

**(12) STANDARD PATENT**  
**(19) AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE**

(11) Application No. **AU 2012201734 B2**

(54) Title  
**Chromium based polymerization catalyst, the method to prepare it and polymers prepared therewith**

(51) International Patent Classification(s)  
**C08F 10/00** (2006.01)                      **C08F 210/16** (2006.01)  
**C08F 4/22** (2006.01)                      **C08F 210/02** (2006.01)

(21) Application No: **2012201734**                      (22) Date of Filing: **2012.03.23**

(43) Publication Date: **2012.04.12**

(43) Publication Journal Date: **2012.04.12**

(44) Accepted Journal Date: **2013.05.30**

(62) Divisional of:  
**2011202295**

(71) Applicant(s)  
**Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LP**

(72) Inventor(s)  
**Benham, Elizabeth A.;Collins, Kathy S.;Secora, Steven J.;Jensen, Michael D.;McDaniel, Max P.**

(74) Agent / Attorney  
**Davies Collison Cave, Level 15 1 Nicholson Street, MELBOURNE, VIC, 3000**

(56) Related Art  
**US 2003/0199648 A1**

### ABSTRACT

Catalyst systems for polymerizing olefins include a catalyst comprising chromium and a cocatalyst comprising a substituted or unsubstituted non-transition metal cyclopentadienyl (Cp) compound. The catalyst also comprises an inorganic oxide support. Methods of preparing a catalyst comprise contacting a support with chromium and with a non-transition metal Cp compound. The support can be contacted with a solution comprising the non-transition metal Cp compound prior to entry into a reaction zone. Methods of polymerizing at least one olefin include contacting the olefin with a catalyst comprising chromium and with a cocatalyst comprising a non-transition metal Cp. The polymerization can be performed in the presence of hydrogen. Using the cocatalyst in conjunction with the catalyst increases several properties of the polymers produced by this method. Polymer compositions produced by such methods have various unique properties, including a PDI greater than 30.

*Australian Patents Act 1990 – Regulation 3.2A*

**ORIGINAL COMPLETE SPECIFICATION  
STANDARD PATENT**

Invention Title

"Chromium based polymerization catalyst,  
the method to prepare it and polymers prepared therewith"

The following statement is a full description of this invention, including the best method of performing it known to me/us:-



2012201734 26 Apr 2013

index (PDI), which indicates the breadth of the molecular weight distribution and is equivalent to the weight-average molecular weight of a polymer divided by the number-average molecular weight of the polymer (i.e.,  $M_w/M_n$ ). A broadening in the molecular weight distribution of a polyolefin tends to improve the flow of the polyolefin when it is being processed at high rates of shear.

The polymerization of olefins using chromium-based catalysts is often performed in the presence of hydrogen to produce polyolefins having relatively low molecular weights. Although hydrogen can be used to regulate the molecular weight, the breadth of the molecular weight distribution of a polyolefin tends to be limited by the choice of catalyst. A need therefore exists to develop a catalyst system that could be used to produce polyolefins having broader molecular weight distributions. A need also exists to broaden the molecular weight distributions of polyolefins produced using chromium-based catalysts.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a polymer composition having a weight average molecular weight ( $M_w$ ) greater than about 100,000 g/mol, a high load melt index (HLMI) in a range of from about 4 g/10 min to about 15 g/10 min, a Charpy impact energy greater than about 100 J/m and a zero shear viscosity ( $E_0$ ) less than about  $10^7$  Pa·s.

Catalyst systems including a catalyst comprising chromium and a cocatalyst are also described. The cocatalyst includes a substituted or unsubstituted non-transition metal cyclopentadienyl compound (Cp). The non-transition metal Cp compound comprises a Group I metal Cp compound, a Group II metal Cp compound, a Group III metal Cp compound, or combinations thereof. The Cp group of the cocatalyst comprises a cyclopentadienyl group, a fluorenyl group, an indenyl group, or combinations thereof. The catalyst also comprises a support for the chromium such as an inorganic oxide support.

Methods of preparing a catalyst for the polymerization of at least one olefin are also described. The methods of preparing the catalyst include contacting a support with chromium and with a non-transition metal Cp compound. In particular, a catalyst containing chromium and a support is activated by calcining it in an oxidizing atmosphere

2012201734 26 Apr 2013

and then optionally at least partially reducing it in a reducing atmosphere. The catalyst is then contacted with a non-transition metal Cp compound. In one embodiment, the support can be contacted with a solution comprising the non-transition metal Cp compound prior to entry into a reaction zone. In another embodiment, the activated catalyst and non-transition metal Cp compound can be added separately to the reaction zone.

Methods of polymerizing at least one olefin are also described. The methods of polymerizing at least one olefin include contacting the olefin with a catalyst comprising chromium and with a cocatalyst comprising a non-transition metal cyclopentadienyl (Cp) compound. The polymerization can be performed in the presence of hydrogen. Using the cocatalyst in conjunction with the catalyst increases several properties, such as the high load melt index (HLMI), the MW, and the MN of the polymers produced by this polymerization method.

Yet further described are certain polymer compositions. The polymer compositions produced by such methods described herein have various unique properties. In one embodiment, the polymer compositions have a  $M_w$  greater than 600,000 g/mol and a HLMI in a range of from 0.01 g/10 min to 10 g/10 min. In another embodiment, the polymer compositions have a  $M_w$  greater than 400,000 g/mol and a zero shear viscosity ( $E_0$ ) less than  $10^8$  Pa·s. In yet another embodiment, the polymer compositions have a rheological breadth parameter greater than 0.15 and a PDI greater than 30. Additional embodiments include polymer compositions having other properties, and articles of manufacture or end use articles formed from the foregoing polymer compositions.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Embodiments of the invention are illustrated with reference to the accompanying drawings.

Fig. 1 depicts a graph illustrating the molecular weight distributions of polyethylene resins formed using a chromium-based catalyst and different non-transition metal cyclopentadienyl cocatalysts and of a polyethylene resin formed using a chromium-based catalyst but no cocatalyst.

2012201734 26 Apr 2013

3A

Fig. 2 depicts a graph illustrating the molecular weight distributions of polyethylene resins formed using a chromium-based catalyst and different concentrations of a dicyclopentadienyl magnesium cocatalyst and of a polyethylene resin formed using a chromium-based catalyst and a triethylaluminum cocatalyst.

Fig 3 depicts a graph illustrating the molecular weight distributions of two polyethylene resins formed using a chromium-based catalyst, wherein one is formed with a cyclopentadienyl lithium cocatalyst and one is formed without a cocatalyst.

A catalyst system suitable for use in polymerizing olefins is advantageously provided as an embodiment of the present invention. The catalyst system includes at least one chromium-based catalyst and at least one non-transition metal cyclopentadienyl (Cp) compound as a cocatalyst. A mole ratio of the non-transition metal Cp compound to the chromium in the catalyst system can range from 0.001 to 20; alternatively, from 0.001 to 10; alternatively, from 0.003 to 20; alternatively, from 0.01 to 3; or alternatively, from 0.02 to 2. The non-transition metal Cp compound typically constitutes from 0.01 to 50 ppm by weight of the reaction zone contents; alternatively, from 0.1 to 20 ppm; or alternatively, from 0.1 to 10 ppm by weight of the contents of a reaction zone in which the catalyst system is used for polymerization.

The non-transition metal Cp cocatalyst contains a non-transition metal bonded to a Cp group. Examples of suitable non-transition metals include a Group I metal such as lithium (Li) and sodium (Na), a Group II metal such as magnesium (Mg), and a Group III metal such as aluminum. Examples of suitable Cp groups include a cyclopentadienyl group, a fluorenyl group, or an indenyl group. The Cp group can be substituted or unsubstituted. For example, the Cp group can be substituted with an alkyl group, an aryl group, an alkylaryl group, an alkoxy group, an aryloxy group, an alkylsilyl group, or combinations thereof. In an embodiment, the metal Cp cocatalyst is cyclopentadienyl lithium (CpLi), dicyclopentadienyl magnesium (Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg), a lithium aluminum cyclopentadienyl trialkyl, or combinations thereof. If the metal is a divalent or trivalent metal, other anions can accompany the Cp group, such as halides, alkoxides, or organic radicals. For example, the metal Cp cocatalyst can also be cyclopentadienyl magnesium ethoxide (CpMgOC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), indenyl aluminum dibutyl (IndAl(C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) or fluorenyl ethyl boron chloride (FluBClC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). The metal Cp cocatalyst can also be a complex salt of two metals such as lithium aluminum cyclopentadienyl triethyl (LiAlCp(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>).

The chromium-based catalyst includes chromium on a support that serves as a carrier for the chromium. The support can primarily include an inorganic oxide such as silica, alumina, aluminophosphates, and mixtures thereof. In an embodiment, the support contains greater than 50 percent (%) silica, alternatively greater than 80% silica, by weight of the

support. The support can further include additional components that do not adversely affect the catalyst system, such as titania, zirconia, alumina, boria, thoria, magnesia, and mixtures thereof. The support has a specific surface area and a specific pore volume effective to provide for an active catalyst. A Quantachrome Autosorb-6 Nitrogen Pore Size Distribution Instrument, which is commercially available from the Quantachrome Corporation of Syosset, New York, can be used to determine the specific surface area (hereinafter "surface area") and specific pore volume (hereinafter "pore volume") of the support. The surface area of the support can range from 100 square meters per gram (m<sup>2</sup>/g) to 1,000 m<sup>2</sup>/g; alternatively, from 200 m<sup>2</sup>/g to 800 m<sup>2</sup>/g; or alternatively, from 250 m<sup>2</sup>/g to 700 m<sup>2</sup>/g. Further, the pore volume of the support, i.e., an indicator of the amount of liquid it can absorb, can range from 0.5 cubic centimeters per gram (cc/g) to 3.5 cc/g, or alternatively, from 0.8 cc/g to 3 cc/g.

The chromium can be loaded on the support using any method known in the art. In one embodiment, a coprecipitated cogel of chromium and of one or more support components is made. As used herein, cogel refers to the product resulting from the gelation of two or more components. In another embodiment, the support is impregnated with an aqueous solution containing a water-soluble chromium compound. Examples of water-soluble chromium compounds include chromium oxide, chromium trioxide, chromium acetate, chromium nitrate, or combinations thereof. In yet another embodiment, the support is impregnated with a hydrocarbon solution in which a chromium compound is dissolved after removing water from the support by, e.g., spray drying or azeotropically drying it. Examples of hydrocarbon soluble chromium compounds include tertiary butyl chromate, a diarene chromium compound, biscyclopentadienyl chromium(II), chromium acetylacetonate, or combinations thereof. The amount of chromium present in the ensuing catalyst can range from 0.01% to 10% by weight of the catalyst; alternatively, from 0.2% to 5%; or alternatively, from 0.5% to 2%.

In an embodiment, chromium-based catalyst grades 963, 964, 969, or combinations thereof can be obtained from any commercial source such as the Grace Davison division of W.R. Grace & Company of Columbia, Maryland. Especially suitable are those catalysts comprising chromium oxide supported by a high porosity silica-titania as are described in U.S. Patent Nos. 3,887,494 and 3,119,569, both of which are incorporated by reference herein in their entirety. By way of example, the support can be produced by simultaneous gelation of silica, titania, and chromia. Such gelation can be performed by contacting an

alkali metal silicate such as sodium silicate with an acid solution containing a titanium salt such as a sulfuric acid titanyl sulfate solution containing chromium, thereby forming a cogel, also known as a hydrogel. After gellation, the cogel can be aged at a pH of from 7 to 8 for several hours at 80°C. It can then be azeotropically dried in an organic solvent such as  
5 hexanol to form a xerogel. The titanium content of this support can range from 1% to 10% by weight of the catalyst. The surface area of this support is typically 550 m<sup>2</sup>/g, and the pore volume of the support is typically in the range of from 2.2 cc/g to 2.5 cc/g

Additional disclosure regarding chromium-based catalysts supported by silica/titania can be found in the following patents: U.S. Patent Nos. 4,405,501 and 4,436,886, which  
10 relate to the aging process; U.S. Patent Nos. 4,436,883 and 4,392,990, which relate to N<sub>2</sub> calcination; U.S. Patent Nos. 4,081,407 and 4,152,503, which relate to azeotropic drying using hexanol; U.S. Patent No. 4,981,831; U.S. Patent Nos. 4,294,724, 4,382,022, 4,402,864, and 4,405,768, and 4,424,320, which relate to titanation; and U.S. Patent Nos. 2,825,721, 4,382,022, 4,402,864, 4,405,768, 3,622,521, 3,625,864, which relate to silica-titania, all of  
15 the foregoing patents being incorporated by reference herein in their entirety. Aluminophosphate supported catalysts are described in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,364,842, 4,444,965, 4,364,855, 4,504,638, 4,364,854, 4,444,964, 4,444,962, each of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. Phosphated alumina supported catalysts are described in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,444,966, 4,397,765, and 4,900,704, each of which is  
20 incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

The chromium-based catalyst can be activated using any known technique after introducing the chromium to the support. In one embodiment, the catalyst is activated via calcination by heating it in an oxidizing environment. For example, the support can be heated in the presence of air at a temperature in the range of from 400°C to 1,000°C,  
25 alternatively from 600°C to 900°C. Optionally, the calcination can be followed by a reduction step. The reduction step can be performed by, for example, heating the support in the presence of carbon monoxide (CO) at a temperature in the range of from 200°C to 800°C. In another embodiment, the catalyst is activated via a reduction and reoxidation process. Suitable reduction and reoxidation processes are disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos.  
30 4,151,122, 4,177,162, 4,247,421, 4,248,735, 4,297,460, 4,397,769, 4,460,756, 4,182,815, 4,277,587, each of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

In an embodiment, the non-transition metal Cp cocatalyst is co-supported with the chromium-based catalyst. The metal Cp cocatalyst is loaded onto the support after activating it. The Cp cocatalyst can be combined with the support by, for example, impregnating the already activated chromium-based catalyst with an organic (preferably hydrocarbon) solution comprising the metal Cp cocatalyst. The resulting Cr/metal Cp catalyst can then be fed to a polymerization reactor. In another embodiment, the activated chromium-based catalyst and the non-transition metal Cp are separately fed to a polymerization zone. In yet another embodiment, the Cr catalyst and Cp compound can both be continuously fed into a contacting vessel where they react for a period of from 1 minute to 10 hours, and from there the contacted ingredients are fed into the polymerization zone. The two feeds can thus be accurately and continuously controlled during the polymerization to determine the correct molar Cp/Cr ratio, which in turn controls polymer properties. In this way adjustments to the catalyst-cocatalyst recipe can be made as the polymers are produced.

Polymer compositions can be formed by polymerizing at least one monomer in the presence of the foregoing catalyst system comprising a chromium-based catalyst and a non-transition metal Cp cocatalyst. Examples of suitable monomers include unsaturated hydrocarbons having from 2 to 20 carbon atoms such as ethylene, propylene, 1-butene, 1-pentene, 1-hexene, 3-methyl-1-butene, 4-methyl-1-pentene, 1-heptene, 1-octene, 1-nonene, 1-decene, and mixtures thereof. The chromium-based catalyst is particularly suitable for producing polyethylene homopolymers, and copolymers of ethylene monomer and 1-hexene comonomer. The polymer density of such copolymers can be controlled by varying the comonomer to monomer ratio in the reactor.

Any suitable polymerization methods known in the art can be used, such as solution polymerization, slurry polymerization, and gas phase polymerization. Any polymerization reactor known in the art that is capable of polymerizing olefin monomers to produce the homopolymers or copolymers described herein also can be used. Such reactors can comprise slurry reactors, gas-phase reactors, solution reactors or any combination thereof. Gas phase reactors can comprise fluidized bed reactors or tubular reactors. Slurry reactors can comprise vertical loops or horizontal loops. Solution reactors can comprise stirred tank or autoclave reactors. Such reactors can be combined into multiple reactor systems operated in parallel or in series.

Any manner known in the art can be employed to contact the monomer with the catalyst in the reaction zone. As mentioned previously, the cocatalyst can be co-supported with the catalyst, or it can alternatively be separately introduced to a reaction zone. Suitable contact methods include fluidized bed, gravitating bed, and fixed bed methods. In one  
5 embodiment, the catalyst and the cocatalyst streams are both continuously fed into a pre-contacting reaction zone prior to adding the mixture into the polymerization reactor. In this pre-contacting reaction zone, the two components react with each other at temperatures ranging from  $-10^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $100^{\circ}\text{C}$  during residence times typically ranging from 1 minute to 2 hours. After the two components have contacted each other for the specified duration, the  
10 combination is then fed continuously into the polymerization reactor.

In one embodiment, the polymerization is carried out using a plurality of stirred tank reactors either in series, parallel, or combinations thereof. Different reaction conditions can be used in the different reactors. In another embodiment, the polymerization is conducted in a loop reactor using slurry polymerization. Suitable loop reactors are disclosed in U.S.  
15 Patent Nos. 3,248,179, 5,565,175 and 6,239,235, which are incorporated by reference herein in their entirety. Within the loop reactor, the catalyst and the cocatalyst are suspended in an inert diluent and agitated to maintain them in suspension throughout the polymerization process. The diluent is a medium in which the polymer being formed does not readily dissolve. In an embodiment, the diluent is isobutane in which the polymer tends to swell less  
20 than in other diluents. It is understood that other diluents can be utilized as deemed appropriate by one skilled in the art. In an embodiment in which ethylene is polymerized in the loop reactor, the amount of ethylene present is in the range of from 1% to 20% by the weight of the diluent, or alternatively from 3% to 8%. When a comonomer such as 1-butene or 1-hexene is used, it is added to the reactor in an amount sufficient to yield a polymer  
25 having a desired density, which is usually in the range of from 0.92 to 0.96 g/cc. In a loop reactor this amount is typically in the range of from 0.1% to 20% by weight of the diluent.

The slurry polymerization conditions are selected to ensure that the polymer being produced has certain desirable properties and is in the form of solid particles. The polymerization is desirably carried out below a temperature at which the polymer swells or  
30 goes into solution. For example, the polymerization temperature can be less than  $110^{\circ}\text{C}$ , alternatively in the range of from  $50^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $110^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The catalyst system is contacted with the at least one monomer at a pressure sufficient to maintain the diluent and at least a portion of

the monomer in the liquid phase. That is, the pressure within the loop reactor can be maintained in the range of from 110 psi (758 kPa) to 700 psi (4826 kPa) or higher. Suitable slurry polymerization processes are disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,424,341, 4,501,855, and 4,613,484, 4,589,957, 4,737,280, 5,597,892, and 5,575,979, each of which is incorporated by  
5 reference herein in its entirety. The activity and the productivity of the catalyst system are relatively high. As used herein, the activity refers to the grams of polymer produced per gram of solid catalyst charged per hour, and the productivity refers to the grams of polymer produced per gram of solid catalyst charged.

Additional details regarding chromium-based catalysts and/or slurry polymerization  
10 processes can be found in U.S. Patent Nos. 3,887,494, 3,900,457, 3,947,433, 4,053,436, 4,081,407, 4,151,122, 4,294,724, 4,296,001, 4,345,055, 4,364,839, 4,364,841, 4,364,842, 4,364,854, 4,364,855, 4,392,990, 4,397,765, 4,402,864, and 4,405,501, each of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

According to an embodiment, hydrogen ( $H_2$ ) can be introduced to the polymerization  
15 reaction zone to control molecular weight. The  $H_2$  can be employed at concentrations of equal to or less than 3 mole % based on the total number of moles of the diluent in a loop reactor, alternatively from 0.1 mole % to 2 mole %. Polymerizing the olefin in the presence of the cocatalyst and the hydrogen broadens the molecular weight distribution of the polymer and generally improves the properties of the polymer. For example, the use of the cocatalyst  
20 in conjunction with the hydrogen results in an increase in the melt index (MI) and the high-load melt index (HLMI) of the polymer produced, whereas the MI and the HLMI of the polymer drop when the cocatalyst is used without any hydrogen present. Without intending to be limited by theory, it is believed that the presence of the cocatalyst causes the sites on the catalyst that usually produce low molecular weight polymer to convert to chromocenyl  
25 sites that reject the comonomer, e.g., hexene, and are more sensitive to  $H_2$ .

When the metal Cp cocatalyst is included in the catalyst system added to the reactor in the presence of hydrogen, the weight average molecular weight ( $M_w$ ) of the polymer formed therein increases while the number average molecular weight ( $M_N$ ) decreases substantially, as compared to using the same catalyst system run under the same reactor  
30 conditions in the presence of the same amount of hydrogen, but without the metal Cp cocatalyst. Typically, the  $M_w$  can increase by equal to or greater than 25%; alternatively, by

equal to or greater than 50%; or alternatively, by equal to or greater than 80%. Increases of equal to or greater than 100% also can result, depending on the catalyst type and the amount of hydrogen and metal Cp catalyst used. Further, the  $M_N$  can decrease by equal to or greater than 20%; alternatively, by equal to or greater than 40%; alternatively, by equal to or greater than 50%; or alternatively, on occasion by equal to or greater than 60%.

Likewise, the MI and HLMI of the polymer produced increase when the metal Cp cocatalyst is added to the reactor to which hydrogen is also added, as compared to the same polymer made with the same catalyst under the same reactor conditions but in the absence of the metal Cp cocatalyst. The MI or HLMI typically increases by equal to or greater than 50%; alternatively, by equal to or greater than 100%; or alternatively, by equal to or greater than 500%. They can even increase by equal to or greater than ten fold, depending on the catalyst type, the amount of metal Cp cocatalyst used, and the amount of hydrogen used.

As embodiments of the present invention, polymer compositions or resins produced using the chromium-based catalyst in conjunction with the non-transition metal Cp cocatalyst that have unique properties are advantageously provided. Examples of the polymer compositions include polyethylene homopolymers and copolymers of ethylene monomer and 1-hexene comonomer. For example, the polymer compositions have a weight-average molecular weight greater than 100,000 g/mol. Alternatively, the  $M_w$  can be greater than 250,000 g/mol; alternatively, greater than 400,000 g/mol; alternatively, greater than 500,000 g/mol; or alternatively, greater than 600,000 g/mol. Also, the polymer compositions have broad MWD's as indicated by polydispersity index (PDI) values greater than 20. In some embodiments, the polymer compositions have PDI values, greater than 30; alternatively, greater than 40; alternatively, greater than 50; alternatively, greater than 70; or alternatively, greater than 90.

The molecular weights and the molecular weight distributions of the polymer compositions are obtained using a Waters 150 CV gel permeation chromatograph with trichlorobenzene (TCB) as the solvent using a flow rate of 1 mL/min at a temperature of 140°C. The TCB is stabilized using 2,6-Di-*t*-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) at a concentration of 1.0 g/L. An injection volume of 220 microliters is used with a nominal polymer concentration of 0.3 g/L at room temperature. The polymer sample is dissolved in stabilized TCB by heating it at 160 to 170 °C for 20 hours while performing occasional gentle

agitation. The gel permeation chromatograph includes two Waters HT-6E columns (7.8 mmx300 mm). The columns are calibrated with a broad linear polyethylene standard (Chevron Phillips Chemical Company Marlex® BHB 5003 resin) for which the molecular weight has been determined.

5 Rheological breadth refers to the breadth of the transition region between Newtonian and power-law type shear rate for a polymer or the frequency dependence of the viscosity of the polymer. The rheological breadth is a function of the relaxation time distribution of a polymer resin, which in turn is a function of the resin molecular structure or architecture. Assuming the Cox-Merz rule, the rheological breadth can be calculated by fitting flow  
10 curves generated in linear-viscoelastic dynamic oscillatory frequency sweep experiments with a modified Carreau-Yasuda (CY) model, which is represented by the following equation:

$$E = E_o \left[ 1 + (T_\xi \dot{\gamma})^a \right]^{\frac{n-1}{a}}$$

where

15 E = viscosity (Pa·s)

$\dot{\gamma}$  = shear rate (1/s)

“a” = rheological breadth parameter

$T_\xi$  = relaxation time (s) [describes the location in time of the transition region]

$E_o$  = zero shear viscosity (Pa·s) [defines the Newtonian plateau]

20 n = power law constant [defines the final slope of the high shear rate region]

To facilitate model fitting, the power law constant is held at a constant value. Details of the significance and interpretation of the CY model and derived parameters can be found in: C. A. Hieber and H. H. Chiang, *Rheol. Acta*, 28, 321 (1989); C.A. Hieber and H.H. Chiang, *Polym. Eng. Sci.*, 32, 931 (1992); and R. B. Bird, R. C. Armstrong and O. Hassager,  
25 *Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids, Volume 1, Fluid Mechanics*, 2nd Edition, John Wiley & Sons (1987), each of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. The polymer compositions have rheological breadth parameters, i.e., “a” parameters, greater than 0.15, as

determined at a temperature of 190°C. Alternatively, the "a" parameters are greater than 0.18; alternatively, greater than 0.19; or alternatively, greater than 0.20.

In addition, the zero shear viscosity ( $E_0$ ) values, of the polymer compositions are less than 108 Pa·s. In one embodiment, the  $E_0$  values are greater than 105 Pa·s and less than 108 Pa·s. In yet another embodiment, the  $E_0$  values greater than 105 Pa·s and less than 5x 107 Pa·s. In still another embodiment, the  $E_0$  values are greater than 105 Pa·s and less than 107 Pa·s. In another embodiment, the  $E_0$  values are greater than 105 Pa·s and less than 5x106 Pa·s.

Polymer compositions having the previously described properties can be formed into articles of manufacture or end use articles using techniques known in the art such as extrusion, blow molding, injection molding, fiber spinning, thermoforming, casting, or combinations thereof. For example, a polymer resin can be extruded into a sheet, which is then thermoformed into an end use article such as a container, a cup, a tray, a pallet, a toy, or a component of another product. Examples of other end use articles into which the polymer resins can be formed include pipes, drums, films, bottles, fibers, and so forth. Additional end use articles would be apparent to those skilled in the art.

In an embodiment, pipes are formed from the foregoing polymer compositions using, for example, extrusion. The densities of the polymer pipes range from 0.92 g/cc to 0.97 g/cc. Alternatively, the densities range from 0.93 g/cc to 0.965 g/cc; alternatively, from 0.94 g/cc to 0.96 g/cc; or alternatively, from 0.945 g/cc to 0.955 g/cc. Polymer density is determined in grams per cubic centimeter (g/cc) on a compression molded sample that is cooled at 15°C per hour and conditioned for 40 hours at room temperature in accordance with ASTM D1505 and ASTM D1928, procedure C.

The melt index of a polymer resin represents the rate of flow of a molten resin through an orifice of 0.0825 inch diameter when subjected to a force of 2,160 grams at 190°C. Further, the high load melt index of a polymer resin represents the rate of flow of a molten resin through an orifice of 0.0825 inch diameter when subjected to a force of 21,600 grams at 190°C. The MI values of the polymer pipes are in a range of from 0.01 g/10 min to 10 g/10 min, or alternatively from 0.1 to 10 g/10 min. Alternatively, the polymer pipes can have MI values in the range of from 0.05 g/10 min to 5 g/10 min; alternatively, from 0.1 g/10 min to 1.0 g/10 min; or alternatively, from 0.2 g/10 min to 0.5 g/10 min. The MI values are

determined in accordance with ASTM D1238. The polymer pipes have HLMI values in the range of from 0.1 to 100 g/10 min; alternatively, from 1 to 10 g/10 min; alternatively, from 1 to 50 g/10 min; alternatively, from 2 to 20 g/10 min; or alternatively, from 4 to 15 g/10 min. The HLMI values are determined in accordance with ASTM D1238 condition E. In addition, the shear ratio (HLMI/MI) values of the polymer pipes are greater than 80; alternatively, greater than 100; alternatively, greater than 150; or alternatively, greater than 200.

Charpy impact testing is one method of predicting the pipe's resistance to rapid crack growth at low temperatures. In this test, compression molded plastic bars are cooled to various temperatures, and subjected to an impact test. The temperature at which a crack in a bar transitions from ductile to brittle failure is recorded, as well as the total energy at each temperature required to break the bar. Details of the test can be found in ASTM F2231. Results are usually reported as 1) the ductile to brittle transition temperature  $T_{db}$  (i.e., the Charpy critical temperature), and 2) the specific energy of breakage at a certain reference temperature, usually 0°C (i.e., the Charpy impact energy). The lower the  $T_{db}$  and the higher the impact energy, the better the resin's resistance to rapid crack growth. The polymer pipes described herein have a low  $T_{db}$  less than 0°C and a Charpy impact energy greater than 50 J/m. Alternatively, the  $T_{db}$  is less than -5°C; alternatively, less than -10°C; or alternatively, less than -20°C. Alternatively, the Charpy impact energy is greater than 75 J/m; alternatively, greater than 100 J/m; or alternatively, greater than 125 J/m.

The resistance of a pipe to slow crack growth is measured by pressurizing a section of a notched pipe (ASTM F1474; ISO 13479). The resistance of a pipe material to slow crack growth is well studied and documented. Typically, the resistance to slow crack growth of pipe products improves with increasing molecular weight, decreasing crystallinity (or density) of the starting resin, and proper placement of short chain branching in the molecular weight distribution. The inherent resistance of a pipe to slow crack growth is measured in tests such as the Pennsylvania notched-tensile test (PENT; ASTM F1473) using compression-molded specimens. Sample bars are subjected to a constant load at 80°C until they finally break. The polymer pipes described herein display high Pent values of greater than 500 hours; alternatively, greater than 700 hours; or alternatively, greater than 1,000 hours.

In another embodiment, the previously described polymer compositions are blow molded into bottles. The MI values of the blow molded bottles are in the range of from 0.01 to 10 g/10 min; or alternatively, from 0.1 to 10 g/10 min. Alternatively, the blow molded bottles can have MI values in the range of from 0.1 g/10 min to 1 g/10 min; alternatively, from 0.15 g/10 min to 0.5 g/10 min; or alternatively, from 0.18 g/10 min to 0.4 g/10 min. The blow molded bottles also have HLMI values in the range of from 1 to 1,000 g/10 min; alternatively, from 1 to 10 g/10 min; alternatively, from 5 to 100 g/10 min; alternatively, from 10 to 80 g/10 min; alternatively, from 15 to 50 g/10 min; or alternatively, from 18 to 35 g/10 min.

Environmental Stress Crack Resistance (ESCR) measures a polymer's resistance to chemical attack and can be determined using ASTM D 1693, condition A and condition B. For blow molded bottles having HLMI values ranging from 15 to 30 g/10 min and densities greater than or equal to 0.952, both their ESCR-A values and their ESCR-B values are greater than 250; alternatively, greater than 500; alternatively, greater than 800; or alternatively, greater than 1,000.

A polymer often tends to swell during blow molding extrusion. Percent weight swell measures the amount the molten resin expands immediately as it exits the die. It is a measure of the "memory" of the polymer chains as they seek to relax and thus reform the polymer shape. Weight swell is an important parameter as it determines how tight the die gap must be adjusted to provide a constant bottle weight. If a resin has high weight swell, the die gap required will be tighter to make the proper part weight. In so doing, it will require higher stress to push the resin through the die than a lower weight swell resin. Weight swell is defined as the ratio of the die gap to the final bottle wall thickness. The weight swell values of the polymer compositions described herein are usually less than 700; alternatively, less than 500; alternatively, less than 450; or alternatively, less than 400.

As a polymer is subjected to increasing shear rates during extrusion, it eventually slips or experiences a so-called melt fracture. In an embodiment, the shear rates at the onset of melt fracture for the blow molded polymers are greater than 22,000/sec. Alternatively, the shear rates are greater than 24,000/sec; alternatively, greater than 26,000/sec; or alternatively, greater than 28,000/sec.

**EXAMPLES**

The invention having been generally described, the following examples are given as particular embodiments of the invention and to demonstrate the practice and advantages thereof. It is understood that the examples are given by way of illustration and are not intended to limit the specification or the claims to follow in any manner.

**EXAMPLE 1**

A grade 963 chromium oxide/silica-titania catalyst obtained from W.R. Grace Company was activated in air at 800°C. To activate the catalyst, 10 grams were placed in a 1.75 inch quartz tube fitted with a sintered quartz disk at the bottom. While the catalyst was supported on the disk, dry air was blown up through the disk at the linear rate of from 1.6 to 1.8 standard cubic feet (0.045 to 0.051 cubic meters) per hour. An electric furnace around the quartz tube was then turned on and the temperature was raised at the rate of 400°C per hour to the indicated temperature, i.e., 800°C. At that temperature the catalyst was allowed to fluidize for three hours in the dry air. The temperature was then lowered to 350°C where the air was flushed out with dry nitrogen, and then the catalyst was reduced in the presence of carbon monoxide (CO) for 30 minutes. After a final flushing out of the CO with nitrogen, the catalyst was collected and stored under dry nitrogen, where it was protected from the atmosphere until ready for testing. It was never allowed to experience any exposure to the atmosphere.

The catalyst was then employed in four different runs to polymerize ethylene. The polymerization runs were made in a 2.2 liter steel reactor equipped with a marine stirrer running at 400 rpm. The reactor was surrounded by a steel jacket containing boiling methanol and connected to a steel condenser. The boiling point of the methanol was controlled by varying nitrogen pressure applied to the condenser and jacket, which permitted precise temperature control to within half a degree centigrade, with the help of electronic control instruments.

Unless otherwise stated, a small amount (normally 0.01 to 0.10 grams) of the catalyst was first charged under nitrogen to the dry reactor. Next 0.6 liter of isobutane liquid was added to the reactor, followed by a solution containing the non-transition metal Cp cocatalyst, and finally by another 0.6 liter of isobutane liquid. Then the reactor was heated

up to 95°C, followed by the addition of 30 psig (207 kPa) of hydrogen gas (H<sub>2</sub>). Finally ethylene was added to the reactor to equal a fixed pressure of 550 psig (3792 kPa). The reaction mixture was stirred for one hour. As ethylene was consumed, more ethylene flowed into the reactor to maintain the pressure. The activity was noted by recording the flow of  
5 ethylene into the reactor to maintain the set pressure.

After the allotted time, the ethylene flow was stopped and the reactor was slowly depressurized and opened to recover a granular polymer powder. In all cases, the reactor was clean with no indication of any wall scale, coating, or other forms of fouling. The polymer powder was then removed and weighed. The activity was specified as grams of  
10 polymer produced per gram of solid oxide component charged per hour.

Run 1 was performed in the absence of a cocatalyst, runs 2 and 3 were run using different amounts of the trimethylsilylcyclopentadienyl lithium (TMS-Cp-Li) as a cocatalyst, and run 4 was run using biscyclopentadienyl magnesium (Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg) as a cocatalyst. Table 1 below provides details regarding each run and the MI, HLMI, HLMI/MI, M<sub>N</sub>, M<sub>w</sub>, and PDI  
15 values of the polymer resin produced in each run. The methods used to determine such values are disclosed above. As shown in Table 1, the MI and HLMI values increased substantially when a non-transition metal Cp cocatalyst was used with H<sub>2</sub>. When used without H<sub>2</sub>, the melt index actually dropped, thus supporting the theory that chromocenyl sites form on the catalyst when the cocatalyst and H<sub>2</sub> are used. In addition, the breadth of the  
20 MWD, i.e., the PDI, increased when the cocatalyst was used with H<sub>2</sub>.

## EXAMPLE 2

A 969MPI grade Cr/silica-titania catalyst was obtained from W.R. Grace and activated in air at 650°C in the same manner as described in Example 1. It was then reduced in the presence of CO at a temperature of 370°C. The catalyst was then employed in several  
25 runs to polymerize ethylene at 95°C as described in Example 1. Most but not all of the runs were performed in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>. Some runs were performed using no cocatalyst, and some runs were performed using Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg cocatalyst, one of which additionally used triethylaluminum (TEA) cocatalyst. Other runs were performed using CpLi cocatalyst. Table 2 below provides details regarding each run and the MI, HLMI, HLMI/MI, M<sub>N</sub>, M<sub>w</sub>,  
30 and PDI values of the polymer resins produced in each run. The methods used to determine

such values are disclosed above. As shown in Table 2, the MI and HLMI values generally increased when a non-transition metal Cp cocatalyst was used with H<sub>2</sub>. In addition, the breadth of the MWD, i.e., the PDI, increased when the cocatalyst was used with H<sub>2</sub>.

### EXAMPLE 3

5 A 963 grade Cr/silica-titania catalyst obtained from W.R. Grace Corp., was calcined in air at 650°C as described above in Example 1. It was then reduced in the presence of CO at a temperature of 370°C. The catalyst was then employed in two different runs to polymerize ethylene as described in Example 1. One run was performed in the absence of a cocatalyst and in the absence of H<sub>2</sub>. The other run was performed using Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg as a  
10 cocatalyst and in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>. Table 3 below provides details regarding each run and the MI, HLMI, HLMI/MI, M<sub>N</sub>, M<sub>w</sub>, and PDI values of the polymer resin produced in each run. The methods used to determine such values are disclosed above. As shown in Table 3, the MI and HLMI values increased substantially when the Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg cocatalyst was used with H<sub>2</sub>. In addition, the breadth of the MWD, i.e., the PDI, increased when the cocatalyst was  
15 used with H<sub>2</sub>.

### EXAMPLE 4

A 969MPI grade Cr/silica-titania catalyst was obtained from W.R. Grace Corp. and calcined in air at 650°C as described in Example 1. The catalyst and 4 ppm of Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg cocatalyst based on the weight of the isobutane added were then employed in three runs to  
20 polymerize ethylene in the presence of H<sub>2</sub> at 95°C and 30 psig (207 kPa). The catalyst was reduced in the presence of CO at a temperature of 371°C before two of the three runs. A triethylaluminum cocatalyst was additionally used in one of these runs. The catalyst and the cocatalyst(s) were suspended in an isobutane diluent within a pipe loop reactor during these different runs. Table 4 below provides details regarding each run and the MI, HLMI,  
25 HLMI/MI values of the polymer resins produced in each run. The methods used to determine such values are disclosed above. These values improved when TEA cocatalyst was used to supplement the Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg catalyst. In the run in which the catalyst had not been reduced beforehand, the catalyst exhibited little activity. For Tables 1 - 4, activity is

expressed in grams of resin produced per gram of catalyst per hour. Productivity is expressed in grams of resin per gram of catalyst.

Table 1

Run #	Non-Transition Metal Cp Treatment	Catalyst Charge (g)	Yield (g)	Run Time (min.)	Prod. (g/g)	Activity (g/g/h)	MI (g/10 min.)	HLM/MI (g/10 min.)	HLM/MI	M <sub>N</sub> (kg/mol)	M <sub>w</sub> (kg/mol)	PDI
1	none	0.0452	69.5	74.5	1538	1238	0.12	7.6	63	15.4	165.2	10.7
2	4ppm TMS-Cp-Li	0.1796	279	54	1553	1726	0.18	25.4	141	6.3	367.5	58.2
3	11.3ppm TMS-Cp-Li	0.1176	155	50	1318	1582	0.945	107.6	114	5.1	238.5	46.6
4	4ppm Cp <sub>2</sub> Mg	0.0891	74.5	77.1	836	651	1.58	224.3	142	4.3	255.0	59.3

Table 2

Run #	Non-Transition Metal Cp Treatment	H <sub>2</sub> psig on Rx	Catalyst Charge (g)	Yield (g)	Run Time (min.)	Prod. (g/g)	Activity (g/g/h)	MI (g/10 min.)	HLM/MI (g/10 min.)	HLM/MI	M <sub>N</sub> (kg/mol)	M <sub>w</sub> (kg/mol)	PDI
5	none	0	0.0536	133	62	2481	2401	0	0.4		32.8	724.4	22.0
6	none	30	0.0848	267	68	2624	2315	0	0.81		33.4	641.0	19.2
7	1ppm Cp <sub>2</sub> Mg	30	0.1054	208	60	1973	1973	0	0.95		21.7	812.3	37.5
8	2ppm Cp <sub>2</sub> Mg	30	0.1045	143	70	1368	1173	0	2.05		15.6	851.2	54.7
9	4ppm Cp <sub>2</sub> Mg	30	0.0798	61	64	764	717	0	4.6		13.0	753.6	58.0
10	5.4ppm Cp <sub>2</sub> Mg	30	0.1042	50	60	480	480	0.017	6.92	407	10.2	574.9	56.4
11	4ppm Cp <sub>2</sub> Mg + 8 ppm TEA	30	0.0998	105	65	1052	971	0.033	8.7	263	10.4	554.5	53.2
12	6ppm Cp <sub>2</sub> Mg	0	0.0982	50	60	509	509	0	0				
13	1ppm CpLi	30	0.0942	61	68	648	571	0	1.52		20.2	675.4	33.5
14	4ppm CpLi	30	0.1014	27	63	266	254	0	2.84				

Table 3

Run #	Non-Transition Metal Cp Treatment	H <sub>2</sub> , psig on Rx	Catalyst Charge (g)	Yield (g)	Run Time (min.)	Prod. (g/g)	Activity (g/g/h)	MI (g/10 min.)	HLM/MI (g/10 min.)	HLM/MI	M <sub>n</sub> (kg/mol)	M <sub>w</sub> (kg/mol)	PDI
15	none	0	0.0436	168	94	3853	2459	0	0.79		20.2	736.0	36.4
16	1ppm Cp <sub>2</sub> Mg	30	0.0566	70	60	1237	1237	0.0254	6.55	258	7.2	838.5	116.3

Table 4

Run #	CO Reduction	Other Cocatalyst	Catalyst Charge (g)	Yield (g)	Run Time (min.)	Prod. (g/g)	Activity (g/g/h)	MI (g/10 min.)	HLM/MI (g/10 min.)	HLM/MI
17	none	none	0.0873	2	53	23	26			
18	371C	none	0.0798	61	64	764	717	0	4.6	
19	371C	8 ppm TEA	0.0998	105	65	1052	971	0.033	8.7	263

**EXAMPLE 5**

5 A 963 grade Cr/silica-titania catalyst was calcined in air at 800°C and reduced in the presence of CO at a temperature of 370°C, as described in Example 1. The catalyst was then employed in three different runs to polymerize ethylene in the presence of H<sub>2</sub> at 95°C and 30 psig (207 kPa), again as described in Example 1. A first run was performed using TMS-Cp-Li as the cocatalyst, a second run was performed using Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg as the cocatalyst, and a third run was performed using no cocatalyst. Figure 1 illustrates the molecular weight distributions of the polymer resins produced in these runs. The breadths of the molecular weight distributions of the polymer resins produced using the non-transition metal Cp cocatalysts were greater than that of the polymer resin produced in the absence of such cocatalyst. They were also shifted to the left, indicating an exaggerated effect of H<sub>2</sub> due to the influence of the Cp compound.

**EXAMPLE 6**

15 A 969MPI Cr/silica-titania catalyst was calcined in air at 650°C as described in Example 1. It was then reduced in the presence of CO at a temperature of 370°C. The catalyst was then employed in several runs to polymerize ethylene in the presence of H<sub>2</sub> at 95°C and 30 psig (207 kPa), again as described in Example 1. All runs but one were performed using Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg cocatalyst, and one additional run was performed using 8 ppm of TEA cocatalyst along with the Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg cocatalyst. Figure 2 illustrates the molecular weight distributions of the polymer resins produced in these runs. Again, the breadths of the molecular weight distributions of the polymer resins produced using the non-transition metal Cp cocatalysts were greater than that of the polymer resin produced in the absence of such cocatalyst. They were also shifted to the left, indicating the effect of H<sub>2</sub>.

**EXAMPLE 7**

25 A 969MPI grade Cr/silica-titania catalyst was calcined in air at 600°C and reduced in the presence of CO at a temperature of 370°C as described in Example 1. The catalyst was then employed in two different runs to polymerize ethylene in the presence of H<sub>2</sub> at 95°C and 30 psig (207 kPa) as described in example 1. A first run was performed using CpLi as the cocatalyst,

and a second run was performed using no cocatalyst. Figure 3 illustrates the molecular weight distributions of the polymer resins produced in these runs. The breadth of the molecular weight distribution of the polymer resin produced using the CpLi cocatalyst was slightly greater than that of the polymer resin produced in the absence of such cocatalyst. Again, it was shifted to the left, indicating that the effect of H<sub>2</sub> was exaggerated by the cocatalyst.

#### EXAMPLE 8

The following procedure was followed to produce polymer resins in a pilot plant reactor. Larger quantities of grade 964 Cr/silica-titania catalyst than in previous examples were activated by calcination in air at 650°C for use in a 23-gallon loop reactor. Then 1.5 pounds (680 grams) of the catalyst were charged to a 6-inch diameter stainless steel furnace, which was heated by electric heating coils surrounding it. Dry air rose up through a sintered metal grid plate at the rate of 0.12 to 0.20 linear feet (0.036 to 0.06 meters) per second to fluidize the catalyst. The catalyst was heated up to the desired temperature, i.e., 650°C in this example, over a period of five hours. It was held at that temperature for another six hours. The catalyst was given a final treatment in carbon monoxide (CO) before being discharged from the furnace and stored under nitrogen. This was done in order to reduce the hexavalent chromium to its divalent state. This was accomplished by cooling down the catalyst from 650°C to 370°C while fluidizing the catalyst in dry air. The air was then replaced by nitrogen for 10 minutes, and then 10% CO by volume of the total gas was added. This CO treatment lasted 1 hour, after which the catalyst was flushed clean with nitrogen for 1 hour and cooled down to room temperature and stored under dry nitrogen until being used. 65% to 85% of the catalyst weight charged was recovered. The lost weight was water and very fine material.

While the original hexavalent catalysts were usually orange or yellow, this reduced divalent catalyst appeared blue and chemiluminesced brightly when exposed to oxygen.

The activated catalyst was employed in various runs with different amounts of Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg cocatalyst to polymerize ethylene that had been dried over activated alumina. Liquid isobutane that had been degassed by fractionation and dried over alumina was used as the diluent.

The reactor was a 15.2 cm diameter pipe loop filled with liquid and having a volume of 23 gallons (87 liters). The reactor pressure was 600 psig (4137 kPa). The reactor temperature was varied over the range of 88°C to 94°C. The reactor was operated to have a residence time

of 1.25 hours. The catalyst was added through a 0.35 cc circulating ball-check feeder. At steady state conditions the isobutane feed rate was 46 L/hr and the ethylene feed rate was 30 lbs/hr (13.6 kg/hr). The ethylene concentration in the diluent was 8 to 12 mole%. Hydrogen was added in concentrations ranging from 0.4 to 1.1 mole % based on the total moles of the diluent. The  $Cp_2Mg$  cocatalyst was added in concentrations ranging from 0.25 to 1.1 parts per million by weight of the diluent. The  $Cp_2Mg$  cocatalyst was added as a hydrocarbon stream into a pre-contacting vessel into which the catalyst was also added continuously. The isobutane flow through the pre-contacting vessel was adjusted so that the contact time between the catalyst and cocatalyst was 20 minutes on average. After that amount of time, the contacted catalyst and cocatalyst are then fed into the reactor. To prevent static buildup in the reactor, a small amount (<5 ppm of diluent) of STADIS 450 antistatic agent sold by Octel Corp. was usually added. The polymer was removed from the reactor at a rate of 25 lbs/hour (11.3 kg/hour) and recovered in a flash chamber. A Vulcan dryer was used to dry the polymer under nitrogen at 60 to 80°C.

The polymers produced in these runs were blown into 1 mil (0.001 inch)-thick films on a high density processing line. The line used was a 1.5 inch diameter Davis-Standard extruder with L/D of 24:1, having a barrel temperature of from 210°C to 230°C, a screw speed of 30 rpm, and an output of 17 to 18 pounds per hour, feeding a 2 inch diameter Sano die having a 35 mil gap. Films of typically 0.001-0.0005 inch (1 to 0.5 mil) thickness were blown on a 4:1 blow-p ratio and a production rate of 65 ft/min. Frostline heights were usually 14 inches. After cooling, the film passed through an A-frame with a resultant flattened width of 12.5 inches.

Various properties of the films produced using the  $Cp_2Mg$  cocatalyst were tested and compared to the same properties of a 1 mil (0.001 inch) thick film produced from a commercial high density film resin sold by Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC and its licensees as TR-130 resin. The results of these tests are shown in Table 5 below. In particular, the density and melt index of each film resin was determined in the manner described previously. Each film was subjected to the dart impact test in accordance with ASTM D 1709-75. The dart impact test is a standard test method for determining the impact resistance of polyethylene films. It is the energy needed to rupture a one millimeter thick film upon impact of a free falling dart. This method establishes the weight of the dart dropped from a height of 26 inches, which causes 50% of the samples to break. All but one of the  $Cp_2Mg$  produced films had dart impacts higher than or comparable to the TR-130 produced film. Another measure

of film toughness is the Spencer Impact resistance (also known as the pendulum impact strength). The Spencer Impact resistance of each film was also determined in accordance with ASTM D 3420. These values of the  $Cp_2Mg$  produced films were higher than or similar to that of the TR-130 produced film.

5 Each film was further subjected to a tear resistance test in accordance with ASTM D 1922. This test is a standard test method for determining the propagation tear resistance of a polymer film and is a modification of the Elmendorf tear test used for paper. The method determines the average energy in grams required to propagate a tear through 2.5 inches of film in the machine extrusion direction (MD) or transverse direction (TD) as indicated. The  
10 MD and TD tear resistances of the  $Cp_2Mg$  produced films were substantially higher than those of the TR-130 produced film.

Table 5 also shows the motor load in amperes and the die pressure in psig generated while processing the film. They indicate the amount of resistance the molten polymer offered against the screw. One can see that the polymers produced with a metal Cp cocatalyst in  
15 general processed with greater ease than the control polymer, even though many of them had higher melt viscosity values (lower melt index values). The ease of processing can determine the rate at which film can be processed and thus the capacity of a film line.

#### EXAMPLE 9

As shown in Table 6, Cr/silica-titania catalyst grades 963 and 964 from W.R. Grace  
20 were used to produce polymer resins in a pilot plant reactor as described above in Example 8. The catalysts were activated at 600°C and 650°C, followed in many cases by reduction in CO at 370°C. The reactor temperature was 82 to 91 °C, the ethylene concentration was 10 to 14 % by moles of the diluent, and the hydrogen concentration was 0.3 to 0.4 % by moles of the diluent. As indicated in Table 6, a  $Cp_2Mg$  cocatalyst was used in most of the runs in concentrations  
25 ranging from 0.25 to 1 ppm based on the weight of the diluent. However, one run was performed with no cocatalyst and another run was performed with TEB as the cocatalyst.

The polymer resins produced in these runs were extruded into pipes. The pipe extrusion was performed by melting and conveying polyethylene pellets into an annular shape and solidifying that shape during a cooling process. All pipe products tested in this study were  
30 made using a 2 inch Davis-Standard Single Screw Extruder (smoothbore) and a 220°C set

temperature on the extruder and die. The samples were extruded at 150 lb/hr using a Barrier screw. The melt temperatures ranged from 232 to 238 °C. A two inch die was used. To cool the pipe and "freeze in" the desired dimensions, cooling was accomplished by the use of several water tanks where the pipe was sprayed with water on the pipe exterior. Thus, the pipe was cooled from the outside surface to the inside surface. Per D2513 "Standard Specification for Thermoplastic Gas Pressure Pipe, Tubing, and Fittings", the maximum wall thickness eccentricity is 12% and the maximum ovality is 5%. The resins produced using metal Cp cocatalysts fell within those values.

A TR-480 pipe resin sold by Chevron Phillips Chemical Company and made from a chromium-based catalyst was tested as the control. Also, an H516 polyethylene resin sold by Chevron Phillips Chemical Company was tested as a control. It was made using a Ziegler-Natta catalyst in a bimodal process. A third control resin was produced using the same catalyst but a different cocatalyst, i.e., triethylboron, was used.

Various properties of the pipes were tested, and the results of these tests are shown in Table 6. The HLMI, density,  $M_w$ ,  $M_n$ , and PDI of each resin, and the Charpy critical temperature, Charpy energy, and PENT of each pipe were tested using the methods described previously. Normally PENT increases as the density of the resin decreases. However, one can see in the table that some of the polymers described herein, despite having higher densities, also have higher PENT values than the control resins that are even equivalent to the bimodal grade H516 resin, which is more difficult to make and to process into pipe. The Charpy critical temperatures were also much lower for the polymers described herein, which indicate high resistance to rapid crack propagation. The total energy adsorbed (at 25°C Charpy Impact) was also very high for all of the polymers described herein relative to the control resins.

Standard PE-100 screening hoop stress tests were also run on these polymers. In this test, a two foot length of pipe was pressured to the indicated pressure and then immersed in a water bath set at the indicated temperature. The duration of time that each pipe lasted (the average of three) was then recorded.

#### EXAMPLE 10

A grade 964 Cr/silica-titania catalyst from W.R. Grace was used to produce polymer resins in a pilot plant reactor as described above in Example 8. The catalyst was activated at

650°C and reduced in the presence of CO at a temperature of 370°C. The catalyst was employed with different amounts of the Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg cocatalyst in various runs to polymerize ethylene at 94 to 102°C in the presence of 0.3 to 0.4 mol% H<sub>2</sub> based on the isobutane diluent. The ethylene content in the reactor was 10 mol% ethylene based on the isobutane diluent. The resulting polymers and their properties are shown in Table 7.

The polymers produced are useful for blow molding applications. Blow molding evaluations were conducted by blowing a one gallon (105.1 grams) bottle on a UNILOY 2016 single head blow molding machine (sold by Uniloy Milacron Inc.) using a 2.5 inch diameter die, 20 degree diverging die, 32% accumulator position, 8.5 second blow time, 0.10 second blow delay, 0.75 second pre-blow delay and a 45°F mold temperature. A reciprocating screw speed of 45 rpm was used, providing parison extrusion at shear rates greater than 10,000/sec through the die.

The polymers' ease of processing during blow molding was determined using known measurements. The first measure, listed as "Output" in Table 7, was calculated from the cycle time of the machine and the weight of the bottle and flashing. This measure describes the rate of bottle output in lbs of polymer per hour at which the resin in question was blow molded into bottles during normal operation, and it would describe the commercial rate of bottle production. The second measure was the cycle time, i.e., the time needed to make the bottle and was measured in seconds. Another measure of processing ease is the head pressure, which measures the maximum pressure at the die plate during the extrusion of the bottle. In other words, it is the pressure at the die plate as the bottles are being blown.

The previously discussed weight swell values for the polymers during blow molding were also determined as shown in Table 7. Another measurement of the swell is the die swell or the diameter swell, which is the ratio of the parison diameter to the die diameter. These numbers were referenced to a standard commercial blow molding polyethylene resin known as MARLEX® 5502BN resin, which was obtained from Chevron Phillips Chemical Company.

The onset of melt fracture of each resin was evaluated on the same UNILOY machine by opening the die gap and extruding the resin. The shear rate was increased steadily by increasing the screw rpm. The onset was the rpm at which the parison showed visible signs of melt fracture, such as a shark skin appearance or a distorted surface. This rate was then

translated into the shear rate listed in Table 7. A high value indicated that the polymer could be processed at high rates without melt fracture.

Environmental stress crack resistance was also tested using ten 1-gallon bottles made as described above on a UNILOY 2016 machine. The bottles were filled with a 10% Orvus-K detergent solution, capped, and placed in a 140°F hot room. Bottle failures were noted each day until all had broken, and a 50% mean failure time was calculated for each set.

The bottle toughness of the ten 1-gallon bottles was measured by the Izod impact test (Izod Impact, notched (kJ/m<sup>2</sup>): ASTM D256(a)-84). A higher number indicated greater toughness. Drop impact tests were also performed to measure bottle toughness by filling the 1-gallon bottles completely full of water and then sealing the bottles by means of a screw cap. These liquid filled bottles were then dropped from a vertical position onto a flat surface from progressively higher levels up to 12 feet high or until the bottle ruptured upon impact. A new bottle was used for each drop.

Based on the results shown in Table 7, various properties of the bottles produced using the Cp<sub>2</sub>Mg cocatalyst (the polymers disclosed herein) were found to be superior when compared to the same properties of bottles produced from the standard MARLEX<sup>®</sup> HHM 5502BN polyethylene resin sold by Chevron Phillips Chemical Company. This resin, which has been sold for 35 years, has become a standard of the industry because of its excellent processing characteristics. However, one can see in Table 7 from the cycle times, output rates, melt fracture shear rates, and head pressure that the polymers disclosed herein processed better. One can also see that the polymers disclosed herein had superior ESCR and impact properties. Their ESCR values were more than ten times greater than those values for the MARLEX<sup>®</sup> HHM 5502BN resin. The polymers disclosed herein further exhibited a lower swell.

A commercially available resin known as ALATHON<sup>®</sup> L54400S bimodal resin, which is sold by Equistar Chemicals, LP, was also compared. This bimodal resin is known for its high ESCR. The results in Table 7 below indicate that the polymers produced using the metal Cp cocatalyst also exhibited high ESCR values. In fact, both the ASTM ESCR values and the bottle ESCR of these polymers were quite surprising. The Izod impact and bottle drop properties of the polymers produced using the metal Cp cocatalyst were also very good compared to the control resins.

Table 5

Resin	1	2	3	4	5	6
MgCp <sub>2</sub> Added (ppm)	0.25	0.25	0.5	1.1	1.1	Commercial TR130
Density (g/cc)	0.937	0.936	0.937	0.938	0.934	0.937
MI (g/10 min)	0.31	0.33	0.28	0.29	0.16	0.28
M <sub>n</sub> (kg/mol)	13.97	10.05	15.67	7.1	10.14	13.8
M <sub>w</sub> (kg/mol)	197.49	226.16	114.23	168.41	283.86	227.00
M <sub>w</sub> /M <sub>n</sub>	14.14	22.50	7.29	23.72	28.0	16.5
Eta(0) (Pa·s)	3.08E+05	5.13E+05	8.65E+05	1.36E+06	2.95E+06	4.56E + 05
Tau (s)	6.75E-01	1.34E+00	2.40E+00	8.07E+00	1.45E+01	1.34
CY-"a" parameter	1.75E-01	0.1606	1.55E-01	1.57E-01	0.1473	0.1729
Dart Impact (g)	83	80	90	50	113	81
Spencer Impact (J)	0.45	0.63	0.48	0.51	0.91	0.45
MD Tear Resistance (g)	63	100	59	89	70	52
TD Tear Resistance (g)	704	712	843	781	918	672
Pressure (psig)	1600	1150	1150	1100	1250	1200
Motor Load (amp)	5.7	5.1	5.1	4.1	5.6	5.9

Table 6

Sample	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Catalyst	964, 650°C CO Reduced	963, 650°C CO Reduced	964, 650°C CO Reduced	964, 650°C CO Reduced	964, 650°C CO Reduced	963, 600°C	963, 600°C	H516
Cp <sub>2</sub> Mg added	0.25 ppm	1 ppm	0.5 ppm	1 ppm	1 ppm	none	5 ppm TEB	Zn Bimodal
HLMI (g/10 min.)	6.7	5.4	6.9	10	11	10	8.5	8
Density (g/cc)	0.9503	0.9502	0.9497	0.9490	0.9539	0.945	0.9503	0.95
M <sub>w</sub> (kg/mol)	377.05	511.37	417.88	466.25	472.18	243.3	319.6	256.5
M <sub>n</sub> (kg/mol)	14.06	10.14	11.42	8.57	7.96	22.5	8.72	20.1
PDI	27	50	37	54	59	16.8	36.7	12.8
Eta(0) (Pa·s)	4.08x10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.62x10 <sup>-7</sup>	5.78x10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.50x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.49x10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.54x10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.6x10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.74x10 <sup>-5</sup>
Tau (s)	26.8	48	53	269	537	5.2	55	1.9
CY-"a" parameter	0.1840	0.1965	0.1918	0.1889	0.1815	0.1629	0.205	0.3093
Charpy								
Critical Temp. °C	-24.2	-24.3	-25.3	-3.0	-2.4	-3.4	-4.4	-22.0
Total Energy at 23°C (J/m)	128	144	144	98	86	36	69	129
PENT (h)	27 (D)	>750	84 (D)	>750	>750	13	456	>750
Hoop Stress								
20°C, 12.4 (Mpa)		30, 44, 67 (D)	35, 66, 92 (D)	63, 116, 157 (D)		1000	36	65
80°C, 5.5 (Mpa)		25, 137, 163 (D)	130, 183, 197 (B)	2, 3, 8 (B)		200	22	932

Table 7

Resin ID	MARLEX HEM 5502BN	ALATHON L54400S	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Sample											
Cp/Mg					1.1	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
MI	(g/10 min)		0.39	0.34	0.15	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.31	0.31	0.31
HIMI	(g/10 min)		34.98	33.08	22.56	18.81	21.10	16.63	21.44	21.00	19.54
HIM/MI			89.7	97.3	150.4	99.0	100.5	83.2	69.2	67.7	63.0
Density	(g/cc)		0.9537	0.9545	0.9530	0.9499	0.9536	0.9535	0.9548	0.9540	0.9541
M <sub>w</sub>	(kg/mol)		168.52	191.55	413.7	329.0	340.6	337.7	253.0	230.4	258.2
M <sub>n</sub>	(kg/mol)		2143	1869	8788	6748	6617	7112	4233	2937	3783
PDI			8.042	10.475	37.148	24.745	25.730	21.119	14.939	13.993	15.341
E <sub>n</sub>	(Pa-s)		621600	1486000	14280000	1566000	1365000	717700	289400	325600	419000
T <sub>g</sub>	(°)		1.348	2.323	15.11	5.948	5.338	2.119	0.633	0.6893	0.9632
CY-"n" parameter	0.1432		0.1254	0.1348	0.1525	0.1539	0.1667	0.1754	0.1714	0.1689	0.1432
Notched Izod	(ft-lb/in)		2.295P	1.572P	1.609P	2.133P	2.237P	2.848P	2.775P	2.719P	2.524P
Tensile Impact	(ft-lb/in <sup>2</sup> )		53.4	71.9	62.0	75.3	69.0	97.0	78.6	75.9	72.4
ESCR A, F50	(hr)		34	142	>1000	>1000	>1000	506	183	269	259
ESCR B, F50	(hr)		31	124	>1000	>1000	>1000	722	154	244	310
Bottle ESCR, 10% Joy F50	(hr)		213	165	>1175	>1175	>1175	832	647	991	439
Bottle Drop Impact	(ft)		>12	10.5	10.7	11.5	>12	>12	>12	>12	>12
Part Weight	(g)		168	161	179	157	153	160	164	157	158
Cycle Time	(s)		11.7	11.6	15.5	11.4	11.8	11.4	11.4	11.4	11.5
Die Gap	(in)		0.0159	0.0200	0.0120	0.0154	0.0151	0.0174	0.0166	0.0161	0.0166
Weight Swell	(%)		450	320	676	433	429	378	414	408	396
Diameter Swell	(%)		33.6	32.4	39.0	36.0	41.6	47.7	48.5	47.7	46.7
Melt Temperature	(°F)		381	381	381	381	381	381	382	381	381
Head Pressure	(psi)		5160	4650	5360	5730	5700	5570	5370	5440	5370
Output	(lb/hr)		113.9	110.1	91.6	109.2	102.8	111.3	114.1	109.2	108.9
Shear Rate	(1/s)		26274	15770	29298	23890	25863	20185	22308	23582	22731

2012201734 26 Apr 2013

While preferred embodiments of the invention have been shown and described, modifications thereof can be made by one skilled in the art without departing from the spirit and teachings of the invention. The embodiments described herein are exemplary only, and are not intended to be limiting. Many variations and modifications of the invention disclosed herein are possible and are within the scope of the invention. Use of the term "optionally" with respect to any element of a claim is intended to mean that the subject element is required, or alternatively, is not required. Both alternatives are intended to be within the scope of the claim.

Accordingly, the scope of protection is not limited by the description set out above but is only limited by the scope of the claims that follow, including all equivalents of the subject matter of the claims. Each and every claim is incorporated into the specification as an embodiment of the present invention. Thus, the claims are a further description and are an addition to the preferred embodiments of the present invention. The discussion of a reference herein is not an admission that it is prior art to the present invention, especially any reference that can have a publication date after the priority date of this application. The disclosures of all patents, patent applications, and publications cited herein are hereby incorporated by reference, to the extent that they provide exemplary, procedural, or other details supplementary to those set forth herein.

Throughout this specification and the claims which follow, unless the context requires otherwise, the word "comprise", and variations such as "comprises" and "comprising", will be understood to imply the inclusion of a stated integer or step or group of integers or steps but not the exclusion of any other integer or step or group of integers or steps.

The reference in this specification to any prior publication (or information derived from it), or to any matter which is known, is not, and should not be taken as an acknowledgment or admission or any form of suggestion that prior publication (or information derived from it) or known matter forms part of the common general knowledge in the field of endeavour to which this specification relates.

2012201734 26 Apr 2013

## THE CLAIMS DEFINING THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. A polymer composition having a weight average molecular weight ( $M_w$ ) greater than about 100,000 g/mol, a high load melt index (HLMI) in a range of from about 4 g/10 min to about 15 g/10 min, a Charpy impact energy greater than about 100 J/m and a zero shear viscosity ( $E_o$ ) less than about  $10^7$  Pa·s.
2. The polymer composition of claim 1 having a melt index (MI) in a range of from about 0.01 g/10 min to about 10 g/10 min.
3. The polymer composition of claim 1, wherein the  $M_w$  is greater than about 250,000 g/mol.
4. The polymer composition of claim 1, wherein the  $M_w$  is greater than about 400,000 g/mol.
5. The polymer composition of claim 1, wherein the  $M_w$  is greater than about 500,000 g/mol.
6. The polymer composition of claim 1, wherein the  $M_w$  is greater than about 600,000 g/mol.
7. The polymer composition of claim 1, wherein the  $E_o$  is greater than about  $5 \times 10^5$  Pa·s.
8. The polymer composition of claim 1 having a rheological breadth parameter greater than about 0.15 and a PDI greater than about 20.
9. The polymer composition of claim 8, wherein the polydispersity index (PDI) is greater than about 30.

2012201734 26 Apr 2013

10. The polymer composition of claim 8, wherein the PDI is greater than about 40.
11. The polymer composition of claim 8, wherein the PDI is greater than about 50.
12. The polymer composition of claim 8, wherein the PDI is greater than about 70.
13. The polymer composition of claim 8, wherein the PDI is greater than about 90.
14. The polymer composition of claim 1, wherein the polymer has a Charpy critical temperature of less than about -15 °C.
15. The polymer composition of claim 1, substantially as hereinbefore described.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3