



- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>(51) International Patent Classification:
 <i>H01L 31/101</i> (2006.01) <i>H01L 31/032</i> (2006.01)
 <i>H01L 31/0232</i> (2006.01)</p> <p>(21) International Application Number:
 PCT/US2017/034608</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date:
 26 May 2017 (26.05.2017)</p> <p>(25) Filing Language: English</p> <p>(26) Publication Language: English</p> <p>(30) Priority Data:
 62/342,260 27 May 2016 (27.05.2016) US</p> <p>(71) Applicant: THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, as represented by THE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY [US/US]; Naval Research Laboratory, 875 North Randolph Street, Suite 1425, Arlington, VA 22203 (US).</p> | <p>(72) Inventors: MEYER, Jerry, R.; 121 Oak Drive, Catonsville, MD 21228 (US). VURGAFTMAN, Igor; 492 St. Martins Lane, Severna Park, MD 21146 (US). CANEDY, Chadwick, Lawrence; 910 M St NW #902, Washington, DC 20001 (US). BEWLEY, William, W.; 3509 Gordon Street, Falls Church, VA 22041 (US). KIM, Chul, Soo; 6400 Wainfleet Ct., Springfield, VA 22152 (US). MERRITT, Charles, D.; 4957 Swinton Drive, Fairfax, VA 22032 (US). WARREN, Michael, V.; 900 Army-navy Drive, Apt. 1213, Arlington, VA 22202 (US). KIM, Mijin; 6400 Wainfleet Ct., Springfield, VA 22152 (US).</p> <p>(74) Agent: BROOME, Kerry, L.; US Naval Research Laboratory, 4555 Overlook Ave, SW, Code 1008.2, Washington, DC 20375 (US).</p> <p>(81) Designated States (<i>unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available</i>): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO,</p> |
|--|---|

(54) Title: RESONANT-CAVITY INFRARED PHOTODETECTORS WITH FULLY-DEPLETED ABSORBERS




FIG. 9

(57) Abstract: Resonant-cavity infrared photodetector (RCID) devices that include a thin absorber layer contained entirely within the resonant cavity. In some embodiments, the absorber region is a single type-II InAs-GaSb interface situated between an n-type region comprising an AlSb/InAs n-type superlattice and a p-type AlSb/GaSb region. In other embodiments, the absorber region comprises one or more quantum wells formed on an upper surface of the n-type region. In other embodiments, the absorber region comprises a "W"-structured quantum well situated between two barrier layers, the "W"-structured quantum well comprising a hole quantum well sandwiched between two electron quantum wells. In other embodiments, an RCID in accordance with the present invention includes a thin absorber region and an nBn or pBp active core within a resonant cavity.

DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*

Resonant-Cavity Infrared Photodetectors with Fully-Depleted Absorbers

Navy Case 104086-WO1

5

CROSS-REFERENCE

This Application is a Nonprovisional of and claims the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 based on Provisional Application No. 62/342,260 filed on May 27, 2016.

TECHNICAL FIELD

10 The invention relates to infrared (IR) photodetectors, particularly to IR photodetectors with dramatically improved sensitivity within a chosen spectral band due to absorption enhancement by a vertical resonant cavity in which the absorber region is fully depleted of electrons and holes.

BACKGROUND ART

15 A primary figure of merit for the performance of an IR photodetector is its normalized signal-to-noise ratio, or detectivity D^* . When the detectivity is dominated by the dark electrical noise, the important functional dependence is

$$D^* \propto QE/\lambda J_b^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

20 where QE is the device external quantum efficiency, representing the fraction of incident photons that produce an electron-hole pair that is collected to produce electrical current, λ is the wavelength, and J_b is the dark current density (in the absence of any optical signal or background) at the operating bias. If the operating bias is zero, the dark current density is replaced by kT/R_0A , where R_0A is the resistance-area product at zero bias. The proportionality relation in Equation (1) also depends on some fundamental constants that are not affected by
25 the device design.

Several previous works have discussed and simulated the potential advantages of resonant cavity infrared detectors (RCIDs). See J.G.A. Wehner et al., "Resonant Cavity-Enhanced Mercury Cadmium Telluride Detectors," *Journal of ELECTRONIC*

MATERIALS, Vol. 33, No. 6, 2004, pp. 604-608; *see also* L. Jun et al., "Design of a resonant-cavity-enhanced GaInAsSb/GaSb photodetector," *Sem. Sci. Technol.* 19, 690 (2004).

RCIDs typically form a resonant cavity along the vertical axis by positioning two mirrors above and below the absorber. Thanks to the mirrors, any incident light with a wavelength tuned to the resonant mode of the cavity makes multiple passes through the absorber. This can allow a thin absorber positioned near the antinode of the cavity electric field to absorb most of the incident light for high QE, even when the absorber is much thinner than the absorption length without enhancement ($1/\alpha_0$).

The block schematic in FIG. 1 illustrates aspects of a generic resonant-cavity detector structure according to the prior art, configured for illumination from the top side thereof by light source 110. As can be seen in FIG. 1, such a structure in accordance with the prior art includes a bottom contact layer 102 on a bottom surface of an *n*-type substrate 101 (or otherwise positioned below the absorber region 106), a semiconductor bottom mirror 103, an *n*-type region 104 and *p*-type region 105 (in alternative configurations the *p*-type region may be positioned below the absorber and the *n*-type region above) with a thin absorber region 106 between the *n*- and *p*-type regions, and a dielectric top mirror 108 (which may alternatively be a second semiconductor mirror). The top and bottom mirrors 103/108 form a resonant cavity 100 that significantly enhances the net absorption for high QE even when the absorber is much thinner than the 2-10 μm required to achieve high QE in a conventional broadband detector that does not employ a resonant cavity.

In other configurations known to the art, illumination is from the substrate side. In such cases, the "bottom" contact may be formed by patterning an annular ring on the substrate side of the structure (possibly after the substrate has been removed by polishing and/or etching), and the contact metallization deposited on top of the mesa may form part of the "top" mirror.

The detector mesa (which is typically circular or square) is etched to below the active absorber region 106, and in configurations employing illumination from the top an annular top metal contact layer 109 is deposited around its perimeter. Before metallization of the top contact, the mesa sidewalls and exposed region outside the mesa and below the junction (formed by the mesa etch) are coated with a dielectric 107 such as SiN to prevent shorting of the junction.

The QE at the cavity's resonance wavelength λ_{res} is given by:

$$QE(\lambda_{\text{res}}) = \eta_c \frac{SA(1-R_1)(1+R_2)}{(1-\sqrt{R_1R_2})^2} \quad (2)$$

where R_1 and R_2 are the reflectivities of the top and bottom mirrors, respectively, S is the standing-wave enhancement factor that ranges from 0 to 2, depending on the position of the thin absorber with respect to the antinode of the cavity electric field, η_c is the carrier collection efficiency, which is expected to be nearly unity for the thin absorber, and A is the absorbance per pass in the absence of the cavity. The absorbance A of a thin absorber generally has a limit of $A = \alpha_0 d$ for a bulk-like absorber that does not reside within a resonant cavity, where $\alpha_0(\lambda)$ is the absorption coefficient, and $A = Ma$ for an absorber comprising one or more quantum wells (QWs), where M is the number of QWs and a is a dimensionless fraction representing the absorbance per pass by a single QW.

To maximize the photon absorbance within a relatively small spectral range near the resonance peak, the reflectivity R_2 of the bottom mirror should be as close to unity as possible, while the reflectivity R_1 of the top mirror can be varied to obtain the desired tradeoff between spectral width and absorption enhancement.

When R_1 and R_2 are close to unity, the QE can be high even when the absorber is extremely thin, *e.g.*, a single QW. Another consequence of the cavity's high finesse is that the spectral linewidth over which the absorption is strongly enhanced narrows correspondingly. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the QE spectral peak (in wavelength units) is:

$$\delta\lambda = \frac{(1-\sqrt{R_1R_2})^2}{\pi(R_1R_2)^{1/4}} FSR \quad (3)$$

where $FSR = \lambda_{\text{res}}^2 / (2nL)$ is the free spectral range of the cavity, and n is the refractive index. The effective cavity length L includes both the thickness of the region between the mirrors and the penetration depths into the mirrors, which are non-negligible if the mirrors are realized as quarter-wavelength stacks.

If we further assume perfect collection of the photogenerated carriers ($\eta_c = 1$) and that the thin absorber is positioned exactly at the resonant cavity's antinode ($S = 2$), the

on-resonance QE from Equation (2) and the FWHM of the spectral peak from Equation (3) become:

$$QE(\lambda_{res}) = \frac{4A(1-R_1)}{(1-\sqrt{R_1})^2} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\frac{\delta\lambda}{\lambda_{res}} = \frac{(1-\sqrt{R_1})^2}{\pi R_1^{1/4}} \frac{\lambda_{res}}{2nL} \quad (5)$$

For broadband applications such as most instances of thermal imaging, it is disadvantageous to incorporate the detector's absorber region into a resonant cavity, since the loss of signal resulting from the much narrower spectral response more than offsets the absorbance enhancement provided by the resonant cavity. However, if the signal one wishes to detect is already narrow, the employment of a resonant cavity centered on the wavelength of interest can substantially increase the detectivity D^* while retaining high QE, since the dark current is minimized by the very thin absorber region. A classic example for which the RCID configuration may be advantageous is laser-based chemical sensing of a trace gas, whose unique and narrow ($\ll 0.1$ nm) infrared absorption lines provide a fingerprint for identifying the given species and quantifying its concentration.

Challenges can arise when the resonant cavity approach is extended to longer wavelengths in the shortwave infrared (SWIR), midwave infrared (MWIR), and longwave infrared (LWIR) regions. The goal is to substantially enhance the detectivity, within a narrow spectral band, over that attainable using a state-of-the-art conventional broadband IR detector. While several RCIDs operating in the MWIR have been demonstrated previously (see below), to date none has exhibited a performance level competitive with that of a state-of-the-art conventional broadband IR detector operating at the same temperature and wavelength.

To our knowledge, all of the previous attempts to exploit a resonant-cavity absorption enhancement at a wavelength beyond $2.5 \mu\text{m}$ have performed at levels well below the state-of-the-art for conventional broadband IR detectors. Most of the previous RCID demonstrations have employed the lead-salt material system. *See, e.g.,* M. Arnold et al., "Lead salt mid-IR photodetectors with narrow linewidth," *J. Cryst. Growth* 278 (2005) 739-742; F. Felder et al., "Tunable lead-chalcogenide on Si resonant cavity enhanced midinfrared

detector,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 91, 101102 (2007) (“Felder 2007”); F. Felder et al., “Lead Salt Resonant Cavity Enhanced Detector with MEMS Mirror,” *Phys Proc.* 3 (2010) 1127-1131 (“Felder 2010”); J. Wang et al., “Resonant-cavity-enhanced mid-infrared photodetector on a silicon platform,” *Optics Express*, Vol. 18, No. 12, 12890-12896 (2010); and J. Wang et al.,
 5 “Monolithically integrated, resonant-cavity-enhanced dual-band mid-infrared photodetector on silicon,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 100, 211106 (2012).

However, conventional lead-salt IR detector materials generally suffer from short Shockley-Read lifetimes and high unintentional background doping levels, as compared to state-of-the-art HgCdTe and III-V IR detector materials. It is therefore not surprising that
 10 resonant-cavity lead-salt detectors are limited by similar materials-related issues. HgCdTe-based resonant-cavity designs have been proposed, *see* J.G.A. Werner et al., “Resonant Cavity-Enhanced Mercury Cadmium Telluride Detectors,” *J. Electron. Mat.* **33**, 604 (2004), but to our knowledge have not been put into practice. Moreover, a monolithic semiconductor mirror technology suitable for a HgCdTe RCID has yet to be developed.

The III-V demonstrations to date have employed thick bulk absorber regions (typically $d \approx 1 \mu\text{m}$), which precluded significant enhancement of the detectivity. *See* Y. Shi et al., “Resonant Cavity Enhanced Heterojunction Phototransistors Based on GaInAsSb-AlGaAsSb Grown by Molecular Beam Epitaxy,” *IEEE Phot. Tech. Lett.*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 258-260 (1998); A.M. Green et al., $\lambda \approx 3 \mu\text{m}$ InAs resonant-cavity-enhanced photodetector,”
 20 *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* 18 (2003) 964–967; and A.M. Green et al., “Resonant-cavity-enhanced photodetectors and LEDs in the mid-infrared,” *Physica E* 20 (2004) 531 – 535. Since they also employed cavities with relatively low front-mirror reflectivity ($R_1 \ll 1$), those devices should be viewed as proof-of-concept demonstrations that were never intended to advance state-of-the-art performance.

In addition, some enhancement may, in fact, be achievable using conventional III-V bulk (*e.g.*, InAs and InAsSb) or superlattice (*e.g.*, InAs-Ga(In)Sb and InAs-InAsSb) absorbers in *p-n* junction or barrier (*nBn* and *pBp*) configurations from the prior art. *See* P. Martyniuk et al., “New concepts in infrared photodetector designs,” *Appl. Phys. Rev.* **1**, 041102 (2014).

Because the resonant cavity configuration provides a strong enhancement of the net
 30 absorption over that resulting from a single pass of the light through the absorber, the absorber

thickness can be shrunk to as little as ≈ 10 nm (*e.g.*, a single QW) without sacrificing QE at the resonant wavelength. Therefore, because the dark current is reduced proportionally, the resonant cavity configuration will either provide higher detectivity D^* at a given operating temperature, or maintain a target D^* at higher operating temperature than is attainable using a conventional broadband IR detector.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

This summary is intended to introduce, in simplified form, a selection of concepts that are further described in the Detailed Description. This summary is not intended to identify key or essential features of the claimed subject matter, nor is it intended to be used as an aid in determining the scope of the claimed subject matter. Instead, it is merely presented as a brief overview of the subject matter described and claimed herein.

The present invention provides resonant-cavity infrared photodetector (RCID) devices that include a thin absorber layer contained entirely within the resonant cavity.

In some embodiments of an RCID in accordance with the present invention, the absorber region is a single type-II InAs-GaSb interface situated between an n-type region comprising an AlSb/InAs n-type superlattice and a p-type AlSb/GaSb region.

In other embodiments, the absorber region comprises one or more quantum wells formed on an upper surface of the *n*-type region.

In other embodiments, the absorber region comprises a “W”-structured quantum well situated between two barrier layers, the “W”-structured quantum well comprising a hole quantum well sandwiched between two electron quantum wells.

In other embodiments, an RCID in accordance with the present invention includes a thin absorber region and an *nBn* or *pBp* active core within a resonant cavity.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block schematic depicting the epitaxial layering and mesa structure for an exemplary generic resonant cavity infrared detector (RCID) structure in accordance with the prior art.

FIG. 2 is a plot depicting the conduction band minimum and valence band maximum energy levels, along with the Fermi level energy, for an exemplary RCID having a thin absorber region incorporated into a p - n junction in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 3 is a plot illustrating, on an expanded scale, the energy band profiles and electron and hole ground state subband energy levels for an exemplary absorber, n region, and p region of an RCID structure of the type illustrated in FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 is a plot illustrating energy band profiles and energy levels for another exemplary embodiment of a RCID having a thin absorber, n region, and p region in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 5 is a plot illustrating energy band profiles and energy levels for another exemplary embodiment of a thin absorber, n -region, and p -region for an RCID in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 6 is a plot illustrating energy band profiles and energy levels for an exemplary RCID having a thin absorber region and an nBn active core within a resonant cavity in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 7 is a plot depicting a reflection spectrum calculated for an exemplary RCID having a thin absorber region in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 8 is a plot depicting a quantum efficiency spectrum (assuming $\eta_c = 1$) calculated for another exemplary RCID having a thin absorber region in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 9 is a block schematic illustrating multiple mesas with different resonance wavelengths for another exemplary resonant cavity infrared detector (RCID) having a thin absorber region in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 10 is a block schematic illustrating the mesa and external mirror structure for another exemplary embodiment of an RCID having a thin absorber region in accordance with the present invention.

MODES FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

The aspects and features of the present invention summarized above can be embodied in various forms. The following description shows, by way of illustration, combinations and configurations in which the aspects and features can be put into practice. It is understood that the described aspects, features, and/or embodiments are merely examples, and that one skilled in the art may utilize other aspects, features, and/or embodiments or make structural and functional modifications without departing from the scope of the present disclosure.

For example, the materials and the material layer thicknesses described herein are merely exemplary, and other materials and other material layer thicknesses may be employed as appropriate.

The following discussion assumes that the absorption of incident photons is dominated by interband processes that create an electron-hole pair. However, the extension to other processes such as intersubband absorption will be obvious to one skilled in the art.

In the absence of gain, quantum efficiency (QE) is limited to a maximum value of unity. For a high QE to be realized, the thickness d of the absorbing layer of the photodetector must be comparable to or exceed $1/\alpha_0(\lambda)$, where $\alpha_0(\lambda)$ is the absorption coefficient, and either the minority-carrier diffusion length L_D or the depletion width W_d must be comparable to or greater than the absorber thickness. The latter condition assures that most of the electrons and holes generated by the optical signal are collected.

Typical absorption coefficients for the most common bulk, quantum well (QW), and superlattice (SL) III-V absorber materials that are sensitive in the short-wave infrared (SWIR) (2-3 μm), mid-wave infrared (MWIR) (3-5 μm), and long-wave infrared (LWIR) (8-14 μm) spectral regions range from about 1000 (for SLs in the LWIR) to 5000 cm^{-1} in the SWIR. This implies that for a conventional interband IR detector structure to realize high QE, its absorber must be 2-10 μm thick. This is typically much greater than the width of the depletion region because of the presence of substantial background doping levels in narrow-gap materials.

We will first consider the example of a photodiode detector that collects minority carriers across a p - n junction. However it will be obvious to one skilled in the art that the

principles discussed may easily be generalized to other geometries that do not contain p - n junctions, such as nBn or pBp majority-carrier-barrier structures, described below.

Since the usual absorber thickness of 2-10 μm in a conventional broadband IR detector significantly exceeds the typical depletion-layer thickness for a p - n junction, most or all of the absorber occupies the quasi-neutral region (on either the p or n side of the junction), in which the internal electric field is low. At low fields, the dominant fundamental dark transport mechanism is most often the current due to the diffusion of thermally generated carriers, although generation-recombination (G-R), parasitic tunneling, and surface leakage currents can also contribute significantly in non-ideal devices.

It is impossible to discriminate between the useful diffusion of photoexcited carriers (generated by the optical signal to be detected) and the competing diffusion of thermally excited carriers (noise). Consequently, the mechanism fundamentally limiting the minimum detectable signal is the diffusion dark current density at the operating bias. While the other parasitic processes mentioned above are not fundamental, they can contribute significantly for some design classes. In the limits of absorber thickness much shorter than the diffusion length ($L_D\alpha_0 \gg 1$) and the depletion width much narrower than the absorber thickness ($W_d \ll d$), the diffusion current is given by

$$J_b \approx qdn_i^2/(\tau_m N), \quad (6)$$

where q is the fundamental electron charge, d is the absorber thickness, $n_i \propto \exp(-E_g/2kT)$ is the intrinsic carrier density, τ_m is the minority-carrier lifetime, and N is the doping density. It follows that the dark current density increases strongly with rising temperature, and scales approximately linearly with the absorber thickness (up to the diffusion length). The resulting dependence of J_b on T sets a limit on the maximum operating temperature for which the dark current noise is no greater than the optical background associated with blackbody radiation. The optical signal must compete with the dark current density and the optical blackbody background as the two fundamental noise sources that limit the minimum detectable signal.

It follows that within the limits specified above for which Eq. (6) is valid ($L_D\alpha_0 \gg 1$ and $W_d \ll d$), if the absorber can be thinned substantially, e.g., to a thickness d of 100 nm or less rather than several μm , the diffusion dark current at a given temperature would

dramatically decrease. Moreover, the dark current will continue to decrease if the absorber thickness is decreased further such that the absorber becomes fully depleted, which occurs if its thickness becomes smaller than the depletion width ($W_d > d$). In that limit the dark current is typically limited by the generation-recombination (G-R) mechanism. When $W_d > d$ and the
 5 narrow-gap absorber is surrounded by wider-gap p and n regions, the G-R current density is given by

$$J_b \approx qdn_i/\tau_c, \quad (7)$$

where τ_c is the carrier lifetime within the depletion region. Because the depletion region is defined by a relatively high internal electric field, the carrier lifetime in that environment may
 10 be somewhat shorter than the minority-carrier lifetime τ_m in an n or p region with the same bandgap. As in the more conventional case of Eq. (6) above, in the fully-depleted limit of Eq. (7) the dark current density again increases strongly with rising temperature, and scales approximately linearly with the absorber thickness. And again, the resulting dependence of J_b on T sets a limit on the maximum operating temperature for which the dark current noise is no
 15 greater than the optical background associated with blackbody radiation.

While it is apparent from Eqs. (6) and (7) for different limits of the absorber thickness relative to the depletion width that significantly thinning the absorber can substantially reduce the dark current, in a conventional photodetector architecture this would be disadvantageous because most of the signal photons would pass through the absorber without being absorbed,
 20 and hence $QE \ll 1$.

It has been demonstrated in the prior art that this degradation of QE can be avoided by placing the absorber within a vertical resonant cavity, which significantly enhances the absorption per unit length for wavelengths near the cavity resonance. This strategy has been implemented successfully at telecommunication wavelengths ($\lambda = 1.3\text{-}1.6 \mu\text{m}$), where the
 25 primary motivation is usually to increase the detector speed by reducing the absorber thickness across which the photogenerated minority carriers must diffuse. *See* M. Selim Ünlü et al., "Resonant Cavity Enhanced Photonic Devices," *J. Appl. Phys.* **78**, 607 (1995). While those devices benefit from the general advantages of the resonant cavity architecture as known to the

prior art, no previous reports have discussed, proposed, or implemented full carrier depletion or the other defining features of the designs comprising the present invention.

In many infrared detection applications, such as focal plane arrays for IR imaging, the objective is to maximize the sensitivity to input signals spanning a broad spectral bandwidth such as the entire SWIR, MWIR, or LWIR band. In such applications, the resonant cavity approach is disadvantageous, since the detectivity is enhanced only within a narrow spectral window corresponding to the cavity resonance, whereas the net broadband signal is reduced. On the other hand, the resonant cavity approach may be quite beneficial in other applications that require sensitivity to only a narrow range of wavelengths, such as to detect a laser signal or in hyperspectral detection. In those applications, a broadband response is actually disadvantageous because any sensitivity to wavelengths outside the narrow spectral band of interest represents optical background noise.

In the present disclosure, we will assume that the optical signal is incident from the “top,” *i.e.*, from above the epitaxial detector structure that is grown “on top of” a substrate. However, generalization to the alternative case where the signal is incident from the “bottom,” *i.e.*, the substrate side (with the substrate intact in some embodiments or removed in others), will be obvious to one skilled in the art.

As noted above, some resonant-cavity absorption enhancement at wavelengths beyond 2.5 μm may, in fact, be achievable using conventional III-V bulk (*e.g.*, InAs and InAsSb) or superlattice (*e.g.*, InAs-Ga(In)Sb and InAs-InAsSb) absorbers in *p-n* junction or barrier (*nBn* and *pBp*) configurations from the prior art. *See* Martyniuk et al., *supra*.

However, no previous reports for either conventional or resonant-cavity IR detectors have proposed or implemented the defining features of the designs comprising the present invention, which employs configurations that would be either unfeasible or disadvantageous in a conventional broadband IR detector.

Even though the diffusion current density that typically controls the dark current of absorbers that are much thicker than the depletion width (*see* Eq. (6) above) can be suppressed by moderate doping of the absorber, the carrier lifetimes relevant to the fully-depleted and non-fully-depleted cases are not necessarily the same. In some embodiments of the invention, the lifetime is controlled by Auger recombination, which is strongly suppressed by depleting

the absorber region of both electrons and holes as compared to a quasi-neutral absorber (*see* Eq. (7) above).

Because the minority-carrier lifetime τ_m in a conventional non-depleted IR material with high intrinsic carrier density tends to be limited by the Auger process, whose rate scales approximately as the cube of the carrier density, τ_c in the depletion region can exceed τ_m by orders of magnitude if most of the extrinsic and intrinsic electrons and holes can be depleted from the absorber. Furthermore, since the dark-current density of Eq. (7) is proportional to n_i rather than n_i^2 for the diffusion current of Eq. (6), the increase of J_b with operating temperature becomes much more gradual. These considerations hold if the detector is a photodiode in which the absorber region is surrounded by n -type and p -type regions with significantly larger energy gaps than the absorber. *See* I. Vurgaftman, E. H. Aifer, C. L. Canedy, J. G. Tischler, J. R. Meyer, J. H. Warner, E. M. Jackson, G. Hildebrandt, and G. J. Sullivan, "Graded band gap for dark-current suppression in long-wave infrared W-structured type-II superlattice photodiodes," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 89, 121114 (2006); and C. L. Canedy, E. H. Aifer, J. H. Warner, I. Vurgaftman, E. M. Jackson, J. G. Tischler, S. P. Powell, K. Olver, J. R. Meyer, and W. E. Tennant, "Controlling dark current in type-II superlattice photodiodes," *Infrared Phys. Technol.* 52, 326 (2009). In such a photodiode, thermal generation in the n - and p -type regions become negligible because they scale as $\exp(-E_g/kT)$.

Configurations that allow the absorber of an IR photodiode to be placed entirely within the depletion region of the p - n junction at low bias have not been discussed previously. To maintain high QE, the absorber in a conventional broadband IR detector (such as the structure discussed in Vurgaftman et al., *supra*) must be several microns thick, which is far greater than any depletion width induced by realistic p - and n -type doping levels. While it is possible in principle to fully deplete the thick absorber region of a conventional photodiode (*see* D. Lee, M. Carmody, E. Piquette, P. Dreiske, A. Chen, A. Yulius, D. Edwall, S. Bhargava, M. Zandian, and W. E. Tennant, "High-operating temperature HgCdTe: A vision for the near future," *J. Electronic Materials* 45, 4587 (2016)), it is generally impractical since it requires unrealistically low doping levels and/or the application of a very high bias with voltage scaling as d^2 .

On the other hand, the absorber of the present invention is inserted entirely into the depletion region, which is possible even at zero bias because the RCID enhancement allows the absorber to be very thin (≤ 100 nm, and in many embodiments as thin as 10 nm) while retaining high QE. This will substantially suppress Auger recombination and its inverse process, impact ionization. For most III-V IR detector materials, Auger processes dominate the dark current at higher operating temperatures. The substantial suppression of Auger recombination up to temperatures approaching ambient will be a significant advantage in practical systems for chemical sensing and other applications, since it will become possible to reach background-limited sensitivity at a temperature that does not require cryogenic cooling.

In some embodiments, various barriers or transition superlattices may also be inserted between the absorber and the main portions of the *n*- and *p*-regions. Alternatively, the doping level in any region may be varied as a function of position. Such variants may serve to minimize thermal generation, fine-tune the band alignments, and/or aid carrier transport between the absorber and the *n*- and *p*-regions.

FIG. 2 schematically illustrates the spatial profiles for the conduction-band minimum and valence-band maximum energy levels (solid), along with the Fermi level (dashed) for an exemplary resonant-cavity detector having a 10-nm-thick thin absorber surrounded by *n*- and *p*-regions in accordance with the present invention. It will be noted that in this as in all other band diagrams in the FIGURES of the present application, the spatial dimensions of the FIGURE are not drawn to scale.

In the exemplary embodiment of FIG. 2, the doping levels in the *n*- and *p*-type regions are both 10^{17} cm⁻³, although a range of doping levels may be employed on either side of the junction. In this embodiment, the absorber is assumed to be undoped, although the carriers generated by typical unintentional doping levels (*e.g.*, $\leq 10^{16}$ cm⁻³) will be swept away by the internal field.

It is apparent from FIG. 2 that the internal electric fields represented by the band curvatures will deplete the absorber of electrons and holes by sweeping them into the adjacent *n*- and *p*-regions, respectively. The internal field will also at least partly deplete the intrinsic carriers of both types, whose densities vary strongly with the temperature of operation. Thus for quasi-thermal-equilibrium, far fewer carriers will be present in this thin absorber than the

normal intrinsic population present in the much thicker absorber of a broadband detector. This depletion of the carrier population will substantially suppress the Auger contribution to the dark current, as discussed above.

However, if the absorber has a net *p*-type doping and the depletion of majority holes is incomplete, in accordance with the invention it may be advantageous to design the structure such that the conduction-band minimum in the absorber is more nearly aligned with the conduction band in the *n*-region (at left in the figure). This adjustment will serve to make it more energetically favorable for majority holes in the absorber to deplete into the *p*-region that now has a larger offset. Consequently, Auger recombination will be strongly suppressed because very few carriers of either type remain in the absorber at zero bias.

Conversely, if the absorber has a net *n*-type doping and the majority electrons do not fully deplete, in accordance with the invention it is advantageous to design the structure such that the valence band in the absorber is more nearly aligned with the valence band in the *p*-region (at right in the figure).

In a third case, if the population of intrinsic electrons and holes exceeds the extrinsic doping level at the temperature of operation, in accordance with the invention the bands in the various regions of the structure should be aligned such that the conduction-band minimum is aligned or somewhat higher in the active region than in the *n*-region and the valence band maximum is aligned or somewhat lower in the active region than in the *p*-region, so that both electrons and holes tend to flow out of the active region and deplete it at zero bias. This is the case illustrated in FIG. 2.

Some fine tuning of the band alignments may be required to achieve the maximum suppression of Auger recombination, which will also depend on the relative Auger coefficients for processes involving two electrons and one hole (γ_3^{mnp}) as compared to those that involve two holes and one electron (γ_3^{ppn}). If $\gamma_3^{ppn} > \gamma_3^{mnp}$, for example, hole extraction from the active region has a greater effect on the Auger recombination rate than electron extraction.

For both types of net doping in the absorber, in accordance with the invention if the absorber is not fully depleted at zero bias, the application of a reverse bias may complete the

depletion of electrons and holes to assure that Auger recombination is suppressed. Generally, the required bias will be relatively small because the region to be depleted is quite thin.

Besides providing the potential for substantially suppressing Auger recombination, the extreme thinness of the RCID absorber in accordance with the present invention considerably
5 broadens the design space to include options that are unavailable to detectors with conventional thick absorbers.

The most extreme example is an absorber consisting of a single type-II interface occupying the boundary between the *n*- and *p*-type regions of the diode.

FIG. 3 illustrates the energy band structure of an exemplary embodiment of such an
10 RCID in accordance with the present invention, where the absorber region consists of a single type-II InAs-GaSb interface, where the active InAs layer has a thickness of 30 Å and the active GaSb layer has a thickness of 20 Å.

In the exemplary embodiment illustrated in FIG. 3, the *n*-region is an InAs-AlSb superlattice that is strain-compensated to match the lattice constant of the GaSb substrate. The
15 InAs layers in the superlattice are slightly thinner than the active InAs QW of the absorber. This relationship serves to place the lowest SL miniband at a slightly higher energy than the lowest conduction subband of the active QW. The active hole QW is a single GaSb layer bounded by an AlSb barrier whose highest valence subband lies somewhat lower in energy than the valence band maximum of the *p*-region.

20 The *p*-region in this exemplary embodiment is *p*-GaSb. Again, other bulk or superlattice materials may be substituted so long as the band alignments approximate the optimal conditions discussed above and the *p*-region conduction-band minimum is much higher than the absorber conduction band.

The total absorber thickness in this exemplary structure is 8 nm, counting the AlSb
25 barriers on each side of the active InAs and GaSb layers. The active optical transitions in this structure are between valence states having wavefunctions concentrated mostly in the active GaSb QW and electron states with wavefunctions concentrated mostly in the active InAs QW.

Assuming operation at 300 K, the energy gap for a diode having a structure as shown in FIG. 3, with an absorber thickness of 8 nm, is 320 meV ($\lambda_{co} = 3.8 \mu\text{m}$). For a resonance

wavelength of $\lambda_{\text{res}} = 3.4 \mu\text{m}$, the calculated absorption per pass (A) is 0.17%. With $R_2 \approx 100\%$, a top mirror reflectivity of $R_1 = 97.5\%$ then yields $\text{QE} \approx 80\%$ and $\delta\lambda \approx 4 \text{ nm}$.

Besides strongly suppressing the dark current associated with Auger recombination, by depleting electrons and holes from the absorber, an important advantage of a structure in accordance with the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 3 is that it provides the potential to absolutely minimize thermal generation noise. Since thermal generation in the n - and p -type regions is negligible due to their very large energy gaps, the only place in the entire detector structure where the presence of defects can induce dark current associated with Shockley-Read recombination is in the immediate vicinity of the single narrow-gap InAs-GaSb interface (as determined by the overlap of the electron and hole wavefunctions). As long as this interface region is of high quality, the dark current for a given cut-off wavelength and temperature may be suppressed to an unprecedented level.

A possible disadvantage of a structure in accordance with this embodiment is that the absorption at the single interface is relatively weak because there is limited overlap of the relevant electron and hole wavefunctions residing mostly in the active InAs and GaSb QWs, respectively. Nevertheless, as described above the simulated absorption per pass of 0.17% is sufficient to provide a high QE using a realistic top mirror reflectivity. In other words, the resonant cavity enhances the useful photogeneration, but not the deleterious thermal generation.

A further advantage of this embodiment of the invention, as well as many of the others discussed below, over the thick absorbers employed in the prior art is that the absorber need not be carefully strain-compensated or lattice-matched to the GaSb (or some other such as InAs) substrate to avoid dislocations that can short the device or otherwise degrade its performance. It is only important to assure that the absorber thickness not exceed the critical value for dislocation-free growth associated with its particular design.

Numerous variations on the embodiment illustrated by the band diagram shown in FIG. 3, in which the RCID absorber consists of a single interface, will be obvious to one skilled in the art.

For example, the energy gap (and cut-off wavelength) can be easily varied by adjusting the thickness of the active InAs QW, so as to increase or decrease the quantum confinement

energy. In other cases, in order to minimize the dark current, it may be advantageous to design the cut-off wavelength for the absorber to be just close enough to the resonance wavelength of the cavity so that the needed value of absorption per pass can be achieved. In other cases it may be straightforward to replace the InAs-AlSb *n*-type superlattice with InAs-AlInSb, InAsSb-AlSb or one of many other variations. Alternatively, a bulk material such as InGaAsSb may be employed. The active InAs layer may be replaced by a ternary alloy such as InAsSb or a quaternary alloy such as InGaAsSb. In other embodiments, as discussed above, the structure may be redesigned for optimal performance in the cases of net *n*-type doping of the active region or when the intrinsic carrier population exceeds the extrinsic doping level at the operating temperature of interest.

On the *p*-side of the single-interface absorber of FIG. 3, alternative configurations may eliminate the GaSb QW or replace it with GaInSb, GaAlSb, or some other related alloy. The barrier compositions and thickness may also be varied, and transition superlattices may be incorporated on one or both sides of the absorber to control the wavefunction profiles, band alignments, carrier transport, and distribution of the quasi-equilibrium carrier populations. In addition, as discussed below, when Shockley-Read rather than Auger recombination dominates the diffusion current, it may be advantageous to position the single-interface absorber in a quasi-neutral region of a *p-n* junction or an *nBn* structure, rather than within the depletion region of the junction.

As discussed below, if the absorber has a net *p*-type doping concentration that exceeds the intrinsic carrier concentration, the conduction-band minimum in the *n*-region should roughly align with the conduction-band minimum in the absorber (the case shown in FIG. 3).

On the other hand, if the absorber has a net *n*-type doping that exceeds the intrinsic concentration, the conduction-band minimum in the *n*-region should be somewhat lower than that in the absorber so as to make it more energetically favorable for majority electrons in the absorber to deplete into the *n*-region at zero bias.

In both cases the *n*-region conduction band should be low enough to allow the efficient collection of photoexcited electrons, but not so low that excessive thermal generation is induced between *n*-region electron states and absorber hole states.

Numerous variants involving other *n*-type SL constituents such as InAs-AlInSb, or a lattice-matched quaternary alloy such as InGaAsSb or InAlAsSb, may also be used to form the *n*-region as long as its conduction band is optimally aligned as discussed above and its valence band is much lower than the absorber valence band.

For example, in accordance with the invention, the alignment shown for the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 3 is optimal when the net doping of the absorber is *p*-type and exceeds the intrinsic carrier concentration at the device operating temperature. On the other hand, if the net doping of the absorber is *n*-type and exceeds the intrinsic concentration, the valence-band maxima in the absorber and *p*-region should roughly align. In the third case, in which the intrinsic carrier concentration exceeds the extrinsic doping level in the active region, the alignment of the valence band maximum in the *p*-region relative to that in the absorber should lie somewhere between the other two limit

Other embodiments of the invention employ ultra-thin absorbers consisting of one or a few type-I or type-II QWs rather than the single interface of FIG. 3.

The band diagram in FIG. 4 illustrates aspects of an exemplary embodiment of an RCID incorporating such an absorber, where the absorber is in the form of a single type-II InAs/Ga(In)Sb/InAs “W”-structured multiple QW heterostructure comprising an InAs electron QW, a GaInSb hole QW, and InAs electron QW, with the W-structured QW being sandwiched between two AlSb barriers on either side. See J.R. Meyer et al., “Type-II quantum-well lasers for the mid-wavelength infrared,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 67 (6), pp. 757-759 (1995); and E.H. Aifer et al., “W-structured type-II superlattice long-wave infrared photodiodes with high quantum efficiency,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 89, 053519 (2006)); see also U.S. Patent 5,793,787, to Meyer et al., entitled “Type II Quantum Well Laser with Enhanced Optical Matrix” (1998). As in FIG. 3, the band bending associated with charge transfer between the different layers and regions is not shown.

Thus, in the exemplary embodiment of such a structure illustrated in FIG. 4, the absorber is a single type-II “W”-structured QW consisting of a 16 Å InAs electron quantum well, a 30 Å GaSb hole quantum well, and a second 16 Å InAs electron QW, with the absorber having a net thickness of less than 10 nm and an energy gap of 300 meV ($\lambda_{co} = 4.2 \mu\text{m}$) at 300 K. For a resonance wavelength of 3.4 μm , an absorption per pass of 0.34% was calculated,

which doubles that of the single-interface structure shown in FIG. 3 due to enhancement of the wavefunction overlap. With $R_2 \approx 100\%$, simulations by the inventors yielded that a top mirror reflectivity of $R_1 = 95\%$ will provide $QE \approx 80\%$, with and $\delta\lambda \approx 11$ nm.

By positioning the central Ga(In)Sb hole QW between two InAs electron QWs, the “W” configuration provides greater overlap of the electron and hole wavefunctions than the type-II single-interface structure of FIG. 3, which in turn increases the absorbance per pass. If additional QWs are added, high QE can be obtained with a lower top mirror reflectivity. The FWHM of the resonance would also broaden correspondingly.

As with the previous structure illustrated in FIG. 3, in accordance with the present invention, if the net doping in the absorber is *p*-type and exceeds the intrinsic carrier population the conduction band on the *n*-type side of the active absorber should approximately align with the lowest electron subband in the type-II “W” QW, while the valence band maximum on the *p*-type side should be slightly higher than the topmost hole subband in the active QW(s). This is the configuration shown in FIG. 4. In accordance with the present invention, the optimal alignments can be altered as discussed above in the alternative cases where the net doping of the active region is *n*-type and larger than the intrinsic carrier population, or when the intrinsic carrier density exceeds the extrinsic doping level. The use of wide-gap materials in the *n*- and *p*-regions again minimizes thermal generation everywhere except within the absorber.

Numerous other variations are possible.

For example, the cut-off wavelength for the active “W” QW can be easily tuned by adjusting the thicknesses of the InAs QWs. In addition, the two InAs QWs need not have the same thicknesses, or the single or multiple type-II “W” QWs may be formed from InAs-InAsSb or InAs-InAsSb-InAs (“Ga-free”) layering. Alternatively, if multiple QWs are employed, it may be advantageous to form a superlattice such as InAs-Ga(In)Sb or InAs-InAsSb, rather than multiple “W” QWs separated by AlSb barriers. In other embodiments, the one or more QWs in the absorber may be constructed using a type-I or type-II configuration that does not form a “W” structure. Numerous variations on the active material constituents may also be employed, such as InAsSb or InGaAs electron QWs, Ga(Al)Sb or GaAsSb hole QWs, and AlGaSb or AlAsSb barriers. As discussed above, additional barriers or transition superlattices may also be added on one or both sides of the active absorber QWs.

The band diagram in FIG. 5 illustrates aspects of another exemplary embodiment of an RCID device in accordance with the present invention which includes an ultra-thin LWIR absorber consisting of a single type-II InAs_{0.65}Sb_{0.35}(48 Å)-InAs_{0.35}Sb_{0.65}(35 Å) QW. In the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 5, the net absorber thickness d is less than 11 nm, and its energy gap is 130 meV ($\lambda_{co} = 9.3 \mu\text{m}$) at 150 K, though, as noted above, one skilled in the art will understand that other materials and material layer thicknesses may be employed as appropriate. Again, the band bending associated with charge transfer between the different layers is not shown in FIG. 5.

In the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 5, the absorber is bounded on one side by a strain-balanced n -type InAs(50 Å)-Al_{0.9}In_{0.1}Sb(25 Å) superlattice whose alignment provides efficient extraction of the photo-generated electrons. In order to approximately align the valence-band edge of the absorber QW with the valence-band edge of the p -region, we may employ p -Al_{0.45}Ga_{0.55}As_{0.04}Sb_{0.96}, which is lattice-matched to the GaSb substrate, or Al_{0.45}Ga_{0.55}Sb as in the figure, which is not lattice matched but with small enough strain that a thin layer is unlikely to introduce dislocations.

As discussed above for other exemplary embodiments, in accordance with the present invention, if the net doping in the absorber is p -type and larger than the intrinsic carrier density, the conduction band minimum on the n -type side of the absorber should approximately align with the ground state electron subband in the type-II Ga-free QW, while the valence-band maximum on the p -type side should be slightly higher than the topmost hole subband in the active QW(s). This is the configuration shown in FIG. 5. However, the optimal alignments can be altered as discussed above in the alternative cases where the net doping of the active region is n -type and larger than the intrinsic carrier population, or when the intrinsic carrier density exceeds the extrinsic doping level.

Also in the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 5, the absorber is bounded on the other side by a p -GaSb layer separated from the absorber by a Ga_{0.55}Al_{0.45}Sb barrier layer having a nominal thickness of 10 nm. The role of the barrier layer is to prevent excessive thermal generation at what would otherwise be a very narrow bandgap or semimetallic interface between the InAsSb/InAsSb QW absorber and the GaSb p -region; however, in some other embodiments, the barrier layer can be omitted such that the p -GaSb layer is directly adjacent

the QW absorber. While some absorption may occur at the interface between the InAsSb/InAsSb QW absorber and the GaAlSb layer of the structure in FIG. 5 (or the *p*-GaSb in an embodiment without the barrier), that will simply contribute additional absorption at roughly the same band edge as the QW.

5 At an operating temperature of 150 K, the QW energy gap is 130 meV ($\lambda_{co} = 9.3 \mu\text{m}$). For a resonance wavelength of $9.2 \mu\text{m}$, simulations by the inventors projected an absorption per pass of $A = 0.18\%$. With $R_2 \approx 100\%$, projections yielded that a top mirror reflectivity of $R_1 = 95\%$ will provide $QE \approx 80\%$ with $\delta\lambda \approx 23 \text{ nm}$. While the assumed operating temperature of 150 K is much higher than for a conventional broadband LWIR detector with
10 background-limited detectivity D^* , the invention's strong suppression of dark currents will help make it feasible.

It should be noted that the exemplary embodiment illustrated in FIG. 5 relies on the combination of two $\text{InAs}_{1-x}\text{Sb}_x$ alloy compositions that cannot be strain-balanced or lattice-matched to any binary substrate that is commonly used in existing state-of-the-art IR
15 detectors to provide high-quality growth. Therefore, thick absorber regions employing this design would generate dislocations that seriously compromise the device performance.

In addition, although the $\text{InAs}_{1-x}\text{Sb}_x$ alloy system offers an attractive range of bandgaps and is known to display a long minority-carrier lifetime when grown with high material quality, its use in conventional broadband IR detectors is severely limited by strain considerations.
20 Since only one particular alloy composition, $x \approx 0.08$, has a lattice constant matching that of a GaSb substrate (and only the binary endpoints match the lattice constants of InAs and InSb substrates), access to other alloy compositions, and, therefore, to other cut-off wavelengths, requires the employment of either metamorphic growth on a lattice-mismatched substrate or some form of strain compensation to GaSb or another binary substrate. While metamorphic
25 growth methods have made progress in recent years, *see* D. Wang et al., "Metamorphic InAsSb-based barrier photodetectors for the long wave infrared region," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 103, 051120 (2013), the material quality still falls far short of that attainable using strain-compensated or lattice-matched growth.

Strain compensation has been used to match superlattices such as $\text{InAs}/\text{InAs}_{1-x}\text{Sb}_x$ to
30 the GaSb substrate lattice constant. *See* J. Lu et al., "Evaluation of antimony segregation in

InAs/InAs_{1-x}Sb_x type-II superlattices grown by molecular beam epitaxy,” *J. Appl. Phys.* 119, 095702 (2016). However, the range of InAsSb alloy compositions and layer thicknesses that may be employed with acceptable strain is substantially limited. The extraction of holes from a thick *n*-type InAs-InAsSb superlattices with a short minority-carrier diffusion length is also
 5 an issue that can limit the QE, especially at longer cutoff wavelengths. A significant advantage of the invention is that its ultra-thin absorber (≤ 100 nm) provides very efficient minority-carrier extraction even when the minority-carrier diffusion length is relatively short.

Many other InAsSb-InAsSb or InAs-InAsSb QW layer combinations may be employed as variations on the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 5. These are capable of spanning any
 10 desired MWIR to LWIR cut-off wavelength, although the *n*-type and *p*-type regions on opposite sides of the absorber must be modified to provide appropriate alignment of the conduction and valence band energies.

A single-layer InAs_{1-x}Sb_x QW or slightly thicker bulk-like InAsSb alloy of any composition may also be employed, as long as the absorber thickness does not exceed the
 15 critical thickness for growth without dislocations. For example, a cut-off wavelength of 5.0 μm can be obtained using a structure somewhat similar to that in FIG. 5, with an absorber consisting of a 100-Å-thick InAs_{0.35}Sb_{0.65} QW (rather than the InAsSb/InAsSb QW shown in FIG. 5), bounded on the left by an InAs(34 Å)-AlSb(35 Å) *n*-type region and on the right by the same Ga_{0.7}Al_{0.3}Sb barrier (or a GaAlAsSb barrier) and the same GaSb *p*-layer as in the
 20 embodiment shown in FIG. 5. As discussed above, to ensure the optimal suppression of Auger recombination as a result of depleting majority and intrinsic carriers from the active region, the band alignments on the *n*- and *p*-sides of the active region may require adjustments based on whether the net doping concentration in the active region is *n*-type or *p*-type, whether it is larger or smaller than the intrinsic carrier concentration, and whether γ_3^{ppn} or γ_3^{mp} is larger.

For all of the embodiments falling under the scope of the invention, if the band alignments are insufficient to sweep most of the extrinsic and intrinsic carriers out of the active region at zero bias, the application of a reverse bias may serve to more fully deplete the active region of the device.

It was mentioned above that placing the absorber entirely within the depletion region
 30 may be advantageous at higher operating temperatures, since sweep-out of the intrinsic and

extrinsic carriers will reduce the dark current associated with Auger recombination due to the intrinsic carrier populations. On the other hand, at lower temperatures and longer wavelengths, full depletion may be undesirable if defect-assisted rather than Auger processes dominate the dark current, as they do in state-of-the-art III-V MWIR and LWIR detectors. A detector in accordance with the present invention can be designed so as to place the absorber either entirely within the depletion region when Auger processes dominate, or in a quasi-neutral region with much lower electric field when defect processes dominate; which of these options is preferable will depend on the absorber material choice, the spectral band, and the temperature of operation.

To further enhance the device functionality, other embodiments of an RCID device in accordance with the present invention can include an absorber region formed by one or more asymmetric QWs, *e.g.*, InAs/GaInSb. When a variable electric field (controlled by the bias voltage) is applied to this type of asymmetric structure, the opposite shifts of the electron and hole wavefunctions in their respective QWs induce a red or blue shift of the band edge. This provides a mechanism for dynamically tuning between strong resonance-enhanced absorption for one operating bias, *vs.* minimal absorption of the resonance wavelength at another bias for which the cut-off wavelength is shifted beyond the cavity resonance. A red shift of the absorption edge by the application of an electric field can also be employed in type-I quantum wells.

Other embodiments of an RCID in accordance with the present invention employ variations on *nBn* or *pBp* configurations rather than a *p-n* junction. *See* S. Maimon et al., “*nBn* detector, an infrared detector with reduced dark current and higher operating temperature,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 89, 151109 (2006); A. Soibel et al., “Room temperature performance of mid-wavelength infrared InAsSb *nBn* detectors,” *IR Phys. Technol.* 70, 121 (2015); and E. A. Plis et al., “Bias Switchable Dual-Band InAs/GaSb Superlattice Detector With *pBp* Architecture,” *IEEE Phot. J.* 3, 234 (2011).

In conventional *nBn* or *pBp* detectors, the absorber is thick and placed on one side of the barrier. In *nBn* or *pBp* embodiments of an RCID in accordance with the present invention, the absorber will be much thinner and is placed within the resonant cavity formed by the top and bottom dielectric and/or grown semiconductor mirrors, the first *n*-type (or *p*-type) region,

the barrier region, and the second n -type (or p -type) region, with the absorber being surrounded on both sides by wider-gap layers to reduce the unwanted thermal generation.

In the case of the nBn or pBp embodiments of an RCID in accordance with the present invention, the band edge for minority carriers in the barrier does not need to be precisely
 5 aligned with that of the absorber. The band discontinuity that has to be present in either the conduction or valence band will manifest as an increased operating bias, which can be relatively small for the required energy-gap difference of $5-10k_B T$.

The thin absorber can also be placed near an antinode of the resonant cavity, and as with all of the structures discussed above, the detectivity of an RCID in accordance with the
 10 nBn or pBp embodiments of the present invention will benefit from the substantial suppression of the dark current originating in the thin absorber region.

For a conventional device with a thick absorber, the nBn geometry typically induces a smaller internal electric field within or at the boundaries of the absorber, since if properly designed, there is no depletion region associated with the presence of a $p-n$ junction. The
 15 avoidance of the $p-n$ junction eliminates or reduces the dark current associated with Shockley-Read defect-related processes, which are suppressed by the presence of carriers. However, it does not allow Auger processes to be suppressed via full depletion of the majority and minority carriers, as discussed above. Instead, the dominant diffusion dark current is suppressed by reducing the total thickness of the absorber.

The plot in FIG. 6 illustrates band profiles for an exemplary embodiment of an nBn
 20 active core for a long-wave infrared (LWIR) RCID in accordance with the present invention. The spatial dimensions in the figure are not drawn to scale. In this structure, the conduction and valence band edges 601 and 602, respectively, in the heavily-doped (typically $\approx 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) contact regions 603a and 603b, and the less-heavily doped (typically unintentionally doped at
 25 $\approx 10^{15}-10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) absorber region 604 are shown in the FIGURE as solid lines, while the extremal energies of the quantum-confined subbands are indicated with dashed lines. The energy gaps in the contact regions are larger than in the absorber to suppress thermal generation.

The exemplary embodiment of an nBn active core for an RCID detector shown in
 30 FIG. 6, which is configured for detection at $\lambda = 12-13 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$ at an operating temperature of

$T \approx 60$ K, uses an absorber with a band gap of 88 meV. The absorber superlattice (SL) 603 is designed to have some overall compressive strain, in order to increase the absorption coefficient by 60-65%. This would not be possible for a conventional absorber with a total thickness of several μm . In the exemplary embodiment described herein, the layer structure of the SL absorber 604 is 57 Å InAs / 25 Å InAs_{0.45}Sb_{0.55}, with the absorber being background-doped with a density that typically ranges from 10^{15} to 10^{16} cm⁻³, depending on the particular molecular beam epitaxial (MBE) system employed for the growth.

The absorbed fraction of incident light per period per pass ranges from 0.1% at $\lambda = 8$ μm to 0.05% at 13 μm . Therefore, with an anti-reflection coating, a single pass through the 20-period, 164-nm-thick structure would result in 1-2% absorption.

The *nBn* structure also includes a 200-nm-thick Al_{0.4}Ga_{0.6}As_{0.03}Sb_{0.97} barrier region 605 having valence and conduction bands 606 and 607, respectively, and a wider-gap ($E_g \approx 160$ meV) *n* region 603a composed of a Ga-free 77 Å InAs / 15 Å InAs_{0.45}Sb_{0.55} SL with an intentional doping level $\approx 10^{17}$ cm⁻³. Since it is difficult to contact the thin absorber directly, a heavily-doped contact layer 603b is also employed on the other side of absorber 604. The heavily *n*-doped contacts are designed to be essentially transparent to the light in the 8-13 μm spectral region. The AlGaAsSb barrier 605 may be either undoped or doped lightly *p*-type to reduce the band bending that would be induced by an *n*-type background. The *nBn* configuration should also completely suppress the surface leakage mechanisms characteristic of *p*-type InAs-containing narrow-gap layers, without requiring passivation or other measures.

One approach for constructing an RCID in accordance with aspects of the present invention having a bottom mirror with $R_2 \approx 1$ employs a GaSb/AlAs_{0.08}Sb_{0.92} quarter-wavelength stack that is grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) on a GaSb substrate below the *n*-type region, with thin absorber QW(s) and a *p*-type region grown on top of the *n*-type region. To reduce the parasitic voltage drop at the heterointerfaces, the conduction-band discontinuity can be smoothed with a 10 GaSb / 10 Al(As)Sb superlattice with approximately ten repeats and/or a higher doping level in the immediate vicinity of the heterointerfaces between each GaSb layer and the adjacent AlAs_{0.08}Sb_{0.92} layers.

This approach was used by Dier et al., *see* "Reduction of hetero-interface resistivity in *n*-type AlAsSb/GaSb distributed Bragg reflectors," *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* **23**, 025018

(2008), and has been implemented at the Naval Research Laboratory to minimize the electrical resistance of high-reflectivity bottom mirrors for interband cascade vertical cavity surface emitting laser (VCSEL) structures. In the case of an exemplary bottom mirror consisting of a 22.5-period GaSb/AlAsSb stack, a maximum reflectivity of 99.4% at $\lambda = 3.4 \mu\text{m}$ was calculated.

An RCID device may be formed by placing a thin absorber region in accordance with the invention within a resonant cavity such as the exemplary cavity configuration illustrated in FIG. 1, though it will be obvious to one skilled in the art that the features of the present invention may also be implemented in numerous other cavity configurations that accomplish resonant cavity detector operation. For example, the top (or bottom) mirror may be a dielectric Bragg mirror, a grown semiconductor Bragg mirror, a metal mirror, a hybrid that incorporates a combination of dielectric and/or semiconductor and/or metal layers, or some other mirror configuration known to the art.

The inventive designs and features described above for the absorber and surrounding regions may also be implemented in architectures wherein the photoexcitation is within the plane of the epilayer rather than vertically-incident as illustrated in FIG. 1. For example, the photoexcitation may be incident via a waveguide that originates elsewhere on a photonic integrated circuit (PIC) that may contain one or more optical sources and one or more resonant cavity detectors, as well as other optical and/or electronic components. The PIC substrate may be silicon, in which case the incident waveguide may be silicon-based while the waveguide in the absorber region may be a hybrid waveguide that shares the optical mode between a silicon-based underlayer and the layers comprising III-V materials that contain the active absorber. Another example is that the substrate may be a III-V semiconductor that contains one or more sources as well as one or more resonant cavity detectors. In some embodiments, the resonant cavity for the resonant cavity detectors may be formed using etching or some other known material processing technique to form in-plane Bragg mirrors. For Si-based PICS, such mirrors may be formed using standard CMOS processing.

The plots in FIGS. 7 and 8 illustrate the resonant enhancement of an exemplary RCID having a thin absorber region in accordance with the invention. The plot in FIG. 7 depicts the calculated reflection spectrum of radiation having a normal incidence on a device. While the

reflectivity approaches unity over most of the 3-4.5- μm spectral band, it decreases nearly to zero at the resonance wavelength near 3.4 μm .

FIG. 8 illustrates the corresponding simulated quantum efficiency (QE) spectrum (assuming all of the photogenerated carriers are collected, *i.e.*, $\eta_c = 1$) for two exemplary
 5 RCIDs having semiconductor and hybrid bottom mirrors.

The solid curve in FIG. 8 shows the QE spectrum for an exemplary RCID having a 22.5-period GaSb/AlAsSb semiconductor bottom mirror, while the dashed curve shows the QE spectrum for an RCID having a hybrid bottom mirror comprising 3.5 periods of GaSb/AlAsSb on top of a layer of gold (dashed curve). The resonance of the RCID having a hybrid mirror is
 10 not as sharp as for one having the all-semiconductor mirror, because the reflectivity R_2 of the metal/semiconductor hybrid mirror is not as high.

At longer wavelengths, it becomes impractical to grow a highly reflective bottom mirror by the preferred epitaxial growth technique of MBE, because the total mirror thickness would significantly exceed 10 μm .

15 However, a high-reflectivity bottom mirror can still be realized by taking advantage of the refractive index decrease associated with the plasma effect in a heavily-doped semiconductor. In such a case, the magnitude of the plasma shift scales as $\lambda^2 N$, where N is the dopant concentration. An attractive choice for the heavily-doped material is $n^+\text{-InAs}_{0.91}\text{Sb}_{0.09}$, lattice matched to the GaSb substrate, which can be doped as high as 10^{19} cm^{-3} . For example,
 20 simulations project that at $\lambda = 8.0 \mu\text{m}$, a hybrid bottom mirror comprised of a 6-period GaSb/AlAsSb stack grown on top of a 3-period GaSb/ $n^+\text{-InAs}_{0.91}\text{Sb}_{0.09}$ stack will provide a reflectivity of 97.5%, even though the total epitaxial thickness is less than 10 μm .

In other embodiments, the bottom mirror can be formed by growing a thin semiconductor stack, removing the GaSb substrate, and then depositing a metal mirror (*e.g.*, a
 25 200-nm-thick layer of Au) on the bottom of the semiconductor stack (where the substrate had been).

A further advantage of an RCID in accordance with the present invention is that it provides multiple novel means for tuning the resonance wavelength following completion of the epitaxial growth of the structure. For example, the resonant cavity may intentionally be

grown too short, after which its length is adjusted by adding a thin spacer film with variable thickness of Ge, Si, or some other low-loss material. Alternatively, the cavity may intentionally be grown too long, after which its length is adjusted by etching away some of the topmost epitaxial material. In both cases, the top dielectric mirror is subsequently deposited, as
 5 described above, to form a complete cavity whose resonance wavelength falls within the bandwidth of both top and bottom mirrors.

FIG. 9 illustrates an exemplary structure in which the cavity resonance is tuned by etching away some of the epitaxial material. Such a structure can include a bottom contact metallization layer 902 on a bottom surface of an *n*-GaSb substrate 901, a bottom
 10 *n*-GaSb/AlAsSb mirror 903, an *n*-InAs/AlSb superlattice *n*-region 904 and GaSb *p*-regions 905a/905b/905c, and dielectric top mirrors 908a/908b/908c, with the *n*- and *p*-regions separated by an InAs/GaInSb/InAs single-“W”-structured QW ultra-thin absorber 906 between the *n*- and *p*-regions. As in the resonant-cavity structure illustrated in FIG. 1, the detector mesas (which can be circular or square) is etched to below the absorber region 906, with an annular
 15 top metal contact 909 deposited around the perimeter of each circular or square mesa, and the mesa sidewalls and exposed region outside the mesa and below the junction (formed by the mesa etch) are coated with a dielectric 907 such as SiN before metallization of the top contact to prevent shorting of the junction. In operation, the RCID is illuminated by radiation 910 normally incident on top mirror 908a/908b/908c.

As described above, the bottom and top mirrors form resonant cavities which now provide different resonant wavelengths on the same chip because the cavity lengths are different. As noted above, in this embodiment, the effective length of each resonant cavity - and thus the resonance wavelength of each detector - can be tuned by etching away some of the epitaxial material to form resonant cavities 900a/900b/900c having respective *p*-type region
 25 thicknesses 905a/905b/905c. The multiple cavity thicknesses provide - an RCID having multiple resonant wavelengths $\lambda_1/\lambda_2/\lambda_3$ available on the same chip.

An RCID fabricated in accordance with aspects of this embodiment of the present invention may use this post-growth adjustment of the cavity length to fine-tune the cavity resonance to assure the sensitive detection of a precise target wavelength λ_{target} . Alternatively,
 30 the detection window can be tuned over multiple resonance wavelengths (tuning over > 10

different wavelengths should be feasible). In some embodiments, the spacer thickness or etch depth for one or more of the mesas on a chip may be zero. Devices having multiple cavity lengths with multiple resonance wavelengths can be used to provide a reference signal at a wavelength not strongly absorbed by a substance being sensed, to provide broader spectral coverage for detecting multiple absorption lines, to compensate for thermal variations of λ_{res} , or to probe a broadband spectrum at multiple selected wavelengths to provide positive identification of an absorbing species.

FIG. 10 schematically illustrates another exemplary embodiment of the invention, in which the top mirror is replaced by a metallic or dielectric mirror that is external to the semiconductor chip.

As with the other embodiment illustrated in FIG9, the exemplary RCID illustrated in FIG. 10 includes a bottom contact metallization layer 1002 on a bottom surface of an *n*-GaSb substrate 1001, a bottom *n*-GaSb/AlAsSb mirror 1003, an *n*-InAs/AlSb superlattice *n*-type region 1004 and GaSb *p*-type regions 1005, with the *n*- and *p*-type regions separated by a single-“W”-QW InAs/GaInSb/InAs ultra-thin absorber 1006 between the *n*- and *p*-type regions. As in the other embodiments, the detector mesa comprising the *n*- and *p*-type areas is etched to below the absorber region 1006, with an annular top metal contact 1009 deposited around the perimeter of the circular or square mesa, and the mesa sidewalls and exposed region outside the mesa and below the junction (formed by the mesa etch) are coated with a dielectric 1007 such as SiN before metallization of the top contact to prevent shorting of the junction.

However, instead of having a dielectric mirror such as dielectric mirror 608 that forms part of the resonant cavity, an RCID in accordance with this embodiment has a metallic or dielectric mirror 1018a/1018b/1018c that is external to the structure of the chip. The three mirrors depicted in the figure represent the same mirror tuned to three different positions that produce three different resonant wavelengths. A significant advantage of this approach is that the resonant wavelength can in principle be tuned continuously, via adjustment of the position of the top mirror. In operation, the RCID is illuminated by radiation 1010 normally incident on top of the external mirror.

Although the cavity becomes longer in this case, it can be made spectrally selective using an angle-adjustable grating or similar tuning element. Alternatively, a micro-positioning

mechanism known to the art, such as a piezo-tunable MEMS mirror, can be used to dynamically tune the cavity length and thereby provide tuning of the spectrally selective feedback. *See Felder 2007, supra.* In both cases, the maximum spectral tuning range is again limited by the bandwidths of the semiconductor bottom mirror and the external top mirror.

5 In another example, the LWIR nBn design described above and illustrated in FIG. 6 may be incorporated into an exemplary RCID by employing a broadband metallic mirror having a reflectivity $R > 95\%$ over the 8-13 μm range on the bottom of the absorber, following removal of the GaSb substrate. The top mirror in this exemplary embodiment is a two-layer high-reflection Ge/YF₃ coating ($R = 83\text{-}87\%$ and very low absorption in the 8-13 μm range)
10 having both layer thicknesses equal to $\lambda_c/4n$, where $\lambda_c = 9.9 \mu\text{m}$ is the center wavelength and n is the index in the layer in question.

 The entire cavity has a net thickness of λ_c/n , with the absorber positioned at the antinode of the optical electric field at the center wavelength. As the wavelength shifts towards the edges of the spectral range, the antinode enhancement is reduced from 1.99 (close to the maximum
15 possible value of 2) to ≈ 1.5 . In order to make sure that the cavity length is correct regardless of the precise detector structure, high-index GaSb or Ge spacers are used between the active detector structure and the mirrors, if necessary.

 The device structure is designed so that detection can be performed at wavelengths in the 8-13 μm range. This makes it possible to employ the present structure to detect multiple
20 wavelengths by varying the cavity lengths with Ge spacers of the proper thickness or using external spectrally selective feedback. The cavity's resonance wavelength, λ_{res} , is determined by its effective length and the refractive indices of its constituent layers. The QE is enhanced significantly only if λ_{res} falls within the high-reflectivity bandwidths of both top and bottom mirrors. We estimate that for a given cavity length, the RCID design specified above will
25 produce a narrow resonance with high QE within $\approx 10 \text{ nm}$. More precisely, as the cavity length is changed the linewidth varies in the 10-15 nm range. The QE is projected to vary from 90% at $\lambda_{\text{res}} = 8 \mu\text{m}$ to 50% at 13 μm . A longer maximum wavelength of 13.5 μm can alternatively be accommodated using a similar cavity and mirror design, although at the expense of a lower operating temperature.

The top mirror in some embodiments of such an nBn detector can consist of a quarter-wave stack of largely transparent dielectrics having a large index contrast. Instead of Ge, other high-index materials without free carriers and phonon-related transitions in the LWIR can be used. YF_3 can be replaced by other rare-earth fluorides or different low-index materials transparent in this wavelength range. The broadband metal mirror can be replaced by a combination of a Bragg semiconductor mirror and a metal mirror with reduced spectral coverage. The most versatile embodiment employs an external top dielectric mirror whose position can be piezo-electrically tuned to vary the resonance wavelength.

In order to inject electrical current into the III-V semiconductor residing below the top mirror, the preferred embodiment uses a lateral contact, though other configurations may be used as appropriate. The material to which the contact is made may be a heavily doped n^+ -InAs(Sb) layer grown on top of the p -type region. In preferred embodiments, the heavily doped contact layer is positioned at a node of the cavity electric field, in order to minimize the optical loss.

The RCID of the invention can be monolithically combined with a light-emitting device that is grown on the same substrate. In some cases, the light emission may come from a vertical-cavity laser, *see* A. Bachmann et al., “Single-mode electrically pumped GaSb-based VCSELs emitting continuous-wave at 2.4 and 2.6 μm ,” *New Journal of Physics* 11, 125014 (2009); and W. W. Bewley, C. L. Canedy, C. S. Kim, C. D. Merritt, M. V. Warren, I. Vurgaftman, J. R. Meyer, and M. Kim, “Room-temperature Mid-Infrared Interband Cascade Vertical-Cavity Surface-Emitting Laser,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **99**, 151108 (2016), that employs the RCID semiconductor bottom mirror as its top mirror. In other cases, illumination can be from a broadband illumination source such as a light-emitting device, for example, based on an interband-cascade active region. *See* J. Abell, C. S. Kim, W. W. Bewley, C. D. Merritt, C. L. Canedy, I. Vurgaftman, J. R. Meyer, and M. Kim, “Mid-infrared interband cascade light emitting devices with milliwatt output powers at room temperature,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 104, 261103 (2014). In the latter case, the resonant-cavity detector would provide spectral selectivity, for example, for chemical species identification, despite the use of a broadband light source. In some embodiments, the monolithic infrared light source and resonant-cavity detector can be used in conjunction with a fixed or position-tunable external top mirror to

provide extremely sensitive detection of selected trace gas species present in the gap between the top of the semiconductor and the external top mirror.

The embodiments described above have employed MBE to grow the semiconductor components of the photodetector. IR detector structures may also be grown by other means, although to date the growth quality has not matched that achievable using MBE. Most of the
5 embodiments discussed above are also readily adaptable, using design techniques well known to the art, to growth on an InAs substrate. The bottom mirror is then replaced by a lattice-matched combination such as GaAsSb/AlAsSb or InAs/AlAsSb for LWIR detectors, and the *p*-region, absorber, and *n*-regions are also modified accordingly to maintain
10 strain-balancing to the lattice constant to InAs. Other embodiments employ another substrate and bottom mirror material, such as GaAs combined with a GaAs/AlGaAs mirror. The GaSb-based IR *p-n* junction, *nBn*, and absorber regions are then grown using metamorphic techniques known to the art.

In some embodiments, the optical input to the RCID can be temporally modulated, *e.g.*,
15 by an external electro-optical modulator or mechanical chopper. When combined with lock-in analysis of the detected signal, this will provide further discrimination against non-optical noise sources such as diffusion, generation-recombination, and surface leakage dark currents.

In various embodiments, the invention may be used to construct high-sensitivity narrow-bandwidth single element detectors, arrays of detectors with a selected range of
20 resonance wavelengths, or imaging arrays for narrow-band imaging. Other embodiments obtain hyperspectral imaging by employing a position-tunable external mirror in conjunction with the RCIC array.

In other embodiments, the RCID of the invention is used to enhance the speed of a single-element detector, small array with multiple resonance wavelengths, or imaging array,
25 by taking advantage of the much shorter time required to extract minority carriers from the ultra-thin absorber, as compared to the longer time required for diffusion across the much thicker absorber of a convention IR detector.

Advantages and New Features

The central advantage of the invention is that by placing the entire active absorber
30 region of a resonant cavity infrared detector within the depletion region of a *p-n* junction, the

thermal generation noise associated with Auger recombination and impact ionization can be substantially reduced at high operating temperatures and low bias. If Auger-related thermal generation dominates the dark current, this provides a practical means for dramatically reducing the dark-current density at a given operating temperature, or achieving the same
5 dark-current density at a much higher temperature, while providing high detection quantum efficiency within a narrow spectral band of interest.

To minimize the dark current associated with Auger recombination, which generally dominates when conventional broadband MWIR and LWIR photodiodes are operated at sufficiently high temperatures and doping densities, the band alignments between the
10 conduction-band minima and valence-band maxima in the absorber region, *n*-region, and *p*-region, should be adjusted according to the specific extrinsic doping level and type, intrinsic carrier concentration, and $\gamma_3^{ppn}/\gamma_3^{mpp}$ ratio for the given device structure at a given operating temperature.

While resonant-cavity photodetectors sensitive to telecom wavelengths ($\lambda = 1.3$ - 1.6
15 μm) are well known in the prior art, new features of the invention will make it practical for the first time to extend the high performance of resonant cavity detectors to longer wavelengths spanning the SWIR, MWIR, and LWIR bands.

The ultra-thin absorber of the invention substantially reduces dark currents, and also opens the material design space to options that are not feasible using the thick absorber in a
20 conventional broadband IR detector. For example, some embodiments of the invention employ QW configurations that favorably tailor the wavefunction overlap and band alignments, but which cannot be applied to the thick absorbers of conventional detectors because of strain accumulation that induces dislocations. In contrast, the ultra-thin absorber of the invention can tolerate appreciable strain imbalance without compromising material quality, because the
25 absorber is thinner than the critical thickness for the particular layer structure. The ultimate limit is obtained for embodiments of the invention in which the entire absorber region consists of a single type-II interface such as between InAs and GaSb layers.

The RCIDs of the invention will display higher speed and higher operating temperatures than conventional IR detectors. The spectral response can be tuned with an
30 applied bias, by introducing variable spacers or etch depths to vary the resonance wavelengths

for multiple detector mesas on the same chip, or with an external position-tunable mirror. The RCID may be monolithically combined with an optical source grown below it on the same chip, and temporal modulation of the optical input can be used to further discriminate against non-optical noise sources.

5 The discussion above has enumerated a number of alternatives to the exemplary RCID configurations described in the text and figures. Although particular embodiments, aspects, and features have been described and illustrated, one skilled in the art would readily appreciate that the invention described herein is not limited to only those embodiments, aspects, and features but also contemplates any and all modifications and alternative embodiments that are within
10 the spirit and scope of the underlying invention described and claimed herein. The present application contemplates any and all modifications within the spirit and scope of the underlying invention described and claimed herein, and all such modifications and alternative embodiments are deemed to be within the scope and spirit of the present disclosure.

15

What is claimed is:

1. A resonant-cavity infrared detector, comprising:
 - an *n*-type substrate;
 - 5 a bottom semiconductor mirror formed on an upper surface of the substrate;
 - an *n*-type region formed on an upper surface of the bottom semiconductor mirror;
 - an absorber region having a thickness of less than 100 nm formed on an upper surface of the *n*-type region;
 - a *p*-type region formed on an upper surface of the absorber layer; and
 - 10 a top dielectric mirror formed on an upper surface of the *p*-type region;

wherein the bottom mirror, the *n*-type region, the absorber region, the *p*-type region, and the top mirror form a resonant cavity configured to increase an effective absorption path of incident light having a wavelength resonant with the cavity; and

wherein the absorber region is situated within a depletion region of a *p-n* junction

 - 15 formed by the *n*-type region and the *p*-type region.
-
2. The resonant cavity infrared detector according to claim 1, wherein the *n*-type region comprises an *n*-type superlattice.
-
- 20 3. The resonant cavity infrared detector according to claim 1, wherein the substrate is GaSb, and wherein the *n*-type region comprises an InAs-AlIn_xSb_{1-x} superlattice that is strain compensated to match the lattice constant of the GaSb substrate, and the *p*-type region comprises *p*-GaSb.
-
- 25 4. The resonant cavity infrared detector according to claim 1, wherein the substrate is GaSb, and wherein the *n*-type region comprises a ternary InAsSb alloy that is lattice-matched to the GaSb substrate.

5. The resonant-cavity infrared detector according to claim 1, wherein the absorber region has a thickness of about 10 nm.

5 6. The resonant-cavity infrared detector according to claim 1, wherein the absorber region comprises one or more quantum wells formed on an upper surface of the *n*-type region.

7. The resonant-cavity infrared detector according to claim 1, wherein the absorber region comprises a single type-II *p-n* interface formed on an upper surface of *n*-type region.

10

8. The resonant cavity infrared detector according to claim 1,
wherein the *n*-type region is an InAs-AlSb superlattice terminating on a final InAs layer;

wherein the *p*-type region is a single GaSb hole layer bounded by an AlSb layer;

15 wherein the absorber region comprises an InAs layer adjacent to the GaSb layer; and

wherein the absorber region, the final InAs layer of the *n*-type superlattice, and the AlSb layer have a total thickness of 8 nm.

9. The resonant-cavity infrared detector according to claim 1, wherein the absorber region
20 comprises a “W”-structured quantum well situated between two barrier layers, the “W”-structured quantum well comprising a hole quantum well sandwiched between two electron quantum wells.

10. The resonant cavity infrared detector according to claim 1, wherein the absorber
25 region comprises a type-II InAs/Ga(In)Sb/InAs “W”-structured multiple QW heterostructure comprising an InAs electron QW, a GaInSb hole QW, and InAs electron QW, with the W-structured QW being sandwiched between two AlSb barriers on either side.

11. The resonant cavity infrared detector according to claim 1, wherein the absorber region comprises a single type-II “W”-structured QW consisting of a 16 Å InAs electron quantum well, a 30 Å GaSb hole quantum well, and a 16 Å InAs), the absorber having a net
5 absorber thickness of less than 10 nm.

12. The resonant cavity infrared detector according to claim 1, wherein the absorber region comprises a single type-II $\text{InAs}_{0.65}\text{Sb}_{0.35}$ - $\text{InAs}_{0.35}\text{Sb}_{0.65}$ QW formed on an upper surface of the *n*-type region.

10

13. A resonant-cavity infrared detector, comprising:

an *n*-type substrate;

a bottom semiconductor mirror formed on an upper surface of the substrate;

a first *n*-type region formed on an upper surface of the bottom semiconductor mirror;

15 an absorber region formed on an upper surface of the first *n*-type region;

a barrier region formed on an upper surface of the absorber region;

a second *n*-type region formed on an upper surface of the absorber layer; and

a top dielectric mirror formed on an upper surface of the second *n*-type region;

20 wherein the bottom mirror, the first *n*-type region, the absorber region, the barrier region, the second *n*-type region, and the top mirror form a resonant cavity configured to increase an effective absorption path of incident light having a wavelength resonant with the cavity; and

wherein the absorber region is situated at an antinode of the resonant cavity.

25 14. The resonant-cavity infrared detector according to claim 13, wherein at least one of the first and second *n*-type regions is heavily *n*-doped.

15. A resonant-cavity infrared detector, comprising:

a *p*-type -substrate;

a bottom semiconductor mirror formed on an upper surface of the substrate;

a first *p*-type region formed on an upper surface of the bottom semiconductor mirror;

5 an absorber region formed on an upper surface of the first *p*-type region;

a barrier region formed on an upper surface of the absorber region;

a second *p*-type region formed on an upper surface of the absorber layer; and

a top dielectric mirror formed on an upper surface of the second *p*-type region;

10 wherein the bottom mirror, the first *p*-type region, the absorber region, the barrier region, the second *p*-type region, and the top mirror form a resonant cavity configured to increase an effective absorption path of incident light having a wavelength resonant with the cavity; and

wherein the absorber region is situated at an antinode of the resonant cavity.

15 16. The resonant-cavity infrared detector according to claim 15, wherein at least one of the first and second *p*-type regions is heavily *p*-doped.





FIG. 1
(Prior Art)

*FIG. 2*




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5






FIG. 6

*FIG. 7*

*FIG. 8*




FIG. 9




FIG. 10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US2017/034608**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER****H01L 31/101(2006.01)i, H01L 31/0232(2006.01)i, H01L 31/032(2006.01)i**

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

H01L 31/101; H01L 33/00; G02B 5/30; G01T 1/24; H01L 27/14; G01J 3/50; H01L 21/00; H01L 31/0232; H01L 31/032

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Korean utility models and applications for utility models

Japanese utility models and applications for utility models

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

eKOMPASS(KIPO internal) & Keywords: infrared detector, mirror, p-n junction, absorber region, resonant cavity

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	EP 1577959 A2 (LUMILEDS LIGHTING U.S., LLC) 21 September 2005	1,2,5,6,9,13-16
A	See paragraphs [0013], [0035]-[0037]; claim 10; and figures 2, 8.	3,4,7,8,10-12
Y	US 6013912 A (JEAN-LOUIS PAUTRAT et al.) 11 January 2000	1,2,5,6,9,13-16
	See column 3, line 64 - column 4, line 57; claims 1, 3; and figures 1-3.	
Y	G. BISHOP et al., "nBn detectors based on InAs/GaSb type-II strain layer superlattice", Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B, Nanotechnology and Microelectronics: Materials, Processing, Measurement, and Phenomena, May 2008, Volume 26, Issue 3	13-16
	See pages 1145-1147; and figure 2.	
A	US 2002-0030198 A1 (CARRIE CARTER COMAN et al.) 14 March 2002	1-16
	See paragraphs [0020]-[0039]; and figures 2-4f.	
A	US 2009-0127462 A1 (SARATH D. GUNAPALA et al.) 21 May 2009	1-16
	See paragraphs [0014]-[0022]; and figures 3A-5.	



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

25 August 2017 (25.08.2017)

Date of mailing of the international search report

06 September 2017 (06.09.2017)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR

International Application Division

Korean Intellectual Property Office


189 Cheongsa-ro, Seo-gu, Daejeon, 35208, Republic of Korea

Facsimile No. +82-42-481-8578

Authorized officer

KIM, Seong Woo

Telephone No. +82-42-481-3348



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2017/034608

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 1577959 A2	21/09/2005	EP 1577959 A3 JP 2005-268809 A JP 4713190 B2 TW 200541112 A US 2005-0205884 A1 US 2010-0226404 A1 US 7808011 B2	09/11/2005 29/09/2005 29/06/2011 16/12/2005 22/09/2005 09/09/2010 05/10/2010
US 6013912 A	11/01/2000	EP 0843364 A1 FR 2756105 A1 JP 10-206228 A	20/05/1998 22/05/1998 07/08/1998
US 2002-0030198 A1	14/03/2002	CN 1267109 A DE 19953588 A1 DE 19953588 C2 GB 0002759 D0 GB 2346480 A JP 2000-228563 A JP 4834210 B2 KR 10-0641925 B1 KR 10-2000-0076604 A TW 447183 B US 6320206 B1 US 6420199 B1	20/09/2000 17/08/2000 14/08/2003 29/03/2000 09/08/2000 15/08/2000 14/12/2011 02/11/2006 26/12/2000 21/07/2001 20/11/2001 16/07/2002
US 2009-0127462 A1	21/05/2009	US 7737411 B2	15/06/2010