



(11) **EP 4 282 780 B1**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION**

(45) Date of publication and mention
of the grant of the patent:
13.11.2024 Bulletin 2024/46

(21) Application number: **23174604.1**

(22) Date of filing: **22.05.2023**

(51) International Patent Classification (IPC):
B65F 1/02 *(2006.01)*

(52) Cooperative Patent Classification (CPC):
B65F 1/02; B65F 1/16; B65F 1/1473;
B65F 2210/182

(54) **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR THE AUTOMATIC CLASSIFICATION OF THE FORMAT OF WASTE DELIVERED INTO A PUBLIC DUMPSTER PROVIDED WITH SENSORS**

VERFAHREN UND VORRICHTUNG ZUR AUTOMATISCHEN FORMATKLASSIFIZIERUNG VON ABFALL, DER AN EINEN MIT SENSOREN AUSGESTATTETEN ÖFFENTLICHEN MÜLLDEPONIER GELIEFERT WIRD

PROCÉDÉ ET DISPOSITIF POUR LA CLASSIFICATION AUTOMATIQUE DU FORMAT DES DÉCHETS DÉLIVRÉS DANS UN BENNE PUBLIQUE MUNI DE CAPTEURS

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB
GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC ME MK MT NL
NO PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR

(30) Priority: **27.05.2022 IT 202200011162**

(43) Date of publication of application:
29.11.2023 Bulletin 2023/48

(73) Proprietor: **Adgenera Srl**
10135 Torino (IT)

(72) Inventors:
• **FONTANA, Fabrizio**
13043 Cigliano VC (IT)
• **CASAZZA, Roberto**
10128 Torino (IT)

- **FURANTI, Massimo**
46040 Monzambano MN (IT)
- **PACE, Lorenzo**
10141 Torino (IT)
- **CERRE', Elisabetta**
46040 Monzambano MN (IT)

(74) Representative: **Modiano, Micaela Nadia et al**
Modiano & Partners
Via Meravigli, 16
20123 Milano (IT)

(56) References cited:
EP-A1- 2 641 851 EP-A1- 3 256 405
EP-A1- 3 913 336 WO-A1-2011/058287
WO-A1-2020/100026

Note: Within nine months of the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent in the European Patent Bulletin, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to that patent, in accordance with the Implementing Regulations. Notice of opposition shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

Description

[0001] The present invention relates to a method and an apparatus for the automatic classification of the format of waste delivered into a public dumpster provided with sensors.

[0002] It is known to provide public waste dumpsters with sensors, typically using ultrasonic or infrared technology, in order to determine the fill level of the dumpster and/or the volume of the delivered waste. The sensed data can be transmitted to an operations center, for example for the purpose of monitoring and planning the routes of vehicles adapted to empty the dumpsters as well as for the purpose of providing a spot pricing of the waste delivered by the individual user.

[0003] The present invention advantageously relates particularly to the latter application. EP3256405 discloses an apparatus for the automatic classification of the volume of waste delivered into a dumpster, according to the preamble of claim 4.

[0004] Some known systems of the above cited type, for example the system described in Italian Patent No. 102017000125066 in the name of this same Applicant, provide for calculating the volume of the delivered waste on the basis of the difference between the fill level measured before and after delivery.

[0005] Such systems, while being very accurate in determining the volume of the waste, have the drawback of being rather expensive to provide indeed because of said accuracy, which for many applications may be excessive compared to actual needs.

[0006] As is known, in fact, waste bags can be classified according to standard formats set by the local public administration [for example, 15 liters (small), 40 liters (medium), 110 liters (large), and 220 liters (oversized)], and in most cases it is only required to classify the waste delivered into the dumpster on the basis of the standard formats rather than determining its exact volume.

[0007] To this end, other known systems provide for detecting the volume of the bag on the basis of a tag associated with the bag, a bag which, therefore, must be of a special type.

[0008] Systems of this type meet the above cited classification requirement but have other drawbacks.

[0009] First of all, of course, the reliability of the system depends on the diligence of users in using only special bags fitted with tags, which moreover have a much higher cost than traditional bags, and in not throwing in loose waste, which the system cannot detect.

[0010] Moreover, also in order to promote the use of such special bags, it is advisable for the local administration to provide an efficient bag distribution system, with correlated logistical difficulties and costs.

[0011] An additional drawback of known systems is that the tags can easily become damaged during distribution and/or transport of the bags, whether empty or full, given the flexibility and lightness of the medium to which the tags are fixed (i.e., typically, the plastic sheet

of which the bag is made). As is well known to the person skilled in the art, this circumstance considerably reduces the reliability of the system.

[0012] In addition to the drawbacks described above, it should be considered that depending on local regulations, the presence of the tag may cause the bag to become special waste, with consequent complications in relation to the planning and application of separate collection.

[0013] In view of the above, the aim of the present invention is to provide a method and an apparatus for the automatic classification of the format of waste delivered into a public dumpster equipped with sensors which overcomes the drawbacks cited above of known systems and in particular allows to classify the format of delivered waste with high accuracy and reliability, regardless of whether it is generic loose waste, a traditional waste bag, or a recovery bag of any format and in any state of preservation, all of the above with considerably reduced costs with respect to known systems.

[0014] Within this aim, an object of the invention is to provide a method and an apparatus that can be easily optimized, in terms of cost and detection accuracy, as a function of the different classifications provided by local administrations.

[0015] This aim and this object, as well as others that will become better apparent from the following description, are achieved by a method and an apparatus having the characteristics set forth in the accompanying independent claims, while the dependent claims define other advantageous, albeit secondary, characteristics of the invention.

[0016] The invention will now be described in greater detail, with reference to some preferred but not exclusive embodiments thereof, illustrated by way of non-limiting example in the accompanying drawings, wherein:

Figure 1 is a schematic sectional view, taken along a vertical plane, of a generic dumpster incorporating an apparatus for carrying out the method according to the invention;

Figure 2 is a schematic view of an optical sensor of a type suitable for use in the apparatus of Figure 1; Figure 3 is a schematic plan view of some components of the apparatus of Figure 1;

Figures 4a, 4b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 5c are views, similar to Figure 1, of the generic dumpster during some steps of the method according to an advantageous embodiment of the invention;

Figures 6 and 7 are two charts related to some steps of the method according to the embodiment of Figures 4a, 4b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 5c.

[0017] With reference to Figure 1, a generic dumpster 10 provided with a lid 11 is adapted to hold a plurality of waste bags S1, S2, S3, S4 and of generic loose waste.

[0018] In Figure 1, the bags S1, S2, S3, S4 are of a standard format and have respective nominal volumes

V1, V2, V3, V4, for example 15 liters, 40 liters, 110 liters, and 220 liters, respectively.

[0019] The dumpster 10 is provided with a plurality of optical sensors 12 placed in an elevated position that does not interfere with the area adapted to accommodate the waste. In this embodiment, the optical sensors 12 are placed proximate to the lid 11 and are functionally directed toward the bottom 10a of the dumpster 10.

[0020] The optical sensors 12 are connected to a control unit CU programmed to detect the surface profile of the waste inside the dumpster 10 on the basis of the signals received from the optical sensors. The expression "surface profile," in the present description and in the claims, means the three-dimensional reconstruction of the overall surface of the mass of waste inside the dumpster that is detectable from above by means of the optical sensors 12. Of course, this is an average profile estimated on the basis of the detections made.

[0021] As shown schematically in Figure 2, in a per se conventional manner, the optical sensors 12 may comprise an emitter 12E adapted to emit a light beam in the infrared range, and a receiver 12R adapted to receive the return light beam by reflection on the generic object located in front. The distance h from the object is calculated on the basis of the time elapsed between the emission of the light beam and its reception.

[0022] Advantageously, it is possible to use sensors based on the technology known as ToF (Time of Flight), provided with a low-power laser emitter with a wavelength of 940 nm having a grid of 16×16 sensors of the SPAD (Single Photon Avalanche Diode) type. This grid allows to derive one or more distance measurements (which in turn are derived from a subset of adjacent SPADs with a minimum size of 4×4). By way of example, commercial sensors of this type are the VL53L1, VL53L3, VL53L5 models (and their submodels) manufactured by STMicroelectronics NV.

[0023] In a per se known manner, the dumpster 10 can also be equipped with a mechanized hatch 13 associated with an authentication system ID which uses per se conventional technologies, for example Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), Near Field Communication (NFC), alphanumeric codes, bar codes, QR codes, and the like. The user, by authenticating via the authentication system ID, causes the hatch 13 to open so that he can deliver the waste.

[0024] As shown in Figure 3, in this embodiment the optical sensors 12 are distributed on a horizontal plane along longitudinal rows and transverse rows which are equally spaced by an interval d so as to form an array.

[0025] Advantageously, the optical sensors 12 may be incorporated into a plurality of electronic devices of the type described in EP3913336A1 in the name of the same Applicant, wherein each of the devices may include, for example, a longitudinal row of optical sensors 12.

[0026] In the classification method according to the invention, the control unit CU is programmed to:

- calculate a volumetric datum on the basis of the difference between the surface profile of the waste detected after the delivery and the surface profile detected before the delivery,
- compare the volumetric datum calculated as above with preset threshold values on the basis of specific standard formats,
- on the basis of said comparison, associate the delivered waste with the corresponding format, and
- generate a corresponding format information for subsequent processing.

[0027] In a per se known manner, the format information generated as above may be stored by the control unit CU for later retrieval by an operator, or (if the control unit CU is provided with a communication system) transmitted directly to a central unit (not shown), for example for the purpose of spot pricing.

[0028] It should be noted that in the method according to the invention, the preset threshold values are numerical data that can be determined experimentally and normally will not correspond to the nominal volumes of the standard bags according to the classification adopted.

[0029] Merely by way of example, if the local classification provides for the formats of 15 liters (small), 40 liters (medium), 110 liters (large) and 220 liters (oversized), the threshold values converted to liters may be 19, 63, 120 and 184, respectively.

[0030] It is evident that the greater the number of sensors 12, the more accurate the datum obtained will be. However, increasing the number of sensors inevitably leads to an increase in cost, which for many applications may be unjustified.

[0031] In order to further minimize the number of sensors required to recognize the format to which the delivered waste belongs, the density of sensors in relation to the chosen spatial distribution (which density, in the example described here, is directly correlated to the interval d between the longitudinal and transverse rows of sensors) is advantageously determined in a preliminary learning and calibration procedure which comprises the following steps:

- for each standard format, making a series of detections by placing a standard format bag in full configuration in respective different positions on the horizontal plane and respective different heights (corresponding to different levels of filling of the dumpster), so as to determine, by difference between the surface profile detected before and after each placement, a respective nominal volumetric datum correlated to the nominal volume of the bag, and
- determining on a statistical basis an optimal sensor density that allows the recognition of each bag format with accuracy above a predetermined tolerable error threshold.

[0032] In the embodiment described here, the learning

and calibration procedure provides for performing a first detection starting from an empty configuration of the dumpster (Figure 4a) and then, for each bag format, a series of successive detections by placing the bag S in different positions on the bottom 10a of the dumpster 10 (Figures 4b and 4c).

[0033] New measurements are then made with the bag in a raised position relative to the bottom 10a of the dumpster, for example on a platform 14 appropriately adapted for the purpose (Figures 5a, 5b and 5c).

[0034] The same method is then repeated with the bag positioned at increasingly greater heights from the bottom, until a situation corresponding to a substantially complete filling level of the dumpster 10 is achieved.

[0035] The greater the number of detections made in different positions, the greater the accuracy of the statistical datum that can be inferred.

[0036] Once such detections have been performed, a statistical distribution curve is derived for each bag format, for example a Gaussian curve (Figures 6 and 7), and then the Gaussian curves related to the various formats are compared.

[0037] Should the Gaussian curves related to the various formats have excessive overlaps (Figure 6), it will be necessary to increase sensor density (i.e., reduce the interval d between sensors in the example described here), since the system is unable to discriminate between the various formats S1, S2, S3 and S4.

[0038] If, on the contrary, the Gaussian curves are found to be too far apart (Figure 7), sensor density can be reduced (i.e., the interval between sensors in the example described here can be increased) until the tolerable error threshold mentioned above is reached.

[0039] It has been found that with the calibration procedure described above, the interval d between the sensors distributed on a flat array as in the embodiment described here is correlated to the nominal volumes V_n of the n bag formats according to the relation

$$d = \min (d_d, d_r)$$

wherein

$$d_d = \min (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$$

where

$$F_n = C_d \frac{(V_n - V_{n-1})}{V_{n-1}} V_n$$

while

$$d_r = C_r \sqrt[3]{V_2}$$

[0040] In the above formulas, C_d is a first coefficient comprised between 0.24 and 0.36, while C_r is a second coefficient comprised between 4.85 and 6.85.

[0041] In practice, d_d is determined by calculating the value of F_n for each pair of consecutive bags in terms of nominal volume (in the above example, the first pair is constituted by the 15-liter and 40-liter bags, the second pair is constituted by the 40-liter and 110-liter bags, and the third pair is constituted by the 110-liter and 220-liter bags) and then selecting the minimum value from those calculated. Regarding d_r , V_2 is the nominal volume of the next largest bag with respect to the standard minimum format (40 liters in the example described above).

[0042] It has been found in practice that, with reference to the most common applications, the interval d is normally comprised between 150 and 250 mm.

[0043] Advantageously, the standard minimum bag format is a datum that can be stored in the control unit CU for the purpose of the minimum charge to be attributed to delivered waste. That is to say, any waste with a volume smaller than the minimum standard format will still be treated as a minimum standard format bag.

[0044] As the person skilled in the art will be able to appreciate, the method according to the invention, even more so in view of the preliminary learning and calibration procedure described above as particularly advantageous, makes it possible to classify with high accuracy the format that is to be assigned to the delivered waste, minimizing the number of sensors (with consequent cost containment) and without making an accurate measurement of the actual volume of the waste.

[0045] Moreover, the apparatus can be optimized on the basis of the specific classification adopted by the local administration, simply by varying the interval between the sensors.

[0046] Advantageously, the connections between the sensors 12, the authentication system ID, and the control unit CU are provided by wireless technology, for example Bluetooth®, simplifying both the installation and the adaptation of the arrangement of the sensors 12 on the basis of the classification adopted by the local administration.

[0047] Moreover, as the person skilled in the art will appreciate, the elimination of wiring is particularly advantageous both in terms of cost and in relation to the specific application discussed here, since it makes the system less susceptible to damage and wear.

[0048] A preferred embodiment of the invention has been described, but the person skilled in the art may of course make several modifications and variations within the scope of the claims.

[0049] For example, the sensors could be distributed again on a flat array but spaced differently in the axial and transverse directions.

[0050] In another example, the sensors could have a spherical sector-like arrangement, in which the spacing between the sensors in the radial and/or angular direction can be varied during the learning and calibration procedure.

ture in order to optimize the operation of the system, as described above.

[0051] Where technical features mentioned in any claim are followed by reference signs, those reference signs have been included for the sole purpose of increasing the intelligibility of the claims and accordingly such reference signs do not have any limiting effect on the interpretation of each element identified by way of example by such reference signs.

Claims

1. A method for the automatic classification of the format of waste delivered into a public dumpster (10) provided with a plurality of optical sensors (12) which are arranged in an elevated position which does not interfere with the area adapted to accommodate the waste and are directed functionally toward the bottom (10a) of the dumpster (10), a control unit (CU) being programmed to detect the surface profile of the waste in the dumpster (10) on the basis of the signals received from said optical sensors (12), wherein said control unit (CU) is programmed to:

- calculate a volumetric datum on the basis of the difference between the surface profile of the waste detected after delivery and the surface profile detected before delivery,
- compare said calculated volumetric datum with threshold values which are preset on the basis of specific standard formats,
- on the basis of said comparison, associate the delivered waste with the corresponding format, and
- generate corresponding format information for subsequent processing.

2. The method according to claim 1, **characterized in that** the density of sensors in relation to a predetermined spatial distribution is determined by means of a preliminary learning and calibration procedure which comprises the following steps:

- for each standard format, performing a series of detections by arranging a standard format bag in a full configuration in respective different positions on the horizontal plane and at respective different heights, so as to determine, by difference between the surface profile detected before and after each placement, a respective nominal volumetric datum which is correlated with the nominal volume of the bag, and
- determining, on a statistical basis, an optimum density of sensors which allows the recognition of each bag format with an accuracy that is higher than a predetermined tolerable error threshold.

3. The method according to claim 2, **characterized in that** the optimum density of sensors is determined by obtaining a statistical distribution curve for each standard format and by comparing the curves related to the various standard formats so as to

- increase the density of sensors if the curves related to the various formats have excessive overlaps,
- reduce the density of sensors if the curves related to the various formats are too distant, until said preset tolerable error threshold is reached.

4. An apparatus for the automatic classification of the format of waste delivered into a dumpster (10), comprising

- a plurality of optical sensors (12),
 - a control unit (CU) which is connected to said optical sensors (12),
- characterized in that** the plurality of optical sensors (12) are arranged in a elevated position which does not interfere with the area of the dumpster (10) which is adapted to accommodate the waste and are directed functionally toward the bottom (10a) of the dumpster; and **in that** said control unit (CU) is programmed to

- detect the surface profile of the waste inside the dumpster on the basis of the signals received from the optical sensors,
- calculate a volumetric datum on the basis of the difference between the surface profile of the waste detected after delivery and the surface profile of the waste detected before delivery,
- compare said volumetric datum with preset threshold values on the basis of specific standard formats,
- on the basis of said comparison, associate the delivered waste with the corresponding format, and
- generate corresponding format information for subsequent processing.

5. The apparatus according to claim 4, wherein said optical sensors (12) are distributed on a horizontal plane along longitudinal rows and transverse rows which are evenly spaced by an interval d so as to form a matrix, **characterized in that** said interval d is correlated to the nominal volumes V_n of the n bag formats according to the relation

$$d = \min (d_a, d_r)$$

wherein

$$d_d = \min(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$$

where

$$F_n = C_d \frac{(V_n - V_{n-1})}{V_{n-1}} V_n$$

while

$$d_r = C_r \sqrt[3]{V_2}$$

wherein C_d is a first coefficient comprised between 0.24 and 0.36, C_r is a second coefficient comprised between 4.85 and 6.85, F_n is a value calculated for each pair of consecutive bags in terms of nominal volume, and V_2 is the nominal volume of the next largest bag with respect to the standard minimum format.

6. The apparatus according to claim 5, **characterized in that** said standard minimum format is stored in the control unit (CU).

7. The apparatus according to one of claims 4-6, **characterized in that** said optical sensors (12) are connected to said control unit (CU) via wireless technology.

8. The apparatus according to one of claims 4-7, **characterized in that** it comprises an authentication system (ID) which is adapted to enable the opening of a hatch (13) of the dumpster (10) for the delivery of waste by an authenticated user.

9. The apparatus according to claim 8, **characterized in that** said authentication system (ID) is connected to said control unit (CU) via wireless technology.

10. The apparatus according to one of claims 4-9, **characterized in that** said optical sensors are of the type based on ToF (Time of Flight) technology, provided with a low-power laser emitter with a wavelength of 940 nm, having a grid of 16×16 sensors of the SPAD (Single Photon Avalanche Diode) type.

Patentansprüche

1. Ein Verfahren zur automatischen Formatklassifizierung von Abfall, der in einen öffentlichen Müllcontainer (10) gegeben wird, der mit einer Vielzahl optischer Sensoren (12) ausgestattet ist, welche in einer erhöhten Position angeordnet sind, die den zur Aufnahme des Abfalls ausgebildeten Bereich nicht blockieren und funktionell zum Boden (10a) des Müll-

containers (10) hin ausgerichtet sind; wobei eine Steuerungseinheit (control unit, CU) programmiert ist, um das Oberflächenprofil des Abfalls im Container (10) anhand der von den optischen Sensoren (12) empfangenen Signale zu bestimmen; wobei die Steuerungseinheit (CU) programmiert ist, um

- auf der Grundlage des Unterschieds zwischen dem nach der Abgabe erfassten Oberflächenprofil des Abfalls und dem vor der Abgabe erfassten Oberflächenprofil des Abfalls einen volumetrischen Festpunkt zu berechnen,
- den berechneten volumetrischen Festpunkt mit Schwellenwerten zu vergleichen, die auf der Grundlage spezifischer Standardformate vordefiniert werden,
- den abgegebenen Abfall auf der Grundlage des Vergleichs mit dem entsprechenden Format zu verknüpfen und
- entsprechende Formatinformationen für die Weiterverarbeitung zu erzeugen.

2. Das Verfahren gemäß Anspruch 1, **dadurch gekennzeichnet, dass** die Dichte von Sensoren im Verhältnis zu einer vordefinierten räumlichen Verteilung mit Hilfe eines vorbereitenden Lern- und Kalibrierungsverfahrens bestimmt wird, welches folgende Schritte umfasst:

- für jedes Standardformat, das Durchführen einer Reihe von Bestimmungen durch Anordnen einer Tüte im Standardformat in einer vollen Konfiguration in jeweiligen verschiedenen Positionen auf der horizontalen Ebene und auf jeweiligen verschiedenen Höhen, um anhand des Unterschieds zwischen den Oberflächenprofilen, die vor und nach jeder Positionierung erfasst werden, einen jeweiligen nominalen volumetrischen Festpunkt zu bestimmen, welcher mit dem Nennvolumen der Tüte korreliert; und
- das Bestimmen, auf statistischer Grundlage, einer optimalen Dichte der Sensoren, die die Erkennung jedes Tütenformats mit einer Genauigkeit gestattet, die über einer vordefinierten zulässigen Fehlerschwelle liegt.

3. Das Verfahren gemäß Anspruch 2, **dadurch gekennzeichnet, dass** die optimale Dichte von Sensoren bestimmt wird durch Erstellen einer statistischen Verteilungskurve für jedes Standardformat und durch Vergleichen der Kurven, die mit den verschiedenen Standardformaten verknüpft sind, um

- die Sensordichte zu erhöhen, wenn die mit den verschiedenen Formaten verknüpften Kurven exzessive Überlappungen haben,
- die Sensordichte zu verringern, wenn die mit den verschiedenen Formaten verknüpften Kur-

ven zu weit entfernt sind, bis die vordefinierte zulässige Fehlerschwelle erreicht ist.

4. Eine Vorrichtung zur automatischen Formatklassifizierung von Abfall, der in einen Müllcontainer (10) gegeben wird, Folgendes umfassend:

- eine Vielzahl optischer Sensoren (12),
- eine Steuerungseinheit (CU), die mit den optischen Sensoren (12) verknüpft ist;

dadurch gekennzeichnet, dass die Vielzahl optischer Sensoren (12) in einer erhöhten Position angeordnet ist, die den zur Aufnahme des Abfalls ausgebildeten Bereich des Containers (10) nicht blockiert, und funktionell zum Boden (10a) des Müllcontainers hin ausgerichtet ist; und dadurch, dass die Steuerungseinheit (CU) programmiert ist, um

- das Oberflächenprofil des Abfalls im Container anhand der von den optischen Sensoren empfangenen Signale zu bestimmen,
- auf der Grundlage des Unterschieds zwischen dem nach der Abgabe erfassten Oberflächenprofil des Abfalls und dem vor der Abgabe erfassten Oberflächenprofil des Abfalls einen volumetrischen Festpunkt zu berechnen,
- den volumetrischen Festpunkt mit vordefinierten Schwellenwerten auf der Grundlage spezifischer Standardformate zu vergleichen,
- den abgegebenen Abfall auf der Grundlage des Vergleichs mit dem entsprechenden Format zu verknüpfen und
- entsprechende Formatinformationen für die Weiterverarbeitung zu erzeugen.

5. Die Vorrichtung gemäß Anspruch 4, wobei die optischen Sensoren (12) auf einer horizontalen Ebene entlang Längsreihen und Querreihen verteilt sind, die gleichmäßig um einen Abstand d beabstandet sind, um eine Matrix zu bilden; **dadurch gekennzeichnet, dass** der Abstand d mit den Nennvolumina V_n der n Tütenformate entsprechend folgender Relation korreliert:

$$d = \min(d_d, d_r),$$

worin

$$d_d = \min(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n),$$

worin

$$F_n = C_d \frac{(V_n - V_{n-1})}{V_{n-1}} V_n$$

während

$$d_r = C_r \sqrt[3]{V_2}$$

worin C_d ein erster Koeffizient zwischen 0,24 und 0,36 ist, C_r ein zweiter Koeffizient zwischen 4,85 und 6,85 ist, F_n ein Wert ist, der für jedes Paar aufeinander folgender Tüten im Hinblick auf das Nennvolumen berechnet wird, und V_2 das Nennvolumen der nächstgrößten Tüte mit Bezug auf das Standard-Mindestformat ist.

6. Die Vorrichtung gemäß Anspruch 5, **dadurch gekennzeichnet, dass** das Standard-Mindestformat in der Steuerungseinheit (CU) gespeichert ist.

7. Die Vorrichtung gemäß einem der Ansprüche 4-6, **dadurch gekennzeichnet, dass** die optischen Sensoren (12) mit der Steuerungseinheit (CU) über drahtlose Technologie verbunden sind.

8. Die Vorrichtung gemäß einem der Ansprüche 4-7, **dadurch gekennzeichnet, dass** sie ein Authentifizierungssystem (ID) umfasst, das ausgebildet ist, um zum Zwecke der Abgabe von Abfall durch einen authentifizierten Benutzer das Öffnen einer Luke (13) des Containers (10) zu ermöglichen.

9. Die Vorrichtung gemäß Anspruch 8, **dadurch gekennzeichnet, dass** das Authentifizierungssystem (ID) mit der Steuerungseinheit (CU) über drahtlose Technologie verbunden ist.

10. Die Vorrichtung gemäß einem der Ansprüche 4-9, **dadurch gekennzeichnet, dass** die optischen Sensoren von dem Typ sind, der auf ToF- (Time of Flight-)Technologie basiert, ausgestattet mit einem Kleinleistungslaser-Emitter mit einer Wellenlänge von 940nm; ein Raster von 16×16 Sensoren vom Typ SPAD (Single Photon Avalanche Diode) aufweisend.

50 Revendications

1. Procédé pour la classification automatique du format de déchets mis en place dans une benne à ordures publique (10) munie d'une pluralité de capteurs optiques (12) qui sont agencés dans une position élevée qui n'interfère pas avec la zone adaptée pour recevoir les déchets et sont dirigés fonctionnellement vers le fond (10a) de la benne à ordures (10),

une unité de contrôle (CU) étant programmée pour détecter le profil de surface des déchets dans la benne à ordures (10) sur la base des signaux reçus desdits capteurs optiques (12), dans lequel ladite unité de commande (CU) est programmée pour :

- calculer une donnée volumétrique sur la base de la différence entre le profil de surface des déchets détecté après mise en place et le profil de surface détecté avant mise en place,
- comparer ladite donnée volumétrique calculée avec des valeurs de seuil qui sont préétablies sur la base de formats standards spécifiques,
- sur la base de ladite comparaison, associer les déchets mis en place au format correspondant, et
- générer des informations de format correspondantes pour un traitement ultérieur.

2. Procédé selon la revendication 1, **caractérisé en ce que** la densité de capteurs par rapport à une distribution spatiale prédéterminée est déterminée au moyen d'une procédure d'apprentissage et d'étalonnage préliminaire qui comprend les étapes suivantes :

- pour chaque format standard, effectuer une série de détections en disposant un sac de format standard dans une configuration complète dans des positions différentes respectives sur le plan horizontal et à des hauteurs différentes respectives, de manière à déterminer, par différence entre le profil de surface détecté avant et après chaque mise en place, une donnée volumétrique nominale respective qui est corrélée avec le volume nominal du sac, et
- déterminer, sur une base statistique, une densité optimale de capteurs qui permet la reconnaissance de chaque format de sac avec une précision supérieure à un seuil d'erreur tolérable prédéterminé.

3. Procédé selon la revendication 2, **caractérisé en ce que** la densité optimale de capteurs est déterminée en obtenant une courbe de distribution statistique pour chaque format standard et en comparant les courbes liées aux différents formats standard de manière à

- augmenter la densité de capteurs si les courbes liées aux différents formats présentent des chevauchements excessifs,
- réduire la densité de capteurs si les courbes liées aux différents formats sont trop éloignées, jusqu'à ce que ledit seuil d'erreur tolérable prédéfini soit atteint.

4. Appareil pour la classification automatique du format

de déchets mis en place dans une benne à ordures (10), comprenant

- une pluralité de capteurs optiques (12)
- une unité de contrôle (CU) qui est connectée auxdits capteurs optiques (12)

caractérisé en ce que la pluralité de capteurs optiques (12) sont agencés dans une position élevée qui n'interfère pas avec la zone de la benne à ordures (10) qui est adaptée pour recevoir les déchets et sont dirigés fonctionnellement vers le fond (10a) de la benne à ordures ; et **en ce que** ladite unité de contrôle (CU) est programmée pour

- détecter le profil de surface des déchets à l'intérieur de la benne à ordures sur la base des signaux reçus des capteurs optiques,
- calculer une donnée volumétrique sur la base de la différence entre le profil de surface des déchets détecté après la livraison et le profil de surface des déchets détecté avant mise en place,
- comparer ladite donnée volumétrique avec des valeurs de seuil prédéfinies sur la base de formats standards spécifiques,
- sur la base de ladite comparaison, associer les déchets mis en place au format correspondant, et
- générer des informations de format correspondantes pour un traitement ultérieur.

5. Appareil selon la revendication 4, dans lequel lesdits capteurs optiques (12) sont répartis sur un plan horizontal le long de rangées longitudinales et de rangées transversales qui sont espacées de manière régulière d'un intervalle d de manière à former une matrice, **caractérisé en ce que** ledit intervalle d est corrélé aux volumes nominaux V_r , des n formats de sac selon la relation

$$d = \min(d_d, d_r)$$

dans laquelle

$$d_d = \min(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$$

où

$$F_n = C_d \left(\frac{V_n - V_{n-1}}{V_{n-1}} \right) V_n$$

alors que

$$d_r = C_r \sqrt[3]{V_2}$$

dans laquelle Cd est un premier coefficient compris entre 0,24 et 0,36, Cr est un second coefficient compris entre 4,85 et 6,85, Fn est une valeur calculée pour chaque paire de sacs consécutifs en termes de volume nominal, et V2 est le volume nominal du sac le plus grand suivant par rapport au format minimum standard.

6. Appareil selon la revendication 5, **caractérisé en ce que** ledit format minimum standard est stocké dans l'unité de contrôle (CU). 5 10
7. Appareil selon l'une quelconque des revendications 4 à 6, **caractérisé en ce que** lesdits capteurs optiques (12) sont connectés à ladite unité de contrôle (CU) via une technologie sans fil. 15
8. Appareil selon l'une quelconque des revendications 4 à 7, **caractérisé en ce qu'il** comprend un système d'authentification (ID) qui est adapté pour permettre l'ouverture d'une trappe (13) de la benne à ordures (10) pour la mise en place de déchets par un utilisateur authentifié. 20
9. Appareil selon la revendication 8, **caractérisé en ce que** ledit système d'authentification (ID) est connecté à ladite unité de contrôle (CU) via une technologie sans fil. 25
10. Appareil selon l'une quelconque des revendications 4 à 9, **caractérisé en ce que** lesdits capteurs optiques sont du type basé sur la technologie ToF (Time of Flight (temps de vol)), pourvus d'un émetteur laser de faible puissance avec une longueur d'onde de 940 nm, présentant une grille de 16 x 16 capteurs du type SPAD (Single Photon Avalanche Diode (diode à avalanche de photons unique)). 30 35

40

45

50

55




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4a




Fig. 4b




Fig. 4c




Fig. 5a




Fig. 5b






Fig. 5c



REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- EP 3256405 A [0003]
- IT 102017000125066 [0004]
- EP 3913336 A1 [0025]