




(86) **Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date:** 2010/01/29
(87) **Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date:** 2010/08/05
(45) **Date de délivrance/Issue Date:** 2016/08/02
(85) **Entrée phase nationale/National Entry:** 2011/06/01
(86) **N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.:** IB 2010/000182
(87) **N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.:** 2010/086734
(30) **Priorité/Priority:** 2009/01/29 (US61/148,223)

(51) **Cl.Int./Int.Cl.** *H04W 72/12* (2009.01),
H04W 24/10 (2009.01)
(72) **Inventeurs/Inventors:**
BOUDREAU, GARY, CA;
GUO, NING, CA;
PARSONS, ERIC, CA;
FILIATRAULT, CHARLES, CA
(73) **Propriétaire/Owner:**
APPLE INC., US
(74) **Agent:** RICHES, MCKENZIE & HERBERT LLP

(54) **Titre : PLANIFICATION DE LA TRANSMISSION DE DONNEES DANS UNE STATION DE BASE SELON UN MESSAGE
D'INDICATION D'INTERFERENCES EN PROVENANCE D'UNE AUTRE STATION DE BASE**
(54) **Title: SCHEDULING TRANSMISSION OF DATA AT A BASE STATION BASED ON AN INTERFERENCE INDICATOR MESSAGE
FROM ANOTHER BASE STATION**



(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

A system includes a first base station of a first vendor configuration and a second base station of a second vendor configuration. A link is between the first and second base stations. The first base station is configured to receive at least one interference indicator



(57) **Abrégé(suite)/Abstract(continued):**

message from the second base station, and schedule transmission of data based on the at least one interference indicator message. Scheduling the transmission of data includes determining whether to transmit the data using a current resource or to delay transmission of the data.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau

(43) International Publication Date
5 August 2010 (05.08.2010)



(10) International Publication Number
WO 2010/086734 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:
H04W 72/12 (2009.01) *H04W 24/10* (2009.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/IB2010/000182

(22) International Filing Date:
29 January 2010 (29.01.2010)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
61/148,223 29 January 2009 (29.01.2009) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **NORTEL NETWORKS LIMITED** [CA/CA]; 2351 Boulevard Alfred-Nobel St., Laurent, Québec H4S 2A9 (CA).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **BOUDREAU, Gary** [CA/CA]; 3500 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario K2H 8E9 (CA). **GUO, Ning** [CA/CA]; 3500 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario K2H 8E9 (CA). **PARSONS, Eric** [CA/CA]; 3500 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario K2H

8E9 (CA). **FILIATRAULT, Charles** [CA/CA]; 2351 Boulevard Alfred-Nobel Street, Laurent, Québec H4S 2A9 (CA).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, SM,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: SCHEDULING TRANSMISSION OF DATA AT A BASE STATION BASED ON AN INTERFERENCE INDICATOR MESSAGE FROM ANOTHER BASE STATION




FIG. 2

(57) Abstract: A system includes a first base station of a first vendor configuration and a second base station of a second vendor configuration. A link is between the first and second base stations. The first base station is configured to receive at least one interference indicator message from the second base station, and schedule transmission of data based on the at least one interference indicator message. Scheduling the transmission of data includes determining whether to transmit the data using a current resource or to delay transmission of the data.

WO 2010/086734 A1



TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). **Published:**

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- *as to the identity of the inventor (Rule 4.17(i))*
 - *as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))*
 - *as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))*
- *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*
 - *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))*

- 1 -

Scheduling Transmission Of Data At A Base Station
Based On An Interference Indicator Message From Another Base Station

Background

[0001] Various wireless access technologies have been proposed or implemented to enable mobile stations to perform communications with other mobile stations or with wired terminals coupled to wired networks. Examples of wireless access technologies include GSM (Global System for Mobile communications) and UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunications System) technologies, defined by the Third Generation Partnership Project (3GPP); and CDMA 2000 (Code Division Multiple Access 2000) technologies, defined by 3GPP2.

[0002] As part of the continuing evolution of wireless access technologies to improve spectral efficiency, to improve services, to lower costs, and so forth, new standards have been proposed. One such new standard is the EUTRA (Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access) standard from 3GPP, which seeks to enhance the UMTS technology. The EUTRA standard is also referred to as the Long Term Evolution (LTE) standard.

[0003] In a wireless communications network, there are usually many coverage areas (also referred to as cell sites) each including communications equipment, including base stations. It is possible that a wireless communications network provided by a particular service provider can use equipment from multiple different vendors. In such an arrangement, the overall region serviced by the service provider can include communications equipment made by different vendors. An issue associated with deploying a wireless communications network having equipment from multiple vendors is that there may not be adequate mechanisms in place to mitigate inter-cell interference. Inter-cell interference refers to interference of signaling communicated in a first cell site (having communications equipment of a first vendor)) due to signal transmissions in a second cell site (having communications equipment of a second vendor).

CONFIRMATION COPY

Summary

[0004] In general, according to some preferred embodiments, a system includes a first base station having a first vendor configuration, a second base station having a second vendor configuration, and a link between the first and second base stations. The first base station is configured to receive at least one interference indicator message from the second base station, and to schedule transmission of data based on the at least one interference indicator message. Scheduling the transmission of data includes determining whether to transmit the data using a current resource or to delay transmission of the data.

[0004a] In general, according to further preferred embodiments, a system includes a first base station of a first vendor configuration; a second base station of a second vendor configuration; and a link between the first and second base stations, wherein the first base station is configured to: receive at least one interference indicator message from the second base station wherein the at least one interference indicator message includes one or more interference indicators; and schedule transmission of data between the first base station and a mobile station in a current resource block if an interference decision metric has a first relation to a predefined threshold; and delay transmission of the data between the first base station and the mobile station for a predetermined period of time if the interference decision metric has a second, different relation to the predefined threshold.

[0004b] In general, according to some preferred embodiments, a method includes receiving, by a first base station of a first vendor configuration, at least one interference indicator message from a second base station of a second vendor configuration, wherein the at least one interference indicator message includes one or more interference indicators; calculating, by the first base station, an interference decision metric using a weighted sum of the one or more interference indicators included in the at least one interference indicator message; scheduling transmission of data between the first base station and a mobile station in a current resource block if the interference decision metric has a first relation to a predefined threshold; and delaying transmission of the data between the first base station and the mobile station for a predetermined period of time if the interference decision metric has a second, different relation to the predefined threshold.

- 2a -

[0004c] In general, according to further preferred embodiments, a first base station of a first vendor configuration includes a network interface to a link to a second base station of a second vendor configuration; a processor configured to: receive at least one interference indicator message from the second base station wherein the at least one interference indicator message includes one or more interference indicators; calculate an interference decision metric using a weighted sum of the one or more interference indicators included in the at least one interference indicator message; and schedule transmission of data between the first base station and a mobile station in a current resource block if the interference decision metric has a first relation to a predefined threshold.

[0005] Other or alternative features will become apparent from the following description, from the drawings, and from the claims.

Brief Description Of The Drawings

[0006] Some embodiments of the invention are described with respect to the following figures:

Fig. 1 is a block diagram of an example wireless communications network that includes equipment from multiple vendors, in which an inter-cell mitigation mechanism according to some preferred embodiments is implemented;

Fig. 2 is a flow diagram of a process of performing inter-cell interference mitigation in a wireless communications network that includes equipment from multiple vendors, in accordance with some preferred embodiments; and

Fig. 3 is a block diagram of components in base stations from multiple different vendors, according to some preferred embodiments.

Detailed Description

[0007] In accordance with some preferred embodiments, a wireless communications network operated by a particular service provider includes communications equipment from multiple different vendors. Such communications equipment includes base stations that are used to perform wireless communications with mobile stations. The base stations associated with different vendors in the wireless communications network include at least a first base station of a first vendor

- 3 -

configuration, and a second base station of a second vendor configuration. A base station of a particular vendor configuration refers to a base station that has either or both of software and hardware configured or designed by the particular vendor. Thus, two base stations of different vendor configurations can differ in software components, hardware components, or both software and hardware components. Alternatively, two base stations of different vendor configurations can have the same software and/or hardware arrangements but with different settings.

[0008] In the wireless communications network, a link is provided between the base stations having different vendor configurations. Interference indicator messages can be communicated over the link between the base stations having different vendor configurations. Interference indicator messages received by a given base station are used by the given base station to calculate an interference decision metric. Based on the value of the interference decision metric, the base station is able to decide whether or not to schedule transmission of data in a current resource, or to delay the transmission of the data at a future time. In this manner, inter-cell site interference mitigation can be achieved.

[0009] Fig. 1 illustrates an example of a wireless communications network 100 that is operated by a service provider. The wireless communications network 100 includes multiple cell sites 102, with cell site A and cell site B depicted in the example of Fig. 1. It is noted that a typical wireless communications network would include many cell sites distributed throughout a particular country or throughout the world or other geographic region.

[0010] As shown in Fig. 1, cell site A includes a vendor A base station 104, while cell site B includes a vendor B base station 106. The base stations 104, 106 communicate wirelessly with mobile stations 101 located in the respective cell sites A and B. In some embodiments, the wireless communications technology that is used by the cell sites 102 shown in Fig. 1 is according to the EUTRA (Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access) standard from 3GPP (Third Generation Partnership Project). EUTRA is also referred to as Long Term Evolution (LTE). EUTRA is designed as an enhancement of the UMTS (Universal Mobile

- 4 -

Telecommunications System) wireless technology. As used here, reference to an EUTRA wireless communications network refers to the wireless communications network that conforms to the requirements of the EUTRA standard developed by 3GPP, as that standard currently exists or as the standard evolves over time. Note that EUTRA can refer to the current EUTRA standard, or to modifications of the EUTRA standard that are made over time. It is expected that in the future a standard that has evolved from EUTRA may be referred to by another name. It is contemplated that the term "EUTRA" as used here is intended to cover such future standards as well. In alternative preferred embodiments, wireless communications technologies according to other standards can be employed in the wireless communications network 100.

[0011] In the EUTRA context, each of the base stations 104 and 106 is an enhanced node B ("eNode B"). Each base station 104 or 106 can perform one or more of the following tasks: radio resource management, mobility management for managing mobility of mobile stations, routing of traffic, and so forth. More generally, the term "base station" can refer to a cellular network base station, an access point used in any type of wireless network, or any type of wireless transmitter to communicate with mobile stations. It is also contemplated that the term "base station" also refers to a femto base station or access point, a micro base station or access point, or a pico base station or access point.

[0012] The base station 104 is connected to a serving and/or packet data network or gateway 108, which terminates the user plane interface towards the enhanced node B and assumes the responsibility for packet routing and transfer towards an external network 120. The base station 106 is similarly connected to a serving and/or packet data network gateway 110. Note that the base stations 104 and 106 can be connected to the same serving and/or packet data network gateway.

[0013] The arrangement shown in Fig. 1 is provided for purposes of example. In other implementations, other network arrangements can be used.

[0014] As further shown in Fig. 1, a link 112 is provided between the vendor A base station 104 and vendor B base station 106. In the EUTRA context, the link 112

- 5 -

is in the form of the X2 interface, which provides a connection between eNode Bs. In alternative implementations, other types of interfaces can be provided between the vendor A base station 104 and vendor B base station 106. More generally, a “link” between base stations refers to any communications path (direct or indirect) between the base stations, where the communications path can include one or more routers, switches, gateways, and so forth.

[0015] Interference indicator messages can be exchanged between the vendor A base station 104 and the vendor B base station 106 over the link 112. Note that any one of the base stations in the wireless communications network 100 can receive interference indicator messages from multiple base stations over respective links.

[0016] Examples of the interference indicator messages include one or more of the following: relative narrowband transmit power (RNTP) indicator with respect to downlink signaling to a mobile station; an overload indicator (OI) indicator with respect to uplink signaling from the mobile station; and a high interference indicator (HII) indicator with respect to uplink signaling from the mobile station.

[0017] The RNTP indicator indicates, for each resource block (RB), whether or not a cell site intends to keep the downlink transmit power in the resource block below a certain threshold. This enables neighboring base stations to take into account the expected level of interference in each resource block when scheduling mobile stations in their own cell sites.

[0018] The high-interference indicator (HII) indicator provides information to neighboring cell sites about the part of the cell bandwidth upon which a particular cell site intends to schedule its cell-edge users. The overload indicator (OI) indicator provides information on the uplink interference level experienced in each part of the cell site bandwidth.

[0019] An EUTRA “resource block” or “RB” refers to a collection of subcarriers (of different frequencies) over some predefined time interval. Data (bearer traffic and/or control information) for transmission in the uplink or downlink is carried in the resource block, based on scheduling performed by base stations. In other

- 6 -

implementations, other types of resources can be used to communicate data. Generally, a “resource” refers to time slot(s), frequency carrier(s), or any other assignable element that can be used to carry data.

[0020] Fig. 2 is a flow diagram of a process of performing inter-cell site interference mitigation. Note that the “data transmission” can refer to either data transmission on the downlink (from the base station to the mobile station) or on the uplink (from the mobile station to the base station). It is assumed that the process of Fig. 2 is performed by the vendor A base station 104 in Fig. 1. However, note that the same procedure can be performed by the vendor B base station 106.

[0021] The vendor A base station 104 receives (at 202) one or more interference indicator messages. The one or more interference indicator messages can be received from the vendor B base station 106, or from the vendor B base station 106 and one or more other base stations. More generally, the vendor A base station 104 receives one or more interference indicator messages from neighboring base station(s). In some implementations, the neighboring base station(s) that can send interference indicator message(s) to the vendor A base station 104 is (are) the base station(s) that are part of the active set of a particular mobile station 101. The active set of base stations associated with a mobile station 101 refers to the base stations to which the mobile station can be handed off.

[0022] Based on the received interference indicator message(s), the vendor A base station 104 calculates (at 204) an interference decision metric. The interference decision metric can be a weighted sum (or other type of weighted aggregate) of all the interference indicators received from the neighboring base stations, for both the uplink and downlink. For example, the interference indicators include RNTP indicators, overload indicators, and high interference indicators. Calculating a weighted sum refers to summing products of interference indicator values and respective weights, such as in the following manner:

$$\text{Interference Decision Metric} = \sum_i w_i \cdot I_i ,$$

- 7 -

where $i = 1$ to N (N being the number of neighboring base stations), and w_i represents a weight to be applied to the value of the interference indicator I_i (received from neighboring base station interference indicator i). The interference indicator I_i can be an RNTP bit, a high interference indicator bit, or overload indicator bit, for example.

[0023] The weights that are applied to the downlink and uplink interference indicators are optimized based on the relative distances between the base stations (distance between vendor A base station and each neighboring base station) and wireless channel conditions (wireless channel condition between vendor A base station and each neighboring base station). A wireless channel condition can be measured using one of various indicators, such as a channel quality indicator (CQI), sounding reference signal (SRS), MIMO (multiple input, multiple output) precoding metric indicator (PMI) measurements, and so forth. PMI refers to an index to enable selection of a precoding vector (codeword) to be applied to wireless transmissions. Different values of PMI select different codewords for coding wireless transmissions. CQI is an indication of wireless channel quality between a base station and a mobile station. The sounding reference signal (SRS) is a reference signal sent by the mobile station to enable the base station to determine which path the mobile station will be using for uplink data.

[0024] The weighted sum (or other weighted aggregate) can be calculated over a single X2 message interval or averaged over multiple X2 message intervals. An X2 message interval refers to a particular time interval in which an interference indicator message is sent between base stations. Multiple X2 message intervals refers to multiple time intervals in which corresponding interference indicator messages are sent. Averaging over multiple X2 message intervals means that the values of the interference indicator messages received in the multiple X2 message intervals are averaged.

[0025] Once the interference decision metric is calculated, it is determined (at 206) whether the interference decision metric is less than a predefined threshold. More generally, instead of determining whether the interference decision metric is

- 8 -

less than the predefined threshold, it is determined (at 206) whether the interference decision metric has a first relationship or second relationship with respect to the threshold. Different tasks (208, 210) are performed based on the interference decision metric having different relationships with respect to the predefined threshold.

[0026] In the example of Fig. 2, if the interference decision metric is less than the predefined threshold, then the vendor A base station 104 schedules (at 208) data transmission (uplink or downlink) in a current resource block. Scheduling the data transmission in the “current” resource block refers to scheduling the data transmission in the next available resource block for the particular mobile station.

[0027] On the other hand, if the interference decision metric is not less than the threshold, the vendor A base station 104 schedules (at 210) data transmission (uplink or downlink) at a future time, after a delay ΔT . The delay ΔT can be a randomly generated latency computed in the vendor A base station 104.

[0028] Receipt of the overload indicator bit as set for a given resource block will be weighted similar to the foregoing discussion if above a given threshold. If the interference decision metric calculated based on the OI bits (weighted sum or other aggregate of OI bits) is above a given threshold, a second randomly generated latency will be applied to the scheduling of the resource block under consideration for the uplink.

[0029] Using techniques according to some embodiments, interference coverage gaps between cell sites provisioned with equipment from different vendors are addressed. The mechanism according to some embodiments is relatively simple to implement such that each vendor can implement such mechanism, while still allowing the vendor to employ their own proprietary interference mitigation algorithms.

[0030] Fig. 3 is a block diagram of an example arrangement including the vendor A base station 104 and the vendor B base station 106. Each of the vendor A base station 104 and vendor B base station 106 includes a corresponding network

- 9 -

interface 302 and 303, respectively, to communicate over the link 112. In some embodiments, in the EUTRA context, the link 112 is according to the X2 interface.

[0031] The vendor A base station 104 includes software 304 executable on a processor 306. The software 304 can include one or multiple software routines to perform various tasks associated with the vendor A base station 104. The processor 306 is connected to storage media 308, which can be implemented with disk-based storage media and/or semiconductor-based storage media.

[0032] The vendor A base station includes an antenna assembly 310 for communicating with mobile stations 101.

[0033] The vendor B base station 106 similarly includes software 312, processor 314, storage media 316, and antenna assembly 318.

[0034] Instructions of the software 304 and 312 are loaded for execution on a corresponding processor 306 or 314. The processors include microprocessors, microcontrollers, processor modules or subsystems (including one or more microprocessors or microcontrollers), or other control or computing devices. As used here, a "processor" can refer to a single component or to plural components (e.g., one CPU or multiple CPUs).

[0035] Data and instructions (of the software) are stored in respective storage devices, which are implemented as one or more computer-readable or computer-usable storage media. The storage media include different forms of memory including semiconductor memory devices such as dynamic or static random access memories (DRAMs or SRAMs), erasable and programmable read-only memories (EPROMs), electrically erasable and programmable read-only memories (EEPROMs) and flash memories; magnetic disks such as fixed, floppy and removable disks; other magnetic media including tape; and optical media such as compact disks (CDs) or digital video disks (DVDs). Note that the instructions of the software discussed above can be provided on one computer-readable or computer-usable storage medium, or alternatively, can be provided on multiple computer-readable or computer-usable storage media distributed in a large system having

- 10 -

possibly plural nodes. Such computer-readable or computer-usable storage medium or media is (are) considered to be part of an article (or article of manufacture). An article or article of manufacture can refer to any manufactured single component or multiple components.

[0036] In the foregoing description, numerous details are set forth to provide an understanding of the present invention. However, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that the present invention may be practiced without these details. While the invention has been disclosed with respect to a limited number of embodiments, those skilled in the art will appreciate numerous modifications and variations therefrom. It is intended that the appended claims cover such modifications and variations as fall within the scope of the invention.

The embodiments of the invention in which an exclusive property or privilege is claimed are defined as follows:

1. A system comprising:
a first base station of a first vendor configuration;
a second base station of a second vendor configuration; and
a link between the first and second base stations,
wherein the first base station is configured to:
 receive at least one interference indicator message from the second
 base station wherein the at least one interference indicator message includes
 one or more interference indicators; and
 schedule transmission of data between the first base station and a
 mobile station in a current resource block if an interference decision metric has
 a first relation to a predefined threshold; and
 delay transmission of the data between the first base station and the
 mobile station for a predetermined period of time if the interference decision
 metric has a second, different relation to the predefined threshold.
2. The system of claim 1, wherein the predetermined delay time period is a
randomly generated delay time period.
3. The system of claim 1, further comprising at least one additional base station
and a second link between the first base station and the at least one additional base
station, and wherein the first base station is configured to further:
 receive at least one interference indicator message from the at least one
 additional base station, wherein scheduling transmission of the data is further based on
 the at least one interference indicator message from the at least one additional base
 station.
4. The system of claim 1, wherein the interference decision metric is calculated by
performing a weighted aggregate based on the interference indicator values and
weights assigned to the respective interference indicator values.

- 12 -

5. The system of claim 4, wherein the first base station is configured to further:
calculate the weights based on conditions between the first base station and
respective ones of the second base station and the at least one additional base station.
6. The system of claim 5, wherein the conditions include one or more of (1)
corresponding distances between the first base station and respective ones of the
second base station and the at least one additional base station, and (2) channel
conditions of wireless channels between the first base station and respective ones of
the second base station and the at least one additional base station.
7. The system of claim 1, wherein the first base station is configured to further:
compare the calculated interference decision metric to a threshold;
schedule transmission of the data in the current resource if the calculated
interference decision metric has a first relation to the threshold; and
delay transmission of the data if the calculated interference decision metric has
a second, different relation to the threshold.
8. The system of claim 1, wherein the data to be scheduled for transmission is one
of uplink data and downlink data.
9. The system of claim 1, wherein the first and second base stations are
configured to operate according to an EUTRA (Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio
Access) standard.
10. A method comprising:
receiving, by a first base station of a first vendor configuration, at least one
interference indicator message from a second base station of a second vendor
configuration, wherein the at least one interference indicator message includes one or
more interference indicators;
calculating, by the first base station, an interference decision metric using a
weighted sum of the one or more interference indicators included in the at least one
interference indicator message;
scheduling transmission of data between the first base station and a mobile
station in a current resource block if the interference decision metric has a first relation

- 13 -

to a predefined threshold; and

delaying transmission of the data between the first base station and the mobile station for a predetermined period of time if the interference decision metric has a second, different relation to the predefined threshold.

11. The method of claim 10, further comprising:

receiving at least another interference indicator message from at least a third base station,

wherein calculating the interference decision metric comprises calculating a weighted aggregate based on indicator values in the received interference indicator messages.

12. The method of claim 11, wherein calculating the weighted aggregate comprises calculating an aggregate of products of indicator values with respective weights.

13. The method of claim 10, wherein the first and second base stations are configured to operate according to an EUTRA (Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access) standard.

14. A first base station of a first vendor configuration, comprising:

a network interface to a link to a second base station of a second vendor configuration;

a processor configured to:

receive at least one interference indicator message from the second base station wherein the at least one interference indicator message includes one or more interference indicators;

calculate an interference decision metric using a weighted sum of the one or more interference indicators included in the at least one interference indicator message; and

schedule transmission of data between the first base station and a mobile station in a current resource block if the interference decision metric has a first relation to a predefined threshold.

15. The first base station of claim 14, wherein the processor is configured to further:

- 14 -

receive at least another interference indicator message from a third base station of the second vendor configuration, wherein the interference decision metric is calculated based on the interference indicator messages from the second base station and the third base station.

16. The first base station of claim 14, the processor is further configured to delay transmission of the data by a predetermined delay if the interference decision metric has a second relation to the predefined threshold.

17. The first base station of claim 14, wherein the first base station is configured to operate according to an EUTRA (Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access) standard.

1 / 3




FIG. 1

2 / 3




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

INTER-CELL SITE
INTERFERENCE MITIGATION

RECEIVE INTERFERENCE
INDICATOR MESSAGE(S)

202

CALCULATE INTERFERENCE
DECISION METRIC

204

206
INTERFERENCE
DECISION METRIC <
THRESHOLD
?

NO

YES

SCHEDULE DATA
TRANSMISSION AT
A FUTURE TIME,
AFTER DELAY

210

SCHEDULE DATA
TRANSMISSION
IN CURRENT
RESOURCE BLOCK

208