



- (51) International Patent Classification:  
*G01R 31/36* (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:  
PCT/EP2016/078811
- (22) International Filing Date:  
25 November 2016 (25.11.2016)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (71) Applicant: **VOLVO TRUCK CORPORATION**  
[SE/SE]; 405 08 Göteborg (SE).
- (72) Inventors: **LEGNEDAHL, Niklas**; Onsala Blåsippväg  
17, 439 36 Onsala (SE). **BRYNGELSSON, Hann**; Vävla-  
garegatan 3 C, 416 58 Göteborg (SE).
- (74) Agent: **VOLVO TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION**;  
Volvo Group Intellectual Property, BF14100, M1.7, 405 08  
Göteborg (SE).

MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published:**

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK,

(54) Title: METHOD AND ARRANGMENT FOR CLASSIFYING A VOLTAGE FAULT CONDITION IN AN ELECTRICAL STORAGE SYSTEM




FIG. 3

(57) Abstract: The present invention relates to a method and arrangement for classifying a voltage fault condition of a battery comprising a plurality of cells for a vehicle. The method comprises detecting a cell voltage of one of the cells (S1), determining that the cell has a voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage being outside a predetermined voltage range (S2), classifying the severity of the voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage (S3), performing at least one diagnostic test routine, based on the severity of the voltage fault condition, to provide a cause for the voltage fault condition (S4), selecting and adapting a battery operation condition, based on the severity of the voltage fault condition and the cause, such that the battery is enabled to continue to operate the vehicle (S5).

WO 2018/095534 A1

## METHOD AND ARRANGMENT FOR CLASSIFYING A VOLTAGE FAULT CONDITION IN AN ELECTRICAL STORAGE SYSTEM

### TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to method and arrangement for classifying a voltage fault  
5 condition in a battery for a hybrid vehicle or electric vehicle. The invention also relates to a  
vehicle comprising such an arrangement.

### BACKGROUND

In the field of vehicles, there is an increase in research and development related to  
propulsion of vehicles with alternative power sources, i.e. power sources being used as  
10 alternatives to conventional internal combustion engines.

An internal combustion engine, for example in the form of a gasoline engine or a diesel  
engine, offers high efficiency with relatively low fuel consumption. However,  
environmental concerns have caused an increase in development of more environmental-  
15 friendly power sources for vehicles. In particular, the development of electrically operated  
vehicles has emerged as a promising alternative.

There exist various types of vehicle propulsion systems comprising electric machines. For  
example, a vehicle can be operated by means of an electric machine solely, or by means  
20 of an arrangement comprising both an electric machine and an internal combustion  
engine. The latter alternative is often referred to as a hybrid vehicle (HEV), and can for  
example be utilized in a manner in which an internal combustion engine is used for  
operating the vehicle while driving outside urban areas whereas the electric machine can  
be used in urban areas or in environments in which there is a need to limit the discharge  
25 of harmful pollutants such as carbon monoxide and oxides of nitrogen. According to  
known technology, electric machines are operated by means of a storage system for  
electrical energy arranged in the vehicle, typically in the form of one or a plurality of  
battery unit(s) which is formed by a plurality of rechargeable battery cells and an  
associated control unit.

30

In the context of this disclosure, the term "electrically operated vehicles" refers both to  
pure electric vehicles and to hybrid electric vehicles. Some hybrid electric vehicles may be  
referred to as plug-in hybrid electric vehicle. A plug-in hybrid electric vehicle uses an

energy storage system with rechargeable batteries or another suitable energy source which can be restored into a condition involving a full charge through a connection to an external electric power supply, and may also be driven by e.g. a internal combustion engine to recharge the batteries.

5

The technology involved in electrically operated vehicles is closely related to the development of chargeable batteries. Today, lithium-ion batteries are considered as the most appropriate battery technology for range, power, and recharging time.

- 10 When an electrically operated vehicle is operated, the battery need to be continuously monitored to avoid a breakdown of cells in the battery pack. This allows a battery controller to stop charge and discharge from a battery pack to protect the battery pack. Thereby, downtime and expensive maintenance or even replacement of the battery unit or a cell therein may be avoided, for example by automatically stopping the operation of the  
15 vehicle when the parameters of the battery unit indicate an error.

- An exemplary system for protecting an energy storage system is disclosed in US patent application no. 2010/0121511, where the a module management electronics may place battery modules in protective modes based upon the performance of each module, or  
20 battery cell therein, based on comparison to known or configurable specifications of the battery. For example, if the module management electronics detect a too high or low temperature in a battery module, the battery module may be placed in a permanent shutdown protective mode for later replacement. Further, the main controller of the battery array may determine the state of health (SOH) of the battery modules by looking at  
25 several parameters of the battery module. When the SOH of a battery module drops below a threshold SOH, the main controller may alert the user that the battery modules are in need of servicing.

- However, the solution disclosed in in US patent application no. 2010/0121511 suffers from  
30 a number of drawbacks such as reducing the number of available battery modules for operating the vehicle which may stop or hinder the user arriving at their intended destination or the operation of the vehicle altogether due to temperature issues or the like.

- Hence, there is a desire to provide an improved system or method for protecting battery  
35 modules or cells while improving the uptime of the battery pack.

## SUMMARY

It is an object of the present invention to improve the current state of the art, to at least partly alleviate the above problems, and to provide an improved arrangement and method for classifying voltage fault condition in a battery.

5

According to a first aspect of the invention, these and other objectives are at least partly met by a method for classifying a voltage fault condition of a battery comprising a plurality of cells for a vehicle, the method comprises:

- detecting a cell voltage of one of the cells;
- 10 - determining that the cell has a voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage being outside a predetermined voltage range;
- classifying the severity of the voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage;
- performing at least one diagnostic test routine, based on the severity of the voltage fault condition, to provide a cause for the voltage fault condition;
- 15 - selecting and adapting a battery operation condition, based on the severity of the voltage fault condition and the cause, such that the battery is enabled to continue to operate the vehicle.

According to a second aspect of the invention, these and other objectives are at least partly met by an arrangement for classifying a voltage fault condition of a battery comprising a plurality of cells for a vehicle, the arrangement comprises:

- a sensor arranged to detect a cell voltage of at least one of the cells; and
- a control unit configured to determine that the cell has a voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage being outside a predetermined voltage range, and classify the severity of
- 25 the voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage;
- the control unit is further configured to perform at least one diagnostic test routine to provide a cause for the voltage fault condition and adapt a battery operation condition, based on the severity of the voltage fault condition and the cause, such that the battery is enabled to continue to operate the vehicle.

30

The present invention is based on the realization that the cell voltage of the cells of a battery may end up outside a predetermined voltage range due to several causes which need not be directly dangerous or damaging to the battery. Hence, permanently suspending operation of the battery pack or cell in question may not be necessary. By

35 classifying the severity of the voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage, the

invention provides a quicker way identify the apparent cause of the voltage fault condition by performing at least one diagnostic test routine, based on the severity of the voltage fault condition. Thereby, a correct battery operation condition may be selected and adapted, based on the severity of the voltage fault condition and the cause, such that the battery is enabled to continue to operate the vehicle. The expression selecting and adapting a battery operation condition should be understood to comprise taking an action which reduces the severity, or eliminates the cause of the voltage fault condition. Hence, the present invention provides an improved manner of identifying the relevant cause, or causes, behind a voltage fault condition. Thereby, the cause may be identified with less operations and tests which are time and/or energy consuming.

A predetermined voltage range for the cell may be e.g. a voltage range of 1V to 10V. Alternatively, a predetermined voltage range for the cell may be e.g. a voltage range of 1.0V to 5.0V, or 2.7V to 3.4V, or 2.7V to 4.0V. Hence, a voltage outside such a range may be utilized to determine that a voltage fault condition is present. The voltage range may depend on the chemistry of the cell, and also the power window and energy window that is required. Both the power window (i.e. the SOC window where the power requirement are met) and the energy window may influence the voltage range and thus the trigger conditions for a voltage fault condition. It should thus be understood that the present invention may be used to advantage with a wide range of batteries and battery cell chemistries.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the method further comprises repeating the steps of the method for a portion of the cells of a battery. Stated differently, the method is repeatedly performed for a portion of the cells of the battery, or for all the cells of the battery in order to classify the voltage fault condition of the whole battery.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the method further comprises increasing the severity of the voltage fault condition based on historical data. For example, the severity may be increased if a voltage fault condition has occurred previously for the cell. This means that a less serious voltage fault condition may be classified as more severe if a voltage fault condition has occurred more than once. Thereby, more severe cause(s) and reason(s) behind the voltage fault condition may be identified even if the severity of the voltage fault condition is low. In another example, the severity may be decreased if no voltage fault condition has occurred previously. The expression historical data should be

interpreted as data based on the previous performance of the battery and/or cells such as voltage fault conditions, or data based on previous performance of a battery and/or cells which are of similar make and/or type and installed in a similar vehicle. The data may be stored in a control unit, or may be retrieved using a wired or wireless network from a  
5 remote database.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the step of classifying the severity of the voltage fault condition further comprises classifying the voltage fault condition to be of low severity, medium severity, high severity or breakdown severity. A low severity should be  
10 understood to mean that selecting and adapting a battery operation condition comprises adapting the battery itself, whereas for the medium severity, high severity and breakdown severity comprise adapting control parameters for the vehicle and vehicle control unit(s) in order to reduce the severity of the voltage fault condition. Stated differently, a certain cause leading to a voltage fault condition may be classified differently based on  
15 information relating to the vehicles previous action. Further, it is not always the battery control unit which measures and controls the power output from the battery. Hence, even if the battery control unit has sent information relating to a predetermined limit of power usage, the vehicle control unit may exceed this limit due to actions of e.g. the driver which causes the voltage to exceed the predetermined threshold. An adapted control parameter  
20 can thus introduce a "hard" limit to the vehicle control unit which is not allowed to be exceeded. This means that the exceeded power usage may be an isolated incident, and the corresponding voltage fault condition be classified at a lower severity based on data from the vehicle control unit(s). Thereby, it should also be understood that if the data received from the vehicle control unit(s) indicated that the power usage has not been  
25 exceeded; the voltage fault condition severity is classified based on the cell voltage.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the step of selecting and adapting a battery operation condition further comprises transmitting an adapted control parameter to the vehicle control unit. The control parameter may comprise information relating to at least  
30 one of: maximum allowable power withdrawal or maximum allowable energy withdrawal if a lower voltage threshold has been exceeded, alternatively a maximum allowable charge power or maximum allowable charged energy if an upper voltage threshold has been exceeded, and a highest or lowest allowable temperature for usage.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises measuring the cell temperature and determining whether the cell temperature is outside a predetermined cell temperature working range. The predetermined cell temperature range may be -30 to 90 degrees centigrade, or -10 to 60 degrees centigrade. The cells of the battery may degrade, in particular the state of power of the battery, and the cell, may become degraded due to being subjected by a temperature outside of the predetermined cell temperature working range for an extended period of time. Further, this may of course indicated a malfunction in a heating/cooling system for the battery. A cell temperature outside the predetermined cell temperature working range is of medium severity.

10

In at least one exemplary embodiment, selecting and adapting a battery operation condition comprises heating or cooling the cell. Devices and arrangements for heating and cooling a battery, and cells therein, are known in the art, for example fans, or cooling channels having a heating/cooling medium therein being led or pumped in thermal connection with the cell in question. Hence, any such heating or cooling method or structure may be used to advantage with the present invention. By bringing the cell temperature into the predetermined cell temperature working range by operating a cooling or heating device, the cause of voltage fault condition is counteracted.

20 In at least one exemplary embodiment, the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises determining whether a current or power withdrawn or applied from or to the cell exceeds a predetermined current or power threshold. The determination may be made for momentaneous withdrawal of current or power, or over a predetermined period of time such as a driving cycle, hours, minutes, or seconds. Stated differently, by determining whether current or power withdrawn from the cell exceeds a predetermined amount instantaneously or over a period of time the cause for the voltage fault condition is determined as current or power overshoot. Hence, a power pulse provided from the battery to the vehicle which exceeds the predetermined parameters is detrimental to the battery. A current or power overshoot may be of medium severity. A current or power overshoot may be of high severity depending on the amplitude and duration of the current or power overshoot.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises determining whether the cell is unbalanced compared to the rest of the cells of the battery. The determination that the cell is unbalanced with regard to the rest of the cells of the

35

battery may be based on the voltage level at which the balancing determination was made, or the time since last balancing period, or the degree of completion of the last balancing period, and the amount of current through cell the since last balancing period. Stated differently, the determination that the cell is unbalanced with regard to the rest of the cells of the battery may not simply comprising determining a state-of-balance, SOB, but also other factors involved in balancing. An unbalanced cell may be of low severity.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises determining whether the state of charge, SOC, of the cell is inaccurate. The determination that the SOC of the cell is inaccurate may be based on based on the voltage level at which the previous SOC determination from open circuit voltage (OCV) was made, temperature at which the previous SOC determination from OCV was made, amount of current through cell since the last OCV determination, and an inaccuracy of a current sensor. Alternatively or additionally, an inaccurate SOC may also be detected and determined based on the voltage of the cell reaching either a high or low voltage under load. A high or low voltage should hereby be understood as a voltage outside a predetermined voltage range for the cell. An inaccurate SOC of the cell may be of low severity.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises determining whether the cell has failed. The determination whether the cell has failed may be based on the cell reaching both a cell voltage above and below the predetermined voltage range under load, or that the cell that reaches has the lowest voltage under load also has the lowest voltage under no load, or that the cell having the highest voltage under load also has the highest voltage under no load. Alternatively, the determination whether the cell has failed may be based whether a cell has a resistance higher than a failure threshold, or whether the capacity and/or the resistance of the cell differentiates from the rest of the cells of the battery. A threshold difference in capacity between the cell and the rest of the cell of the battery may be a capacity which is 10% lower than a mean or median value of the capacity of the cells of the battery. The failure threshold of resistance will be floating over life and it will be relative to what it should be at the given time and the actual threshold is in voltage, 2.2 and 4.0V, at a power (current) that should have been possible at that age based on historical or known data. A cell failure may be of breakdown severity.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises determining whether the state-of-health, SOH, of the cell is inaccurate. Hence, if none of the other diagnostic test routines returned a cause for voltage fault condition the SOH of the cell is deemed inaccurate and is therefore lowered to take into account the lower SOH  
5 for future use of the cell. Additionally, determining the SOH of the cell is inaccurate may comprise performing the method for a plurality of cells, such as 5, 10, 15, 20 or more cell, and determining that the SOH is inaccurate based on the result of no cause being determined for a voltage fault condition for the plurality of cells. For example, in order to reduce the likelihood that voltage fault condition occurs for a cell with an inaccurate SOH,  
10 the power usage and settings for ageing may be adapted. Power usage and settings for ageing should be interpreted as limiting the power able to be withdrawn from the cell, and reducing the available total capacity from the cell in a battery control unit. Further, in one exemplary embodiment the battery control unit may transmit data regarding the power usage and setting to a vehicle control unit in order to limit the power withdrawal  
15 requirements from the vehicle control unit. An inaccurate SOH of the cell may be of low severity.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises determining whether disturbances on the traction voltage are present. Disturbances on  
20 the traction voltage may be from a component with intermittent isolation problems (to ground), or intermittent short circuit pole-pole causing EMC behavior outside the specified traction voltage. Alternatively, components may be going on/off and causing the behavior on the traction voltage not to be according to expectation. Disturbances on the traction voltage may be of medium severity.

25

In at least one exemplary embodiment, selecting and adapting a battery operation comprises at least one of the following: changing a parameter in a control algorithm, charging the cell, discharging the cell, balancing the electrical storage system, or performing a SOH calibration. Changing a parameter in a control algorithm may comprise  
30 adapting the maximum state-of-power (SOP) from the battery pack in the control unit, or adapting the state-of-charge (SOC) window such that a cell voltage fault condition is less likely to occur. Stated differently, the allowable high and low limit of SOC for the cell may be decreased such that the SOC window is moved or narrowed. Further, selecting and adapting a battery operation may comprise adapting a temperature control parameter as  
35 disclosed in the International Patent Application PCT/EP2015/062946 by the same

inventors to increase the available power at the end-of-life of the battery and/or cell, the reference is hereby incorporated in entirety. Further, in one exemplary embodiment the battery control unit may transmit data regarding the power usage and setting to a vehicle control unit in order to limit the power withdrawal requirements from the vehicle control  
5 unit.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the method further comprises the step of transmitting the detected cell voltage, the at least one diagnostic test, the severity, the cause and the battery operation selected or adapted. The detected cell voltage, the at  
10 least one diagnostic test, the severity, the cause and the battery operation selected or adapted may be sent to a remote database via a wired or wireless connection such that the historical data of the cause and other parameter may be provided to other arrangements and/or vehicles utilizing the inventive concept.

15 According to a further aspect of the invention, the invention may also be embodied by a computer program product comprising computer program code. Which computer program code is configured to perform the steps of the method according to any of the previous described exemplary embodiments when executed by a processor.

20 According to a further aspect of the invention, a vehicle comprising an arrangement for classifying a voltage fault condition of a battery comprising a plurality of cells for a vehicle in accordance with the second aspect is also part of the general inventive concept. The vehicle may be a commercial vehicle such as a truck, bus, or work machine. Alternatively, the vehicle may be an automobile.

25

Further features of, and advantages with, the present invention will become apparent when studying the appended claims and the following description. The skilled addressee realize that different features of the present invention may be combined to create embodiments other than those described in the following, without departing from the  
30 scope of the present invention.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The various aspects of the invention, including its particular features and advantages, will be readily understood from the following detailed description and the accompanying drawings, in which:

- 5 Figure 1 shows a schematic view of a hybrid vehicle in the form of a bus, in which the present invention can be used.

Figure 2 is a schematic view of an energy storage management system for a vehicle, in accordance with embodiment of the invention.

10

Figure 3 is a flowchart which illustrates a method for classifying voltage fault condition in a battery according to at least one embodiment of the invention, and

- Figure 4 is an exemplary graph illustrating the operation of a method for classifying  
15 voltage fault condition in a battery according to at least one embodiment of the invention

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EXAMPLE EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION

- The present invention will now be described more fully hereinafter with reference to the  
20 accompanying drawings, in which currently preferred embodiments of the invention are shown. This invention may, however, be embodied in many different forms and should not be construed as limited to the embodiments set forth herein; rather, these embodiments are provided for thoroughness and completeness, and fully convey the scope of the invention to the skilled addressee. Like reference characters refer to like elements  
25 throughout.

- With initial reference to figure 1, there is shown a simplified perspective view of a vehicle in the form of a bus 1. The bus 1 is of the so-called plug-in hybrid type and is equipped with an internal combustion engine 2 and an electric machine 3 which are connected to  
30 each other via a clutch 4. Both the internal combustion engine 2 and the electrical machine 3 can be used to drive the bus 1. The electric machine 3 is connected to a gearbox 5, which in turn is connected to a driven axle 6 of the bus 1, in this case the rear axle. However, in principle both axles or either axle, or the front axle may be the driven axle. In a manner which is known as such and therefore not described in detail, the  
35 internal combustion engine 2 and the electric machine 3 can be used for driving the axle

6. According to the embodiment, the electric machine 3 is used as a combined electric drive motor and generator, and is suitably also used as a starter motor for the internal combustion engine 2. However, the electric machine 3 may equally well be separate from a generator and/or starter motor for the internal combustion engine 2.

5

The bus 1 carries an electric energy storage system 7 which comprises a battery pack 7a which in turn comprises a plurality of battery cells (not shown in detail in figure 1). The battery cells are connected in series to provide an output DC voltage having a desired voltage level. Suitably, the battery cells are of lithium-ion type, but other types may in principle also be used.

The energy storage system 7 also comprises a sensor unit 7b which is arranged for measuring one or more predetermined parameters which are indicative of the state of operation of the battery pack 7a. The sensor unit 7b may be configured for measuring the voltage of the battery pack 7a and each individual battery cell. Furthermore, the sensor unit 7b may be configured for measuring an alternative parameter, such as the battery current and the temperature of the battery pack 7a and each individual battery cell. Also, the sensor unit 7b can be used for measuring further signals which are related to the status of the performance, in terms of its power, capacity or other suitable parameters, of the battery pack 7a and each individual battery cell. As will be described in detail below, the parameter or parameters measured by means of the sensor unit 7b can be utilized in further processes.

In the embodiment shown in figure 1, the energy storage system 7 is arranged on the roof of the bus 1. However, the energy storage system 7 may be arranged anywhere suitable in the vehicle. In particular, the energy storage system 7 may often be arranged in the lower portions of the vehicle 1 such that the center of mass is lower. The above-mentioned components of the propulsion system of the bus 1, including the energy storage system 7, are connected to a vehicle control unit 20.

30

Even though this disclosure refers to a battery pack 7a used in a vehicle 1 in the form of a bus, it can be applied in virtually any type of vehicle which has an energy storage system comprising a battery pack with a number of battery cells. For example, the invention can be applied in hybrid electric vehicles (HEV), full electric vehicles (FEV), battery electric vehicles (BEV), plug-in hybrid vehicles (PHEV) and other forms of vehicles using

35

batteries. The invention may be used in a truck or a construction equipment machine. The invention can also be used in marine vessels and applications involving power plants and other situations in which battery packs of the above-mentioned type are used.

5 During certain modes of operation of the bus 1, it is suitable to use the electric machine 3 for operating the bus 1. This means that the energy storage system 7 will deliver power to the electric machine 3, which in turn is driving the rear axle 6. The manner in which an electric machine and an internal combustion engine can be combined and used for operating a vehicle is generally previously known and for this reason, it is not described in  
10 any greater detail here.

The bus 1 is equipped with a first electric connector element 9, for example in the form of a plug or pantograph. The first electric connector element 9 is arranged to be connected to a second electric connector element 10, for example in the form of an external electrical  
15 plug or an overhead electrical conductor wire, or any other form of an external electrical connector. The external connector 10 is connected to an external electrical power supply 11, and may thus charge the energy storage system 7 when connected. Hence, the present invention is also suitable to use in a HEV, FEV, and BEV where the vehicle may operate without contact with an external power supply 11 during operation.

20

The bus 1 also comprises a vehicle control unit 20. The vehicle control unit 20 comprises electronic circuits and connections (not shown) as well as processing circuitry (not shown) such that the vehicle control unit 20 can communicate with different parts of the bus 1 such as the brakes, suspension, driveline, in particular the internal combustion engine 2,  
25 the electric machine 3, the clutch 4, and the gearbox 5 in order to operate the bus 1. The vehicle control unit 20 may comprise modules in either hardware or software, or partially in hardware or software and communicate using known transmission buses such as CAN-bus. The processing circuitry may be a general purpose processor or a specific processor. The vehicle control unit 20 comprises a non-transistery memory for storing computer  
30 program code and data upon. Thus, the skilled addressee realizes that the vehicle control unit 20 may be embodied by many different constructions. The vehicle control unit 20 is connected to the battery control unit 8 of the battery for transmitting and receiving information, such as power requests as a result of the driver of the vehicle 1 operating the vehicle 1.

35

Figure 2 is a simplified schedule of the battery pack 7a and associated components of the vehicle 1. The battery pack 7a comprises a number of battery cells C1, C2, C3, ... etc. (also referred to with reference "C"). Typically the number of battery cells is in the range of 90 to 400 cells, although the specific number may vary. The battery cells are of the lithium ion type, although the principles of the invention are equally applicable to other types of battery cells.

The battery pack 7a is connected to the electric machine 3 and is configured for operating the electric machine 3 (which in turn operates the vehicle 1). Furthermore, the battery pack 7a is connected to the sensor unit 7b, which in turn is connected to a battery control unit 8.

The sensor unit 7b may be configured for measuring the voltage, temperature or other parameters of the battery pack 7a. Consequently, the sensor unit 7b is configured for measuring the terminal voltage U for each battery cell C and for transmitting information related to the measured voltage values to the battery control unit 8. As mentioned above, the sensor unit 7b may be configured to measure further parameters, such as the current and the temperature each individual battery cell C1, C2, C3... etc. Also, the sensor unit 7b may be used for measuring further signals which are related to the status of the performance, in terms of its power, capacity or other suitable parameters, of each individual battery cell of the battery 7a.

Turning to the present invention, an arrangement for classifying a voltage fault condition of the battery pack 7a comprises the sensor unit 7b arranged to detect a cell voltage of one of the cells C and the battery control unit 8. In the inventive concept the battery control unit 8 is configured to determine that a cell C, e.g. one of the cells has a voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage being outside a predetermined voltage range. A predetermined voltage range for the cell may be e.g. a voltage range of 1V to 10V. Alternatively, a predetermined voltage range for the cell may be e.g. a voltage range of 1.0V to 5.0V, or 2.7V to 3.4V, or 2.7V to 4.0V. Hence, a voltage outside such a range may be utilized to determine that a voltage fault condition is present. The voltage range may depend on the chemistry of the cell, and also the power window and energy window that is required. Both the power window and the energy window may influence the voltage range and thus the trigger conditions for a voltage fault condition. It should thus be

understood that the present invention may be used to advantage with a wide range of batteries and battery cell chemistries.

The battery control unit 8 is further configured to classify the severity of the voltage fault  
5 condition based on the cell voltage. Stated differently, the battery control unit 8 classifies the severity of the voltage fault condition based on the magnitude of the measured cell voltage.

The control unit 8 is further configured to perform at least one diagnostic test routine to  
10 provide a cause for the voltage fault condition. Hereby, one advantage with the inventive concept should be understood, as the battery control unit 8 starts to perform diagnostic test routines for causes which are likely to correspond to the measured severity of the voltage fault condition, the battery control unit 8 is likely to find that cause or causes in a quicker manner than simply randomly testing causes for voltage fault conditions.

15

The battery control unit 8 is further configured to select and adapt a battery operation condition, based on the severity of the voltage fault condition, such that the battery is enabled to continue to operate the vehicle.

20 A diagnostic test routine should be in the context of this application be construed as a test to identify and verify the cause(s) and reason(s) which lead to the voltage fault condition. This will be further exemplified in the following in conjunction with figures 3 and 4.

Further, the likely causes and diagnostic test routines explained in the following for the  
25 method should be understood to be applicable also for the battery control unit 8. In particular the battery control unit 8 may communicate with the vehicle control unit 20.

To classify the severity of the voltage fault condition may comprise classifying the voltage fault condition to be of low severity, medium severity, high severity or breakdown severity.  
30 A low severity should be understood to mean that selecting and adapting a battery operation condition comprises adapting the battery itself, whereas for the medium severity, high severity and breakdown severity comprise adapting control parameters for the vehicle via the vehicle control unit 20 in order to reduce the severity of the voltage fault condition. Stated differently, a certain cause leading to a voltage fault condition may  
35 be classified differently based on information relating to the vehicles previous action.

Further, it is not always the battery control unit 8 which measures and controls the power output from the battery 7. Hence, even if the battery control unit 8 has sent information relating to a predetermined limit of power usage, the vehicle control unit 20 may exceed this limit due to actions of e.g. the driver which causes the voltage to exceed the  
5 predetermined threshold. This means that the exceeded power usage may be an isolated incident, and the corresponding voltage fault condition be classified at a lower severity based on data from the vehicle control unit 20. Thereby, it should also be understood that if the data received from the vehicle control unit 20 indicates that the power usage has not been exceeded; the voltage fault condition severity is classified based on the cell voltage.

10

It should be understood that the functions of the battery control unit 8 may be best understood in the following description in relation to a method elucidated by the flowchart figure 3 and the graph in figure 4. However, it should of course be noted that the functionality of the battery control unit 8 may be embodied wholly in hardware or software,  
15 or partially in software and partially by hardware. The battery control unit 8 may comprise a general purpose processor, a non-transitory memory, and electronic circuits required for the battery control unit 8 to communicate with at least the sensor unit 7b, the battery pack 7a, and also electronic circuits to drive a processor and memory. Further, the battery control unit 8 may comprise electronic circuits required for communication wired or  
20 wirelessly with an external database, such as a remote server and the vehicle control unit 20. Hence, it is understood that portions of the functionality may be embodied by software modules stored on a non-transistory memory, or by hardware modules (not shown) comprised in the battery control unit 8.

25 Figure 3 is a flowchart which illustrates a method for classifying voltage fault condition in a battery according to at least one embodiment of the invention. The method may for example be carried out using the components and systems illustrated and described in conjunction with figure 1 and 2.

30 The method comprises a first step, S1, of detecting a cell voltage of one of the cells C. The cell voltage may be detected for example via the sensor unit 7b shown in figure 2.

The method comprises a further step, S2, of determining that the cell has a voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage being outside a predetermined voltage range. A  
35 predetermined voltage range for the cell may be e.g. a voltage range of 2.7 to 4.0 V.

Hence, by comparing the measured cell voltage U with the predetermined voltage range, a determination can be made that a voltage fault condition is present. The control unit 8 may make the comparison between the measured cell voltage U and the predetermined voltage range.

5

It should of course be noted that if no voltage fault condition is present, i.e. the cell voltage is within the predetermined voltage range, the method typically continues to measure another cell of the battery. It is of course also possible that the method is performed in parallel simultaneously for a portion of the cells of the battery or for all the cells of the  
10 battery.

The method comprises a further step, S3, of classifying the severity of the voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage. In other words, the severity is dependent on the magnitude of the voltage fault condition. Hence, a measured voltage having a higher  
15 deviation from the predetermined voltage range may directly lead to a higher severity being classified while a measured voltage having a lower deviation from the predetermined voltage range may lead to a lower severity.

Step S3 may further comprises increasing the severity of the voltage fault condition based  
20 on historical data. For example, the severity may be increased if a voltage fault condition has occurred previously for the cell. This means that a less serious voltage fault condition may be classified as more severe if a voltage fault condition has occurred more than once. Thereby, more severe cause(s) and reason(s) behind the voltage fault condition may be identified even if the severity of the voltage fault condition is low. The expression  
25 historical data should be interpreted as data based on the previous performance of the battery and/or cells such as voltage fault conditions, or data based on previous performance of a battery and/or cells which are of similar make and/or type and installed in a similar vehicle. The data may be stored in a control unit, or may be retrieved using a wired or wireless network from a remote database.

30

Step S3 may further comprises classifying the voltage fault condition to be of low severity, medium severity, high severity or breakdown severity. A low severity should be understood to mean that, later in the method, selecting and adapting a battery operation condition comprises adapting the battery itself, whereas for the medium severity, high  
35 severity and breakdown severity comprise adapting control parameters for the vehicle and

vehicle control unit(s) in order to reduce the severity of the voltage fault condition. Stated differently, a certain cause leading to a voltage fault condition may be classified differently based on information relating to the vehicles previous action. Further, it is not always the battery control unit which measures and controls the power output from the battery.

5 Hence, even if the battery control unit has sent information relating to a predetermined limit of power usage, the vehicle control unit may exceed this limit due to actions of e.g. the driver which causes the voltage to exceed the predetermined threshold. An adapted control parameter can thus introduce a “hard” limit to the vehicle control unit which is not allowed to be exceeded. This means that the exceeded power usage may be an isolated  
10 incident, and the corresponding voltage fault condition be classified at a lower severity based on data from the vehicle control unit(s). Thereby, it should also be understood that if the data received from the vehicle control unit(s) indicated that the power usage has not been exceeded; the voltage fault condition severity is classified based on the cell voltage. Exemplary ranges for the severity level(s) are exemplified in figure 4.

15

The method comprises a further step, S4, of performing at least one diagnostic test routine to provide a cause for the voltage fault condition. Exemplary diagnostic test routines to detect specific cause(s) will be explained in the following. However, the skilled addressee realizes that the inventive concept encompasses also suitable tests not  
20 explicitly noted, and may in principle use any test which is capable of identifying and providing a cause for the voltage fault condition.

The method comprises a further step, S5, of selecting and adapting a battery operation condition, based on the severity of the voltage fault condition and the cause, such that the  
25 battery is enabled to continue to operate the vehicle. Exemplary battery operation conditions will be exemplified in conjunction with figure 4.

In some exemplary embodiments, the step S5 comprises at least one of the following: changing a parameter in a control algorithm, charging the cell, discharging the cell,  
30 balancing the electrical storage system, or performing a SOH calibration. Changing a parameter in a control algorithm may comprise adapting the maximum state-of-power (SOP) from the battery pack in the control unit, or adapting the state-of-charge (SOC) window such that a cell voltage fault condition is less likely to occur. Stated differently, the allowable high and low limit of SOC for the cell may be decreased such that the SOC  
35 window is moved or narrowed. Further, selecting and adapting a battery operation may

comprise adapting a temperature control parameter as disclosed in the International Patent Application PCT/EP2015/062946 by the same inventors to increase the available power at the end-of-life of the battery and/or cell, the reference is hereby incorporated in entirety. Further, in one exemplary embodiment the battery control unit may transmit data  
5 regarding the power usage and setting to a vehicle control unit in order to limit the power withdrawal requirements from the vehicle control unit.

In at least one exemplary embodiment, the step S5 may further comprise transmitting adapted control parameter to the vehicle control unit. The control parameter may  
10 comprise information relating to at least one of: maximum allowable power withdrawal, maximum allowable energy withdrawal.

The method may further comprise repeating the steps of the method, as indicated by the arrow from S5 to S1, for a portion of the cells of a battery, or for all the cells of the battery.  
15 Stated differently, the method is repeatedly performed for a portion of the cells of the battery, or for all the cells of the battery in order to classify the voltage fault condition of the whole battery.

In an alternative embodiment the method may optionally further comprise a step S6 of  
20 transmitting the detected cell voltage, the at least one diagnostic test, the severity, the cause and the battery operation selected or adapted. The detected cell voltage, the at least one diagnostic test, the severity, the cause and the battery operation selected or adapted may be sent to a remote database via a wired or wireless connection such that the historical data of the cause and other parameter may be provided to other  
25 arrangements and/or vehicles utilizing the inventive concept.

Figure 4 is a graph showing the normal operating regions of the cell voltage as well as regions outside the normal operating range. Hence, the areas outside the normal operating region are examples of voltage fault conditions. For the sake of brevity, the text  
30 under "Perform test and adapt" is only noted on the voltage levels above the predetermined voltage range and not on the levels below the predetermined voltage range, the same of course applies to these levels as the levels of corresponding severity. As mentioned above, the predetermined voltage range may be 2.7V to 3.4V, but may also differ for different types of batteries and applications etc. Outside the normal operating  
35 range, both below and above the normal operating range, regions of voltage fault

conditions are shown. The regions of voltage fault conditions closer to the normal operating range are regions of lower severity, with regard to the magnitude of the voltage fault condition without taking the cause into account. Hence, a voltage fault condition being detected in the exemplary ranges of 2.5V to 2.7V or 3.4V to 3.6V will be deemed to  
5 be off low severity. A voltage fault condition being detected in the exemplary ranges of 2.2V to 2.5V or 3.6V to 3.8V will be deemed to be off medium severity. A voltage fault condition being detected in the exemplary ranges of 2.0V to 2.2V or 3.8V to 4.0V will be deemed to be off high severity. It should of course be noted that a voltage fault condition outside those exemplary boundaries would cause a cell failure to be classified directly and  
10 the cell to be disconnected from the rest of the battery if possible, otherwise the control unit may cause the available power from the battery to be reduced to low level but still sufficient for the vehicle in which the invention is installed to safely stop or reach a service station. Alternatively, such a high voltage fault condition may trigger a direct shut-down of the battery for safety reasons.

15

The arrow A1 represents time during the operation of the method. Firstly, a detection of the cell voltage and a possible voltage fault condition is performed, for example according to the regions shown in figure 4, thereafter the voltage fault condition is classified, upon which the most likely cause will be investigated using at least one diagnostic test routine.  
20 When the cause(s) is/are found a battery operation condition is selected and adapted such that the battery is enabled to continue to operate the vehicle.

A low severity cause may be an unbalanced cell. Hence, the diagnostic test routine may comprise determining whether the cell is unbalanced compared to the rest of the cells of  
25 the battery. The determination that the cell is unbalanced with regard to the rest of the cells of the battery may be based on the voltage level at which the balancing determination was made, or the time since last balancing period, or the degree of completion of the last balancing period, and the amount of current through cell the since last balancing period. Stated differently, the determination that the cell is unbalanced with  
30 regard to the rest of the cells of the battery may not simply comprising determining a state-of-balance, SOB, but also other factors involved in balancing.

A low severity cause may be an inaccurate SOC of the cell. Hence, the diagnostic test routine may comprise determining whether the state of charge, SOC, of the cell is  
35 inaccurate. The determination that the SOC of the cell is inaccurate may be based on

based on the voltage level at which the previous SOC determination from open circuit voltage (OCV) was made, temperature at which the previous SOC determination from OCV was made, amount of current through cell since the last OCV determination, and an inaccuracy of a current sensor. Alternatively or additionally, an inaccurate SOC may also  
5 be detected and determined based on the voltage of the cell reaching either a high or low voltage under load. A high or low voltage should hereby be understood as a voltage outside a predetermined voltage range for the cell.

A low severity cause may be an inaccurate SOH of the cell. Hence, the diagnostic test  
10 routine may comprise determining whether the state-of-health, SOH, of the cell is inaccurate. Hence, if none of the other diagnostic test routines returned a cause for voltage fault condition the SOH of the cell is deemed inaccurate and is therefore lowered to take into account the lower SOH for future use of the cell. Additionally, determining the SOH of the cell is inaccurate may comprise performing the method for a plurality of cells,  
15 such as 5, 10, 15, 20 or more cell, and determining that the SOH is inaccurate based on the result of no cause being determined for a voltage fault condition for the plurality of cells. For example, in order to reduce the likelihood that voltage fault condition occurs for a cell with an inaccurate SOH, the power usage and settings for ageing may be adapted. Power usage and settings for ageing should be interpreted as limiting the power able to  
20 be withdrawn from the cell, and reducing the available total capacity from the cell in a battery control unit. Further, in one exemplary embodiment the battery control unit may transmit data regarding the power usage and setting to a vehicle control unit in order to limit the power withdrawal requirements from the vehicle control unit.

25 A medium severity cause may be an abnormal temperature of the cell. Hence, the diagnostic test routine may comprise measuring the cell temperature and determining whether the cell temperature is outside a predetermined cell temperature working range. The predetermined cell temperature range may be -30 to 90 degrees centigrade, or -10 to 60 degrees centigrade. Further, this may of course indicated a malfunction in a  
30 heating/cooling system for the battery. Selecting and adapting a battery operation condition may thus comprise heating or cooling the cell. By bringing the cell temperature into the predetermined cell temperature working range by operating a cooling or heating device, the cause of voltage fault condition is counteracted.

A medium severity cause may be current or power overshoot. Hence, the diagnostic test routine may comprise determining whether a current or power withdrawn or applied from or to the cell exceeds a predetermined current or power threshold. The determination may be made for momentaneous withdrawal of current or power, or over a predetermined  
5 period of time such as a driving cycle, hours, minutes, or seconds. Stated differently, by determining whether current or power withdrawn from the cell exceeds a predetermined amount instantaneously or over a period of time the cause for the voltage fault condition is determined as current or power overshoot. Hence, a power pulse provided from the battery to the vehicle which exceeds the predetermined parameters is detrimental to the  
10 battery.

A medium severity cause may be disturbances on the traction voltage. Hence, the diagnostic test routine may comprise determining whether disturbances on the traction voltage are present. Disturbances on the traction voltage may be from a component with  
15 intermittent isolation problems (to ground), or intermittent short circuit pole-pole causing EMC behavior outside the specified traction voltage. Alternatively, components may be going on/off and causing the behavior on the traction voltage not to be according to expectation.

A breakdown severity cause may be a cell failure. Hence, the diagnostic test routine comprises determining whether the cell has failed. The determination whether the cell has failed may be based on the cell reaching both a cell voltage above and below the predetermined voltage range under load, or that the cell reaches has the lowest voltage under load also has the lowest voltage under no load, or that the cell having the highest  
25 voltage under load also has the highest voltage under no load. Alternatively, the determination whether the cell has failed may be based whether a cell has a resistance higher than a failure threshold, or whether the capacity of the cell differentiates from the rest of the cells of the battery. A threshold difference in capacity between the cell and the rest of the cell of the battery may be a capacity which is 10% lower than a mean or  
30 median value of the capacity of the cells of the battery. The failure threshold of resistance will be floating over life and it will be relative to what it should be at the given time and the actual threshold is in voltage, 2.2 and 4.0V, at a power (current) that should have been possible at that age based on historical or known data.

35 To summarize, low severity causes of a voltage fault condition may be:

- SOH inaccuracy
- SOC inaccuracy
- SOP inaccuracy
- cell is unbalanced compared to the rest of the cells of the battery.

5

Medium severity causes of a voltage fault condition may be:

- that the cell temperature is outside a predetermined cell temperature working range,
  - power pulses outside the specification,
  - failures of other components that are exemplified by erratic behavior, disturbances on the
- 10 traction voltage.

High severity causes of a voltage fault condition may be:

- high and low voltage under dynamic load,
- power pulses outside the specification which have a high amplitude and/or duration.

15

Breakdown severity causes of a voltage fault condition may be:

- cell failure, for example detected via high and low cell voltage under OCV.

It should be noted that the above list of cause is not exhaustive and should rather be seen

20 as a short list of examples.

If a cause cannot be identified at the severity level of the voltage fault condition, the next step is to search a lower severity level for the cause, as indicated by the arrow A2.

Further, the method may also search for additional causes to the voltage fault conditions

25 so that several cause(s) are found if applicable.

It should of course be noted that although the above describes the causes as adhering to a specific severity "level", the causes and/or severity levels may in an alternative embodiment rather be seen as a probability function centered and/or continuous functions

30 around labels. Hence, during operation in a vehicle, or by receiving information from a remote database, such probability functions and/or continuous functions for the causes and severity levels may be updated and adapted.

Even though the present disclosure has been described with reference to specific

35 exemplifying embodiments thereof, many different alterations, modifications and the like

will become apparent for those skilled in the art from a study of the drawings, the disclosure, and the appended claims. In addition, in the claims, the word "comprising" does not exclude other elements or steps, and the indefinite article "a" or "an" does not exclude a plurality.

5

The present disclosure contemplates methods, systems and program products on any machine-readable media for accomplishing various operations. The embodiments of the present disclosure may be implemented using existing computer processors, or by a special purpose computer processor for an appropriate system, incorporated for this or  
10 another purpose, or by a hardwired system. Embodiments within the scope of the present disclosure include program products comprising machine-readable media for carrying or having machine-executable instructions or data structures stored thereon. Such machine-readable media can be any available media that can be accessed by a general purpose or special purpose computer or other machine with a processor. By way of example, such  
15 machine-readable media can comprise RAM, ROM, EPROM, EEPROM, CD-ROM or other optical disk storage, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other medium which can be used to carry or store desired program code in the form of machine-executable instructions or data structures and which can be accessed by a general purpose or special purpose computer or other machine with a processor. When  
20 information is transferred or provided over a network or another communications connection (either hardwired, wireless, or a combination of hardwired or wireless) to a machine, the machine properly views the connection as a machine-readable medium. Thus, any such connection is properly termed a machine-readable medium. Combinations of the above are also included within the scope of machine-readable media. Machine-  
25 executable instructions include, for example, instructions and data which cause a general purpose computer, special purpose computer, or special purpose processing machines to perform a certain function or group of functions.

Although the figures may show a specific order of method steps, the order of the steps  
30 may differ from what is depicted. Also two or more steps may be performed concurrently or with partial concurrence. Such variation will depend on the software and hardware systems chosen and on designer choice. All such variations are within the scope of the disclosure. Likewise, software implementations could be accomplished with standard programming techniques with rule based logic and other logic to accomplish the various  
35 connection steps, processing steps, comparison steps and decision steps. Additionally,

even though the invention has been described with reference to specific exemplifying embodiments thereof, many different alterations, modifications and the like will become apparent for those skilled in the art. Variations to the disclosed embodiments can be understood and effected by the skilled addressee in practicing the claimed invention, from  
5 a study of the drawings, the disclosure, and the appended claims.

## CLAIMS

1. A method for classifying a voltage fault condition of a battery comprising a plurality of cells for a vehicle, the method comprises:
- 5 - detecting a cell voltage of one of the cells;  
- determining that the cell has a voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage being outside a predetermined voltage range;  
- classifying the severity of the voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage;  
- performing at least one diagnostic test routine, based on the severity of the voltage fault
- 10 condition, to provide a cause for the voltage fault condition;  
- selecting and adapting a battery operation condition, based on the severity of the voltage fault condition and the cause, such that the battery is enabled to continue to operate the vehicle.
- 15 2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the method further comprises increasing the severity of the voltage fault condition based on historical data.
3. A method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the step of classifying the severity of the voltage fault condition further comprises classifying the voltage fault condition to be of low
- 20 severity, medium severity, high severity or breakdown severity.
4. A method according to claim 1, 2 or 3, wherein the method further comprises transmitting adapted control parameter to the vehicle control unit, the control parameters comprising information relating to at least one of: maximum allowable power withdrawal,
- 25 maximum allowable energy withdrawal,, , .
5. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises measuring the cell temperature and determining whether the cell temperature is outside a predetermined cell temperature working range.
- 30 6. A method according to claim 5, wherein selecting and adapting a battery operation condition comprises heating or cooling the cell.

7. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises determining whether a current or power withdrawn or applied from or to the cell exceeds a predetermined current or power threshold over a period of time.
- 5 8. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises determining whether the cell is unbalanced compared to the rest of the cells of the battery.
9. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the at least one  
10 diagnostic test routine comprises determining whether the state of charge, SOC, of the cell is inaccurate.
10. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises determining whether the cell has failed.
- 15 11. A method according to claim 10, wherein the at least one diagnostic test routine comprises determining the state of health, SOH, of the cell is inaccurate.
12. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein selecting and  
20 adapting a battery operation comprises at least one of the following: changing a parameter in control algorithm, charging the cell, discharging the cell, balancing the electrical storage system.
13. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the method further  
25 comprises the step of transmitting the detected cell voltage, the at least one diagnostic test, the severity, the cause and the battery operation selected or adapted.
14. A computer program product comprising computer program code, wherein the computer program code is configured to perform the steps of the method according to any  
30 one of claims 1-13 when executed by a processor.
15. An arrangement for classifying a voltage fault condition of a battery comprising a plurality of cells for a vehicle, the arrangement comprises:  
- a sensor arranged to detect a cell voltage of at least one of the cells; and

- a control unit configured to determine that the cell has a voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage being outside a predetermined voltage range, and classify the severity of the voltage fault condition based on the cell voltage;
  - the control unit is further configured to perform at least one diagnostic test routine to
- 5 provide a cause for the voltage fault condition and adapt a battery operation condition, based on the severity of the voltage fault condition and the cause, such that the battery is enabled to continue to operate the vehicle.

16. A vehicle comprising the arrangement according to claim 15.

1/4




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No  
PCT/EP2016/078811

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
INV. G01R31/36  
ADD.  
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED  
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
H01M G01R

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 2 485 293 A1 (HITACHI VEHICLE ENERGY LTD [JP]; HITACHI LTD [JP]) 8 August 2012 (2012-08-08) figure 1 paragraph [0040] - paragraph [0044] paragraph [0082] - paragraph [0084] paragraph [0077] paragraph [0224] figure 34 paragraph [0017] paragraph [0055] paragraph [0011] paragraph [0167] paragraph [0049]  ----- -/--	1-16

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search  1 August 2017	Date of mailing of the international search report  11/08/2017
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer  Meliani, Chafik
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No  
PCT/EP2016/078811

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 2 337 182 A2 (SANYO ELECTRIC CO [JP]) 22 June 2011 (2011-06-22) figure 7 paragraph [0038] paragraph [0041] - paragraph [0042] paragraph [0024] -----	1-16
X	CN 105 514 526 A (BAIC BJEV CO LTD) 20 April 2016 (2016-04-20) figure 1 figure 3 paragraph [0039] paragraph [0057] -----	1-16
X	JP 2006 109540 A (SONY CORP) 20 April 2006 (2006-04-20) figure 1 paragraph [0025] paragraph [0027] -----	1-16
A	CN 103 312 009 B (PEAKING & FREQUENCY REGULATION POWER GENERATION CO CHINA SOUTHERN POWE) 29 April 2015 (2015-04-29) the whole document -----	1-16
A	WO 2016/009175 A1 (HARDY JOHN [GB]) 21 January 2016 (2016-01-21) page 29, line 15 - line 23 -----	1,3,14, 15
A	CN 105 857 109 A (UNIV HEBEI TECHNOLOGY) 17 August 2016 (2016-08-17) the whole document -----	1-16
A	JP 2015 001439 A (HITACHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LTD) 5 January 2015 (2015-01-05) paragraph [0034] - paragraph [0036] -----	1-16

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No PCT/EP2016/078811
---------------------------------------------------

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date	
EP 2485293	A1	08-08-2012	CN 102473878 A	23-05-2012
			EP 2485293 A1	08-08-2012
			EP 2806482 A1	26-11-2014
			JP 5529877 B2	25-06-2014
			JP 2014197542 A	16-10-2014
			JP WO2011037257 A1	21-02-2013
			US 2012175953 A1	12-07-2012
			WO 2011037257 A1	31-03-2011
-----				
EP 2337182	A2	22-06-2011	CN 102104261 A	22-06-2011
			EP 2337182 A2	22-06-2011
			JP 2011130551 A	30-06-2011
			KR 20110068834 A	22-06-2011
			US 2011140665 A1	16-06-2011
-----				
CN 105514526	A	20-04-2016	NONE	
-----				
JP 2006109540	A	20-04-2006	JP 4353050 B2	28-10-2009
			JP 2006109540 A	20-04-2006
-----				
CN 103312009	B	29-04-2015	NONE	
-----				
WO 2016009175	A1	21-01-2016	CN 106537718 A	22-03-2017
			EP 3170240 A1	24-05-2017
			GB 2528290 A	20-01-2016
			GB 2528350 A	20-01-2016
			US 2017214256 A1	27-07-2017
			WO 2016009175 A1	21-01-2016
-----				
CN 105857109	A	17-08-2016	NONE	
-----				
JP 2015001439	A	05-01-2015	NONE	
-----				