled in the art and well documented in the literature.

[0097] Yet another suitable approach for single-dose delivery of an active molecule in accordance with the present invention involves the use of viscous installates. In this technique, high molecular weight carriers are used in admixture with active molecule(s), giving rise to a structure that produces a solution with high viscosity. Suitable high molecular weight carriers include, but are not limited to, the following: dextrans and cyclodextrans; hydrogels; (cross-linked) viscous materials, including (cross-linked) viscoelastics; carboxymethylcellulose; hyaluronic acid; and chondroitin sulfate. The preparation and use of drug-loaded viscous instillates is well known to persons skilled in the art.

[0098] Pursuant to yet another approach, active molecule(s) may be administered in combination with absorbable mechanical barriers such as oxidized regenerated cellulose. The active molecule may be covalently or non-covalently (*e.g.*, ionically) bound to such a barrier, or it may simply be dispersed therein.

[0099] The invention is further explained with the aid of the following illustrative examples.

EXAMPLES

Peptide selection

[00100] Selection was based on the known preferential cleavage sites of the sequence MTRVLQGVLPALPQVVC (residues 41-57) of loop 2 of the beta-subunit of hCG (Cole et al., *J. Clin. Endocr. Metab.* 1993; 76:704-710; H. Alfthan, U.H. Stenman, *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.*

1996; 125:107-120; A. Kardana, et al., *Endocrinology* 1991; 129:1541-1550; Cole et al., *Endocrinology* 1991; 129:1559-1567; S. Birken, Y. Maydelman, M.A. Gawinowicz, *Methods* 2000; 21:3-14), and on aa sequences taken from C-Reactive Protein (CRP) (Beta-catenin, *e.g.*, human CTNB), Bruton's tyrosine kinase (*e.g.*, human BTK), matrix metalloproteinase-2 and p-53.

Peptide synthesis

[00101] Peptides mentioned here were prepared commercially by a proprietary process (Diosynth BV) or by solid-phase synthesis (Ansynth BV) using the fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc)/tert-butyl-based methodology with 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin as the solid support. The side-chain of glutamine was protected with a trityl function. The peptides were synthesized manually. Each coupling consisted of the following steps: (i) removal of the alpha-amino Fmoc-protection by piperidine in dimethylformamide (DMF), (ii) coupling of the Fmoc amino acid (3 eq) with diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC)/1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) in DMF/N-methylformamide (NMP) and (iii) capping of the remaining amino functions with acetic anhydride/diisopropylethylamine (DIEA) in DMF/NMP. Upon completion of the synthesis, the peptide resin was treated with a mixture of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/H_2O/triisopropylsilane (TIS) 95:2.5:2.5. After 30 minutes, TIS was added until decolorization. The solution was evaporated *in vacuo* and the peptide precipitated with diethyl ether. The crude peptides were dissolved in water (50-100 mg/ml) and purified by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC). HPLC conditions were: column: Vydac TP21810C18 (10 x 250 mm); elution system: gradient system of 0.1% TFA in water v/v (A) and 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile (ACN) v/v (B); flow rate 6 ml/minute; absorbance was detected from 190-370 nm. There were different gradient systems used. For example for peptides LQG and LQGV: 10 minutes 100% A followed by linear gradient 0-10% B in 50 minutes. For example for peptides VLPALP and VLPALPQ: 5 minutes 5% B followed by linear gradient 1% B/minute. The collected fractions were concentrated to about 5 ml by rotation film evaporation under reduced pressure at 40°C. The remaining TFA was exchanged against acetate by eluting two times over a column with anion exchange resin (Merck II) in acetate form. The elute was

concentrated and lyophilized in 28 hours. Peptides later were prepared for use by dissolving them in PBS.

Example 1 and Example 2

[00102] In the first experiment, 12-week-old female BALB/c mice were treated intraperitoneally with a single injection of either PBS (n=9) or with a peptide (LGQV, VLPALP, LPGCPRGVNPVVS, MTRVLQGVLPALPQVVC; n=8, 10 mg/kg). One and a half hours after the treatment mice were exposed whole body to a single dose of 10 Gy ^{137}Cs-γ-irradiation. In the second experiment 12-week-old female BALB/c mice were first exposed whole body to a single dose of 10 Gy ^{137}Cs-γ-irradiation and then 1.5 hours after the irradiation treated intraperitoneally with a single injection of either PBS (n=9) or with a peptide (n=8 or 9, 10 mg/kg). During the experiment mortality and clinical signs (e.g., watery eyes indicating conjunctivitis and weight loss) were observed at different time points. As can be seen from Table 2, all peptides tested had good effect on reducing conjunctivitis in the treated mice, however, no effects were seen on mortality, leading us to select a peptide most suited to combat acute inflammation for testing at a later phase with repeated doses and lowered irradiation.

Table 1. Effects of Intraperitoneal treatment with peptide (10 mg/kg) in 12-wks old female BALB/c mice 1.5 hours before whole body exposure to (10 Gy) γ-irradiation.

Mortality

	Days after irradiation					
	0	3	4	5	6	7
PBS	0/9	0/9	0/9	0/9	5/9	9/9
LQGV	0/8	0/8	0/8	0/8	7/8	8/8
VLPALP	0/8	0/8	0/8	0/8	7/8	8/8
LPGCPRGVNPVVS	0/8	0/8	0/8	0/8	4/8	8/8
MTRVLQGVLPALPQVVC	0/8	0/8	0/8	0/8	7/8	8/8

Watery eyes

	Days after irradiation	
	0	4
PBS	0/9	0/9
LQGV	0/8	0/8
VLPALP	0/8	0/8
LPGCPRGVNPVVS	0/8	1/8
MTRVLQGVLPALPQVVC	0/8	1/8

Weight loss

Days after irradiation	
0	4
0/9	9/9
0/8	8/8
0/8	8/8
0/8	8/8
0/8	1/8

Table 2. Effects of Intraperitoneal treatment with peptide (10 mg/kg) in 12-wks old female BALB/c mice 1.5 hours after whole body exposure to (10 Gy) γ-irradiation.

Mortality

	Days after irradiation					
	0	3	4	5	6	7
PBS	0/9	0/9	0/9	0/9	6/9	9/9
LQGV	0/9	0/9	0/9	1/9	6/9	9/9
VLPALP	0/9	0/9	0/9	0/9	3/9	9/9
LPGCPRGVNPVVS	0/8	0/8	0/8	0/8	6/8	8/8
MTRVLQGVLPALPQVVC	0/9	0/9	0/9	0/9	5/9	9/9

Watery eyes

	Days after irradiation	
	0	4
PBS	0/9	6/9
LQGV	0/9	3/9
VLPALP	0/9	0/9
LPGCPRGVNPVVS	0/8	0/8
MTRVLQGVLPALPQVVC	0/9	0/9

Weight loss

Days after irradiation	
0	4
0/9	9/9
0/9	9/9
0/9	9/9
0/8	8/8
0/9	9/9

Example 3

[00103] Six oligopeptides (*i.e.*, A: LAGV , B: AQGV , C: LAG, D: AQG, E: MTR, and F: MTRV) were tested and compared with PBS (control) in a double blind animal study for each peptide's relative ability to aid recovery in a mouse renal ischemia reperfusion test. In this test, the mice were anesthetized, and one kidney from each mouse was removed. The other kidney was tied off for 25 minutes, and the serum urea levels were allowed to increase. Both before and after tying off, each of the separate peptides was administered to thirty (30) different mice (5 mg

oligopeptide / kg body mass intravenously), after which, the mortality of the mice was determined for each oligopeptide as well as was the BUN concentration at two hours, 24 hours and 72 hours. The results are shown in Table 3 below (excluding the results of peptide A (LAGV (SEQ ID NO:4)) obtained in example 3).

[00104] Under inhalation anesthesia, the left kidney with its artery and vein was isolated and occluded for 25 minutes using a microvascular clamp. During surgery animals were placed on a heating path to maintain body temperature at 37°C. Five minutes before placing the clamp, and 5 minutes before releasing the clamp, 5 mg/kg of peptide, dissolved in 0.1 mL of sterile saline, was administered intravenously. After reperfusion of the left kidney the right kidney was removed. Kidney function was assessed by measuring blood urea nitrogen before clamping, and at 2, 24, and 72 hours after reperfusion.

[00105] Results - Table 3 (mortality at 72 hours post-reperfusion).

PBS	A (LAGV)	B (AQGV)	C (LAG)	D (AQG)	E (MTR)	F (MTRV)
6/10	6/10	0/10	4/10	4/10	4/10	2/10
*P< (vs PBS)	NS	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01

*2x2 Chi-square test. df=1

[00106] Peptide A (SEQ ID NO:4) was the first peptide administered in the renal ischemia reperfusion test. The personnel who performed the experiments went through a learning curve while working with peptide A. During administration of the peptide in the inferior caval vein, some animals experienced moderate blood loss from the site of injection, whereas others did not. Inadvertently the animals were returned to the stable without drinking water present in their cages the first night after surgery. Also, by mistake, the animals that were intended to be sacrificed at 72 hours were killed 48 hours after reperfusion. None of these or other problems were encountered during the experiments with peptides B-F.

[00107] As can be seen, mice administered the oligopeptides MTRV and especially AQGV did much better in terms of both survival (a significant reduction in mortality versus the

PBS control group) and reduced BUN concentration than the control group (PBS) or the group administered the other oligopeptides, with more mice surviving and the serum urea levels being much lower than in the other groups. However, the oligopeptides LAG, AQG, and MTR, in this experiment having no reducing effect on BUN concentration, each caused a significant reduction of mortality compared to the PBS control, where MTR did significantly raise BUN levels in the tested mice at 72 hours.

Example 4

[00108] One oligopeptide (A) was retested for its capacity to reduce BUN levels in the mice test for the reasons as described above. The results are shown in Table 4 below. As can be seen, mice administered the oligopeptide LAGV now did much better in terms of both survival (a significant reduction in mortality versus the PBS control group) and reduced BUN concentration than the control group (PBS).

Example 5

[00109] Four additional oligopeptides (G (VLPALPQ), H (VLPALP), I (LQGV) and J (LQG)) were tested for their capacity to reduce BUN levels in the mice test as described above. The results are shown in Table 4 below. As can be seen, mice administered the oligopeptide LQG did show reduced BUN concentration early in the experiment (at 24 hours post-reperfusion) and mice administered VLPALPQ did much better in terms of reduced BUN concentration late in the experiment (at 72 hours post-reperfusion) than the control group (PBS) or the group administered the other oligopeptides, with more mice surviving and the serum urea levels being much lower than in the other groups.

TABLE 4. BUN after 25 min renal ischemia tested in mice with peptides A-J

Peptide		t=0 hr	2 hr	24 hr	72 hr	C-term:	CARBOXYL
						N-term:	FREE
A	Mean	8.166667	14.03333	38.86364	32.8875	NMPF-47	LAGV
	sd	1.774658	1.011599	14.54711	14.31228		
	N	18	3	11	8		
B	Mean	9.713333	16.62	26.36	22.31	NMPF-46	AQGV
	sd	1.882722	2.185203	20.62105	15.96444		
	N	30	10	20	10		
C	Mean	10.15185	18.13333	59.24375	74.4	NMPF-44	LAG
	SD	1.789794	1.88326	16.19662	33.12546		
	N	29	6	16	6		
D	Mean	9.303846	17.7	66.75625	91.18333	NMPF-43	AQG
	SD	1.502127	1.561135	24.50445	51.22154		
	N	26	8	16	6		
E	mean	8.403846	17.13	66.23333	104.0167	NMPF-12	MTR
	SD	1.739076	1.625526	17.55069	48.97193		
	N	26	10	6	6		
F	mean	7.462963	15.08571	34.57368	39.8375	NMPF-11	MTRV
	SD	1.338526	1.422941	15.18083	21.45973		
	N	30	7	18	8		
G	mean	8.256667	13.58	37.79375	37.6375	NMPF-7	VLPALPQ
	SD	1.304021	1.927462	18.33007	29.32872		
	N	30	7	18	8		
H	mean	8.423333	16.24	62.4	47.05	NMPF-6	VLPALP
	SD	1.255521	1.370482	13.33867	20.92728		
	N	30	10	9	7		

I	mean	7.518182	17.53333	56.08333	73.17778	NMPF-4	LQGV
	SD	1.537356	2.956913	14.53573	23.3083		
	N	22	3	18	9		
J	mean	7.82069	16.75	26.74	83.95714	NMPF-3	LQG
	SD	1.330515	1.44123	15.51796	40.32129		
	N	29	8	9	8		
PBS	mean	8.172414	15.0875	56.81	82.075		
control	SD	1.549169	2.215167	22.4659	34.82713		
	N	29	8	15	4		

At 2 hour post-reperfusion statistical analyses revealed P-values of:

- A p=0.0491 NMPF-47 LAGV
- B p=0.0008 NMPF-46 AQGV
- C p=0.9248 NMPF-44 LAG
- D p=0.4043 NMPF-43 AQG
- E p=0.1848 NMPF-12 MTR
- F p=0.0106 NMPF-11 MTRV
- G p=0.1389 NMPF-7 VLPALPQ
- H p=0.5613 NMPF-6 VLPALP
- I p=0.9301 NMPF-4 LQGV
- J p=0.0030 NMPF-3 LQG

At 24 hour post-reperfusion statistical analyses revealed P-values of:

- A p=0.0017 NMPF-47 LAGV
- B p<0.0001 NMPF-46 AQGV
- C p=0.8186 NMPF-44 LAG
- D p=0.2297 NMPF-43 AQG
- E p=0.0242 NMPF-12 MTR
- F p=0.0021 NMPF-11 MTRV
- G p=0.0049 NMPF-7 VLPALPQ
- H p=0.3297 NMPF-6 VLPALP

- I p=0.8328 NMPF-4 LQGV
- J p=0.9445 NMPF-3 LQG

P values were calculated by Mann Whitney U-test (SPSS for Windows).

Example 6

[00110] To determine dose-response relationships, two peptides (D (AQG, having a good effect on mortality on the mice tested in Example 3) and B (AQGV), also having superior effect on BUN of the mice tested in Example 3) were also tested in a dose-response manner in the mouse renal failure test as described above. Peptides were tested at 0.3, 1, 3, 10 and 30 mg/kg dosages given as described in Example 3. P values (calculated by Mann Whitney U-test (SPSS for Windows)) of serum urea levels of PBS compared to peptide D groups at 72 hours post-clamping were at 0.3 mg/kg 0.001, at 1 mg/kg 0.009, at 3 mg/kg 0.02, at 10 mg/kg 0.000, and at 30 mg/kg 0.23, for peptide B groups these P-values were 0.88, 0.054, 0.000, 0.001 and 0.003. As can be seen, peptide D (AQG) did reduce BUN levels surprisingly well at the lower dosages tested, as compared with peptide B (AQGV), while the beneficial effect on mortality was also still notable at the lower dosages tested.

Table 5 Mortality in dose-response experiment	24h	72h
PBS	0-9	4-8
AQG 0.3 mg/kg	0-10	2-8
AQG 1.0 mg/kg	0-10	1-8
AQG 3.0 mg/kg	0-10	0-10
AQG 10.0 mg/kg	0-8	1-10
AQG 30.0 mg/kg	0-8	1-8
AQGV 0.3 mg/kg	0-9	2-10
AQGV 1.0 mg/kg	0-10	1-8
AQGV 3.0 mg/kg	1-10	0-10
AQGV 10.0 mg/kg	0-10	0-8
AQGV 30.0 mg/kg	0-8	3-10

Table 6 – Urea Levels in dose-response experiment		
	24h	72h
PBS	*57.8*	85.4
Peptide D (AQG) 0.3 mg/kg	38.4	30.4
Peptide D (AQG) 1.0 mg/kg	48.4	38.4
Peptide D (AQG) 3.0 mg/kg	39.3	40.3
Peptide D (AQG) 10.0 mg/kg	46.8	25.8
Peptide D (AQG) 30.0 mg/kg	52.8	58.9
Peptide B (AQGV 0.3 mg/kg	62.4	86.7
Peptide B (AQGV 1.0 mg/kg	50.0	52.6
Peptide B (AQGV 3.0 mg/kg	37.4	19.6
Peptide B (AQGV 10.0 mg/kg	41.2	37.1
Peptide B (AQGV 30.0 mg/kg	47.8	38.0

standard error	24h	72h
PBS	7.1	14.7
Peptide D (AQG) 0.3 mg/kg	8.6	3.5
Peptide D (AQG) 1.0 mg/kg	7.2	10.2
Peptide D (AQG) 3.0 mg/kg	3.5	10.7
Peptide D (AQG) 10.0 mg/kg	8.0	3.4
Peptide D (AQG) 30.0 mg/kg	9.5	12.9
Peptide B (AQGV) 0.3 mg/kg	10.8	14.1
Peptide B (AQGV) 1.0 mg/kg	11.7	14.3
Peptide B (AQGV) 3.0 mg/kg	7.6	2.6

Peptide B (AQGV) 10.0 mg/kg	8.5	6.9
Peptide B (AQGV) 30.0 mg/kg	5.8	7.8

Table 7 statistical significance / p values (Mann Whitney U-Test) of serum urea levels in dose-response experiment 72 hours post-clamping. PBS control compared to peptide administered groups.	72h
PBS	NA
AQG 0.3 mg/kg	0.001
AQG 1.0 mg/kg	0.009
AQG 3.0 mg/kg	0.02
AQG 10.0 mg/kg	0.000
AQG 30.0 mg/kg	0.23
AQGV 0.3 mg/kg	0.88
AQGV 1.0 mg/kg	0.054
AQGV 3.0 mg/kg	0.000
AQGV 10.0 mg/kg	0.001
AQGV 30.0 mg/kg	0.003

[00111] Septic shock experiments were set up to determine which peptide was best suited to battle acute inflammation.

[00112] Mice used in sepsis or septic shock experiments: Female BALB/c mice of 8 to 12 weeks of age were used for all experiments. The animals were bred in our facility under specific pathogen-free conditions according to the protocols described in the Report of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations (FELASA) Working group on Animal Health (*Laboratory Animals* 28: 1-24, 1994).

[00113] Injection protocols: For the endotoxin model, BALB/c mice were injected i.p. with 150-300 μg LPS (*E. coli* 026:B6; Difco Lab., Detroit, MI, USA). Control groups were

treated with PBS i.p. only. To test the effect of peptides, they were dissolved in PBS and injected i.p. at predetermined points in time after LPS treatment

[00114] Mice were scored for sickness severity using the following measurement scheme:

0	No abnormalities.
1	Percolated fur, but no detectable behavior differences compared to normal mice.
2	Percolated fur, huddle reflex, responds to stimuli (such as tap on cage), just as active during handling as healthy mouse.
3	Slower response to tap on cage, passive or docile when handled, but still curious when alone in a new setting.
4	Lack of curiosity, little or no response to stimuli, quite immobile.
5	Labored breathing, inability or slow to self-right after being rolled onto back (moribund, sacrificed).
D	Dead

[00115] A first set of septic shock experiments were set up to determine which of the peptides LQG, LQGV, VLPALP, VLPALPQ, MTR, MTRV, VVC or QVVC were capable of inhibiting lipopolysaccharide (LPS) induced septic shock in mice by treating mice with a single dose of peptide at two hours after LPS treatment. Peptides were used at 5 mg/kg bodyweight BALB/c mice were injected i.p. with escalating doses LPS (E. coli 026:B6; Difco Lab., Detroit, MI, USA), predetermined to be leading to 80 - 100% mortality in 24-72 hours. Control groups were treated with PBS i.p. only and showed no mortality.

[00116] A second set of septic shock experiments were set up to determine which of the peptides LQG, LQGV, VLPALP, VLPALPQ, MTR, MTRV, VVC or AQG, AQGV, LAG and LAGV were capable of inhibiting high dose LPS induced septic shock in mice by treating mice with a double dose of peptide at 2 and 24 hours after LPS treatment. At each treatment, peptides were used at 5 mg/kg bodyweight. BALB/c mice were injected i.p. with high doses LPS (E. coli 026:B6; Difco Lab., Detroit, MI, USA), predetermined to be leading to 80 - 100% mortality in 24 - 72 hours. Control groups were treated with PBS i.p. only and showed no mortality.

[00117] A further set of septic shock experiments were set up to determine which of the peptides LQG, LQGV, VLPALP, VLPALPQ, MTR, MTRV, VVC or AQGV under study were most suited to be used early and/or late after or throughout the development of shock. For determining the percent of endotoxin shock survival after late or early treatment with peptide, BALB/c mice were injected i.p. with 300 µg LPS (*E. coli* 026:B6; Difco Lab., Detroit, MI, USA), predetermined to be leading to 100% mortality in 48 hours without peptide treatment. Control groups were treated with PBS i.p. only and showed no mortality.

[00118] A comparative trial was set up to compare peptide MTR and AQGV, each obtained from two commercial sources. The comparative trial comprised six groups of six animals; two groups (1A and 1B) receiving placebo (PBS), one group (2) peptide MTR (source Pepscan), one group (3) receiving peptide MTR (source Ansynth), one group (4) receiving peptide AQGV (source Pepscan) and one group peptide AQGV (source Ansynth). Peptide/placebo in these groups was administered two hours after LPS. LPS (source) was used at 10-11 mg/kg. Sickness scores were done at 0, 2, 22 26 42 and 48 hours after LPS injection.

Results

Peptide selection

[00119] We selected for synthesis the peptides MTR, MTRV, LQG, LQGV, VLPALP and VLPALPQ, as well as QVVC and VVC. In a later phase of the study we also selected for synthesis alanine-replacement-peptides variants derived from LQG and LQGV, whereby a single substitution of one amino acid with alanine was made; four (AQG, AQGV, LAG, and LAGV) of which the results are presented here.

Septic shock experiments

[00120] To test the effect of peptide early in the development of development of shock, mice were treated at two hours or at 24 hours after treatment with varying doses of LPS by i.p. injection with test peptide at 5 mg/kg bodyweight. All LPS doses resulted in 100% mortality at 48 - 72 hours in the non-peptide treated mice. The results are shown in Table 8. Of the seven peptides tested, peptide VLPALP and LQGV showed a marked protective effect against LPS-induced sepsis.

Table 8			single dose administration 5 mg/kg													
			effect at t=24 hr							effect at t=48 hr						
Peptide tested	LPS dose	n	0	1	2	3	4	5	D	0	1	2	3	4	5	D
LQG	7*	6					3	3							2	4
	7**	6					3	2	1					1		5
	8	6			2	1	3						1	2	3	
	8	6					1	4	1							6
	10	6					2	4							2	4
	10	6				1	2	3						1	2	3
LQGV	7*	6		2	4						6					
	7**	6		6							6					
	8	6			5	1					5	1				
	8	6		3	3					4	2					
	10	6			6						6					
	10	6		2	2	1	1				4	1				1
VLPALP	7*	6		4	2						5	1				
	7**	6		5	1						5	1				
	8	6			5	1					3	2	1			
	8	6		3	3					2	3	1				
	8	6			2	4					5	1				
	8	6		2	4						5	1				
	9	5			3	1	1				1	2	1			1
	9	6		2	4						5	1				
	9	6			3	2	1				2	1	1		2	
	10	6			3		3				3		2		1	

Table 8			single dose administration 5 mg/kg													
			effect at t=24 hr							effect at t=48 hr						
Peptide tested	LPS dose	n	0	1	2	3	4	5	D	0	1	2	3	4	5	D
	10	6			4		2				2	2				2
VLPALPQ	7*	6				3	3							2	2	2
	7**	6						5	1							6
	8	6			1	3	2						1	3	2	
	8	6					1	3	2							6
	10	6					2	4							1	5
	10	6				1		2	3						1	5
VVC	7*	6				4	2					4			2	
	7**	6				2	1	2	1		1	1	1			3
	10	6			2	2	2				2	2			2	
MTRV	7*	6				3	3					4			2	
	7**	6				2	3	1			3		1			2
	10	6			2	1	2	1			2	1	1		1	1
	10	6			1	2	1	2				3				3
MTR	7*	6				3	3							2	3	1
	7**	6			1	3	1	1			3					3
	10	6				2	3		1		2				1	3

Table 9																	
peptide administered twice (t=2 hr and t=24 hr) 5 mg/kg at high LPS dose																	

			effect at t=24 hr							effect at t=48 hr						
Peptide tested	LPS dose	n	0	1	2	3	4	5	D	0	1	2	3	4	5	D
LQG	10.5	5						5								5
	11	6					6									6
LQGV	10.5	5						5								5
	11	6					2	4						2		4
VLPALP	10.5	5						5								5
	11	6					2	4						1		6
VLPALPQ	10.5	5			1	2		2				3				2
	11	6						6				4				2
	11	6						6				2		3	1	
VVC	10.5	5			5						2	3				
	11	6			6						2	4				
MTRV	10.5	5			1	1	3				2	3				
	11	6			4		2				6					
MTR	10.5	5		4	1						5					
	11	6		6							6					
AQG	10.5	5		2	1	2					2	3				
	11	6		4		2						6				
LAG	10.5	5						5								6
	11	6						6								6
AQGV	10.5	6		2	3						5					
	11	4		2	4						6					
LAGV	10.5	5				1		4					1			4
	11	6				1	1	3	1				2			4

[00121] To evaluate the effect of peptide treatment at an early or late point in time of development of shock, mice were treated at two hours or at 24 after LPS injection by i.p. injection with test peptide at 5 mg/kg bodyweight. The mice were followed for 84 hours instead of for 48 hours in the earlier experiments. The results are shown in Table 10. Of all tested peptides, only AQGV showed 100% survival and no remaining clinical signs of shock at 84 hours after LPS-treatment when given both early or late in the development of shock.

[00122] Table 10

Percent of mice surviving LPS-induced sepsis after treatment with a single injection of test peptide(at 5 mg/kg body weight) at 2 or 24 hours after induction of sepsis by treatment with LPS.

TREATMENT 2 HOURS AFTER LPS TREATMENT

	% SURVIVAL IN TIME (HRS)				
	0	14	24	48	84
PBS	100	100	100	0	0
LQG	100	100	100	0	0
LQGV	100	100	100	100	100
VLPALP	100	100	100	100	100
VLPALPQ	100	100	100	0	0
MTR	100	100	100	100	50
MTRV	100	100	100	50	30
VVC	100	100	100	80	50
AQGV	100	100	100	100	100

* 5 mg/kg BW

TREATMENT 24 HOURS AFTER LPS TREATMENT

	% SURVIVAL IN TIME (HRS)				
	0	14	24	48	84
PBS	100	100	100	0	0
LQG	100	100	100	0	0
LQGV	100	100	100	0	0
VLPALP	100	100	100	0	0
VLPALPQ	100	100	100	100	100
MTR	100	100	100	100	100
MTRV	100	100	100	100	100
VVC	100	100	100	100	100
AQGV	100	100	100	100	100

n=10

[00123] Comparative trial MTR and AQGV, each derived from two sources, all at 5mg/kg.

Table 11

LPS= 10-11 mg/kg
#970= 5 mg/kg LPS compound
#971= 5 mg/kg treatment treatment
#Ansynth 12
#Ansynth 46

		Sickness scores						
	No.	0hrs	2hrs	22hrs	26hrs	42hrs	48hrs	Survival %
Group 1A	1	0	2	4	4	5	dead	
PBS	2	0	2	3	3	5	dead	
	3	0	2	5	dead			
	4	0	2	3	3	3	2	
	5	0	2	4	4	dead		
	6	0	2	5	5	dead		
Group 1B	1	0	2	4	4	4	dead	
PBS	2	0	2	5	dead			
	3	0	2	3	3	2	1	
	4	0	2	4	dead			
	5	0	2	4	4	5	dead	
	6	0	2	5	5	dead		17%
Group 2	1	0	2	Dead				
#970	2	0	2	3	3	5	dead	

Table 11

LPS= 10-11 mg/kg
#970= 5 mg/kg
#971= 5 mg/kg
#Ansynth 12
#Ansynth 46

LPS treatment

compound treatment

Sickness scores

	No.	0hrs	2hrs	22hrs	26hrs	42hrs	48hrs	Survival %
Diosynth BV MTR	3	0	2	2	2	2	2	
	4	0	2	2	2	2	2	
	5	0	2	2	2	4	dead	
	6	0	2	4	5	dead		33%
Group 3	1	0	2	2	1	1	1	
#Ansynth 12	2	0	2	2	2	2	1	
MTR	3	0	2	4	5	dead		
	4	0	2	3	4	4	dead	
	5	0	2	2	3	5	dead	
	6	0	2	2	2	2	2	50%
Group 4	1	0	2	2	2	2	2	
#971	2	0	2	3	3	2	1	
Diosynth BV AQGV	3	0	2	2	2	2	2	
	4	0	2	2	2	2	2	

Table 11

LPS= 10-11
mg/kg
#970= 5 mg/kg LPS compound
#971= 5 mg/kg treatment treatment
#Ansynth 12
#Ansynth 46

		Sickness scores						
	No.	0hrs	2hrs	22hrs	26hrs	42hrs	48hrs	Survival %
	5	0	2	4	5	dead		
	6	0	2	2	3	2	1	83%
Group 5	1	0	2	3	3	2	1	
#Ansynth 46	2	0	2	3	3	3	1	
AQGV	3	0	2	2	2	1	1	
	4	0	2	4	4	5	dead	
	5	0	2	2	2	1	1	
	6	0	2	2	2	1	1	83%

[00124] Several reports have suggested modulation of the immune system by intact hCG, but such effects of breakdown products have not been reported in the scientific literature. Benner and Khan (*Scand. J. Immunol.* 2005 Jul; 62 Suppl 1:62-6) studied the possible immunological activity of the *in vivo* liberated peptide fragments originating from nicking of the sequence MTRVLQGVLPALPQVVC (residues 41-57) of loop 2 of the beta-subunit of hCG, in lieu of the fact that peptides as small as three to seven amino acids generally are not supposed to have significant biological activity.

[00125] We have designed peptides that block completely LPS induced septic shock in mice, in several cases even when treatment with these peptides is started up to 24 hours after

LPS injection. These peptides are also able to inhibit the production of MIF. This finding provides the possibility of therapeutic use of these peptides for the treatment of patients suffering from radiation injury.

[00126] Example 7

[00127] This example shows the results of experiment with peptide AQGV on Whole Body Irradiation (WBI) of mice with 8.6 Gy whereby all groups of mice got the first injection 3 hours after the WBI. The group that got a placebo injection experienced 80% mortality, as predicted in this model. The dose of radiation given (8.6 Gray = 8.6 Gy) is known, in this species, to cause about an 80% mortality, so it is called the LD80 (lethal dose for 80%). The deaths starting around Day 10 - which is typical for what happens in WBI to animals or humans: By around Day 10, the gut lining is so damaged and leaky from the radiation that bacteria get into the circulation and cause sepsis because of Gastrointestinal (GI) Syndrome, and the bone marrow is so damaged that there aren't enough white blood cells to fight the infection ("Bone Marrow Syndrome"), and death ensues.

[00128] A first group of peptide treated mice group with "x" as the symbol (figure 1) got the first injection with AQGV IV, and the second injection subcutaneously (SC) 3 hours after that first injection. Surprisingly, 100% of these survived. Furthermore, the animals didn't show any signs of sickness at all. To an outside observer, they would look like perfectly normal mice, in particular, the peptide-treated mice did not show the GI syndrome.

[00129] A second group of mice got its first injection of the peptide via the SC route. Then it got additional SC injections every 48 hours, for a total of 3 doses (in addition to the first dose) - meaning, on Day 3, Day 5, and Day 7. Note that only one of these animals died, others were not showing any symptoms of the GI syndrome.

[00130] The third group of mice was identical in procedures to the group with the second group, except that the q48 hour SC injections continued on until a total of 6 doses had

been injected (in addition to the first dose). So the dosing continued on until Day 13. Note that this prolonging of the treatment was associated with complete protection (no mortality whatsoever in this group). The animals in this group showed no signs of sickness, again not showing any symptoms of the GI syndrome.

[00131] What we can conclude from this data is that when the animals got two doses of peptide in the first day (with the first one being IV), with AQGV there was complete protection against a highly-lethal dose of WBI, and in particular against the GI syndrome associated with that dose. When the animals got lower-level treatment (SC only), if the treatment was prolonged until the 2nd week, here again there was complete protection and in particular against the GI syndrome associated with the high dose used.

[00132] When comparing these results to published information on studies describing the radiation protection activity of a drug code-named ON-01210 that were presented at the 51st Radiation Research Society (April, 2004), it shows that this particular drug ON-01210 (like several other non-peptide drugs that are currently under investigation for radiation-exposure) is protective only if it is given pre-radiation exposure. That makes it not very useful for protection against dirty bombs. This particular drug has a sulfhydryl component (4-carboxystyrl-4-chlorobenzylsulfone) that works as an antioxidant, scavenging the free radicals that are generated as the radiation damages the cells. If it's not present in the body at the time of the radiation exposure, it has no effect whatsoever. In contrast, treating with a peptide according to the invention works after exposure.

[00133] Also, when reviewing treatment with other available drugs all the current data given on such drugs (i.e. on treatment with anabolic steroids) show the need for current non-peptide drugs to being given prior (i.e. 24 hours) to the WBI, later administration has no supportive effect whatsoever on protection against acute radiation injury.

[00134] Example 8, DRF studies.

[00135] In this example we report on escalating dose studies of Whole Body Irradiation (WBI), with one single exposure given to any particular cohort, and the exposure dose going progressively higher, for each subsequent cohort. A single dose of peptide AQGV (10mg/kg) was administered subcutaneously, but with treatment delayed until three days (72 hr) after the WBI. The test is called the Dose Reduction Factor ("DRF"), which is defined as ratio between the LD50 of the treated group to the LD50 of the control group. The LD50 refers to that dose which is lethal to 50% of the animals tested.

[00136] An acceptable DRF ratio is a factor of at least 1.10; but preferred is at least 1.20 or even at least 1.25. To pass the test for DRF 1.20, at Day 30 after WBI a candidate drug must have an LD50 at a radiation dose that is at least 20% higher (an increase by a factor of 1.20) than the LD50 dose for the control animals. If e.g. the LD50 for the control animals is 8.2 Gy, then, to pass this test, the LD50 for a candidate drug would have to be at least 20% higher, which in this case would mean a dose of 8.2 x 1.20 = 10.4 Gy.

[00137] Numbers of animals tested and results in DRF test can be found in Table 12.

Table 12

Dose (Gy)	"n"	The absolute number of animals alive at 30 days post WBI	Percent alive at day 30	Percent dead at day 30
7.4	50	45	90%	10%
8	100	60	60%	40%
8.6	120	24	20%	80%
9.2	30	0	0%	100%
8.6 + AQGV at day 3	20	20	100%	0%
9.2 + AQGV at day 3	10	10	100%	0%
9.8 + AQGV at day 3	10	10	100%	0%
10.4 + AQGV at day 3	10	4	40%	60%
11.0 + AQGV at day 3	10	0	0%	100%

[00138] It is important to discuss the rationale for the decision to delay treatment for 72 hours: In some scenarios of radioactive exposure (e.g., explosion of a nuclear fission device on a cargo ship, or a plane crashing into a nuclear reactor near metropolitan centers, etc.), the amount of destruction could be such that it could take several days to get all the victims to treatment centers. Therefore military scientists (interested in protecting the first responders) and civilian scientists (interested in treating the mass casualties) would naturally want to determine if a candidate drug can do anything to diminish the acute radiation toxicity (GI Syndrome, Bone Marrow Syndrome) that will otherwise be fulminant after so long a delay.

[00139] Results of DFR test with AQGV. The radiation dose that kills 50% of the controls turns out to be ~8.2 Gy. Peptide AQGV is so protective that one has to increase the radiation dose by 25% (a factor of 1.25), all the way to ~10.4 Gy, to kill 50% of the animals, and this is with treatment delayed for three (3) days. If the treatment had been given sooner, e.g. at 24 hr or 48 hr, it would have taken an even higher dose of radiation to kill 50% of the animals.

[00140] Example 9

To further study anti-cell cycle activity of various oligopeptides a proliferation experiment in Arabidopsis Thaliana seedlings was performed. The aim was to test a group of 140 oligopeptides of varying length for their effect on plant marker gene expression during rapid growth when avid cell division occurs. Both marker genes are related to the cell-cycle process, high marker activity represents high cell-cycle activity and no marker activity represents no cell-cycle activity and hence no proliferation. Examples of the effect of oligopeptides in Arabidopsis Thaliana cell-cycle analysis is given in figure 3.

Method

The peptides were re-suspended in 1x Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) pH8 to a final concentration of 5 mg/ml. The obtained solutions were then divided through 96-well round bottom plates (Corning Incorporated) at 40 microliters per well. Plates were stored before use at

–200C for four days. Seeds of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Ws-0 were surface sterilized in 2% commercial bleach (Glorix) for 10 minutes and washed five times with sterile MQ water. The seeds were then re-suspended with 0.1% agar and plated on MS20 plates supplemented with 80 mg/l Kanamycin.
The plates were placed at 40C for 2 nights, and then transferred to a climate room at 210°C and a 16/8 hrs photoperiod. After four days of growth, the seedlings were transferred to 96-well plates containing the peptide solutions (4 seedlings per well) and incubated for 4 and 8 hours.

For this experiment *Arabidopsis* homozygous seedlings harbouring two reporter genes fused to GUS were used. The first reporter gene used was a cell cycle marker, pCDG (Carmona et al., The Plant Journal, 1999, 20(4), 503-508), and the second an auxin responsive marker, DR5::GUS (Ulmasov et al., The Plant Cell, Vol. 9, 1963-1971). After incubation with the compounds, the seedlings were stained for GUS. The staining reaction was performed in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) that contained 10 mM EDTA, 10% DMSO, 0.1% Triton X-100, 2 mM X-Gluc, 0.5 mM K3Fe(CN)6 and 0.5 mM K4Fe(CN)6 at 370C for 16 hours. To stop the GUS reaction and remove chlorophyl, the seedlings were subsequently treated for one hour with 96% ethanol and then stored in 70% ethanol. Stained seedlings were observed under a stereomicroscope and slides were made with seedlings showing an effect of the compound treatment. Seedlings were fixed and cleared in chloral hydrate solution for detailed microscopic observation and photography under a microscope equipped with DIC optics.

Results
Peptides were tested for an effect on marker gene expression on rapidly growing Arabidopsis young seedlings. This was monitored by changes on GUS distribution in different organs: root, root-hypocotyl transition zone and cotyledons.

From the 140 compounds tested a total of 43 showed a clear effect on the expression of both markers tested. Examples of significant changes caused by the tested compounds are shown in detail at the microscopic level for peptides LQGV, VVC and DINGFLPAL in Figure 3. Surprisingly, the effects were clearly related to the length of the various peptides tested. As can

be seen in Table 13 below, anti-cell cycle activity was over-represented in the short peptides, none of the peptides longer than 9 amino acids gave reduction of cell cycle activity. Of the peptides of 5 to 9 amino acids in length, about 22% showed reduction, but of the trimers and tetramers tested more than 50% showed reduction of cell cycle activity.

Table 13

Frequency distribution of peptides tested positive/peptide length as found in cell cycle test in Arabidopsis Thaliana. #AA = peptide length in amino acids; # = number tested; #+ = number found positive; %+ = percentage positive

#AA	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**12**	**13**	**>14**
#	6	65	9	11	10	2	17	7	3	5	5
#+	3	38	3	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	0
%+	**50%**	**58%**	**33%**	**27%**	**30%**	**50%**	**6%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**	**0%**

Example 10

To further study anti-cell cycle activity of various oligopeptides an *in vitro* experiment in peripheral blood cells of mice stimulated with anti-CD3 was performed. The aim was to test representative oligopeptides for their effect on proliferation during rapid growth induced by CD3 when avid cell division occurs. Mice (n=5) were treated i.p. PBS, Nak4 (LQGV), Nak47 (LAGV), Nak46 (AQGV) provided by Ansynth BV, The Netherlands, or Nak46*(AQGV provided by Diosynth BV, The Netherlands). Mice were treated with 0.5 mg/kg or 5 mg/kg peptide for one hour after which spleens were isolated and spleen cell suspensions were made. Spleen cell suspensions were pooled per group en cultured in vitro (in three-fold) in the presence of PBS or of anti-CD3 antibody and proliferation was tested at 0, 12, 24 and 48 hours after culture. All tested peptides showed a reduction of proliferation (see figure 4).

Results from examples 9 and 10.

From cell-cycle studies in plants and in-vitro reduction of proliferation studies in peripheral blood cells, useful 3-mer peptides for treatment of radiation injury were identified, VVC, LAG, AQG. Similarly, useful 4-mer peptides for treatment of radiation injury are LQGV, QVVC, MTRV, AQGV, LAGV, LQAV, PGCP, VGQL, RVLQ, EMFQ, AVAL, FVLS, NMWD, LCFL, FSYA, FWVD, AFTV, LGTL, QLLG, YAIT, APSL, ITTL, QALG, GVLC, NLIN, SPIE, LNTI, LHNL, CPVQ, EVVR, MTEV, EALE, EPPE, LGTL, VGGI, RLPG, LQGA, LCFL, useful 5-mer peptides for treatment of radiation injury are TLAVE, VEGNL, LNEAL, useful 6-mer peptides for treatment of radiation injury are VLPALP, MGGTWA, LTCDDP, useful 7-mer peptides for treatment of radiation injury are VLPAPLQ, VCNYRDV, CPRGVNP, a useful 8-mer peptide for treatment of radiation injury is QPLAPLVG and a useful 9-mer peptide for treatment of radiation injury is DINGFLPAL.

REFERENCES

Khan N.A., A. Khan, H.F. Savelkoul, R. Benner. Inhibition of septic shock in mice by an oligopeptide from the beta-chain of human chorionic gonadotropin hormone. *Hum. Immunol.* 2002 Jan; 63(1):1-7.

Benner R., N.A. Khan. Dissection of systems, cell populations and molecules. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 2005 Jul; 62 Suppl 1:62-6.

Cole L.A., A. Kardana, S.-Y. Park, G.D. Braunstein. The deactivation of hCG by nicking and dissociation. *J. Clin. Endocr. Metab.* 1993; 76:704-710.

Alfthan H., U.H. Stenman. Pathophysiological importance of various molecular forms of human choriogonadotropin. *Mol. Cell Endocrinol.* 1996; 125:107-120.

Kardana A., M.M. Elliott, M.A. Gawinowicz, S. Birken, L.A. Cole. The heterogeneity of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG). I. Characterization of peptide heterogeneity in 13 individual preparations of hCG. *Endocrinology* 1991; 129:1541-1550.

Cole L.A., A. Kardana, P. Andrade-Gordon, M.A. Gawinowicz, J.C. Morris, E.R. Bergert, J. O'Connor, S. Birken. The heterogeneity of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG). III. The occurrence and biological and immunological activities of nicked hCG. *Endocrinology* 1991; 129:1559-1567

Birken S., Y. Maydelman, M.A. Gawinowicz. Preparation and analysis of the common urinary forms of human chorionic gonadotropin. *Methods* 2000; 21:3-14.

Claims

1. A method for treating a subject suffering from or believed to be suffering from radiation injury, said method comprising providing the subject with a peptide, or functional analogue or derivative thereof, of smaller than 30 amino acids.
2. A method according to claim 1 wherein said peptide is smaller than 15 amino acids.
3. A method according to claim 1 or 2 wherein said peptide is smaller than 7 amino acids.
4. A method according to anyone of claims 1 to 3 wherein said peptide consists of 2 to 6 amino acids.
5. A method according to anyone of claims 1 to 4 wherein said peptide consists of 3 to 5 amino acids.
6. A method according to anyone of claims 1 to 5 wherein said peptide consists of 4 amino acids.
7. A method according to anyone of claims 1 to 6 wherein said radiation injury comprises acute radiation injury.
8. A method according to anyone of claims 1 to 7 wherein said radiation injury comprises damage of the lining of the intestinal tract of said subject, the so-called gastrointestinal syndrome.
9. A method according to anyone of claims 1 to 8 wherein said peptide has a dose reduction factor (DRF) against acute gamma irradiation of at least 1.10, said DRF determinable by
   a. testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a test group of mice treated with said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and,
   b. testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a control group of mice treated only with the vehicle of said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and,
   c. wherein the DRF is calculated by dividing the LD50/30 of the peptide-treated animals by the LD50/30 of the vehicle-treated animals.

10. A method according to claim 9 wherein said peptide has a dose reduction factor (DRF) of at least 1.20, more preferably of at least 1.25.
11. A method according to anyone of claims 1 to 10 wherein said radiation injury is irradiation injury.
12. A method according to anyone of claims 1 to 11 wherein said treatment comprises subcutaneous or intramuscular injection with said peptide.
13. Use of a peptide, or functional analogue or derivative thereof, of smaller than 30 amino acids for the production of a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of a subject suffering from or believed to be suffering from radiation injury.
14. Use according to claim 13 wherein said peptide is smaller than 15 amino acids.
15. Use according to claim 13 or 14 wherein said peptide is smaller than 7 amino acids.
16. Use according to anyone of claims 13 to 15 wherein said peptide consists of 2 to 6 amino acids.
17. Use according to anyone of claims 13 to 16 wherein said peptide consists of 3 to 5 amino acids.
18. Use according to anyone of claims 13 to 17 wherein said peptide consists of 4 amino acids.
19. Use according to anyone of claims 13 to 18 wherein said radiation injury comprises acute radiation injury.
20. Use according to anyone of claims 13 to 19 wherein said radiation injury comprises damage of the lining of the intestinal tract of said subject, the so-called gastrointestinal syndrome.
21. Use according to anyone of claims 13 to 20 wherein said peptide has a dose reduction factor (DRF) against acute gamma irradiation of at least 1.10, said DRF determinable by
    a. testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a test group of mice treated with said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and,

b. testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a control group of mice treated only with the vehicle of said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and,

c. wherein the DRF is calculated by dividing the LD50/30 of the peptide-treated animals by the LD50/30 of the vehicle-treated animals.

22. Use according to claim 21 wherein said peptide has a dose reduction factor (DRF) of at least 1.20, more preferably of at least 1.25.

23. Use according to anyone of claims 13 to 22 wherein said radiation injury is irradiation injury.

24. Use according to anyone of claims 13 to 23 wherein said treatment comprises subcutaneous or intramuscular injection with said peptide.

25. Use according to anyone of claims 13 to 24 wherein said pharmaceutical composition is contained in an autoinjector.

26. An autoinjector comprising a peptide, or functional analogue or derivative thereof, of smaller than 30 amino acids.

27. An autoinjector according to claim 26 wherein said peptide is smaller than 15 amino acids.

28. An autoinjector according to claim 26 or 27 wherein said peptide is smaller than 7 amino acids.

29. An autoinjector according to anyone of claims 26 to 28 wherein said peptide consists of 2 to 6 amino acids.

30. An autoinjector according to anyone of claims 26 to 29 wherein said peptide consists of 3 to 5 amino acids.

31. An autoinjector according to anyone of claims 26 to 30 wherein said peptide consists of 4 amino acids.

32. An autoinjector according to anyone of claims 26 to 31 wherein said radiation injury comprises acute radiation injury.

33. An autoinjector according to anyone of claims 26 to 32 wherein said radiation injury comprises damage of the lining of the intestinal tract of said subject, the so-called gastrointestinal syndrome.

34. An autoinjector according to anyone of claims 26 to 33 wherein said peptide has a dose reduction factor (DRF) against acute gamma irradiation of at least 1.10, said DRF determinable by
    a. testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a test group of mice treated with said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and,
    b. testing which dose of radiation results in 50% mortality at 30 days (LD50/30) after whole body radiation (WBI) in a control group of mice treated only with the vehicle of said peptide at 72 hours after WBI and,
    c. wherein the DRF is calculated by dividing the LD50/30 of the peptide-treated animals by the LD50/30 of the vehicle-treated animals.
35. An autoinjector according to claim 34 wherein said peptide has a dose reduction factor (DRF) of at least 1.20, more preferably of at least 1.25.
36. An autoinjector according to anyone of claims 26 to 35 wherein said radiation injury is irradiation injury.
37. An autoinjector according to anyone of claims 26 to 36 wherein said radiation is emitted by radioactive substances.

Fig. 1



Kaplan-Meirer Survival of C57Bl/6 after WBI w/wo EBI anti-sepsis B.V.
peptide
WBI controls n=10
0.25mg (iv) + 0.25mg (sc) x1dy n=6
0.25mg (sc) x 3 q48hrs n=10
0.25mg (sc) x 6 q48hrs n=8
Survival
1
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
40
Days Post Whole Body (8.6Gy) γ-Irradiation

Fig. 2



WBI SURVIVAL (C57Bl/6 mice) w/wo peptide EA-230
(abbreviated "A"), one dose administered SC
THREE DAYS (72hrs) post-radiation (dose of 0.625mg)
For treated mice, 100% of them survive 10 Gy
....and 50% of them survive 10.4 Gy
For untreated mice, the radiation dose at which 50% die is ~8.2 Gy
WBI
WBI + A
Single Dose DMF = 1.25
Survival
Dose (Gy)
1.2
1
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
7.4
8
8.6
9.2
9.8
10.4
11

Fig. 3



DR5::GUS
4h
8h
Root
Transition tissue
Cotyledons
control
NAK 4
NAK 9
NAK 26

Fig. 4

αCD3 proliferatie

=P<0.05
=P0.08<0.06

T=12

groep	mg/kg I.p.	CCPM			AVG	SEM	p-value
PBS		413	766	591	590	101.9	
NAK-4	0.5	305	372	288	321.7	25.6	0.11
	5	449	616	492	519.0	50.1	0.58
NAK-46	0.5	154	465	444	354.3	100.4	0.17
	5	787	1760	1327	1291.3	281.5	0.12
NAK-47	0.5	148	273	378	266.3	66.5	0.07
	5	169	375	523	355.7	102.7	0.18
NAK-46*	0.5	299	60	105	154.7	73.3	0.03
	5	389	87	604	360.0	150.0	0.28

T=24

groep	mg/kg I.p.	CCPM			AVG	SEM	p-value
PBS		7114	9395	9287	8598.7	743.0	
NAK-4	0.5	2359	1904	2244	2169.0	136.6	0.01
	5	3017	3289	4143	3483.0	339.2	0.01
NAK-46	0.5	2552	4275	3367	3398.0	497.6	0.01
	5	4372	6860	8791	6674.3	1279.1	0.28
NAK-47	0.5	181	2586	3199	1988.7	921.0	0.01
	5	1554	2631	3518	2567.7	567.9	0.00
NAK-46*	0.5	1297	1306	162	921.7	379.9	0.00
	5	4527	72	2823	2474.0	1297.9	0.02

T=36

groep	mg/kg I.p.	CCPM			AVG	SEM	p-value
PBS		40051	56075	61125	52417.0	6352.7	
NAK-4	0.5	23826	23624	20520	22656.7	1070.0	0.04
	5	20352	25311	34935	26866.0	4281.1	0.04
NAK-46	0.5	22921	26487	39461	29623.0	5025.7	0.05
	5	33409	58790	43895	45364.7	7363.8	0.51
NAK-47	0.5	8888	20833	25977	18566.0	5061.9	0.02
	5	15397	22297	23183	20292.3	2461.1	0.02
NAK-46*	0.5	3751	2583	4191	3508.3	479.8	0.02
	5	29886	18485	33944	27438.3	4627.5	0.04

T=48

groep	mg/kg I.p.	CCPM			AVG	SEM	p-value
PBS		30814	45218	41992	39341.3	4364.3	
NAK-4	0.5	24325	21071	15146	20180.7	2687.0	0.03
	5	22413	24013	20795	22407.0	929.0	0.05
NAK-46	0.5	21410	29916	27099	26141.7	2501.8	0.07
	5	65312	58347	55436	59698.3	2930.0	0.02
NAK-47	0.5	10160	21079	20152	17130.3	3495.5	0.02
	5	11253	20791	17370	16471.3	2789.9	0.02
NAK-46*	0.5	3091	1174	2821	2362.0	599.1	0.01
	5	25844	16048	21713	21201.7	2839.5	0.03

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/NL2007/050092

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. A61P39/00 A61K38/08 A61M31/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)
EPO-Internal, PAJ, WPI Data, BIOSIS, EMBASE

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 572 688 A1 (SIKIRIC PREDRAG [YU]; PETEK MARIJAN [YU]; SEIWERTH SVEN [YU]; GRABAREV) 8 December 1993 (1993-12-08)	1,2,7,8, 11-14, 19,20, 23,24
Y	the whole document	3-6,9, 10, 15-18, 21,22, 25-37

X	DATABASE EPODOC EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE, THE HAGUE, NL; 17 June 1997 (1997-06-17), XP002409990	1,2,7,8, 11-14, 19,20, 23,24
Y	abstract	3-6,9, 10, 15-18, 21,22, 25-37
	-/--	

[X] Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

[X] See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
21 June 2007	06/07/2007

Name and mailing address of the ISA/	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016	Greif, Gabriela

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (April 2005)

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
	& JP 09 157292 A (SUETSUNA YOKO) 17 June 1997 (1997-06-17) abstract	
X	DATABASE EPODOC EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE, THE HAGUE, NL; 8 July 1997 (1997-07-08), XP002409991	1-8, 11-20, 23,24
Y	abstract	9,10,21, 22,25-37
	& JP 09 176187 A (KAGAKU GIJUTSUCHO HOSHASEN IGA) 8 July 1997 (1997-07-08) the whole document	
X	DATABASE EPODOC EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE, THE HAGUE, NL; 17 June 1997 (1997-06-17), XP002409992	1,2,7,8, 11-14, 19,20, 23,24
Y	abstract	3-6,11, 12, 15-18, 23,24, 26-37
	& JP 09 157291 A (SUETSUNA YOKO) 17 June 1997 (1997-06-17) the whole document	
X	WO 01/51508 A (SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY CORP UNM [US]; LARSON RICHARD S [US]) 19 July 2001 (2001-07-19) page 3, lines 8-12 page 5, lines 23-30 page 6, lines 8-14 page 9, lines 10-31	1-3,7,8, 11-15, 19,20, 23,24
Y	claims 1,10,12,13,18,19.20	1-12, 16-18, 21,22,25
P,Y	CORVINO ET AL: "Availability, Stability, and Sterility of Pralidoxime for Mass Casualty Use" ANNALS OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE, LANSING, MI, US, vol. 47, no. 3, March 2006 (2006-03), pages 272-277, XP005293045 ISSN: 0196-0644 abstract page 276, right-hand column, paragraph 2	1-37

-/--

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	HUSEK P ET AL: "Rapid screening of urinary proline-hydroxyproline dipeptide in bone turnover studies". JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY B: BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES & APPLICATIONS, ELSEVIER, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 767, no. 1, 5 February 2002 (2002-02-05), pages 169-174, XP004332789 ISSN: 1570-0232 page 171, left-hand column, paragraph 2 - right-hand column, paragraph 1	26-31
Y	SZINICZ ET AL: "History of chemical and biological warfare agents" TOXICOLOGY, LIMERICK, IR, vol. 214, no. 3, 30 October 2005 (2005-10-30), pages 167-181, XP005099518 ISSN: 0300-483X page 179, right-hand column, paragraph 4	1-37
Y	"RDT&E Budget item justification sheet" 1999, , XP002406991 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.dtic.mil/descriptivesum/Y2000/OSD/PE0602787D.pdf> FY2001 plans; 1st paragraph.	1-37

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No
PCT/NL2007/050092

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0572688 A1	08-12-1993	AT 152734 T	15-05-1997
		AU 4319693 A	30-12-1993
		BG 61477 B1	30-09-1997
		BG 98426 A	28-02-1995
		CA 2114313 A1	09-12-1993
		CZ 9400205 A3	15-12-1994
		DE 69219590 D1	12-06-1997
		DE 69219590 T2	27-11-1997
		DK 572688 T3	01-12-1997
		WO 9324521 A1	09-12-1993
		ES 2103854 T3	01-10-1997
		FI 940375 A	26-01-1994
		GR 3024379 T3	28-11-1997
		GR 3024905 T3	30-01-1998
		HU 66309 A2	28-11-1994
		JP 2783681 B2	06-08-1998
		JP 6509588 T	27-10-1994
		NO 940299 A	28-01-1994
		PL 302304 A1	25-07-1994
		RO 112506 B1	30-10-1997
		RU 2111214 C1	20-05-1998
		SK 9694 A3	10-08-1994
		US 6211151 B1	03-04-2001
JP 9157292 A	17-06-1997	JP 2860637 B2	24-02-1999
JP 9176187 A	08-07-1997	NONE	
JP 9157291 A	17-06-1997	JP 2835504 B2	14-12-1998
WO 0151508 A	19-07-2001	NONE	