



- (51) International Patent Classification:
A61B 5/11 (2006.01) *A61B 5/00* (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/SG2014/000248
- (22) International Filing Date:
30 May 2014 (30.05.2014)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (71) Applicant: **NITTO DENKO CORPORATION** [JP/JP];
1-1-2 Shimohozumi Ibaraki, Osaka, 567-8680 (JP).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (71) Applicants (*for US only*): **THEIN, Khine Cho Cho** [MM/SG]; c/o Nitto Denko Asia Technical Centre Ptd Ltd, 3 Biopolis Way, Synapse Building, Unit 03-17/18, Singapore 138623 (SG). **KASAMSOOK, Kittipong** [TH/SG]; c/o Nitto Denko Asia Technical Centre Ptd Ltd, 3 Biopolis Way, Synapse Building, Unit 03-17/18, Singapore 138623 (SG). **AUNG, Aye** [MM/SG]; c/o Nitto Denko Asia Technical Centre Ptd Ltd, 3 Biopolis Way, Synapse Building, Unit 03-17/18, Singapore 138623 (SG).

(74) Agent: **VIERING, JENTSCHURA & PARTNER LLP**;
P.O. Box 1088, Rochor Post Office, Rochor Road, Singapore 911833 (SG).


(81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: DEVICE AND METHOD FOR CLASSIFYING THE ACTIVITY AND/OR COUNTING STEPS OF A USER

FIG. 17



(57) Abstract: Device and method for classifying the activity and/or counting steps of a user. A method for classifying the activity of a user can comprise measuring accelerometer data for a plurality of axes; identifying a most active one of the plurality of axes based on the accelerometer data; and classifying the activity of the user based on a signal amplitude of the accelerometer data for the most active axis and one or more threshold values.



TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). **Published:**

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

DEVICE AND METHOD FOR CLASSIFYING THE ACTIVITY AND/OR COUNTING
STEPS OF A USER

FIELD OF INVENTION

The present invention relates broadly to device and method for classifying the activity and/or
5 counting steps of a user.

BACKGROUND

In recent years, people are becoming more health conscious and have taken up running and
walking as a convenient and effective way to exercise. There is also an increased interest in
10 self-tracking of daily activities through wearable sensors. Hence there is need for a step
counting device that can accurately record a wearer's gait status as well as the number of
steps taken.

Conventional step counting devices that use accelerometer(s) typically require the user to first
position the device in a limited set of orientations. For example, the user has to secure the
15 device on their body such that a dedicated axis (i.e. a direction of the device) is substantially
and continuously aligned with the direction of the gravitational force throughout the
measurement period.

Existing devices are typically unable to detect changes or differentiate signals that correspond
to different gaits of the user, resulting in an incorrect count of the steps taken. Motion noises
20 experienced by the devices will also cause false steps to be measured and actual steps to be
missed in existing step counting devices.

Also, as the forward and backward swing of a body part to which the device is attached is not
same for every step, existing devices are typically unable to correctly identify peaks as a step
count due to the inconsistencies in the signal peaks and troughs.

25 Embodiments of the present invention provide a system and method for activity monitoring
that seek to address at least one of the above problems.

SUMMARY

In accordance with a first aspect of the present invention there is provided a device for classifying the activity of a user wearing the device, the device comprising an accelerometer for measuring accelerometer data for a plurality of axes; and a processor configured to:

- 5 identify a most active one of the plurality of axes based on the accelerometer data; and
- classify the activity of the user based on a signal amplitude of the accelerometer data for the most active axis and one or more threshold values.

In accordance with a second aspect of the present invention there is provided a device for counting the number of steps taken by a user wearing the device, the device comprising an
10 accelerometer for measuring accelerometer data for at least one axis; and a processor configured to:

- apply a derivative operator to the accelerometer data in successive processing windows;
- count peaks in the derivative of the accelerometer data in each processing window;
- 15 and
- eliminate over counted peaks based on time difference between a first peak in a current window and a last peak in a preceding processing window.

In accordance with a third aspect of the present invention there is provided a method for classifying the activity of a user, the method comprising measuring accelerometer data for a
20 plurality of axes; identifying a most active one of the plurality of axes based on the accelerometer data; and classifying the activity of the user based on a signal amplitude of the accelerometer data for the most active axis and one or more threshold values.

In accordance with a fourth aspect of the present invention there is provided a method for counting the number of steps taken by a user, the method comprising measuring
25 accelerometer data for at least one axis; applying a derivative operator to the accelerometer data in successive processing windows; counting peaks in the derivative of the accelerometer data in each processing window; and eliminating over counted peaks based on time difference between a first peak in a current window and a last peak in a preceding processing window.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Embodiments of the invention will be better understood and readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art from the following written description, by way of example only, and
5 in conjunction with the drawings, in which:

Fig. 1 – Shows the process of activity classification according to an example embodiment.

Fig. 2 – Shows a spike in the raw accelerometer data.

Fig. 3 – Shows the accelerometer data of Fig. 2 with the attenuated spike after applying a smoothing process according to an example embodiment.

10 Fig. 4 – Shows example signal amplitudes and determined characteristics of an accelerometer signal according to an example embodiment.

Fig. 5 – Shows the process of classifying activity using threshold levels according to an example embodiment.

15 Fig. 6 – Shows the signal amplitude measured during different activities according to an example embodiment.

Fig. 7 – Shows the process of peak detection and step count according to an example embodiment.

Fig. 8 – Shows the signal waveform of a wrist-worn device before and after applying the Pan Tompkins derivation operator according to an example embodiment.

20 Fig. 9 – Shows the adaptive threshold value (Δ_w) used for processing of subsequent window(s) according to an example embodiment.

Fig. 10 – Shows peak to peak distance between the peaks for two consecutive windows according to an example embodiment.

25 Fig. 11 – Shows the process flow of activity classification and step count are being performed according to an example embodiment.

Fig. 12 – Shows schematic drawing of an assembly comprising a wearable device and a communication device, according to an example embodiment.

Fig. 13 – Shows a block diagram of an assembly comprising a wearable device and a communication device, according to an example embodiment.

5 Fig. 14 – Shows a block diagram of a device for classifying the activity of a user according to an example embodiment.

Fig. 15 – Shows a block diagram of a device for counting steps of a user according to an example embodiment.

10 Fig. 16 – Shows a flow chart illustrating a method for classifying the activity of a user according to an example embodiment.

Fig. 17 – Shows a flow chart illustrating a method for counting steps of a user according to an example embodiment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

15 Embodiments of the present invention relate to a wearable device and method for classifying the activity of the user and a wearable device and method of counting the number of steps taken by the user. In one non-limiting example embodiment, the device is worn on the wrist of the user and is configured to obtain the user's acceleration information for processing to classify the activity of the user and/or to count the number of steps taken by the user.

20 The present specification also discloses an apparatus, which may be internal and/or external to the wearable device in example embodiments, for performing the operations of the methods. Such apparatus may be specially constructed for the required purposes, or may comprise a general purpose computer or other device selectively activated or reconfigured by a computer program stored in the computer. The algorithms and displays presented herein are not inherently related to any particular computer or other apparatus. Various general purpose
25 machines may be used with programs in accordance with the teachings herein. Alternatively, the construction of more specialized apparatus to perform the required method steps may be appropriate. The structure of a conventional general purpose computer will appear from the description below. In addition, the present specification also implicitly discloses a computer program, in that it would be apparent to the person skilled in the art that the individual steps

of the method described herein may be put into effect by computer code. The computer program is not intended to be limited to any particular programming language and implementation thereof. It will be appreciated that a variety of programming languages and coding thereof may be used to implement the teachings of the disclosure contained herein.

5 Moreover, the computer program is not intended to be limited to any particular control flow. There are many other variants of the computer program, which can use different control flows without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention.

Furthermore, one or more of the steps of the computer program may be performed in parallel rather than sequentially. Such a computer program may be stored on any computer readable
10 medium. The computer readable medium may include storage devices such as magnetic or optical disks, memory chips, or other storage devices suitable for interfacing with a general purpose computer. The computer readable medium may also include a hard-wired medium such as exemplified in the Internet system, or wireless medium such as exemplified in the
15 GSM mobile telephone system. The computer program when loaded and executed on such a general-purpose computer effectively results in an apparatus that implements the steps of the preferred method.

The invention may also be implemented as hardware modules. More particular, in the hardware sense, a module is a functional hardware unit designed for use with other components or modules. For example, a module may be implemented using discrete
20 electronic components, or it can form a portion of an entire electronic circuit such as an Application Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC). Numerous other possibilities exist. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the system can also be implemented as a combination of hardware and software modules.

Fig. 1 shows a flowchart 100 illustrating a method for classifying the activity of a user
25 according to an example embodiment, the method comprising:

At step 102, obtaining the acceleration signals of a user through the wearable device,

at step 104, splitting the acceleration signals data into a plurality of processing windows;

and in each processing window,

at step 106, smoothing the data with a moving average function,

at step 108, the accelerometer data is converted into g value data,

at step 110, identifying the most active axis of the acceleration signals,

at step 112, classifying the activity of the user based on the acceleration signals from the most active axis,

- 5 Details of example features that may be used separately and/or in combination with one or more of the other example features will be described next.

Processing windows

The size of a window is herein described as the time duration of the window, by way of example only. It was found that accuracy suitable for classifying activities and/or counting of
10 steps can for example, but without limitation, be achieved by utilizing window sizes between about >0 to 5 seconds depending on specific accuracy requirement. Generally, a longer window size can lower the chance of an over-counted peak in a window transition area and may thus increase the accuracy. A shorter window may better capture the transition between different activities, which can happen within a very short period of time.

15 Smoothing of accelerometer data

Raw accelerometer data are typically noisy. A stray spike 200 in example accelerometer data 202 is shown in Fig. 2. The stray spike 200 may result in the wrong axis being identified as the most active axis for the subsequent processing. In example embodiments, smoothing is advantageously applied to the raw accelerometer data 202. Preferably, smoothing is achieved
20 by applying a moving average operation to the raw accelerometer data 200.

Fig. 3 shows the accelerometer data 202* after smoothing according to one example embodiment, with an attenuated spike 200* that preferably does not affect the identification of the most active axis for the subsequent processing.

Most active axis

25 The most active axis in example embodiments is identified as the accelerometer axis with the greatest amplitude signal, representative of the contributory effect of the gravitational force and the impact force of the wearer's foot on the ground. In other word, the most active axis in

example embodiments the accelerometer axis that is closest to the vertical direction, i.e. substantially perpendicular to the earth.

Advantageously, the most active axis can be easily identified over the other axes regardless of the position or orientation in which the device is being used or worn by the user.

5 The most active axis in a preferred embodiment is selected by comparing the smoothed x, y and z-axis (i.e. the three accelerometer axes irrespective of actual orientation relative to the ground) data in each window, referred to herein as the moving average. The absolute value of each axis from each window is determined, referred to herein as the absolute moving average. The mean value of each axis based on the absolute value is then determined, referred to herein
10 as the mean absolute moving average. From a comparison of the three mean values, the axis with the largest mean value is identified as the most active axis for each window, in a preferred embodiment.

Activity Classification

The user's activity is classified into light activity, moderate activity or heavy activity state
15 using the moving average data from the most active axis in example embodiments.

In one embodiment, the signal amplitude of the most active axis is calculated by subtracting the mean 400 or minimum (min) g-value 402 from the maximum (max) g-value 404 of most active axis data 406 in, for example, a window 408 with a size between about >0 to 5s, as shown in Fig. 4.

20 Fig. 5 shows a flowchart 500 illustrating the process of classifying activity using threshold levels, according to an example embodiment. In the example case that the device is wrist-worn by the user, experimental results (see Fig. 6) has shown that, for example, the threshold levels of 0.1g and 1g can be used for activity classification.

At step 502, the signal amplitude (SA) of the most active axis is determined. In step 504, if
25 SA is smaller than a threshold 1, for example $SA < 0.1g$, classification into the light activity state is performed, see step 506. In step 508, if SA is smaller than a threshold 2, for example $SA < 1g$, classification into the moderate activity state is performed, see step 510. In other words, in one example for $0.1g \leq SA < 1g$, the classification is into the moderate activity state. If $SA \geq$ the threshold 2, for example $SA \geq 1g$, classification into the heavy activity state is
30 performed, see step 512.

Examples for the classifications include:

Light activity – Stationary motions such as standing still, waiting for traffic lights to turn green or typing (e.g. on desk),

Moderate activity – Slow walking, brisk walk

5 Heavy activity – Jogging, running

Most other activities e.g. climbing stairs, cycling, swimming, playing football, which involve the movement of the body can also be classifiable in example embodiment, e.g. based on:

Further splitting into multiple SA thresholds (on top of the thresholds 1 & 2 in the example above) that corresponds to each of the different activities and/or

10 Further processing of the above 3 generic classifications can be performed in example embodiments by:

Filtering and identification using frequency classifications and/or signal matching techniques.

15 With reference to Fig. 6, plots 600 and 602 show the SA for the most active axis calculated based on the maximum value minus the minimum value in the processing window, and calculated based on the maximum value minus the mean value in the processing window, respectively. As can be seen from Fig. 6, basing the SA on the maximum value minus the mean value in the processing window can be preferred for classification based on thresholds, as it results in a smoother plot with reduced absolute values and narrower bands of values in
20 the respective activity regions,

Fig. 7 shows a flow chart 700 illustrating a process for counting steps according to an example embodiment. At step 702, the accelerometer data is captured. At step 704, the data is split into process windows. At step 706, low pass filtering is applied to the data from the most active axis based on classified activity. At step 708, a derivative of the filtered data using Pan
25 Tompkins derivative operator is computed. At step 710, the output of the derivative signal is normalized. At step 712, peak detection is performed for step counting. At step 714, an adaptive threshold value is determined based on the current process window and updated to be used for the next process window. At step 716, over counted peaks are eliminated.

Details of example features that may be used separately and/or in combination with one or more of the other example features will be described next.

Low pass filter based on activity type (step 706, Fig. 7)

The cut-off frequency of the low pass filter is preferably adjusted based on the activity type to
 5 remove the correct frequency range of motion noise. Choosing a correct cut-off frequency can advantageously improve the signal geometry for avoiding false peaks or undetected peaks during the subsequent peak detection processing.

In example embodiments, the activity type may be input by the user, or may be identified using the activity classification method and device described above, or by any other method
 10 or device.

Pan Tompkins derivative operator (step 708, Fig. 7)

$$\text{Equation (1). } y(n) = (1/8) [2x(n) + x(n-1) - x(n-3) - 2x(n-4)]$$

where n denotes the data point, and $n > 4$

Pan Tompkins Derivative operator in equation (1) is used in a preferred embodiment to
 15 further improve the accuracy of peak detection by advantageously enlarging the slope information and optimizing the signal condition for peak detection.

During normal walking and running, for example arm swings are usually in sync with the opposite leg. For example, the right arm swings forward when the left feet steps forward and vice versa. Based on this reason, one acceleration peak is detected in e.g. a wrist-worn device
 20 for every step taken by the user.

Acceleration changes can also depend on the change in hand swing and impact force of feet. During normal walking condition, the impact force is typically not strong enough and most of the acceleration changes in e.g. a wrist-worn device are due to the hand swing. As the forward and backward swing of the hand is typically not the same for each step, the inconsistent peaks
 25 and troughs of the signal waveform can make it difficult to implement an accurate peak detection algorithm, and in a preferred embodiment, the Pan Tompkins derivation operator results in a more consistent peaks and troughs pattern. Figs. 8a) and b) show the signal waveform of a wrist-worn device before (curve 800) and after (curve 802) applying Pan Tompkins derivation operator according to an example embodiment, respectively.

Normalization (step 710, Fig. 7)

The normalization process in example embodiments is preferred such that the derivative signals are scaled correctly for the peak detection processing. In one embodiment, the signal is normalized by first subtracting the minimum value of the signal from the signal and then
 5 dividing by the maximum value of the subtracted signal.

Peak detection (step 712, Fig. 7)

A peak will be detected (i.e. a step counted), when the normalized derivative signal exceeds a threshold level, according to example embodiments. As the data is now normalized (for example min value = 0, max value = 1), a threshold value Δ_1 for a first processing window
 10 can e.g. be set to any pre-determined value between >0 and 0.5.

Determining and updating adaptive threshold (step 714, Fig. 7)

As shown in Fig. 9, an adaptive threshold value (Δ_w) will be determined and used for subsequent windows, which can be set at any pre-determined value between about $>0\%$ and 50% of the difference between the last peak and valley values of the current window. In one
 15 example:

$$\text{Equation (2): } \Delta_w = (a-b) * 0.5$$

where Δ_w = adaptive threshold value of a next window w , a = last peak value in a current window ($w-1$), and b = last valley value in the said current window ($w-1$), and $w \geq 2$.

Elimination of over counted peaks (step 716, Fig. 7)

20 It was found by the inventors that the Pan Tompkins derivative operation can have a tendency to generate a false first peak in the subsequent windows which can result in over counting of peaks, i.e. steps.

Fig. 10 illustrates the method of advantageously identifying such false peaks to be removed from the count in a preferred embodiment. The false peaks are identified by checking the
 25 distance d between a first peak 1000 of the current measured window 1002 and the last peak 1004 of the previous window 1006.

A threshold may be set at 4 steps per second in one embodiment. For example, in the data point domain of a sampling rate of 80 Hz, the distance threshold may be set at 20 data points

based on 4 steps per second. If the peak to peak distance d is smaller than 20 data points, the current peak 1200 is identified as false and is removed from the step count.

Fig. 11 shows a flow chart illustrating the process flow 1100 of one embodiment, in which both the activity classification and the activity based step counting techniques are integrated into a single wrist wearable device.

At step 1102, acceleration data of the user is captured by the device through a 3-axis accelerometer. At step 1104, the accelerometer signal is split into processing windows between about >0 to 5 seconds. At step 1106, the signal in each window is smoothed by performing a moving average function. At step 1108, the most active axis is identified by comparing the mean absolute moving average value of each axis. The axis with the largest value will be the most active axis.

At steps 1110 and 1114, the activity in each window is classified by calculating the signal amplitude (max-mean) of the moving average signal of the most active axis. Classification will be based on 0.1g and 1g thresholds in one non-limiting example. Once a low activity state is identified (step 1110), the process will move on to the next window (step 1112), as no steps are required to be counted.

For moderate and heavy activity states (step 1114), the step counting is performed. At step 1116 and 1118, the cut-off frequency of the low pass filter for each window will be updated based on the activity identified, and the low pass filtering applied accordingly.

At step 1120, the Pan Tompkins derivative operator is then applied. At step 1122, the derivative signal is then normalized to adjust the signal amplitude within, for example, 0 to 1 in each window (first subtracting the minimum value of the signal from the signal and then dividing by the maximum value of the subtracted signal in one non-limiting example).

At step 1124, peak detection is then performed where each peak detected contributes to a step count. In the first window after transiting to a moderate or heavy activity state from a light activity state, the threshold will be set between >0 to 0.5 in one non-limiting example. In other words, a first window transiting from a light activity state does not typically require step elimination. For subsequent windows (be it being a moderate or heavy activity state), over counted peak detection and elimination is advantageously performed until a light activity state is detected for the window. In the subsequent windows, an adaptive threshold is used and will

be set between about >0 to 50% of the height difference between the last peak and valley of the previous window, in one non-limiting example, step 1126.

At step 1128, false peaks originating from the Pan Tompkins operation are then removed using e.g. a peak to peak distance (current window first peak vs. previous window last peak) threshold. The threshold in one non-limiting example is based on 4 steps per second. For
5 example, in the data point domain of a sampling rate of 80 Hz, the distance threshold is set at 20 data point. If the peak to peak distance is smaller than 20 data points, the current peak is identified as false and is removed from the step count.

The process for the next window will loop back and follow through steps 1106 to 1128
10 described above.

Fig. 12 shows an assembly 1200 comprising a wearable device in the form of a wrist watch 1201 according to an example embodiment. It will be appreciated that in different embodiments the device may also be in any other form suitable to be worn on any part of the user's body such as his/her arms, waist, hip or foot. The wrist watch 1201 classifies the user's
15 activity and/ or computes the number of steps taken by the user and communicates the result(s) wirelessly to a telecommunication device of the assembly 1200 such as a mobile phone 1202 or other portable electronic devices, or computing devices such as desk top computers, laptop computer, tab computers etc.

Fig. 13 shows a schematic block diagram of an assembly 1300 comprising a wearable device
20 1301 according to an example embodiment, for classifying activity and/or counting steps of the user. The device 1301 includes a signal sensing module 1302, such as an accelerometer or gyroscope, for obtaining the acceleration information of the user.

One non-limiting example of a preferred accelerometer that can be adapted for use in the device is a triple-axis accelerometer MMA8652FC available from Freescale Semiconductor,
25 Inc. This accelerometer can provide the advantage of measuring acceleration in all three directions with a single package. Alternatively, several single-axis accelerometers oriented to provide three-axis sensing can be used in different embodiments.

The device 1301 also includes a data processing and computational module 1304, such as a processor, which is arranged to receive and process the acceleration information from the
30 signal sensing module 1302. The device 1301 also includes a display unit 1306 for displaying

a result to a user of the device 1301. The device 1301 in this embodiment further includes a wireless transmission module 1308 arranged to communicate wirelessly with a telecommunications device 1310 of the assembly 1300. The telecommunication device 1310 includes a wireless receiver module 1312 for receiving signals from the wearable device 1301 and a display unit 1314 for displaying a result to a user of the telecommunication device 1310.

Fig. 14 shows a block diagram of a device 1400 for classifying the activity of a user wearing the device, according to one embodiment. The device 1400 comprises an accelerometer 1402 for measuring accelerometer data for a plurality of axes; and a processor 1404 configured to identify a most active one of the plurality of axes based on the accelerometer data and to classify the activity of the user based on a signal amplitude of the accelerometer data for the most active axis and one or more threshold values.

The processor 1404 may be configured to apply a smoothing to the accelerometer data prior to identifying the most active axis.

The processor 1404 may be configured to identify the most active axis by determining the axis having the largest mean absolute amplitude of the smoothed signal, which may also be referred to as the largest mean absolute moving average signal value.

The processor 1404 may be configured to classify the activity based on a maximum signal amplitude of the accelerometer data for the most active axis.

The processor 1404 may be configured to classify the activity based on the maximum signal amplitude of the accelerometer data minus the mean signal amplitude for the most active axis.

The processor 1404 may be configured to identify the most active axis and to classify the activity of the user based on accelerometer data measured over a predetermined processing window.

The processing window may be in a range from about >0 to 5 seconds.

Fig. 15 shows a block diagram of a device 1500 for counting the number of steps taken by a user wearing the device, according to one embodiment. The device 1500 comprises an accelerometer 1502 for measuring accelerometer data for at least one axis; and a processor 1504 configured to apply a derivative operator to the accelerometer data in successive

processing windows, to count peaks in the derivative of the accelerometer data in each processing window, and to eliminate over counted peaks based on time difference between a first peak in a current window and a last peak in a preceding processing window.

5 The processor 1504 may be configured to count the peaks based on a first threshold value if the current processing window is a first processing window after transiting from a light activity state to a moderate or a heavy activity state.

10 The processor may further be configured to update an adaptive threshold for the next window. The adaptive threshold for the next window may be based on the difference in signal amplitude for a last successive peak and valley pair in the current processing window. The adaptive threshold for the next window may be between about >0 to 50% of the difference in signal amplitude for the last successive peak and valley pair in the current processing window.

15 The processor 1504 may be configured to eliminate peaks from the peak count in the current window if the time difference between the first peak in the current window and the last peak in the preceding processing window is smaller than a second threshold value. The second threshold value may be about $\frac{1}{4}$ seconds. The derivative operator may comprise a Pan Tomkins derivative operator.

The devices 1400, 1500 may be implemented in a wearable device.

20 The devices 1400, 1500 may be implemented in an assembly comprising a wearable device and a communication device.

The devices 1400, 1500 may be implemented in an assembly comprising a wearable device and a wireless communication device.

25 Fig. 16 shows a flow chart 1600 illustrating a method for classifying the activity of a user, according to an example embodiment. At step 1602, accelerometer data is measured for a plurality of axes. At step 1604, a most active one of the plurality of axes is identified based on the accelerometer data. At step 1606, the activity of the user is classified based on a signal amplitude of the accelerometer data for the most active axis and one or more threshold values.

The method may comprise applying a smoothing to the accelerometer data prior to identifying the most active axis.

The method may comprise identifying the most active axis by determining the axis having the largest mean absolute value of the smoothed signal, which may also be referred to as the largest mean absolute moving average signal value. The method may comprise classifying the activity based on a maximum signal amplitude of the accelerometer data for the most active axis.

The method may comprise classifying the activity based on the maximum signal amplitude of the accelerometer data minus the mean signal amplitude for the most active axis.

The method may comprise identifying the most active axis and classifying the activity of the user based on accelerometer data measured over a predetermined processing window.

10 The processing window may be in a range from about >0 to 5 seconds.

Fig. 17 shows a flow chart 1700 illustrating a method for counting the number of steps taken by a user. At step 1702, accelerometer data is measured for at least one axis. At step 1704, a derivative operator is applied to the accelerometer data in successive processing windows. At step 1706, peaks in the derivative of the accelerometer data in each processing window are counted. At step 1708, over counted peaks are eliminated based on time difference between a first peak in a current window and a last peak in a preceding processing window.

The method may comprise counting the peaks based on a first threshold if the current processing window is a first processing window after transiting from a light activity state to a moderate or a heavy activity state.

20 The method may further comprise updating an adaptive threshold for the next window. The adaptive threshold for the next window may be based on the difference in signal amplitude for a last successive peak and valley pair in the current processing window. The adaptive threshold for the next window may be between about >0 to 50% of the difference in signal amplitude for the last successive peak and valley pair in the current processing window.

25 The method may comprise eliminating peaks from the peak count in the current processing window if the time difference between the first peak in the current processing window and the last peak in the preceding processing window is smaller than a second threshold value. The second threshold value may be about $\frac{1}{4}$ seconds.

The derivative operator may comprise a Pan Tomkins derivative operator.

Example embodiments of the present invention advantageously do not require the device to be attached at a fixed orientation throughout the monitoring period. This is because the device in example embodiments constantly detects within processing windows for the most active axis (which will be the one closest to the direction of the impact force), to be used for subsequent processes. The most active axis can be identified in example embodiments by looking for the axis having the largest mean absolute moving average acceleration signal within each window.

Embodiments of the present invention can advantageously be able to detect changes and differentiate the signals corresponding to light, moderate or heavy states by splitting the accelerometer data into process windows and observing the signal amplitude (e.g. max-mean or max-min) against threshold levels (e.g. 0.1g and 1g) within each window.

In embodiments of the present invention, motion noise can advantageously be correctly removed using low pass filters with suitable cut-off frequencies depending on the activity.

In embodiments of the present invention, a Pan Tompkins derivative operation together with a peak elimination algorithm based on peak distance and adaptive thresholds based on max-min value of a peak advantageously helps the device and method to accurately identify peaks as step counts.

It will be appreciated by a person skilled in the art that numerous variations and/or modifications may be made to the present invention as shown in the specific embodiments without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention as broadly described. The present embodiments are, therefore, to be considered in all respects to be illustrative and not restrictive. Also, the invention includes any combination of features, in particular any combination of features in the patent claims, even if the feature or combination of features is not explicitly specified in the patent claims or the present embodiments.

For example, while a wrist-worn device is described in some embodiments, the device may be worn on the arms, hip, waist or foot of the user. Also, the device and method may perform only one of the activity classification and the step counting as described above, or both at the same time.

CLAIMS

1. A device for classifying the activity of a user wearing the device, the device comprising:
an accelerometer for measuring accelerometer data for a plurality of axes; and
5 a processor configured to:
identify a most active one of the plurality of axes based on the accelerometer data; and
classify the activity of the user based on a signal amplitude of the accelerometer data for the most active axis and one or more threshold values.
2. The device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the processor is configured to apply a
10 smoothing to the accelerometer data prior to identifying the most active axis.
3. The device as claimed in claim 2, wherein the processor is configured to identify the most active axis by determining the axis having the largest mean absolute amplitude of the smoothed signal.
4. The device as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the processor is
15 configured to classify the activity based on a maximum signal amplitude of the accelerometer data for the most active axis.
5. The device as claimed in claim 4, wherein the processor is configured to classify the activity based on the maximum signal amplitude of the accelerometer data minus the mean signal amplitude for the most active axis.
- 20 6. The device as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the processor is configured to identify the most active axis and to classify the activity of the user based on accelerometer data measured over a predetermined processing window.
7. The device as claimed in claim 6, wherein the processing window is in a range from about >0 to 5 seconds.
- 25 8. A device for counting the number of steps taken by a user wearing the device, the device comprising:
an accelerometer for measuring accelerometer data for at least one axis; and

a processor configured to:

apply a derivative operator to the accelerometer data in successive processing windows;

count peaks in the derivative of the accelerometer data in each processing window;

5 and

eliminate over counted peaks based on time difference between a first peak in a current window and a last peak in a preceding processing window.

9. The device as claimed in claim 8, wherein the processor is configured to count the peaks based on a first threshold value if the current processing window is a first processing
10 window after transiting from a light activity state to a moderate or a heavy activity state.

10. The device as claimed in claims 8 or 9, wherein the processor is further configured to update an adaptive threshold for the next window.

11. The device as claimed in claim 10, wherein the adaptive threshold for the next window is based on the difference in signal amplitude for a last successive peak and valley pair in the
15 current processing window.

12. The device as claimed in claim 11, wherein the adaptive threshold for the next window is between about >0 to 50% of the difference in signal amplitude for the last successive peak and valley pair in the current processing window.

13. The device as claimed in any one of claims 8 to 12, wherein the processor is
20 configured to eliminate peaks from the peak count in the current window if the time difference between the first peak in the current window and the last peak in the preceding processing window is smaller than a second threshold value.

14. The device as claimed in claim 13, wherein the second threshold value is about $\frac{1}{4}$ seconds.

25 15. The device as claimed in any one of claims 8 to 14, wherein the derivative operator comprises a Pan Tomkins derivative operator.

16. The device as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the device is implemented in a wearable device.

17. The device as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the device is implemented in an assembly comprising a wearable device and a communication device.
18. The device as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the device is implemented in an assembly comprising a wearable device and a wireless communication
5 device.
19. A method for classifying the activity of a user, the method comprising:
measuring accelerometer data for a plurality of axes;
identifying a most active one of the plurality of axes based on the accelerometer data; and
classifying the activity of the user based on a signal amplitude of the accelerometer data for
10 the most active axis and one or more threshold values.
20. The method as claimed in claim 19, comprising applying a smoothing to the accelerometer data prior to identifying the most active axis.
21. The method as claimed in claim 20, comprising identifying the most active axis by determining the axis having the largest mean absolute value of the smoothed signal.
- 15 22. The method as claimed in any one of claims 19 to 21, comprising classifying the activity based on a maximum signal amplitude of the accelerometer data for the most active axis.
23. The method as claimed in claim 22, comprising classifying the activity based on the maximum signal amplitude of the accelerometer data minus the mean signal amplitude for the
20 most active axis.
24. The method as claimed in any one of claims 19 to 23, comprising identifying the most active axis and classifying the activity of the user based on accelerometer data measured over a predetermined processing window.
25. The method as claimed in claim 24, wherein the processing window is in a range from
25 about >0 to 5 seconds.
26. A method for counting the number of steps taken by a user, the method comprising:
measuring accelerometer data for at least one axis;

applying a derivative operator to the accelerometer data in successive processing windows;
counting peaks in the derivative of the accelerometer data in each processing window; and
eliminating over counted peaks based on time difference between a first peak in a current
window and a last peak in a preceding processing window.

- 5 27. The method as claimed in claim 26, comprising counting the peaks based on a first
threshold if the current processing window is a first processing window after transiting from a
light activity state to a moderate or a heavy activity state.
28. The method as claimed in claims 26 or 27, further comprising updating an adaptive
threshold for the next window.
- 10 29. The method as claimed in claim 28, wherein the adaptive threshold for the next
window is based on the difference in signal amplitude for a last successive peak and valley
pair in the current processing window.
30. The method as claimed in claim 29, wherein the adaptive threshold for the next
window is between about >0 to 50% of the difference in signal amplitude for the last
15 successive peak and valley pair in the current processing window.
31. The method as claimed in any one of claims 26 to 30, comprising eliminating peaks
from the peak count in the current window if the time difference between the first peak in the
current processing window and the last peak in the preceding processing window is smaller
than a second threshold value.
- 20 32. The method as claimed in claim 31, wherein the second threshold value is about $\frac{1}{4}$
seconds.
33. The method as claimed in any one of claims 26 to 32, wherein the derivative operator
comprises a Pan Tomkins derivative operator.

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7






FIG. 8

Output before filtering



a)

Output after derivative



b)

FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15




FIG. 16





FIG. 17



A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

A61B 5/11 (2006.01) A61B 5/00 (2006.01)

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

WPI, EPODOC, Google Scholar: accelerometer, axis, axes, window, threshold, mean, average, amplitude, activity, derivative, tompkin, and similar terms

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
	Documents are listed in the continuation of Box C	



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C



See patent family annex

* Special categories of cited documents:		
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search
2 September 2014Date of mailing of the international search report
02 September 2014

Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU

AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA
Email address: pct@ipaaustralia.gov.au

Authorised officer

Dr. Steven Weiss
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
(ISO 9001 Quality Certified Service)
Telephone No. 0262832352

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
the subject matter listed in Rule 39 on which, under Article 17(2)(a)(i), an international search is not required to be carried out, including
2. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

See Supplemental Box for Details

1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.
- The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
- No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT		International application No.
C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		PCT/SG2014/000248
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X Y	ZHAO, N., 'Full-Featured Pedometer Design Realized with 3-Axis Digital Accelerometer', Analog Dialogue 44-06, June 2010, pages 1-5 page 1 paragraph 7; page 2 paragraphs 2, 3, 5 and 6; figures 3, 5 and 6 page 1 paragraph 7; page 2 paragraphs 2, 3, 5 and 6; figures 3, 5 and 6	1-4, 6, 7, 19-22, 24 and 25 5 and 23
X Y	US 7653508 B1 (KAHN et al.) 26 January 2010 Abstract, col 2 lines 7-15 & 41-64, col 3 lines 14-16 & 32-36, col 4 lines 41-67, col 5 lines 38-52, col 6 lines 7-25, col 10 lines 15-24, col 12 lines 36-63 Abstract, col 2 lines 7-15 & 41-64, col 3 lines 14-16 & 32-36, col 4 lines 41-67, col 5 lines 38-52, col 6 lines 7-25, col 10 lines 15-24, col 12 lines 36-63	1-4, 6, 7, 19-22, 24 and 25 5 and 23
X Y	US 2009/0319221 A1 (KAHN et al) 24 December 2009 Figure 2, paragraphs [0038], [0103],[0104] and [0132] Figure 2, paragraphs [0038], [0103],[0104] and [0132]	1-4, 6, 7, 19-22, 24 and 25 5 and 23
X	WO 2010/025467 A1 (DP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.) 04 March 2010 Paragraphs [0016], [0018], [0026], [0027] and [0034]	1 and 19
X	WO 2008/133921 A1 (FULLPOWER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.) 06 November 2008 paragraphs [0018], [0050]-[0055]	1 and 19
Y	US 2012/0136573 A1 (JANARDHANAN et al.) 31 May 2012 Paragraphs [0070], [0099], [0101] and [0126]	5 and 23
A	US 6539336 B1 (VOCK et al.) 25 March 2003	1-7 and 19-25
A	US 2014/0129175 A1 (PODURI et al.) 08 May 2014 Paragraphs [0026] - [0028]	8-18 and 26-33
A	WO 2011/061412 A1 (VALTION TEKNILLINEN TUTKIMUSKESKUS) 26 May 2011 Page 22 line 20 - page 23 line 34	8-18 and 26-33
A	WO 2010/073044 A1 (OXFORD BROOKES UNIVERSITY) 01 July 2010 Pages 5-9, 14-18 and 22-23	8-18 and 26-33
A	YING, H. et al., 'Automatic Step Detection in the Accelerometer Signal', 4th International Workshop on Wearable and Implantable Body Sensor Networks (BSN 2007), IFMBE Proceedings 2007, Vol 13, pages 80-85 Entire document	8-18 and 26-33
A	MARSCHOLLEK, M. et al., 'A performance comparison of accelerometry-based step detection algorithms on a large, nonlaboratory sample of healthy and mobility-impaired persons', 30th Annual International IEEE EMBS Conference Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, August 20-24, 2008, pages 1319-1322 Page 1320	8-18 and 26-33
A	KUZNIETSOV, A., 'Inertial Measurement System for Performance Evaluation of Track and Field Sprinters', IEEE International Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference (I2MTC), 2012, pages 1681-1686 entire document	8-18 and 26-33

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

C (Continuation).

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

PCT/SG2014/000248

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	LIBBY, R., 'A simple method for reliable footstep detection on embedded sensor platforms', 2008 [Retrieved on 13 August 2014]. Retrieved from Internet <URL: http://web.archive.org/web/20121118235426/http://ubicomp.cs.washington.edu/uwar/libby_peak_detection.pdf > published on 18 November 2012 as per Wayback Machine Page 4	8-18 and 26-33

Supplemental Box**Continuation of: Box III**

This International Application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

This Authority has found that there are different inventions based on the following features that separate the claims into distinct groups:

- Claims 1-7 and 19-25 are directed to a wearable device comprising an accelerometer and a processor for classifying the activity of a user by identifying a most active axis and a signal amplitude of that axis combined with one or more thresholds. The feature of identification of the user's activity by a most active axis is specific to this group of claims.
- Claims 8-18 and 26-33 are directed to a wearable device comprising an accelerometer and a processor for counting the number of steps taken by a user by applying a derivative operator to the accelerometer data and analysing the data with respect to successive windows. The feature of counting the number of steps taken by a user using a derivative operator and successive windows is specific to this group of claims.

PCT Rule 13.2, first sentence, states that unity of invention is only fulfilled when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, defines a special technical feature as a feature which makes a contribution over the prior art.

When there is no special technical feature common to all the claimed inventions there is no unity of invention.

In the above groups of claims, the identified features may have the potential to make a contribution over the prior art but are not common to all the claimed inventions and therefore cannot provide the required technical relationship. Therefore there is no special technical feature common to all the claimed inventions and the requirements for unity of invention are consequently not satisfied *a priori*.

It is noted that the only feature common to all of the claimed inventions is an accelerometer and a processor. It is considered that this feature is generic in the arts of wearable activity devices including pedometers, mobile phones and cardiac pacemakers.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/SG2014/000248

This Annex lists known patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document/s Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member/s	
Publication Number	Publication Date	Publication Number	Publication Date
US 7653508 B1	26 January 2010	US 7881902 B1	01 Feb 2011
		US 8712723 B1	29 Apr 2014
US 2009/0319221 A1	24 December 2009	EP 2310804 A1	20 Apr 2011
		JP 2011525414 A	22 Sep 2011
		WO 2010008900 A1	21 Jan 2010
WO 2010/025467 A1	04 March 2010	EP 2330975 A1	15 Jun 2011
		US 2010056872 A1	04 Mar 2010
		US 8187182 B2	29 May 2012
		US 2012232432 A1	13 Sep 2012
		US 8568310 B2	29 Oct 2013
		US 2014046223 A1	13 Feb 2014
		US 8784309 B2	22 Jul 2014
WO 2008/133921 A1	06 November 2008	EP 2149068 A1	03 Feb 2010
		US 2009234614 A1	17 Sep 2009
		US 7987070 B2	26 Jul 2011
US 2012/0136573 A1	31 May 2012	US 8694251 B2	08 Apr 2014
		US 2014236479 A1	21 Aug 2014
US 6539336 B1	25 March 2003	AU 6065600 A	31 Jan 2001
		AU 8055298 A	30 Dec 1998
		AU 2001297825 A1	25 Nov 2002
		CA 2291701 A1	03 Dec 1998
		EP 1007975 A2	14 Jun 2000
		US 5636146 A	03 Jun 1997
		US 5960380 A	28 Sep 1999
		US 6266623 B1	24 Jul 2001
		US 6496787 B1	17 Dec 2002
		US 2001037179 A1	01 Nov 2001
		US 6498994 B2	24 Dec 2002
		US 2002059044 A1	16 May 2002
		US 6499000 B2	24 Dec 2002
		US 2001034583 A1	25 Oct 2001
		US 6516284 B2	04 Feb 2003

Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (Family Annex)(July 2009)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/SG2014/000248

This Annex lists known patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document/s Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member/s	
Publication Number	Publication Date	Publication Number	Publication Date
		US 2002077784 A1	20 Jun 2002
		US 6825777 B2	30 Nov 2004
		US 2003014210 A1	16 Jan 2003
		US 6856934 B2	15 Feb 2005
		US 2002116147 A1	22 Aug 2002
		US 6885971 B2	26 Apr 2005
		US 2003093248 A1	15 May 2003
		US 6959259 B2	25 Oct 2005
		US 2003055595 A1	20 Mar 2003
		US 6963818 B2	08 Nov 2005
		US 2005038626 A1	17 Feb 2005
		US 7054784 B2	30 May 2006
		US 2004225467 A1	11 Nov 2004
		US 7072789 B2	04 Jul 2006
		US 2005021292 A1	27 Jan 2005
		US 7092846 B2	15 Aug 2006
		US 2006259268 A1	16 Nov 2006
		US 7158912 B2	02 Jan 2007
		US 2006015287 A1	19 Jan 2006
		US 7162392 B2	09 Jan 2007
		US 2006143645 A1	29 Jun 2006
		US 7171331 B2	30 Jan 2007
		US 2005080566 A1	14 Apr 2005
		US 7174277 B2	06 Feb 2007
		US 2006052983 A1	09 Mar 2006
		US 7353136 B2	01 Apr 2008
		US 2007118328 A1	24 May 2007
		US 7353137 B2	01 Apr 2008
		US 2007061106 A1	15 Mar 2007
		US 7386401 B2	10 Jun 2008
		US 2007061107 A1	15 Mar 2007
		US 7433805 B2	07 Oct 2008
		US 2006235642 A1	19 Oct 2006
		US 7451056 B2	11 Nov 2008
		US 2006265187 A1	23 Nov 2006
		US 7457724 B2	25 Nov 2008

Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/SG2014/000248

This Annex lists known patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document/s Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member/s	
Publication Number	Publication Date	Publication Number	Publication Date
		US 2007208530 A1	06 Sep 2007
		US 7512515 B2	31 Mar 2009
		US 2007111753 A1	17 May 2007
		US 7552031 B2	23 Jun 2009
		US 2007112542 A1	17 May 2007
		US 7620520 B2	17 Nov 2009
		US 2009006029 A1	01 Jan 2009
		US 7623987 B2	24 Nov 2009
		US 2007208542 A1	06 Sep 2007
		US 7627451 B2	01 Dec 2009
		US 2008021676 A1	24 Jan 2008
		US 7640135 B2	29 Dec 2009
		US 2008306707 A1	11 Dec 2008
		US 7693668 B2	06 Apr 2010
		US 7739076 B1	15 Jun 2010
		US 2007067128 A1	22 Mar 2007
		US 7813887 B2	12 Oct 2010
		US 2007110278 A1	17 May 2007
		US 7840378 B2	23 Nov 2010
		US 2008030330 A1	07 Feb 2008
		US 7856339 B2	21 Dec 2010
		US 2010191499 A1	29 Jul 2010
		US 7860666 B2	28 Dec 2010
		US 2006031039 A1	09 Feb 2006
		US 7949488 B2	24 May 2011
		US 2009063097 A1	05 Mar 2009
		US 7966154 B2	21 Jun 2011
		US 2010036639 A1	11 Feb 2010
		US 7983876 B2	19 Jul 2011
		US 2011060550 A1	10 Mar 2011
		US 7991565 B2	02 Aug 2011
		US 2009150114 A1	11 Jun 2009
		US 8036851 B2	11 Oct 2011
		US 2011145162 A1	16 Jun 2011
		US 8126675 B2	28 Feb 2012
		US 2011282594 A1	17 Nov 2011

Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/SG2014/000248

This Annex lists known patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document/s Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member/s	
Publication Number	Publication Date	Publication Number	Publication Date
		US 8239146 B2	07 Aug 2012
		US 2011313731 A1	22 Dec 2011
		US 8249831 B2	21 Aug 2012
		US 2010076692 A1	25 Mar 2010
		US 8280681 B2	02 Oct 2012
		US 2003163287 A1	28 Aug 2003
		US 8280682 B2	02 Oct 2012
		US 2012004883 A1	05 Jan 2012
		US 8352211 B2	08 Jan 2013
		US 2009212941 A1	27 Aug 2009
		US 8374825 B2	12 Feb 2013
		US 2012150483 A1	14 Jun 2012
		US 8396687 B2	12 Mar 2013
		US 2012123960 A1	17 May 2012
		US 8428904 B2	23 Apr 2013
		US 2011218758 A1	08 Sep 2011
		US 8463573 B2	11 Jun 2013
		US 2012277892 A1	01 Nov 2012
		US 8600699 B2	03 Dec 2013
		US 2012316798 A1	13 Dec 2012
		US 8620600 B2	31 Dec 2013
		US 2013238276 A1	12 Sep 2013
		US 8660814 B2	25 Feb 2014
		US 2013151699 A1	13 Jun 2013
		US 8688406 B2	01 Apr 2014
		US 2010332188 A1	30 Dec 2010
		US 8731865 B2	20 May 2014
		US 2011022357 A1	27 Jan 2011
		US 8762092 B2	24 Jun 2014
		US 2010225763 A1	09 Sep 2010
		US 2012143514 A1	07 Jun 2012
		US 2012265477 A1	18 Oct 2012
		US 2013253875 A1	26 Sep 2013
		US 2014058546 A1	27 Feb 2014
		US 2014172358 A1	19 Jun 2014
		US 2014202264 A1	24 Jul 2014

Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (Family Annex)(July 2009)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/SG2014/000248

This Annex lists known patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document/s Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member/s	
Publication Number	Publication Date	Publication Number	Publication Date
		US 2014203972 A1	24 Jul 2014
		WO 0101706 A1	04 Jan 2001
		WO 9854581 A2	03 Dec 1998
		WO 02093272 A1	21 Nov 2002
US 2014/0129175 A1	08 May 2014	WO 2014074258 A1	15 May 2014
WO 2011/061412 A1	26 May 2011	AU 2010320813 A1	21 Jun 2012
		CA 2781674 A1	26 May 2011
		EP 2504792 A1	03 Oct 2012
		US 2012239173 A1	20 Sep 2012
WO 2010/073044 A1	01 July 2010	EP 2387357 A1	23 Nov 2011
		GB 2467514 A	04 Aug 2010
		JP 2012513227 A	14 Jun 2012
		US 2011313705 A1	22 Dec 2011

End of Annex