



US010284576B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Sauve et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,284,576 B2**

(45) **Date of Patent:** ***May 7, 2019**

(54) **TIERED OBJECT-RELATED TRUST DECISIONS**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC G06F 21/51; G06F 21/60; G06F 21/52;
G06F 2221/2119; G06F 2221/2113; H04L
63/1416; H04L 63/1433

(71) Applicant: **Microsoft Technology Licensing, LLC**,
Redmond, WA (US)

See application file for complete search history.

(72) Inventors: **Aaron Sauve**, Seattle, WA (US);
Li-Hsin Huang, Bellevue, WA (US);
Tony Schreiner, Redmond, WA (US);
Jeffrey Davis, Redmond, WA (US);
Tom Pipinich, Redmond, WA (US);
Jonathan Gass, Ilford (GB); **J. Craig Hally**, Sammamish, WA (US)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,675,520 A 10/1997 Pitt, III et al.
5,958,051 A 9/1999 Renaud et al.
(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **Microsoft Technology Licensing, LLC**,
Redmond, WA (US)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 1310393 A 8/2001
CN 1444742 A 9/2003
(Continued)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“Active X”, Nikkei Byte magazine, p. 264, left column, lines 1 to 13, 11-8, 1997, 6 pgs. (no English translation).

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **14/952,339**

(22) Filed: **Nov. 25, 2015**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2016/0088004 A1 Mar. 24, 2016

Primary Examiner — Theodore C Parsons

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Merchant & Gould P.C.

Related U.S. Application Data

(57) **ABSTRACT**


(60) Continuation of application No. 13/901,212, filed on May 23, 2013, now Pat. No. 9,208,327, which is a (Continued)

Adware and viruses are examples of objects that may be embedded in a web page or linked to a web page. When such an object is detected to be associated with a web page loading on a browser, an analysis may be performed to determine a trust level for the object. The object is suppressed based on the trust level. A prompt is displayed to advise a user that the object has been suppressed, and to provide an opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of an action for the object.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H04L 29/06 (2006.01)
G06F 21/52 (2013.01)
G06F 21/60 (2013.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H04L 63/1416** (2013.01); **G06F 21/52** (2013.01); **G06F 21/60** (2013.01);
(Continued)

20 Claims, 10 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data

continuation of application No. 13/164,554, filed on Jun. 20, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,468,603, which is a division of application No. 10/780,144, filed on Feb. 17, 2004, now Pat. No. 8,332,943.

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC .. **H04L 63/1433** (2013.01); **G06F 2221/2113** (2013.01); **G06F 2221/2119** (2013.01)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,061,695	A	5/2000	Slivka et al.	
6,092,194	A	7/2000	Touboul	
6,124,856	A	9/2000	Bryan et al.	
6,154,844	A	11/2000	Touboul et al.	
6,167,520	A	12/2000	Touboul	
6,202,202	B1	3/2001	Steensgaard	
6,202,207	B1	3/2001	Donohue	
6,321,334	B1*	11/2001	Jerger	G06F 21/54 726/1
6,324,553	B1	11/2001	Cargun et al.	
6,336,140	B1	1/2002	Elgressy et al.	
6,341,373	B1	2/2002	Shaw	
6,366,912	B1	4/2002	Wallent et al.	
6,480,962	B1	11/2002	Touboul	
6,649,714	B2	11/2003	Jayaraman et al.	
6,687,737	B2	2/2004	Landsman et al.	
6,708,276	B1	3/2004	Yarsa et al.	
6,804,780	B1	10/2004	Touboul	
6,833,848	B1	12/2004	Wolff et al.	
6,854,016	B1	2/2005	Kraenzel et al.	
6,928,550	B1	8/2005	Le Pennec et al.	
7,058,822	B2	6/2006	Mordechai	
7,111,246	B2*	9/2006	Sauve	G06F 21/51 715/738
8,332,943	B2*	12/2012	Sauve	G06F 21/52 713/167
8,468,603	B2*	6/2013	Sauve	G06F 21/52 713/167
9,208,327	B2*	12/2015	Sauve	G06F 21/52
2001/0056498	A1	12/2001	Kohda et al.	
2002/0013910	A1	1/2002	Edery et al.	
2002/0032869	A1	3/2002	Lamberton et al.	
2002/0107826	A1	8/2002	Ramachandran et al.	
2002/0178384	A1	11/2002	Elgressy et al.	
2003/0098863	A1	5/2003	Fujita et al.	
2003/0098883	A1*	5/2003	Pennell	G06F 17/30867 715/781
2003/0177397	A1	9/2003	Samman	
2004/0083474	A1	4/2004	McKinlay et al.	
2005/0066290	A1	3/2005	Chebolu et al.	
2012/0222118	A1	8/2012	Sauve et al.	
2013/0254898	A1	9/2013	Sauve et al.	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	1376343	1/2004
JP	10177466	6/1998
JP	2002041296	2/2002
JP	2003189049	7/2003
JP	2004532573	10/2004
KR	20020003286	1/2002
WO	1998021683	7/1998
WO	2000063903	10/2000
WO	2002096008	11/2002

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Chinese Decision on Reexamination in Application 200480001362.7 dated Dec. 26, 2011, 6 pages.
 Chinese Notice of Allowance in Application 200480001423 dated Mar. 20, 2009, 4 pages.

Chinese Notice on Reexamination in Application 200480001362.7, dated Jun. 20, 2011, 12 pages.
 Chinese Office Action in Application 200480001423 dated Aug. 8, 2008, 17 pages.
 Chinese Office Action in Application 201210092855.2 dated Apr. 8, 2014 13 pages.
 Chinese Office Action in Application 201210092855.2 dated Aug. 17, 2015, 11 pages.
 Chinese Office Action in Application 201210092855.2 dated Feb. 14, 2016, 10 pages.
 Chinese Office Action in Application 201210092855.2 dated Dec. 15, 2014, 3 pages.
 Chinese Office Action in Application No. 200480001362.7 dated Dec. 7, 2007, 12 pages.
 Chinese Office Action in Application No. 200480001362.7 dated Aug. 8, 2008, 10 pages.
 Chinese Office Action in Application No. 200480001362.7 dated Feb. 5, 2010, 12 pages.
 Chinese Response to First Office Action in Application 200480001362.7 filed Apr. 22, 2008, 12 pages.
 Chinese Response to First Office Action in Chinese Application 200480001423 dated Dec. 11, 2008, 20 pages.
 European Summons to Attend Oral Proceeding in Application 04778931.8 dated Feb. 24, 2014, 8 pages.
 European Communication in Application 04778931.8 dated Jan. 13, 2010, 3 pages.
 European Communication in Application 1200392.6 dated May 13, 2013, 6 pages.
 European Communication in Application No. 04778922.7 dated Aug. 6, 2012, 5 pages.
 European Communication in Application No. 12000392.6 dated Aug. 13, 2012, 1 page.
 European Communication in Application 04778922.7 dated Jun. 26, 2014, 5 pages.
 European Decision of the Examining Division in Application 04778931.8 dated Oct. 23, 2014, 37 pages.
 European Reply to Communication in Application 04778931.8 dated May 25, 2010, 27 pgs.
 European Reply to Communication in Application 04778931.8 dated Jan. 13, 2010, 27 pages.
 European Search Report in Application 04778931.8 dated Nov. 16, 2006, 3 pages.
 European Search Report in Application 04778931.8 dated Oct. 30, 2009, 3 pages.
 European Search Report in Application 12000392.6 dated Jul. 10, 2012, 9 pages.
 European Search Report in Application 15164080.2 dated Jul. 30, 2015, 6 pages.
 European Search Report in Application 4778922.7 dated Sep. 9, 2005, 2 pages.
 European Supplemental Search Report in Application 4778922.7 dated May 3, 2012, 3 pages.
 Gong et al., "Going Beyond the Sandbox: An Overview of the New Security Architecture in the Java Development Kit 1.2", Proceedings of the Usenix Symposium on Internet Technologies and Systems, Dec. 8-11, 1997, 10 pages.
 Google Toolbar Options Help, [online], Dec. 4, 2003, Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://toolbar.google.com/popup_help.html [Retrieved on Nov. 7, 2005] (If necessary, see http://web.archive.org/web/20031204090630/http://toolbar.google.com/popup_help.html) (13 pgs).
 Google Toolbar Options Help, http://toolbar.google.com/popup_help.html, version from archive.org, Dec. 4, 2003.
 Google Toolbar Options Help, http://web.archive.org/web/20031204090630/http://toolbar.google.com/popup_help.html, Aug. 9, 2012 (1 page).
 Indian First Examination Report in Application 1849/DELNP/2005 dated May 16, 2013, 2 pages.
 Indian First Examination Report in Application 1854/DELNP/2005 dated May 29, 2013, 2 pgs.
 Indian Hearing Notice in Application 1854/DELNP/2005 dated Feb. 2, 2016, 2 pgs.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Indian Oral hearing Summons in Application 1849/DELNP/2005, dated Nov. 28, 2014, 1 page.

Indian Second Examination Report in Application 1849/DELNP/2005 dated Feb. 28, 2014, 2 pages.

Japan Appeal Decision to Grant a Patent in Application 2006-553110, dated Feb. 4, 2014, 4 pages.

Japanese Appeal Decision in Application No. 2006-553110 dated Nov. 27, 2012, 14 pages.

Japanese Decision to Grant in Application No. 2006-553109 dated Feb. 29, 2008, 3 pages.

Japanese Office Action in Application 2006-553110, dated Mar. 9, 2010, 7 pages.

Japanese Office Action in Application 2006-553110, dated Jul. 20, 2010, 13 pages.

Japanese Office Action in Application 2006-553110, dated Dec. 3, 2010, 11 pages.

Japanese Official Action in Application No. 2006-553109 dated Aug. 24, 2007, 6 pages.

Japanese Response in Application 2006-553109 filed Dec. 21, 2007, 8 pages.

Japanese Response in Japanese Application 2006-553110 dated Oct. 20, 2010, 26 pages.

Japanese Response in Japanese Application No. 2006-553110 dated Jun. 8, 2010, 11 pages.

Japanese Written Appeal in Japanese Application No. 2006-553110 dated Apr. 4, 2014, 6 pages.

Korean Amendment in Application 10-2005-7007940 dated 011-03-14, 17 pages.

Korean Amendment in Application 10-2011-7005963 Aug. 1, 2011, 27 pages.

Korean Certificate of Patents Granted in Application 10-2011-7005963 dated Jun. 11, 2012, 10 pages.

Korean Notice of Allowance in Application 2005-7007940, dated Jul. 30, 2011, 6 pages.

Kurokawa, Kaeru. Emergency Alert! Arm with an IDS & a Fire Wall!, PC Japan, vol. 8, No. 10, Japan, Softbank Publishing Corp., Oct. 1, 2003, vol. 8, pp. 80 to 85 (domestic technical journal 2004-01062-005).

Mechanism in Which IE Uses Active X, Nikkei Byte magazine, p. 104, upper column, title to right column, line 9, Oct. 2002, 17 pgs. (no English translation).

PCT International Preliminary Report on Patentability in PCT/US2004/23630 dated Aug. 22, 2006, 8 pages.

PCT International Preliminary Report on Patentability in Application PCT/US04/23639 dated Nov. 6, 2006, 8 pages.

PCT International Search Report in Application PCT/US04/23639 dated Sep. 13, 2006, 1 page.

PCT International Search Report in Application PCT/US2004/23630 dated Mar. 2, 2006, 2 pages.

PCT Second Written Opinion in Application PCT/US04/23639 dated Sep. 13, 2006, 7 pages.

PCT Second Written Opinion in PCT/US2004/23630 dated Mar. 2, 2006, 7 pages.

Shoji Oakada, "Part 2 Focus attention on extra functions other than retrieval! Detailed explanation! Five items selected by editors," Windows Server World, vol. 8, No. 12, p. 116, IDG Japan, Inc., Dec. 1, 2003 (2 pgs.).

Sonoda, Michio. "Basics of Windows security, 1 Risk of ActiveX controls and scripting, Vulnerable standard features of IE, Restrict conditions for execution and retain security," Nikkei Windows Pro, No. 73, pp. 98-102, Nikkei Business Publications, Inc., Apr. 1, 2003, 6 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/901,212, Amendment and Response filed Mar. 25, 2015, 13 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/901,212, Amendment and Response filed Nov. 3, 2015, 4 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/901,212, Notice of Allowance dated Apr. 10, 2015, 8 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/901,212, Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 30, 2015, 5 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/901,212, Office Action dated Nov. 24, 2014, 25 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/901,212, Response to 312 Amendments, dated Nov. 13, 2015, 3 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/779,925, Amendment and Response filed Jan. 25, 2006, 10 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/779,925, Amendment and Response filed Jan. 31, 2006, 7 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/779,925, Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 13, 2006, 8 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/779,925, Office Action dated Nov. 14, 2005, 10 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Advisory Action dated Jan. 8, 2009, 3 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Amendment and Response filed Jan. 16, 2007, 17 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Amendment and Response filed Aug. 7, 2007, 17 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Amendment and Response filed Mar. 26, 2008, 19 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Amendment and Response filed Dec. 22, 2008, 20 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Amendment and Response filed Jul. 9, 2009, 17 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Amendment and Response filed Jan. 22, 2010, 20 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Amendment and Response filed Dec. 14, 2010, 22 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Amendment and Response filed May 31, 2011, 21 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Amendment and Response filed Dec. 9, 2011, 19 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 18, 2012, 7 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Notice of Allowance dated May 31, 2012, 5 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 1, 2012, 6 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Office Action dated Aug. 22, 2006, 16 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Office Action dated Oct. 1, 2007, 16 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Office Action dated Sep. 5, 2008, 19 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Office Action dated Apr. 10, 2009, 6 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Office Action dated Nov. 10, 2009, 19 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Office Action dated Sep. 14, 2010, 24 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Office Action dated Aug. 9, 2011, 25 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/780,144, Office Action dated Mar. 7, 2007, 16 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/164,554, Amendment and Response filed Nov. 13, 2012, 14 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/164,554, Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 14, 2013, 8 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/164,554, Office Action dated Jun. 13, 2012, 8 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/464,744, Office Action dated Mar. 21, 2013, 16 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/464,744, Office Action dated Sep. 24, 2013, 17 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/464,744, Office Action dated Feb. 20, 2014, 20 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/464,744, Office Action dated Jun. 10, 2014, 7 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/464,744, Amendment and Response filed Jul. 22, 2013, 14 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 13/464,744, Amendment and Response filed Jan. 23, 2014, 15 pages.
U.S. Appl. No. 13/464,744, Amendment and Response filed May 20, 2014, 14 pages.
U.S. Appl. No. 13/464,744, Amendment and Response filed Sep. 10, 2014, 10 pages.
U.S. Appl. No. 13/464,744, Amendment and Response filed Dec. 18, 2014, 3 pages.
U.S. Appl. No. 13/464,744, Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 18, 2014, 5 pages.
U.S. Appl. No. 13/464,744, Response to 312 Amendments dated Jan. 15, 2015, 3 pages.
European Decision to Grant in EP Application 12000392.6, dated Mar. 8, 2018, 1 page.
European Intention to Grant in EP Application 12000392.6, dated Oct. 30, 2017, 7 pages.

European Office Action in EP Application 15164080.2, dated Mar. 16, 2018, 5 pages.
European Office Action in EP Application 04778922.7, dated Oct. 19, 2017, 5 pages.
Chinese Decision on Reexamination in Application 201210092855.2, dated Sep. 14, 2016, 14 pages.
Chinese 4th Office Action in Application 201210092855.2, dated Jul. 7, 2017, 6 pages.
Chinese Notice of Allowance in Application 201210092855.2, dated Jan. 11, 2018, 4 pages.
Blue Point, "The new darling of the browser world—TheWrold Browser", Hurricane Information, Oct. 8, 2004, retrieved at: www.pc6.com/infoview/Article_16305.html, 5 pages.
Quinn, Cary, "Crazy Browser 1.05", Free Stuff, located online on Apr. 16, 2013 at: www.1960pcug.org/pcnews/2002/12/crazy_browser_v105.htm, 2 pages.
"Office Action Issued in Korean Patent Application No. 10-2005-7008846", dated Mar. 12, 2008, 7 Pages.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7

FIG. 8A




FIG. 8B




FIG. 8C






FIG. 9




FIG. 10

1

TIERED OBJECT-RELATED TRUST DECISIONS

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. Pat. No. 9,208,327 filed May 23, 2013, which is a continuation of U.S. Pat. No. 8,468,603 filed Jun. 20, 2011, which is a divisional of U.S. Pat. No. 8,332,943 filed Feb. 17, 2004, which is related to U.S. Pat. No. 7,111,246 filed Feb. 17, 2004, the disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entireties.

FIELD

The present invention is directed towards techniques for implementing a trust analysis for activatable objects associated with a web page.

BACKGROUND

The quality of a browsing experience on the internet or on an intranet is often placed at the mercy of webmasters, web administrators, and others capable of coding websites. That is, such persons are able to associate an object with a web page so that an action for the object is activated as the web page loads on a browser for the internet or an intranet. Such objects may be embedded in the code for the web page or they may be linked to the web page. Examples of such objects include advertising software (“adware”) and virus programs (“viruses”). Objects for adware and viruses may include downloadable code, links, URLs, popup windows, and data files (e.g., graphic, video, audio, and/or text). Activation of an action for such objects often exposes a user’s computing device to rogue software that is harmful, unwanted, unnecessary, and/or unseen.

As mentioned above, an action for such an object may be activated automatically as an internet or intranet browser loads a web page to which the object is associated. Alternatively, as the browser loads the web page, the browser may display a modal dialog so that a user may accept or decline an opportunity to activate the action. The intent behind the web site that is responsible for activating the object may be to obscure the impact of accepting the action in order to dupe the user into activating the action by hiding or eliminating an option to decline the activation opportunity. A further intent may be to have the user accept the activation opportunity by re-displaying the modal dialog after each attempt by the user to decline the activation opportunity. In either case, the user may accept the activation opportunity either inadvertently or intentionally, due to frustration as the user futilely attempts to dismiss the modal dialog from the browser.

SUMMARY

Object-related trust decisions are described herein.

Objects relating to adware or viruses may be embedded in a web page or linked to a web page. Such objects may also be embedded or linked to a multimedia document. Regardless, when such an object is detected to be associated with a web page or multimedia document loading on a browser, an analysis may be performed to determine a source of the object and an action corresponding to the object. The object and/or action may be suppressed based on whether the source of the object corresponds to a previously blocked certificate. A prompt may be displayed to advise a user that

2

the object and/or action are being suppressed, and to provide an opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the action for the object.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In the detailed description that follows, embodiments are described as illustrations only since various changes and modifications will become apparent to those skilled in the art from the following detailed description. In the drawings, the left-most digit of a reference number identifies the figure in which the reference number first appears. The use of the same reference numbers in different figures indicates similar or identical items.

FIG. 1 shows a computing device, in a network environment, for implementing object-related trust decisions in accordance with example embodiments described herein.

FIG. 2 illustrates examples of functional components that implement the object-related trust decisions.

FIG. 3 illustrates an example of a processing flow involving the components of FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 illustrates a processing flow further to the example of FIG. 3.

FIG. 5 illustrates another processing flow further to the example of FIG. 3.

FIG. 6 illustrates another processing flow further to the example of FIG. 3.

FIG. 7 illustrates another processing flow further to the example of FIG. 3.

FIG. 8A illustrates an example of a modeless prompt user interface provided in correspondence with the example embodiments of object-related trust decisions.

FIG. 8B illustrates an example of a modal prompt user interface provided in correspondence with the example embodiments of object-related trust decisions.

FIG. 8C illustrates an example of another modeless prompt user interface provided in correspondence with further example embodiments of object-related trust decisions.

FIG. 9 illustrates an example processing flow with regard to the user interface of FIG. 8.

FIG. 10 illustrates an example of a general computer network environment which can be used to implement the techniques described herein.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The following description is directed to techniques for assessing a level of trust for an object and/or action associated with the object, when such an object is detected to be embedded, linked to, or otherwise associated with a web page. The description further relates to a user interface having a modeless prompt to advise a user that an object-related action is being suppressed, and to further provide the user with an interactive opportunity to accept or decline activation of the suppressed object-related action based on the trust level for the object.

FIG. 1 shows an example of computing device **105** having a trust level analysis component **107** to perform a trust level analysis for a detected object. The detected object is associated with a web page received over network **110**, from source **115** or **120**.

Computing device **105** may be any of a variety of conventional computing devices such as a desktop personal computer (PC). Alternatively, computing device **105** may be a network-associated device such as, but not limited to, a personal digital assistant (PDA), laptop computer, smart-

phone, etc., which may be in communication with a network **110** by a wired and/or wireless link. An example embodiment of a client device **105** is described in further detail below with reference to FIG. **10**.

Either of data sources **115** and **120** may be a server device that provides any of a variety of data and/or functionality to computing device **105**. Data sources **115** and **120** may be a server device such as a network server or an application server. A network server is a server device that delivers content to computing device **105** by way of network **110**. Such content may include web content coded in hypertext markup language (HTML), which may also contain JavaScript code or other commands. It is to be appreciated that either of data sources **115** and **120** may be used in other networks that are part of The World Wide Web (e.g., where the network **110** includes The Internet), as well as in other networks that are not part of the World Wide Web, such as an intranet.

FIG. **2** shows functional components of an embodiment to perform a trust level analysis for an object detected to be associated with a web page. The example of FIG. **2** is described with reference to the example of FIG. **1**, in which a trust level analysis **107** is performed on computing device **105** for a detected object associated with a web page received over network **110**, from source **115** or **120**.

According to the example of FIG. **2**, when web page **207** is loaded onto a browser of computing device **105**, object detector **205** is able to detect object **208** that is embedded in, linked to, or otherwise associated with web page **207**. Examples of object **208** include adware and viruses, either of which may be exposed to computing device **105** as downloadable code, a link, a URL, a popup window, and a data file. Object detector **205** may be a module on the browser or in the operating system of computing device **105**. Detection of the object is made before an action associated with the object is activated, and may include detecting an attempt to, for example, load code, open an HTML document, and access and/or open a data file.

Trust analyzer **210** assesses a level of trust to be accorded to detected object **208** based on at least one of the content, source, or action associated with object **208**. The level of trust accorded to object **208** influences whether the action for the object may be activated unimpeded, whether the action is suppressed while the user is provided an opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of an action for the object, or whether the action for the object is blocked altogether. It is to be appreciated that other levels of trust and their consequences may be apparent to those skilled in the art, and further that the examples provided herein are not to be considered to be limiting.

Objects assessed to have a level of explicit trustworthiness may have their associated actions activated without impedence. All other objects have their associated actions suppressed by suppressor **215**. User interface **220** is provided to advise a user of the suppression of an action for an object, and to further provide an opportunity for the user to interactively accept or decline activation of the action for the object.

FIG. **3** provides a processing overview of an example embodiment. The example of FIG. **3** is explained with reference to the example embodiments of FIGS. **1** and **2** described above.

With regard to FIG. **3**, as web page **207** loads on a browser for the internet or for an intranet on computing device **105**, object **208** is detected **310** to be associated with web page **207**. The detection **310** of object **208** includes assessing the code of object **208** to determine at least one of the content,

source, and action of the object **208**. It should be noted that the embodiments described herein are by no means limited to browsers for the Internet or for an intranet. For instance, any reader or player of multimedia documents may be incorporated therein. However, the example embodiments are described with regard to Internet and intranet browsers, with the understanding that such examples are not limiting.

A further determination **315** may optionally be made as to whether or not an action for object **208** has been initiated by a user. That is, the browser settings may be assessed to determine whether the action for object **208** was previously requested or otherwise initiated by a user of computing device **105**, either prior to or during the loading of web page **207** on the browser. For instance, object **208** may be detected to include a file to upgrade an existing program on computing device **105** if a certificate corresponding to object **208** is deemed to be the same as that for the existing program on computing device **105**.

Activation opportunity **320** may include automatically activating an action for object **208**. Alternative embodiments may display a modal prompt to provide a user with an opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the action for object **208**, or display a modeless prompt to advise the user of the impending action. Security settings and/or browser settings for computing device **105** may be configured to accommodate any of the above options for activation opportunity **320**.

In the present example of FIG. **3**, when the action of object **208** has been determined **315** to be user initiated, the action may be activated automatically. However, in view of the increasing abundance of rogue software circulated among network **110**, an extra measure of caution may be taken by displaying a prompt to advise the user of the impending activation of the action for object **208** and/or to provide the user with one last opportunity to cancel such activation. The prompt may be either a modal prompt or a modeless prompt.

A modal prompt requests that a user interactively accept or decline activation of the action for object **208**. On the other hand, a modeless prompt merely advises the user of the action, thus reducing a possibility that the user would inadvertently activate the action. While the modeless prompt does not provide a user with an opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the action for object **208**, the modeless prompt may display a description for object **208**, which facilitates a further opportunity for the user to interactively accept or decline activation of the action. Details of such a modeless prompt are provided further below with regard to FIGS. **8A**, **8B**, and **9**.

A trust analysis is performed **325** on an action for an object **208** that is optionally determined **315** to not be user-initiated. As set forth above with regard to FIG. **2**, a level of trust is accorded to detected object **208** based on, for example, at least one of the content, source, and action associated with object **208**, when the security settings of the browser have been assessed. Thus, the levels of trust may be considered to be tiered. That is, more than being determined to be merely trusted or untrusted, object **208** may be accorded a variable level of trust in accordance with the content, source, and action corresponding to object **208**. The level of trust for object **208** influences which option within activation opportunity **320** may be implemented for the action of object **208**. Trust analysis **325** will be described in further detail with reference to the examples of FIGS. **4-7**.

When object **208** is not accorded at least a threshold level of trust based on variable combinations of the content, source, and action thereof, the object is blocked **330**. When

an object is blocked, the action for the object is suppressed. Thus, any attempt to load code, open an HTML document, and access and/or open a data file, is unsuccessful without a user interactively accepting an opportunity to unblock the object.

For an object **208** having its action blocked, further cautionary measures may be taken to prevent computing device **105** from being exposed to software that is harmful, unwanted and/or unnecessary. Accordingly, either one of a modal prompt or a modeless prompt may again be displayed in response to object **208** being blocked, or the action for object **208** may be deprived of any activation opportunity altogether. As set forth above, a modal prompt provides the user with an opportunity to interactively override the action for object **208** being blocked. A modeless prompt advises the user that the action for object **208** has been blocked, but may further present an opportunity for the user to interactively accept activation of the action for object **208**.

When object **208** and/or an associated action are blocked **330** and either a modal prompt or a modeless prompt are displayed, the user receives a further opportunity to activate the action for object **208**. A modal prompt typically includes an option for the user to “accept” or “decline” activation of the action for object **208**. A modeless prompt displays an advisory indicating that the action for object is blocked, but also provides an opportunity for the user to investigate details of object **208** and the blocked action, which may lead to a further opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the action. Details of an example of a modeless prompt are provided below with regard to FIGS. **8A**, **8B**, and **9**.

When the user interactively accepts an opportunity to activate the action for object **208**, web page **207** typically reloads (i.e., refreshes) in order for the action to be activated. On the other hand, when the user interactively declines activation of the action for object **208**, the object may be blocked **330** by either deleting the object **208** from computing device **105** altogether or displaying yet another prompt for the user.

FIGS. **4-7** are provided to illustrate embodiments further to the example of FIG. **3**. More specifically, FIGS. **4-7** provide details pertaining to the trust level analysis **325** performed by analyzer **210** in FIG. **3** to assess which of the tiered trust levels may be accorded to object **208**. FIG. **4** describes an example in which a trust level analysis is performed on a non-user activated code download on the browser; FIG. **5** describes an example in which a trust level analysis is performed on a code download that may or may not be safely performed on the browser; FIG. **6** describes an example in which a trust level analysis is performed on a popup window; and FIG. **7** describes an example in which a trust level analysis is performed on a navigation object that may or may not exceed the security settings of computing device **105**.

FIGS. **4-7** differ from FIG. **3** primarily with regard to the performance of trust analysis **325**. Thus, the following descriptions of FIGS. **4-7** are provided with an emphasis on the performance of trust analysis **325**. Any further variations in FIGS. **4-7** from the description of FIG. **3** will be described.

FIG. **4** describes an example in which a trust level analysis is performed on a non-user activated code download on the browser. More particularly, as web page **207** loads on a browser for computing device **105**, object **208** is detected **310** to be associated with web page **207**. According to the present embodiment, based on an assessment of the code for object **208**, object **208** is determined to be a

software module that enables functionality by calling ready-made components that blend in and appear as part of the code for web page **207**. A non-limiting example of such code found in object **208** is an ActiveX control, which is based on the Component Object Model (COM) architecture developed by the Microsoft Corporation. On the internet or on an intranet, an ActiveX control may be linked to web page **207** and downloaded by a compliant browser, and may be activated as any other executable program.

A determination **315** is made as to whether or not an action for object **208** has been initiated by a user. If so, activation opportunity **320** may include automatically activating an action for object **208**. Alternative embodiments may display a modal prompt to provide a user with an opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the action for object **208**, or display a modeless prompt to advise the user of the impending action.

The trust level analysis **325** for a non-user activated code download determines whether the source of object **208** is trusted. A source may be determined to be trusted if content from the source already exists on computing device **105**, or if other security settings of computing device **105** have otherwise indicated that the content from the source of object **208** is acceptable on computing device **105**.

A positive trust assessment **426** for object **208** results in activation opportunity **320** for the action of object **208**. For trusted object **208**, activation opportunity **320** may include automatic activation of the action for object **208**. Alternatively, as a cautionary measure, activation opportunity **320** may include either of a modal prompt or modeless prompt, as described above with regard to FIG. **3**. Security settings and/or browser settings for computing device **105** may be configured to accommodate any option for activation opportunity **320**.

If the source of object **208** is determined **426** to not be trusted, an assessment is made of the browser’s settings to determine whether the source of object **208** is explicitly untrusted. If object **208** is determined **427** to originate from an explicitly untrusted source, the action for object **208** is blocked **330**. An example of an explicitly untrusted source is an originating source for a previously detected virus, which may then be stored in the security settings for the browser. Alternatively, a source may be deemed to be explicitly untrusted if no certificate exists on the downloaded code, if the user has previously chosen to not trust the publisher referenced by the certificate, or depending upon the security settings of the browser.

According to the example embodiments described herein, when an object is blocked **330**, the action for object **208** is suppressed. The browser then displays a modeless prompt to inform the user that the action for object **208** has been suppressed. Continued interaction with the modeless prompt by the user may result in an opportunity for the user to gather details for object **208** and the suppressed action, which may lead to a further opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the action for object **208**. However, for untrusted object **208**, a further alternative may include object **208** being deleted from computing device **105** altogether, without providing a user the opportunity to expose computing device **105** to content from an untrusted source.

If the source of object **208** is not determined **427** to be explicitly untrusted, a determination **428** is made as to whether object **208** contains an upgrade for an object or program that already exists on computing device **105**. If an assessment of the browser and program settings of computing device **105** determines **428** that object **208** upgrades an existing object or program, activation opportunity **320** is

provided for the action of object 208. Activation opportunity 320 may include either of a modal prompt or modeless prompt being displayed for the user, as described above with regard to FIG. 3. Security settings and/or browser settings for computing device 105 may be configured to accommodate any option for activation opportunity 320.

If an assessment of the browser settings, program settings, or security settings on computing device 105 determines 428 that object 208 does not upgrade an object or program already existing on computing device 105, a determination 429 is made as to whether a flag is set on computing device 105. For example, a download flag may be set for any of the browser settings, program settings, or security settings on computing device 105. A download flag may pertain to downloads from particular sources or for particular content, for example.

If an assessment of settings for either the browser or computing device 105 determines 429 that a flag is set, activation opportunity 320 is provided for the action of object 208, thus displaying either of a modal prompt or modeless prompt for the user, as described above with regard to FIG. 3.

If an assessment of the browser and settings of computing device 105 determines 429 that a download flag is not set, object 208 is blocked 330 and the action for object 208 is suppressed. The browser then displays a modeless prompt to inform the user that the action for object 208 has been suppressed. Continued interaction with the modeless prompt by the user may result in an opportunity for the user to investigate details pertaining to object 208 and the suppressed action, which may lead to a further opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the action for object 208.

If the user does interactively accept 335 the opportunity to activate the action for object 208, web page 207 is reloaded, or refreshed, thus activating the action for object 208. However, if the user interactively declines the opportunity to activate the action for object 208, object 208 remains blocked. It is to be appreciated that when object 208 is blocked 330, the user's browsing experience on web page 207 continues, but without being exposed to the content of object 208.

FIG. 5 describes an example in which a trust level analysis is performed on content that may not be safely shown inside the browser. More particularly, as web page 207 loads on a browser for computing device 105, object 208 is detected 310 to be associated with web page 207. According to the present embodiment, based on an assessment of the code for object 208, object 208 is determined to be a content type that is not hostable by the browser of computing device 105. That is, the content or data associated with object 208 is not a type such as HTML, XML, or plain text that can be directly handled by the browser, and is not a type that can be displayed with the help of browser add-ons such as those included with Adobe Acrobat® or Microsoft Office®, and therefore would require either launching an application outside the browser or the execution of the file itself.

A determination 315 is made as to whether or not the loading of object 208 on the browser of computing device 105 has been initiated by a user. If so, activation opportunity 320 may include automatically loading object 208 on the browser. Alternative embodiments may display a modal prompt to provide a user with an opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the action for object 208, or display a modeless prompt to advise the user of the impending action.

Trust analysis 325 is performed on the content for object 208 that is determined 315 to not be user-initiated. According to the present embodiment, based on an assessment of the code for object 208, a determination 526 is made as to whether the content of object 208 may be rendered on the browser of computing device 105 based on the perceived safety of the content type. A positive determination 526 for object 208 results in activation opportunity 320 for object 208. For trusted object 208, activation opportunity 320 may include automatically rendering the content of object 208. Alternatively, activation opportunity 320 may include either of a modal prompt or modeless prompt, as described above with regard to FIG. 3. Security settings and/or browser settings for computing device 105 may be configured to accommodate any option for activation opportunity 320.

If the content of object 208 is determined 526 to not be renderable, a determination 527 is made as to whether the content of object 208 requires downloading. If it is determined 527 that the content of object 208 does not require downloading, activation opportunity 320 is provided for object 208. Activation opportunity 320 may include automatically rendering the content of object 208 on the browser. Alternatively, a modal prompt may be displayed to provide a user with an opportunity to interactively accept or decline the rendering of object 208, or a modeless prompt may be displayed to advise the user of the rendering opportunity for object 208.

If it is determined 527 that the content of object 208 does require safety, a determination 528 is made as to whether a flag is set on computing device 105. For example, a download flag may be set any of the browser settings, program settings, or security settings on computing device 105. Further to the example, a flag indicating that the user temporarily grants at least partial trust may pertain to downloads from particular sources or for particular content, for example. If an assessment of the browser settings, program settings, or security settings on computing device 105 determines 528 that a download flag is set, activation opportunity 320 is provided to render the content of object 208, and therefore either a modal prompt or modeless prompt is displayed by the browser.

If an assessment of the browser and settings of computing device 105 determines 528 that a download flag is not set, object 208 is blocked 330 and the rendering thereof is suppressed. The browser then displays a modeless prompt to inform the user that the rendering of object 208 has been suppressed. Continued interaction with the modeless prompt by the user may result in an opportunity for the user to investigate details pertaining to object 208 and the suppressed action, which may lead to a further opportunity to interactively accept or decline rendering of object 208.

If the user does interactively accept 335 the opportunity to render object 208, web page 207 is reloaded, or refreshed, thus rendering object 208. However, if the user interactively declines the opportunity to render object 208, object 208 remains blocked. The user's browsing experience on web page 207 continues, but without the rendering of object 208.

FIG. 6 describes an example in which a trust level analysis is performed on a popup window. A popup window is an overlapped window typically used for dialog boxes, message boxes, and other temporary windows that appear separate from a main window of web page 207. It is to be appreciated that the logic for determining whether object 208 is a popup window may be adjusted to include or exclude particular objects, such as alert, confirmations, etc.

A determination 315 is made as to whether or not object 208 has been initiated by a user. If so, activation opportunity

320 may include automatically displaying the popup window of object **208** on the browser. Alternative embodiments may display a modal prompt to provide a user with an opportunity to interactively accept or decline the display of the popup window of object **208**, or display a modeless prompt to advise the user of the impending display.

For the example embodiment of FIG. 6, trust analysis **325** may optionally be performed on the content of object **208** that is determined **315** to not be user-initiated because such analysis may be redundant for content that is determined to include a popup window. Regardless, if an optional determination **626** is made that the content of object **208** does not include a popup window based, for example, on a further assessment of the code of object **208**, activation opportunity **320** is provided for object **208**.

However, if an assessment of object **208** confirms that the content thereof is a popup window, object **208** is blocked **330** and the display thereof is suppressed. The browser then displays a modeless prompt to inform the user that the display of object **208** has been suppressed. Continued interaction with the modeless prompt by the user may result in an opportunity for the user to gather details of object **208** and the suppressed action, which may lead to a further opportunity to interactively accept or decline rendering of object **208**.

If the user does interactively accept **335** the opportunity to render object **208**, the popup window is replayed **337**. However, if the user interactively declines the opportunity to display the popup window of object **208**, object **208** remains blocked. The user's browsing experience on web page **207** continues unchanged.

FIG. 7 describes an example in which a trust level analysis is performed on a navigation object that may exceed the security settings of computing device **105**. This example pertains, for example, to detected object **208** containing a URL linking the browser to another web page.

If it is determined that the internet or intranet security settings for the browser on computing device **105** permits access to the URL of object **208**, activation opportunity **320** may include automatically opening the web page linked by object **208** on the browser. Alternative embodiments may display a modal prompt to provide a user with an opportunity to interactively accept or decline the opportunity to open the web page linked by object **208**, or display a modeless prompt to advise the user of the impending opening of the web page.

Trust analysis **325** is performed on object **208** that is optionally determined **315** to not be user-initiated. A determination **726** is made as to whether the security settings of the browser permit the opening of the web page linked by object **208**. For example, the determination may include assessing whether the web page linked by object **208** is associated with an intranet for which the browser of computing device **105** is authorized, or is associated with a web page on The Internet that is permitted by a firewall for network **110**. A determination **726** is made as to whether a security setting flag is set. The security setting flag may have been set based on a previous activation opportunity. A positive determination results in activation opportunity **320** for object **208**.

If an assessment of object **208** determines that the security settings do not permit the web page to be opened, the action is suppressed. The browser then displays a modeless prompt to inform the user that the web page linked by object **208** has been suppressed. Continued interaction with the modeless prompt by the user may result in an opportunity for the user to gather details for object **208** and the suppressed action,

which may lead to a further opportunity to interactively accept or decline the opening of the web page linked by object **208**.

If the user does interactively accept **335** the opportunity to open the web page linked by object **208**, web page **207** is reloaded, or refreshed, which also opens the web page linked by object **208**. However, if the user interactively declines the opportunity to render object **208**, object **208** remains blocked. The user's browsing experience on web page **207** continues, but without opening the web page linked by object **208**.

FIG. 8A shows an example embodiment of a user interface **800** described with reference to the example embodiments of FIGS. 1-7. In particular, user interface **800** includes browser toolbar **802** and modeless prompt **805**, which may be displayed for activation opportunity **320** or block object **320** described with regard to FIGS. 3-7. In such instances, modeless prompt **805** is displayed to advise a user that an object-related action is being suppressed, and to further provide the user with an opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the suppressed object-related action.

More specifically, toolbar **802** is displayed as part of a browser such as the Microsoft® Internet Explorer, which may be used for browsing the internet or an intranet on computing device **105**. In connection with the processing flows of FIGS. 3-7, modeless prompt **805** includes a text field to provide a user with an advisory that an action for object **208** is suppressed. To capture the user's attention, the text field of modeless prompt **805** may displace web page **207** as opposed to being displayed on top of a portion of the web page. Furthermore, the text in modeless prompt **805** may wrap to two lines, and ellipses may be provided to indicate if some content is missing. Thus, as shown in the example FIG. 8A, which relates to the Microsoft® Internet Explorer, the text in modeless prompt **805** states: "Internet Explorer has blocked the download of software that is not trusted. Content may not display correctly. Click here to download . . ." Such embodiment is provided merely as an example, however, and is not intended to be limiting.

Example embodiments of modeless prompt **805** may also provide a user with an interactive opportunity to investigate details of object **208**, the action of which has been suppressed as described above. For instance, as cursor **807** hovers over a portion of modeless prompt **805**, which may or may not require the user to activate the pointer, menu **810** may be displayed to inform the user of characteristics of any object **208**, for which an action has been suppressed. As an example, menu **810** lists the characteristics of object **208** in FIGS. 4-7 above, though such embodiment is provided only as an example. In FIG. 8A, menu **810** indicates an action for the following objects to be suppressed: non-user initiated code install **815**, code that may not be safely shown inside the browser **820**, popup window **825**, and object is beyond security settings **830**. However, alternative embodiments of menu **810** may include only one of items **815**, **820**, **825**, and **830**. That is, such alternative embodiments may display a menu item related to the most recently blocked object **208**.

Example embodiments of modeless prompt **805**, in particular menu **810**, may provide a user with a further opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the action for object **208**. In particular, as cursor **807** hovers over a selected object in menu **810**, which may or may not require the user to activate the pointer, a modal prompt may be displayed. Thus, a user is provided with an opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the action for object **208** corresponding to the description over which cursor **807** is hovering.

11

FIG. 8B shows an example of a modal prompt **840** described with reference to the example embodiments of FIGS. 1-8A. In particular, modal prompt **840** may be displayed for activation opportunity **320** or block object **320** described with regard to FIGS. 3-7 or as the further opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of objection **208** described with regard to FIG. 8A. In such instances, modal prompt **840** is displayed to request the user's interactive selection to accept **845** or decline **850** activation of the suppressed object-related action.

FIG. 8C shows an example of a modeless prompt **850** described with reference to the example embodiments of FIGS. 1-7, particularly FIG. 4. Modeless prompt **850** may be displayed if object **208** is determined to be explicitly untrusted, or if a certificate corresponding to object **208** has been previously blocked by a user or is simply not present. In such case, modeless prompt **850** may advise the user that an action for an untrusted object has been suppressed, but further provides the user with an opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the suppressed object-related action.

FIG. 9 illustrates an example processing flow with regard to the user interface of FIG. 8A.

In connection with the example embodiments of FIGS. 3-8A, modeless prompt **805** is displayed **905** as a text field to advise a user that an action for object **208** is suppressed.

A user action is detected **910** as modeless prompt **805** is activated, which may or may not require the user activating the pointer by clicking a mouse, for example. The display **915** of menu **810** provides a description of object **208** for which an action has been suppressed. Further user interaction with the modeless prompt may result in the display of modal prompt **840**. For example, as menu **810** is displayed and cursor **807** hovers over a selected object in menu **810**, which may or may not require the user to activate the pointer, a modal prompt may be displayed, modal prompt **840** may be displayed to provide a user with an opportunity to interactively accept or decline activation of the action for object **208**. Even further user interaction is detected **920** in connection with modal prompt **840** to interactively accept or decline the activation of the action for object **208**.

FIG. 10 illustrates a general computer environment **1000**, which can be used to implement the techniques described herein. The computer environment **1000** is only one example of a computing environment and is not intended to suggest any limitation as to the scope of use or functionality of the computer and network architectures. Neither should the computer environment **1000** be interpreted as having any dependency or requirement relating to any one or combination of components illustrated in the example computer environment **1000**.

Computer environment **1000** includes a general-purpose computing device in the form of a computer **1002**, which may include computing device **105** described with regard to the embodiments described above. The components of computer **1002** can include, but are not limited to, one or more processors or processing units **1004**, system memory **1006**, and system bus **1008** that couples various system components including processor **1004** to system memory **1006**.

System bus **1008** represents one or more of any of several types of bus structures, including a memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus, an accelerated graphics port, and a processor or local bus using any of a variety of bus architectures. By way of example, such architectures can include an Industry Standard Architecture (ISA) bus, a Micro Channel Architecture (MCA) bus, an Enhanced ISA (EISA) bus, a Video Electronics Standards Association

12

(VESA) local bus, a Peripheral Component Interconnects (PCI) bus also known as a Mezzanine bus, a PCI Express bus, a Universal Serial Bus (USB), a Secure Digital (SD) bus, or an IEEE 1394, i.e., FireWire, bus.

Computer **1002** may include a variety of computer readable media. Such media can be any available media that is accessible by computer **1002** and includes both volatile and non-volatile media, removable and non-removable media.

System memory **1006** includes computer readable media in the form of volatile memory, such as random access memory (RAM) **1010**; and/or non-volatile memory, such as read only memory (ROM) **1012** or flash RAM. Basic input/output system (BIOS) **1014**, containing the basic routines that help to transfer information between elements within computer **1002**, such as during start-up, is stored in ROM **1012** or flash RAM. RAM **1010** typically contains data and/or program modules that are immediately accessible to and/or presently operated on by processing unit **1004**.

Computer **1002** may also include other removable/non-removable, volatile/non-volatile computer storage media. By way of example, FIG. 10 illustrates hard disk drive **1016** for reading from and writing to a non-removable, non-volatile magnetic media (not shown), magnetic disk drive **1018** for reading from and writing to removable, non-volatile magnetic disk **1020** (e.g., a "floppy disk"), and optical disk drive **1022** for reading from and/or writing to a removable, non-volatile optical disk **1024** such as a CD-ROM, DVD-ROM, or other optical media. Hard disk drive **1016**, magnetic disk drive **1018**, and optical disk drive **1022** are each connected to system bus **1008** by one or more data media interfaces **1025**. Alternatively, hard disk drive **1016**, magnetic disk drive **1018**, and optical disk drive **1022** can be connected to the system bus **1008** by one or more interfaces (not shown).

The disk drives and their associated computer-readable media provide non-volatile storage of computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules, and other data for computer **1002**. Although the example illustrates a hard disk **1016**, removable magnetic disk **1020**, and removable optical disk **1024**, it is appreciated that other types of computer readable media which can store data that is accessible by a computer, such as magnetic cassettes or other magnetic storage devices, flash memory cards, CD-ROM, digital versatile disks (DVD) or other optical storage, random access memories (RAM), read only memories (ROM), electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM), and the like, can also be utilized to implement the example computing system and environment.

Any number of program modules can be stored on hard disk **1016**, magnetic disk **1020**, optical disk **1024**, ROM **1012**, and/or RAM **1010**, including by way of example, operating system **1026**, one or more application programs **1028**, other program modules **1030**, and program data **1032**. Each of such operating system **1026**, one or more application programs **1028**, other program modules **1030**, and program data **1032** (or some combination thereof) may implement all or part of the resident components that support the distributed file system.

A user can enter commands and information into computer **1002** via input devices such as keyboard **1034** and a pointing device **1036** (e.g., a "mouse"). Other input devices **1038** (not shown specifically) may include a microphone, joystick, game pad, satellite dish, serial port, scanner, and/or the like. These and other input devices are connected to processing unit **1004** via input/output interfaces **1040** that are coupled to system bus **1008**, but may be connected by

other interface and bus structures, such as a parallel port, game port, or a universal serial bus (USB).

Monitor **1042** or other type of display device can also be connected to the system bus **1008** via an interface, such as video adapter **1044**. In addition to monitor **1042**, other output peripheral devices can include components such as speakers (not shown) and printer **1046** which can be connected to computer **1002** via I/O interfaces **1040**.

Computer **1002** can operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as remote computing device **1048**. By way of example, remote computing device **1048** can be a PC, portable computer, a server, a router, a network computer, a peer device or other common network node, and the like. Remote computing device **1048** is illustrated as a portable computer that can include many or all of the elements and features described herein relative to computer **1002**. Alternatively, computer **1002** can operate in a non-networked environment as well.

Logical connections between computer **1002** and remote computer **1048** are depicted as a local area network (LAN) **1050** and a general wide area network (WAN) **1052**. Such networking environments are commonplace in offices, enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets, and the Internet.

When implemented in a LAN networking environment, computer **1002** is connected to local network **1050** via network interface or adapter **1054**. When implemented in a WAN networking environment, computer **1002** typically includes modem **1056** or other means for establishing communications over wide network **1052**. Modem **1056**, which can be internal or external to computer **1002**, can be connected to system bus **1008** via I/O interfaces **1040** or other appropriate mechanisms. It is to be appreciated that the illustrated network connections are examples and that other means of establishing at least one communication link between computers **1002** and **1048** can be employed.

In a networked environment, such as that illustrated with computing environment **1000**, program modules depicted relative to computer **1002**, or portions thereof, may be stored in a remote memory storage device. By way of example, remote application programs **1058** reside on a memory device of remote computer **1048**. For purposes of illustration, applications or programs and other executable program components such as the operating system are illustrated herein as discrete blocks, although it is recognized that such programs and components reside at various times in different storage components of computing device **1002**, and are executed by at least one data processor of the computer.

Various modules and techniques may be described herein in the general context of computer-executable instructions, such as program modules, executed by one or more computers or other devices. Generally, program modules include routines, programs, objects, components, data structures, etc. for performing particular tasks or implement particular abstract data types. Typically, the functionality of the program modules may be combined or distributed as desired in various embodiments.

An implementation of these modules and techniques may be stored on or transmitted across some form of computer readable media. Computer readable media can be any available media that can be accessed by a computer. By way of example, and not limitation, computer readable media may comprise "computer storage media" and "communications media."

"Computer storage media" includes volatile and non-volatile, removable and non-removable media implemented in any method or technology for storage of information such

as computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules, or other data. Computer storage media includes, but is not limited to, RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory or other memory technology, CD-ROM, digital versatile disks (DVD) or other optical storage, magnetic cassettes, magnetic tape, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other medium which can be used to store the desired information and which can be accessed by a computer.

"Communication media" typically embodies computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules, or other data in a modulated data signal, such as carrier wave or other transport mechanism. Communication media also includes any information delivery media. The term "modulated data signal" means a signal that has one or more of its characteristics set or changed in such a manner as to encode information in the signal. As a non-limiting example only, communication media includes wired media such as a wired network or direct-wired connection, and wireless media such as acoustic, RF, infrared, and other wireless media. Combinations of any of the above are also included within the scope of computer readable media.

While example embodiments and applications of the present invention have been illustrated and described, it is to be understood that the invention is not limited to the precise configuration and resources described above. Various modifications, changes, and variations apparent to those skilled in the art may be made in the arrangement, operation, and details of the methods and systems of the present invention disclosed herein without departing from the scope of the claimed invention.

One skilled in the relevant art may recognize, however, that the invention may be practiced without one or more of the specific details, or with other methods, resources, materials, etc. In other instances, well known structures, resources, or operations have not been shown or described in detail merely to avoid obscuring aspects of the invention.

We claim:

1. A method comprising:

determining whether an activatable object associated with a web page is from an untrusted source;
when the activatable object is from the untrusted source, performing operations comprising:

displaying a modeless prompt to advise the user of the suppression of the activatable object, wherein the web page is operable to receive user interaction during display of the modeless prompt; and
providing, via the modeless prompt, an opportunity to interactively accept activation of the action associated with the activatable object; and

when the activatable object is not from the untrusted source, automatically activating the action associated with the activatable object.

2. The method of claim 1, further comprising detecting a first user action, wherein the detection of the first user action comprises detecting a mouse interaction with the modeless prompt.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein the mouse interaction comprises a mouse pointer hovering over the display of the modeless prompt.

4. The method of claim 3, further comprising:

upon detection of a first user action, displaying a menu of selectable objects; and

detecting a second user action, wherein the second user action comprises selecting one of the selectable objects.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the modeless prompt comprises a menu of selectable objects.

15

6. The method of claim 1, further comprising, when the activatable object is from an untrusted source, suppressing the activatable object.

7. A storage device storing one or more instructions that, when read, cause one or more processors on a client device to perform a method comprising:

determining whether an activatable object is from an untrusted source;

when the activatable object is from the untrusted source, performing operations comprising:

displaying a modeless prompt to advise the user of the suppression of the activatable object, wherein the web page is operable to receive user interaction during display of the modeless prompt; and

providing, via the modeless prompt, an opportunity to interactively accept activation of the action associated with the activatable object; and

when the activatable object is not from the untrusted source, automatically activating the action associated with the activatable object.

8. The storage device of claim 7, further comprising detecting a first user action, wherein the detection of the first user action comprises detecting a mouse interaction with the modeless prompt.

9. The storage device of claim 8, wherein the mouse interaction comprises a mouse pointer hovering over the display of the modeless prompt.

10. The storage device of claim 9, wherein the method further comprises:

upon detection of a first user action, displaying a menu of selectable objects; and

detecting a second user action, wherein the second user action comprises selecting one of the selectable objects.

11. The method of claim 7, wherein the method further comprises, when the activatable object is from an untrusted source, suppressing the activatable object.

12. A system comprising:

at least one processor;

a memory coupled to the at least one processor, the memory for storing instructions which, when executed by the at least one processor, performs a method, the method comprising:

16

determining whether the activatable object is from an untrusted source;

when the activatable object is from the untrusted source, performing operations comprising:

displaying a modeless prompt to advise the user of the suppression of the activatable object, wherein the web page is operable to receive user interaction during display of the modeless prompt; and providing, via the modeless prompt, an opportunity to interactively accept activation of the action associated with the activatable object; and

when the activatable object is not from the untrusted source, automatically activating the action associated with the activatable object.

13. The system of claim 12, wherein the method further comprises, when the activatable object is from an untrusted source, suppressing the activatable object.

14. The system of claim 12, further comprising detecting a first user action, wherein the detection of the first user action comprises detecting a mouse interaction with the modeless prompt.

15. The system of claim 14 wherein the mouse interaction comprises a mouse pointer hovering over the display of the modeless prompt.

16. The system of claim 15, wherein the method further comprises:

upon detection of a first user action, displaying a menu of selectable objects; and

detecting a second user action, wherein the second user action comprises selecting one of the selectable objects.

17. The system of claim 16, wherein the selecting of the one of the selectable objects does not require activation of the mouse pointer.

18. The system of claim 14, wherein the modeless prompt comprises a menu of selectable objects.

19. The method of claim 12, wherein the activatable object is activated by a user action.

20. The method of claim 12, wherein the detecting of the first user action results in displaying a modal prompt.

* * * * *