




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> :</b> <b>G01C 5/00, G05D 1/06</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 00/47948</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 17 August 2000 (17.08.00)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US00/02572 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 1 February 2000 (01.02.00)  <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 60/118,221                      1 February 1999 (01.02.99)                      US  <b>(71) Applicant:</b> HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. [US/US]; 101 Columbia Road, P.O. Box 2245, Morristown, NJ 07962-2245 (US).  <b>(72) Inventors:</b> ISHIHARA, Yasuo; 11226-30th Ave SE, Everett, WA 98208 (US). GREMMERT, Scott; 15728 NE 105th Ct., Redmond, WA 98052 (US). JOHNSON, Steven, C.; 4237 245th Ave SE, Issaquah, WA 98029 (US).  <b>(74) Agents:</b> CRISS, Roger, H. et al.; Honeywell International Inc. (Law Dept), 101 Columbia Road, P.O. Box 2245, Morristown, NJ 07962-2245 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the          claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of          amendments.</i>

**(54) Title:** APPARATUS, METHOD, AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR GENERATING TERRAIN CLEARANCE FLOOR ENVELOPES ABOUT A SELECTED RUNWAY

**(57) Abstract**

The present invention provides several apparatus and methods for generating a terrain clearance floor envelope for use in a ground proximity warning system. The present invention includes a processor that defines a terrain clearance floor envelope (10) having at least two boundaries (14, 22). In one embodiment, the processor defines at least one of the boundaries (14, 22) based on a runway (12) position quality factor and an aircraft position quality factor. In another embodiment, the processor define the terrain clearance floor envelope (10) to be asymmetrical, such that respective profiles of the envelope (10) in a radial cross-section are different in at least two radial directions from a center point of the selected runway (12). The processor can define end regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope (10) adjacent to the ends of the selected runway (12) to have a different slope profile than side regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope (10) adjacent to the sides of the selected runway (12).

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

<b>AL</b>	Albania	<b>ES</b>	Spain	<b>LS</b>	Lesotho	<b>SI</b>	Slovenia
<b>AM</b>	Armenia	<b>FI</b>	Finland	<b>LT</b>	Lithuania	<b>SK</b>	Slovakia
<b>AT</b>	Austria	<b>FR</b>	France	<b>LU</b>	Luxembourg	<b>SN</b>	Senegal
<b>AU</b>	Australia	<b>GA</b>	Gabon	<b>LV</b>	Latvia	<b>SZ</b>	Swaziland
<b>AZ</b>	Azerbaijan	<b>GB</b>	United Kingdom	<b>MC</b>	Monaco	<b>TD</b>	Chad
<b>BA</b>	Bosnia and Herzegovina	<b>GE</b>	Georgia	<b>MD</b>	Republic of Moldova	<b>TG</b>	Togo
<b>BB</b>	Barbados	<b>GH</b>	Ghana	<b>MG</b>	Madagascar	<b>TJ</b>	Tajikistan
<b>BE</b>	Belgium	<b>GN</b>	Guinea	<b>MK</b>	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	<b>TM</b>	Turkmenistan
<b>BF</b>	Burkina Faso	<b>GR</b>	Greece	<b>ML</b>	Mali	<b>TR</b>	Turkey
<b>BG</b>	Bulgaria	<b>HU</b>	Hungary	<b>MN</b>	Mongolia	<b>TT</b>	Trinidad and Tobago
<b>BJ</b>	Benin	<b>IE</b>	Ireland	<b>MR</b>	Mauritania	<b>UA</b>	Ukraine
<b>BR</b>	Brazil	<b>IL</b>	Israel	<b>MW</b>	Malawi	<b>UG</b>	Uganda
<b>BY</b>	Belarus	<b>IS</b>	Iceland	<b>MX</b>	Mexico	<b>US</b>	United States of America
<b>CA</b>	Canada	<b>IT</b>	Italy	<b>NE</b>	Niger	<b>UZ</b>	Uzbekistan
<b>CF</b>	Central African Republic	<b>JP</b>	Japan	<b>NL</b>	Netherlands	<b>VN</b>	Viet Nam
<b>CG</b>	Congo	<b>KE</b>	Kenya	<b>NO</b>	Norway	<b>YU</b>	Yugoslavia
<b>CH</b>	Switzerland	<b>KG</b>	Kyrgyzstan	<b>NZ</b>	New Zealand	<b>ZW</b>	Zimbabwe
<b>CI</b>	Côte d'Ivoire	<b>KP</b>	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	<b>PL</b>	Poland		
<b>CM</b>	Cameroon	<b>KR</b>	Republic of Korea	<b>PT</b>	Portugal		
<b>CN</b>	China	<b>KZ</b>	Kazakhstan	<b>RO</b>	Romania		
<b>CU</b>	Cuba	<b>LC</b>	Saint Lucia	<b>RU</b>	Russian Federation		
<b>CZ</b>	Czech Republic	<b>LI</b>	Liechtenstein	<b>SD</b>	Sudan		
<b>DE</b>	Germany	<b>LK</b>	Sri Lanka	<b>SE</b>	Sweden		
<b>DK</b>	Denmark	<b>LR</b>	Liberia	<b>SG</b>	Singapore		
<b>EE</b>	Estonia						

# **APPARATUS, METHOD, AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR GENERATING TERRAIN CLEARANCE FLOOR ENVELOPES ABOUT A SELECTED RUNWAY**

## **RELATED APPLICATIONS**

The present application claims priority from U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 60/118,221 entitled TERRAIN CLEARANCE FLOOR ALERTING ALGORITHM filed February 1, 1999.

## **5 FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates generally to ground proximity warning systems for use in aircraft. More particularly, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention relate to generating a terrain clearance floor envelope about a selected runway for use by a ground proximity warning system to  
10 provide appropriate ground proximity warning alerts.

## **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

An important advancement in aircraft flight safety has been the development of ground proximity warning systems. These warning systems analyze the flight  
15 parameters of the aircraft and the terrain surrounding the aircraft. Based on this analysis, these warning systems provide alerts to the flight crew concerning possible inadvertent collisions with terrain or other obstacles. Further, these ground proximity warning systems ensure that the aircraft maintains a minimum altitude with regard to terrain underlying the aircraft

20 For example, one ground proximity warning system has been developed that generates terrain caution and warning envelopes that extend forward of the aircraft based on the position and flight parameters of the aircraft. Terrain and obstacles that pierce the terrain caution and warning envelopes are displayed to the flight crew as potential ground proximity problems by appropriate alarms or warnings. Further, and  
25 importantly, the ground proximity warning system also generates terrain clearance floor envelopes that provide minimum altitudes that the aircraft should maintain above terrain underlying the aircraft. If the altitude of the aircraft with respect to the underlying terrain, is less than the minimum altitude required by the terrain clearance floor envelope, the ground proximity warning system will provide appropriate alerts.

These ground proximity warning systems are quite useful in providing the flight crew with information concerning potential problems with the navigation of the aircraft. However, the usefulness of these systems must be balanced against problems associated with providing false alerts to the flight crew that may cause the flight crew to ignore alarms from the ground proximity warning system altogether. For example, during the landing operation of the aircraft, the aircraft will follow a flight path that will eventually intersect the earth at the intended runway on which the aircraft is scheduled to land. In the landing operation, ground proximity warning systems, if not adequately controlled, may generate constant alarms. The constant generation of alarms during landing may be a nuisance due to the added stress and confusion the alarms may impose on the flight crew. Additionally, the nuisance alarms may overshadow other critical alarms in the cockpit.

For this reason, at least one ground proximity warning system has been designed to alter the terrain clearance floor envelopes as the aircraft approaches a selected runway. The terrain clearance floor envelope is altered to reflect a landing pattern of the aircraft. As the aircraft descends toward the runway during landing, the ground proximity warning system provides ground proximity alerts based on the modified terrain clearance floor envelope. As such, the ground proximity warning system provides ground proximity warning protection, while at the same time reducing the number of nuisance alarms.

An example of such a ground proximity warning system is described in the following patents: 1) U.S. Patent No. 5,839,080 to Muller et al. entitled "Terrain Awareness System," 2) U.S. Patent No. 4,914,436 to Bateman et al. entitled "Ground Proximity Approach Warning System Without Landing Flap, and 3) U.S. Patent No. 3,922,637 to Bateman, entitled "Aircraft Landing Approach Ground Proximity Warning System." These patents are incorporated herein by reference.

With reference to Figure 1, the ground proximity warning system described in the above references generates a terrain clearance floor envelope **10** about a selected runway **12**. The envelope is typically centered about the selected runway and is typically conical or bowl shaped. The terrain clearance floor envelope has an inner **14** and an outer **22** boundary. As the envelope converges from the outer to the inner boundary, the terrain clearance floor envelope typically decreases in altitude. Further, the terrain clearance floor envelope may include differing slope portions that provide different slopes at different distances from the selected runway. The different sloped

portions and their respective distances from the selected runway are chosen to provide desired ground proximity protection, while at the same time reducing the number of nuisance alerts. Beyond the outer boundary, the ground proximity warning system generates a terrain clearance floor envelope **24** that has a constant minimum altitude  
5 that the aircraft should maintain above underlying terrain.

An important aspect of the terrain clearance floor envelope is the inner boundary that is proximate to the selected runway. The inner boundary **14** defines a boundary at which the ground proximity warning system ceases to generate ground proximity warning alerts. Cessation in the generation of ground proximity alerts at  
10 the inner boundary is important to eliminate the number of nuisance alarms provided to the flight crew during the final approach of the aircraft to the selected runway. The distance between the selected runway and the inner boundary is used to define the distances of the differing slope portions from the selected runway and the location of the outer boundary from the selected runway.

15 Ideally, the inner boundary would be located as close as possible to the perimeter of the selected runway to thereby provide ground proximity warning protection until the aircraft lands on the selected runway. However, the inner boundary should not overlap with the perimeter of the selected runway, as it may cause the ground proximity warning system to provide nuisance alarms during the  
20 final approach of the aircraft. In reality, however, precise determination of the location of the inner boundary may be difficult.

Specifically, the determination of the location of the inner boundary is typically based on the coordinate position of the selected runway and the coordinate position and altitude of the aircraft. However, there are typically data uncertainties  
25 associated with the indications of the present position of the aircraft and the coordinate position of the selected runway. These uncertainties make it difficult to locate the inner boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope in close proximity to the outer perimeter of the selected runway while not overlapping the perimeter of the selected runway.

30 For this reason, the conventional ground proximity warning system described above typically determines an aircraft position uncertainty factor representing an uncertainty in the coordinate position of the aircraft. The conventional ground proximity warning system typically adds to the aircraft position uncertainty of the aircraft a predetermined buffer distance that is the same for all runways. This added

buffer distance is typically 1 nm and is selected to provide a more conservative estimate of the location of the inner boundary, such that the inner boundary does not overlap the perimeter of the selected runway. Additionally, the conventional ground proximity warning system typically locates the inner boundary at a preselected  
5 altitude representing a minimum altitude that the aircraft should maintain above underlying terrain at the inner boundary. In light of this selected buffer distance, the distance 16 between the inner boundary and the selected runway defines a region in which the ground proximity warning system does not provide ground proximity alarms. It would be desirable to provide a ground proximity warning system that  
10 more precisely defines the location of the inner boundary to thereby not unnecessarily enlarge this region between the inner boundary and the selected runway.

The approach used by the conventional ground proximity warning system to accommodate for errors is somewhat advantageous as it determines the location of the inner boundary based on coordinate positional errors associated with the aircraft, i.e.,  
15 error in the longitude and latitude. However, this approach fails to account for other determinable errors that may make the determination of the inner boundary more precise. Specifically, the preselected buffer distance used by the conventional ground proximity warning system is not chosen based on the specific factors associated with the selected runway. Instead, it is a predetermined distance used for all selected  
20 runways. Further, the conventional ground proximity system does not account for errors associated with the indicated altitude of the aircraft. As it is typically advantageous to define the inner boundary to provide ground proximity warning protection as close to the runway as possible without providing nuisance alarms, it would be desirable to provide a ground proximity warning system that more precisely  
25 determines the location of the inner boundary.

As also illustrated in Figure 1, the conventional ground proximity warning system generates a terrain clearance floor envelope that is circular in shape. While the use of a circular envelope generated about the runway may provide a desired terrain clearance floor envelope for an aircraft approaching the ends of the selected  
30 runway, it may not be appropriate for an aircraft approaching the sides of the runway. For instance, with reference to the inner boundary 14 of the terrain clearance floor envelope, the distance between the inner boundary and the ends of the runway, distance 18, is smaller than the distance from the sides of the selected runway, distance 16. As such, the region in which ground proximity warnings are not

generated is smaller for the ends of the runway than for the sides of the runway. This is problematic for aircraft that fly crossways over the selected runway or that fly alongside the selected runway instead of approaching from the end of the selected runway.

- 5           As also shown in Figure 1, the conventional ground proximity warning system generates a terrain clearance floor envelope that has a radial cross-section that is the same for all points about the selected runway. Specifically, the terrain clearance floor envelope is typically conical or bowl shaped and has the same cross-sectional slope profile for all portions of the envelope. Further, the cross-sectional profile is selected  
10 to reflect a landing pattern of an aircraft at the ends of the selected runway. It is not selected, however, based on a flight pattern of an aircraft that is approaching from a side of the selected runway or is flying to the left or right of the center lengthwise axis  
20 of the selected runway. In light of this, it would be desirable to provide a ground proximity warning system that defines the location of the portions of the inner  
15 boundary adjacent to the sides of the selected runway differently than the portions of the inner boundary adjacent to the ends of the selected runway.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

- As set forth below, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of  
20 the present invention overcome many of the deficiencies identified with defining a terrain clearance floor envelope about a selected runway. For example, in one embodiment, the present invention provides apparatus, methods, and computer program products that define a terrain clearance floor envelope based on not only errors associated with the indicated coordinate position of the aircraft, but also on  
25 errors associated with the position of the selected runway and errors associated with the indicated altitude of the aircraft. By using error factors associated with the indicated position of the selected runway and the error associated with the indicated altitude of the aircraft, as opposed to a preselected buffer distance, the location of the inner boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope may be more precisely  
30 determined.

The apparatus of this embodiment includes a processor that generates a terrain clearance floor envelope about a selected runway. In operation, the processor defines the terrain clearance floor envelope by at least two boundaries, where at least one of the boundaries is defined by at least one of a runway position quality factor and an

altitude data quality factor. In this embodiment, the processor receives either one or both factors concerning uncertainties in the indicated location of the selected runway and/or uncertainties in the indicated altitude of the aircraft. The processor uses either one or both of these uncertainties in determining at least one of the boundaries of the terrain clearance floor envelope.

For instance, in one embodiment of the present invention, the processor defines the inner boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope based on the summation of the runway position quality factor associated with the selected runway and the altitude data quality factor and position uncertainty factor representing an uncertainty in the indicated altitude and indicated coordinate position of the aircraft, respectively. This, in turn, provides a terrain clearance floor envelope having an inner boundary that is more precisely located with respect to the selected runway to thereby not unnecessarily enlarge the region where no ground proximity warning alarms are generated.

As discussed above, in one embodiment of the present invention, the apparatus and method of the present invention determine the inner boundary based on an altitude data quality factor. In one embodiment of the present invention, the altitude data quality factor is a horizontal distance representing an uncertainty in the altitude of the aircraft. In this embodiment of the present invention, the processor generates the altitude data quality factor by dividing the uncertainty in the altitude of the aircraft by the tangent of a predetermined glideslope angle.

As also discussed, the processor of the present embodiment defines the terrain clearance floor envelope based on at least two boundaries. In one embodiment of the present invention, the processor defines the terrain clearance floor envelope based on both an inner and outer boundary. In this embodiment, the processor defines the inner boundary at a distance from the end of the selected runway equal to the sum of the runway position quality factor, the altitude data quality factor, and the aircraft position quality factor. The processor further defines the outer boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope at a distance from the end of the selected runway equal to the sum of the runway position quality factor, the altitude data quality factor, the aircraft position quality factor, and a predetermined outer distance representing a predetermined distance from the inner boundary. The processor may also further define the terrain clearance floor envelope by at least one intermediate boundary. In this embodiment, the processor defines the intermediate boundary at a location from



the end of selected runway equal to the sum of the runway position quality factor, the altitude data quality factor, the aircraft position quality factor, and a predetermined intermediate distance representing a predetermined intermediate distance from the inner boundary.

5           In addition to defining the terrain clearance floor envelope based on a distance between an inner and outer boundary, the present invention may also define the terrain clearance floor envelope to have at least one slope decreasing from the outer to the inner boundary to define a landing pattern of the aircraft. In this embodiment of the present invention, the processor first defines the inner boundary to have a  
10   preselected inner boundary altitude. The processor also defines the outer boundary to have an outer boundary altitude. The inner boundary and outer boundary altitudes represent minimum altitudes that the aircraft should maintain above terrain underlying the aircraft at the inner and outer boundary distances, respectively. This, in turn, defines a terrain clearance floor envelope that has a slope from the outer to the inner  
15   boundary to define a landing pattern of the aircraft. In a further embodiment, the processor may define one or several intermediate boundaries located at different intermediate boundary altitudes. In this embodiment of the present invention, the processor defines a terrain clearance floor envelope having a plurality of slopes from the outer boundary through the intermediate boundaries to the inner boundary to  
20   define a landing pattern of the aircraft.

          In addition to more precisely defining the inner boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope so as to not unnecessarily enlarge the region where ground proximity alarms are not generated, the present invention also provides apparatus, methods, and computer program products that define an asymmetrical terrain  
25   clearance floor envelope about the selected runway. In this embodiment of the present invention, the apparatus includes a processor that defines the terrain clearance floor envelope such that respective profiles of the envelope in a radial cross-section are different in at least two radial directions from a center point of the selected runway.

30           Specifically, in one embodiment, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention provide a desired landing terrain clearance floor envelope for aircraft approaching the ends of the selected runway, while also providing a desired terrain clearance floor envelope for aircraft approaching the sides of the selected runway. In this embodiment, the processor defines a terrain clearance

floor envelope having a different cross-sectional altitude and slope profile for portions of the envelope adjacent to the sides of the selected runway than for portions of the envelope adjacent to the ends of the selected runway.

For instance, in one embodiment of the present invention, the processor  
5 defines a portion of the terrain clearance floor envelope adjacent to the sides of the selected runway and proximate to the inner boundary to have a constant preselected altitude. The constant preselected altitude represents a minimum altitude that the aircraft should maintain above terrain underlying the aircraft in this portion of the envelope. This provides a heightened terrain clearance floor envelope for providing  
10 ground proximity warnings to an aircraft approaching from the side of the selected runway. The processor of this embodiment also defines a portion of the terrain clearance floor envelope adjacent to the ends of the selected runway to have a slope representative of the landing pattern of the aircraft, such that the ground proximity warning system provides appropriate ground proximity alerts for aircraft approaching  
15 the ends of the selected runway.

In addition to defining the terrain clearance floor envelope to have side portions adjacent of the sides of the selected runway with different slopes than portions adjacent to the ends of the selected runway, the processor of the present invention may also define the shape of the different portions of the terrain clearance  
20 floor envelope. For example, in one embodiment, the processor may define the shape of the end portions of the terrain clearance floor envelope adjacent to the end of the selected runway to represent various horizontal angles, (i.e., bearing angles), at which the aircraft may land on the selected runway. In this embodiment, the processor defines the end regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope to have a first portion  
25 with converging sides that converge from a first width to a second smaller width. This portion of the end region is formed to account for different horizontal angles at which the aircraft may approach the selected runway, (i.e., the bearing angle of the aircraft with respect to the runway). The processor of this embodiment may also define a second portion having parallel sides spaced apart by the second width and  
30 extending from the converging sides of the first portion toward the end of the selected runway. This portion of the end region defines an area nearer the end of the selected runway in which the aircraft is typically more aligned with the lengthwise axis of the selected runway on its final landing approach.

In addition to providing a terrain clearance floor envelope having a more precisely located inner boundary and an asymmetrical terrain clearance floor envelope having different cross-sectional altitude profiles, the present invention also includes apparatus, methods, and computer program products that generate a terrain clearance floor envelope having a non-circular inner boundary. For instance, in one embodiment of the present invention, the processor defines portions of the inner boundary adjacent to the sides of the selected runway differently from portions adjacent to the ends of the selected runway. This is important as the processor may locate the portions of the inner boundary adjacent to the sides of the selected runway closer to the sides of the runway than would be possible with a circular inner boundary.

As an example, in one embodiment of the present invention, the processor defines the end portions of the inner boundary as an arc having a radius equal to the sum of a runway position quality factor and an altitude data quality factor from an end point of the selected runway. In this embodiment, the processor also defines the side portions of the inner boundary as lines that are parallel to a lengthwise axis of the selected runway and spaced apart from the side of the selected runway by the sum of a runway position quality factor and an altitude data quality factor. As such, the end profiles of the inner boundary of this embodiment are shaped to accommodate for differing horizontal approach angles that the aircraft may have with respect to the selected runway during landing. Additionally, the side portions are located nearer to the sides of the selected runway to provide increased ground proximity warning protection for aircraft approaching the side of the selected runway.

In addition to providing apparatus and methods for defining the terrain clearance floor envelopes of the various embodiments mentioned above, the present invention also provides computer program products. The computer programs products include a computer readable storage medium having computer readable program code means embodied in the medium. The computer-readable program code means includes various computer instruction means for defining the terrain clearance floor envelopes described above in conjunction with the various apparatus and methods of the present invention.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a perspective view illustrating graphically a terrain clearance floor envelope generated about a selected runway.

Figure 2 is a block diagram of an apparatus for defining a terrain clearance floor envelope about a selected runway according to one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 3A is a perspective view illustrating graphically a terrain clearance floor envelope generated about a selected runway by a conventional ground proximity warning system.

Figure 3B is a perspective view illustrating graphically a terrain clearance floor envelope generated about a selected runway according to one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 4 is a block diagram of the operations performed to define a terrain clearance floor envelope about a selected runway according to one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 5 is also a block diagram of the operations performed to define a terrain clearance floor envelope about a selected runway according to one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 6 is a radial, cross-sectional view of the slope profile of a terrain clearance floor envelope according to one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 7A is a perspective view illustrating graphically a terrain clearance floor envelope generated about a selected runway according to one embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 7B is a plan view illustrating graphically a terrain clearance floor envelope generated about a selected runway according to one embodiment of the present invention.

Figures 7C and 7E are radial, cross-sectional views of the slope profile of a side region of a terrain clearance floor envelope adjacent to the sides of a selected runway according to one embodiment of the present invention.

Figures 7D and 7F are radial, cross-sectional views of the slope profile of an end region of a terrain clearance floor envelope adjacent to the ends of a selected runway according to one embodiment of the present invention.

Figures 8A-8C are perspective views illustrating graphically a terrain clearance floor envelope generated about a selected runway according to one embodiment of the present invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention now will be described more fully hereinafter with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which preferred embodiments of the invention are shown. This invention may, however, be embodied in many different forms and should not be construed as limited to the embodiments set forth herein; rather, these embodiments are provided so that this disclosure will be thorough and complete, and will fully convey the scope of the invention to those skilled in the art. Like numbers refer to like elements throughout.

10 As discussed above, the present invention provides several apparatus, methods, and computer program products for defining a terrain clearance floor envelope about a selected runway. Importantly, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention define the terrain clearance floor envelope based on either one or a combination of the following factors: 1) an aircraft  
15 position uncertainty factor, 2) a runway position quality factor, and 3) an altitude data quality factor. Using one or all of these factors, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention can more precisely define the location of inner boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope. Further, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention do not  
20 unnecessarily increase the area in which the ground proximity warning systems does not generate alarms.

Additionally, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention in differing embodiments alter the profile and shape of the terrain clearance floor envelope. For instance, the apparatus, methods, and computer  
25 program products of the present invention may alter the terrain clearance floor envelope such that it has different cross-sectional slope profiles for the regions adjacent to the sides of the selected runway than for regions adjacent to the ends of the selected runway. Further, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention may define the location of the inner boundary of the  
30 terrain clearance envelope differently for the sides of the selected runway than for the ends of the selected runway. This, in turn, allows the ground proximity warning system to provide different ground proximity warning protection for aircraft approaching the sides of the selected runway than for aircraft approaching the ends of the selected runway.

For illustrative purposes, the various apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention are illustrated and described below in conjunction with the ground proximity warning system of U.S. Patent No. 5,839,080 to Muller, entitled "Terrain Awareness System." The contents of U.S. Patent No. 5,839,080 are incorporated herein by reference. It should be apparent, however, that the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention can be used either independently or in combination with other systems, if so desired.

Figure 2 depicts many of the components of the ground proximity warning system of U.S. Patent No. 5,839,080 in simplified block form for illustrative purposes, however, it is understood that the functions of these blocks are consistent with and contain many of the same components as the ground proximity warning system described in U.S. Patent No. 5,839,080. The ground proximity warning system includes a look-ahead warning generator that analyzes terrain and aircraft data and generates terrain clearance floor envelope profiles surrounding the aircraft. Based on these terrain profiles and the position, track, and ground speed of the aircraft, the look-ahead warning generator generates aural and/or visual warning alarms related to the proximity of the aircraft to the surrounding terrain. Some of the sensors that provide the look-ahead warning generator with data input concerning the aircraft are depicted. Specifically, the look-ahead warning generator receives positional data from a position sensor 30. The position sensor may be a portion of a global positioning system (GPS), inertial navigation system (INS), or flight management system (FMS). The look-ahead warning generator also receives altitude and airspeed data from an altitude sensor 32 and airspeed sensor 34, respectively, and aircraft track and heading information from track 36 and heading 38 sensors, respectively.

In addition to receiving data concerning the aircraft, the look-ahead warning system also receives data concerning the terrain surrounding the aircraft. Specifically, the look-ahead warning generator is also connected to a memory device 40 that contains a searchable data base of data relating, among other things, to the position and elevation of various terrain features and also elevation, position, and quality information concerning runways.

In normal operation, the look-ahead warning generator receives data concerning the aircraft from the various sensors. Additionally, the look-ahead warning generator accesses terrain and airport information from the memory device concerning the terrain surrounding the aircraft and a selected runway—typically the

runway that is closest in proximity to the aircraft's current position or a determined or predicted runway. Based on the current position, distance to the selected runway, altitude, speed, track, etc. of the aircraft, the look-ahead warning generator generates terrain advisory and warning envelopes and generates alerts via either an aural  
5 warning generator 42 and/or a display 44 as to terrain or other obstacles that penetrate the terrain advisory and warning envelopes. In addition, the look-ahead warning generator generates a terrain clearance floor envelope representing minimum altitudes that the aircraft should maintain above terrain underlying the aircraft for different  
10 respective distances from the selected runway. The look-ahead warning generator produces alerts if the aircraft falls below the terrain clearance floor envelope.

Importantly, as described previously, as the aircraft nears the selected runway, the conventional ground proximity warning system alters the terrain clearance floor envelopes to represent a landing pattern of the aircraft, so as to reduce nuisance  
15 alarms, while still providing ground proximity warning protection. The present invention provides apparatus, methods and computer program products for generating the terrain clearance floor envelope about the selected runway for use in this and other ground proximity warning systems. The various embodiments of the present invention are discussed in detail below.

With regard to the disclosure below, it must first be noted that the present  
20 invention generates the terrain clearance floor envelope about a runway selected by the ground proximity warning system in which the present invention is used. For example, the conventional ground proximity warning system described in U.S. Patent No. 5,839,080 to Muller typically selects the runway closest to the aircraft for ground proximity warning calculations. However, a more recent ground proximity warning  
25 system has been developed, which predicts the runway on which the aircraft is most likely to land and subsequently uses this predicted runway for ground proximity warning calculations. This ground proximity warning system is described in U.S. Patent App. No. ?,???,??? to Ishihara and Gremmert, entitled "Methods, Apparatus And Computer Program Products For Automated Runway Selection." This patent  
30 application is assigned to the assignee of the present application and is incorporated herein by reference.

In the following discussion, the term selected runway is meant to describe the runway selected by either of the above methods or any other methods used by ground proximity warning systems to select a runway for generation of terrain clearance floor

envelopes. However, it must be further noted that use of the runway prediction method described in U.S. Patent App. No. ?,???,??? to Ishihara and Gremmert may be preferred for use in the present invention. Specifically, the runway prediction method typically provides the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention with the runway on which the aircraft is most likely to land for use in generating the terrain clearance floor envelope.

Further, it must be noted in the description below that some of the errors used in defining the envelope may be described with different, albeit somewhat similar names. For instance, the runway position quality factor is sometimes referred to as runway position uncertainty; the altitude data quality factor is sometimes referred to as altitude position uncertainty or vertical figure of merit (VFOM); and the aircraft position uncertainty factor is sometimes referred to as position uncertainty, aircraft position uncertainty or horizontal figure of merit (HFOM).

With regard to the present invention, as previously described, at least one conventional ground proximity warning system defines the inner boundary of a terrain clearance floor envelope based on a position uncertainty factor representing an uncertainty in the indicated position of the aircraft and a preselected buffer distance. This determination is illustrated graphically in Figure 3A. Specifically, the conventional ground proximity warning system receives the aircraft position uncertainty factor **46** and adds to this factor a preselected buffer distance **48** and the half-length L of the selected runway **50**. This combined length defines a radius of the inner boundary **14** of the terrain clearance floor envelope centered about the center of the selected runway **12**.

As discussed previously, there may be other errors associated both with the indicated position of the selected runway and the indicated altitude of the aircraft. However, as illustrated in Figure 3A, the conventional ground proximity warning system does not specifically include these runway position and aircraft altitude errors in the determination of the location of the inner boundary. Instead, the conventional ground proximity warning system uses the same preselected buffer distance for all selected runways. As such, the conventional system does not “modulate” the inner boundary based on the specific selected runway or the errors in the indicated altitude of the aircraft.

With reference to Figure 3B, however, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention defines the location of the inner boundary



of the terrain clearance floor envelope based on errors in the indicated position of the aircraft and in the indicated altitude of the aircraft. Importantly, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention modulate the location of the inner boundary based on the particular errors associated with the indicated position of the aircraft and the errors in the indication of the altitude of the aircraft.

Specifically, with reference to Figure 3B, in one embodiment of the present invention, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products define the inner boundary based on not only the position uncertainty factor **46** and the half-length *L* of the selected runway **50**, but also on a runway position quality factor **52** and an altitude data quality factor **54**. For instance, in one embodiment of the present invention, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products sum these values together to determine a calculated position uncertainty **K** between the inner boundary and selected runway:

$$K = \text{Aircraft.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Rwy.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Alt.Pos.Uncert.}$$

In this embodiment, if the aircraft position uncertainty is zero, the processor uses a value of 0.5 nm. Further, in some embodiments, the processor limits the value of the calculated position uncertainty **K** to:

$$0.5 \text{ nm} < K < 1.0 \text{ nm.}$$

After the processor determines **K**, the processor next sums the calculated position uncertainty **K** with the half-length *L* of the selected runway to define the inner boundary location.

As the runway position quality factor is dependent on the particular selected runway, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention modulate the location of the inner boundary based on the selected runway, as opposed to a preselected buffer distance for all selected runways. Further, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention define the location of the inner boundary based on errors in the indicated altitude of the aircraft. By defining the location of the inner boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope based on determinable errors, as opposed to a preselected buffer distance, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention may more precisely define the terrain clearance floor envelope, while not unnecessarily increasing the area in which ground proximity warning alarms are not provided.

Specifically, the conventional ground proximity warning system, using only the aircraft position uncertainty and the preselected buffer distance may define the inner boundary to be at a distance as much as 1.6 nm from the runway, and may be even greater. However, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products, using the added values of runway position uncertainty and altitude uncertainty in place of the preselected buffered distance, typically define the inner boundary at distances in the range of 0.5 nm to 1.0 nm from the runway.

These and other advantages are provided by an apparatus for generating a terrain clearance floor envelope for use in a ground proximity warning system. With regard to the present invention, Figure 2 illustrates one embodiment of an apparatus of the present invention. The apparatus of this embodiment includes a processor 56 associated with the look-ahead warning generator previously described. The processor may either be part of the processor of the look-ahead warning generator or it may be a separate processor located either internal or external to the look-ahead warning generator. It must be understood that the processor may consist of any number of devices. The processor may be a data processing device, such as a microprocessor or microcontroller or a central processing unit. The processor could be another logic device such as a DMA (Direct Memory Access) processor, an integrated communication processor device, a custom VLSI (Very Large Scale Integration) device, an ASIC (Application Specific Integrated Circuit) device or any other analog or digital circuitry designed to process the various signals as described above.

With reference to Figures 2, 4, and 5, the generation of the terrain clearance floor envelope is illustrated according to one embodiment. Specifically, Figure 4 is an operational flow diagram, while Figure 5 depicts the operations of the present invention in block diagram form. In operation to define the terrain clearance floor envelope, the processor initially determines, (see block 58), the aircraft position uncertainty factor. (See step 100). The aircraft position uncertainty factor is a bias factor that estimates the accuracy of the indicated coordinate position generated by the position sensor 30. This error is dependent on the type of position sensor used, (i.e., GPS, FMS, INS, etc.), and is either typically provided by the position sensor or determinable based on the conditions of the navigation system.

The processor also determines, (see block 60), the runway position quality factor 52. (See step 110). The runway position quality factor is a value representing

the uncertainty associated with the indicated coordinate positions listed in the database of the memory device. These uncertainties typically represent uncertainties in the survey method used to survey the position of the runway. Specifically, this factor represents the uncertainty in locating the runway in the WGS-84 coordinate system. For example, runways that were originally surveyed in WGS-84 typically have smaller associated position quality factor values than runways that were surveyed in a local coordinate system and then converted to WGS-84. Those runways having coordinates that were converted to WGS-84 have a runway position quality factor based on the confidence in the accuracy of the conversion.

The runway position quality factor is typically stored in the memory device along with the coordinates of the various runways. In this instance, the processor accesses the table stored in the memory device and retrieves the runway position quality factor. (See step 110).

The processor also determines, (see block 62), an altitude data quality factor 54 representing an uncertainty in the indicated altitude of the aircraft. (See step 120). The altitude data quality factor is typically based on a vertical figure of merit (VFOM). The VFOM is a value representing error in the indicated altitude of the aircraft and is typically output by the altitude sensor 32. Because the VFOM is a vertical error, as opposed to a horizontal value, the processor must typically convert the VFOM to a horizontal offset value.

In one embodiment, the processor translates the VFOM, which is a vertical error value, to a horizontal offset value based on a selected nominal descent glideslope. The selected nominal glideslope value defines a nominal angle at which the aircraft typically lands on the runway. Specifically, aircraft typically land on a runway within a given range of glideslope angles ranging from 3° to 7°. The processor of the present invention transforms the vertical VFOM error value to a horizontal value by determining the horizontal distance required for the aircraft to descend the vertical error distance at the nominal glideslope. This is illustrated in the equation below:

$$\text{Altitude.Pos.Uncert.} = (\text{VFOM} / \tan(\theta))$$

where

Altitude.Pos.Uncert. = Altitude Position Uncertainty

$\theta$  = the nominal glideslope

VFOM = Vertical Figure of Merit.

For example, if the nominal glideslope angle is selected as 3°, then approximately every 330 ft of VFOM vertical error corresponds to 1 nm of horizontal altitude position uncertainty. As such, the processor determines the altitude position  
 5 uncertainty in this embodiment by dividing the VFOM by 330 ft/nm.

In addition to the aircraft position uncertainty, runway position uncertainty, and the altitude position uncertainty, the processor also determines, (See block 64), the position and half-length L of the selected runway. (See step 130). Specifically, the processor accesses the stored table in the memory device and retrieves the position  
 10 and length of the selected runway.

After the processor has determined the above-mentioned values, the processor next defines the inner boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope based on these uncertainty values. (See step 140). Specifically, in one embodiment of the present invention, the processor sums, (see block 66), these uncertainty values using the  
 15 following equation to generate a calculated position uncertainty K:

$$K = \text{Aircraft.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Rwy.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Alt.Pos.Uncert.}$$

or

$$K = \text{Aircraft.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Rwy.Pos.Uncert.} + (\text{VFOM}/330)$$

In this embodiment, if the aircraft position uncertainty is zero, the processor uses a  
 20 value of 0.5 nm. Further, in some embodiments, the processor limits the value of the calculated position uncertainty K to:

$$0.5 \text{ nm} < K < 1.0 \text{ nm.}$$

After, the processor determines K, the processor next sums the calculated position uncertainty K with the half-length (L) of the selected runway to define the inner  
 25 boundary distance.

In addition to defining the inner boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope, the processor of the present invention may also define, (see block 70), the remaining portions of terrain clearance floor envelope. (See step 150). Specifically, in one embodiment of the present invention, the terrain clearance floor envelope is  
 30 defined by an inner and outer boundary and may also be defined by at least one intermediary boundary. In this embodiment of the present invention, the processor may define these boundaries at selected distances from the selected runway and at selected altitudes to reflect a landing pattern of the aircraft.

Specifically, Figure 6 illustrates graphically an altitude profile for a terrain clearance floor envelope according to one embodiment of the present invention. This terrain clearance floor envelope includes an inner **14** and an outer boundary **22**, with two intermediate boundaries, **72** and **74**, respectively. As can be seen graphically from Figure 6, the processor of the present invention defines the location of the outer and intermediate boundaries based on the calculated position uncertainty  $K$  at determined distances from the selected runway and at predetermined altitudes, (i.e.,  $(4 + K)$ ,  $(12 + K)$ , and  $(15 + K)$ ). The predetermined altitudes represent minimum altitudes that the aircraft should maintain above terrain underlying the aircraft at the boundary distances from the selected runway.

It must be understood that the altitudes and boundaries illustrated in Figure 6 are just one example of the different slope profiles that the terrain clearance floor envelope may include. The generation and determination of these slope profiles are more fully discussed in U.S. Patent No. 4,914,436 to Bateman et al., the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

The terrain clearance floor envelope generated by the processor of the present invention defines a terrain clearance floor alert area **76** about the selected runway. This terrain clearance floor alert area is a region in which the ground proximity warning system will generate alarms. Specifically, if the aircraft enters the terrain clearance floor alert area, (i.e., dips below the minimum altitude that the aircraft should maintain above underlying terrain), for more than a predescribed time, (e.g., 0.8 or 1.0 seconds), the ground proximity warning system will sound an alert to the flight crew.

As detailed above, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention use aircraft position uncertainty, runway position uncertainty, and altitude position uncertainty to define the terrain clearance floor envelope. The values used for these uncertainties depends on the particular type of sensor used for navigation and on the survey quality associated with the runway. Below is a listing of different types of sensors and runway surveys and typical associated values used by the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of one embodiment of the present invention.

Aircraft Position Uncertainty:

GPS Direct:

Aircraft Pos. Uncert. = GPS Horizontal Figure of Merit (HFOM)

FMS with NavMode word (non Airbus):

GPS: Aircraft Pos. Uncert. = 0.13 nm

DME/DME, LOC/DME: Aircraft Pos. Uncert. = 0.3 nm

VOR/DME: Aircraft Pos. Uncert. = 0.5 nm

5        IRS Only, Dr, No Nav: Aircraft Pos. Uncert. = 6.0 nm

FMS with NavMode word (Airbus only):

Hi Accuracy: Aircraft Pos. Uncert. = 0.13 nm

Lo Accuracy: Aircraft Pos. Uncert. = 6.0 nm

FMS with no NavMode word:

10        Aircraft Pos. Uncert. = 0.6 nm

Runway Position Uncertainty:Runway Coordinate System: WGS-84:

Rwy. Pos. Uncert. = 0.3 nm

Runway Coordinate System: non WGS-84 (local):

15        Rwy. Pos. Uncert. = 0.5 nm

Runway Coordinate System: Unknown:

Rwy. Pos. Uncert. = 0.9375 nm

In addition to defining the inner boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope more precisely, the present invention also provides apparatus, methods, and  
20    computer program products for defining the terrain clearance floor envelope to be asymmetrical, such that respective profiles of the envelope in a radial cross-section are different for different portions of the terrain clearance floor envelope. Importantly, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of this embodiment of the present invention provide different slope profiles for different  
25    portions of the terrain clearance floor envelope to provide different ground proximity warning protection for aircraft approaching the side of a runway, as opposed to the end of the selected runway.

Figures 7A-7D provide an illustrative embodiment of an asymmetrical terrain clearance floor envelope defined by one embodiment of the present invention. It must  
30    be understood that this is only one example of an asymmetrical terrain clearance floor envelope and that the apparatus, methods, and computer program products may be used to define a wide variety of asymmetrical envelopes. The terrain clearance floor envelope described below is provided in detail merely to provide a more complete

understanding of the invention. As such, the exact measurements, distances, and altitudes illustrated should not limit the scope of the invention.

Figures 7A and 7B provide a three-dimensional perspective and plan view, respectively, of an asymmetrical terrain clearance floor envelope generated by the apparatus, methods, and computer program products according to one embodiment of the present invention. Additionally, Figures 7C and 7D depict differing cross-sectional slope profiles of the terrain clearance floor envelope along cross-section lines **A** and **B**, respectively.

With reference to Figures 7A and 7B, it can be seen that the terrain clearance floor envelope **78** of this embodiment includes both end regions **80** adjacent to the ends of the selected runway **12** and side regions **82** adjacent to the sides **82** of the selected runway **12**. The side regions of the terrain clearance envelope are defined by an inner boundary **84**, an outer boundary **86**, and three intermediate boundaries, **88**, **90**, and **92**. The end regions are defined by an inner boundary **94**, an outer boundary **96**, and two intermediate boundaries, **98** and **100**. Further, the inner boundaries, **84** and **94**, of the side and end regions form a perimeter about the selected runway. In the region between the selected runway **12** and the perimeter defined by the inner boundaries, **84** and **94**, the ground proximity warning system ceases generation of ground proximity warning alerts to eliminate nuisance alarms during the final approach of the aircraft for landing.

With reference to Figures 7C and 7D, the cross-sectional profiles of the end and side regions are shown. Importantly, the end and side regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope are defined independent of each other. As such, the end portions of the envelope may be defined to represent a landing pattern of an aircraft on the selected runway, while the side portions may be defined for aircraft that approach a side of the selected runway.

Specifically, with reference to Figure 7C, the cross-sectional profile of a side region **82** of the terrain clearance floor envelope is illustrated along cross-section line **B**. As can be seen, the location of the various boundaries of the terrain clearance floor envelope are based on the calculated position uncertainty **K** discussed previously. Specifically, with reference to Figures 2, 4, and 5, the processor of the present invention initially determines the calculated position uncertainty **K**, (see steps **100-130**), using the following equation:

$$K = \text{Aircraft.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Rwy.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Alt.Pos.Uncert.}$$

or

$$K = \text{Aircraft.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Rwy.Pos.Uncert.} + (\text{VFOM}/330)$$

In this embodiment, if the aircraft position uncertainty is zero, the processor uses a value of 0.5 nm. Further, in some embodiments, the processor limits the value of the  
5 calculated position uncertainty K to:

$$0.5 \text{ nm} < K < 1.0 \text{ nm}.$$

After, the processor determines the calculated position uncertainty K, the processor next defines the inner boundary **84** of the side portion **82** of the terrain clearance floor envelope. (See step **140**). In this embodiment, the inner boundary **84**  
10 is defined at a location of the calculated position uncertainty K from the side **102** of the selected runway **12**. The processor also defines the intermediate boundaries **90** and **92** and the outer boundary **86** based on respective selected distances, the calculated position uncertainty K, and the half-length L of the selected runway (i.e.,  $(4 + K + L/2)$ ,  $(12 + K + L/2)$ , and  $(15 + K + L/2)$ ). (See step **150**).

15 In addition to defining the distance of the differing boundaries from the selected runway, the processor also defines the differing boundaries at different selected altitudes. The selected altitudes used to define the intermediate and outer boundaries of the terrain clearance floor envelope are selected to represent a terrain clearance floor envelope for aircraft approaching from the side of the selected  
20 runway, as opposed to the end of the selected runway. These altitudes represent minimum acceptable altitudes that an aircraft should maintain above underlying terrain for aircraft approaching the side of the selected runway. Importantly, the processor defines the inner boundary **84** at a preselected altitude **104**. The preselected altitude extends from the inner boundary **84** to an intermediate boundary **88**, where  
25 the preselected altitude intersects with a sloped portion of the terrain clearance floor envelope. It must be understood that the preselected altitude **104** of the side regions of the selected runway may be any desired altitude. The altitude is typically in the range of 200 ft to 300 ft and is typically 245 ft.

Importantly, the preselected altitude defines a minimum altitude of the side  
30 portions of the terrain clearance floor envelope. This is a selected altitude representing a minimum altitude that an aircraft should maintain above terrain underlying the aircraft as it approaches the selected runway from the side. It is important to provide added protection for aircraft that approach from the side of a selected runway. As discussed previously, the selected runway may be selected by



any number of methods. In one embodiment, the selected runway is selected as the runway closest to the current position of the aircraft. In this instance, the aircraft may not approach the end of the runway, but instead, may be approaching from the side of the selected runway. In this instance, it may be advantageous to increase the region in which ground proximity warning protection is provided on the sides of the selected runway. Further, it may be advantageous to provide an increased minimum altitude **104** near the inner boundary.

In other embodiment, the selected runway may be selected using the runway prediction method detailed in U.S. Patent Application No. ???/???,??? to Ishihara and Gremmert, which was mentioned previously. When this prediction method is used, the method typically selects a runway that the aircraft is approaching from the end. However, in instances in which the airport only has one runway, the prediction method will most likely select this lone runway as the selected runway, even though the aircraft may be approaching from the side of the selected runway.

In addition to providing added protection for aircraft that approach from a side of the selected runway, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products also provide protection for an aircraft that may be misaligned with the selected runway when landing. Specifically, the preselected altitude **104** of the inner boundary **84** of the side regions **82** of the terrain clearance floor envelope is typically greater than the altitude for the inner boundary **110** of the end regions **80** of the terrain clearance floor envelope. If an aircraft approaching the end of the selected runway for landing is too far to the right or left of the lengthwise extending axis **112** and below the preselected altitude **104**, the aircraft will pierce the side region **82** of the envelope. In this instance, the ground proximity warning system will provide a ground proximity alert indicating that the aircraft is not properly aligned with the selected runway.

As discussed, the processor of the present invention defines the end regions **80** of the terrain clearance floor envelope to reflect a landing pattern for an aircraft approaching the end of the selected runway. With reference to Figure 7D, the cross-sectional profile of a side region **80** of the terrain clearance floor envelope is illustrated along cross-section line A. As can be seen, the location of the various boundaries of the terrain clearance floor envelope are based on the calculated position uncertainty K discussed previously.

Specifically, after the processor determines the calculated position uncertainty K, the processor defines the inner boundary **94** of the side portion **80** of the terrain

clearance floor envelope. (See step **140**). In this embodiment, the inner boundary **94** is defined at a location that is equal to the calculated position uncertainty  $K$  from the end **106** of the selected runway **12**. The processor also defines the intermediate boundaries **98** and **100** and the outer boundary **96** based on respective selected  
5 distances and the calculated position uncertainty  $K$  from the end of the selected runway (i.e.,  $(4 + K)$ ,  $(12 + K)$ , and  $(15 + K)$ ).

The processor also defines the differing boundaries at different selected altitudes. These selected altitudes of the inner, intermediate, and outer boundaries of the terrain clearance floor envelope are chosen to reflect a terrain clearance floor  
10 envelope for aircraft approaching the ends of the selected runway. Importantly, the processor defines the distances and altitudes of the boundaries of the end portions of the terrain clearance envelope to reflect a landing pattern of the aircraft.

In addition to defining the location and altitude of the various boundaries of the end and side regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope, the processor also  
15 defines the end region of the terrain clearance floor envelope to represent various landing patterns of aircraft. Specifically with reference to Figure 7B, the inner boundaries, **84** and **94**, of the terrain clearance floor envelope may be defined to provide a proper landing profile for an aircraft, while at the same time providing protection for aircraft approaching a side of the selected runway.

For instance, in one embodiment, the inner boundaries form “dumb bell” or  
20 “dog bone” shaped end regions **80** for the terrain clearance floor envelopes. The inner boundary **84** of the side regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope are parallel lines that are spaced apart from the sides of the runway by  $K$ . (See Figure 7C). Further, first portions **108** of the inner boundary **94** of the end region **80** define a  
25 radius centered about the selected runway having a length of  $K + L/2$ . (See Figure 7D). Additionally, the inner boundary **94** also includes second portions **110** that converge from the outer boundary **96** to the inner boundary **84** of the side regions **82**. These second portions typically converge at a selected angle  $\theta$  from a lengthwise extending center axis **112** of the selected runway.

Importantly, the inner boundaries, **84** and **94**, define a desired landing  
30 approach of an aircraft. Specifically, the angle  $\theta$  at which the second portions **110** converge is selected to represent a variety of possible horizontal bearing angles that an aircraft may make with the runway when landing. For example, a typical value for

$\theta$  is  $45^\circ$ . This means that aircraft having a bearing angle that is less than  $45^\circ$  with respect to the lengthwise extending axis of the selected runway, are located in the end regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope. If the bearing angle is greater than  $45^\circ$  with respect to the lengthwise extending axis of the selected runway, the aircraft is  
5 presumed to not be landing and is located in the side regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope.

In addition to the converging lines, the parallel lines of the inner boundary **84** of the side regions **82** define an area nearer the end of the selected runway, in which the aircraft is typically more aligned with the lengthwise extending axis **112** of the  
10 selected runway on its final landing approach. This inner boundary **84** allows an aircraft that is properly aligned with the runway to land without generation of ground proximity warning alarms. However, if the aircraft is approaching the sides of the runway or is misaligned by more than  $\theta$  with the lengthwise extending axis of the selected runway, the ground proximity warning system will generate alarms if the  
15 aircraft pierces the side regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope.

Figure 7B also illustrates graphically the region **114** between the inner boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope and the selected runway in which the ground proximity warning system does not provide ground proximity warning alerts. Figure 7B also depicts by dashed line a typical inner boundary **116** for a terrain  
20 clearance floor envelope defined by the conventional ground proximity warning system. As can be seen, the conventional ground proximity warning system defines a circular inner boundary **116** that is typically located further from the selected runway than the inner boundary defined by the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention. Further, because the inner boundary **116** is circular,  
25 the portions of the inner boundary **116** adjacent to the sides of the selected runway are at a further distance than portions of the inner boundary **116** adjacent to the ends of the selected runway.

On the other hand, the inner boundary, **84** and **110**, defined by the present invention is modulated to account for runway position uncertainty and altitude  
30 position uncertainty and therefore, may be defined closer to the selected runway. Further, the inner boundary of the present invention has portions adjacent to the sides of the selected runway that are defined differently than the end portions to provide

different ground proximity warning protection for the sides of the selected runway than for the ends of the selected runway.

As detailed above, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention define a terrain clearance floor envelope having different side and end regions to provide different ground proximity warning protection for the sides and ends of a selected runway. To provide a more complete description of the present invention, Figures 8A-8C are three-dimensional illustrations of the terrain clearance floor envelope defined according to one embodiment of the present invention. With reference to these figures, Figures 8A-8C illustrate the appearance of the terrain clearance floor envelope as an aircraft approaches the selected runway. These figures illustrate the various boundaries of the terrain clearance floor envelope. Importantly, they illustrate graphically the “dumb bell” or “dog bone” shaped end regions **80** of the terrain clearance floor envelope.

In addition to Figures 8A-8C, Figures 7B, 7E, and 7F are provided to illustrate one example of a terrain clearance floor envelope defined by the present invention. Specifically, Figures 7B, 7E, and 7F illustrate graphically a terrain clearance floor envelope defined according to one embodiment of the present invention based on the following given parameters:

Aircraft Pos. Uncert.:

GPS Direct = 0.05 nm

Rwy. Pos. Uncert.:

Runway Coordinate WGS-84 = 0.3 nm

Altitude Pos. Uncert.:

VFOM = 45 ft

Runway Length:

L = 8000 ft

With reference to Figures 2, 4, and 5, in this embodiment, the processor initially receives the above values and determines, (see steps **100-130**), a calculated position uncertainty factor K using the following equation:

$$K = \text{Aircraft.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Rwy.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Alt.Pos.Uncert.}$$

or

$$K = \text{Aircraft.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Rwy.Pos.Uncert.} + (\text{VFOM}/330)$$

In this embodiment, if the aircraft position uncertainty is zero, the processor uses a value of 0.5 nm. Further, in some embodiments, the processor limits the value of the calculated position uncertainty K to:

$0.5 \text{ nm} < K < 1.0 \text{ nm}.$

5            Given the above values, the processor determines the calculated position  
uncertainty as:

$$K = 0.05 + 0.3 + (45/330)$$

or

$K = 0.49 \text{ nm}$

10 As K in this example is less than 0.5 nm, the processor determines the calculated position uncertainty  $K = 0.5$  nm.

With reference to Figure 7E and 7F, the processor uses the calculated position uncertainty K to define the end and side portions of the terrain clearance floor envelope. Specifically with reference to Figure 7E, the processor defines the inner 84,

15 outer **86**, and intermediate boundaries, **88 - 92**, as follows:

Inner Boundary **84**      $= K = 0.5 \text{ nm}$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Outer Boundary } \mathbf{86} &= (15 + K + L/2) \\ &= 15 + 0.5 + ((4000 \text{ ft})(1 \text{ nm}/6076.11 \text{ ft})) \\ &= 16.16 \text{ nm}\end{aligned}$$

20 Inter. Boundary **90** = (4 + K + L/2)  
= 5.16

Inter. Boundary **92**     $= (12 + K + L/2)$   
                                       $= 12.16$

In this embodiment, the preselected altitude **104** for the inner boundary **84** of the side regions **82** is 245 ft. This constant altitude slope intersects the remaining portions of the terrain clearance floor envelope at boundary **88**, which is approximately 3.66 nm. Further, the inner boundary **84** defines lines that are parallel to lengthwise axis **112** of the selected runway and spaced apart from the selected runway by K. The remaining boundaries, **86**, **90**, and **92**, are arcs having radii as defined above with respect to the center point of the selected runway.

With reference to Figure 7F, the processor uses the calculated position uncertainty K to define the end portions of the terrain clearance floor envelope. Specifically, the processor defines the inner **110**, outer **96**, and intermediate boundaries, **98** and **100**, as follows:

Inner Boundary **110** =  $K = 0.5 \text{ nm}$

Outer Boundary **96** =  $(15 + K)$   
 $= 15 + 0.5 = 15.5 \text{ nm}$

Inter. Boundary **98** =  $(4 + K) = 4.5 \text{ nm}$

5 Inter. Boundary **100** =  $(12 + K) = 12.5 \text{ nm}$

Further, the processor defines the first portions **108** of the inner boundary of the end regions **82** as converging lines converging at an angle of  $\theta = 45^\circ$  with the lengthwise extending axis **112** of the selected runway. Further, the boundaries, **96**, **98**, **100**, and **110**, are arcs having radii as defined above with respect to the end of the selected

10 runway.

In contrast to the terrain clearance floor envelope generated by the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention, Figures 7B, 7E, and 7F also illustrate the terrain clearance floor envelope as it would be defined by the conventional ground proximity warning system based in the given parameters. This terrain clearance floor envelope is illustrated by dashed lines. Specifically, Figure 7B illustrates only the inner boundary **116** of the conventional terrain clearance floor envelope, while Figures 7E and 7F represent the slope profile **118**.

Based on the above parameters, the conventional ground proximity warning system defines the end regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope as follows:

20  $K = \text{Aircraft.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Preselected Buffer Dist.}$   
 $K = 0.05 \text{ nm} + 1 \text{ nm}$   
 $= 1.05 \text{ nm}$

Inner Boundary =  $K = 1.05 \text{ nm}$

Outer Boundary =  $(15 + K)$   
 $= 15 + 1.05 = 16.05 \text{ nm}$

25 Inter. Boundary =  $(4 + K) = 5.05 \text{ nm}$

Inter. Boundary =  $(12 + K) = 13.05 \text{ nm}$

and the side regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope as follows:

30  $K = \text{Aircraft.Pos.Uncert.} + \text{Preselected Buffer Dist.}$   
 $K = 0.05 \text{ nm} + 1 \text{ nm}$   
 $= 1.05 \text{ nm}$

Inner Boundary =  $K + L/2$   
 $= (4000 \text{ ft})(1 \text{ nm}/6076.11 \text{ ft}) + 1.05 \text{ nm}$

$$= 1.71$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Outer Boundary} &= (15 + K + L/2) \\ &= 15 + 1.71 = 16.71 \text{ nm} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Inter. Boundary} = (4 + K + L/2) = 5.71 \text{ nm}$$

$$5 \quad \text{Inter. Boundary} = (12 + K + L/2) = 13.71 \text{ nm}$$

As can be seen from the comparison of the respective envelopes generated by the present invention and the conventional ground proximity warning system, the apparatus, methods, and computer program products of the present invention provide a more precise determination of the location of the terrain clearance floor envelope.

10 Further, the processor, methods, and computer program products do not unnecessarily increase the region between the envelope and selected runway where the ground proximity warning system ceases generating alerts.

In addition to providing apparatus and methods, the present invention also provides computer program products for generating a terrain clearance floor envelope about a selected runway for use in a ground proximity warning system. The computer  
15 program products have a computer readable storage medium having computer readable program code means embodied in the medium. With reference to Figure 2, the computer readable storage medium may be part of the memory device **40**, and the processor **46** of the present invention may implement the computer readable program  
20 code means to define a terrain clearance floor envelope as described in the various embodiments above.

The computer-readable program code means includes first computer instruction means for defining the terrain clearance floor envelope dependent upon at least two boundaries. Specifically, first computer instruction means defines at least  
25 one of the boundaries based on at least one of a runway position quality factor representing an uncertainty factor in the location of the runway and an altitude data quality factor representing an uncertainty factor in the altitude of the aircraft. In one embodiment, of the present invention, the first computer instruction means defines a boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope by summing the runway position  
30 quality factor, altitude data quality factor, and the aircraft position quality factor.

In some embodiment, the computer-readable program code means may further include second computer instruction means for generating the altitude data quality factor by dividing the uncertainty in the altitude of the aircraft by the tangent of the predetermined glideslope angle. The computer-readable program code means may

further include third computer instruction means for accessing a stored database containing runway position quality factors for a plurality of candidate runways, such that the first computer instruction means defines at least one of the boundaries based on the runway position quality factor associated with the selected runway.

5           In another embodiment, the computer-readable program code means includes first computer instruction means for defining the terrain clearance floor envelope to be asymmetrical such that respective profiles of the envelope in a radial cross-section are different in at least two radial directions from a center point of the selected runway. Depending on the embodiment, the first computer instruction means may define end  
10 and side regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope differently to reflect different landing and flight patterns as described above in relation to the various apparatus and methods of the present invention.

          In this regard, Figures 2, 4, and 5 are block diagram, flowchart and control flow illustrations of methods, systems and program products according to the  
15 invention. It will be understood that each block or step of the block diagram, flowchart and control flow illustrations, and combinations of blocks in the block diagram, flowchart and control flow illustrations, can be implemented by computer program instructions. These computer program instructions may be loaded onto a computer or other programmable apparatus to produce a machine, such that the  
20 instructions which execute on the computer or other programmable apparatus create means for implementing the functions specified in the block diagram, flowchart or control flow block(s) or step(s). These computer program instructions may also be stored in a computer-readable memory that can direct a computer or other programmable apparatus to function in a particular manner, such that the instructions  
25 stored in the computer-readable memory produce an article of manufacture including instruction means which implement the function specified in the block diagram, flowchart or control flow block(s) or step(s). The computer program instructions may also be loaded onto a computer or other programmable apparatus to cause a series of operational steps to be performed on the computer or other programmable apparatus  
30 to produce a computer implemented process such that the instructions which execute on the computer or other programmable apparatus provide steps for implementing the functions specified in the block diagram, flowchart or control flow block(s) or step(s). Accordingly, blocks or steps of the block diagram, flowchart or control flow illustrations support combinations of means for performing the specified functions,



combinations of steps for performing the specified functions and program instruction means for performing the specified functions. It will also be understood that each block or step of the block diagram, flowchart or control flow illustrations, and combinations of blocks or steps in the block diagram, flowchart or control flow  
5 illustrations, can be implemented by special purpose hardware-based computer systems which perform the specified functions or steps, or combinations of special purpose hardware and computer instructions.

Many modifications and other embodiments of the invention will come to mind to one skilled in the art to which this invention pertains having the benefit of the  
10 teachings presented in the foregoing descriptions and the associated drawings. Therefore, it is to be understood that the invention is not to be limited to the specific embodiments disclosed and that modifications and other embodiments are intended to be included within the scope of the appended claims. Although specific terms are employed herein, they are used in a generic and descriptive sense only and not for  
15 purposes of limitation.

**WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:**

1. A method for generating a terrain clearance floor envelope about a selected runway for use in a ground proximity warning system to provide ground proximity alerts to an aircraft, wherein said method comprises the step of defining the terrain  
5 clearance floor envelope to be asymmetrical such that respective profiles of the envelope in a radial cross-section are different in at least two radial directions from a center point of the selected runway.

2. A method according to Claim 1, wherein the selected runway extends lengthwise between opposed runway ends and extends widthwise between opposed  
10 runway sides, wherein said defining step comprises defining the terrain clearance floor envelope such that an end profile of the terrain clearance floor envelope adjacent to an end of the selected runway is different from a side profile of the terrain clearance floor envelope adjacent to a side of the selected runway.

3. A method according to Claim 2, wherein said defining step comprises  
15 defining the terrain clearance floor envelope to have an inner boundary proximate to the selected runway, wherein the ground proximity warning system no longer generates alerts within a region surrounding the selected runway and bounded by the inner boundary of the terrain clearance floor envelope.

4. A method according to Claim 3, wherein said defining step comprises  
20 defining at least a portion of the side profile adjacent to the inner boundary to have a different altitude than at least a portion of the end profile adjacent to the inner boundary, such that the ground proximity warning system provides different alert criteria for an aircraft that approaches at the side of the selected runway than an aircraft that approaches at the end of the selected runway.

25 5. A method according to Claim 4, wherein said defining step comprises defining at least a portion of the side profile adjacent to the inner boundary to have a constant predetermined altitude, wherein the defined portion extends from the inner boundary to a predetermined distance away from the inner boundary.

6. A method according to Claim 2, wherein said defining step comprises  
30 defining a terrain clearance floor envelope having side regions that are adjacent to the

sides of the selected runway and end regions adjacent to the ends of the selected runway, wherein said defining step defines at least one of the end regions of the terrain clearance floor envelope to have a first portion having converging sides that converge from a first width to a second width and a second portion having parallel  
5 sides spaced apart by the second width and extending from the converging sides of the first portion.

7. A method according to Claim 6, wherein said defining step comprises defining the terrain clearance floor envelope such that the first portion of the end region of the envelope has converging sides that converge toward a lengthwise axis of  
10 the selected runway and the second portion of the end region is proximate to the end of the selected runway.

8. A method according to Claim 3, wherein said defining step comprises defining the terrain clearance floor envelope such that at least a portion of the inner boundary is located at a distance of at least one of a runway position quality factor  
15 and an altitude data quality factor from the selected runway.

9. A method according to Claim 8, wherein said defining step comprises defining the terrain clearance floor envelope such that the inner boundary has side portions adjacent to the sides of the selected runway and end portions adjacent to the ends of the selected runway, wherein said defining step defines at least one of the end  
20 portions of the inner boundary as an arc having a radius based on at least one of a runway position quality factor and an altitude data quality factor.

10. A method according to Claim 9, wherein said defining step comprises defining the terrain clearance floor envelope such that at least one of the end portions of the inner boundary is an arc having a radius equal to the sum of a runway position  
25 quality factor and an altitude data quality factor from an end point of the selected runway.

11. A method according to Claim 9, wherein said defining step comprises defining the terrain clearance floor envelope such that at least one of the side portions of the inner boundary is a line extending parallel to a lengthwise axis of the selected  
30 runway.

12. A method according to Claim 1, wherein said defining step comprises defining the terrain clearance floor envelope such that at least one of the side portions of the inner boundary is located at a distance of at least one of a runway position quality factor and an altitude data quality factor from the side of the selected runway.





Figure 1

2/12



3/12




Figure 3A





Figure 3B

4/12

Figure 4






Figure 5

6/12




Figure 6

7/12




Figure 7A




Figure 7B

8/12




Figure 7C




Figure 7D

9/12




Figure 7E




Figure 7F

10/12




Figure 8A

11/12




Figure 8B

12/12




Figure 8C



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. l. Application No

PCT/US 00/02572

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 G01C5/00 G05D1/06

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G05D G08G G01C B64D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 4 914 436 A (BATEMAN CHARLES D ET AL) 3 April 1990 (1990-04-03) cited in the application column 2, line 11 - line 14 column 2, line 29 - line 32 column 3, line 1 - line 17 column 6, line 6 - line 10 figure 2	1-12
A	FR 2 548 788 A (SUNDSTRAND DATA CONTROL) 11 January 1985 (1985-01-11) Abstract page 1, line 6 - line 11 claims 1,3	1-12

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 June 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

21/06/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Philippot, B

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. l. Application No

PCT/US 00/02572

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 839 080 A (MULLER HANS R ET AL) 17 November 1998 (1998-11-17) cited in the application column 8, line 55 -column 10, line 65 ---	1-5
A	US 5 608 392 A (FAIVRE FRANÇOIS ET AL) 4 March 1997 (1997-03-04) column 2, paragraph 2 column 2, line 64 - line 67 -----	1

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 00/02572

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4914436 A	03-04-1990	CA 1317010 A	27-04-1993
		DE 3850835 D	01-09-1994
		DE 3850835 T	16-02-1995
		EP 0309578 A	05-04-1989
		JP 1503014 T	12-10-1989
		WO 8808182 A	20-10-1988
FR 2548788 A	11-01-1985	US 4684948 A	04-08-1987
		AU 547328 B	17-10-1985
		AU 2884584 A	10-01-1985
		BE 900113 A	05-11-1984
		CA 1240384 A	09-08-1988
		CH 660468 A	30-04-1987
		DE 3424957 A	24-01-1985
		FI 842728 A	09-01-1985
		GB 2142891 A, B	30-01-1985
		GR 82241 A	13-12-1984
		IL 72250 A	10-06-1991
		IT 1205353 B	15-03-1989
		JP 4003835 B	24-01-1992
		JP 60025469 A	08-02-1985
		NL 8402135 A, B,	01-02-1985
		NZ 208664 A	12-02-1988
		SE 8403473 A	09-01-1985
US 5839080 A	17-11-1998	EP 0842396 A	20-05-1998
		WO 9705450 A	13-02-1997
US 5608392 A	04-03-1997	FR 2717934 A	29-09-1995
		CA 2144808 A	23-09-1995
		EP 0674300 A	27-09-1995
		JP 7257494 A	09-10-1995