

(19)



Octrooi Centrum
Nederland

(11)

2009310

(12) **C OCTROOI**

(21)

Aanvraagnummer: **2009310**

(51)

Int.Cl.:

B01D 53/14 (2006.01)

C10K 1/16 (2006.01)

(22)

Aanvraag ingediend: **10.08.2012**

(43)

Aanvraag gepubliceerd:

-

(73)

Octrooihouder(s):

**Stichting Energieonderzoek Centrum
Nederland te Petten.**

(47)

Octrooi verleend:

18.02.2014

(72)

Uitvinder(s):

**Berend Joost Vreugdenhil te Alkmaar.
Alexander Bos te Groet.**

(45)

Octrooischrift uitgegeven:

26.02.2014

(74)

Gemachtigde:

Dr. R. Jorritsma c.s. te Den Haag.

(54)

Tar-scrubbing liquid.

(57)

Tar-like components can be removed from gas streams resulting from gasification of coal, waste or biomass by contacting the gas with a liquid organic polysiloxane. The polysiloxane preferably contains alkyl groups and aryl groups, and is in particular a polymethyl polyphenyl polysiloxane. The gas comprises one or more of hydrogen, carbon monoxide carbon dioxide and methane.

NL C 2009310

Dit octrooi is verleend ongeacht het bijgevoegde resultaat van het onderzoek naar de stand van de techniek en schriftelijke opinie. Het octrooischrift wijkt af van de oorspronkelijk ingediende stukken. Alle ingediende stukken kunnen bij NL Octrooi Centrum worden ingezien.

Tar-scrubbing liquid

5 **[0001]** The present invention relates to an advanced method for removing suspended organic material such as tar from gas flows. Such gas flows may originate from gasification of biomass, organic waste or coal.

Background

[0002] A method for clarifying gas streams is known from WO 2008/010717. In this method, gas leaving a biomass reactor is subjected to a hydrocarbon oil flow. Excess oil is recirculated and is used after filtration for contact with the gas from the reactor.
10 Additional oil is received from a downstream separation device into which the gas is entered.

[0003] WO 03/018723 discloses a so-called OLGA system for gasifying biomass. The gas which results from gasification is subjected to a two-step cleaning treatment for removing tars. In a first step the gas is condensed in a first cleaning fluid which is a hydrocarbon oil. Saturation can take place for example by spraying oil in the gas stream.
15 In a second stage oil is used for absorption of the remaining tars in an absorption column. After use the oil with tars is discharged to a separator wherein the heavy fractions are returned to the biomass gasifier and the lighter fractions are further used as oil for the above process.

20 **[0004]** WO 2011/037463 discloses an oil recovery system (ORS) for a more effective tar removal from gasified biomass. It uses a first cleaning oil which is based on aromatic hydrocarbons and wherein the mixture of tar and first cleaning oil is separated into a light fraction and a heavy fraction, and the light fraction is reused as first cleaning oil. The second cleaning oil for removing residual tar components is based on aliphatic
25 hydrocarbons.

[0005] The use of hydrocarbon oils for removing tars has some disadvantages, such as a limited stability at high temperatures and in the presence of water and oxidative agents, resulting in degradation and loss of oil due to the significant volatility of the hydrocarbons. As a result, the scrubbing liquid and equipment are contaminated and the
30 process must be interrupted at regular intervals for exchange of scrubbing liquid and cleaning of equipment.

[0006] It was found that the problems associated with these prior art processes can be effectively solved by using a scrubbing oil based on a polysiloxane.

Description of the invention

[0007] The invention thus pertains to a process of clarifying a gas stream, comprising contacting the gas with a liquid organic polysiloxane. The gas stream to be clarified can be any gas comprising small molecules such as hydrogen, nitrogen, small hydrocarbons (up to 4 carbon atoms, such as methane and ethane), carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide. For example, the gas may comprise hydrogen, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, methane, nitrogen, and water (vapour), in addition to the tar components. The gas is in particular an energy gas or a synthesis gas containing one or more of hydrogen, carbon monoxide, and methane, in addition to varying levels of nitrogen, carbon dioxide and/or water, and sometimes ammonia and small hydrocarbons other than methane.

[0008] More in particular, the gas comprises at least 30 vol.%, especially more than 40% of one or more of hydrogen, methane and carbon monoxide. A typical composition of a gasification mixture comprises 10-40 % CO, 5-30% CO₂, 2-40% H₂, 4-24% CH₄ and 5-40 % H₂O (based on volumes). Tar-like components may be present in widely varying concentration, e.g. from 1 ppm to 2% or higher, of which benzene may be the most abundant one (e.g. up to 1% (v/v) and up to 10% of the energy of the gasification mixture). In case of gasification using air, these levels are roughly divided by 2, the remaining 40-60% of the mixture being nitrogen.


[0009] Such a gas may originate from the gasification of biomass, organic waste, coal or a mixture of these. The organic waste may e.g. municipal solid waste (MSW) and materials resulting in refuse-derived fuel (RDF). The biomass may be agricultural or forestry residues (wood chips, leaves, straw, grass, etc.) and the like.

[0010] The organic polysiloxane to be used as a washing (scrubbing) liquid comprises an alternating silicon-oxygen chain wherein the silicon is further substituted with organic groups. Preferably a part of the organic groups are aryl groups, including aralkyl or alkaryl groups. Preferably, the polysiloxane comprises an average of between 0.2 and 1.8 C₅-C₁₄ aryl group, more preferably C₅-C₁₀ aryl group per silicon atom. Advantageously, the polysiloxane also comprises alkyl groups, in particular 0.2-1.8 C₁-C₆ alkyl group per silicon atom, more in particular C₁-C₄ alkyl groups per silicon atom.

[0011] In a preferred embodiment, wherein the polysiloxane comprises an average of between 0.5 and 1.5 C₅-C₁₀, more preferably C₅-C₁₄ aryl group and/or between 0.5 and 1.5 C₁-C₄ alkyl group per silicon atom. The polysiloxane preferentially has a molar

weight between 500 and 14,000 Da, preferably between 700 and 7,000 Da, more preferably between 1,000 and 5,500.

[0012] The polysiloxane can be represented by one of the formulas (I) and (II) ;



wherein:

- R^1 and R^2 are the same or different, optionally substituted $\text{C}_1\text{-C}_{14}$ hydrocarbyl groups,
- n is between 5 and 100, preferably between 7 and 40,
- $n1 + n2 + n3 = n$, $(n1+n3)/n2$ is between $1/9$ and $9/1$, preferably between $1/4$ and $4/1$, most preferably between $2/3$ and $3/2$, and/or $(n2+n3)/n1$ is between $1/9$ and $9/1$, preferably between $1/3$ and $3/1$, most preferably between $2/3$ and $3/2$.

[0013] Preferred polysiloxanes of formulas (I) and (II) are those wherein R^1 comprises at least 50% (by number) of aryl groups, preferably of 5-14 carbon atoms, more preferably of 5-10 carbon atoms, the remainder being e.g. alkyl groups. Preferably at least 80%, more preferably at least 95% of the groups R^1 are such aryl groups. Aryl groups as used herein include alkylaryl and arylalkyl (aralkyl) groups. Suitable aryl groups include phenyl, methylphenyl (p-tolyl), methoxyphenyl, benzyl, 2-phenyl-isopropyl, naphthyl and the like. Preferably R^2 comprises $\text{C}_1\text{-C}_4$ alkyl groups. Suitable alkyl groups include e.g. methyl and ethyl.

[0014] The polysiloxane can be a homopolymer, as represented by formula (I), or an alternating, block or random copolymer as depicted by formula (II), of dialkyl, alkyl-aryl and/or diaryl siloxanes. It can also be a mixture of different poly-dialkyl, poly-alkyl-aryl and/or polydiaryl siloxanes. Preferably, the polysiloxane is a poly-methyl-phenyl-siloxane or a polydiphenyl-co-dimethyl-siloxane or a polymethyl-phenyl-co-dimethyl-siloxane. Preferably the proportion of aryl groups is between 0.5 and 1.5 aryl (e.g. phenyl) groups per silicon atom. A most preferred polysiloxane is poly(methyl-phenylsiloxane). Depending on the tar components to be removed and the removal conditions, specific siloxanes, e.g. having specific molecular weight and/or specific organic groups can be prepared and used. Polysiloxanes can be prepared by methods known in the art. Various organic polysiloxanes including poly(methyl-phenylsiloxane) and poly-co-diphenyl-dimethyl-siloxane are commercially available.

[0015] In the process of the invention, the gas is contacted with the polysiloxane at elevated temperatures, such as a temperature between 30 and 150 °C, preferably

between 60 and 120 °C, to absorb therein the aromatic tar-like components. Contrary to prior art processes using hydrocarbon/based scrubbing liquids, the temperature need not be above the water dew point, since water can be absorbed into the liquid without causing problems. The possibility of operating below the water dew point constitutes another advantage of the process of the invention.

[0016] The above contacting (scrubbing) temperatures will apply at atmospheric or slightly superatmospheric conditions. When using higher pressures, the temperatures will typically be higher so as to have comparable vapour pressures of the various components. As a rough rule of thumb, a doubling of the pressure corresponds to a higher temperature of about 20°C. Thus, an absorption step performed at 80°C and 1 bar is roughly equivalent to a step performed at 100°C and 2 bar, or at 145°C at 10 bar, etc.

[0017] After being contacted with the gas, the polysiloxane, with the aromatic compounds absorbed therein, is heated to a temperature which is at least 50 °C, preferably between 80 and 120°C above the contacting temperature, and is stripped (desorbed) with a stripping gas, such as nitrogen, to remove the aromatic compounds. After stripping, the stripped polysiloxane is returned for a further contact cycle.

[0018] Again, these temperature differences apply at equal pressures. Instead of increasing the temperature, the pressure can be lowered, where, again, a temperature increase of 20°C arbitrarily corresponds to a pressure decrease of a factor 2 and a temperature increase of at least 50°C corresponds to a pressure decrease of a factor of at least 5.6. So, at equal temperatures, the stripping is preferably performed at a pressure which is a factor between 16 and 80 below the scrubbing pressure.

[0019] In absolute terms, the stripping can be performed for example at a temperature between 120 and 250 °C, in particular between 150 and 220 °C at atmospheric pressure. Alternatively, the preferred stripping temperatures are e.g. between 90 and 220°C, preferably between 120 and 190°C at 1/8 (0.125) bar.

[0020] The gas stream is to be cleared from heavier compounds which hinder the subsequent use of the gas stream. Compounds to be removed are especially tar-like or tar-constituting compounds, in particular hydrocarbons having 6 or more carbon atoms. The compounds especially comprise aromatic hydrocarbons having 6 or more carbon atoms, such as benzene, alkylbenzenes, naphthalene and alkylnaphthalenes, and higher homologues, as well as the corresponding hydroxyl (phenolic) and amino compounds. The process of the invention is particularly useful for removing bicyclic, tricyclic and

tetracyclic aromatic compounds having 9-18 carbon atoms, which are among the lighter tar components. These are largely carbocyclic, but heterocyclic compounds such as indoles, (iso)quinolines and chromenes may also be present. Also water and ammonia, as well as alcohols and amines (aliphatic or aromatic) and chlorine and sulphur components are conveniently removed by the process of the invention.

[0021] Examples of (poly)aromatic compounds which are effectively removed by the present process include indene, naphthalene, quinoline, isoquinoline, 2-methylnaphthalene, 1-methyl-naphthalene, biphenyl, ethenyl-naphthalene, acenaphthylene, acenaphthene, fluorene, anthracene, phenanthrene, fluoranthene, pyrene, aceanthrylene, benzoanthracenes and chrysene. In addition to the C₉-C₁₈ aromatic components, e.g. having 7 or 8 carbon atoms, slightly lighter as well as heavier tar-like compounds, e.g. up to 24 carbon atoms are effectively removed by the present process as well. Examples include the lighter components phenol, cresols, xylenes, ethylbenzene, styrene, cumene and the like, as well as the heavier benzofluoranthenes, benzopyrenes, perylene, picene, benzoperylenes, indenoperylenes, dibenzoanthracenes, benzoperylenes, coronene etc.

[0022] It is preferred that if the gas stream contains substantial levels of tar-like components having more than 18 carbon atoms, in particular if it contains components of more than 24 carbon atoms, a pre-cleaning steps is incorporated in the clarification process, as further described below.

[0023] In an embodiment of the invention, when the gas contains substantial levels of heavier tars, the gas to be clarified is pre-treated with another scrubbing liquid prior to being contacted to the polysiloxane. Such a prior scrubbing liquid preferably has a high affinity to more complex polycyclic (aromatic) hydrocarbons. Suitable prior scrubbing liquids are aromatic hydrocarbons, for example polyaromatic compounds corresponding to the tar-like compounds present in the gas and/or originate from scrubbing the tar-containing gas, comprising polyaromatics having 2-4 rings. Commercially available coal tars or coal tar naphthas (the lighter equivalent of coal tar) mainly consisting of C₇-C₁₈ hydrocarbons, are suitable as starting material prior scrubbing. They will be supplented during scrubbing with tars extracted from the gas. The prior scrubbing can be performed at a higher temperature than the scrubbing with the polysiloxane, for example a liquid inlet temperature of between 150 and 300°C, and a gas inlet temperature between 250°C and 900 °C.

[0024] Alternatively, or additionally, the gas issuing from the gasification may be cleared from dust particles before and/or after an optional prior scrubbing step by

passing it e.g. through an electrostatic filter or an electrostatic precipitator as described in WO2008/010717. Other methods or devices for clearing dust and/or tar particles prior to the scrubbing step, can be used as well, such as an aerosol scavenger as described in WO 2011/099850.

5 **[0025]** In the prior scrubbing step using (poly)aromatic hydrocarbons as absorption liquid, the spent liquid resulting from the absorption step can be subjected to a separation step using evaporation of the lighter components. These lighter components can be reused as absorption (scrubbing) liquid for the prior scrubbing step. The heavier
10 fraction resulting from the separation step is discharged. Part of this discharged heavy fraction can be returned to the inlet of the gasification or pyrolysis reactor and converted to lighter components, or it can be used for other purposes such as heating. Further details for a prior absorption (scrubbing) step using aromatic hydrocarbons are described in WO 2011/037463.

[0026] If desired a further cleaning step can be introduced before or after the
15 polysiloxane cleaning step, for example for further removing polar compounds such as ammonia or the like, using neutral, acidic or alkaline aqueous scrubbing liquids, or for removing water, e.g. by condensation. However, appreciable levels of water, ammonia, amines and the like are effectively captured by the polysiloxane liquid, so that additional cleaning steps will often not be necessary, unless the gas contains very high
20 levels of such polar compounds. As these compounds such as water and ammonia are also readily desorbed from the polysiloxane scrubbing liquid, leaving the scrubbing liquid clean and stable, this constitutes a major advantage of the process of the invention.

[0027] The operation of the process and system of the invention can be described with
25 reference to the accompanying figure. The system comprises an absorbing unit 32 such as a column, in which the gas to be clarified is fed in one part, preferably the bottom part, through gas inlet 34 and the scrubbing liquid comprising the polysiloxane is introduced through liquid inlet 35 in another part, preferably in the top part. Contact
between the up-flowing gas and the down-flowing liquid can be enhanced by
30 conventional means such as by spraying, using a packed column or a plate column. Although co-current scrubbing is feasible, a counter-current mode, using a packed column, is preferred. Clean gas can leave the absorbing unit at the top through 37, preferably after passing a mist-collecting unit so as to minimise loss of polysiloxane liquid. Spent scrubbing liquid in which the tar components are absorbed, is collected at

the bottom and discharged through outlet 38. The absorbing unit can be operated at temperatures of e.g. between 30 and 150°C, at atmospheric or slightly superatmospheric pressures, or at higher temperatures, when higher pressures are applied.

- [0028]** Desorption of the spent scrubbing liquid is preferably performed in a stripping unit 46, where the tar-like components are desorbed from the polysiloxane scrubbing liquid by a scrubbing gas. The stripping unit can be a tray tower, packed column, bubble column, spray tower or the like. The stripping gas can be co-currently or, preferably, counter-currently contacted with the spent polysiloxane. The spent liquid is fed to the stripping unit through line 41, optionally containing a pump 40, a safety filter 42 and a heater 43 and introduced through inlet 48. The stripping gas is introduced at inlet 50 and the spent stripping gas is discharged through outlet 51. The stripping gas can be air, nitrogen, carbon dioxide or mixtures thereof. The stripping unit is operated at about 100°C above the temperature of the absorption column, more generally between 70 and 120°C above the temperature of the absorption column, when using the same pressures.
- At atmospheric pressures, the temperatures can be between 120 and 250°C. Instead of using higher temperatures, the stripping unit 46 can be operated at lower pressure than the absorption unit 32. The desorbed polysiloxane exits the stripper through 52 and is returned to the absorption column through line 54, optionally using through a pump 58 and a cooler 56. Heater 43 and cooler 56 can advantageously be in heat exchanging communication. Instead or in addition to the heater 43 and cooler 56, pressurising and depressurising devices can be inserted. The gas issuing from the stripping unit through 51 can be cooled for condensing and separating the tar components. If desired, these separated tar components can be used to adjust the content of aromatic hydrocarbons and/or the viscosity of upstream scrubbing liquids.
- [0029]** The system of the invention for producing and cleaning an energy gas or synthesis gas can comprise a coal, waste or biomass gasifier or pyrolyser 10 to which a flow of biomass is added through an inlet. The gasifying gas can be e.g. air, oxygen and/or steam. The biomass, coal and/or waste can be further supplied with tar-like components issuing for one of the downstream clarifying process steps. Gasification can be performed at a temperature of 600-1300°C using sub-stoichiometric quantities of oxygen. Pyrolysis can be performed at the same or somewhat lower temperature (e.g. from 450°C up to 950°C).

[0030] Gas issuing from the gasifier can be subjected to a first separation step 15 based on gravitation and more particularly with a cyclone for removing dust. Next, the partly cleaned gas flow can be treated in the prior scrubbing step 20. Part or most of the tars contained in the gas flow are caught in this way. Besides tars based on hydrocarbons and dust, also sulphur and chloride-containing material can be removed from the gas flow. In an optional next step, the partly cleaned gas can be passed through a filter 25, for example an electrostatic precipitator, which removes dust. Instead of scrubber 20 and/or filter 25, an alternative tar and dust removing step can be inserted, such as an aerosol scavenger. Then, the gas can be entered in the process of the invention through line 26 for removing residual tar using the polysiloxane scrubbing and enters the scrubber through inlet 34. The preceding units 10, 15, 20 and 25 are only schematically depicted in the accompanying figure. More details are given in WO 2008/010717 and WO 2011/037463. Depending on the quality of the input gas, one or more or all of the intermittent cleaning steps described above can be dispensed with.

Description of the figure

The accompanying figure shows a gas cleaning system according to the invention.

Preferred embodiments of the invention

1. A process of clarifying a gas stream containing tar-like components, comprising contacting the gas with a liquid organic polysiloxane.
2. A process according to embodiment 1, wherein the polysiloxane comprises an average of between 0.2 and 1.8 C5-C14 aryl group per silicon atom.
3. A process according to embodiment 1 or 2, wherein the polysiloxane comprises an average of between 0.5 and 1.5 C5-C10 aryl group and between 0.5 and 1.5 C1-C4 alkyl group per silicon atom.
4. A process according to any one of embodiments 1-3, wherein the polysiloxane has a molar weight between 700 and 7000 Da.
5. A process according to any one of embodiments 1-3, wherein the polysiloxane is a polymethylphenylsiloxane or a poly-diphenyl-dimethyl-siloxane.
6. A process according to any one of the preceding embodiments, wherein the gas comprises one or more of hydrogen, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, methane and nitrogen.
7. A process according to any one of the preceding embodiments, wherein the gas is to be clarified from tar-like components selected from organic compounds of more than 6 carbon atoms.

8. A process according to any one of the preceding embodiments, wherein the gas is contacted with the polysiloxane at a contacting temperature between 30 and 150 °C, preferably between 60 and 120 °C, at atmospheric contacting pressure, or at a corresponding higher temperature at higher pressure.
- 5 9. A process according to embodiment 8, wherein the polysiloxane, after the contacting with the gas, is heated to a temperature which is higher than the temperature of the contacting step and/or is depressurised to a pressure which is lower than the contacting pressure, preferably in the presence of a stripping gas, and is subsequently returned for a further contact cycle.
- 10 10. A process according to embodiment 9, wherein, at equal pressure, the higher temperature is at least 50 °C above the contacting temperature, preferably between 80 and 120°C above the contacting temperature, or wherein, , at equal temperature, the lower pressure is at least 5.6 times, preferably between 8 and 16 times lower than the contacting pressure.
- 15 11. A process according to any one of the preceding embodiments, wherein the gas, prior to contacting to the polysiloxane, is treated with a scrubbing liquid comprising aromatic hydrocarbons, at a temperature of between 150 and 900 °C.
12. A process according to any one of the preceding embodiments, wherein the gas, prior to contacting to the polysiloxane, is subjected to an electrostatic filter and/or a
20 aerosol scavenger.
13. A process according to any one of the preceding embodiments, wherein the gas originates from the gasification of biomass, organic waste, coal or a combination thereof.

Example

- 25 A substitute natural gas (SNG) containing 13 vol% methane, 2 vol% nitrogen, 32 vol% carbon monoxide, 18 vol% carbon dioxide, 28% vol% hydrogen and 4% and containing about 11-12 g/Nm³ of C8-C16 (poly)aromatic hydrocarbons, was subjected to absorption using either a conventional aliphatic hydrocarbon derived from mineral oil or a commercial polymethylphenylsiloxane (PMPS) as an absorption liquid, and the
30 absorption liquid was subsequently stripped using air.

The setting of the absorber and stripper were as follows in both cases:

Temperature absorber = 80°C

Temperature stripper = 180°C

Gas flow stripper = 16 l/min ($\sim 1 \text{ Nm}^3/\text{h}$)

Oil flow absorber/stripper = 2.1 l/min

The tests were performed 4 times and the results were averaged. Table 1 below shows the concentrations (in mg/Nm^3) and removal rates for the hydrocarbons up to pyrene.

- 5 Benzene and toluene were omitted as they could not be precisely measured. Tar components of a level below $50 \text{ mg}/\text{Nm}^3$ (in both columns) were also omitted.

Continued operation using the aliphatic hydrocarbon oil resulted in a significant loss of about 6 g oil per m^3 of scrubbed gas, whereas the loss of the polysiloxane was negligible ($< 0.5 \text{ g}/\text{m}^3$). The hydrocarbon oil turns brown and eventually black and starts
 10 smelling after a few scrubbing cycles, whereas the polysiloxane oil remains clear and essentially colourless after prolonged operation.


Table 1: Removal rate of tar components by aliphatic oil vs. polysiloxane oil

	Mineral Oil			PMPS		
	Abs in	Abs out	Removal	Abs in	Abs out	Removal
Ethylbenzene	14	2	87%	66	7	90%
m/p-Xylene	59	10	84%	110	23	79%
o-Xylene + Styrene	791	83	89%	1169	163	86%
Phenol	510	34	93%	404	7	98%
Indene	2478	17	99%	1219	6	99%
m/p-Cresol	40	3	94%	62	17	73%
Naphthalene	5978	103	98%	4746	20	100%
2-Methylnaphthalene	225	7	97%	346	1	100%
1-Methylnaphthalene	132	4	97%	191	0	100%
Biphenyl	99	1	99%	160	0	100%
Acenaphthene	307	11	96%	492	6	99%
Fluorene	133	10	93%	286	1	100%
Phenanthrene	202	19	90%	536	2	100%
Anthracene	26	2	94%	71	0	100%
Fluoranthene	56	9	85%	149	1	99%
Pyrene	33	2	94%	100	1	99%

Conclusies

1. Werkwijze voor het reinigen van een gasstroom die teerachtige componenten bevat, waarbij men het gas in contact brengt met organisch polysiloxaan als wasvloeistof, waarin het polysiloxaan een arylpolysiloxaan is.
- 5 2. Werkwijze volgens conclusie 1, waarbij het polysiloxaan een gemiddelde tussen 0,2 en 1,8 C5-C14 arylgroep per siliciumatoom bevat.
3. Werkwijze volgens conclusie 1 of 2, waarbij het polysiloxaan een gemiddelde tussen 0,5 en 1,5 C5-C10 arylgroep en tussen 0,5 en 1,5 C1-C4 alkylgroep per siliciumatoom bevat.
- 10 4. Werkwijze volgens een van de conclusies 1-3, waarbij het polysiloxaan een molgewicht tussen 700 en 7000 Da heeft.
5. Werkwijze volgens een van de conclusies 1-3, waarbij het polysiloxaan een polymethylfenylsiloxaan of een polydifenyl dimethylsiloxaan is.
6. Werkwijze volgens een van de voorgaande conclusies, waarbij het gas een of meer
15 van waterstof, koolmonoxide, kooldioxide, methaan en stikstof omvat.
7. Werkwijze volgens een van de voorgaande conclusies, waarbij het gas moet worden gereinigd van teerachtige componenten gekozen uit organische verbindingen met meer dan 6 koolstofatomen.
8. Werkwijze volgens een van de voorgaande conclusies, waarbij het gas bij een
20 contacttemperatuur tussen 30 en 150 °C, bij voorkeur tussen 60 en 120 °C, bij atmosferische contactdruk, of bij een overeenkomstig hogere temperatuur bij hogere druk met het polysiloxaan in contact wordt gebracht.
9. Werkwijze volgens conclusie 8, waarbij het polysiloxaan, na het contact met het gas, wordt verwarmd tot een temperatuur die hoger is dan de temperatuur van de
25 contactstap en/of is in druk wordt verlaagd tot een druk die lager is dan de contactdruk, bij voorkeur in aanwezigheid van een stripgas, en vervolgens voor een volgende contactcyclus wordt teruggevoerd.
10. Werkwijze volgens conclusie 9, waarbij de hogere temperatuur bij gelijke druk ten minste 50 °C boven de contacttemperatuur, bij voorkeur tussen 80 en 120°C boven
30 de contacttemperatuur ligt, of waarbij de lagere druk bij gelijke temperatuur ten minste 5,6 maal, bij voorkeur tussen 8 en 16 maal lager dan de contactdruk ligt.

11. Werkwijze volgens een van de voorgaande conclusies, waarbij het gas, voorafgaand aan het contact met het polysiloxaan, bij een temperatuur tussen 150 en 900 °C met een aromatische koolwaterstoffen bevattende wasvloeistof wordt behandeld.
12. Werkwijze volgens een van de voorgaande conclusies, waarbij het gas, voorafgaand
5 aan het contact met het polysiloxaan, aan een electrostatisch filter en/of aan een aerosolvanger wordt onderworpen.
13. Werkwijze volgens een van de voorgaande conclusies, waarbij het gas afkomstig is van de vergassing van biomassa, organisch afval, kolen of een combinatie daarvan.



SAMENWERKINGSVERDRAG (PCT)

RAPPORT BETREFFENDE NIEUWHEIDSONDERZOEK VAN INTERNATIONAAL TYPE

IDENTIFICATIE VAN DE NATIONALE AANVRAGE		KENMERK VAN DE AANVRAGER OF VAN DE GEMACHTIGDE	
		P6041960NL	
Nederlands aanvraag nr. 2009310		Indieningsdatum 10-08-2012	
		Ingeroepen voorrangsdatum	
Aanvrager (Naam) Stichting Energieonderzoek Centrum Nederland			
Datum van het verzoek voor een onderzoek van internationaal type 13-10-2012		Door de Instantie voor Internationaal Onderzoek aan het verzoek voor een onderzoek van internationaal type toegekend nr. SN 58939	
I. CLASSIFICATIE VAN HET ONDERWERP (bij toepassing van verschillende classificaties, alle classificatiesymbolen opgeven)			
Volgens de internationale classificatie (IPC)			
B01D53/14		C10K1/16	
II. ONDERZOCHE GEBIEDEN VAN DE TECHNIEK			
Onderzochte minimumdocumentatie			
Classificatiesysteem	Classificatiesymbolen		
IPC	B01D	C10K	
Onderzochte andere documentatie dan de minimum documentatie, voor zover dergelijke documenten in de onderzochte gebieden zijn opgenomen			
III.	☐ GEEN ONDERZOEK MOGELIJK VOOR BEPAALDE CONCLUSIES (opmerkingen op aanvullingsblad)		
IV.	☐ GEBREK AAN EENHEID VAN UITVINDING (opmerkingen op aanvullingsblad)		

**ONDERZOEKSRAPPORT BETREFFENDE HET
RESULTAAT VAN HET ONDERZOEK NAAR DE STAND
VAN DE TECHNIEK VAN HET INTERNATIONALE TYPE**

Nummer van het verzoek om een onderzoek naar
de stand van de techniek

NL 2009310

A. CLASSIFICATIE VAN HET ONDERWERP
INV. B01D53/14 C10K1/16
ADD.

Volgens de Internationale Classificatie van octrooien (IPC) of zowel volgens de nationale classificatie als volgens de IPC.

B. ONDERZOCHE GEBIEDEN VAN DE TECHNIEK

Onderzochte minimum documentatie (classificatie gevolgd door classificatiesymbolen)
B01D C10K

Onderzochte andere documentatie dan de minimum documentatie, voor dergelijke documenten, voor zover dergelijke documenten in de onderzochte gebieden zijn opgenomen

Tijdens het onderzoek geraadpleegde elektronische gegevensbestanden (naam van de gegevensbestanden en, waar uitvoerbaar, gebruikte trefwoorden)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. VAN BELANG GEACHTE DOCUMENTEN

Categorie °	Geciteerde documenten, eventueel met aanduiding van speciaal van belang zijnde passages	Van belang voor conclusie nr.
X	US 5 772 734 A (BAKER RICHARD W [US] ET AL) 30 juni 1998 (1998-06-30) * samenvatting * * kolom 2, regel 34 - kolom 3, regel 2 * * kolom 3, regel 26 - kolom 4, regel 21 * -----	1-13
X	EP 0 075 536 A1 (CIBA GEIGY AG [CH]) 30 maart 1983 (1983-03-30) * samenvatting * * bladzijde 2, alinea 2 - bladzijde 3, alinea 1 * -----	1-13
X	GB 863 394 A (ICI LTD) 22 maart 1961 (1961-03-22) * bladzijde 1, regels 40-52; voorbeeld 3 * ----- -/-	1-13



Verdere documenten worden vermeld in het vervolg van vak C.



Leden van dezelfde octroofamilie zijn vermeld in een bijlage

° Speciale categorieën van aangehaalde documenten

"A" niet tot de categorie X of Y behorende literatuur die de stand van de techniek beschrijft

"D" in de octrooiaanvraag vermeld

"E" eerdere octrooi(aanvraag), gepubliceerd op of na de indieningsdatum, waarin dezelfde uitvinding wordt beschreven

"L" om andere redenen vermelde literatuur

"O" niet-schriftelijke stand van de techniek

"P" tussen de voorrangsdatum en de indieningsdatum gepubliceerde literatuur

"T" na de indieningsdatum of de voorrangsdatum gepubliceerde literatuur die niet bezwarend is voor de octrooiaanvraag, maar wordt vermeld ter verheldering van de theorie of het principe dat ten grondslag ligt aan de uitvinding

"X" de conclusie wordt als niet nieuw of niet inventief beschouwd ten opzichte van deze literatuur

"Y" de conclusie wordt als niet inventief beschouwd ten opzichte van de combinatie van deze literatuur met andere geciteerde literatuur van dezelfde categorie, waarbij de combinatie voor de vakman voor de hand liggend wordt geacht

"&" lid van dezelfde octroofamilie of overeenkomstige octrooipublicatie

Datum waarop het onderzoek naar de stand van de techniek van internationaal type werd voltooid

19 april 2013

Verzenddatum van het rapport van het onderzoek naar de stand van de techniek van internationaal type

Naam en adres van de instantie

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

De bevoegde ambtenaar

Howe, Patrick

**ONDERZOEKSRAPPORT BETREFFENDE HET
RESULTAAT VAN HET ONDERZOEK NAAR DE STAND
VAN DE TECHNIEK VAN HET INTERNATIONALE TYPE**

Nummer van het verzoek om een onderzoek naar
de stand van de techniek
NL 2009310

C.(Vervolg). VAN BELANG GEACHTE DOCUMENTEN

Categorie °	Geciteerde documenten, eventueel met aanduiding van speciaal van belang zijnde passages	Van belang voor conclusie nr.
X	WO 2010/085244 A1 (LOMAX FRANKLIN D JR [US]; OWENS OWEN [US]; BIZOT PAUL [US]; SKARKA MIL) 29 juli 2010 (2010-07-29) * samenvatting * * alinea's [0006], [0007], [0009], [0010], [0017], [0043] * -----	1-13
X	GB 2 305 136 A (LEVA MAX [US]) 2 april 1997 (1997-04-02) * samenvatting * * bladzijde 1, alinea 5 * * bladzijde 3, alinea 2 * * bladzijde 5, alinea 8 * -----	1-13
A	EP 2 189 416 A1 (INST FRANCAIS DU PETROLE [FR]) 26 mei 2010 (2010-05-26) * samenvatting * * alinea's [0001], [0008], [0012], [0014] - [0017], [0044], [0047] * -----	1-13

**ONDERZOEKSRAPPORT BETREFFENDE HET
RESULTAAT VAN HET ONDERZOEK NAAR DE STAND
VAN DE TECHNIEK VAN HET INTERNATIONALE TYPE**

Informatie over leden van dezelfde octrooifamilie

Nummer van het verzoek om een onderzoek naar
de stand van de techniek

NL 2009310

In het rapport genoemd octrooigescrift	Datum van publicatie	Overeenkomend(e) geschrift(en)	Datum van publicatie
US 5772734	A	30-06-1998	GEEN
EP 0075536	A1	30-03-1983	DE 3261967 D1 28-02-1985 EP 0075536 A1 30-03-1983 JP H0230289 B2 05-07-1990 JP S5864116 A 16-04-1983 US 4441896 A 10-04-1984
GB 863394	A	22-03-1961	GEEN
WO 2010085244	A1	29-07-2010	GEEN
GB 2305136	A	02-04-1997	GEEN
EP 2189416	A1	26-05-2010	CA 2685705 A1 20-05-2010 EP 2189416 A1 26-05-2010 FR 2938522 A1 21-05-2010 US 2010158793 A1 24-06-2010

WRITTEN OPINION

File No. SN58939	Filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 10.08.2012	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>)	Application No. NL2009310
International Patent Classification (IPC) INV. B01D53/14 C10K1/16			
Applicant Stichting Energieonderzoek Centrum Nederland			

This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the application
- ☒ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the application

	Examiner Howe, Patrick
--	---------------------------

WRITTEN OPINION

Application number
NL2009310

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. This opinion has been established on the basis of the latest set of claims filed before the start of the search.
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material:
 - ☐ a sequence listing
 - ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material:
 - ☐ on paper
 - ☐ in electronic form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing:
 - ☐ contained in the application as filed.
 - ☐ filed together with the application in electronic form.
 - ☐ furnished subsequently for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty	Yes: Claims	2-13
	No: Claims	1
Inventive step	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-13
Industrial applicability	Yes: Claims	1-13
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION

Application number

NL2009310

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the application

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1 US 5 772 734 A (BAKER RICHARD W [US] ET AL) 30 juni 1998 (1998-06-30)
- D2 EP 0 075 536 A1 (CIBA GEIGY AG [CH]) 30 maart 1983 (1983-03-30)
- D3 GB 863 394 A (ICI LTD) 22 maart 1961 (1961-03-22)
- D4 WO 2010/085244 A1 (LOMAX FRANKLIN D JR [US]; OWENS OWEN [US]; BIZOT PAUL [US]; SKARKA MIL) 29 juli 2010 (2010-07-29)
- D5 GB 2 305 136 A (LEVA MAX [US]) 2 april 1997 (1997-04-02)

- 1 The present application does not meet the criteria of patentability, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new.
- 1.1 Document D1 discloses [samenvatting; kolom 2, regel 34 - kolom 3, regel 2; kolom 3, regel 26 - kolom 4, regel 21] a method for cleaning a gas stream, whereby the gas stream contains organic compounds (to be removed). The organic compounds include also aromatic hydrocarbons, e.g. benzene, toluene, naphthas, which can all be considered "tar-like" (see item VIII). The gas stream is scrubbed with a liquid, e.g. silicone oil. Silicone oils are organic polysiloxane compounds. The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new.
- 1.2 The documents D2-D5 also each disclose all the features of claim 1.
- 1.2.1 D2 [samenvatting; bladzijde 2, alinea 2 - bladzijde 3, alinea 1]. Nitrobenzene and toluene are both considered tar-like.
- 1.2.2 D3 [bladzijde 1, regels 40-52; voorbeeld 3)]. Polyarylsilicone is also considered an organic polysiloxane. "Higher aromatic compounds" are considered "tar-like" compounds.
- 1.2.3 D4 [samenvatting; alineas [0006], [0007], [0009], [0010], [0017], [0043]]. Silicones are added as antifoaming agents to the food oil.
- 1.2.4 D5 [samenvatting; bladzijde 1, alinea 5; bladzijde 3, alinea 2; bladzijde 5, alinea 8]. Benzene is listed as an example for a VOC, and it is considered a "tar-like" compound.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the application

- 2 Claim 1 is not clear. It specifies "teerachtige" (tar-like) components, however, this expression is not clear. The description gives an explanation (see paragraph 20), which also includes compounds which are not tar-like (e.g. benzene, etc.).