




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : D06C 19/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/32702 (43) International Publication Date: 1 July 1999 (01.07.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/12446 (22) International Filing Date: 12 June 1998 (12.06.98) (30) Priority Data: 08/995,184 22 December 1997 (22.12.97) US (71) Applicant: MILLIKEN RESEARCH CORPORATION [US/US]; 920 Milliken Road, Spartanburg, SC 29303 (US). (72) Inventor: DISCHLER, Louis; 252 West Park Drive, Spartanburg, SC 29306 (US). (74) Agent: PARKS, William, S.; P.O. Box 1927, Spartanburg, SC 29304 (US).		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, GW, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published With international search report.

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS TO PROVIDE IMPROVED AND MORE EFFICIENT NAPPING OF FABRICS MADE FROM SPUN YARNS

(57) Abstract

A method and apparatus for loosening, cutting, and abrading a web (16) of textile fabric having spun yarns containing wrapper fibers. The textile fabric web (16) is directed under high tension around pairs of rotatable, small diameter tubes (12, 14) coated with abrasive particles. Abrasive particles are preferably rounded (nonfaceted) tungsten carbide particles. The tubes (rolls) (12, 14) are rotated at differing speeds in relation to the fabric web speed through the apparatus. Preferably, the first, regressive roll (12) rotates at a speed slower than the web speed and the second, progressive roll (14) rotates at a faster such speed. Such a process and apparatus provides improved conditioning and napping of a fabric web (16) as well as a quicker, more efficient method of performing such fabric treatment.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

- 1 -

Description**METHOD AND APPARATUS TO PROVIDE IMPROVED AND MORE
EFFICIENT NAPPING OF FABRICS MADE FROM SPUN YARNS**Technical Field

There are several types of spun yarns commonly used in the construction of woven
5 fabrics. Among the most common, and familiar to those versed in the art, are ring spun,
open-end spun (OES), air-jet spun (AJS), and roller jet spun (RJS) yarns. Ring spun yarns
consist of generally helically wound fibers which, when woven into fabrics, exhibit
excellent hand and strength characteristics. It is known that, as the twist level is increased
for ring spun yarns, the fabric containing them becomes stiffer and harsher, as increased
10 twist reduces fiber-to-fiber mobility. OE yarns, compared to ring spun yarns, are more
disorganized and have a lower twist. The fiber bundle comprising the yarn is compacted
by the presence of tightly wound wrapper fibers, which are nearly perpendicular to the axis
of the yarn. As the yarn structure of OE yarns is less organized than that of ring spun
yarns, the OE yarn exhibits a larger diameter than that of a ring spun of an equivalent
15 denier. The larger size of the OE yarn, coupled with the lack of mobility of the fibers,
because of the pressure imparted by the wrapper fibers, results in a stiffer fabric, in spite of
the lower twist as compared to ring spun yarns. The tightly wound wrapper fibers also
cause the surface of the fabric to be harsh and scratchy to the touch. The relative fiber
immobility makes it difficult to enhance the fabric by needling with hydraulic jets, as these
20 yarns cannot easily blossom when constricted by the wrapper fibers. In the same way,

-2-

wrapper fibers reduce the effectiveness of pneumatic vibratory softening as disclosed in my U.S. Patent No. 4,918,795. As the wrapper fibers are not aligned with the axis of the yarn, they do not contribute to fabric strength, and fabrics constructed of yarns containing wrapper fibers are generally not as strong as fabrics constructed of ring spun yarns.

5 AJS and RJS yarns are similar to OE yarns, but have core fibers with little or no twist, and the integrity of the yarn entirely depends upon the presence of the wrapper fibers. Without the fiber-to-fiber friction created by the pressure exerted by the wrapper fibers, the yarn would have no tenacity and could not be woven into fabrics. Once a fabric has been woven, yarn-to-yarn pressures are sufficient to create frictional forces between
10 fibers, and the wrapper fibers are no longer necessary for strength. Loosening or cutting wrapper fibers, by various means such as by sanding or napping, so as to improve the hand and other properties, without substantial cutting of the load bearing fibers, can dramatically improve the hand and surface touch of the fabric, allow the fabric to blossom when hydraulically needled or to soften when pneumatically vibrated, as well as abrading the
15 fabric to improve adhesion to coatings, without degrading fabric strength. Other methods of sanding and abrading textile fabrics are known, such as that disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,058,329, to Love et al., however they are not effective in severing or sufficiently loosening the wrapper fibers within the fabric in order to create significant associated benefits resulting therefrom without also cutting load bearing fibers and substantially
20 reducing the strength of the fabric.

The present invention solves these problems in a manner not disclosed in the

-3-

known prior art while treating fabric webs to a level at least four times greater than the method disclosed in my U.S. Application 08/738,787, noted above. This application discloses abrasive fabric treatments similar, in spirit, to the overall processes as now claimed. However, my previous application utilizes a noticeably dissimilar apparatus and

5 a vastly different procedure than within this current invention.

Background Art

A method and apparatus for providing improved and efficient napping and sanding of spun yarns through the loosening, cutting, and abrading a web of textile fabric is contemplated within this invention. The textile fabric web is directed under high tension

10 around at least one pair, and preferably four pairs, of rotatable, small diameter tubes (rolls) (approximately from one to ten inches in diameter) coated with abrasive particles bonded directly to the roll face. These abrasive particles are preferably rounded (nonfaceted) tungsten carbide particles. The rolls are rotated at differing speeds relative to the speed of the fabric web but in the same direction as the web.

15 As noted above, the rolls are paired wherein one is a regressive roll and the other a progressive roll. By regressive it is meant that the rotational speed of such a tube is slower than the speed of the fabric. By progressive it is meant that the rotational speed of the roll is faster than that of the fabric (web). When more than one pair is utilized, the fabric web must make contact with each sequential pair of rolls one pair at a time. An equal angular

20 wrap is thus made by the fabric with these pairs of rolls wherein any tension added to the

-4-

fabric web by each regressive roll is thereafter removed upon conditioning by its complementary progressive roll. This combination of rolls allows omission of intermediate drives which in turn reduces the size and complexity of such a machine while, at the same time, providing more efficient conditioning and napping of the fabric web than
5 with the known prior art devices.

The contact angle of the textile fabric web with each tube is between thirty (30) and one hundred and eighty (180) degrees, preferably less than one hundred and fifty (150) degrees, and most preferably from about sixty (60) to about one hundred and twenty (120) degrees. Also, the average pressure between the textile fabric web and the tube should
10 exceed two (2) pounds per square inch (p.s.i.).

In one preferred embodiment, the absolute value difference between the web speed through the apparatus, where said web speed is taken to be 100%, and the rotational speed of the regressive rolls, measured as percentages of said web speed, are equal to the difference between the rotational speed of the progressive rolls, also measured as
15 percentages of the web speed, less the web speed itself. As merely one example, the regressive rolls would rotate at a speed 20% of the speed of the web (100%) and the progressive rolls would rotate at a speed 180% of the speed of the web. The measured difference between web speed and regressive roll rotational speed, i.e., the relative rotational speed, is thus -80%, the absolute value of which (+80%) is equal to the relative
20 rotational speed of the progressive rolls, which is 180% less 100%, or 80%. Through such an arrangement, the fabric receives a balanced, pile/counter-pile treatment which translates

-5-

into the decreased need for further conditioning of the fabric in order to produce the same degree of napping as compared to, for example, the process and apparatus disclosed in my U.S. Application 08/738,787, noted above. Furthermore, with such an arrangement of regressive and progressive rolls having equal absolute relative speeds, the treatment of the fabric is performed independently from the speed of the fabric itself through the machine. The actual speeds at which the rolls rotate is not important as long as the absolute relative speed parameters are met. Beneficially then, this specific grouping of rolls in pairs thus further allows for the start-up and the shut-down of the machine without creating fabric defects.

Another preferred embodiment is contemplated wherein the absolute value of the difference between the web speed and the relative rotational speed of the regressive rolls is less than the difference between the relative rotational speed of the progressive rolls and the web speed. As merely an example, the progressive rolls rotate at a speed 200% (+100%) of the fabric speed while the regressive rolls rotate at a speed 20% (-80%, absolute value of +80%) of the fabric speed. Although the balanced treatment of the fabric does not occur in this instance, a greater degree of conditioning (napping) of the fabric is obtained. It is believed this greater absolute treatment may be accomplished because the coefficient of dynamic friction of the web with the regressive and progressive rolls is relatively independent of roll to fabric surface speeds, at least for most fabrics.

Yet another preferred embodiment of this invention is wherein either the regressive rolls or the progressive rolls rotate at the same speed as the fabric web. In such a manner,

-6-

those rolls would act as drive rolls for the fabric through the apparatus. Thus, the treatment of the fabric may be accomplished either by the progressive rolls, which would move faster than the fabric speed or by the regressive rolls, which would move slower than the fabric web. When the progressive rolls are acting as the drive rolls (and the regressive rolls treat the fabric), then the fabric may move through the apparatus at its fastest ultimate speed, since the progressive rolls are geared to turn faster than the regressive rolls. Such an arrangement is favorable where time is limited and a balanced treatment is not needed.

Still a further advantage and thus embodiment of this invention is the ability of the regressive roll or progressive roll or both types to freewheel when treatment of the fabric is not desired or the utilization of the apparatus is temporarily halted. Such an ability to become disengaged is facilitated through the use of a clutch mechanism to drive the treatment rolls. Actuation of the clutch disengages the drive motors from the treatment rolls. Tension variations upon the web are minimized through this disengagement of the rolls in pairs (one regressive roll and its paired progressive roll). This is particularly beneficial upon the detection of a seam since when the seam of the web nears a pair of rolls, the rolls are then disengaged until the seam passes that area. At that time, the rolls are reengaged and continue the conditioning procedure upon the fabric. This method permits minimal amounts of untreated fabric adjacent to the seam as opposed to systems which require shut down of treatment devices until the seam passes through the entire apparatus and is mechanically simpler than systems that employ an engagement roll to remove the fabric thread line from contact with the treatment devices.

- 7 -

These aforementioned embodiments are not meant to limit the scope of this invention. Other possible arrangements of rolls and speeds are contemplated and thus well within the teachings and disclosures of this invention.

5 Disclosure of Invention

The main object of this invention is to thereby provide improved napping and sanding of fabric webs comprising spun yarns through faster and more efficient means.

It is thus an additional advantage of this invention to provide greater adhesion between a textile fabric web and an applied chemical coating.

10 It is another advantage of this invention to be able to fully allow the individual yarns, having wrapper fibers, to blossom when subjected to hydro-enhancement treatment.

Another advantage of this invention is to reduce the compressive pressure exerted by the wrapper fibers to allow the fabric to be softened by pneumatic vibratory means.

Yet another advantage of this invention is to improve the hand of a textile fabric
15 web.

Still another advantage of this invention is that it provides a very uniform treatment with good strength retention and minimal shade change for dyed fabric.

Another advantage of this invention is that the process is relatively insensitive to the speed of the textile fabric web.

20 These and other advantages will be in part apparent and in part pointed out below.

Brief Description of the Drawings

The above as well as other objects of the invention will become more apparent from the following detailed description of the preferred embodiment of the invention, which when taken together with the accompanying drawings in which:

5 FIG. 1 is a cross-sectional view of the apparatus showing the thread line of the fabric as the web moves through the machine.

FIG. 2 is a cross-sectional view of the treatment roll conical clamp.

FIG. 3 is an aerial view of the fabric napping apparatus, showing the drives, rolls and clamping mechanism.

10 Corresponding reference characters indicate corresponding parts throughout the several views of the drawings.

Best Mode for Carrying Out The Invention

FIGs. 1, 2, and 3 all display an apparatus and components of an apparatus involving a potentially preferred practice of the present invention. As illustrated in FIG. 1 and part illustrated in FIG. 3, this apparatus comprises a frame **10** to which four pairs of treatment rolls **12, 14** are connected. The treatment rolls **12, 14** are preferably constructed from steel; however, any number of metals and alloys may be employed. Furthermore, the treatment rolls **12, 14** are preferably about 4 inches in diameter, but functional rolls may be employed with diameters as little as 1 inch or as great as 10 inches.

20 These pairs each comprise a regressive roll **12** and a progressive roll **14** which

-9-

make contact with a textile fabric web **16** as it passes through the apparatus being driven by at least one drive roll (not illustrated) or by either the regressive rolls **12** or the progressive rolls **14**. Each of the rolls **12, 14** is driven by drive motors **18, 20**. Not shown are an entry drive roll prior to the apparatus and an exit drive roll subsequent to the

5 apparatus. Tension is controlled by means of a load cell **19**. The drive motor **18** for each of the regressive rolls **12** rotates these rolls **12** at a speed less than the speed at which the textile fabric web **16** is driven through the apparatus. Alternatively, the drive motor **18** for each for the regressive rolls **12** rotates at the same speed as the fabric web, thus permitting the regressive rolls **12** to act as the drive roll for the textile fabric web **16**. This

10 arrangement will only be utilized when the progressive rolls **14** remain rotating at a speed greater than that of the textile fabric web **16** as it is driven through the apparatus. The drive motors **18, 20** turn the rolls **12, 14** through the use of drive belts **22, 24** which encircle drive sprockets **26, 28** within speed reducer assemblies **30, 32**. The drive belts **22, 24** for each of the individual drive motors **18, 20** also encircle driven sprockets **34, 36** which are

15 attached to drive cone assemblies **58, 60** by means of clutches **55, 57**. Upon actuation of the driven clamp assemblies **54, 56**, which are attached to the individual treatment rolls **12, 14**, the treatment rolls **12, 14** then rotate. The drive motors **18, 20** are mounted to the speed reducer assemblies **30, 32**, which in turn are mounted to the right side of the frame **10**, comprising the bulkhead plates **40, 44**, separated by bulkhead spacers **36, 37, 38**. The

20 retractable conical clamp assemblies **54, 56** are mounted to the pneumatically actuated clamp cylinders **55**, which in turn are mounted to the left side of the frame **10**, comprising

-10-

the bulkhead plates **42, 47**, separated by bulkhead spacers **41, 43, 45**. The treatment rolls **12, 14** and fabric web **16** are located between the bulkhead plates **42, 44**.

The textile fabric web **16** enters the apparatus, tension being controlled by means of a load cell **19** and is aligned for contact with the treatment rolls **12, 14** through the use of
5 idler rolls **46**. The web **16** contacts each roll at an angle of contact of about 105° , and passes through each pair of regressive rolls **12** and progressive rolls **14** sequentially from the top of the apparatus to the bottom. After the web **16** passes through the series of four pairs of rolls **12, 14** it then makes contact with a scroll roll **48**, which insures any creases or folds in the web **16** are removed, and then another idler roll **50** before the web **16** exits the
10 apparatus. As the web **16** passes through the apparatus and over the treatment rolls **12, 14**, the abrasive particles (not illustrated) bonded to the rolls **12, 14** pull and abrade fibers, loosen wrapper fibers, and have a combined napping and sanding action on the textile surface. Blow-off tubes **52** aid in removing this yarn residue from the treatment rolls **12, 14**.

15 As illustrated in FIG. 3, the treatment rolls **12, 14** have internally beveled ends which match the drive cone **58, 60** on one end and the clamp cones **54, 56** (FIG. 2, also) on the other end, and said treatment rolls **12, 14** are driven by means of frictional contact with said drive cones **58, 60**. This frictional contact allows a torque to be developed between the treatment roll **12, 14** and drive cone **58, 60** without slippage, with the maximum torque
20 available directly proportional to the axial clamping force developed by the retractable conical clamp assembly **54, 56** (FIG. 2, also). The drive cones **58, 60** pass through the

-11-

bulkhead plates **42, 43** with the aid of bearings **59, 61** which support the drive cones **58, 60**. The clamp assemblies **54, 56** can be moved between clamped **56** and retracted **54** positions for quick replacement of the treatment rolls through the use of clamp cylinders **55**. Engagement and disengagement of the treatment rolls **12, 14** are accomplished by
5 means of clutches **55, 57**.

During processing, frictional heat generated by the treatment may be removed by introducing cooling water into the treatment rolls **12, 14** by means of a rotary unions **66**, communicating passageways coaxial with the drive cone assemblies **58, 60** (FIG. 2, also), and communicating passageways within the conical clamp assemblies **54, 56** (FIG. 2,
10 also). The water is then collected in catch basins **62, 64** and disposed of.

The conical clamp assembly **54** is illustrated in FIG. 2. As mentioned above, water is introduced within these assemblies **54** in order to cool the treatment rolls **12, 14** (FIGs. 1 and 3, also). This water is drained through both a communicating passageway **68**, located within a stationary shaft **82**, and a drain hole **70**, all present within the conical clamp **54**.
15 The housing **72** of the clamp is molded into a conical shape matching the internal bevel of the treatment rolls treatment rolls **12, 14** (FIGs. 1 and 3, also). The housing **72** is kept in place through the use of a cap **74** as well as screws **76**, both present at the apex of the conical housing **72**. Separating the housing **72** from the shaft **82** are two radial bearings **78**, a thrust bearing **80**, and two rubber seals **84**. Furthermore, a grease fitting **86** is
20 supplied to facilitate introduction of a lubricant into the shaft **82** of the clamp assembly **54**.

This textile treatment is especially effective when performed prior to a process that

-12-

mechanically works the fabric since the cutting of the wrapper fibers of spun yarns accelerates the softening process. In the case of polyester spun fabric, this effect is maximized when there is a calendaring step either before or after this process when the fabric has not yet been heat-set. Calendaring technology is disclosed in U.S. Patent

5 Number 5,404,626, which is incorporated by reference as fully set forth herein.

The process of this invention can be further enhanced by treating the textile fabric web 16, under low tension, by low pressure, high velocity streams of gaseous fluid. This technology is, as merely one example, fully disclosed in my U.S. Patent Number 4,918,795, and my U.S. Patent Application No. 08/593,670 and my U. S. Provisional

10 Application No. 60/027,244, all of which are incorporated by reference as fully set forth herein.

It is not intended that the scope of the invention be limited to the specific embodiment illustrated and described, rather, it is intended that the scope of the invention be defined by the appended claims and their equivalents.

-13-

CLAIMS

What is Claimed is:

1. An apparatus for loosening and cutting wrapper fibers of spun yarns in a moving textile fabric web comprising:
 - 5 (a) a frame:
 - (b) at least one pair of rolls comprising a first, regressive roll and a second, progressive roll, wherein each of said first and second rolls is rotatably mounted on said frame and is coated with abrasive particles;
 - (c) a mechanism for supplying said textile fabric web under tension to said rolls;
 - 10 (d) a mechanism for rotating said at least one pair of rolls, wherein said first and second rolls in each pair rotate at differing speeds with said first, regressive roll rotating at a speed slower than the fabric web speed through the apparatus and said second, progressive roll rotating at a speed faster than the fabric web speed through the apparatus; and
 - 15 (e) a mechanism for removing said textile fabric web, after treatment, from said at least one pair of rolls.
2. The apparatus of Claim 1 wherein the absolute value, measured in percentage points, of the difference between the web speed through the apparatus, which is 100%, and the rotational speed of the first, regressive roll, which is measured as a
20 percentage of said web speed is equal to the difference between the rotational

-14-

speed of the second, progressive roll, also measured as a percentage of said web speed, and said web speed.

3. The apparatus of Claim 1 wherein the absolute value, measured in percentage points, of the difference between the web speed through the apparatus, which is
5 100%, and the rotational speed of the first, regressive roll, which is measured as a percentage of said web speed, is less than the difference, between the rotational speed of the second, progressive roll, also measured as a percentage of said web speed, and said web speed.
4. The apparatus of Claim 1 wherein the textile fabric web makes an angle of contact
10 with each of said regressive and progressive rolls, wherein said angel of contact is greater than 45° and less than 180°.
5. The apparatus of Claim 1 wherein there are 4 pairs of rolls within the apparatus and the textile fabric web passes over said pairs of rolls one pair at a time.
6. The apparatus of Claim 2 wherein there are 4 pairs of rolls within the apparatus and
15 the textile fabric passes over said pairs of rolls one pair at a time.
7. The apparatus of Claim 3 wherein there are 4 pairs of rolls within the apparatus and

-15-

the textile fabric web passes over said pairs of rolls one pair at a time.

8. The apparatus of Claim 4 wherein there are 4 pairs of rolls within the apparatus and the textile fabric web passes over said pairs one pair at a time.
9. The apparatus of Claim 1 further comprising
 - 5 (f) means to detect a seam in the textile fabric web;
 - (g) means for disengaging said at least one pair of rolls upon detection of said seam at a location adjacent to and prior to contact with said pair of rolls; and
 - (h) means for reengaging said at least one pair of rolls upon detection of said seam at a location adjacent to and after passage over or through said pair of rolls while
 - 10 said pair of rolls is disengaged.
10. The apparatus of Claim 1 wherein the mechanism (d) further comprises means for permitting freewheeling of at most one of said first and second roll of at least one pair of rolls in order to allow for the utilization of either the first, regressive roll or the second, progressive roll as a drive roll for the textile fabric web.
- 15 11. The apparatus of Claim 1 wherein said rolls are from 1 to 10 inches in diameter.
12. A process for loosening and cutting wrapper fibers of spun yarns in a moving

-16-

textile fabric web comprising:


- (a) supplying said textile fabric web under tension to an apparatus having at least one pair of rolls, comprising a first, regressive roll and a second, progressive roll, wherein each of said first and second rolls is coated with abrasive particles;
- 5 (b) rotating said at least one pair of rolls upon contact with said fabric web, wherein the individual rolls in each pair of rolls rotate at differing speeds, with said first, regressive roll rotating at a speed slower than the web speed through the apparatus and with said second, progressive roll rotating at a speed faster than the web speed through the apparatus; and
- 10 (c) removing said textile fabric web after treatment.
13. The process of Claim 12 wherein the absolute value, measured in percentage points, of the difference between the web speed through the apparatus, which is 100%, and the rotational speed of the first, regressive roll, which is measured as a percentage of said web speed, is equal to the difference between the rotational
- 15 speed of the second, progressive roll, which is also measured as a percentage as said web speed, and said web speed.
14. The process of Claim 12 wherein the absolute value, measured in percentage points, of the difference between the web speed through the apparatus, which is 100%, and the rotational speed of the first, regressive roll, which is measured as a


-17-

percentage of said web speed, is less than the difference between the rotational speed of the second, progressive roll, which is also measured as a percentage of said web speed, and said web speed.


15. The process of Claim 12 wherein the textile fabric web makes contact with said
5 regressive roll at an angle of contact which is equal to the angle of contact of which the textile fabric web makes in contact with said regressive roll.
16. The process of Claim 12 wherein there are 4 pairs of rolls within the apparatus and the textile fabric web passes over said pairs of rolls one pair at a time.
17. The process of Claim 13 wherein there are 4 pairs of rolls within the apparatus and
10 the textile fabric web passes over said pairs of rolls one pair a time.
18. The process of Claim 14 wherein there are 4 pairs of rolls within the apparatus and the textile fabric web passes over said pairs of rolls one pair at a time.
19. The process of Claim 15 wherein there are 4 pairs of rolls within the apparatus and the textile fabric web passes over said pairs of rolls one pair at a time.
- 15 20. A fabric surface treated in accordance with the process of Claim 12.

1 / 3

*FIG. -1-*



3 / 3



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US98/12446

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) :D06C 19/00

US CL :26/28, 7

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 26/27, 28, 7, 10.4, 11, 18.6, 29 R, 31, 32

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5,012,562 A (CATALLO) 07 May 1991.	1-20
A	US 3,872,557 A (BENZAQUEN) 25 March 1975.	1-20
A	US 3,683,559 A (KALWAITES) 15 August 1972.	1-20
A	US 3,553,801 A (HADLEY) 12 January 1971.	1-20
A	US 3,534,188 A (HOLM et al) 13 October 1970.	1-20

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.
 ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
B earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

13 JULY 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

17 SEP 1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

AMY VANATTA

Telephone No. (703) 308-0861