

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ³ : A62D 5/00; A62B 17/00 B32B 27/32; C08J 5/18	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 83/ 03205 (43) International Publication Date: 29 September 1983 (29.09.83)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/DK83/00034 (22) International Filing Date: 14 March 1983 (14.03.83) (31) Priority Application Number: 1112/82 (32) Priority Date: 12 March 1982 (12.03.82) (33) Priority Country: DK (71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: HENRIKSEN, Henning, Risvig [DK/DK]; Dronningensgade 25, DK-1420 Copenhagen K (DK). (74) Agent: PLOUGMANN & VINGTOFT; Staunings Plads 3, DK-1607 Copenhagen V (DK). (81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (Eu- ropean patent), BR, CH (European patent), DE (Eu- ropean patent), DK, FI, FR (European patent), GB (European patent), LU (European patent), NL (Euro- pean patent), NO, SE (European patent), SU, US.		Published <i>With international search report.</i>
(54) Title: PROTECTIVE GARMENT		
(57) Abstract Improved polymer barrier for protective garments for personal protection against hazardous chemicals (e.g. epoxy products, organic solvents and pesticides) and a rational method of selecting polymer membranes with optimal permeation resistance against hazardous chemicals. As an excellent barrier against epoxy products and solvents a protective garment contains a membrane of a vinyl alcoholethylene copolymer. Said copolymer was selected by the invented optimization method (the three dimensional solubility parameter concept).		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	LI	Liechtenstein
AU	Australia	LK	Sri Lanka
BE	Belgium	LU	Luxembourg
BR	Brazil	MC	Monaco
CF	Central African Republic	MG	Madagascar
CG	Congo	MR	Mauritania
CH	Switzerland	MW	Malawi
CM	Cameroon	NL	Netherlands
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	NO	Norway
DK	Denmark	RO	Romania
FI	Finland	SE	Sweden
FR	France	SN	Senegal
GA	Gabon	SU	Soviet Union
GB	United Kingdom	TD	Chad
HU	Hungary	TG	Togo
JP	Japan	US	United States of America
KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea		

Protective Garment

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to protective garments or clothings for
5 the protection against the influence of chemicals.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

There is a great need for protective garments with a low permeability
(i.e. a long breakthrough time and a low permeation rate) for certain
chemical compounds or mixtures of compounds. The polymer mem-
10 branes used in protective garments (e.g. gloves, coverall suits,
hoods, boots, etc.) for use in a work environment or in the home
must protect against chemical compounds or mixtures thereof which
are hazardous to the health, such as solvents, paints, varnishes,
glues, cleaning agents, degreasing agents, drilling fluids, or epoxy
15 materials. Regarding protective clothing against hazardous chemicals
in the work environment or the home, the main concern has previous-
ly been to obtain chemical resistance of the clothing, i.e. non-degra-
dability. During the latest years strong concern about the permeability
of protective clothing against chemicals has developed. Permeation
20 studies have surprisingly shown that the breakthrough time is often
less than half an hour, sometimes only a few minutes. The studies
have also shown that the breakthrough time and the permeation rate
is to a great extent dependent on the combination of the hazardous
substances and the materials for protective clothing. In view of the
25 foregoing, it is quite obvious that a great need exists for protective
garments featuring polymer membranes without the aforementioned
disadvantage. Unfortunately, no other method of selecting suitable
combinations than the method of trial and error has been proposed.
Epoxy materials and many solvents are particularly important in this
30 context due to their toxic effects and allergenic properties on mam-
malian skin, in particular human skin.



SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to improved protective garments for protection against chemicals, in particular epoxy materials, and to a rational method of selecting polymer membranes with optimal permea-
5 tion resistance against hazardous chemicals.

In connection with the research which led to the present invention the applicant has found that commonly used membrane materials (such as polyethylene, various rubbers, neoprene, silicone rubbers, etc.) have insufficient barrier properties with respect to e.g. epoxy mate-
10 rials, in that they have breakthrough times of an hour or less. Some of these membrane materials have even been suggested or recommended as materials for protective garments by manufacturers of epoxy. Applicant has further found that the three-dimensional solubility parameter system pioneered and described by C.M. Hansen (cf. ref.
15 1, 2, 3 and 4) may suitably be used as a guide for the rational selection of suitable barrier membrane materials for protection garments.

The three solubility parameters termed δ_H , δ_P and δ_D , measured in $(\text{cal}/\text{cm}^3)^{1/2}$, quantify the molecular cohesive forces (the hydrogen
20 bonding, polar and dispersion forces) in a given compound or mixture of compounds. The so far commonly used membrane materials for protective garments have δ_H - and δ_P -values of about 3 or less, and δ_D -values of about 9. In view of the low δ_H - and δ_P -values, these membrane materials are designated as low-energy type polymers be-
25 cause of the relatively low level of intermolecular cohesive forces. These solubility parameter values are fairly close to the solubility parameter values occupied by a major part of the commonly used solvents and epoxy materials.

It has now been found that polymer materials of the high-energy
30 type, i.e. with solubility parameters considerably different from those so far commonly used, exhibit superior properties with regard to being impermeable to chemical compounds, e.g. epoxy materials.



The invention relates to a protective garment comprising a membrane comprising a substantially water insoluble polymer material having a solubility parameter set (δ_H , δ_P , δ_D) which is positioned at a solubility parameter distance of at least 7 from the solubility parameter set (δ_H , δ_P , δ_D) equal to (0,0,8).

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The distance A between the solubility parameter set of the chemical (δ_{HO} , δ_{PO} , δ_{DO}) and the solubility parameter set of the polymer (δ_{HM} , δ_{PM} , δ_{DM}) is defined as follows (cf. ref. 1 and 3):

$$A = ((\delta_{HM} - \delta_{HO})^2 + (\delta_{PM} - \delta_{PO})^2 + 4(\delta_{DM} - \delta_{DO})^2)^{1/2}$$

The parameter set of (0,0,8) is a suitable average representative of a large number of hazardous chemicals encountered in the metal industry, the construction industry, and the chemical industry. While it cannot be said that all hazardous chemicals contribute to this average (notable exceptions are e.g. methanol and dimethyl sulfoxide), the hazardous chemicals which can be said for practical purposes to average at a parameter set of about (0,0,8) comprises by far the major number of hazardous organic solvents and plasticizers, polymerization monomers, pesticides and detergents. One particularly important group of hazardous chemicals of which the parameter set of (0,0,8) is representative are the above-mentioned epoxy materials. In the present context, the term "epoxy materials" designates two component types comprising a binder component which contains epoxy monomer, dimer, or trimer, commonly based on diglycidylether of bisphenol A, solvents such as ethylene, butanol, and butylacetat, and optionally pigments and fillers, and a hardener component containing polyaminoamides and optionally aromatic amines or aliphatic polyamines.

In the known art, solubility parameter system is primarily used for formulating paint coatings, i.e., for selecting solvents for particular binders. The principle is that a solvent is selected, the solubility parameter of which is as close as possible to the solubility parameter of the binder. Often, a solvent is selected which is constituted by a



mixture of components, in which case the relevant solubility parameter set is the solubility parameter set of the mixture, which is calculated from the parameter set of the individual components by calculating each parameter in the set as the volume weighted average.

- 5 According to the present invention, the solubility parameter set, although calculated in the same manner, is used for a completely different purpose, i.e., for predicting a completely different combination of relative properties between two materials, i.e., the breakthrough time and the permeation rate of a fluid in a polymer membrane:
10 It has been found that the greater the distance is between the solubility parameter set of the fluid and the polymer, the longer is the breakthrough time, and the lower is the permeation rate.

- In practice, acceptable results with respect to increased breakthrough time and reduced permeation rate are obtained when the distance
15 between the parameter set of (0,0,8) and the parameter set of the polymer, calculated as described above, is at least 7, preferably at least 9, in particular at least 11, and most preferred around 13.

- Materials which comply with these conditions are the so-called high-energy polymer materials, i.e., polymer materials with high molecular
20 cohesive forces, in particular high hydrogen-bonding and polar cohesive forces, while most known polymer membrane materials, including polymer membrane materials conventionally used for protective garments, are low-energy polymer materials (which typically are at a distance of 6 or lower from the solubility parameter set of (0,0,8).

- 25 The synthetic polymer high-energy material is one which is substantially water-insoluble. This term includes materials which will undergo swelling in contact with water, but is intended to exclude materials which are actually soluble in water. An example of a high-energy material which is soluble in water is polyvinyl alcohol. Although
30 polyvinyl alcohol shows a high breakthrough time and a low permeation rate for epoxy materials such as shown in the experimental section, and although polyvinyl alcohol has in fact been suggested as a membrane for a protective garment, *vide* German Offenlegungsschrift No.



2330316, the water soluble character of PVA results in a number of disadvantages which are believed to exclude its utility as a protective garment membrane for practical purposes:

5 The water solubility of PVA renders it subject to dissolution in contact with external aqueous media or in contact with sweat. Furthermore, even small amounts of water or moisture which will not directly dissolve a PVA membrane will tend to swell and plasticize the membrane to such an extent that it loses the permeation resistance properties it would otherwise possess in view of its position in the solubility parameter system. Moreover, in the practical processing of PVA, where
10 large amounts of plasticizer must be used which will tend to increase the mobility of the PVA molecules and hence to increase the permeability.

A particularly interesting class of polymer materials for the purpose
15 of the present invention are copolymers substantially free of plasticizers, since the presence of plasticizers increases the mobility of the polymer molecules and hence increases the permeability.

An especially interesting class of polymer materials are copolymers of a C_{2-5} alkene substituted with up to 4 hydroxy groups and a C_{2-5}
20 alkene, or homopolymers of a C_{3-5} alkene substituted with up to 4 hydroxy groups. The C_{2-5} alkene is preferably ethylene.

A particularly useful copolymer is a vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymer.

In the following, the designation "PVAE" is used to designate a vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymer.

25 It is preferred that the vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymer contains 40-80 mole per cent of vinyl alcohol and 20-60 mole per cent of ethylene. In particular, it is preferred that the vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymer contains 65-75 mole per cent of vinyl alcohol and 25-35 mole per cent of ethylene.



Vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymers are described, *inter alia*, in DE Auslegeschrift No. 22339806, GB Patent No. 1212569, GB Patent No. 1247114, and GB Patent No. 1489635. Vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymers suited for the purposes of the present invention are produced, e.g., by Kuraray Company Limited, Osaka, Japan, and are available under the trade name "Kuraray EVAL". They are normally used as packing materials for food; the main reason for their suitability for this purpose is their resistance against permeation by oxygen and their capability of reducing the loss of aromas from the food.

As appears from the experimental section, the PVAE materials show unique advantages for the purpose of the present invention in that they show extremely long breakthrough time. In contrast to PVA, the PVAE materials may be produced and shaped into membranes without the use of plasticizers, but with excellent flexibility and plyability. In addition to the advantage the the PVAE membranes have an extremely long breakthrough time, they show the advantage of the main component in epoxy materials, DGBEA, does not wet PVAE materials, which is attributed to the fact that PVAE materials have a very high hydrogen binding parameter δ_H compared to DGEBA. This is of major importance in the practical use of the garments according to the invention and contributes to the high barrier effect of the PVAE materials because the contact area between the membrane and the epoxy material is then essentially reduced.

According to particular embodiment of the invention, the substantially water insoluble high energy polymer material is laminated with a layer of another polymer. Several advantages may be obtainable by laminating a layer of the high energy synthetic polymer used according to the present invention with another polymer such as a polyolefin, in particular poethylene or polypropylene:

PVAE materials exhibit some absorbtion of water. Water absorption in the PVAE material will to some extent reduce the barrier function of the PVAE membrane due to a certain plasticizing effect of the water. As a protective garment is subject to the influence of water from perspiration, an important type of laminate is one which comprises a



polymer layer of a type which will reduce water permeation, such as polyethylene or polypropylene, for application against the skin. Another possibility is to laminate the other polymer to the outside of the high energy polymer for protection against external water such as rain or spray.

Some chemicals, e.g. amines and alcohols, are able to permeate PVAE rather easily, but are not capable of permeating polyethylene. Thus, a laminate comprising polyethylene and PVAE is an excellent barrier against both chemicals with high δ_H , δ_P solubility parameters and chemicals with low δ_H , δ_P parameters. PVAE/polyethylene laminates, therefore, provide a superior general protecting effect against hazardous chemicals, including epoxy products. Also, a layer of polyethylene laminated with a PVAE membrane enhances the mechanical properties of the protective membrane and therefore constitute an economic construction in view of the relatively high cost of PVAE compared to polyethylene.

In case of both prolonged wear of the garment or wearing at elevated temperatures as well under conditions subjecting the garment to influence from external water, it will be preferable that the substantially water-insoluble material having a solubility parameter set positioned at solubility parameter distance of at least 7 from the parameter set (0,0,8) constitutes at least one intermediary layer of the laminate, for instance being the central layer in a 3-layer laminate or being layers Nos. 2 and 4 in a 5-layer laminate.

In such a PE/PVAE/PE laminate, the PVAE layer is effectively protected against water, amines, and alcohols. The PE/PVAE/PE laminate in practical dimensions has excellent mechanical properties (flexibility, strength, and elongation).

The lamination may be performed by extrusion lamination in which the polymer materials are extruded together into one membrane without any aid to help the polymer materials adhere together. Extrusion lamination may also be performed with the use of an adhesive promoter such as an organometallic titanium compound, e.g., tetra-n-butyltitanate or tetra-i-propyltitanate. The lamination may also be



aided by means of oxidizing one of the polymers, e.g., oxidized polyethylene film as described in Reference 6. Another method is lamination with an adhesive. In this method, the individual polymer films are laminated together by means of a thin layer (a few microns) of an adhesive such as a modified polyolefine, e.g. a modified polyethylene or a modified polypropylene such as the types manufactured by Mitsui Petrochemical Industry Company Ltd., Japan, under the trade name ADMER™ or by the Mitsubishi Petrochemical Company Ltd., Japan, under the trade name MODIC™. Other useful adhesives are acrylic resinous adhesives and modified vinyl resinous adhesives, the use of which is described in reference 7. This method is especially useful if the polymers have such different cohesive characteristics that they do not adhere well to one another on their own, e.g. in the case of a vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymer and polyethylene.

15 In order to avoid the possibility of pinholes in the high-energy polymer barrier layer of the membrane, this layer should have a thickness of at least 10 μm . In practice, the membrane will usually have a thickness in the range from about 25 μm to about 5000 μm . For disposable garments, such as gloves, a preferred thickness range is 20 25-200 μm , in particular 50-150 μm , especially about 100 μm . For garments for repeated use, a preferred thickness range is 300-1000 μm , especially 250-500 μm .

The protective garment of the invention may be a glove as mentioned of the disposable type, or of a type for repeated use, a hood for 25 protecting the face and head, a boot (both of the disposable type for covering shoes and of the rubber boot type), a coverall suit (both of the type with integral gloves, boots, and hood, and of the type with separate gloves, boots, or hood), or an apron.

For large garments subjected to mechanical influences such as coverall 30 suits with integral hood, gloves, and boots, it is preferable that the garment is also laminated with a reinforcing layer, such as woven nylon.

When manufacturing garments or parts of garments the membrane material may be heat welded, cast (e.g. by immersion or dip-casting), pneumatically extruded, or sown (with subsequent covering of the seams).

- 5 Large suits or parts of suits may be lined with e.g. non-woven fibrous material in order to increase mechanical strength and to increase comfort.

The invention also relates to a method for the protection of mammalian skin against the influence of a chemical or mixture of chemicals, said
10 method showing the features set out in any of claims 23-27.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 illustrates a protective glove 1 according to the invention. The glove is made from a polyethylene/vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymer/-polyethylene laminate which, for illustration, is shown in a symbolic
15 "delaminated" fashion. The glove may, e.g. be made from two superimposed layers of laminate by heat sealing along the contour of the glove and simultaneously cutting. If desired, the glove may thereafter be turned inside out so that the seam is on the inside whereby dexterity is enhanced.

20 Fig. 2 shows a preferred laminate for a garment according to the invention. Reference numeral 2 designates a polyoleofine layer, preferably polyethylene or polypropylene, and reference numeral 4 designates a layer of vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymer or another suitable high-energy polymer material. Reference numeral 3 designates an
25 optional adhesive or adhesion promoter. Alternatively, the layers 2 and 4 may be unified by, e.g., co-extrusion.

Fig. 3 illustrates another laminate for use in a garment according to the invention. In Fig. 3, the numerals 5 and 9 designate the same or different polyoleofines such as polyethylene or polypropylene, and 7
30 designates a vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymer. Reference numerals 6

and 8 designate adhesive or adhesion promoter. Alternatively, the layers may be unified by e.g., co-extrusion.

Fig. 4 illustrates the relation between the distance in solubility parameter (A) and the time lag breakthrough time with respect to Neoprene (N, plots o) and PVC (P, plots +) respectively. The individual plots correspond to the following chemicals: C: Chlorobenzene, D: dimethylsulphoxide, H: n-hexane, M: methanol, T: toluene, Tr: trichloroethylene. The curves N and P represent the time lag breakthrough times as a function of the solubility parameter distance between the polymer and the influencing chemical. It will be noted that at small distance, the time lag breakthrough time is less than 1000 seconds, whereas considerable improvements are obtained at distances of 5 and above, in particular at distances at 7 and above. In the actual case illustrated in Fig. 4, the thickness of the PVC membrane was 0.7 mm as opposed to 0.5 mm for the Neoprene membrane. The fact that the PVC-curve is lower than the Neoprene curve in spite of the greater thickness of the PVC-membrane reflects influence from the high proportion of plasticizer in the PVC-membrane.

Fig. 5 illustrates the manner in which the time lag breakthrough time is calculated in the experimental section. The curve 36 shows the accumulated concentration of the compound in question behind the membrane as the function of the time. At the beginning, the accumulated concentration is zero and remains zero until the first trace of compound is detected at time T_s . Thereafter, the accumulated concentration increases and becomes a linear function of time until an equilibrium is reached. The symbol θ designates the time lag breakthrough time. This breakthrough time is determined from the extrapolations 38 and 40+42 shown in the figure. T_s is determined at the actual detection limit. T_s is therefore different from material to material and from experimental setup to experimental setup, which makes comparisons of the measured results difficult. θ is dependent of the detection limit in question and is therefore, among other reasons, a better basis for a comparison of membranes.

BAD ORIGINAL



- Fig. 6 illustrates a test cell used in the experimental section for monitoring the permeation through membranes. an axially extending central inlet bore 14 and, perpendicular thereon, an outlet bore 16. At each end, the central body is provided with screwed-on caps 18 and 20, respectively. The upper cap 18 secures two to oppositely arranged teflon cones 22 with central bore between which the membrane 24 to be tested is arranged. In the bores 14 and 16, bushings 26 and 28, respectively are arranged through which capillary tubes 30 and 32, respectively extend.
- 10 In operation, a sample 34 of a fluid chemical is arranged on top of the membrane 24. Through the capillary tube 30, a current of helium gas is directed against the lower side of the membrane 24, from where the gas (together with any chemical which has permeated the membrane) is discharged through the capillary tube 32 for analysis in a
- 15 mass spectrometer.



Experimental Studies

The breakthrough time of DGEBA in a series of low energy polymer materials and some high energy polymer materials and laminates of low energy materials with high energy materials was determined. From
5 these determinations, the diffusion coefficient was determined by the so-called time lag method, the time lag diffusion coefficient D_L being expressed as

$$D_L = \frac{l^2}{6 \times \theta}, \text{ where } l \text{ is the membrane thickness measured}$$

10

in cm, and θ is the time lag breakthrough time in sec.

The basis of the time lag method is that the permeation rate of a substance that is brought into contact with a membrane becomes constant with time. This means that the concentration of the sub-
15 stance in question in a closed (detection) chamber on the desorption side, after a certain transition period, will become linearly increasing. Finally, in the case when the air in the chamber is saturated or when all of the material has been absorbed, the concentration will remain constant, cf. the typical time lag curve in Fig. 5.

20 The measurements were performed with the aid of the test cell shown in Fig. 6, connected to a mass spectrometer. The instrumentation and the general procedure in the performance of the measurements are described in more detail in the literature (ref. 8).

The "outer" side of the membrane (absorption side) was covered with
25 DGEBA (diglycidyle therof bisphenol A). It was determined that the membrane was pinhole-free. The permeation of DGEBA was detected by mass spectroscopic analysis with respect to DGEBA vapor on the "instrument side" (desorption side) of the membrane. The permeation was determined on the basis of the intensity of the signal at the mass
30 numbers 77, 91 and 94, the signal/noise ratio being best for these signals.



As the maximum measurement period, 240 min (4 hours) was chosen since this time interval corresponds to a working morning of uninterrupted contact with epoxy products.

During the measurements, the test cell was thermostated at 40° C.

5 *Materials*

The content of impurities of the DGEBA used was less than 1% according to liquid chromatography.

Permeability measurements were performed on 14 different membranes. Four of these were specially produced. The others were made available as industrial warehouse products or finished experimental products. The membranes are specified in Table 1 and 1a. Membranes 1-10 were studied in an introductory series of tests, Nos. 11-15 in a concluding series of tests.

Results

15 The results of the measurements are summarized in Table 2 and 2a. The time point T_s for the first traces of DGEBA in the detection chamber was measured directly. The detection limit was 1 picomole/-sec. The uncertainty of the breakthrough time θ is $\pm 15\%$. The membranes studied were placed in the table according to increasing
20 diffusion coefficient.

PVAE-1/PE was tested for permeability from both sides. After 335 min, the DGEBA had still not penetrated from the PE side. At the measurement after 1217 min, the substance had penetrated.

25 All membranes were kept completely wetted with DGEBA during the tests. No membranes swelled to any directly visible degree with the substance.



Table 1
Specification of membranes studied

	Material no. and type	Thickness (mm)	Form
5			
	1 Polyethylene (PE)	0.08	Film
	2 Polychloroprene (neoprene, CR)	0.54	Plate
	3 Silicone rubber (VSi)	1.16	Plate
10	4 Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)	0.07	Film
	5 Butyl rubber I (PIB, IIR)	0.22	Plate
	6 Butyl rubber II (PIB, IIR)	0.48	Glove
	7 Natural rubber (NR)	1.1	Plate
	8 Polyisoprene (PIP)	1.1	Plate
15	9 Ethylene-propylene-terpolymer (EPDM)	0.9	Plate
	10 Chlorobutyl rubber/EPDM mixture	1.32	Plate
	11 PE/PVAE-1/PE laminate a)	0.056	Film
	12 PVAE-1/PE laminate	0.095	Film
20	13 PVAE-1	0.020	Film
	14 PVAE-2	0.016	Film

Table 1a
Specification of membranes studied

5	Material	Supplier:
	no.	Product brand
10	1	Allhabo, Sweden: Alloten LD
	2	DuPont, USA:
	3	DuPont, USA:
	4	Kurashiki, Japan: Vinylon
	5	Trelleborg, Sweden: 8700
	6	Arsima, Arsima: 60951-3
	7	Schønning & Arve, Denmark: AT-1
	8	Schønning & Arve, Denmark: AT-2
	9	Codan, Denmark: EPDM EJ-41*
	10	Codan, Denmark: Chlorobutyl/EPDM CB-13/
20	11	Kuraray Co. Ltd. Osaka, Japan: PE/EVAL-E/PE-coextruded film
	12	Kuraray Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan: EVAL-E/PE-lami-film
	13	Kuraray Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan: EVAL-E
	14	Kuraray Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan: EVAL-F

25 a) Three-layer laminate: polyethylene/vinyl alcohol-ethylene-copolymer/polyethylene.

* NR, PIP, EPDM and chlorobutyl rubber/EPDM plates (Nos. 7-10) were especially produced for this study.



Table 2
Membrane materials, time of first trace of DGEBA,
breakthrough time, diffusion coefficient
and solubility parameters

5	DGEBA ("epoxymonomer")	Membrane thickness	First trace of DGEBA, T_s	Break-through time for DGEBA, θ
	Membrane no. and materials	(mm)	(min)	(min)
10	4 Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)	0.07	>240	>240
	11 PE/PVAE-1/PE	0.056	>240	>240
	12 PVAE-1/PE	0.095	>240	>240
	13 PVAE-1	0.020	>240	>240
15	14 PVAE-2	0.016	>240	>240
	1 Polyethylene (PE)	0.08	2.0	4.4
	5 Butyl rubber I (PIB, IIR)	0.22	2.5	5.6
	5 Butyl rubber II (PIB, IIR)	0.48	25	46
20	2 Polychloroprene (neoprene, CR)	0.54	16	38
	10 Chlorobutyl/EPDM	1.32	11	75
	7 Natural rubber (NR)	1.10	7.3	24
	8 Polyisoprene (PIP)	1.10	6.5	24
25	9 Ethylenepropylen-ter-polymer (EPDM)	0.90	2.5	15
	3 Silicone rubber (VSi)	1.16	7.5	19

30



Table 2a

5	Membrane no.	Diffusion coeffici- ent. $D_L \times 10^8$ (cm ² /sec)	Solubility parameters			Refe- rence	Distance between pa- rameter set DGEBA/ma- terial, A (cal/cm ³) ^{1/2}
			δ_H	δ_P	δ_D		
10	DGEBA		5.51	5.88	9.95	(3)	
15	4	<0.057	13	7	8.5 ¹⁾		8.1 ⁴⁾
	11	<0.036	10.5	6.5	8.5 ¹⁾		5.8 ⁴⁾
	12	<0.104	10.5	6.5	8.5 ¹⁾		5.8
	13	<0.005	10.5	6.5	8.5 ¹⁾		5.8
	14	<0.003	10.5	6.5	8.5 ¹⁾		5.8
20	1	4	~0	~0	8.1	(10)	8.9
	5	24	2.28	1.23	7.10	(3) 8.07	7.8
	6	14 ¹⁹	1.6	1.1	7.8	(11) 7.58	
	2	21	1.3	1.5	9.5	(11)	6.2 ²⁾
	10	65	-	-	-		-
25	7	140	3.5	1.0	9.0	(11) 5.6	5.6 ³⁾
	8	140	-0.40	0.69	8.10	(3) 8.8	
	9	152	1.0	0.4	8.8	(11)	7.5
	3	197	2.2	1.8	8.0	(11)	6.6

1) The solubility parameters are, as far as is known, not established for PVA and PVAE. The values stated are estimated.

2) Secondary solubility range left out of consideration.

30 3) The solubility parameters for PIP (synthetic natural rubber) were determined with the aid of "raw elastomers" (ref. 3). The solubi-



- lity parameters for NR (natural rubber) were determined with respect to vulcanized material. Since the membranes studied of both PIP and NR consists of vulcanized material, it appears reasonable to use the solubility parameters for NR in the distance calculations with respect to both material types.

Discussion

- The membrane thicknesses are of the same order of magnitude as those which occur in safety gloves. The measured breakthrough times θ and trace times T_s therefore by themselves give an impression of the suitability of the material for safety gloves. Several membranes are of essentially the same thickness, which enables direct comparison of θ and T_s within subgroups of the membrane materials in question. Where there are differences in the membrane thickness, the comparison should be based on the calculated diffusion coefficient.

- The breakthrough time θ varied within the group of all membranes studied between 4.4 min (0.08 mm polyethylene (PE)) and more than 240 min (0.07 mm polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), 0.056 mm PE/PVAE-1/PE and the like).

- Disposable gloves manufactured from PE are, according to experience of the Labor Inspection's Administration of Worker Safety Regulations with Epoxy Products and Use of Them, quite common as a protection against DGEBA and other constituents. In addition, gloves of polyvinyl chloride (PVC), nitrile rubber, neoprene or natural rubber are used. The results reported above demonstrate the clear superiority of the PVAE materials over these known art materials.

- Regarding laminates, one might fear that PVAE when laminated with PE, because of the "compulsory wetting" via PE, would have a shorter breakthrough time than non-laminated PVAE. It was found that the breakthrough time of DGEBA in contact with a PVAE/PE laminate was longer than 240 min, regardless of whether DGEBA was brought into contact with the PVAE or PE side of the laminate. The possible compulsory wetting effect is therefore not of essential importance.



References Cited

- 1) Hansen, C.M. & Beerbower, A.: Solubility parameters, p. 889-910 in Kirk-Othmer: Encycl. Chem. Techn. Suppl. Vol., 2nd Ed., Wiley & Sons, New York 1971
- 5 2) Hansen, C.M.: The three dimensional solubility parameter and solvent diffusion coefficient, Their importance in surface coating formulation, Danish Technical Press, Copenhagen 1967 (106 p.)
- 3) Hansen, C.M.: Solubility in the coatings industry, Färg och Lack, 17(4), 69-77 (1971)
- 10 4) Hansen, C.M.: "The universality of the solubility parameter", Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev., 1969 (8:1)2-11.
- 5) DE-Auslegeschrift 2339860
- 6) GB Patent 1212569
- 7) GB Patent 1247114
- 15 8) Klaschka, F.: Physiologische Grundlagen des Hautschutzes, Arbeitsmed. Sozialmed. Präventivmed., 15(1), 2-5 (1980)
- 9) Linnarson, A. & Halvarson, K.: Studie av polymermaterials genomsläpplighet för organiska föreningar (FOA-Rapport C-20414-H2), Försvarets Forskningsanstalt, Stockholm 1981.
- 20 10) Hansen, C.M.: The three dimensional solubility parameter - key to paint component affinities: I. Solvents, plasticizers, polymers, and resins, J. Paint. Techn., 39(505), 104-117 (1967).
- 11) Beerbower, A. & Dickey, J.R.: Advanced methods for predicting elastomer/fluids interactions, ASLE Transact., 12, 1-20 (1969).



12) Kishimoto, A.: Gas barrier property and multilayer blown bottle,
Jap. plast. age, 14(152), 21-25 (1976).

13) GB Patent 1489635



CLAIMS

1. A protective garment comprising a membrane comprising a substantially water insoluble polymer material having a solubility parameter set (δ_H , δ_P , δ_D) which is positioned at a solubility parameter distance of at least 7 from the solubility parameter set (δ_H , δ_P , δ_D) equal to (0,0,8).
2. A protective garment as claimed in claim 1 wherein the solubility parameter distance is at least 9.
3. A protective garment as claimed in claim 1 wherein the solubility parameter distance is at least 11.
4. A protective garment as claimed in claim 1 wherein the solubility parameter distance is about 13.
5. A protective garment as claimed in any of claims 1-4 wherein the polymer is substantially free of plasticizers.
6. A protective garment as claimed in claim 1 wherein the polymer material is a copolymer of a C_{2-5} alkene substituted with up to 4 hydroxy groups and a C_{2-5} alkene, or a homopolymer of a C_{3-5} alkene substituted with up to 4 hydroxy groups.
7. A protective garment as claimed in claim 6 wherein the C_{2-5} alkene is ethylene.
8. A protective garment as claimed in claim 7 wherein the polymer material is a vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymer.
9. A protective garment as claimed in claim 8 wherein the copolymer contains 40-80 mole percent vinyl alcohol and 20-60 mole percent ethylene.
10. A protective garment as claimed in claim 8 wherein the copolymer contains 65-75 mole percent vinyl alcohol and 25-35 mole percent ethylene.



11. A protective garment as claimed in any of the preceding claims wherein the membrane comprises a layer of the substantially water insoluble polymer material having a solubility parameter set (δ_H , δ_P , δ_D) positioned at a solubility parameter distance of at least 7 from the solubility parameter set (δ_H , δ_P , δ_D) equal to (0,0,8) laminated with a layer of another polymer.
12. A protective garment as claimed in claim 11 wherein the other polymer is a polyoleofine.
13. A protective garment as claimed in claim 12 wherein the polyoleofine is polyethylene.
14. A protective garment as claimed in claim 11 wherein a layer of the substantially water insoluble polymer material having a solubility parameter set (δ_H , δ_P , δ_D) positioned at a solubility parameter distance of at least 7 from the solubility parameter set (δ_H , δ_P , δ_D) equal to (0,0,8) constitutes an intermediary layer of the laminate.
15. A protective garment as claimed in claim 14 wherein the membrane comprises vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymer layer laminated between polyoleofine layers.
16. A protective garment as claimed in claim 15 wherein the membrane comprises a vinyl alcohol-ethylene copolymer layer laminated between polyethylene layers.
17. A protective garment as claimed in any of the preceding claims wherein the membrane has a thickness of from about 25 μm to about 5000 μm .
18. A protective garment as claimed in claim 17 wherein the thickness is 25-200 μm .
19. A protective garment as claimed in claim 17 wherein the thickness is 50-150 μm .



20. A protective garment as claimed in claim 17 wherein the thickness is about 100 μm .
21. A protective garment as claimed in claim 17 wherein the thickness is 300-1000 μm .
- 5 22. A protective garment as claimed in claim 17 wherein the thickness is 250-500 μm .
- 10 23. A method for the protection of mammalian skin against the influence of a chemical or mixture of chemicals, comprising establishing the solubility parameters (δ_H , δ_P , δ_D) of the chemical or mixture of chemicals, selecting a polymer material with solubility parameters (δ_H , δ_P , δ_D) having a solubility parameter distance of at least 5 from the solubility parameters of the chemical, and interposing a membrane comprising a layer of said polymer material between said chemical and the mammalian skin.
- 15 24. A method as claimed in claim 23 wherein the solubility parameter distance is at least 7.
25. A method as claimed in claim 23 wherein the solubility parameter distance is at least 9.
- 20 26. A method as claimed in claim 23 wherein the solubility parameter distance is at least 11.
27. A method as claimed in claim 23 wherein the solubility parameter distance is about 13.



Fig. 4. $1/2$




Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.





Fig. 3.



2/2
Fig. 5.

ACCUMULATED CONCENTRATION
OF COMPOUND BEHIND MEMBRANE





Fig. 6.



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/DK83/00034

1. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (If several classification symbols apply, indicate all) 1 A 62 D 5/00, A 62 B 17/00, B 32 B 27/32, C 08 J 5/18	
2. FIELD SEARCHED Minimum Documentation Searched 4	
3. Classification System EPC 3	Classification Symbols A 62 D 5/00, A 62 B 17/00, B 32 B 27/08, 30, 32 C 08 J 5/18, A 41 D 31/00, 02 .../...
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched 4	
32, NO. OK, FI classes as above	
4. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT 14	
5. Citation of Document, 16 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17	Relevant to Claim No. 18
XY DE, A, 2 330 316 (LAMPRECHT AG & CO) 10 January 1974	1 - 22
Y EP, A, 37 744 (E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY) 14 October 1981	1 - 22
Y EP, A, 37 745 (E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY) 14 October 1981	1 - 22
Y US, A, 3 547 765 (H I SNYDER, E E PLUMB) 15 December 1970	1 - 22
XY GB, A, 1 212 569 (KURASHIKI RAYON KABUSHIKI KAISHA) 18 November 1970	1 - 22
XY GB, A, 1 247 114 (KABASHIKI KAISHA KURARAY) 22 September 1971	1 - 22
XY GB, A, 1 409 958 (TOYO SEIKAN KAISHA Ltd) 15 October 1975 .../...	1 - 22
* Special categories of cited documents: 19 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "B" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "C" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "D" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu- ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family	
IV. CERTIFICATION	
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 1 1983-06-13	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 1 1983-06-21
International Searching Authority 1 Swedish Patent Office	Signature of Authorized Officer 10 Gunnilla Claesson

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)		
Category *	Citation of Document, ¹⁶ with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹⁷	Relevant to Claim No ¹⁸
XY	GB, A, 1 489 635 (TOYO SEIKAN KAISHA Ltd) 26 October 1977	1 - 22
PX	DE, A, 3 035 474 (AMERICAN CAN CO) 8 April 1982	1 - 22
PX	DE, A, 3 035 476 (AMERICAN CAN CO) 8 April 1982	1 - 22
Y	FR, A, 2 441 641 (KURARAY CO Ltd) 13 June 1980	1 - 22
Y	GB, A, 577 866 (J H ROONEY, J H SHARPHOUSE, P R HAWTIN) 4 June 1946	1 - 22
Y	DE, A, 2 759 008 (SOFIMAN S A) 6 July 1978	1 - 22

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET

II

Fields Searched (cont)

IPC 1 C 08 j 1/40

National C1 61b:1/01

US C1 428:500, 515, 516V. ☐ OBSERVATIONS WHERE CERTAIN CLAIMS WERE FOUND UNSEARCHABLE ¹⁰

This International search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2) (a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claim numbers because they relate to subject matter ¹² not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. ☐ Claim numbers because they relate to parts of the International application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out ¹³, specifically:

VI. ☐ OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING ¹¹

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this International application as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International search report covers all searchable claims of the International application.

2. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims of the International application for which fees were paid, specifically claims:

3. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claim numbers:

4. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, the International Searching Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.

Remark on Protest

☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by applicant's protest.

☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.