



- (51) **International Patent Classification:**
C12Q 1/68 (2006.01) *G06F 19/18* (2011.01)
G06F 19/22 (2011.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**
PCT/US2016/041288
- (22) **International Filing Date:**
7 July 2016 (07.07.2016)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**
62/189,555 7 July 2015 (07.07.2015) US
- (71) **Applicant:** FARSIGHT GENOME SYSTEMS, INC.
[US/US]; 1250 Oakmead Parkway, #210, Sunnyvale, CA
94085 (US).
- (72) **Inventors:** ANDERSON, Glenda, G.; 3065 Bilbo Drive,
San Jose, CA 95121 (US). KIM, Charlie, C.; 3131
Homestead Road, #10A, Santa Clara, CA 95051 (US).
- (74) **Agents:** AGRAWAL, Trisha et al.; Wilson Sonsini
Goodrich & Rosati, 650 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA
94304-1050 (US).
- (81) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM,


AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,
BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM,
DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT,
HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR,
KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG,
MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM,
PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC,
SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN,
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

- (84) **Designated States** (*unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ,
TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU,
TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE,
DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU,
LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK,
SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ,
GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the
claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of
amendments (Rule 48.2(h))

(54) **Title:** METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR SEQUENCING-BASED VARIANT DETECTION



(57) **Abstract:** Provided herein are methods and systems for detecting genetic variants from sequencing data. The methods and systems provided herein can be useful for identifying the presence or absence of clinically actionable variants from a sequencing data set and reporting the clinically actionable variants to a user of the methods and systems.

METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR SEQUENCING-BASED VARIANT DETECTION**CROSS REFERENCE**

[0001] This Application claims the benefit of United States Provisional Application No. 62/189,555, filed July 7, 2015, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] Sequencing is rapidly becoming an important tool in the diagnostic workup of solid tumors. Of the more than 700 oncology drugs in the clinical development pipeline, 73% are expected to require a biomarker. The ability to distinguish the true presence and true absence of clinically actionable variants may find utility in the personalized medicine field. However, current variant calling algorithms and methods are not able to positively identify the absence of a variant. This limitation has unfavorable consequences for laboratory validation methods that require both true positive and true negative calls to quantify test sensitivity and specificity. This limitation has unfavorable impact on clinical decision-making, most notably with variants whose absence guides the choice of treatment. Improved software systems are needed to manage the complexity of multiple-marker testing.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0003] In one aspect, a method is provided for detecting the presence or absence of a genetic variant, comprising: a) receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated from a nucleic acid sample from a subject; b) determining a presence or absence of the genetic variant from the sequencing data, wherein the determining comprises assigning a quality score to a genomic region comprising the genetic variant, wherein the assigning is performed by a computer processor; c) classifying the genetic variant based on the quality score to generate a classified genetic variant, and d) outputting a result based on the classifying, thereby identifying the classified genetic variant. In some cases, the classifying further comprises classifying the genetic variant as present if the genetic variant is determined to be present and the quality score for the genomic region comprising the genetic variant is greater than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, the classifying further comprises classifying the genetic variant as absent if the genetic variant is determined to be absent and the quality score for the genomic region comprising the genetic variant is greater than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, the classifying further comprises classifying the genetic variant as indeterminate if the quality score for the genomic region comprising the genetic variant is

less than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, the outputting a result comprises generating a report, wherein the report identifies the classified genetic variant. In some cases, the method further comprises mapping the sequencing data to a reference sequence. In some cases, the reference sequence is a consensus reference sequence. In some cases, the reference sequence is derived empirically from tumor sequencing data. In some cases, the predetermined threshold comprises a depth of coverage of the genomic region comprising the genetic variant. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 10X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 20X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 30X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 50X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 100X. In some cases, the predetermined threshold comprises a confidence score. In some cases, the confidence score is at least 95%. In some cases, the confidence score is at least 99%. In some cases, the genetic variant comprises a clinically actionable variant. In some cases, the identifying the classified genetic variant further indicates a treatment for the subject based on the classified genetic variant. In some cases, the subject is suffering from a disease. In some cases, the disease is cancer. In some cases, the subject is administered a treatment based on the result. In some cases, the clinically actionable variant is in a gene that alters a response of the subject to a therapy. In some cases, the gene is a cancer gene. In some cases, a presence of a clinically actionable variant indicates the subject is a candidate for a specific therapy. In some cases, an absence of a clinically actionable variant indicates the subject is not a candidate for a specific therapy. In some cases, the nucleic acid sample is derived from blood or saliva. In some cases, the nucleic acid sample is derived from a solid tumor. In some cases, the nucleic acid sample is genomic DNA. In some cases, the genomic DNA is tumor DNA. In some cases, the nucleic acid sample is RNA. In some cases, the RNA is tumor RNA. In some cases, the nucleic acid sample is derived from circulating tumor cells. In some cases, the nucleic acid sample comprises cell-free nucleic acids. In some cases, the genetic variant is a gene amplification, an insertion, a deletion, a translocation or a single nucleotide polymorphism. In some cases, the sequencing data comprises target-enriched sequencing data. In some cases, the target-enriched sequencing data comprises whole exome sequencing data. In some cases, the sequencing data comprises whole genome sequencing data. In some cases, the classifying has a sensitivity of at least 99%. In some cases, the classifying has a specificity of at least 99%. In some cases, the genetic variant, when classified as present, has a mutant allele fraction of at least 5%. In some cases, the genetic variant, when classified as present, has a mutant allele fraction of at least 10%. In some cases, the classifying has a positive predictive value of at least 99%. In

some cases, the quality score is based on at least one of a depth of coverage, a mapping quality, or a base call quality. In some cases, the quality score is empirically determined. In some cases, the method further comprises transmitting the result over a network. In some cases, the network is the Internet. In some cases, the method further comprises, prior to step a), sequencing the nucleic acid sample from the subject to generate the sequencing data. In some cases, the method further comprises requirying the sequencing data to determine a presence or an absence of one or more additional genetic variants, comprising assigning a quality score to each of one or more genomic regions comprising the one or more additional genetic variants, wherein the quality score is classified as sufficient if the quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold and wherein the quality score is classified as insufficient if the quality score is lower than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, the quality score is determined by a total read depth at a specific location of the genetic variant, a proportion of reads containing the genetic variant, the mean quality of non-variant base calls at the location of the genetic variant, and the difference in mean quality for variant base calls. In some cases, the quality score is determined by a machine learning algorithm. In some cases, the method is utilized as a clinical diagnostic.

[0004] In another aspect, a method is provided for modifying a sequencing protocol comprising: a) receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated by the sequencing protocol; b) determining a presence or absence of a genetic variant from the sequencing data, wherein the determining comprises assigning a quality score to a genomic region comprising the genetic variant, wherein the assigning is performed by a computer processor; c) classifying the genetic variant based on the quality score to generate a classified genetic variant; d) outputting a result based on the classifying, thereby identifying the classified genetic variant. In some cases, the genetic variant is classified as present if the genetic variant is determined to be present and the quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, the genetic variant is classified as absent if the genetic variant is determined to be absent and the quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, a modification to the sequencing protocol is made if the quality score is lower than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, the outputting a result comprises generating a report, wherein the report identifies the classified genetic variant. In some cases, the method further comprises mapping the sequencing data to a reference sequence. In some cases, the reference sequence is a consensus reference sequence. In some cases, the reference sequence is derived empirically from tumor sequencing data. In some cases, the genetic variant is a clinically actionable variant. In some cases, the clinically

actionable variant is in a gene that alters a response of the subject to a therapy. In some cases, the modification to the sequencing protocol comprises a modification to at least one of a probe, a primer, or a reaction condition. In some cases, the report is generated in real-time. In some cases, the predetermined threshold comprises a depth of coverage of the genomic region comprising the genetic variant. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 10X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 20X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 30X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 50X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 100X. In some cases, the predetermined threshold comprises a confidence score. In some cases, the confidence score is at least 95%. In some cases, the confidence score is at least 99%. In some cases, the quality score is based on at least one of a depth of coverage, a mapping quality, or a base call quality. In some cases, the quality score is empirically determined. In some cases, the sequencing data is generated from a nucleic acid. In some cases, the nucleic acid is genomic DNA. In some cases, the sequencing protocol comprises a target-enrichment protocol. In some cases, the target-enrichment protocol comprises at least one of target-specific primers and target-specific probes. In some cases, the modification comprises a modification to at least one of the target-specific primers and the target-specific probes. In some cases, the method further comprises receiving a second data input comprising second sequencing data generated from the modified sequencing protocol. In some cases, the modification to the sequencing protocol is determined by the result. In some cases, the method further comprises, prior to step a), sequencing the nucleic acid sample from the subject to generate the sequencing data. In some cases, the sequencing reaction is performed on a nucleic acid sample comprising the genetic variant. In some cases, the nucleic acid sample is isolated from a subject. In some cases, the subject is suffering from a disease. In some cases, the disease is cancer. In some cases, the method further comprises enriching for a nucleic acid sequence comprising the genetic variant prior to the sequencing reaction. In some cases, the enriching comprises hybridizing at least one target-specific probe to the nucleic acid sequence comprising the genetic variant. In some cases, the enriching comprises amplifying the nucleic acid sequence comprising the genetic variant. In some cases, the amplifying comprises hybridizing target-specific primers to the nucleic acid sample comprising the genetic variant. In some cases, the genetic variant is in an exon. In some cases, the method further comprises transmitting the result over a network. In some cases, the network is the Internet.

[0005] In another aspect, a system is provided for reporting the presence or absence of a genetic variant, comprising: a) at least one memory location configured to receive a data

input comprising sequencing data generated from a nucleic acid sample from a subject; b) a computer processor operably coupled to the at least one memory location, wherein the computer processor is programmed to (i) determine a presence or absence of the genetic variant from the sequencing data, wherein the determining comprises assigning a quality score to a genomic region comprising the genetic variant to generate a classified genetic variant based on the quality score; and (ii) generate an output, wherein the output identifies the classified genetic variant. In some cases, the genetic variant is classified as present if the genetic variant is determined to be present and the quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, the genetic variant is classified as absent if the genetic variant is determined to be absent and the quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, the genetic variant is classified as indeterminate if the quality score is less than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, the output comprises a report identifying the classified genetic variant. In some cases, the report is delivered to a user interface for display. In some cases, the computer processor is programmed to map the sequencing data to a reference sequence. In some cases, the reference sequence is a consensus reference sequence. In some cases, the reference sequence is derived empirically from tumor sequencing data. In some cases, the genetic variant is a clinically actionable variant. In some cases, the clinically actionable variant is in a gene that alters a response of the subject to a therapy. In some cases, the report recommends a treatment based on the classified genetic variant. In some cases, the quality score is determined by at least one of depth of coverage, mapping quality, and base read quality. In some cases, the quality score is empirically determined. In some cases, the subject is suffering from a disease. In some cases, the disease is cancer. In some cases, the subject is predisposed to cancer. In some cases, the sequencing data comprises target-enriched sequencing data. In some cases, the target-enriched sequencing data comprises whole exome sequencing data. In some cases, the target-enriched sequencing data is generated from a target-enrichment sequencing protocol. In some cases, a modification to the target-enrichment sequencing protocol is made if the genetic variant is classified as indeterminate. In some cases, the at least one memory location is configured to receive a second data input comprising second sequencing data generated from the modification to the target-enrichment sequencing protocol. In some cases, the modification to the target-enrichment protocol comprises at least one modification to target-specific primers and target-specific probes. In some cases, the user interface is configured to enable a user to select a variant test panel. In some cases, the computer processor is programmed to determine a presence or absence of a genetic variant selected from the variant

test panel. In some cases, the user interface is configured to enable a user to modify the variant test panel. In some cases, the user interface is configured to enable a user to add or remove at least one genetic variant from the variant test panel. In some cases, the user interface is operably coupled to at least one database. In some cases, the user interface receives a data input from the at least one database. In some cases, the variant test panel is updated in real-time based on the data input from the at least one database. In some cases, the variant test panel comprises at least one clinically actionable variant.

[0006] In yet another aspect, a system is provided comprising: a) a client component, wherein the client component comprises a user interface; b) a server component, wherein the server component comprises at least one memory location configured to receive a data input comprising sequencing data generated from a nucleic acid sample; c) the user interface operably coupled to the server component; and d) a computer processor operably coupled to the at least one memory location, wherein the computer processor is programmed to map the sequencing data to a reference sequence and assign a quality score to each of a plurality of genomic regions of interest of the mapped sequencing data. In some cases, (i) the user interface is programmed to enable a user to select at least one genetic variant and transmit the selection to the server component, wherein the genetic variant is located within at least one of the plurality of genomic regions of interest; (ii) the computer processor is programmed to return the quality score for at least one of the plurality of genomic regions of interest comprising the at least one genetic variant; and (iii) the computer processor is programmed to compare the quality score for at least one of the plurality of genomic regions of interest to a predetermined threshold, wherein the quality score is reported as sufficient if the quality score is greater than the predetermined threshold, and wherein the quality score is reported as insufficient if the quality score is lower than the predetermined threshold, and if the quality score is reported as sufficient, the computer processor is programmed to determine a presence or absence of each of the at least one genetic variant. In some cases, the genetic variant is classified as present if the genetic variant is determined to be present and the quality score is greater than the predetermined threshold. In some cases, the genetic variant is classified as absent if the genetic variant is determined to be absent and the quality score is greater than the predetermined threshold. In some cases, if the quality score is reported as insufficient, the computer processor is programmed to translate the at least one genetic variant into at least one chromosome location. In some cases, the server component transmits the at least one chromosome location to a third-party server component. In some cases, the quality score is determined by at least one of a depth of coverage, a mapping quality, and a base quality.

[0007] In another aspect, a method is provided comprising: (a) receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated from a nucleic acid sample from a subject, wherein, prior to the receiving, the sequencing data has been analyzed and a presence or absence of one or more genetic variants has been identified, thereby generating an original analysis of the sequencing data; (b) assigning a quality score to each of one or more genomic regions of the sequencing data, the one or more genomic regions comprising at least one of the one or more genetic variants, wherein the assigning is performed by a computer processor; (c) evaluating the original analysis of the one or more genetic variants based on the quality scores, and (d) outputting a result based on the evaluating, wherein the evaluating further comprises identifying the original analysis for a genetic variant of the one or more genetic variants as accurate if the quality score for the genomic region comprising the genetic variant is greater than a predetermined threshold, and wherein the evaluating further comprises identifying the original analysis for a genetic variant of the one or more genetic variants as inaccurate if the quality score for the genomic region comprising the genetic variant is less than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, if the original analysis for a genetic variant is identified as inaccurate, the method further comprises recommending a modification to a sequencing protocol. In some cases, the predetermined threshold comprises a depth of coverage of the genomic region comprising the genetic variant. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 10X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 20X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 30X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 50X. In some cases, the depth of coverage is at least 100X. In some cases, the predetermined threshold comprises a confidence score. In some cases, the confidence score is at least 95%. In some cases, the confidence score is at least 99%.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

[0008] All publications, patents, and patent applications mentioned in this specification are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication, patent, or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0009] The novel features of the invention are set forth with particularity in the appended claims. A better understanding of the features and advantages of the present invention will be obtained by reference to the following detailed description that sets forth illustrative

embodiments, in which the principles of the invention are utilized, and the accompanying drawings of which:

[0010] **FIG. 1** depicts a computer system useful for performing the methods disclosed herein.

[0011] **FIG. 2** depicts a non-limiting example of a report that can be generated by the methods and systems disclosed herein.

[0012] **FIG. 3** depicts a non-limiting example of a report that can be generated by the methods and systems disclosed herein.

[0013] **FIG. 4** depicts a non-limiting example of a report that can be generated by the methods and systems disclosed herein.

[0014] **FIG. 5** depicts a non-limiting example of a report that can be generated by the methods and systems disclosed herein.

[0015] **FIG. 6** depicts a non-limiting example of an exemplary study design described herein.

[0016] **FIG. 7** depicts the identification of clinically-actionable variants using the methods and systems disclosed herein.

[0017] **FIG. 8** depicts a confusion matrix illustrating the performance of the methods and systems disclosed herein.

[0018] **FIG. 9** depicts box and whisker plots representing EGFR coverage analysis for 12 cohorts.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Methods of the Disclosure

[0019] The disclosure herein provides methods for determining the presence or absence of genetic variants from sequencing data. The methods can comprise receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated from a nucleic acid sample from a subject. The methods can further comprise determining a presence or absence of a genetic variant from the sequencing data. The determining step can comprise evaluating a data quality score for a genomic region comprising the genetic variant. The determining step can further comprise classifying the genetic variant based on the data quality score of the genomic region to generate a classified genetic variant. The methods can further comprise generating a report. The report can identify the classified genetic variant. In some cases, the genetic variant is classified as present if the genetic variant is determined to be present and the data quality score for the genomic region comprising the genetic variant is greater than a predetermined threshold. In other cases, the genetic variant is classified as absent if the genetic variant is determined to be absent and the data quality score for the genomic region comprising the

genetic variant is greater than a predetermined threshold. In yet other cases, the genetic variant is classified as indeterminate if the data quality score for the genomic region comprising the genetic variant is less than a predetermined threshold.

[0020] The methods provided herein can be used for diagnosing a disease in a subject. The methods may further provide a treatment plan or recommendation based on the diagnosis. In some cases, the methods can be used to predict the responsiveness of a disease to a particular therapy. The methods disclosed herein utilize sequencing data generated from a nucleic acid sample and identify the presence or absence of genetic variants. The absence or presence of variants may indicate the responsiveness, or lack thereof, of a disease to a particular therapy. A report may be generated identifying the presence or absence of variants and a treatment recommendation based upon the presence or absence of the variants.

[0021] In some aspects, the methods herein provide for determining a presence or absence of genetic variants in a subject. A subject may submit a biological sample comprising nucleic acids. The subject can be healthy or can be suffering from a disease. In some cases, the subject may be predisposed to developing a disease. In particular cases, the subject is suffering from or is predisposed to developing cancer. In some cases, the subject is diagnosed with cancer. The subject may have a solid tumor and a sample can be taken (i.e., as a biopsy). In some cases, the methods disclosed herein can be ordered by a physician or health-care provider (e.g., as a genetic test). In some cases, the methods disclosed herein can be ordered by a clinical laboratory (e.g., a laboratory certified under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA)). A biological sample can be tissue or cells taken from the subject (i.e. blood, cheek cells) or a substance produced by the subject (i.e. saliva, urine). In some cases, the biological sample is a biopsy of a tumor. In some cases, the sample is a formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue sample. The biological sample will generally comprise nucleic acid molecules. The nucleic acid molecules can be DNA or RNA, or any combination thereof. RNA can comprise mRNA, miRNA, piRNA, siRNA, tRNA, rRNA, sncRNA, snoRNA and the like. DNA can comprise cDNA, genomic DNA, mitochondrial DNA, exosomal DNA, viral DNA and the like. In particular cases, the DNA is genomic DNA. Nucleic acids can be isolated from biological cells or can be cell-free nucleic acids (i.e., circulating DNA). In particular examples, the DNA is tumor DNA. In other particular examples, the RNA is tumor RNA. In some cases, the DNA is fetal DNA.

[0022] The biological sample can be processed and analyzed by any number of steps to determine the presence or absence of a disease. The methods may comprise analyzing the biological sample for the presence or absence of biomarkers. The presence or absence of a

biomarker can be indicative of a disease or of a predisposition for developing a disease. The presence or absence of a biomarker can indicate that a disease may be responsive to a particular therapy. In other cases, the presence or absence of a biomarker can indicate that a disease may be refractory to a particular therapy. A biomarker may be any gene or variant of a gene whose presence, mutation, deletion, substitution, copy number, or translation (i.e., to a protein) is an indicator of a disease state. In particular examples, a biomarker is a genetic variant. As used herein, the terms “variant”, “genetic variant” or “nucleotide variant” generally refer to a polymorphism within a nucleic acid molecule. A polymorphism may comprise one or more insertions, deletions, structural variants (e.g., translocations, copy number variations), variable length tandem repeats, single nucleotide mutations, or a combination thereof. In some cases, the genetic variant is a clinically actionable variant. A “clinically actionable variant” may be any genetic variant that has been identified as being relevant to the clinical setting. The clinically actionable variant can be in a coding region of a gene or can be in a non-coding region of the genome. The non-coding region of the genome can be a regulatory region of the gene. The clinically actionable variant can be in an exon of a gene or can be in an intron of a gene. A clinically actionable variant may alter the expression of the gene or may alter the function of the gene product (i.e., the function of the protein). A clinically actionable variant can regulate a gene involved in a disease. In particular examples, the clinically actionable variant alters the expression of or the function of a known cancer gene. In some cases, the clinically actionable variant alters the response of a protein to a therapy. For example, a clinically actionable variant may indicate that a protein is refractory to a specific therapy (e.g., a variant in an antigen such that an antibody therapy no longer recognizes the antigen). A clinically actionable variant can be in or regulate a target gene or can be in or regulate a gene other than the target gene. A gene other than the target gene can be a gene involved in drug metabolism, a gene involved in transport of drugs, genes associated with a favorable response to a particular drugs, DNA repair genes, genes that increase the severity of adverse events, and genes that alter the effectiveness of a drug.

[0023] Nucleic acid molecules can be processed and/or analyzed by any method known to one skilled in the art. In particular cases, the nucleic acid molecules are sequenced to generate sequencing data. Sequencing data can be generated by any known sequencing method (e.g., Illumina). Sequencing data may be generated from targeted sequencing methods or untargeted sequencing methods. The terms “target-specific”, “targeted,” and “specific” can be used interchangeably and generally refer to a subset of the genome that is a region of interest, or a subset of the genome that comprises specific genes or genomic

regions. Targeted sequencing methods can allow one to selectively capture genomic regions of interest from a nucleic acid sample prior to sequencing. Targeted sequencing involves alternate methods of sample preparation that produce libraries that represent a desired subset of the genome or to enrich (“target enrichment”) the desired subset of the genome. Targeted sequencing can be, for example, whole exome sequencing. The terms “untargeted sequencing” or “non-targeted sequencing” can be used interchangeably and generally refer to a sequencing method that does not target or enrich a region of interest in a nucleic acid sample. The terms “untargeted sequence”, “non-targeted sequence,” or “non-specific sequence” generally refer to the nucleic acid sequences that are not in a region of interest or to sequence data that is generated by a sequencing method that does not target or enrich a region of interest in a nucleic acid sample. Untargeted sequencing can be, for example, whole genome sequencing. The terms “untargeted sequence”, “non-targeted sequence” or “non-specific sequence” can also refer to sequence that is outside of a region of interest. In some cases, sequencing data that is generated by a targeted sequencing method can comprise not only targeted sequences but also untargeted sequences.

[0024] The methods comprise receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated from the nucleic acid sample from the subject. In some cases, the methods provide for receiving a data input comprising targeted sequencing data, untargeted sequencing data, or a combination of both. In some cases, the methods provide for receiving a data input comprising exonic sequencing data, non-exonic sequencing data, or a combination of both. Sequencing data can be received (i.e., by a computer) in any file format generated by the sequencing methods of the disclosure. The sequencing data may comprise additional information. For example, the sequencing data can comprise a nucleotide sequence and its corresponding quality scores (i.e., FASTQ file format).

[0025] The methods provide for analyzing the sequencing data. The sequencing data can be analyzed by one or more analysis methods. In some cases, the sequencing data can be mapped to a reference sequence. A reference sequence can be a canonical reference sequence. Canonical reference sequences can be found in, for example, a database (e.g., GENCODE, UCSC or EMBL). In other cases, the reference sequence may be derived empirically from sequencing data (e.g., from tumor sequencing data). In this example, the reference sequence can be created using read data from a large collection of similar cancer specimens that have been sequenced in uniform laboratory conditions (e.g., all lung samples from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) study). In some cases, each sample can be aligned to the canonical reference sequence before applying a sequence alignment algorithm (e.g.,

Feng-Doolittle, Barton-Strenberg, Gotoh, CLUSTALW, and the like). The root node of the resulting tree may represent the empirically-derived tumor reference sequence. In some cases, a multiple sequence alignment is performed from unaligned reads by profile Hidden Markov Model (HMM) training, using a combination of Baum-Welch, Viterbi or related approaches that use simulated annealing or consensus motif finding. In some cases, the computational complexity can be significantly reduced by subsetting the reads into gene or motif groups using a simple “best match” alignment algorithm. A multiple sequence alignment can then be performed within each subset to produce a gene-specific, or motif-specific, empirically-derived tumor reference sequence.

[0026] The methods further provide for determining a presence or absence of a genetic variant from the sequencing data. In some cases, the genetic variant can be a clinically actionable variant. Determining a presence or absence of a genetic variant can include assigning a quality score to a genomic region comprising the genetic variant and classifying the genetic variant based on the quality score to generate a classified genetic variant. The quality score can be determined by the read depth (or depth of coverage), the base quality, the mapping quality, or any combination thereof. In particular examples, the quality score is determined by the read depth of a genomic region of interest. A quality score can be assigned to a region of the sequencing data (a “regional” quality score) or can be assigned to the sequencing data as a whole. In some cases, the regional quality score may comprise a quality score of a specific variant. In particular cases, a regional quality score is assigned to a genomic region of interest. A “genomic region of interest” can be a region of the genome that is in the vicinity of the variant of interest. A genomic region of interest that is in the vicinity of the variant of interest can be within at most 10bp, 20bp, 30bp, 40bp, 50bp, 60bp, 70bp, 80bp, 90bp, 100bp, 200bp, 300bp, 400bp, 500bp, 600bp, 700bp, 800bp, 900bp, 1kb, 2kb, 3kb, 4kb, 5kb, 6kb, 7kb, 8kb, 9kb, 10kb, 20kb, 30kb, 40kb, 500kb, 600kb, 700kb, 800kb, 900kb, 1000kb or more of the variant of interest. The genomic region of interest will generally comprise the nucleotides that are of interest (i.e., may span a region of the genome comprising the variant of interest). In some cases, the genomic region of interest may comprise one or more clinically actionable variants. The genomic region of interest may be within the coding sequence of a gene (e.g., an exon), may be within a non-coding region (e.g., an intron), or both. The genomic region of interest may comprise one or more structural variants (e.g., translocations, copy number variations) and/or nucleotide variants. In some cases, the genomic region of interest is investigated to determine the presence or absence of a genetic variant. In some cases, a user of the methods selects a genomic region

of interest to be queried. In some cases, a user of the method selects the genetic variant to be queried and the genomic region of interest is determined by the selection. Put another way, the selection of the genetic variant may define the genomic region of interest.

[0027] The methods may comprise comparing a quality score to a threshold value. A threshold value may be used as a cut-off value by which to assess a quality score. A threshold value can be predetermined or preset. In some cases, the threshold value is empirically determined. In some cases, the threshold value is determined by a user of the methods. The threshold value may be adjustable such that a user of the methods can change or alter the threshold value. In some cases, the threshold value may be more stringent or less stringent based on the needs of the user. The threshold value may be a value by which a quality score can be compared to determine the accuracy of the data. The threshold value may be a value above which a quality score indicates a certain level of confidence in the accuracy of the variant call. For example, a quality score above a threshold value may indicate a 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99%, 99.9%, 99.99%, 99.999%, or 100% confidence in the accuracy of a variant call. The threshold value may be a value below which a quality score indicates a certain level of confidence in the inaccuracy of the variant call. For example, a quality score below a threshold value may indicate a 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99%, 99.9%, 99.99%, 99.999%, or 100% confidence in the inaccuracy of a variant call.

[0028] In some cases, a threshold value may correspond to a read depth. In this example, a read depth of each genomic region of interest can be compared to the threshold value. A genomic region of interest with a read depth exceeding the threshold value may be identified as having “sufficient” coverage and a genomic region of interest with a read depth below the threshold value may be identified as having “insufficient” coverage. A genomic region of interest identified as having “insufficient” coverage may be e.g., re-sequenced. A threshold value based on read depth can include 1X, 2X, 3X, 4X, 5X, 6X, 7X, 8X, 9X, 10X, 11X, 12X, 13X, 14X, 15X, 16X, 17X, 18X, 19X, 20X, 21X, 22X, 23X, 24X, 25X, 26X, 27X, 28X, 29X, 30X, 31X, 32X, 33X, 34X, 35X, 36X, 37X, 38X, 39X, 40X, 41X, 42X, 43X, 44X, 45X, 46X, 47X, 48X, 49X, 50X, 60X, 70X, 80X, 90X, 100X, 200X, 300X, 400X, 500X, 600X, 700X, 800X, 900X, 1000X, or greater. In one case, the threshold value is 10X. In another case, the threshold value is 20X. In another case, the threshold value is 30X. In another case, the threshold value is 40X. In yet another case, the threshold value is 50X. In yet another case, the threshold value is 100X.

[0029] A quality score can be utilized to classify one or more genetic variants. Classifying one or more genetic variants may comprise comparing the quality score of each of the one or more genetic variants to the threshold value. It should be understood that any value, number, letter, word, or score can be utilized to classify a genetic variant, as long as the classification represents the class to which the genetic variant has been assigned. For example, an arbitrary number (e.g., 10) and a word (“present”) can represent the same concept (i.e., that a variant is “present”). In one example, the classification system described herein may determine whether the quality score for a given genetic variant (or genomic region) is “sufficient” or “insufficient” to proceed with analysis of the data. In some cases, genetic variants may be classified as “present”, “absent”, or “indeterminate”. A genetic variant may be classified as present, for example, if the genetic variant is present (i.e., variant is “called”) and the quality score of the called base (or a genomic region comprising the called base) is greater than the threshold value. A classification of “present” can indicate that a genetic variant is positively identified as being present with an accuracy of at least 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99%, 99.9%, 99.99%, 99.999%, or 100%. In other cases, a genetic variant may be classified as absent, for example, if the genetic variant is absent (i.e., one or more nucleotide other than the genetic variant is called) and the quality score of the called base (or a genomic region comprising the called base) is greater than the threshold value. A classification of “absent” can indicate that a genetic variant is positively identified as being absent with an accuracy of at least 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99%, 99.9%, 99.99%, 99.999%, or 100%. In some cases, a quality score may comprise a confidence score. A confidence score may be 0%, 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 5%, 6%, 7%, 8%, 9%, 10%, 11%, 12%, 13%, 14%, 15%, 16%, 17%, 18%, 19%, 20%, 21%, 22%, 23%, 24%, 25%, 26%, 27%, 28%, 29%, 30%, 31%, 32%, 33%, 34%, 35%, 36%, 37%, 38%, 39%, 40%, 41%, 42%, 43%, 44%, 45%, 46%, 47%, 48%, 49%, 50%, 51%, 52%, 53%, 54%, 55%, 56%, 57%, 58%, 59%, 60%, 61%, 62%, 63%, 64%, 65%, 66%, 67%, 68%, 69%, 70%, 71%, 72%, 73%, 74%, 75%, 76%, 77%, 78%, 79%, 80%, 81%, 82%, 83%, 84%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99%, or 100%.

[0030] In some cases, a genetic variant may be classified as “indeterminate” if the quality score of the called base (or a genomic region comprising the called base) is lower than the threshold value. An “indeterminate” classification can indicate that the quality of the data used to support the called base is too low such that the accuracy of the call cannot be

determined. The methods provided herein can be useful to distinguish between variants that cannot be called due to low quality data and variants that are not present.

[0031] In some cases, genetic variants can be organized by variant class (e.g., EGFR-activating mutation, BRAF-inactivating mutation). A variant class can comprise one or more genetic variants with similar function (e.g., gain of function of EGFR). A variant class can comprise at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, or more genetic variants. In some cases, a variant class as a group can be assigned a classification. A variant class can be assigned a classification of “present” or “absent” based on similar criteria described above. In some cases, a variant class classification can correspond to the classification of a single genetic variant within that variant class. For example, if even one genetic variant of the EGFR-activating variant class (in a group of a plurality of EGFR-activating variants) is assigned a classification of “present,” the EGFR-activating variant class as a group is assigned a classification of “present.” In some cases, more than one genetic variant within a variant class may need to be assigned a classification of “present” in order for the variant class as a group to be assigned a classification of “present.”

[0032] An “indeterminate” classification can indicate that at least one modification be made to a sequencing protocol. A modification to a sequencing protocol can include any modification to the sample preparation, sample processing, or sequencing steps. In some cases, a modification to a sequencing protocol may be an optimization of a sequencing protocol (i.e., to optimize the results of the sequencing methods). A modification can be made to at least one of a probe, a primer, or a reaction condition. In a particular example, a clinically actionable variant may be found within a genomic region that is problematic (e.g., a GC-rich region). These regions may result in an “indeterminate” classification for clinically actionable variants within these regions. The sequencing protocol utilized to generate the sequencing data can be analyzed and a modification can be made to the sequencing protocol (e.g., a modified capture probe that hybridizes to a sequence outside of the GC-rich region). In some cases, the sequencing protocol is a target-enrichment protocol comprising at least one of target-specific primers and target-specific probes. In this example, a modification can be made to at least one of the target-specific primers or target-specific probes.

[0033] The methods can further provide for translating regions of insufficient coverage or with low quality scores into genomic coordinates. Genomic coordinates allow the user of the methods to pinpoint the exact location of the genomic regions of interest or the genetic variant. Genomic coordinates may comprise the chromosome number (e.g., chromosome 10)

as well as the exact location of the region or variant on that chromosome. Genomic coordinates can provide the exact addressable position of a region or a variant on a chromosome (i.e., a genetic address). Genomic coordinates can be utilized in the methods herein. For example, the genomic coordinates for modified primers or probes can be provided to the user for e.g., ordering modified primers or probes from a vendor.

[0034] The methods further provide for generating a report wherein the report can identify the classified genetic variant. Examples of reports that can be generated by the methods and systems disclosed herein are depicted in **FIGs. 2-5**. A report can be any means by which the results of the methods described herein are relayed to an end-user. The report can be displayed on a screen or electronic display or can be printed on e.g., a sheet of paper. In some cases, the report is transmitted over a network. In some cases, the network is the Internet. In some cases, the report can be transmitted as a data representation in JSON, HL7 or similar format for transformation into an electronic medical record. In some cases, the report may be generated manually. In other cases, the report may be generated automatically. In some cases, the report may be generated in real-time. The report can identify the classified genetic variant, for one or more of the variants in the test panel. For example, the report can identify at least one genetic variant classified as “present,” at least one genetic variant classified as “absent,” at least one variant classified as “indeterminate,” or any combination thereof. In some examples, the report can identify at least one classification of a variant class. In the example of an “indeterminate” classification, the report can suggest or recommend a modification to a sequencing protocol as described above. The report can further provide additional information about the classified genetic variants. In some cases, the report can provide a treatment plan or treatment recommendation based on the results of the test. In this example, the presence or absence of a variant can indicate that the patient may be responsive or refractory to a particular therapy. The report can present this information to the end-user (e.g., a patient, a healthcare provider, or a clinical laboratory). In some cases, the report can be provided to a mobile device, smartphone, tablet or personal health monitor or other network enabled device. In some cases, a treatment decision can be made based on the information in the report. In some cases, a treatment can be administered to a subject based on the report. In some examples, the patient may be receiving a therapy for a disease prior to ordering the genetic test. The report may indicate that a genetic variant is present and that the current treatment regimen should be ceased and a new treatment regimen be administered. In some cases, the patient is tested prior to receiving treatment and further tests are ordered during the course of the treatment. In this example, the patient is monitored

for the presence or absence of *de novo* genetic variants that may indicate the current treatment regimen is no longer effective as a therapy for that patient. The report may further indicate or recommend a different course of treatment based on the presence or absence of *de novo* genetic variants. The report can provide additional information including, without limitation, genomic coordinates of the variant or genomic region of interest, images that locate the variant within the functional region of the protein, images that show the aligned read stack in the region of the variant, attachments or links (i.e., hyperlinks) to references (i.e., scientific literature) related to the variant of interest, the clinical evidence supporting the treatment recommendations, guidelines that support clinical use of the variant, or reimbursement codes related to the diagnosis or treatment, or any other useful information.

[0035] The methods further provide for receiving a second data input. In some cases, the second data input comprises second sequencing data. The second sequencing data can be different sequencing data to that which was originally submitted. Any methods described herein with regards to sample preparation, sample processing, and sequencing can be utilized to generate the second sequencing data. In some cases, the second sequencing data can be sequencing data generated from a modified sequencing protocol. The modified sequencing protocol can be a modified sequencing protocol generated from the methods described above. In this case, the second sequencing data can be optimized such that a quality score of a genomic region of interest is improved as compared to a prior iteration of the methods. These methods may be particularly suited to reanalyzing regions of interest that are classified as “indeterminate” (i.e., regions of interest with a quality score below the threshold value). In this example, the quality score of the reanalyzed region of interest may exceed the threshold value such that a classification of “present” or “absent” can be assigned to the variant.

[0036] In some cases, the methods further provide for requerying the sequencing data to determine a presence or an absence of one or more additional genetic variants. Requerying may involve reanalyzing previously analyzed sequencing data (i.e., without receiving additional sequencing data). In this case, a quality score can be assigned to each of one or more genomic regions including the one or more additional genetic variants. The quality score may be classified as sufficient if the quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold and the quality score may be classified as insufficient if the quality score is lower than a predetermined threshold.

[0037] In another aspect of the disclosure, a method is provided for evaluating the accuracy of a previously analyzed sequencing data set. For example, a sequencing data set may have been previously analyzed and reported in a scientific paper or article. In some cases, the

analysis may report an average depth of coverage for the overall sequencing data set, however, local depth of coverage may be unknown. In some cases, the original analysis may report the presence or absence of one or more genetic variants identified from the sequencing data set. In some cases, the methods involve determining a quality score for one or more genomic regions, wherein the one or more genomic regions include at least one of the one or more genetic variants that have been previously analyzed. Any of the methods provided herein may be utilized to perform the analysis. For example, a quality score may be assigned to each genomic region being investigated. In some cases, the quality score is a depth of coverage. The methods may further involve evaluating the accuracy of the original analysis by identifying each genetic variant as being accurately called or inaccurately called based on the quality score. For example, if the original analysis identified a genetic variant within a genomic region that has a quality score less than a predetermined threshold, the evaluating may involve identifying the original analysis as inaccurate. Vice versa, if the original analysis identified a genetic variant within a genomic region that has a quality score greater than a predetermined threshold, the evaluating may involve identifying the original analysis as accurate. Methods previously disclosed herein for identifying the presence or absence of genetic variants may be used to supplement or enhance the original analysis, for example, to correct an inaccurate analysis. In some cases, if the original analysis for a genetic variant is identified as inaccurate, a modification to a sequencing protocol may be recommended.

[0038] In a particular aspect of the disclosure, a method is provided comprising: (a) receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated from a nucleic acid sample from a subject, wherein, prior to the receiving, the sequencing data has been analyzed and a presence or absence of one or more genetic variants has been identified, thereby generating an original analysis of the sequencing data; (b) assigning a quality score to each of one or more genomic regions of the sequencing data, the one or more genomic regions comprising at least one of the one or more genetic variants, wherein the assigning is performed by a computer processor; (c) evaluating the original analysis of the one or more genetic variants based on the quality scores, and (d) outputting a result based on the evaluating, wherein the evaluating further comprises identifying the original analysis for a genetic variant of the one or more genetic variants as accurate if the quality score for the genomic region comprising the genetic variant is greater than a predetermined threshold, and wherein the evaluating further comprises identifying the original analysis for a genetic variant of the one or more genetic variants as inaccurate if the quality score for the genomic region comprising the genetic variant is less than a predetermined threshold.

Processing Steps

[0039] Nucleic acids can be processed and/or analyzed by any method known to those skilled in the art. In some cases, the methods disclosed herein may be performed by conducting one or more enrichment reactions on one or more nucleic acid molecules in a sample. The enrichment reactions may comprise contacting a sample with one or more beads or bead sets. The enrichment reactions may comprise one or more hybridization reactions. The one or more hybridization reactions may comprise the use of one or more capture probes. The one or more capture probes may comprise one or more target-specific capture probes. The target-specific capture probes may hybridize to a nucleic acid sequence in an exon of a gene. The enrichment reactions may further comprise isolation and/or purification of one or more hybridized nucleic acid molecules. The enrichment reactions may comprise whole exome enrichment. The enrichment reactions may comprise targeted enrichment. The enrichment reaction may be performed with the use of a kit or a panel, commercially available examples include, without limitation, Agilent Whole Exome SureSelect, NuGEN Ovation Fusion Panel, and Illumina TruSight Cancer Panel.

[0040] In some cases, the enrichment reactions may comprise one or more amplification reactions. The one or more amplification reactions may comprise amplifying a nucleic acid sequence by e.g., polymerase chain reaction. The amplifying may comprise the use of one or more sets of primers. The one or more sets of primers can be target-specific primers to amplify a targeted nucleic acid sequence. The one or more sets of target-specific primers may hybridize to a nucleic acid sequence in an exon of a gene. The amplified nucleic acid sequences may be further purified, isolated, extracted, and the like. In some cases, one or more barcodes and/or adaptors can be appended to the amplified nucleic acid sequences. The one or more barcodes and/or adaptors can be barcodes and/or adaptors useful in e.g., a sequencing reaction.

[0041] In some cases, the nucleic acids are sequenced to generate sequencing data. Sequencing data can be generated by any known sequencing method. The sequencing methods may comprise capillary sequencing, next generation sequencing, Sanger sequencing, sequencing by synthesis, single molecule nanopore sequencing, sequencing by ligation, sequencing by hybridization, sequencing by nanopore current restriction, or a combination thereof. Sequencing by synthesis may comprise reversible terminator sequencing, processive single molecule sequencing, sequential nucleotide flow sequencing, or a combination thereof. Sequential nucleotide flow sequencing may comprise pyrosequencing, pH-mediated sequencing, semiconductor sequencing or a combination thereof. Conducting one or more

sequencing reactions comprises untargeted sequencing (i.e., whole genome sequencing) or targeted sequencing (i.e., exome sequencing).

[0042] The sequencing methods may comprise Maxim-Gilbert, chain-termination or high-throughput systems. Alternatively, or additionally, the sequencing methods may comprise Helioscope™ single molecule sequencing, Nanopore DNA sequencing, Lynx Therapeutics' Massively Parallel Signature Sequencing (MPSS), 454 pyrosequencing, Single Molecule real time (RNAP) sequencing, Illumina (Solexa) sequencing, SOLiD sequencing, Ion Torrent™, Ion semiconductor sequencing, Single Molecule SMRT(TM) sequencing, Polony sequencing, DNA nanoball sequencing, VisiGen Biotechnologies approach, or a combination thereof. Alternatively, or additionally, the sequencing methods can comprise one or more sequencing platforms, including, but not limited to, Genome Analyzer IIx, HiSeq, NextSeq, and MiSeq offered by Illumina, Single Molecule Real Time (SMRT™) technology, such as the PacBio RS system offered by Pacific Biosciences (California) and the Solexa Sequencer, True Single Molecule Sequencing (tSMS™) technology such as the HeliScope™ Sequencer offered by Helicos Inc. (Cambridge, MA), nanopore-based sequencing platforms developed by Genia Technologies, Inc., and the Oxford Nanopore MinION.

[0043] Sequencing data can be received (e.g., by a computer processor coupled to a computer memory source) as a data input. Sequencing data can be received as a text-based or binary file format representing nucleotide sequences. Sequencing data can be received as, for example, SRA, CRAM, FASTA, SAM, BAM, or FASTQ file formats. In particular examples, the sequencing data is received in a FASTQ file format. FASTQ file formats store nucleotide sequencing data along with the corresponding quality data.

Clinically Actionable Variants

[0044] The methods and systems disclosed herein can be utilized to identify one or more clinically actionable variants. In some cases, the methods and systems can be used to classify one or more clinically actionable variants. The clinically actionable variant can be in a coding region of a gene or can be in a non-coding region of the genome. The non-coding region of the genome can be a regulatory region of the gene. The clinically actionable variant can be in an exon of a gene or can be in an intron of a gene. A clinically actionable variant may alter the expression of the gene or may alter the function of the gene product (i.e., the function of the protein). A clinically actionable variant can regulate a gene involved in a disease. In particular examples, the clinically actionable variant alters the expression of or the function of a known cancer gene. In some cases, the clinically actionable variant alters the response of a protein to a therapy. For example, a clinically actionable variant may

indicate that a protein is refractory to a specific therapy (e.g., a variant in an antigen such that an antibody therapy no longer recognizes the antigen).

[0045] In particular cases, a clinically actionable variant can be identified and/or classified in a subject or patient is suffering from cancer. In one example, the clinically actionable variant can be an activating or an inactivating mutation in a target gene. In some cases, the clinically actionable variant may be an activating mutation in a gene known to affect the responsiveness of a tumor to a therapy or in a proto-oncogene is present or absent. An “activating mutation” can be any genetic variant that results in a new function of or an increased activity level of (i.e., “gain-of-function”) a protein. An activating mutation can be a large-scale variation such as an amplification, insertion or translocation, or can be a small-scale variation such as a point mutation. In some cases, the activating mutation is in a target gene. In other cases, the activating mutation is in a regulatory region or non-coding region of a target gene. In some cases, the presence of an activating mutation can indicate that a subject is a candidate for a specific therapy or treatment. In other cases, the absence of an activating mutation can indicate that a subject is not a candidate for a specific therapy or treatment. In some cases, the clinically actionable variant can be an inactivating mutation in a gene known to affect the responsiveness of a tumor to a therapy or in a tumor suppressor gene is present or absent. An “inactivating mutation” can be any genetic variant that results in a loss of function or a decreased activity level of a protein. An inactivating mutation can be a large-scale variation such as a deletion or copy number loss, or can be a small-scale variation such as a point mutation. In some cases, the inactivating mutation is in a target gene. In other cases, the inactivating mutation is in a regulatory region or non-coding region of a target gene. In some cases, a subject may have one or more activating and/or inactivating mutations in one or more target genes.

[0046] In some cases, the clinically actionable variant may be a mutation in a gene or regulatory region of a gene that alters the responsiveness of the gene product (i.e., protein) to a therapy. In one example, the clinically actionable variant is a mutation that can affect a metabolic gene and can increase or decrease the responsiveness to a given drug therapy. A metabolic gene can be a gene that alters the pharmacogenomics of a therapeutic drug. For example, the presence of a variant in the UGT1A1 gene (e.g., UGT1A1*28 and/or UGT1A7*3) may suggest that the subject is at higher risk of severe hematologic toxicity when treated with irinotecan (CAMPTOSAR). In another example, the presence of a specific combination of variants in the cytochrome P450 2D6 enzyme may suggest a subject is not recommended to be treated with tamoxifen.

[0047] In some cases, the clinically actionable variant is a mutation that affects a transport gene. A transport gene can be any gene that controls influx or efflux across cell membranes (i.e., channels, pumps, transporters). In a non-limiting example, the presence of a variant in the ABC transporter gene, ABCC3 (e.g., rs4148416) can indicate that an osteosarcoma patient may exhibit poor response to treatment with cisplatin, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, methotrexate, or vincristine. In another non-limiting example, the presence of a variant in the ABCB1 gene (e.g., rs1045642) can be associated with lower survival in Asian metastatic breast cancer patients treated with paclitaxel. In yet another non-limiting example, the presence of the rs316019 variant in SLC22A2 can be associated with an increased risk of nephrotoxicity in patients treated with cisplatin.

[0048] In some cases, the clinically actionable variant can be a variant that is associated with an unexpected or exceptional response to a given drug therapy. In a non-limiting example, an advanced stage cancer patient with a variant in mTOR (e.g., E2419K and E2014K) may demonstrate an exceptional response to treatment with everolimus. In another non-limiting example, a metastatic small cell lung cancer patient with the variant L1237F in the RAD50 gene may demonstrate an exceptional response to treatment with AZD7762 and irinotecan. In another non-limiting example, a hepatocellular carcinoma patient with the rs2257212 variant in the SLC15A2 gene may demonstrate an exceptional response to treatment with sorafenib.

[0049] In some cases, the clinically actionable variant can affect a DNA repair gene. In a non-limiting example, a patient with a solid tumor and a variant in the ERCC1 gene may demonstrate an improved response to treatment with platinum-based compounds. In another non-limiting example, the presence of a variant in the XRCC1 gene may indicate that a patient may demonstrate an increased response to fluorouracil, carboplatin, cisplatin, oxaliplatin, and other platinum-based compounds.

[0050] In some cases, the clinically actionable variant is associated with increased toxicity or other severe adverse events. In a non-limiting example, a patient homozygous for DPYD*2A, DPYD*13 or rs67376798 can indicate that the patient may experience severe toxicity when treated with fluoropyrimidines (i.e., 5-fluorouracil, capecitabine or tegafur). In another non-limiting example, the presence of the TPMT*3B or TPMT*3C variants can indicate that a child treated with cisplatin, mercaptopurine, or thioguanine may be at an increased risk of ototoxicity. In yet another non-limiting example, a patient with G6PD deficiency may experience severe adverse side effects when treated with doxorubicin, daunorubicin, rasburicase, or dabrafenib.

[0051] In some cases, the clinically actionable variant is located within a gene that is not known to play a direct role in a given disease. For example, a clinically actionable variant can be located within a gene that does not play a direct role in cancer but can alter a response of the patient to a given cancer treatment. It should be understood, then, that a clinically actionable variant as envisioned herein is any variant that can indicate or predict a clinical outcome in a subject.

[0052] In some cases, the clinically actionable variant is in a gene that is known to cause or contribute to the pathogenesis of cancer. In some cases, the disease is cancer. Non-limiting examples of genes known to cause or contribute to the pathology of cancer can include:

ABCA1, ABCC3, ABCG2, ABL1, ACSL6, ADA, ADCY9, ADM, AGAP2, AIP, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, ALOX12B, ANAPC5, APC, APC2, APCDD1, APEX1, AR, ARAF, ARFRP1, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKB, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BACH1, BAI3, BAP1, BARD1, BAX, BBC3, BCL11A, BCL2, BCL2L1, BCL2L11, BCL2L2, BCL3, BCL6, BCOR, BCORL1, BCR, BIRC3, BIRC5, BIRC6, BLM, BMP4, BMPR1A, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRD4, BRIP1, BTG1, BTK, BUB1B, C17orf39, CARD11, CARM1, CASP8, CAV1, CBFA2T3, CBF, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CD40LG, CD44, CD79A, CD79B, CDC25A, CDC42, CDC73, CDH1, CDK12, CDK2, CDK4, CDK5, CDK6, CDK7, CDK8, CDK9, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN1C, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CDKN2D, CDX2, CEBPA, CEP57, CERK, CHEK1, CHEK2, CHN1, CHUK, CIC, CLTC, COL1A1, CRBN, CREBBP, CRKL, CRLF2, CSF1R, CSMD3, CSNK1G2, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNNA1, CTNNB1, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP17A1, CYP19A1, CYP11B, CYP2D6, DAXX, DCUN1D1, DDB2, DDIT3, DDR2, DGKB, DGKG, DGKI, DGKZ, DICER1, DIRAS3, DIS3, DIS3L2, DNMT1, DNMT3A, DNMT3B, DOT1L, DPYD, E2F1, E2F3, EED, EGF, EGFL7, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELOVL2, EMSY, ENPP2, EP300, EP400, EPCAM, EPHA2, EPHA3, EPHA5, EPHA8, EPHB1, EPHB2, EPHB4, EPHB6, EPO, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC1, ERCC2, ERCC3, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERCC6, ERG, ESR1, ESR2, ETS2, ETV1, ETV4, ETV6, EWSR1, EXT1, EXT2, EZH2, FAM123B (WTX), FAM175A, FAM46C, FANCA, FANCB, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FAT3, FBXW7, FES, FGF10, FGF12, FGF14, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF6, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FH, FHIT, FIGF, FLCN, FLNC, FLT1, FLT3, FLT4, FN1, FOS, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, FOXO3, FOXP1, FUBP1, FURIN, GAB1, GATA1, GATA2, GATA3, GMPS, GNA11, GNA13, GNAQ, GNAS, GPC3, GPR124, GRB2, GREM1, GRIN2A, GSK3B, GSTT1, H3F3C,

HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3, HDAC4, HGF, HIF1A, HIST1H1C, HIST1H2BD, HIST1H3B, HLA-A, HMGA1, HNF1A, HOXA9, HOXD11, HRAS, HSP90AA1, ICAM1, ICOSLG, IDH1, IDH2, IFNG, IFNGR1, IGF1, IGF1R, IGF2, IGF2R, IGFBP3, IKBKE, IKZF1, IL10, IL2, IL2RA, IL7R, INHBA, INPP4A, INPP4B, INSR, IRF4, IRS1, IRS2, ITGB3, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, JUN, KALRN, KAT2B, KDM5A, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF4, KLF6, KLHL6, KRAS, LAMA1, LAMP1, LATS1, LATS2, LDHA, LMO1, LMO2, LRP1B, LTBP1, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAP2K4, MAP3K1, MAP3K13, MAPK1, MAPK3, MAPK9, MAX, MCL1, MDC1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MINPP1, MITF, MLH1, MLL, MLL2, MLL3, MPL, MRE11, MRE11A, MSH2, MSH6, MST1R, MTOR, MUC1, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL1, MYCN, MYD88, MYH9, MYOD1, MYST3, MYST4, NAV3, NBN, NCOA2, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NFKBIA, NKX2-1, NKX3-1, NOS2, NOS3, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NPM1, NR3C1, NRAS, NSD1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP214, NUP93, PAFAH1B2, PAK1, PAK3, PAK7, PALB2, PARK2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PAX5, PBRM1, PCNA, PDCD1, PDGFA, PDGFB, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDK1, PDPK1, PGR, PHOX2B, PIGS, PIK3C2G, PIK3C3, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIK3R3, PIM1, PLCB1, PLCG1, PLCG2, PLK2, PMAIP1, PML, PMS1, PMS2, PNRC1, POLE, PPARA, PPARG, PPARGC1A, PPP1R13L, PPP1R3A, PPP2CB, PPP2R1A, PPP2R1B, PPP2R2B, PRDM1, PRF1, PRKAR1A, PRKCA, PRKCG, PRKCZ, PRKDC, PRSS8, PTCH1, PTCH2, PTEN, PTGS2, PTK2, PTPN11, PTPRB, PTPRC, PTPRD, PTPRF, PTPRS, PTPRT, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD51L1, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, REL, RET, RFWD2, RHBDF2, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KA1, RPS6KA2, RPS6KA4, RPS6KB1, RPS6KB2, RPTOR, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, RYBP, SBDS, SDHA, SDHAF2, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SF3B1, SH2B3, SH2D1A, SHC1, SHQ1, SKP2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD3, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMARCD1, SMO, SNCG, SOCS1, SOCS2, SOS1, SOX10, SOX17, SOX2, SOX9, SP1, SPEN, SPOP, SPRY2, SRC, STAG2, STAT4, STK11, STK40, SUFU, SUZ12, SYK, TAL1, TBX3, TCF12, TCF3, TEK, TERT, TET1, TET2, TFE3, TGFB3, TGFBR1, TGFBR2, THBS1, TIPARP, TK1, TLX1, TMEM127, TMPRSS2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TNK2, TOP1, TOP2A, TP53, TP63, TP73, TPM3, TPO, TPR, TRAF7, TRRAP, TSC1, TSC2, TSHR, U2AF1, UGT1A1, VDR, VEGFA, VHL, VTCN1, WISP3, WRN, WT1, XIAP, XPA, XPC, XPO1, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZNF217, ZNF331, and ZNF703.

[0053] In some cases, a clinically actionable variant is a clinically actionable variant selected from Table 1.

Table 1. List of clinically actionable variants and therapeutic implications

Variant Class	Amino Acid Location	Gene	Chromosome Location	Protein Location	Variant Type	Therapeutic Implication
AKT activating	AKT1 E17	AKT1		E17	snv	sensitizing for AKT or mTOR inhibitors
ALK activating	ALK C1156	ALK		C1156	snv	sensitizing for ALK inhibitors
ALK activating	ALK D1203	ALK		D1203	snv	sensitizing for ALK inhibitors
ALK activating	ALK F1174	ALK		F1174	snv	sensitizing for ALK inhibitors
ALK activating	ALK G1269	ALK		G1269	snv	sensitizing for ALK inhibitors
ALK activating	ALK L1152	ALK		L1152	snv	sensitizing for ALK inhibitors
ALK activating	ALK L1196	ALK		L1196	snv	sensitizing for ALK inhibitors
ALK activating	ALK L1198	ALK		L1198	snv	sensitizing for ALK inhibitors
ALK activating	ALK R1275	ALK		R1275	snv	sensitizing for ALK inhibitors
BRAF activating	BRAF D594	BRAF		D594	snv	sensitizing for BRAF inhibitors
BRAF activating	BRAF G466	BRAF		G466	snv	sensitizing for BRAF inhibitors
BRAF activating	BRAF G469	BRAF		G469	snv	sensitizing for BRAF inhibitors
BRAF activating	BRAF G596	BRAF		G596	snv	sensitizing for BRAF inhibitors
BRAF activating	BRAF L597	BRAF		L597	snv	sensitizing for BRAF inhibitors
BRAF activating	BRAF V600	BRAF		V600	snv	sensitizing for BRAF inhibitors
BRAF activating	BRAF K601	BRAF		K601	snv	sensitizing for BRAF inhibitors
BRAF activating	BRAF Y472	BRAF		Y472	snv	sensitizing for BRAF inhibitors
BRCA1	BRCA1	BRCA1		A1708	snv	candidate for PARP

disabling	A1708					inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 C1787	BRCA1		C1787	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 C39	BRCA1		C39	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 C44	BRCA1		C44	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 C61	BRCA1		C61	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 G1706	BRCA1		G1706	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 G1738	BRCA1		G1738	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 G1788	BRCA1		G1788	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 I1766	BRCA1		I1766	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 L1764	BRCA1		L1764	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 L22	BRCA1		L22	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 M1775	BRCA1		M1775	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 N1067	BRCA1		N1067	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 R1495	BRCA1		R1495	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 R1699	BRCA1		R1699	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 S1715	BRCA1		S1715	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 T1685	BRCA1		T1685	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 T37	BRCA1		T37	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 V1688del	BRCA1		V1688	del	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 V1838	BRCA1		V1838	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA2 disabling	BRCA2 D2723	BRCA2		D2723	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA2 disabling	BRCA2 E2663	BRCA2		E2663	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA2	BRCA2	BRCA2		G2748	snv	candidate for PARP

disabling	G2748					inhibitors
BRCA2 disabling	BRCA2 I2627	BRCA2		I2627	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA2 disabling	BRCA2 L2653	BRCA2		L2653	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA2 disabling	BRCA2 R2659	BRCA2		R2659	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA2 disabling	BRCA2 R3052	BRCA2		R3052	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA2 disabling	BRCA2 T2722	BRCA2		T2722	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
BRCA2 disabling	BRCA2 W2626	BRCA2		W2626	snv	candidate for PARP inhibitors
CDKN2A disabling	CDKN2A A73	CDKN2A		A73	snv	candidate for CDK 4/6 inhibitors
CDKN2A disabling	CDKN2A C72	CDKN2A		C72	snv	candidate for CDK 4/6 inhibitors
CDKN2A disabling	CDKN2A M1	CDKN2A		M1	snv	candidate for CDK 4/6 inhibitors
CDKN2A disabling	CDKN2A P114	CDKN2A		P114	snv	candidate for CDK 4/6 inhibitors
CDKN2A disabling	CDKN2A R47	CDKN2A		R47	snv	candidate for CDK 4/6 inhibitors
CDKN2A disabling	CDKN2A R80	CDKN2A		R80	snv	candidate for CDK 4/6 inhibitors
CDKN2A disabling	CDKN2A W110	CDKN2A		W110	snv	candidate for CDK 4/6 inhibitors
DDR2 activating	DDR2 S768	DDR2		S768	snv	candidate for CDK 4/6 inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR A750del	EGFR	Exon19	A750	del	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR E746del	EGFR	Exon19	E746	del	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR E749del	EGFR	Exon19	E749	del	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR L747del	EGFR	Exon19	L747	del	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR P753del	EGFR	Exon19	P753	del	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR R748del	EGFR	Exon19	R748	del	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR S752del	EGFR	Exon19	S752	del	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR	EGFR	EGFR	Exon19	T751	del	sensitizing for

activating	T751del					EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR A743ins	EGFR	Exon19	A743	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR I740ins	EGFR	Exon19	I740	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR I744ins	EGFR	Exon19	I744	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR K739ins	EGFR	Exon19	K739	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR P741ins	EGFR	Exon19	P741	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR V742ins	EGFR	Exon19	V742	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR D770ins	EGFR	Exon20	D770	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR H773ins	EGFR	Exon20	H773	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR N771ins	EGFR	Exon20	N771	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR P772ins	EGFR	Exon20	P772	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR S768ins	EGFR	Exon20	S768	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR V769ins	EGFR	Exon20	V769	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR V774ins	EGFR	Exon20	V774	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR E709	EGFR		E709	snv	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR G719	EGFR		G719	snv	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR L858	EGFR		L858	snv	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR L861	EGFR		L861	snv	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR T790	EGFR		T790	snv	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
EGFR activating	EGFR A763ins	EGFR		A763	ins	sensitizing for EGFR inhibitors
FLT3 activating	FLT3 D835	FLT3		D835	snv	sensitizing for FLT3 inhibitors
FLT3 activating	FLT3 F691	FLT3		F691	snv	sensitizing for FLT3 inhibitors
FLT3	FLT3 N841	FLT3		N841	snv	sensitizing for

activating						FLT3 inhibitors
FLT3 activating	FLT3 Y842	FLT3		Y842	snv	sensitizing for FLT3 inhibitors
GNAQ activating	GNAQ Q209	GNAQ		Q209	snv	sensitizing for FLT3 inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT 554del	KIT		554del	del	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT 556ins	KIT		556ins	ins	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT 566del	KIT		566del	del	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT 575ins	KIT		575ins	ins	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT 579del	KIT		579del	del	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT A829	KIT		A829	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT D816	KIT		D816	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT D820	KIT		D820	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT E583ins	KIT		E583ins	ins	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT K550	KIT		K550N	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT K558	KIT		K558	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT K642	KIT		K642	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT L576	KIT		L576	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT N822	KIT		N822	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT V559	KIT		V559	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT V559del	KIT		V559	del	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT V560	KIT		V560	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT V654	KIT		V654	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT W557	KIT		W557	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT Y553	KIT		Y553	snv	sensitizing for KIT

						inhibitors
KIT activating	KIT Y823	KIT		Y823	snv	sensitizing for KIT inhibitors
KRAS activating	KRAS A146	KRAS		A146	snv	sensitizing for MEK inhibitors
KRAS activating	KRAS G12	KRAS		G12	snv	sensitizing for MEK inhibitors
KRAS activating	KRAS G13	KRAS		G13	snv	sensitizing for MEK inhibitors
KRAS activating	KRAS K117	KRAS		K117	snv	sensitizing for MEK inhibitors
KRAS activating	KRAS Q61	KRAS		Q61	snv	sensitizing for MEK inhibitors
MAP2K1 activating	MAP2K1 C121	MAP2K1		C121	snv	candidate for MEK inhibitors
MAP2K1 activating	MAP2K1 D67	MAP2K1		D67	snv	candidate for MEK inhibitors
MAP2K1 activating	MAP2K1 K57	MAP2K1		K57	snv	candidate for MEK inhibitors
MAP2K1 activating	MAP2K1 Q56	MAP2K1		Q56	snv	candidate for MEK inhibitors
Exceptional Response	MTOR E2014	MTOR		E2014	snv	exceptional response to everolimus
Exceptional Response	MTOR E2419	MTOR		E2419	snv	exceptional response to everolimus
NRAS activating	NRAS G12	NRAS		G12	snv	candidate for MEK inhibitors
NRAS activating	NRAS Q61	NRAS		Q61	snv	candidate for MEK inhibitors
PIK3CA activating	PIK3CA D549	PIK3CA		D549	snv	candidate for PI3K or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PIK3CA activating	PIK3CA E542	PIK3CA		E542	snv	candidate for PI3K or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PIK3CA activating	PIK3CA E545	PIK3CA		E545	snv	candidate for PI3K or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PIK3CA activating	PIK3CA H1047	PIK3CA		H1047	snv	candidate for PI3K or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PIK3CA	PIK3CA Q546	PIK3CA		Q546	snv	candidate for PI3K

activating						or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PIK3R1 disabling	PIK3R1 E160	PIK3R1		E160	snv	candidate for PI3K or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PIK3R1 disabling	PIK3R1 L370del	PIK3R1		L370	del	candidate for PI3K or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PIK3R1 disabling	PIK3R1 R348	PIK3R1		R348	snv	candidate for PI3K or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PIK3R1 disabling	PIK3R1 R358	PIK3R1		R358	snv	candidate for PI3K or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTCH1 disabling	PTCH1 G1093	PTCH1		G1093	snv	candidate for SMO inhibitors
PTCH1 disabling	PTCH1 G238	PTCH1		G238	snv	candidate for SMO inhibitors
PTCH1 disabling	PTCH1 P1198	PTCH1		P1198	snv	candidate for SMO inhibitors
PTCH1 disabling	PTCH1 P644	PTCH1		P644	snv	candidate for SMO inhibitors
PTCH1 disabling	PTCH1 K838	PTCH1		K838	snv	candidate for SMO inhibitors
PTCH1 disabling	PTCH1 S683	PTCH1		S683	snv	candidate for SMO inhibitors
PTCH1 disabling	PTCH1 T1195	PTCH1		T1195	snv	candidate for SMO inhibitors
PTCH1 disabling	PTCH1 W236	PTCH1		W236	snv	candidate for SMO inhibitors
PTCH1 disabling	PTCH1 W844	PTCH1		W844	snv	candidate for SMO inhibitors
PTCH1 disabling	PTCH1 W863	PTCH1		W863	snv	candidate for SMO inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN K267del	PTEN		K267	del	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN R159	PTEN		R159	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN R233	PTEN		R233	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN	PTEN A126	PTEN		A126	snv	candidate for

disabling						p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN C124	PTEN		C124	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN D162	PTEN		D162	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN D92	PTEN		D92	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN G127	PTEN		G127	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN G129	PTEN		G129	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN H123	PTEN		H123	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN H93	PTEN		H93	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN K125	PTEN		K125	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN K128	PTEN		K128	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN Q171	PTEN		Q171	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN R130	PTEN		R130	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN R173	PTEN		R173	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors
PTEN disabling	PTEN V166	PTEN		V166	snv	candidate for p110beta or AKT or mTOR inhibitors

Quality of Data/Quality Score

[0054] The methods and systems described herein provide for calculating one or more quality score. The methods and systems described herein further provide for assigning one or more quality score to a subset of sequencing data. One or more quality score may comprise a read depth (or depth of coverage), a mapping quality, or a base call quality.

[0055] In one case, a read depth or depth of coverage is determined for a genomic region comprising the genetic variant. “Read depth” and “depth of coverage” are used herein interchangeably and refer to the average number of times a nucleotide base is “called” in a sequencing reaction. Generally, a higher read depth provides greater accuracy with which any given nucleotide base can be called. For example, a read depth of 10X means that any given nucleotide will be called on average ten times. It should be understood that read depth may not be uniform. For example, certain regions of the genome may be more challenging to sequence accurately for e.g., regions with high GC content. In other examples, sequencing bias can create a lack of uniformity in sequencing data. Sequencing bias may be random or non-random. In some cases, a regional read depth is determined for a genomic region. In some cases, the methods may comprise determining a read depth for one or more genomic regions of interest. A predetermined threshold may be selected such that genetic variants identified within a genomic region of interest with a quality score greater than the predetermined threshold is “called” with a level of confidence, and genetic variants identified within sequencing data with a quality score less than the predetermined threshold are not “called” with a level of confidence. In one example, a genetic variant may be identified in a genomic region with a sequencing read depth of 50X. In this example, the read depth may be sufficient to “call” the genetic variant with a level of confidence. In another example, a genetic variant may be identified in a genomic region with a sequencing read depth of 5X. In this example, the read depth may not be sufficient to “call” the genetic variant with a level of confidence. A read depth may include, without limitation, 1X, 2X, 3X, 4X, 5X, 6X, 7X, 8X, 9X, 10X, 11X, 12X, 13X, 14X, 15X, 16X, 17X, 18X, 19X, 20X, 21X, 22X, 23X, 24X, 25X, 26X, 27X, 28X, 29X, 30X, 31X, 32X, 33X, 34X, 35X, 36X, 37X, 38X, 39X, 40X, 41X, 42X, 43X, 44X, 45X, 46X, 47X, 48X, 49X, 50X, 60X, 70X, 80X, 90X, 100X, 200X, 300X, 400X, 500X, 600X, 700X, 800X, 900X, 1000X, or greater.

[0056] In some cases, the quality score is comprised of a base call quality score. The base call quality score may be a Phred quality score. The Phred quality score may be assigned to each base call in automated sequencer traces and may be used to compare the efficacy of different sequencing methods. The Phred quality score (Q) may be defined as a property

which is logarithmically related to the base-calling error probabilities (P). The Phred quality score (Q) may be calculated as $Q = -10\log_{10}P$. The Phred quality score of the one or more sequencing reactions may be similar to the Phred quality score of current sequencing methods. The Phred quality score of the one or more sequencing methods may be within 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 of the Phred quality score of the current sequencing methods. The Phred quality score of the one or more sequencing methods may be less than the Phred quality score of the one or more sequencing methods. The Phred quality score of the one or more sequencing methods may be at least about 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 less than the Phred quality score of the one or more sequencing methods. The Phred quality score of the one or more sequencing methods may be greater than 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 25, or 30. The Phred quality score of the one or more sequencing methods may be greater than 35, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, or 60. The Phred quality score of the one or more sequencing methods may be at least 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60 or more.

[0057] In some cases, the quality score is comprised of a mapping quality score. The mapping quality score may indicate the accuracy with which a sequence has been mapped or aligned to a reference sequence. Mapping quality (Qm) scores can be calculated for each aligned read in several different ways. In one particular example, the aligner will provide a mapping quality score (MQS) in which:

$$MQS = \begin{cases} (\sum_{i \in b_m} (1 - p_i) - \sum_{i \in b_{mm}} (1 - p_i)) \times 60/L, & \text{if uniquely mapped} \\ 0, & \text{if mapped to } > 1 \text{ best location} \end{cases}$$

wherein L is the read length, p_i is the base-calling p-value for the i th base in the read, b_m is

the set of locations of matched bases, and b_{mm} is the set of locations of mismatched bases.

Base-calling p-values are computed from base quality score, transformed from the Phred scale. The mapping quality score may be in a range from 0-60. In some cases, the mapping quality score of the one or more sequencing methods is at least 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, or 60.

[0058] In some cases, the quality scores can be assigned a confidence score using empirical, machine learning methods. In a particular example, the quality score is based upon 4 values; the total read depth at the specific variant location, the proportion of reads containing the

variant, the mean quality of the non-variant base calls at the location and the difference in mean quality for the variant base calls. Using a large collection of samples with known variants processed in a plurality of laboratories and utilizing a plurality of processing methods, a model is trained that associates the state of the input quality variables to the expected likelihood of a correct variant call (positive and negative treated similarly). The model derived in this way defines an n-dimensional response surface, with n=the number of input variables, trained on all variants taken together to provide the statistical power needed to construct a response surface over the full range of inputs. The response surface is stored in the form of equations to be used by a Quality Scoring Algorithm to assign a confidence score between 1 and 100% to the absence or presence call for each variant in the test panel, for an individual patient sample processed and reported.

Samples

[0059] A subject can provide a biological sample for genetic screening. The biological sample can be any substance that is produced by the subject. Generally, the biological sample is any tissue taken from the subject or any substance produced by the subject. Non-limiting examples of biological samples can include blood, plasma, saliva, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), cheek tissue (i.e., from a cheek swab), urine, feces, skin, hair, organ tissue, and the like. In some cases, the biological sample is a solid tumor or a biopsy of a solid tumor. In some cases, the biological sample is a formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue sample. The biological sample can be any biological sample that comprises nucleic acids. The term “nucleic acid” as used herein generally refers to a polymeric form of nucleotides of any length, either ribonucleotides, deoxyribonucleotides or peptide nucleic acids (PNAs), that comprise purine and pyrimidine bases, or other natural, chemically or biochemically modified, non-natural, or derivatized nucleotide bases. The backbone of the polynucleotide can comprise sugars and phosphate groups, as may typically be found in RNA or DNA, or modified or substituted sugar or phosphate groups. A polynucleotide may comprise modified nucleotides, such as methylated nucleotides and nucleotide analogs. The sequence of nucleotides may be interrupted by non-nucleotide components. Thus the terms nucleoside, nucleotide, deoxynucleoside and deoxynucleotide generally include analogs such as those described herein. These analogs are those molecules having some structural features in common with a naturally occurring nucleoside or nucleotide such that when incorporated into a nucleic acid or oligonucleoside sequence, they allow hybridization with a naturally occurring nucleic acid sequence in solution. Typically, these analogs are derived from naturally occurring nucleosides and nucleotides by replacing and/or modifying the base, the

ribose or the phosphodiester moiety. The changes can be tailor made to stabilize or destabilize hybrid formation or enhance the specificity of hybridization with a complementary nucleic acid sequence as desired. The nucleic acid molecules can be DNA or RNA, or any combination thereof. RNA can comprise mRNA, miRNA, piRNA, siRNA, tRNA, rRNA, sncRNA, snoRNA and the like. DNA can comprise cDNA, genomic DNA, mitochondrial DNA, exosomal DNA, viral DNA and the like. In particular cases, the DNA is genomic DNA. Nucleic acids can be isolated from biological cells or can be cell-free nucleic acids (i.e., circulating DNA). In particular examples, the DNA is tumor DNA. In other particular examples, the RNA is tumor RNA. In some cases, the DNA is fetal DNA.

[0060] Biological samples may be derived from a subject. The subject may be a mammal, a reptile, an amphibian, an avian, or a fish. The mammal may be a human, ape, orangutan, monkey, chimpanzee, cow, pig, horse, rodent, bird, reptile, dog, cat, or other animal. A reptile may be a lizard, snake, alligator, turtle, crocodile, and tortoise. An amphibian may be a toad, frog, newt, and salamander. Examples of avians include, but are not limited to, ducks, geese, penguins, ostriches, and owls. Examples of fish include, but are not limited to, catfish, eels, sharks, and swordfish. Preferably, the subject is a human. The subject may suffer from a disease or condition.

Diseases

[0061] The methods and systems disclosed herein may be particularly suited for diagnosing a disease. In some cases, the methods and systems disclosed herein may be utilized to identify clinically actionable variants known to alter or affect the efficacy of a therapeutic regimen for treating a disease. In some cases, the disease is cancer. Non-limiting examples of cancers can include: Acanthoma, Acinic cell carcinoma, Acoustic neuroma, Acral lentiginous melanoma, Acrospiroma, Acute eosinophilic leukemia, Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, Acute megakaryoblastic leukemia, Acute monocytic leukemia, Acute myeloblastic leukemia with maturation, Acute myeloid dendritic cell leukemia, Acute myeloid leukemia, Acute promyelocytic leukemia, Adamantinoma, Adenocarcinoma, Adenoid cystic carcinoma, Adenoma, Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor, Adrenocortical carcinoma, Adult T-cell leukemia, Aggressive NK-cell leukemia, AIDS-Related Cancers, AIDS-related lymphoma, Alveolar soft part sarcoma, Ameloblastic fibroma, Anal cancer, Anaplastic large cell lymphoma, Anaplastic thyroid cancer, Angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma, Angiomyolipoma, Angiosarcoma, Appendix cancer, Astrocytoma, Atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumor, Basal cell carcinoma, Basal-like carcinoma, B-cell leukemia, B-cell lymphoma, Bellini duct carcinoma, Biliary tract cancer, Bladder cancer, Blastoma, Bone Cancer, Bone

tumor, Brain Stem Glioma, Brain Tumor, Breast Cancer, Brenner tumor, Bronchial Tumor, Bronchioloalveolar carcinoma, Brown tumor, Burkitt's lymphoma, Cancer of Unknown Primary Site, Carcinoid Tumor, Carcinoma, Carcinoma in situ, Carcinoma of the penis, Carcinoma of Unknown Primary Site, Carcinosarcoma, Castleman's Disease, Central Nervous System Embryonal Tumor, Cerebellar Astrocytoma, Cerebral Astrocytoma, Cervical Cancer, Cholangiocarcinoma, Chondroma, Chondrosarcoma, Chordoma, Choriocarcinoma, Choroid plexus papilloma, Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia, Chronic monocytic leukemia, Chronic myelogenous leukemia, Chronic Myeloproliferative Disorder, Chronic neutrophilic leukemia, Clear-cell tumor, Colon Cancer, Colorectal cancer, Craniopharyngioma, Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, Degos disease, Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans, Dermoid cyst, Desmoplastic small round cell tumor, Diffuse large B cell lymphoma, Dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor, Embryonal carcinoma, Endodermal sinus tumor, Endometrial cancer, Endometrial Uterine Cancer, Endometrioid tumor, Enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma, Ependymblastoma, Ependymoma, Epithelioid sarcoma, Erythroleukemia, Esophageal cancer, Esthesioneuroblastoma, Ewing Family of Tumor, Ewing Family Sarcoma, Ewing's sarcoma, Extracranial Germ Cell Tumor, Extragonadal Germ Cell Tumor, Extrahepatic Bile Duct Cancer, Extramammary Paget's disease, Fallopian tube cancer, Fetus in fetu, Fibroma, Fibrosarcoma, Follicular lymphoma, Follicular thyroid cancer, Gallbladder Cancer, Gallbladder cancer, Ganglioglioma, Ganglioneuroma, Gastric Cancer, Gastric lymphoma, Gastrointestinal cancer, Gastrointestinal Carcinoid Tumor, Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor, Gastrointestinal stromal tumor, Germ cell tumor, Germinoma, Gestational choriocarcinoma, Gestational Trophoblastic Tumor, Giant cell tumor of bone, Glioblastoma multiforme, Glioma, Gliomatosis cerebri, Glomus tumor, Glucagonoma, Gonadoblastoma, Granulosa cell tumor, Hairy Cell Leukemia, Hairy cell leukemia, Head and Neck Cancer, Head and neck cancer, Heart cancer, Hemangioblastoma, Hemangiopericytoma, Hemangiosarcoma, Hematological malignancy, Hepatocellular carcinoma, Hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma, Hereditary breast-ovarian cancer syndrome, Hodgkin Lymphoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma, Hypopharyngeal Cancer, Hypothalamic Glioma, Inflammatory breast cancer, Intraocular Melanoma, Islet cell carcinoma, Islet Cell Tumor, Juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia, Sarcoma, Kaposi's sarcoma, Kidney Cancer, Klatskin tumor, Krukenberg tumor, Laryngeal Cancer, Laryngeal cancer, Lentigo maligna melanoma, Leukemia, Leukemia, Lip and Oral Cavity Cancer, Liposarcoma, Lung cancer, Luteoma, Lymphangioma, Lymphangiosarcoma, Lymphoepithelioma, Lymphoid leukemia, Lymphoma, Macroglobulinemia, Malignant Fibrous Histiocytoma, Malignant fibrous histiocytoma, Malignant Fibrous Histiocytoma of

Bone, Malignant Glioma, Malignant Mesothelioma, Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor, Malignant rhabdoid tumor, Malignant triton tumor, MALT lymphoma, Mantle cell lymphoma, Mast cell leukemia, Mediastinal germ cell tumor, Mediastinal tumor, Medullary thyroid cancer, Medulloblastoma, Medulloblastoma, Medulloepithelioma, Melanoma, Melanoma, Meningioma, Merkel Cell Carcinoma, Mesothelioma, Mesothelioma, Metastatic Squamous Neck Cancer with Occult Primary, Metastatic urothelial carcinoma, Mixed Mullerian tumor, Monocytic leukemia, Mouth Cancer, Mucinous tumor, Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Syndrome, Multiple Myeloma, Multiple myeloma, Mycosis Fungoides, Mycosis fungoides, Myelodysplastic Disease, Myelodysplastic Syndromes, Myeloid leukemia, Myeloid sarcoma, Myeloproliferative Disease, Myxoma, Nasal Cavity Cancer, Nasopharyngeal Cancer, Nasopharyngeal carcinoma, Neoplasm, Neurinoma, Neuroblastoma, Neuroblastoma, Neurofibroma, Neuroma, Nodular melanoma, Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma, Non-Hodgkin lymphoma, Nonmelanoma Skin Cancer, Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, Ocular oncology, Oligoastrocytoma, Oligodendroglioma, Oncocytoma, Optic nerve sheath meningioma, Oral Cancer, Oral cancer, Oropharyngeal Cancer, Osteosarcoma, Osteosarcoma, Ovarian Cancer, Ovarian cancer, Ovarian Epithelial Cancer, Ovarian Germ Cell Tumor, Ovarian Low Malignant Potential Tumor, Paget's disease of the breast, Pancoast tumor, Pancreatic Cancer, Pancreatic cancer, Papillary thyroid cancer, Papillomatosis, Paraganglioma, Paranasal Sinus Cancer, Parathyroid Cancer, Penile Cancer, Perivascular epithelioid cell tumor, Pharyngeal Cancer, Pheochromocytoma, Pineal Parenchymal Tumor of Intermediate Differentiation, Pineoblastoma, Pituicytoma, Pituitary adenoma, Pituitary tumor, Plasma Cell Neoplasm, Pleuropulmonary blastoma, Polyembryoma, Precursor T-lymphoblastic lymphoma, Primary central nervous system lymphoma, Primary effusion lymphoma, Primary Hepatocellular Cancer, Primary Liver Cancer, Primary peritoneal cancer, Primitive neuroectodermal tumor, Prostate cancer, Pseudomyxoma peritonei, Rectal Cancer, Renal cell carcinoma, Respiratory Tract Carcinoma Involving the NUT Gene on Chromosome 15, Retinoblastoma, Rhabdomyoma, Rhabdomyosarcoma, Richter's transformation, Sacrococcygeal teratoma, Salivary Gland Cancer, Sarcoma, Schwannomatosis, Sebaceous gland carcinoma, Secondary neoplasm, Seminoma, Serous tumor, Sertoli-Leydig cell tumor, Sex cord-stromal tumor, Sezary Syndrome, Signet ring cell carcinoma, Skin Cancer, Small blue round cell tumor, Small cell carcinoma, Small Cell Lung Cancer, Small cell lymphoma, Small intestine cancer, Soft tissue sarcoma, Somatostatinoma, Soot wart, Spinal Cord Tumor, Spinal tumor, Splenic marginal zone lymphoma, Squamous cell carcinoma, Stomach cancer, Superficial spreading melanoma, Supratentorial Primitive

Neuroectodermal Tumor, Surface epithelial-stromal tumor, Synovial sarcoma, T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, T-cell large granular lymphocyte leukemia, T-cell leukemia, T-cell lymphoma, T-cell prolymphocytic leukemia, Teratoma, Terminal lymphatic cancer, Testicular cancer, Thecoma, Throat Cancer, Thymic Carcinoma, Thymoma, Thyroid cancer, Transitional Cell Cancer of Renal Pelvis and Ureter, Transitional cell carcinoma, Urachal cancer, Urethral cancer, Urogenital neoplasm, Uterine sarcoma, Uveal melanoma, Vaginal Cancer, Verner Morrison syndrome, Verrucous carcinoma, Visual Pathway Glioma, Vulvar Cancer, Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia, Warthin's tumor, Wilms' tumor.

[0062] In some cases, the methods and systems disclosed herein may be utilized to identify clinically actionable variants known to alter or affect the efficacy of a therapeutic regimen for treating a disease. In some cases, the disease is an infectious disease, including bacteria, virus, fungal, or protozoan where the methods and systems could aid in identifying the primary pathogen(s), or assess variants that may increase risk of treatment, adverse effects and/or immune system response.

[0063] In some cases, the disease is a neurodegenerative disease, including, without limitation, Alzheimers, Dementia, Parkinsons and others, wherein the methods and systems may be used to identify treatable subtypes and match them to drugs now in development and identify pharmacogenetic variants that could influence dosing. In some cases, the disease is a neurological disorder, including, without limitation, intellectual development delay, epilepsy, or autism.

[0064] In some cases, the disease is an addiction disorder, wherein the methods and systems may identify subtypes based upon variants in receptor-signaling genes, and endorphin, dopamine or related pleasure seeking pathways that may be treatable.

[0065] In some cases the disease is an endocrine disease. Non-limiting examples include Acromegaly, Addison's Disease, Adrenal Disorders, Cushing's Syndrome, De Quervain's Thyroiditis, Diabetes, Gestational Diabetes, Goiters, Graves' Disease, Growth Disorders, Growth Hormone Deficiency, Hashimoto's Thyroiditis, Hyperglycemia, Hyperparathyroidism, Hyperthyroidism, Hypoglycemia, Hypoparathyroidism, Hypothyroidism, Low Testosterone, Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Type 1, Type 2A, Type 2B, Obesity, Osteoporosis, Parathyroid Diseases, Pheochromocytoma, Pituitary Disorders, Pituitary Tumors, Polycystic Ovary Syndrome, Prediabetes, Silent Thyroiditis, Thyroid Diseases, Thyroid Nodules, Thyroiditis, Turner Syndrome, Type 1 Diabetes, and Type 2 Diabetes.

[0066] In some cases, the disease is an autoimmune disease. Non-limiting examples include Acute Disseminated Encephalomyelitis (ADEM), Acute necrotizing hemorrhagic leukoencephalitis, Addison's disease, Agammaglobulinemia, Alopecia areata, Amyloidosis, Ankylosing spondylitis, Anti-GBM/Anti-TBM nephritis, Antiphospholipid syndrome (APS), Autoimmune angioedema, Autoimmune aplastic anemia, Autoimmune dysautonomia, Autoimmune hepatitis, Autoimmune hyperlipidemia, Autoimmune immunodeficiency, Autoimmune inner ear disease (AIED), Autoimmune myocarditis, Autoimmune oophoritis, Autoimmune pancreatitis, Autoimmune retinopathy, Autoimmune thrombocytopenic purpura (ATP), Autoimmune thyroid disease, Autoimmune urticaria, Axonal & neuronal neuropathies, Balo disease, Behcet's disease, Bullous pemphigoid, Cardiomyopathy, Castleman disease, Celiac disease, Chagas disease, Chronic fatigue syndrome**, Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP), Chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO), Churg-Strauss syndrome, Cicatricial pemphigoid/benign mucosal pemphigoid, Crohn's disease, Cogans syndrome, Cold agglutinin disease, Congenital heart block, Cocksackie myocarditis, CREST disease, Essential mixed cryoglobulinemia, Demyelinating neuropathies, Dermatitis herpetiformis, Dermatomyositis, Devic's disease (neuromyelitis optica), Discoid lupus, Dressler's syndrome, Endometriosis, Eosinophilic esophagitis, Eosinophilic fasciitis, Erythema nodosum, Experimental allergic encephalomyelitis, Evans syndrome, Fibromyalgia, Fibrosing alveolitis, Giant cell arteritis (temporal arteritis), Giant cell myocarditis, Glomerulonephritis, Goodpasture's syndrome, Granulomatosis with Polyangiitis (GPA) (formerly called Wegener's Granulomatosis), Graves' disease, Guillain-Barre syndrome, Hashimoto's encephalitis, Hashimoto's thyroiditis, Hemolytic anemia, Henoch-Schonlein purpura, Herpes gestationis, Hypogammaglobulinemia, Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), IgA nephropathy, IgG4-related sclerosing disease, Immunoregulatory lipoproteins, Inclusion body myositis, Interstitial cystitis, Juvenile arthritis, Juvenile myositis, Kawasaki syndrome, Lambert-Eaton syndrome, Leukocytoclastic vasculitis, Lichen planus, Lichen sclerosus, Ligneous conjunctivitis, Linear IgA disease (LAD), Lupus (SLE), Lyme disease, chronic, Meniere's disease, Microscopic polyangiitis, Mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD), Mooren's ulcer, Mucha-Habermann disease, Multiple sclerosis, Myasthenia gravis, Myositis, Narcolepsy, Neuromyelitis optica (Devic's), Neutropenia, Ocular cicatricial pemphigoid, Optic neuritis, Palindromic rheumatism, Paraneoplastic cerebellar degeneration, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH), Parry Romberg syndrome, Parsonnage-Turner syndrome, Pars planitis (peripheral uveitis), Pemphigus, Peripheral neuropathy, Perivenous

encephalomyelitis, Pernicious anemia, POEMS syndrome, Polyarteritis nodosa, Type I, II, & III autoimmune polyglandular syndromes, Polymyalgia rheumatica, Polymyositis, Postmyocardial infarction syndrome, Postpericardiotomy syndrome, Progesterone dermatitis, Primary biliary cirrhosis, Primary sclerosing cholangitis, Psoriasis, Psoriatic arthritis, Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, Pyoderma gangrenosum, Pure red cell aplasia, Raynauds phenomenon, Reactive Arthritis, Reflex sympathetic dystrophy, Reiter's syndrome, Relapsing polychondritis, Restless legs syndrome, Retroperitoneal fibrosis, Rheumatic fever, Rheumatoid arthritis, Sarcoidosis, Schmidt syndrome, Scleritis, Scleroderma, Sjogren's syndrome, Sperm & testicular autoimmunity, Stiff person syndrome, Subacute bacterial endocarditis (SBE), Susac's syndrome, Sympathetic ophthalmia, Takayasu's arteritis, Temporal arteritis/Giant cell arteritis, Thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP), Tolosa-Hunt syndrome, Transverse myelitis, Type 1 diabetes, Ulcerative colitis, Undifferentiated connective tissue disease (UCTD), Uveitis, Vasculitis, Vesiculobullous dermatosis, Vitiligo, Wegener's granulomatosis (now termed Granulomatosis with Polyangiitis (GPA).

[0067] In some cases, the disease is a cardiovascular disease, wherein the methods and systems can be used to identify variants that are associated with improved response to treatments currently available and those in development for use in the clinical setting to better match the individual patient to treatments.

Biomedical Reports

[0068] The methods and systems disclosed herein provide for one or more biomedical reports. Examples of reports that can be generated by the methods and systems of the disclosure are depicted in **FIGs. 2-5**. The results of methods described herein may be presented on one or more biomedical reports. The one or more biomedical reports may be generated or produced by the systems of the disclosure. The one or more biomedical reports may be provided as a printed or electronic format to an end user (i.e., a healthcare provider or a patient). The biomedical report may provide a plurality of reporting factors. The biomedical report can provide a list of classified genetic variants. Genetic variants may be classified as absent, present, or indeterminate according to the methods disclosed herein. The specific genetic variant tested may be identified in the biomedical report (e.g., G12A) as well as the corresponding gene name (e.g., KRAS). The biomedical report may further provide the classification of the specific genetic variant (e.g., "present"). The biomedical report may provide the type of variant (e.g., activating mutation). The biomedical report may provide a data quality score for each variant tested. The data quality score may be the read depth, base call quality, mapping quality, or a combination thereof. In particular examples, the

biomedical report provides the read depth for each variant tested. In some cases, the biomedical report can provide a treatment plan or recommendation based on the classification of a clinically actionable variant. For example, a biomedical report may identify the presence of an activating mutation in the KRAS gene and recommend that the patient be treated with a therapy indicated for cancers with known KRAS mutations (e.g., a MEK inhibitor). In some cases, the patient may be currently receiving treatment and the biomedical report may indicate that the patient should halt treatment or start a different treatment (e.g., the presence of a variant indicates a second therapy is more effective than the first therapy).

Systems of the Disclosure

[0069] The disclosure further provides computer-based systems for performing the methods described herein. In some aspects, the systems can be utilized for determining and reporting the presence or absence of genetic variants in a sample. The system can comprise one or more client components. The one or more client components can comprise a user interface. The system can comprise one or more server components. The server components can comprise one or more memory locations. The one or more memory locations can be configured to receive a data input. The data input can comprise sequencing data. The sequencing data can be generated from a nucleic acid sample from a subject. Non-limiting examples of sequencing data suitable for use with the systems of this disclosure have been described. The system can further comprise one or more computer processor. The one or more computer processor can be operably coupled to the one or more memory locations. The one or more computer processor can be programmed to map the sequencing data to a reference sequence. The one or more computer processor can be further programmed to determine a presence or absence of a genetic variant from the sequencing data. The determining step can comprise any of the methods described herein. The determining can comprise assigning a quality score to a genomic region comprising the genetic variant to generate a classified genetic variant based on the quality score. The genetic variant can be a clinically actionable variant. In some cases, the clinically actionable variant can be classified as present if the clinically actionable variant is determined to be present and the quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, the clinically actionable variant can be classified as absent if the clinically actionable variant is determined to be absent and the quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold. In some cases, the clinically actionable variant is classified as indeterminate if the quality score is less than a predetermined threshold. The one or more computer processor can be further programmed to

generate an output for display on a screen. The output can comprise one or more reports identifying the classified genetic variant.

[0070] The systems described herein can comprise one or more client components. The one or more client components can comprise one or more software components, one or more hardware components, or a combination thereof. The one or more client components can access one or more services through one or more server components. The one or more services can be accessed by the one or more client components through a network.

“Services” is used herein to refer to any product, method, function, or use of the system. For example, a user can place an order for a genetic test. The order can be placed through the one or more client components of the system and the request can be transmitted through a network to the one or more server components of the system. The network can be the Internet, an internet and/or extranet, or an intranet and/or extranet that is in communication with the Internet. The network in some cases is a telecommunication and/or data network. The network can include one or more computer servers, which can enable distributed computing, such as cloud computing. The network, in some cases with the aid of the computer system, can implement a peer-to-peer network, which may enable devices coupled to the computer system to behave as a client or a server.

[0071] The systems can comprise one or more memory locations (e.g., random-access memory, read-only memory, flash memory), electronic storage unit (e.g., hard disk), communication interface (e.g., network adapter) for communicating with one or more other systems, and peripheral devices, such as cache, other memory, data storage and/or electronic display adapters. The memory, storage unit, interface and peripheral devices are in communication with the CPU through a communication bus, such as a motherboard. The storage unit can be a data storage unit (or data repository) for storing data. In one example, the one or more memory locations can store the received sequencing data.

[0072] The systems can comprise one or more computer processors. The one or more computer processors may be operably coupled to the one or more memory locations to e.g., access the stored sequencing data. The one or more computer processors can implement machine executable code to carry out the methods described herein. For instance, the one or more computer processors can execute machine readable code to map a sequencing data input to a reference sequence or to assign a quality score to a genomic region comprising a genetic variant.

[0073] The machine executable or machine readable code can be provided in the form of software. During use, the code can be executed by the processor. In some cases, the code

can be retrieved from the storage unit and stored on the memory for ready access by the processor. In some situations, the electronic storage unit can be precluded, and machine-executable instructions are stored on memory.

[0074] The code can be pre-compiled and configured for use with a machine having a processor adapted to execute the code, can be compiled during runtime, or can be interpreted during runtime. The code can be supplied in a programming language that can be selected to enable the code to execute in a pre-compiled, as-compiled or interpreted fashion.

[0075] Aspects of the systems and methods provided herein, such as the computer system, can be embodied in programming. Various aspects of the technology may be thought of as “products” or “articles of manufacture” typically in the form of machine (or processor) executable code and/or associated data that is carried on or embodied in a type of machine readable medium. Machine-executable code can be stored on an electronic storage unit, such memory (e.g., read-only memory, random-access memory, flash memory) or a hard disk. “Storage” type media can include any or all of the tangible memory of the computers, processors or the like, or associated modules thereof, such as various semiconductor memories, tape drives, disk drives and the like, which may provide non-transitory storage at any time for the software programming. All or portions of the software may at times be communicated through the Internet or various other telecommunication networks. Such communications, for example, may enable loading of the software from one computer or processor into another, for example, from a management server or host computer into the computer platform of an application server. Thus, another type of media that may bear the software elements includes optical, electrical and electromagnetic waves, such as used across physical interfaces between local devices, through wired and optical landline networks and over various air-links. The physical elements that carry such waves, such as wired or wireless links, optical links or the like, also may be considered as media bearing the software. As used herein, unless restricted to non-transitory, tangible “storage” media, terms such as computer or machine “readable medium” refer to any medium that participates in providing instructions to a processor for execution.

[0076] Hence, a machine readable medium, such as computer-executable code, may take many forms, including but not limited to, a tangible storage medium, a carrier wave medium or physical transmission medium. Non-volatile storage media include, for example, optical or magnetic disks, such as any of the storage devices in any computer(s) or the like, such as may be used to implement the databases, etc. shown in the drawings. Volatile storage media include dynamic memory, such as main memory of such a computer platform. Tangible

transmission media include coaxial cables; copper wire and fiber optics, including the wires that comprise a bus within a computer system. Carrier-wave transmission media may take the form of electric or electromagnetic signals, or acoustic or light waves such as those generated during radio frequency (RF) and infrared (IR) data communications. Common forms of computer-readable media therefore include for example: a floppy disk, a flexible disk, hard disk, magnetic tape, any other magnetic medium, a CD-ROM, DVD or DVD-ROM, any other optical medium, punch cards paper tape, any other physical storage medium with patterns of holes, a RAM, a ROM, a PROM and EPROM, a FLASH-EPROM, any other memory chip or cartridge, a carrier wave transporting data or instructions, cables or links transporting such a carrier wave, or any other medium from which a computer may read programming code and/or data. Many of these forms of computer readable media may be involved in carrying one or more sequences of one or more instructions to a processor for execution.

[0077] The systems disclosed herein can include or be in communication with one or more electronic displays. The electronic display can be part of the computer system, or coupled to the computer system directly or through the network. The computer system can include a user interface (UI) for providing various features and functionalities disclosed herein. Examples of UIs include, without limitation, graphical user interfaces (GUIs) and web-based user interfaces. The UI can provide an interactive tool by which a user can utilize the methods and systems described herein. By way of example, a UI as envisioned herein can be a web-based tool by which a healthcare practitioner can order a genetic test, customize a list of genetic variants to be tested, and receive and view a biomedical report.

[0078] The methods disclosed herein may comprise biomedical databases, genomic databases, biomedical reports, disease reports, case-control analysis, and rare variant discovery analysis based on data and/or information from one or more databases, one or more assays, one or more data or results, one or more outputs based on or derived from one or more assays, one or more outputs based on or derived from one or more data or results, or a combination thereof.

Machine executable code

[0079] As described herein, one or more computer processors can implement machine executable code to perform the methods of the disclosure. Machine executable code can comprise any number of open-source or closed-source software. The machine executable code can be implemented to analyze a data input. The data input can be sequencing data generated from one or more sequencing reactions. The computer process can be operably

coupled to at least one memory location. The computer processor can access the sequencing data from the at least one memory location. In some cases, the computer processor can implement machine executable code to map the sequencing data to a reference sequence. In some cases, the computer processor can implement machine executable code to determine a presence or absence of a genetic variant from the sequencing data. The genetic variant can be e.g., a clinically actionable variant. In some cases, the computer processor can implement machine executable code to calculate a quality score for at least one genomic region comprising a genetic variant. In some cases, the computer processor can implement machine executable code to assign a quality score to at least one genomic region comprising a genetic variant. In some cases, the computer processor can implement machine executable code to classify a genetic variant based on the assigned quality score. In some cases, the computer processor can implement machine executable code to generate an output for display on a screen (e.g., a biomedical report) identifying the classified genetic variant.

[0080] Machine executable code (or machine readable code) can include one or more sequence alignment software. Sequence alignment software can include DNA-seq aligners. Non-limiting examples of DNA-seq aligners suitable to perform the methods of the disclosure include BLAST, CS-BLAST, CUDASW++, FASTA, GGSEARCH/GLSEARCH, HMMER, HHpred/HHsearch, IDF, Infernal, KLAST, PSI-BLAST, PSI-Search, ScalaBLAST, Sequilab, SAM, SSEARCH, SWAPHI, SWAPHI-LS, SWIPE, ACANA, AlignMe, Bioconductor, Biostrings::pairwiseAlignment, BioPerl dpAlign, BLASTZ, LASTZ, CUDAlign, DNADot, DOTLET, FEAST, G-PAS, GapMis, JAligner, K*Sync, LALIGN, NW-align, mAlign, matcher, MCALIGN2, MUMmer, needle, Ngila, Path, PatternHunter, ProbA (propA), PyMOL, REPuter, SABERTOOTH, Satsuma, SEQALN, SIM, GAP, LAP, NAP, SPA, Sequences Studio, SWIFT Suit, stretcher, tranalign, UGENE, water, wordmatch, YASS, ABA, ALE, AMAP, anon., BAli-Phy, Base-By-Base, CHAOS/DIALIGN, ClustalW, CodonCode Aligner, Compass, DECIPHER, DIALIGN-TX, DIALIGN-T, DNA Alignment, DNA Baser Sequence Assembler, EDNA, FSA, Geneious, KAlign, MAFFT, MARNA, MAVID, MSA, MSAProbes, MULTALIN, Multi-LAGAN, MUSCLE, Opal, Pecan, Phylo, Praline, PicXAA, POA, Probalign, ProbCons, PROMALS3D, PRRN/PRRD, PSAlign, RevTrans, SAGA, Se-Al, StatAlign, Stemloc, T-Coffee, UGENE, VectorFriends, GLProbs, ACT, AVID, BLAT, GMAP, Splign, Mauve, MGA, Mulan, Multiz, PLAST-ncRNA, Sequerome, Sequilab, Shuffle-LAGAN, SIBSim4, SLAM, BarraCUDA, BBMap, BFAST, BLASTN, Bowtie, HIVE-Hexagon, BWA, BWA-MEM, BWA-PSSM, CASHX, Cloudburst, CUDA-EC, CUSHAW, CUSHAW2, CUSHAW2-GPU, CUSHAW3, drFAST, ELAND,

ERNE, GASSST, GEM, Genalice MAP, Geneious Assembler, GensearchNGS, GMAP, GSNAP, GNUMAP, iSSAC, LAST, MAQ, mrFAST, mrsFAST, MOM, MOSAIK, MPscan, Novoalign, NovoalignCS, NextGENe, NextGenMap, Omixon, PALMapper, Partek, PASS, PerM, PRIMEX, QPalma, RazerS, REAL, cREAL, RMAP, rNA, RTG Investigator, Segemehl, SeqMap, Shrec, SHRiMP, SLIDER, SOAP, SOAP2, SOAP3, SOAP3-dp, SOCS, SSAHA, SSAHA2, Stampy, STORM, Subread, Subjunc, Taipan, VelociMapper, XPressAlign, ZOOM, and YAHA. In some cases, sequence alignment software can include RNA-seq aligners. Non-limiting examples of RNA-seq aligners suitable to perform the methods of the disclosure include Bowtie, Cufflinks, Erange, GMAP, GSNAP, GSTRUCT, GEM, IsoformEx, HISAT, HPG aligner, HMMSplicer, MapAL, MapSplice, Olego, OSA, PALMapper, PASS, RNA_MATE, ReadsMap, RUM, RNASEQR, SAMMate, SOAPSplICE, SMALT, STAR1, STAR2, SpliceSeq, SpliceMap, Subread, Subjunc, TopHat1, TopHat2, and X-Mate.

[0081] Machine executable code can include one or more alignment visualization software. Alignment visualization software can include, without limitation, Ale, IVistMSA, AliView, Base-By-Base, BioEdit, BioNumerics, BoxShade, CINEMA, CLC viewer, ClustalX viewer, Cylindrical BLAST viewer, DECIPHER, Discovery Studio, DnaSP, emacs-biomode, Genedoc, Geneious, Integrated Genome Browser (IGB), Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV), Jalview 2, JEvTrace, JSAV, Maestro, MEGA, Multiseq, MView, PFAAT, Ralee, S2S RNA editor, Seaview, Sequilab, SeqPop, Sequator, SnipViz, Strap, Tablet, UGENE, VISSA sequence/structure viewer, Artemis, Savant, DNAPy, Alignment Annotator, Google Genomics API Browser, and PyBamView.

[0082] Machine executable code can include one or more variant calling software. Variant calling software can include germline or somatic callers which identify all single nucleotide variants, insertions and deletions and report read counts supporting the presence of the identified variants. Examples of germline or somatic callers can include, without limitation, CRISP, SNVer, Platypus, Breakmer, Gustaf, GATK, VarScan, VarScan2, Somatic Sniper and SAMTools. Variant calling software can include CNV identifiers, which identify copy number changes. Examples of CNV identifiers can include, without limitation, CNVnator, RDXplorer, CONTRA, and ExomeCNV. Variant calling software can include structural variant identifiers, which identify larger insertions, deletions, inversions, inter- and intra-chromosomal translocations in DNA-seq data, or fusion products in RNA-seq data. Examples of structural variant identifiers can include, without limitation, BreakDancer, Breakpointer, ChimeraScan, DeFuse, Delly, CLEVER, EBARDenovo, FusionAnalyser,

FusionCatcher, FusionHunter, FusionMap, Fusion Seq, GASBPro, JAFFA, PRADA, SOAPFuse, SOAPfusion, SVMerge, and TopHat-Fusion.

[0083] Machine executable code may comprise one or more algorithms. The one or more algorithms may be used to implement the methods of the disclosure. One or more algorithm can comprise a feature counting algorithm. The feature counting algorithm can be utilized to compute the maximum, minimum or average read depth within each region of a given region list. The output of the feature counting algorithm may be utilized to compute the certainty in the absence of the variant and to confirm the certainty in the presence of the variant. One or more algorithm can comprise a reference builder algorithm. The reference builder algorithm can convert the variants selected by the user for the inclusion in the test panel into chromosomal locations (i.e., a genetic address). One or more algorithm can comprise a quality scoring algorithm. The quality scoring algorithm can assign a confidence score between 1 and 100% to the absence or presence call for each variant based on quality inputs. One or more algorithm can comprise a direct mining algorithm. The direct mining algorithm can utilize a reference sequence in the vicinity of the variant on the test panel to query the raw read data and assemble the evidence to support the presence or absence of the variant.

Computer Systems

[0084] The systems of the disclosure may comprise one or more computer systems. **FIG. 1** shows a computer system (also “system” herein) **101** programmed or otherwise configured to implement the methods of the disclosure, such as receiving sequencing data and classifying the presence or absence of genetic variants. The system **101** includes a central processing unit (CPU, also “processor” and “computer processor” herein) **105**, which can be a single core or multi core processor, or a plurality of processors for parallel processing. The system **101** also includes memory **110** (e.g., random-access memory, read-only memory, flash memory), electronic storage unit **115** (e.g., hard disk), communications interface **120** (e.g., network adapter) for communicating with one or more other systems, and peripheral devices **125**, such as cache, other memory, data storage and/or electronic display adapters. The memory **110**, storage unit **115**, interface **120** and peripheral devices **125** are in communication with the CPU **105** through a communications bus (solid lines), such as a motherboard. The storage unit **115** can be a data storage unit (or data repository) for storing data. The system **101** is operatively coupled to a computer network (“network”) **130** with the aid of the communications interface **120**. The network **130** can be the Internet, an internet and/or extranet, or an intranet and/or extranet that is in communication with the Internet. The network **130** in some cases is a telecommunication and/or data network. The network **130**

can include one or more computer servers, which can enable distributed computing, such as cloud computing. The network **130** in some cases, with the aid of the system **101**, can implement a peer-to-peer network, which may enable devices coupled to the system **101** to behave as a client or a server.

[0085] The system **101** is in communication with a processing system **140**. The processing system **140** can be configured to implement the methods disclosed herein, such as mapping sequencing data to a reference sequence or assigning a classification to a genetic variant. The processing system **140** can be in communication with the system **101** through the network **130**, or by direct (e.g., wired, wireless) connection. The processing system **140** can be configured for analysis, such as nucleic acid sequence analysis.

[0086] Methods and systems as described herein can be implemented by way of machine (or computer processor) executable code (or software) stored on an electronic storage location of the system **101**, such as, for example, on the memory **110** or electronic storage unit **115**. During use, the code can be executed by the processor **105**. In some examples, the code can be retrieved from the storage unit **115** and stored on the memory **110** for ready access by the processor **105**. In some situations, the electronic storage unit **115** can be precluded, and machine-executable instructions are stored on memory **110**.

[0087] The code can be pre-compiled and configured for use with a machine having a processor adapted to execute the code, can be compiled during runtime or can be interpreted during runtime. The code can be supplied in a programming language that can be selected to enable the code to execute in a pre-compiled, as-compiled or interpreted fashion.

[0088] Aspects of the systems and methods provided herein can be embodied in programming. Various aspects of the technology may be thought of as “products” or “articles of manufacture” typically in the form of machine (or processor) executable code and/or associated data that is carried on or embodied in a type of machine readable medium. Machine-executable code can be stored on an electronic storage unit, such as memory (e.g., read-only memory, random-access memory, flash memory) or a hard disk. “Storage” type media can include any or all of the tangible memory of the computers, processors or the like, or associated modules thereof, such as various semiconductor memories, tape drives, disk drives and the like, which may provide non-transitory storage at any time for the software programming. All or portions of the software may at times be communicated through the Internet or various other telecommunication networks. Such communications, for example, may enable loading of the software from one computer or processor into another, for example, from a management server or host computer into the computer platform of an

application server. Thus, another type of media that may bear the software elements includes optical, electrical and electromagnetic waves, such as used across physical interfaces between local devices, through wired and optical landline networks and over various air-links. The physical elements that carry such waves, such as wired or wireless links, optical links or the like, also may be considered as media bearing the software. As used herein, unless restricted to non-transitory, tangible “storage” media, terms such as computer or machine “readable medium” refer to any medium that participates in providing instructions to a processor for execution.

[0089] Hence, a machine readable medium, such as computer-executable code, may take many forms, including but not limited to, a tangible storage medium, a carrier wave medium or physical transmission medium. Non-volatile storage media include, for example, optical or magnetic disks, such as any of the storage devices in any computer(s) or the like, such as may be used to implement the databases, etc. Volatile storage media include dynamic memory, such as main memory of such a computer platform. Tangible transmission media include coaxial cables; copper wire and fiber optics, including the wires that comprise a bus within a computer system. Carrier-wave transmission media may take the form of electric or electromagnetic signals, or acoustic or light waves such as those generated during radio frequency (RF) and infrared (IR) data communications. Common forms of computer-readable media therefore include for example: a floppy disk, a flexible disk, hard disk, magnetic tape, any other magnetic medium, a CD-ROM, DVD or DVD-ROM, any other optical medium, punch cards paper tape, any other physical storage medium with patterns of holes, a RAM, a ROM, a PROM and EPROM, a FLASH-EPROM, any other memory chip or cartridge, a carrier wave transporting data or instructions, cables or links transporting such a carrier wave, or any other medium from which a computer may read programming code and/or data. Many of these forms of computer readable media may be involved in carrying one or more sequences of one or more instructions to a processor for execution.

[0090] The computer system **101** can include or be in communication with an electronic display that comprises a user interface (UI) for providing, for example, a customizable menu of genetic variants that can be analyzed by the methods of the disclosure. Examples of UI's include, without limitation, a graphical user interface (GUI) and web-based user interface.

[0091] In some embodiments, the system **101** includes a display to provide visual information to a user. In some embodiments, the display is a cathode ray tube (CRT). In some embodiments, the display is a liquid crystal display (LCD). In further embodiments, the display is a thin film transistor liquid crystal display (TFT-LCD). In some embodiments, the

display is an organic light emitting diode (OLED) display. In various further embodiments, on OLED display is a passive-matrix OLED (PMOLED) or active-matrix OLED (AMOLED) display. In some embodiments, the display is a plasma display. In other embodiments, the display is a video projector. In still further embodiments, the display is a combination of devices such as those disclosed herein. The display may provide one or more biomedical reports to an end-user as generated by the methods described herein.

[0092] In some embodiments, the system **101** includes an input device to receive information from a user. In some embodiments, the input device is a keyboard. In some embodiments, the input device is a pointing device including, by way of non-limiting examples, a mouse, trackball, track pad, joystick, game controller, or stylus. In some embodiments, the input device is a touch screen or a multi-touch screen. In other embodiments, the input device is a microphone to capture voice or other sound input. In other embodiments, the input device is a video camera to capture motion or visual input. In still further embodiments, the input device is a combination of devices such as those disclosed herein.

[0093] The system **101** can include or be operably coupled to one or more databases. The databases may comprise genomic, proteomic, pharmacogenomic, biomedical, and scientific databases. The databases may be publicly available databases. Alternatively, or additionally, the databases may comprise proprietary databases. The databases may be commercially available databases. The databases include, but are not limited to, MendelDB, PharmGKB, Varimed, Regulome, curated BreakSeq junctions, Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM), Human Genome Mutation Database (HGMD), NCBI dbSNP, NCBI RefSeq, GENCODE, GO (gene ontology), and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG).

[0094] Data can be produced and/or transmitted in a geographic location that comprises the same country as the user of the data. Data can be, for example, produced and/or transmitted from a geographic location in one country and a user of the data can be present in a different country. In some cases, the data accessed by a system of the disclosure can be transmitted from one of a plurality of geographic locations to a user. Data can be transmitted back and forth among a plurality of geographic locations, for example, by a network, a secure network, an insecure network, an internet, or an intranet.

User Interface

[0095] The system may comprise one or more user interfaces. The one or more user interfaces may be utilized to perform all or a portion of the methods disclosed herein. A user may select genetic variants to be queried prior to ordering the genetic test or the genetic variants may be selected after ordering the genetic test. A user of the methods can be, for

example, a patient, a health-care provider, or a clinical laboratory (i.e., CLIA certified). In some cases, a first set of genetic variants may be selected for a first genetic test, and a second set of genetic variants may be later selected for a second genetic test. The second genetic test may comprise reanalyzing the sequencing data utilized for the first genetic test, analyzing new sequencing data, or analyzing a combination of both. The genetic variants selected for the second genetic test may be selected based on the analysis of the first genetic test. For example, a first clinically actionable variant identified in the first genetic test may indicate that the sequencing data should be analyzed for the presence or absence of a second clinically actionable variant. The healthcare provider or patient may select a panel of genetic variants for screening through a user interface. The panel of variants may be a plurality of variants grouped by disease type or subtype, phenotype, and the like. The panel of variants may comprise a plurality of clinically actionable variants known to be associated with a particular disease or phenotype. In some cases, the panel can be pre-set or pre-determined. Each set of variants can be customized and tailored to the patient's needs. For example, a user may select an entire pre-set panel of variants, may deselect one or more variants from the pre-set panel, or may add additional variants of interest to the pre-set panel. The additional variants may be variants that are associated with the disease or phenotype of the selected panel, or may be variants that are associated with a different disease or phenotype. A panel of variants may be updated based on scientific literature, genome studies, databases, and the like. For example, a variant may be added to the panel if the variant was previously classified as a variant of unknown significance (VUS) but has since been reclassified as a clinically actionable variant. Likewise, a variant may be removed from the panel if a clinically actionable variant is reclassified as benign.

[0096] The methods and systems as disclosed can utilize a pre-defined set of clinically actionable variants that can be assembled from one or more database, online source or published source. Non-limiting examples of published sources can include NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology, ESMO Oncology Clinical Practice Guidelines, AMP Clinical Practice Guidelines, and CAP IASLC AMP Molecular Testing Guidelines. Non-limiting examples of online sources can include the FDA Table of Pharmacogenomic Biomarkers in Drug Labeling (<http://fda.gov/Drugs/ScienceResearch/ResearchAreas/Pharmacogenetics/ucm083378.htm>) and the NCI Exceptional Responder Initiative database. Other non-limiting examples of databases can include MyCancerGenome (<http://mycancergenome.com>), PharmGKB (<http://pharmgkb.org>), MD Anderson Personalized Cancer Therapy Knowledge Base for

Precision Oncology (<http://pct.mdanderson.org>). Other non-limiting examples of sources can include the clinical learning systems at major cancer centers, including IBM Watson and ASCO CancerLINQ. In some cases, the clinically actionable variant is a clinically actionable variant selected from Table 1.

Performance

[0097] The methods and systems as disclosed herein can be utilized to improve the performance of identifying and/or classifying variants. The methods and systems disclosed herein can identify and/or classify genetic variants with a specificity of about or greater than about 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 76%, 77%, 78%, 79%, 80%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99%, or 99.5. The methods and systems disclosed herein can identify and/or classify genetic variants with a sensitivity of about or greater than about 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 76%, 77%, 78%, 79%, 80%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99%, or 99.5. The methods and systems disclosed herein can identify and/or classify genetic variants with a positive predictive value of about or at least about 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, 95.5%, 96%, 96.5%, 97%, 97.5%, 98%, 98.5%, 99%, 99.5% or more. The methods and systems disclosed herein can identify and/or classify genetic variants with a negative predictive value of about or at least about 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, 95.5%, 96%, 96.5%, 97%, 97.5%, 98%, 98.5%, 99%, 99.5% or more.

[0098] The methods and systems disclosed herein may increase the sensitivity when compared to the sensitivity of current methods. The methods and systems as described herein may increase the sensitivity by at least about 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 5%, 5.5%, 6%, 6.5%, 7%, 7.5%, 8%, 8.5%, 9%, 9.5%, 10%, 10.5%, 11%, 12%, 13%, 14%, 15%, 16%, 17%, 18%, 19%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 35%, 40%, 45%, 50%, 55%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, 97% or more. The methods and systems as described herein may increase the specificity by at least about 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 5%, 5.5%, 6%, 6.5%, 7%, 7.5%, 8%, 8.5%, 9%, 9.5%, 10%, 10.5%, 11%, 12%, 13%, 14%, 15%, 16%, 17%, 18%, 19%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 35%, 40%, 45%, 50%, 55%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, 97% or more.

[0099] The methods and systems disclosed herein may identify variants with a mutation allelic fraction of at least 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 5%, 6%, 7%, 8%, 9%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 35%, 40%, 45%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99% or more. In some cases, classifying has a sensitivity of at least 99%. In some cases classifying has a specificity of at least 99%. In some examples, each variant, when classified as present, has a mutant allele fraction of at least 5%. In other cases, each variant, when classified as present,

has a mutant allele fraction of at least 10%. In some cases, classifying has a positive predictive value of at least 99%.

[00100] In some cases, the methods of the disclosure may be used to decrease the frequency of or eliminate false negatives (the inaccurately called “absence” of a genetic variant) in a sequencing data set as compared to alternative methods. The methods disclosed herein may decrease the frequency of false negatives as compared to alternative methods by about 1%, about 2%, about 3%, about 4%, about 5%, about 6%, about 7%, about 8%, about 9%, about 10%, about 20%, about 30%, about 40%, about 50%, about 60%, about 70%, about 80%, about 90%, about 91%, about 92%, about 93%, about 94%, about 95%, about 96%, about 97%, about 98%, about 99%, or about 100%. Additionally or alternatively, the methods of the disclosure may be used to decrease the frequency of or eliminate false positives in a sequencing data set as compared to alternative methods. The methods disclosed herein may decrease the frequency of false positives as compared to alternative methods by about 1%, about 2%, about 3%, about 4%,a about 5%, about 6%, about 7%, about 8%, about 9%, about 10%, about 20%, about 30%, about 40%, about 50%, about 60%, about 70%, about 80%, about 90%, about 91%, about 92%, about 93%, about 94%, about 95%, about 96%, about 97%, about 98%, about 99%, or about 100%.

EXAMPLES

[00101] The following examples are given for the purpose of illustrating various embodiments of the invention and are not meant to limit the present invention in any fashion. The present examples, along with the methods described herein are presently representative of preferred embodiments, are exemplary, and are not intended as limitations on the scope of the invention. Changes therein and other uses which are encompassed within the spirit of the invention as defined by the scope of the claims will occur to those skilled in the art.

Example 1. Identifying genetic variants in a cohort of cancer samples

[00102] Sequencing will soon be an essential tool in the diagnostic workup of solid tumors. Of the more than 700 oncology drugs in the clinical development pipeline, 73% are expected to require a biomarker. Improved software systems are needed to manage the complexity of multiple-marker testing. A software system was built that would reliably deliver concordant results across variations in cancer type, tissue preservation, and target enrichment with high-performance, medical-grade analytics that could be readily validated and integrated into the solid tumor workflow at most pathology laboratories.

[00103] 54 samples, from 5 different laboratories' published data, were chosen to represent a diverse mix of processing conditions and tumor types. The criterion for selection was the presence of one or more actionable variants in AKT, ALK, BRAF, BRCA1, CDKN2A, EGFR, KRAS, NRAS, PIK3CA, PIK3R1 or PTEN. 37 samples were from patient tumors, including lung, colon, esophageal and cancer of unknown primary, of which 18 were FFPE. 9 samples from circulating tumor cells (CTCs) were included, along with a dilution series of 8 cell line samples commonly used for laboratory validation. This study was performed using tumor-only data. The New Software System under evaluation was developed independently, configured with a pre-defined Test Panel of 156 variants, and then locked for the duration of the study. Identity-masked FASTQ files were processed as a single batch. The results were unmasked for comparison to the original published source.

[00104] The New Software System identified all actionable variants in 36 of 37 patient tumors, missing only 1 of 2 variants in a single sample. All of the cell line dilution series were correctly reported. 5 of the 9 samples were correctly reported in the CTC series, the remaining samples had 1 missed variant. With read depth below 30x, the missed calls in the CTC series point to inconsistent read depth as the cause for uneven performance in this specimen type. Across all patient tumor samples, successful calls had read depths of 50x to 2800x, suggesting a functional limit of detection of 50x. The New Software System demonstrated high concordance with cell line and patient solid tumor samples, both FFPE and frozen.

Example 2. User selection of variant panel

[00105] A user (i.e., healthcare practitioner or clinical laboratory) accesses a user portal of the disclosure. The user is presented with a menu of clinically actionable variants that can be selected for querying. The user can select a pre-set or pre-defined variant panel that comprises a plurality of clinically actionable variants related to a particular disease (e.g., prostate cancer). The user determines that two of the clinically actionable variants in the panel are not of interest and deselects or removes the two clinically actionable variants from the panel. The user also adds to the panel three genetic variants that have been recently described in a scientific publication as being correlated with treatment response in prostate cancer. The user saves the panel selection and transmits the panel selection to the server. The user uploads two FASTQ file formats to the server comprising target-enriched sequencing data of a patient suffering from prostate cancer. The computer processor identifies genomic regions of the sequencing data that contain the genetic addresses of the clinically actionable variants defined in the test panel. The computer processor identifies the

presence or absence of each of the clinically actionable variants based on the methods of the disclosure. The computer processor generates a report listing the classification of each of the clinically actionable variants as well as treatment recommendations. The server transmits the report to the user portal for viewing by the user.

Example 3. A new software system demonstrating high concordance in study with multi-laboratory data.

[00106] Sequencing will soon be an essential tool in the diagnostic workup of solid tumors. Of the more than 700 oncology drugs in the clinical development pipeline, 73% are expected to require a biomarker. Improved software systems are needed to manage the complexity of multiple-marker testing.

[00107] A new software system was constructed that would reliably deliver concordant results across variations in cancer type, tissue preservation, and target enrichment with high-performance, medical-grade analytics that could be readily validated and integrated into the solid tumor workflow at most pathology laboratories. Briefly described are findings from an initial verification study.

[00108] The goals of the study were to evaluate whether a single, standard analytic core can deliver consistent performance with data representing the broad range of conditions expected in clinical use: various tissue types and preservation; and multiple laboratories, protocols, and instruments; to evaluate whether our novel analytics, using tumor-only data, can provide equivalent results to more costly tumor-normal analytics; and to assess performance of the New Software System across a range of read depths. Common practice requires analytics “tuned” to a single laboratory protocol and instrument, so protocol changes can be highly disruptive. Further, common practice uses tumor-normal paired samples which may double the cost of testing.

[00109] Fifty-four (54) samples from five (5) different laboratories’ published data were chosen to represent a diverse mix of processing conditions and tumor types as depicted in **Table 2**. The criterion for selection was the presence of one or more actionable variants in AKT, ALK, BRAF, BRCA1, CDKN2A, EGFR, KRAS, NRAS, PIK3CA, PIK3R1 or PTEN. This study was performed using tumor-only data as depicted in **Table 3**.

Table 2. Processing conditions at 5 laboratories

Lab	Target Enrichment	Sequencer
Site 1	SureSelect Custom	Illumina Genome Analyzer IIx
Site 2	SureSelect All Exon 50MB	Illumina HiSeq 2000
Site 3	SureSelect Custom	Illumina HiSeq 2000
Site 4	Integrated DNA Technology, custom	Illumina HiSeq 2000
Site 5	SureSelect All Exon v4	Illumina HiSeq 2000

Table 3. Sample processing conditions

Tumor Type	Preservation Method	# of Samples
NSCLC	FFPE	3
NSCLC CTC	Fresh	9
Colon	Fresh Frozen	19
Esophageal	FFPE	10
CUP	FFPE	5
LU Cancer Cell Line	Fresh	8
		Total: 54

[00110] The New Software System under evaluation was developed independently, configured with a predefined Test Panel of 156 variants, and then locked for the duration of the study. Identity-masked FASTQ files were processed as a single batch. The results were unmasked for comparison to the original published source. **FIG. 6** illustrates a workflow of the study design.

[00111] As depicted in **Table 4** and **FIG. 7**, the New Software System identified all actionable variants in 36 of 37 patient tumors, missing only 1 of 2 variants in a single sample. All of the cell line dilution series were correctly reported. 5 of the 9 samples were correctly reported in the circulating tumor cell (CTC) series and the remaining samples had 1 missed variant. The 4 CTC samples with missed calls (Sample 46, Sample 49, Sample 51, and Sample 52), had read depths of <5x, <5x, 5x and 25x, respectively, at the putative variant location. These results establish a lower bound on the functional limit of detection. Read

depths below 30x provide insufficient data to identify a variant at the designated location in these samples.

[00112] Sample 14 and Sample 31 were found to have amino acid substitutions in KRAS codon 12, which was misreported in the original publication. A detailed look at the reads in the KRAS codon 12 showed that Sample14 carried a double mutation CC → AA, producing a G → F amino acid substitution. The results produced by the New Software System were verified using Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) and Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (VEP).

Table 4. Results

TRUTH as Published				New Software System – Unmasked Results		
Site 1	Sample 1	CO	BRAF.V600E	22%	330x	BRAF.V600E
Site 1	Sample 2	CO	BRAF.V600E	34%	200x	BRAF.V600E
Site 1	Sample 3	CO	BRAF.V600E	28%	130x	BRAF.V600E
Site 1	Sample 4	CO	KRAS.G12S, PIK3CA.E542K	53%, 32%	520x, 330x	KRAS.G12S, PIK3CA.E542K
Site 1	Sample 5	CO	KRAS.G12C	20%	220x	KRAS.G12C
Site 1	Sample 6	CO	KRAS.G12D, PIK3R1.R358X, AKT.E17K	20%, 24%, 27%	530x, 390x, 50x	KRAS.G12D, PIK3R1.R358X, AKT.E17K
Site 1	Sample 7	CO	KRAS.G12C	31%	290x	KRAS.G12C
Site 1	Sample 8	CO	KRAS.G12D	22%	640x	KRAS.G12D
Site 1	Sample 9	CO	KRAS.G12V	21%	200x	KRAS.G12V
Site 1	Sample 10	CO	KRAS.G12D	32%	220x	KRAS.G12D
Site 1	Sample 11	CO	KRAS.G12A, BRCA1.N1067Y	27%, 57%	170x, 150x	KRAS.G12A, BRCA1.N1067Y
Site 1	Sample 12	CO	KRAS.G12V, PIK3CA.E542K	41%, 24%	240x, 110x	KRAS.G12V, PIK3CA.E542K
Site 1	Sample 13	CO	KRAS.A146T	65%	260x	KRAS.A146T
Site 1	Sample 14	CO	KRAS.G12N	24%	100x	KRAS.G12F*
Site 1	Sample 15	CO	KRAS.Q61H	21%	200x	KRAS.Q61H
Site 1	Sample 16	CO	NRAS.Q61K	47%	200x	NRAS.Q61K
Site 1	Sample 17	CO	NRAS.G12D	25%	250x	NRAS.G12D
Site 1	Sample 18	CO	PIK3CA.E545K	27%	420x	PIK3CA.E545K
Site 1	Sample 19	CO	none	n/a	n/a	none
Site 2	Sample 20	ESCC	PIK3CA.E542K	52%	125x	PIK3CA.E542K
Site 2	Sample 21	ESCC	PIK3CA.E545K	40%	270x	PIK3CA.E545K

Site 2	Sample 22	ESCC	PIK3CA.E545K	14%	160x	PIK3CA.E545K
Site 2	Sample 23	ESCC	PIK3CA.E545K	23%	110x	PIK3CA.E545K
Site 2	Sample 24	ESCC	PIK3CA.E545K	42%	170x	PIK3CA.E545K
Site 2	Sample 25	ESCC	PIK3CA.H1047R	50%	680x	PIK3CA.H1047R
Site 2	Sample 26	ESCC	PIK3CA.H1047R	12%	230x	PIK3CA.H1047R
Site 2	Sample 27	ESCC	PIK3CA.H1047L	29%	210x	PIK3CA.H1047L
Site 2	Sample 28	ESCC	CDKNA2.W110X	25%	25x	CDKNA2.W110X
Site 2	Sample 29	ESCC	none	n/a	n/a	none
Site 3	Sample 30	CUP	KRAS.G12C	33%	1570x	KRAS.G12C
Site 3	Sample 31	CUP	KRAS.G12C	43%	1070x	KRAS.G12A*
Site 3	Sample 32	CUP	PIK3CA.E545K	31%	1430x	PIK3CA.E545K
Site 3	Sample 33	CUP	CDKNA2.W110X	32%	170x	CDKNA2.W110X
Site 3	Sample 34	CUP	AKT.E17K	49%	260x	AKT.E17K
Site 4	Sample 35	LU Cancer Cell Line	KRAS.G12S	96%	390x	KRAS.G12S
Site 4	Sample 36	LU Cancer Cell Line	KRAS.G12C	96%	270x	KRAS.G12C
Site 4	Sample 37	LU Cancer Cell Line	KRAS.G12C	97%	880x	KRAS.G12C
Site 4	Sample 38	LU Cancer Cell Line	KRAS.G12C	73%	620x	KRAS.G12C
Site 4	Sample 39	LU Cancer Cell Line	KRAS.G12C	51%	520x	KRAS.G12C
Site 4	Sample 40	LU Cancer Cell Line	BRAF.G469A	97%	540x	BRAF.G469A
Site 4	Sample 41	LU Cancer Cell Line	BRAF.G469A	42%	480x	BRAF.G469A
Site 4	Sample 42	LU Cancer Cell Line	BRAF.G469A	20%	680x	BRAF.G469A
Site 5	Sample 43	NSCLC	EGFR.E746del	37%	310x	EGFR.E746del
Site 5	Sample 44	NSCLC	EGFR.E746del, PIK3CA.E545K	93%, 51%	160x, 95x	EGFR.E746del, PIK3CA.E545K
Site 5	Sample 45	NSCLC	NRAS.Q61K	46%	150x	NRAS.Q61K
Site 5	Sample 46	NSCLC CTC	EGFR.E746del, PIK3CA.E545K	75%	<5x, 15x	EGFR.E746none, PIK3CA.E545K
Site 5	Sample 47	NSCLC CTC	EGFR.E746del, PIK3CA.E545K	100%, 85%	40x, 55x	EGFR.E746del, PIK3CA.E545K
Site 5	Sample 48	NSCLC CTC	EGFR.E746del, PIK3CA.E545K	100%, 100%	20x, 15x	EGFR.E746del, PIK3CA.E545K

Site 5	Sample 49	NSCLC CTC	EGFR.E746del, PIK3CA.E545K	81%	<5x, 15x	EGFR.E746none, PIK3CA.E545K
Site 5	Sample 50	NSCLC CTC	NRAS.Q61K	92%	30x	NRAS.Q61K
Site 5	Sample 51	NSCLC CTC	NRAS.Q61K	n/a	5x	NRAS.none
Site 5	Sample 52	NSCLC CTC	NRAS.Q61K	15%	25x	NRAS.Q61E
Site 5	Sample 53	NSCLC CTC	NRAS.Q61K	n/a	130x	NRAS.Q61K
Site 5	Sample 54	NSCLC CTC	NRAS.Q61K	11%	45x	NRAS.Q61K

*see explanation in description of results

[00113] The mismapping of variant to amino acid change, found in Sample 14 and Sample 31 is not uncommon in analytic pipelines designed for research use. These pipelines separate the variant calling from the effect prediction. In this way, effect prediction received insufficient information to recognize that two single nucleotide variants detected independently are present on the same reads, and thus share a codon with combined effect on the resultant amino acid.

[00114] Every sample with read depth greater than 30x was called accurately by the New Software System, including those samples with challenging variants misreported by the original publications. **FIG. 8** is a confusion matrix illustrating the performance of the algorithm.

[00115] In this initial verification study, the New Software System demonstrated high concordance with cell line and patient solid tumor samples, both formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) and frozen. The single, standard analytic core delivers consistent performance across the range of conditions expected in clinical use.

[00116] The algorithms in the New Software System enable tumor-only data to deliver results equivalent to more costly tumor-normal analytics. Accurate calls at read depths greater than 30x suggests that the generally accepted lower bound of 100x for clinical samples may be lowered when the New Software System is employed.

Example 4. An independent, variant-level assessment exposes gaps in probe design and coverage in sequencing-based EGFR testing.

[00117] EGFR inhibitors play an important role in the treatment of lung cancers with specific variants known to induce sensitivity or resistance to these targeted therapies. FDA-approved labels require testing for EGFR exon 19 deletions and exon 21 (L858R). The 2013 consensus guideline published by the Association for Medical Pathology (AMP), the College of American Pathologists (CAP) and the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC), and endorsed by the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), expanded this list to 26 EGFR variants, on exons 18, 19, 20, and 21, recommended for routine testing in lung adenocarcinomas.

[00118] Sequencing is often used in EGFR variant detection, but the method is sufficiently sensitive only if the processing protocol provides adequate coverage, or read depth, at the location where the variant is to be detected.

[00119] Whether the target enrichment protocols commonly used in sequencing-based testing provide consistent and adequate read depth at each of the Reportable Regions in the 2013 AMP/CAP/IASLC Guideline was assessed. To perform this assessment, a novel algorithm was built (CoverageFx), to perform a statistical assessment of read depth at each Reportable Region.

[00120] Data from 12 cohorts, sequenced by 11 different laboratories were chosen from published sources. Inclusion criteria were: 1) EGFR included in the target enrichment design; and 2) average read depth reported as 50x or greater.

[00121] The data included were generated using Illumina and Ion sequencers and target enrichment protocols from Agilent, Illumina, Ion and Raindance. Patient samples were from 10 different cancer types including lung, colon, breast, and melanoma. Each cohort was represented by 3-5 randomly chosen samples.

[00122] A total of 54 cancer patients samples sequenced at 11 different laboratories were obtained as FASTQ data files from publically available sources. These data were processed through the Farsight Analytic Core as described in Example 3. The results were grouped by cohort for post-processing using the CoverageFx algorithm to perform statistical assessment of read depth at each Reportable Region.

[00123] **Table 5** summarizes processing characteristics that most influence read depth for each of the 12 cohorts included in the study. These include the target enrichment method, sequencer, tumor type and method of sample preservation. Each sequencing laboratory included an assessment of overall read depth as described in their respective original

publications. The average local read depth for selected Reportable Regions is that computed by the CoverageFx algorithm. Across all EGFR Reportable Regions, the percent with average read depth below 100x is presented. For clinical use of sequencing data, a read depth of 100x is generally considered the minimum threshold at which a mutation present in 10% of tumor cells, in a biopsy containing as little as 20% tumor, can be detected.

[00124] The statistical analysis performed by the CoverageFx algorithm was presented as box and whisker plots, shown for each cohort (**FIG. 9**).

[00125] The local read depth evaluated by CoverageFx, as shown in **Table 5**, exposes a large number of individual Reportable Regions with read depth below the clinical threshold of 100x. Although these cohorts may not have been sequenced with clinical intent, the differences are greater than one might expect given what was reported in the original publication. For a plurality of the cohorts analyzed, the resistance-causing T790 variant may have been missed due to below average read depths in that Reportable Region.

Table 5. Summary of cohorts included in the summary.

Site	Target Enrichment	Sequencer	Tumor Type	Preservation Method	Overall Read Depth Reported in Original Publication	Average Local Read Depth at a Reportable Region				% of Reportable Regions with Average Read Depth <100x
						Exon 18 G719	Exon 19 E746	Exon 20 T790	Exon 21 L858	
Site 1	SureSelect All Exon v4	Illumina HiSeq 2000	Lung Adeno	FFPE	48-90x	242x	241x	171x	68x	33%
Site 2	SureSelect All Exon Plus v3 50Mb	Illumina HiSeq 2000	Bladder	FFPE	79x	50x	104x	58x	84x	63%
Site 3	SureSelect All Exon 50Mb	Illumina HiSeq 2000	Esophageal	FFPE	79x	54x	249x	100x	130x	19%
Site 4	SureSelect XT Exon 50Mb	Illumina HiSeq 2000	Lymphoma	Frozen	129x	80x	137x	92x	129x	11%
Site 5	SureSelect All Exon 44Mb	Illumina HiSeq 2000	Gastric	Frozen	103x	74x	131x	67x	109x	33%
Site 6	SureSelect All Exon v1	Illumina Genome Analyzer	Gastric	Frozen	93-103x	50x	115x	72x	36x	48%

		IIx								
Site 7	SureSelect Custom	Illumina HiSeq 2000	CUP	FFPE	458x	450x	1319x	201x	509x	7%
Site 8	SureSelect Custom	Illumina Genome Analyzer IIx	Colon	Frozen	100x-435x	32x	157x	68x	61x	30%
Site 9	TruSeq Exome	Illumina HiSeq 2000	Lung Adeno	Not reported	52x	41x	134x	47x	66x	48%
Site 10a	AmpliSeq Cancer Panel	Ion Torrent PGM	Melanoma, Lung Adeno	FFPE	290x-325x	882x	732x	575x	793x	0%
Site 10b	AmpliSeq Cancer Panel	Ion Torrent PGM	Colon	FFPE	235x-315x	255x	238x	189x	383x	0%
Site 11	Amplicon Custom	Illumina MiSeq	Breast	Frozen	1481x	1826x	1729x	3771x	1197x	0%

[00126] The broader statistical analysis performed by CoverageFx, as shown in the box and whisker plots for the 12 cohorts (**FIG. 9**), exposes otherwise hidden variation in read depth between Reportable Regions. For 8 of the 12 cohorts, differences are marked.

[00127] The EGFR exon 19 Reportable Region was consistently assessed at sufficient read depth across nearly all of the cohorts. This is not surprising, as exon 19 deletions are activating mutations that have been used for patient selection since early clinical trials, and are now on the labels of EGFR inhibitors. By contrast, exons 18, 20 and 21 were all under-sampled in key regions. The important Reportable Region in exon 20, T790, was measured at sufficient read depth in just 50% of the cohorts. On exon 21, the important L858 region, as well as exon 18 Reportable Regions were measured at sufficient read depth in only 42-58% of the cohorts. Important differences in target enrichment emerge, with marked improvement in read depth in exons 18, 20 and 21 of more recent versions of all exon target enrichment products.

[00128] This multi-cohort study demonstrates that average coverage alone is an inadequate, even misleading, quality measure in clinical sequencing. The CoverageFx algorithm used in this study exposed significant, unexpected variation in coverage across key Reportable Regions.

[00129] This study underscores the importance for laboratories performing sequencing-based testing to confirm read depth sufficiency at each reportable region. Such read depth

confirmation should be minimally performed at the time of test validation. Ideally, read depth should be confirmed for each Reportable Region with each patient report.

Example 5. Indication-specific reporting

[00130] A sequencing data input is received by the system of the disclosure. The sequencing data input can be from a sequencer (e.g., Illumina sequencer) or from a data repository. The system identifies the presence or absence of clinically actionable variants related to three different indications. Choosing indications that have a significant gene list overlap optimizes the cost of operating the system. A user (i.e., healthcare practitioner or clinical laboratory) accesses a user portal of the disclosure. The user has the option of selecting from three reports. Each of the three reports provides information related to the presence or absence of clinically actionable variants for a respective indication. The computer processor generates a report listing the classification of each of the clinically actionable variants as well as treatment recommendations. The server transmits the report to the user portal for viewing by the user.

Example 6. Dual output system

[00131] A user (i.e., healthcare practitioner or clinical laboratory) accesses a user portal of the disclosure. The user is presented with a menu of clinically actionable variants that can be selected for querying. The user can select a pre-set or pre-defined variant panel that comprises a plurality of clinically actionable variants related to a particular disease (e.g., prostate cancer). The user determines that two of the clinically actionable variants in the panel are not of interest and deselects or removes the two clinically actionable variants from the panel. The user also adds to the panel three genetic variants that have been recently described in a scientific publication as being correlated with treatment response in prostate cancer. The user further selects a plurality of genes/variants that are requested by a clinical trial sponsor. The user saves the panel selection and transmits the panel selection to the server. The user uploads two FASTQ file formats to the server comprising target-enriched sequencing data of a patient suffering from prostate cancer. The user optionally uploads a clinical trial eligibility report to the system which contains information related to the patient (e.g., biographical data, health risk assessment, etc). The computer processor identifies genomic regions of the sequencing data that contain the genetic addresses of the clinically actionable variants defined in the test panel. The computer processor identifies the presence or absence of each of the clinically actionable variants based on the methods of the disclosure. The computer processor generates a report listing the classification of each of the clinically actionable variants as well as treatment recommendations. The computer processor

generates a separate report listing the classification of the additional genes/variants requested by the clinical trial sponsor. The server transmits the combined report to the user portal for viewing by the user. The user can share access to the user portal with the clinical trial sponsor or can relay the report to the clinical trial sponsor.

Example 7. Parallel Analysis System

[00132] A user (i.e., healthcare practitioner or clinical laboratory) accesses a user portal of the disclosure. The user is presented with a menu of clinically actionable variants that can be selected for querying. The user can select a pre-set or pre-defined variant panel that comprises a plurality of clinically actionable variants related to a particular disease (e.g., prostate cancer). The user determines that two of the clinically actionable variants in the panel are not of interest and deselects or removes the two clinically actionable variants from the panel. The user also adds to the panel three genetic variants that have been recently described in a scientific publication as being correlated with treatment response in prostate cancer. The user saves the panel selection and transmits the panel selection to the server. The user uploads two FASTQ file formats to the server comprising target-enriched sequencing data of a patient suffering from prostate cancer. The computer processor identifies genomic regions of the sequencing data that contain the genetic addresses of the clinically actionable variants defined in the test panel. The computer processor identifies the presence or absence of each of the clinically actionable variants based on the methods of the disclosure. The system further utilizes a multi-marker algorithm designed by a third party. The computer processor generates a report listing the classification of each of the clinically actionable variants as well as treatment recommendations. The computer processor integrates computations using the multi-marker algorithm into the report. The server transmits both reports to the user portal for viewing by the user.

[00133] While preferred embodiments of the present invention have been shown and described herein, it will be obvious to those skilled in the art that such embodiments are provided by way of example only. Numerous variations, changes, and substitutions will now occur to those skilled in the art without departing from the invention. It should be understood that various alternatives to the embodiments of the invention described herein may be employed in practicing the invention. It is intended that the following claims define the scope of the invention and that methods and structures within the scope of these claims and their equivalents be covered thereby.

CLAIMS

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for detecting the presence or absence of a genetic variant, comprising:
 - (a) receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated from a nucleic acid sample from a subject;
 - (b) determining a presence or absence of said genetic variant from said sequencing data, wherein said determining comprises assigning a quality score to a genomic region comprising said genetic variant, wherein said assigning is performed by a computer processor;
 - (c) classifying said genetic variant based on said quality score to generate a classified genetic variant, and
 - (d) outputting a result based on said classifying, thereby identifying said classified genetic variant,
wherein said classifying further comprises classifying said genetic variant as present if said genetic variant is determined to be present and said quality score for said genomic region comprising said genetic variant is greater than a predetermined threshold,
wherein said classifying further comprises classifying said genetic variant as absent if said genetic variant is determined to be absent and said quality score for said genomic region comprising said genetic variant is greater than a predetermined threshold, and
wherein said classifying further comprises classifying said genetic variant as indeterminate if said quality score for said genomic region comprising said genetic variant is less than a predetermined threshold.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein said outputting a result comprises generating a report, wherein said report identifies said classified genetic variant.
3. The method of claim 1, further comprising mapping said sequencing data to a reference sequence.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein said reference sequence is a consensus reference sequence.
5. The method of claim 3, wherein said reference sequence is derived empirically from tumor sequencing data.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein said predetermined threshold comprises a depth of coverage of said genomic region comprising said genetic variant.
7. The method of claim 6, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 10X.
8. The method of claim 6, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 20X.
9. The method of claim 6, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 30X.
10. The method of claim 6, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 50X.
11. The method of claim 6, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 100X.
12. The method of claim 1, wherein said predetermined threshold comprises a confidence score.
13. The method of claim 12, wherein said confidence score is at least 95%.
14. The method of claim 12, wherein said confidence score is at least 99%.
15. The method of claim 1, wherein said genetic variant comprises a clinically actionable variant.
16. The method of claim 15, wherein said identifying said classified genetic variant further indicates a treatment for said subject based on said classified genetic variant.
17. The method of claim 16, wherein said subject is suffering from a disease.
18. The method of claim 17, wherein said disease is cancer.
19. The method of claim 17, wherein said subject is administered a treatment based on said result.
20. The method of claim 15, wherein said clinically actionable variant is in a gene that alters a response of said subject to a therapy.
21. The method of claim 20, wherein said gene is a cancer gene.
22. The method of claim 15, wherein a presence of a clinically actionable variant indicates said subject is a candidate for a specific therapy.
23. The method of claim 15, wherein an absence of a clinically actionable variant indicates said subject is not a candidate for a specific therapy.
24. The method of claim 1, wherein said nucleic acid sample is derived from blood or saliva.
25. The method of claim 1, wherein said nucleic acid sample is derived from a solid tumor.
26. The method of claim 1, wherein said nucleic acid sample is genomic DNA.
27. The method of claim 26, wherein said genomic DNA is tumor DNA.
28. The method of claim 1, wherein said nucleic acid sample is RNA.

29. The method of claim 28, wherein said RNA is tumor RNA.
30. The method of claim 1, wherein said nucleic acid sample is derived from circulating tumor cells.
31. The method of claim 1, wherein said nucleic acid sample comprises cell-free nucleic acids.
32. The method of claim 1, wherein said genetic variant is a gene amplification, an insertion, a deletion, a translocation or a single nucleotide polymorphism.
33. The method of claim 1, wherein said sequencing data comprises target-enriched sequencing data.
34. The method of claim 33, wherein said target-enriched sequencing data comprises whole exome sequencing data.
35. The method of claim 1, wherein said sequencing data comprises whole genome sequencing data.
36. The method of claim 1, wherein said classifying has a sensitivity of at least 99%.
37. The method of claim 1, wherein said classifying has a specificity of at least 99%.
38. The method of claim 1, wherein said genetic variant, when classified as present, has a mutant allele fraction of at least 5%.
39. The method of claim 1, wherein said genetic variant, when classified as present, has a mutant allele fraction of at least 10%.
40. The method of claim 1, wherein said classifying has a positive predictive value of at least 99%.
41. The method of claim 1, wherein said quality score is based on at least one of a depth of coverage, a mapping quality, or a base call quality.
42. The method of claim 41, wherein said quality score is empirically determined.
43. The method of claim 1, further comprising transmitting said result over a network.
44. The method of claim 43, wherein said network is the Internet.
45. The method of claim 1, further comprising, prior to step (a), sequencing said nucleic acid sample from said subject to generate said sequencing data.
46. The method of claim 1, further comprising requering said sequencing data to determine a presence or an absence of one or more additional genetic variants, comprising assigning a quality score to each of one or more genomic regions

comprising said one or more additional genetic variants, wherein said quality score is classified as sufficient if said quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold and wherein said quality score is classified as insufficient if said quality score is lower than a predetermined threshold.

47. The method of claim 1, wherein said quality score is determined by a total read depth at a specific location of said genetic variant, a proportion of reads containing said genetic variant, the mean quality of non-variant base calls at said location of said genetic variant, and the difference in mean quality for variant base calls.

48. The method of claim 47, wherein said quality score is determined by a machine learning algorithm.

49. The method of claim 1, wherein said method is utilized as a clinical diagnostic.

50. A method of modifying a sequencing protocol, comprising:

- (a) receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated by said sequencing protocol;
- (b) determining a presence or absence of a genetic variant from said sequencing data, wherein said determining comprises assigning a quality score to a genomic region comprising said genetic variant, wherein said assigning is performed by a computer processor;
- (c) classifying said genetic variant based on said quality score to generate a classified genetic variant;
- (d) outputting a result based on said classifying, thereby identifying said classified genetic variant,

wherein said genetic variant is classified as present if said genetic variant is determined to be present and said quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold;

wherein said genetic variant is classified as absent if said genetic variant is determined to be absent and said quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold; and

wherein a modification to said sequencing protocol is made if said quality score is lower than a predetermined threshold.

51. The method of claim 50, wherein said outputting a result comprises generating a report, wherein said report identifies said classified genetic variant.

52. The method of claim 50, further comprising mapping said sequencing data to a reference sequence.
53. The method of claim 52, wherein said reference sequence is a consensus reference sequence.
54. The method of claim 52, wherein said reference sequence is derived empirically from tumor sequencing data.
55. The method of claim 50, wherein said genetic variant is a clinically actionable variant.
56. The method of claim 55, wherein said clinically actionable variant is in a gene that alters a response of said subject to a therapy.
57. The method of claim 50, wherein said modification to said sequencing protocol comprises a modification to at least one of a probe, a primer, or a reaction condition.
58. The method of claim 50, wherein said report is generated in real-time.
59. The method of claim 50, wherein said predetermined threshold comprises a depth of coverage of said genomic region comprising said genetic variant.
60. The method of claim 59, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 10X.
61. The method of claim 59, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 20X.
62. The method of claim 59, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 30X.
63. The method of claim 59, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 50X.
64. The method of claim 59, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 100X.
65. The method of claim 50, wherein said predetermined threshold comprises a confidence score.
66. The method of claim 65, wherein said confidence score is at least 95%.
67. The method of claim 65, wherein said confidence score is at least 99%.
68. The method of claim 50, wherein said quality score is based on at least one of a depth of coverage, a mapping quality, or a base call quality.
69. The method of claim 68, wherein said quality score is empirically determined.
70. The method of claim 50, wherein said sequencing data is generated from a nucleic acid.
71. The method of claim 70, wherein said nucleic acid is genomic DNA.
72. The method of claim 50, wherein said sequencing protocol comprises a target-enrichment protocol.

73. The method of claim 72, wherein said target-enrichment protocol comprises at least one of target-specific primers and target-specific probes.
74. The method of claim 73, wherein said modification comprises a modification to at least one of said target-specific primers and said target-specific probes.
75. The method of claim 50, further comprising receiving a second data input comprising second sequencing data generated from said modified sequencing protocol.
76. The method of claim 75, wherein said modification to said sequencing protocol is determined by said result.
77. The method of claim 50, further comprising, prior to step (a), sequencing said nucleic acid sample from said subject to generate said sequencing data.
78. The method of claim 77, wherein said sequencing reaction is performed on a nucleic acid sample comprising said genetic variant.
79. The method of claim 78, wherein said nucleic acid sample is isolated from a subject.
80. The method of claim 79, wherein said subject is suffering from a disease.
81. The method of claim 80, wherein said disease is cancer.
82. The method of claim 77, further comprising enriching for a nucleic acid sequence comprising said genetic variant prior to said sequencing reaction.
83. The method of claim 82, wherein said enriching comprises hybridizing at least one target-specific probe to said nucleic acid sequence comprising said genetic variant.
84. The method of claim 82, wherein said enriching comprises amplifying said nucleic acid sequence comprising said genetic variant.
85. The method of claim 84, wherein said amplifying comprises hybridizing target-specific primers to said nucleic acid sample comprising said genetic variant.
86. The method of claim 83, wherein said genetic variant is in an exon.
87. The method of claim 50, further comprising transmitting said result over a network.
88. The method of claim 87, wherein said network is the Internet.
89. A system for reporting the presence or absence of a genetic variant, comprising:
- (a) at least one memory location configured to receive a data input comprising sequencing data generated from a nucleic acid sample from a subject;

(b) a computer processor operably coupled to said at least one memory location, wherein said computer processor is programmed to (i) determine a presence or absence of said genetic variant from said sequencing data, wherein said determining comprises assigning a quality score to a genomic region comprising said genetic variant to generate a classified genetic variant based on said quality score; and (ii) generate an output, wherein said output identifies said classified genetic variant;

wherein said genetic variant is classified as present if said genetic variant is determined to be present and said quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold,

wherein said genetic variant is classified as absent if said genetic variant is determined to be absent and said quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold, and

wherein said genetic variant is classified as indeterminate if said quality score is less than a predetermined threshold.

90. The system of claim 89, wherein said output comprises a report identifying said classified genetic variant.

91. The system of claim 90, wherein said report is delivered to a user interface for display.

92. The system of claim 89, wherein said computer processor is programmed to map said sequencing data to a reference sequence.

93. The system of claim 92, wherein said reference sequence is a consensus reference sequence.

94. The system of claim 92, wherein said reference sequence is derived empirically from tumor sequencing data.

95. The system of claim 94, wherein said genetic variant is a clinically actionable variant.

96. The system of claim 95, wherein said clinically actionable variant is in a gene that alters a response of said subject to a therapy.

97. The system of claim 96, wherein said report recommends a treatment based on said classified genetic variant.

98. The system of claim 89, wherein said quality score is determined by at least one of depth of coverage, mapping quality, and base read quality.

99. The system of claim 98, wherein said quality score is empirically determined.

100. The system of claim 89, wherein said subject is suffering from a disease.

101. The system of claim 100, wherein said disease is cancer.
102. The system of claim 89, wherein said subject is predisposed to cancer.
103. The system of claim 89, wherein said sequencing data comprises target-enriched sequencing data.
104. The system of claim 103, wherein said target-enriched sequencing data comprises whole exome sequencing data.
105. The system of claim 103, wherein said target-enriched sequencing data is generated from a target-enrichment sequencing protocol.
106. The system of claim 105, wherein a modification to said target-enrichment sequencing protocol is made if said genetic variant is classified as indeterminate.
107. The system of claim 106, wherein said at least one memory location is configured to receive a second data input comprising second sequencing data generated from said modification to said target-enrichment sequencing protocol.
108. The system of claim 107, wherein said modification to said target-enrichment protocol comprises at least one modification to target-specific primers and target-specific probes.
109. The system of claim 91, wherein said user interface is configured to enable a user to select a variant test panel.
110. The system of claim 109, wherein said computer processor is programmed to determine a presence or absence of a genetic variant selected from said variant test panel.
111. The system of claim 110, wherein said user interface is configured to enable a user to modify said variant test panel.
112. The system of claim 111, wherein said user interface is configured to enable a user to add or remove at least one genetic variant from said variant test panel.
113. The system of claim 109, wherein said user interface is operably coupled to at least one database.
114. The system of claim 113, wherein said user interface receives a data input from said at least one database.
115. The system of claim 114, wherein said variant test panel is updated in real-time based on said data input from said at least one database.
116. The system of claim 109, wherein said variant test panel comprises at least one clinically actionable variant.

117. A system, comprising:

- (a) a client component, wherein said client component comprises a user interface;
- (b) a server component, wherein said server component comprises at least one memory location configured to receive a data input comprising sequencing data generated from a nucleic acid sample;
- (c) said user interface operably coupled to said server component; and
- (d) a computer processor operably coupled to said at least one memory location, wherein said computer processor is programmed to map said sequencing data to a reference sequence and assign a quality score to each of a plurality of genomic regions of interest of said mapped sequencing data;

wherein said user interface is programmed to enable a user to select at least one genetic variant and transmit said selection to said server component, wherein (i) said genetic variant is located within at least one of said plurality of genomic regions of interest; (ii) said computer processor is programmed to return said quality score for at least one of said plurality of genomic regions of interest comprising said at least one genetic variant; and (iii) said computer processor is programmed to compare said quality score for at least one of said plurality of genomic regions of interest to a predetermined threshold, wherein said quality score is reported as sufficient if said quality score is greater than said predetermined threshold, and wherein said quality score is reported as insufficient if said quality score is lower than said predetermined threshold, and if said quality score is reported as sufficient, said computer processor is programmed to determine a presence or absence of each of said at least one genetic variant,

wherein said genetic variant is classified as present if said genetic variant is determined to be present and said quality score is greater than said predetermined threshold,

and wherein said genetic variant is classified as absent if said genetic variant is determined to be absent and said quality score is greater than said predetermined threshold.

118. The system of claim 117, wherein if said quality score is reported as insufficient, said computer processor is programmed to translate said at least one genetic variant into at least one chromosome location.

119. The system of claim 118, wherein said server component transmits said at least one chromosome location to a third-party server component.

120. The system of claim 117, wherein said quality score is determined by at least one of a depth of coverage, a mapping quality, and a base quality.

121. A method, comprising:

(a) receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated from a nucleic acid sample from a subject, wherein, prior to said receiving, said sequencing data has been analyzed and a presence or absence of one or more genetic variants has been identified, thereby generating an original analysis of said sequencing data;

(b) assigning a quality score to each of one or more genomic regions of said sequencing data, said one or more genomic regions comprising at least one of said one or more genetic variants, wherein said assigning is performed by a computer processor;

(c) evaluating said original analysis of said one or more genetic variants based on said quality scores, and

(d) outputting a result based on said evaluating;

wherein said evaluating further comprises identifying said original analysis for a genetic variant of said one or more genetic variants as accurate if said quality score for said genomic region comprising said genetic variant is greater than a predetermined threshold, and

wherein said evaluating further comprises identifying said original analysis for a genetic variant of said one or more genetic variants as inaccurate if said quality score for said genomic region comprising said genetic variant is less than a predetermined threshold.

122. The method of claim 121, wherein if said original analysis for a genetic variant is identified as inaccurate, said method further comprises recommending a modification to a sequencing protocol.

123. The method of claim 121, wherein said predetermined threshold comprises a depth of coverage of said genomic region comprising said genetic variant.

124. The method of claim 123, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 10X.

125. The method of claim 123, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 20X.

126. The method of claim 123, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 30X.

127. The method of claim 123, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 50X.

128. The method of claim 123, wherein said depth of coverage is at least 100X.

129. The method of claim 121, wherein said predetermined threshold comprises a confidence score.

130. The method of claim 129, wherein said confidence score is at least 95%.
131. The method of claim 129, wherein said confidence score is at least 99%.

100




FIG. 1

2/32

COMPREHENSIVE VARIANT PANEL

PATIENT		PATIENT ANALYSIS SUMMARY	
John Doe		Tumor Class: Metastatic, Unknown Primary	
Date of Birth	05/12/1959	Test Panel Name	Solid Tumor
Sex	Male	Test Panel Release Date	05/03/2015
Patient ID	PT30045	Run date	05/30/2015
		File ID	

Section I: Variants Evaluated with Panel Coverage for All Variants					
Variant Class	Gene/Variants Tested			Implications for Treatment	Refs View
	Variant Present	Mutant Fraction	Certainty of Presence		
KRAS activating	KRAS G12A	37% (40/147)	99%	KRAS activating variant is present. Patient may be a candidate for treatment with MEK inhibitors.	1,2 View
BRCA1 disabling	BRCA1 N1067Y	57% (92/163)	99%	BRCA1 disabling variant is present. Patient may be a candidate for treatment with PARP inhibitors.	3 View

Section II: Variants Evaluated with Single Variant					
Variant Class	Gene/Variants Tested		Interpretation	Refs	View
	Variants Evaluated	Certainty of Absence			
AKT activating	1	95%	No AKT activating variant is present. Patient is not a candidate for treatment with AKT or mTOR inhibitors.	4	View
BRAF activating	8	99%	No BRAF activating variant is present. Patient is not a candidate for treatment with BRAF inhibitors.	5	View
BRCA2 disabling	10	99%	No BRCA2 disabling variant is present. Patient is not a candidate for treatment with PARP inhibitors.	6	View
EGFR activating	27	90%	No EGFR activating variant is present. Patient is not a candidate for treatment with EGFR inhibitors.	7	View
FLT3 activating	4	99%	No FLT3 activating variant is present. Patient is not a candidate for treatment with FLT3 inhibitors.	8	View
KIT activating	31	99%	No KIT activating variant is present. Patient is not a candidate for treatment with KIT inhibitors.	9	View
NRAS activating	2	99%	No NRAS activating variant is present. Patient is not a candidate for treatment with MEK inhibitors.	10	View
PIK3R1 disabling	4	99%	No PIK3R1 disabling variant is present. Patient is not a candidate for SAs.	11	View
PTEN disabling	17	99%	No PTEN disabling variant is present. Patient is not a candidate for treatment with AKT or mTOR inhibitors.	12	View

FIG. 2

3/32

COMPREHENSIVE VARIANT PANEL

PATIENT		SAMPLE ANALYTIC REPORT ID: 00000	
John Doe		Tumor Class: Metastatic, Unknown Primary	
Date of Birth	05/12/1989	Test Panel Name	Solid Tumor
Sex	Male	Test Panel Release Date	05/03/2015
Patient ID	PT30645	Run date	05/30/2015
		File ID	

SECTION III: VARIANTS EVALUATED WITH INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR DETERMINATION				
Variant Class	Gene/Variants Tested		Interpretation	Refs
	Variants Evaluated	Max Read Depth		
ALK activating	8	25	Insufficient Read Depth to determine absence or presence.	14
CDKN2A disabling	7	0	Insufficient Read Depth to determine absence or presence.	15
DORZ activating	1	0	Insufficient Read Depth to determine absence or presence.	16
GNAQ activating	1	0	Insufficient Read Depth to determine absence or presence.	18
MAP2K1 activating	4	0	Insufficient Read Depth to determine absence or presence.	19
PIK3CA activating	5	0	Insufficient Read Depth to determine absence or presence.	20
PTCH1 disabling	10	0	Insufficient Read Depth to determine absence or presence.	21

FIG. 2 (cont.)




FIG. 3

Interpretation

A heterozygous variant in the **ALDH7A1** gene, c.1597delG (p.A533Pfs), was identified in the submitted specimen. Mutations in the **ALDH7A1** gene cause pyridoxine-dependent epilepsy (PubMed: 16491085). The **ALDH7A1** gene produces an enzyme called α -aminoacidic semialdehyde (α -AASA) dehydrogenase, also known as antiquitin. This enzyme is involved in the breakdown of lysine in the brain. mutations that cause pyridoxine-dependent epilepsy produce a nonfunctional antiquitin protein. A shortage (deficiency) of antiquitin leads to the buildup of α -aminoacidic semialdehyde, resulting in a disruption in the activity of pyridoxine, a form of vitamin B6 derived from food. Pyridoxine plays a role many processes in the body, such as the breakdown of amino acids and chemicals in the brain called neurotransmitters. According to ACMG guidelines, the laboratory considers this variant to be pathogenic. For Illustration Only

A heterozygous variant in the **NOTCH1** gene, c.3052delT, was identified in the submitted specimen. Notch proteins are single-pass transmembrane receptors that regulate cell fate decisions during development. The Notch family includes 4 receptors, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, and NOTCH4, whose ligands include JAG1, JAG2, DLL1, DLL3, and DLL4. All of the receptors have an extracellular domain containing multiple epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like repeats and an intracellular region containing the RAM domain, ankyrin repeats, and a C-terminal PEST domain (Das et al, 2004). This variant has not been observed across the entire Broad ExAC dataset (> 60000 individuals without severe childhood onset disease). Computational tools for the effect of this missense alteration predict the following: (PolyPhen2 = probably_damaging(85), SIFT = deleterious(0.04), FAT-HMM = unknown). According to ACMG guidelines, the laboratory considers this variant to be likely pathogenic. For Illustration Only

No other clinically significant variants, deletions, or duplications associated with the testing indication were identified in this specimen.
Genetic counseling is recommended.

FIG. 3 (cont.)

Metabolic Enzyme Summary

Genotype	Variant/Wild-Type	Clinical Significance
CYP2C19*2 CYP2C19*3	Heterozygous	CYP2C19 is a important member of CYP450 family, and a very important enzyme in drug metabolism. Testing has shown the *2/*3 genotype to be a poor metabolizer.
CYP2D6*10	wild-type	CYP2D6 encoded protein is one of the most polymorphic metabolic enzymes, with more than 100 states reported. Individuals carrying the 206*10 allele show decreased CYP2D6 metabolic activity.

Relevant Clinical Trials

Trial ID	Study Title	Description
NCT0105385 Sponsor: UCSF	Brain Development Research Program	Dr. Elliott Sherr and his collaborators at University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) are studying the genetic causes of disorders of cognition and epilepsy, in particular disorders of brain development that affect the corpus callosum, such as Aicardi syndrome, as well as two additional brain malformations, polymicrogyria and Dandy-Walker malformation. The goal of the investigators' research is to use a better understanding of the underlying genetic causes as a foundation to develop better treatments for these groups of patients.

FIG. 3 (cont.)

Patient ID	Report Date	Product
PT-3160028	03/19/2016	Solid Tumor Panel

Patient Information

Patient Name	SampleID
ExamplePatient	SL-0045662
Receive Date	
03/11/2016	

Patient Results

Mutations in Treatment Guidelines

Mutation and Percentage	Read Depth	Clinical Significance	Treatments With Sensitivity
EGFR	6/99	Tumor cells carrying the	ERLOTINIB (Sensitive)
Exon21 L858R mutation		L858R EGFR mutation are	GEFITINIB (Sensitive)
Percentage: 6.1%		sensitive to EGFR inhibitors	ICOTINIB (Sensitive)
			APATINIB (Sensitive)
T790M mutation			DACOMITINIB (Sensitive)
Not Present			AZD9291 (Sensitive)
		Cancers with the T790M	ROCLEFINIB (Sensitive)
		EGFR mutation may have	
		resistance to treatment with	
		1st generation EGFR	
		inhibitors but may respond	
		to treatment with later	
		generation EGFR inhibitors.	

FIG. 4

BRAF	2/185	Cancers with certain BRAF mutation or copy number amplification may be sensitive to BRAF inhibitors	VENURAFENIB (Unknown) DABRAFENIB (Unknown) COBIMETINIB (Unknown) TRAMETINIB (Unknown) DASATINIB (maybe sensitive) BINIMETINIB (Unknown) SORAFENIB (Unknown)
Exon11 G456E mutation Percentage: 1.1%			

Other mutations not in Guideline

Mutation and Percentage	Read Depth	Clinical Significance	Treatments With Sensitivity
PIK3CA	6/105	Cancers with activating mutations or copy number gain may be sensitive to PI3K or MTOR inhibitors	BUPARLISIB(maybe sensitive) ALPELISIB (maybe sensitive) EVEROLIMUS (Unknown) TEMSIROLIMUS (Unknown)
Exon10 E542K mutation Percentage: 5.7%			

Sample DNA showed evidence of degradation. Testing sensitivity may be affected.

Note: Mutations listed in this report are not ordered by importance. Patients should discuss the treatment options with their physician.

FIG. 4 (cont.)

Metabolic Enzyme Genotype Summary

Genotype	Variant/Wild-Type	Clinical Significance
CYP2C19*2 CYP2C19*3	Heterozygous	CYP2C19 is a important member of CYP450 family, and a very important enzyme in drug metabolism. Testing has shown the *2/*3 genotype to be a poor metabolizer.
CYP2D6*10	wild-type	CYP2D6 encoded protein is one of the most polymorphic metabolic enzymes, with more than 100 states reported. Individuals carrying the 2D6*10 allele show decreased CYP2D6 metabolic activity.
DPYD*2A	wild-type	DPYD is the rate-limiting enzyme controlling the metabolism of fluorouracil drugs. DPYD*2A/*5A/*9A polymorphisms are related to the toxicity of fluorouracil drugs.
UGT1A1*28	wild-type	UGT1A1 is the essential metabolising agent for chemotherapeutic drug irinotecan. The UGT1A1*28 polymorphism reduces activity decreased by 18% to 30%, increasing risk of toxicity.

Relevant Targeted Therapies: Approved

Name	Target Pathway	FDA-approved Indication
Afatinib (Gilotrif)	EGFR/ERBB2	Non-small cell lung cancer
Dabrafenib (Tafinlar)	BRAF	Melanoma

FIG. 4 (cont.)

DASATINIB (SPRYCEL)	BCR-ABL	Chronic myelogenous leukemia
ERLOTINIB (TARCEVA)	EGFR	Non-small cell lung cancer, pancreatic cancer
EVEROLIMUS (CERTICAN)	MTOR	Renal cell carcinoma
GEFITINIB (IRESSA)	EGFR	Non-small cell lung cancer
ICOTINIB (CONMANA)	EGFR	Non-small cell lung cancer
SORAFENIB (NEXAVAR)	VEGFR/PDGFR	Renal cell carcinoma, liver cancer, thyroid cancer
TEMOSIROLIMUS (TORISEL)	MTOR	Renal cell carcinoma
TRAMETINIB (MEKINIST)	MEK1/MEK2	Melanoma
VENIURAFENIB (ZELBORAF)	BRAF	Melanoma
COBIMETINIB (NA)	MEK	Melanoma

FIG. 4 (cont.)

Relevant Targeted Therapies: In Trial

Name	Target	Pathway	Note
ALPESIB	PIK3CA		Small molecule, PIK3CA inhibitor (Formerly known as BYL719)
AZD9291	EGFR		Small molecule, 2nd generation EGFR inhibitor may be effective in patients drug resistance caused by EGFR T790M mutation.
BINDMETINIB	MEK1/MEK2		Small molecule, MEK1/MEK2 inhibitor (Formerly known as MEK162)
BUPABRISIB	PIK3CA		Small molecule, PIK3CA inhibitor (Formerly known as BKM120)
DACOMITINIB	EGFR		Small molecule, 2nd generation EGFR inhibitor may be effective in patients drug resistance caused by EGFR T790M mutation.
ROCKLETINIB	EGFR		Small molecule EGFR inhibitor (Formerly known as CO1586), may be effective in patients drug resistance caused by EGFR T790M mutation.

Note: Therapeutics listed in this report are not ordered by importance. Patient should discuss the treatment options with their physician.

Solid Tumor Panel: About the Test

The Comprehensive Solid Tumor Test Panel evaluates 2179 regions in 155 genes known to influence treatment response...
...more complete description of the test capability...

FIG. 4 (cont.)

Accession	Report Date	Version	Assigned Test Panel
Example22-001_52	2016-06-17	1.0612	Comprehensive MDS

Farsight MDS Test Panel: About the Test

The Farsight Comprehensive Myelodysplastic Syndrome (MDS) Test Panel is an advanced, next generation sequencing based diagnostic platform for precision medicine. The test evaluates 2170 regions in 155 genes known to influence disease progression and response to treatment in myelodysplastic syndromes including MDS, AML, Fanconi anemia, CMML. The test includes assessment of single nucleotide variants, small insertions and deletions in both coding and splicing regions, and has been validated for accurate detection of these variants when used with Agilent SureSelect and an Illumina sequencer.

Performance of the Test: The test has sensitivity of 95% in regions with read depth greater than 30x. Limit of detection is dependent upon read depth in the location of the variant: 5% at 100x coverage or greater, 10% at 60x read depth and 20% at 30x read depth. The validation study results are available upon request.

Results

WHO Classification Genes

Gene	Mutation	Consequence	Mutant Fraction	Total Reads	References
CEBPA	none	.	.	261	
NPM1	none	.	.	61	26884713
RUNX1	p.Q266* chr21:34834419G>A	stop_gained Unknown	37%	142	COSM42111

FIG. 5

RUNX1

Molecular Implications Alterations of the RUNX1 gene are commonly seen in sporadic forms of AML and MDS, with chromosomal translocations more common in AML and point mutations in MDS. In FPD/AML, RUNX1 mutations are very heterogeneous and are often specific to individual pedigrees. The most common mutation site involves the RHD domain located near the N-terminus of Runx1, within exons three to five of RUNX1. The RHD domain is especially prone to mutations as it contains easily mutable primary sequences that are prone to transition-type mutations via methylation of a cytidine residue. Some mutations are more damaging than others and confer a greater risk of transformation to malignancy. Mutations that cause haploinsufficiency are most common; however, mutations that act in a dominant negative fashion are particularly high risk and act by competing for DNA binding sites or by preferentially binding to CBF- β , thereby reducing wildtype Runx1 activity below 50%. As compared to mutations that cause haploinsufficiency, dominant negative mutations have a higher incidence of progression to MDS/AML. Likewise, Runx1 mutations at the C-terminus have enhanced capacity to bind DNA due to loss of the DNA-binding inhibitory domain, leading to preferential expression of the mutant allele. When mutated, Runx1 enhances myeloid cell proliferation, blocks cell differentiation, and leads to genomic instability, resulting in leukemogenesis. Although RUNX1 mutations are very important in promoting leukemic transformation, they are insufficient to initiate disease by themselves. Secondary mutations are required before patients develop malignancy. PMID: 25893166 RUNX1 is a master hematopoietic transcription factor that dimerizes with CBF β and is crucial for the establishment of definitive hematopoiesis during embryonic development. Although it is dispensable for the maintenance of adult hematopoietic stem cells, it plays an essential role in the lymphoid and megakaryocytic lineages, where it is involved in megakaryocyte development, and platelet formation and function. PMID: 24606315

Clinical Implications In humans, heterozygous RUNX1 mutation is associated with an autosomal dominant disorder, the familial platelet disorder with predisposition to acute myeloid leukemia (FPD/AML) (Mendelian Inheritance in Man [MIM] 601393), characterized by impaired megakaryopoiesis, quantitative and qualitative defects in platelet function, and over 40% risk of development of myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) or AML at a median age of 33 years. Several distinct RUNX1 mutations, ranging from point mutations to deletional mutations, have been identified in patients with FPD/AML, and most are in the conserved Runt domain near the N-terminus, resulting in impaired binding of RUNX1 to cis-regulatory DNA sequences. In addition to the Runt domain, a mutation in the C-terminal transactivating domain (Y760X) has been identified. Mutations of TP53, EZH2, ETV6, RUNX1, and ASXL1 have been shown to predict decreased OS in multivariable

FIG. 5 (cont.)

models adjusted for IPSS or IPSS-R risk groups in several studies of distinct cohorts.23,26 Within IPSS risk groups, a mutation in 1 or more of these genes identifies patients whose survival risk resembles that of patients in the next highest IPSS risk group (eg, the survival curve for INT-1risk patients with an adverse gene mutation was similar to that of patients assigned to the INT-2risk group by the IPSS). PMID: 25736003 Overall Incidence is 10-15%, Typical Mutation is Nonsense or Frameshift, Missense in codons 100-210. Clinical Significance: independently associated with a poor prognosis in MDS. May be familial in very rare cases. Source: NCCN Guidelines Myelodysplastic Syndromes 1.2016

Founding Mutations

Gene	Mutation	Consequence	Mutant Fraction	Total Reads	References
DNMT3A	p.--- chr2:25247044C>T	splice_region_variant Unknown	48%	126	rs2276599
IDH1	p.V178I chr2:208243593C>T	missense_variant Likely Deleterious	46%	192	rs34218846 COSM97131
TET2	p.G355D chr4:105235006G>A	missense_variant Likely Deleterious	55%	134	rs61744960
	p.L1721W chr4:105275672T>G	missense_variant Likely Deleterious	54%	254	rs34402524 COSM5020013 COSM87176
	p.I1762V chr4:105275794A>G	missense_variant Likely Neutral	53%	144	rs2454206 COSM3760322

FIG. 5 (cont.)

DNMT3A

Molecular Implications DNMT3A, a methyltransferase that generates de novo DNA methylation via the conversion of cytosine to 5-methylcytosine, is mutated in ~30% of cytogenetic standard risk AML. Mutations in DNMT3A, NPM1, and FLT3-ITD commonly cosegregate, suggesting cooperativity in AML pathogenesis; DNMT3A is mutated in half of NPM1c AML, and the majority (80%) of AML cases harboring mutant DNMT3A are also NPM1 mutant. DNMT3A mutations are typically heterozygous, with ~60% falling in a hotspot encoding arginine at codon 882 (R882) within the catalytic domain. Recent data indicate that R882 mutations act in a dominant negative manner with the mutant protein interfering with the capacity of residual wild-type DNMT3A to form active tetramers leading to reduced enzyme activity and focal hypomethylation at specific cytosine guanine dinucleotides in primary AML cells.⁵³ Molecular mechanisms underlying non-R882 loss-of-function mutations are less well understood; however, both types of mutation have been associated with poorer prognosis in patients with NPM1c and NPM1 wild-type AML. PMID: 26660431

Clinical Implications DNMT3A mutations occur in 30% - 35% of AML with normal karyotype, and about 10% of MDS and 20% of T-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia. DNMT3A mutations result in loss of function, and are present in pre-leukemic hematopoietic stem cells, remaining stable through disease evolution to MDS and AML. MDS patients with DNMT3A mutations have shorter OS and higher risks of leukemic transformation. DNMT3A mutations are specific biomarkers of positive response to DNA methyltransferase inhibitors. PMID: 27023522 Overall Incidence is 12-18%. Typical Mutation is Nonsense or Frameshift, Missense in codon R882. Clinical Significance is Associated with poor prognosis. Source: NCCN Guidelines Myelodysplastic Syndromes 1.2016

FIG. 5 (cont.)

IDH1

Molecular Implications The IDH enzymes catalyze the conversion of isocitrate to 2-ketoglutarate, generating reduced NAD phosphate. IDH mutations not only reduce 2-ketoglutarate formation, but also have neomorphic activity, additionally altering enzyme function to generate the oncometabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate, which inhibits the function of TET2 and other dioxygenase enzymes. PMID: 26660431

Clinical Implications IDH1 mutations affecting the arginine amino acid at codon 132 (R132) are found in 77% of AML, particularly those with normal karyotype and NPM1c. PMID: 26660431 Overall Incidence is <5%. Typical Mutation is Missense in codon R132. Clinical Significance: more frequent in AML. Source: NCCN Guidelines Myelodysplastic Syndromes 1.2016

TET2

Molecular Implications Deregulation of the IDH/WT1/TET2 axis in AML pathogenesis may be operative in a third of cases arising in younger adults. PMID: 26660431 Overall Incidence is 20-25%. Typical Mutation is Nonsense or Frameshift. Missense in any codon 1134-1444 or 1842-1921. Clinical Significance is Associated with normal karyotypes. More frequent in CMML (40-60%). Source: NCCN Guidelines Myelodysplastic Syndromes 1.2016

Clinical Implications TET2, which is subject to mutation in 78% of AML and is the enzyme that regulates the initial step in DNA demethylation through dioxygenase activity and the conversion of 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine. PMID: 26660431

FIG. 5 (cont.)

Mutations in Known Driver Genes

Gene	Mutation	Consequence	Mutant Fraction	Total Reads	References
EZH2	p.D185H	missense_variant	57%	120	rs2302427
	chr7:148828812C>G	Likely Deleterious			COSM33762469
FLT3	p.T227M	missense_variant	44%	168	rs1933437
	chr13:28050157G>A	Likely Deleterious			COSM5019176
KDM6A	p.---	splice_region_variant	98%	172	rs2491231
	chr13:28036046A>G	Unknown			COSM3999060
TP53	p.T726K	missense_variant	100%	158	rs2230018
	chrX:45069832C>A	Likely Neutral			COSM33759496
TP53	p.P72R	missense_variant	49%	148	rs1042522
	chr17:7676154G>C	Likely Deleterious			COSM250061 COSM45985

FIG. 5 (cont.)

EZH2

Molecular Implications EZH2, a histone-lysine N-methyltransferase enzyme, catalyzes the addition of methyl groups to histone H3 at lysine 27 (H3K27). It is a key component of Polycomb repressive complexes (PRCs), participating in transcription repression. EZH2 mutations can be detected in lymphomas and myeloid malignancies. In MDS, EZH2 mutations are seen in 6% 12% of patients. EZH2 mutations frequently co-exist with TET2 mutations, and are associated with disease transformation. Consequently, EZH2 mutations are observed in high-grade MDS, and are associated with a higher risk of secondary AML and worse OS. A specific EZH2 inhibitor, GSK-126, has been shown to be active in EZH2-mutated lymphomas, and has yet to be tested in myeloid malignancies. PMID: 27023522

Clinical Implications Mutations of TP53, EZH2, ETV6, RUNX1, and ASXL1 have been shown to predict decreased OS in multivariable models adjusted for IPSS or IPSS-R risk groups in several studies of distinct cohorts.^{23,26} Within IPSS risk groups, a mutation in 1 or more of these genes identifies patients whose survival risk resembles that of patients in the next highest IPSS risk group (eg, the survival curve for INT-1 risk patients with an adverse gene mutation was similar to that of patients assigned to the INT-2 risk group by the IPSS). PMID: 25736003 The presence of a mutation in SRSF2, SF3B1, U2AF1, ZRSR2, ASXL1, EZH2, BCOR, or STAG2 was > 95% specific for the diagnosis of secondary AML. Analysis of serial samples from individual patients revealed that these mutations occur early in leukemogenesis and often persist in clonal remissions. PMID: 25550361 Overall Incidence is 5-10%. Typical Mutation is Nonsense or Frameshift, Missense in codons 622-732 (except Y646). Clinical Significance: independently associated with a poor prognosis in MDS and MDS/MPN. More frequent in CMML (12%). Source: NCCN Guidelines Myelodysplastic Syndromes 1.2016

FIG. 5 (cont.)

FLT3

Molecular Implications FLT3 is a class III receptor tyrosine kinase consisting of a juxtamembrane domain (JMD), two tyrosine kinase domains (TKD1 and TKD2), and five extracellular immunoglobulin-like domains. It is highly expressed in hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. Mutations that disrupt the binding of FLT3 inhibitors to FLT3 lead to resistance (at the activation loop, residues D835V/Y/F/H, D839G, Y842C/H; at the gatekeeper residues F691L/I). PMID: 27023522

Clinical Implications The receptor tyrosine kinase *fms*-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3), which plays a key role in normal hematopoiesis, is mutated in a third of AML cases. These mutations involve in-frame duplications within the juxtamembrane region (FLT3-ITD) and point mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain (FLT3-TKD) in 75% and 77% of AML, respectively. Both classes of mutation lead to constitutive activation of the receptor, yet surprisingly they carry different prognostic implications. Numerous studies have confirmed FLT3-ITD as an independent predictor of higher relapse rate and poorer overall survival, with cases with high mutant allelic burden (because of acquired uniparental disomy) having a particularly poor prognosis, whereas presence of an FLT3-TKD mutation has been associated with better survival. PMID: 26560431

KDM6A

Molecular Implications The lysine (K)-specific demethylase 6A (KDM6A) gene, aka UTX is a member of the JumonjiC family and encodes an H3K27 demethylase. UTX regulates histone methylation in conjunction with other epigenetic modifiers. PMID: 27023522

Clinical Implications UTX mutations are seen in 8% of patients with CMML and 1% of patients with MDS. The prognostic impact of UTX mutation is unclear. UTX (KDM6A) can be targeted by small molecule inhibitors that interfere with its activity. GSKJ1 and GSKJ4 are two new agents developed with inhibitory effect against KDM6A activity. PMID: 27023522

TP53

Molecular Implications Overall Incidence is 8-12%, Typical Mutation is Nonsense or frameshift, Missense in any codon

FIG. 5 (cont.)

except P47S and P72R. Clinical Significance is Independently associated with a poor prognosis. More frequent with complex karyotypes (50%) and del(5q)(15-20%). May predict resistance or relapse to lenalidomide. Source: NCCN Guidelines Myelodysplastic Syndromes 1.2016

Clinical Implications Mutations of TP53, EZH2, ETV6, RUNX1, and ASXL1 have been shown to predict decreased OS in multivariable models adjusted for IPSS or IPSS-R risk groups in several studies of distinct cohorts. Within IPSS risk groups, a mutation in 1 or more of these genes identifies patients whose survival risk resembles that of patients in the next highest IPSS risk group (eg, the survival curve for INT-1 risk patients with an adverse gene mutation was similar to that of patients assigned to the INT-2 risk group by the IPSS). PMID: 25736003

Mutations in Other Pathway Genes

Gene	Mutation	Consequence	Mutant Fraction	Total Reads	References
ABC81	p.Q1107P	missense_variant	48%	182	rs55852620
	chr7:87509444T>G	Likely Deleterious			
AGPAT2	p.-17-18L	inframe_insertion	43%	536	rs201504151
	chr9:136687306-136687307C>CCAG	Unknown			
ATM	p.G1459R	missense_variant	53%	106	rs145667735
	chr11:108289740G>A	Likely Deleterious			
ATRX	p.E929Q	missense_variant	100%	264	rs3088074
	chrX:77682471C>G	Likely Deleterious			COSM3759544

FIG. 5 (cont.)

22/32

BAG3	p.C151R chr10:119670121T>C	missense_variant Likely Deleterious	47%	178	rs2234962
CASP3	p.--- chr4:184631944T>G	splice_region_variant Unknown	100%	110	rs2705897
CYP2D6	p.A122S chr22:42129174C>A	missense_variant Likely Deleterious	82%	22	
ERCC2	p.D312N chr19:45364001C>T	missense_variant Likely Deleterious	98%	352	rs1799793
ERCC4	p.--- chr16:13932150G>A	splice_region_variant Unknown	100%	92	rs254942
ERCC6	p.--- chr10:49493110G>A	splice_region_variant Unknown	100%	128	rs4253132
GSTP1	p.A114V chr11:67586108C>T	missense_variant Likely Deleterious	56%	192	rs1138272
HTR3C	p.G405A chr3:18406022G>C	missense_variant Likely Deleterious	57%	238	rs6807362

FIG. 5 (cont.)

IKZF1	p.N159S	missense_variant	55%	246	rs374333820
	chr7:50382594A>G	Likely Deleterious			
MSH3	p.AAA54-57AAAP	missense_variant	30%	94	
	chr5:80654889- 80654896TGCAGCGG>CGCAGCGC	Unknown			
NOTCH1	p.---	splice_region_variant	60%	50	rs1382543
	chr5:80665136G>A	Unknown			
NOTCH1	p.---	splice_region_variant	51%	580	rs3125001
	chr9:136510809C>T	Unknown			
NQO1	p.---	splice_region_variant	99%	302	rs9411208
	chr9:136517745G>A	Unknown			
NQO1	p.R139W	missense_variant	42%	190	rs1131341
	chr16:69714966G>A	Likely Deleterious			
PARP1	p.---	splice_region_variant	62%	194	rs1805405
	chr1:226392320G>T	Unknown			

FIG. 5 (cont.)

SLC22A12	p.GGV443-445GGG chr11:64600410- 64600415CGGGT>GGGGG	missense_variant Unknown	24%	92
SLC28A1	p.Q237K chr15:84905644C>A	missense_variant Likely Deleterious	100%	212 rs8187758
SULT1A1	p.M196I chr16:28606767C>A	missense_variant Likely Deleterious	22%	82 rs138833811
UGT1A6	p.R184S chr2:233693556A>C	missense_variant Likely Deleterious	44%	406 rs1105879

Evaluated, no variants (Read Depth)

CSF3R (105x)	CTNNB1 (134x)	NPM1 (61x)	SLC25A37 (303x)	SLCO181 (48x)	MPO (190x)	SOD1 (152x)
JAK1 (120x)	GATA2 (187x)	XRCC4 (106x)	ABL1 (141x)	WNT5B (295x)	NME1 (167x)	ATP7A (86x)
MPL (131x)	MLH1 (145x)	GSTA1 (35x)	JAK2 (92x)	ATP7B (157x)	P2RX1 (122x)	BCOR (80x)
MTOR (143x)	MYD88 (254x)	IRF4 (155x)	SLC28A3 (112x)	R81 (106x)	AKT2 (123x)	BCORL1 (80x)

FIG. 5 (cont.)

NRAS (130x)	XPC (148x)	SLC29A1 (153x)	CYP2C9 (89x)	AKT1 (134x)	BCL3 (131x)	GATA1 (52x)
IL1B (168x)	ABCG2 (115x)	BRAF (88x)	PTEN (116x)	CYP1A2 (165x)	CEBPA (261x)	PHF6 (57x)
MSH2 (104x)	ADH1A (77x)	CASP2 (148x)	SMC3 (89x)	IDH2 (153x)	CYP2B6 (61x)	SMC1A (93x)
SF3B1 (98x)	DCK (84x)	CYP3A4 (74x)	CBL (128x)	MAP2K1 (120x)	ERCC1 (98x)	GSTT1 (71x)
UGT1A1 (188x)	DCTD (129x)	CYP3A5 (98x)	KMT2A (128x)	RAD51 (128x)	JAK3 (122x)	
XRCC5 (112x)	FBXW7 (111x)	NT5C3A (99x)	RRM1 (102x)	ABCC1 (12x)	SULT2B1 (106x)	
CAMK1 (14x)	KIT (140x)	XRCC2 (124x)	WT1 (114x)	ABCC3 (148x)	ASXL1 (154x)	
CBLB (106x)	PDGFRA (142x)	RAD21 (78x)	KRAS (90x)	GIT1 (91x)	DNMT3B (140x)	
CRBN (101x)	CSNK1A1 (18x)	RRM2B (113x)	PTPN11 (78x)	UG3 (151x)	GNAS (153x)	

FIG. 5 (cont.)




FIG. 6 (previous FIG. 4)




FIG. 7 (previous FIG. 5)

CONFUSION MATRIX

Confusion Matrix		Reported by Foresight Analytic Core																Total
		AKT1.E17	BRAF.G469	BRAF.V600	BRAF.N1067	CDKN2A.W110	EGFR.E746	KRAS.A146	KRAS.G12	KRAS.Q61	NRAS.G12	NRAS.Q61	PIK3CA.E542	PIK3CA.E545	PIK3CA.H1047	PIK3R1.R358	none	
Truth As Published		2	3													1		2
AKT1.E17		2																2
BRAF.G469			3															3
BRAF.V600				3														3
BRAF.N1067					1													1
CDKN2A.W110						2												2
EGFR.E746							4											4
KRAS.A146								1										1
KRAS.G12									17									17
KRAS.Q61										1								1
NRAS.G12											1							1
NRAS.Q61												6						6
PIK3CA.E542													3					3
PIK3CA.E545														11				11
PIK3CA.H1047															3			3
PIK3R1.R358																1		1
none																	2	2
Total		2	3	3	1	2	4	1	17	1	1	6	3	11	3	1	5	64

FIG. 8 (previous FIG. 6)




FIG. 9 (previous FIG. 7)




Fig. 9 (cont.)




FIG. 9 (cont.)




FIG. 9 (cont.)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US16/41288

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(8) - C12Q 1/68; G06F 19/22, 19/18 (2016.01) CPC - C12Q 1/68, 1/6827, 1/6869; G06F 19/22, 19/18 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																	
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC(8) Classifications: C12Q 1/68; G06F 19/22, 19/18, 19/10; C12N 15/09, 15/11 (2016.01) CPC Classifications: C12Q 1/68, 1/6827, 1/6869; G06F 19/22, 19/18, 19/10; C12N 15/09, 15/11 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) PatSeer (US, EP, WO, JP, DE, GB, CN, FR, KR, ES, AU, IN, CA, INPADOC Data); Google; Google Scholar; PubMed; EBSCO; detect*, varian*, mutat*, sequenc*, genet*, DNA, nucle*, acid*, RNA, data*, presen*, absen*, comput*, process*, qualiti*, scor*, 'farsight genome systems', 'glenda anderson', 'charlie kim', classifi*, assign*, sufficien*, insufficien*, indeterminat*, read*, depth*, bas*, call*, qualiti*, map*																	
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category*</th> <th>Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages</th> <th>Relevant to claim No.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>WO 2014/039556 A1 (GUARDANT HEALTH, INC.) 13 March 2014; paragraphs [0005], [0007], [0022], [0033], [0035], [0036], [0066], [0069], [00101], [00160], [00268], [00322]-[00324], [00330], [00331], [00334].</td> <td>1-49, 89-131</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>WO 2015/051006 A2 (COMPLETE GENOMICS, INC.) 9 April 2015; paragraphs [0008], [0010], [0048], [0076], [0127], [0163], [0164], [0172], [0276]-[0278]; claim 1.</td> <td>1-49, 89-131</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>US 2015/0178445 A1 (THE BROAD INSTITUTE, INC.) 25 June 2015; figure 5; abstract; paragraphs [0010], [0012], [0014]-[0015], [0048], [0107], [0118], [0144], [0159], [0162].</td> <td>1-49, 89-131</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>WO 2014/152990 A1 (UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER) 25 September 2014; page 4, lines 3-10; page 5, lines 1-2, 4, 6; page 13, lines 13-21; page 15, lines 6-21; page 19, line 14; page 20, lines 30-31; page 21, lines 2-3, 7-8; page 22, lines 30-31; page 23, line 12; page 31, line 15; page 32, line 14; page 49, lines 6-7.</td> <td>1-49, 89-131</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	A	WO 2014/039556 A1 (GUARDANT HEALTH, INC.) 13 March 2014; paragraphs [0005], [0007], [0022], [0033], [0035], [0036], [0066], [0069], [00101], [00160], [00268], [00322]-[00324], [00330], [00331], [00334].	1-49, 89-131	A	WO 2015/051006 A2 (COMPLETE GENOMICS, INC.) 9 April 2015; paragraphs [0008], [0010], [0048], [0076], [0127], [0163], [0164], [0172], [0276]-[0278]; claim 1.	1-49, 89-131	A	US 2015/0178445 A1 (THE BROAD INSTITUTE, INC.) 25 June 2015; figure 5; abstract; paragraphs [0010], [0012], [0014]-[0015], [0048], [0107], [0118], [0144], [0159], [0162].	1-49, 89-131	A	WO 2014/152990 A1 (UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER) 25 September 2014; page 4, lines 3-10; page 5, lines 1-2, 4, 6; page 13, lines 13-21; page 15, lines 6-21; page 19, line 14; page 20, lines 30-31; page 21, lines 2-3, 7-8; page 22, lines 30-31; page 23, line 12; page 31, line 15; page 32, line 14; page 49, lines 6-7.	1-49, 89-131
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.															
A	WO 2014/039556 A1 (GUARDANT HEALTH, INC.) 13 March 2014; paragraphs [0005], [0007], [0022], [0033], [0035], [0036], [0066], [0069], [00101], [00160], [00268], [00322]-[00324], [00330], [00331], [00334].	1-49, 89-131															
A	WO 2015/051006 A2 (COMPLETE GENOMICS, INC.) 9 April 2015; paragraphs [0008], [0010], [0048], [0076], [0127], [0163], [0164], [0172], [0276]-[0278]; claim 1.	1-49, 89-131															
A	US 2015/0178445 A1 (THE BROAD INSTITUTE, INC.) 25 June 2015; figure 5; abstract; paragraphs [0010], [0012], [0014]-[0015], [0048], [0107], [0118], [0144], [0159], [0162].	1-49, 89-131															
A	WO 2014/152990 A1 (UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER) 25 September 2014; page 4, lines 3-10; page 5, lines 1-2, 4, 6; page 13, lines 13-21; page 15, lines 6-21; page 19, line 14; page 20, lines 30-31; page 21, lines 2-3, 7-8; page 22, lines 30-31; page 23, line 12; page 31, line 15; page 32, line 14; page 49, lines 6-7.	1-49, 89-131															
☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.																	
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family																	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 10 November 2016 (10.11.2016)		Date of mailing of the international search report 02 DEC 2016															
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. 571-273-8300		Authorized officer Shane Thomas PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774															

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US16/41288

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

---Please See Supplemental Page---

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☒ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
1-49 and 89-131

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.
- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US16/41288

-----Continued from Box No. III: Observations Where Unity of Invention is Lacking:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Group I, Claims 1-49 and 89-131 are directed toward a method and system for detecting the presence or absence of a genetic variant.

Group II, Claims 50-88 are directed toward a method of modifying a sequencing protocol.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical features of Group I include classifying a genetic variant as indeterminate, not present in Group II; the special technical features of Group II include making a modification to a sequence protocol, not present in Group I.

Groups I and II share the technical features including: a method comprising: (a) receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated by a sequencing protocol; (b) determining a presence or absence of a genetic variant from said sequencing data, wherein said determining comprises assigning a quality score to a genomic region comprising said genetic variant, wherein said assigning is performed by a computer processor; (c) classifying said genetic variant based on said quality score to generate a classified genetic variant; (d) outputting a result based on said classifying, thereby identifying said classified genetic variant, wherein said genetic variant is classified as present if said genetic variant is determined to be present and said quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold; wherein said genetic variant is classified as absent if said genetic variant is determined to be absent and said quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold; and wherein said quality score is lower than a predetermined threshold.

However, these shared technical features are previously disclosed by WO 2014/039556 A1 to Guardant Health Inc. (hereinafter 'Guardant').

Guardant discloses a method comprising: (a) receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated by a sequencing protocol (a method comprising: (a) receiving a data input comprising sequencing data generated by a sequencing protocol; paragraphs [0005], [0033]); (b) determining a presence or absence of a genetic variant from said sequencing data (detecting a rare mutation (determining the present or absence of a genetic variant) from said sequencing data; paragraphs [0005], [0033]), wherein said determining comprises assigning a quality score to a genomic region comprising said genetic variant (wherein said determining comprises assigning a quality score to a genomic region comprising said genetic variant; paragraphs [0005], [0022]), wherein said assigning is performed by a computer processor (wherein said assigning is performed by a computer processor; paragraphs [0005], [0022], [00160]); (c) classifying said genetic variant (assigning sequence reads into families (classifying said genetic variant); paragraph [0069]) based on a score to generate a classified genetic variant (based on a score to generate a classified genetic variant; paragraph [0069]); (d) outputting a result based on said classifying (reporting (outputting) the result based on said classifying; paragraph [0007]), thereby identifying said classified genetic variant (thereby identifying said classified genetic variant; paragraphs [0005], [0007], [0022]), wherein said genetic variant is classified as present if said genetic variant is determined to be present (wherein the prevalence of the variant is reported (wherein the genetic variant is classified as being present if the variant is determined to be present; paragraphs [0005], [0007]) and said quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold (and the sequence data were above the required quality threshold (and said quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold; paragraphs [0005], [0022]); wherein said genetic variant is classified as absent if said genetic variant is determined to be absent (wherein the prevalence of said genetic variant is reported (wherein said genetic variant is classified as absent if said genetic variant is determined to be absent); paragraphs [0005], [0007]) and said quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold (and the sequence data were above the required quality threshold (and said quality score is greater than a predetermined threshold; paragraphs [0005], [0022]); and wherein said quality score is lower than a predetermined threshold (wherein sequence reads that do not meet the quality threshold are filtered out (wherein said quality score is lower than a predetermined threshold; paragraph [0022])).

Guardant does not disclose classifying based on the quality score. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified the disclosure of Guardant to have classified and reported sequence reads and detection of variants on the basis of the quality score, in preference to filtering out said sequence data, in order to enable the assessment by a practitioner as to whether the assay effectively represented the sequence data in the genomic region of interest, based on the quality score for the region, and the proportion of reads that did not meet the quality score threshold; in order to better determine confidence levels for the detection of variants in terms of sensitivity and specificity, and whether the assay needed to be performed again, the protocol required variation, or the sample was too degraded to properly sequence, on said basis.

Since none of the special technical features of the Groups I and II inventions is found in more than one of the inventions, and since all of the shared technical features are previously disclosed by a combination of the references, unity of invention is lacking.