





(11) (21) (C) **2,144,694**  
(22) 1995/03/15  
(43) 1995/09/23  
(45) 2000/10/31

(72) Arpin, Benoit, CA  
(72) Vexler, Gavriel L., CA  
(73) NORDX/CDT-IP CORP., US  
(51) Int.Cl.<sup>6</sup> H01B 11/00  
(30) 1994/03/22 (08/215,544) US  
(30) 1994/06/16 (08/261,073) US  
(54) **CABLE DE TELECOMMUNICATION**  
(54) **TELECOMMUNICATIONS CABLE**



(57) A telecommunications cable having a cable core in which each conductor is surrounded by an individual dual layer insulation of an inner layer of flame retardant polyolefin and an outer layer of fluorinated ethylene propylene. The cable is for plenum chamber usage in which corrosive smoke is to be minimized. Although the flame retardant polyolefin is a known corrosive smoke generating substance, its use in this structure is entirely suitable for plenum chamber use because little or no corrosive smoke is actually generated as the outer layer protects the inner layer from combustion.

Abstract of the Disclosure

A telecommunications cable having a cable core in which each conductor is surrounded by an individual dual layer insulation of an inner layer of flame retardant polyolefin and an outer layer of fluorinated ethylene propylene. The cable is for plenum chamber usage in which corrosive smoke is to be minimized. Although the flame retardant polyolefin is a known corrosive smoke generating substance, its use in this structure is entirely suitable for plenum chamber use because little or no corrosive smoke is actually generated as the outer layer protects the inner layer from combustion.

## TELECOMMUNICATIONS CABLE

This invention relates to telecommunications cables.

Telecommunication cable designs vary according to the role which the cables are meant to fulfill. In building design, it is always of paramount importance to take every precaution possible to resist the spread of flame and the generation of and spread of toxic and corrosive smoke throughout a building in case of an outbreak of fire. This clearly is a main aim as protection against loss of life and also to minimize the cost of the fire in relation to the destruction of electrical and other equipment. With this in mind, for cables designed for installation in plenum chambers of air circulation systems in buildings, care needs to be taken to ensure that the cables have maximum resistance to flame spread and also produce minimum amounts of smoke which is toxic and corrosive to any equipment into which it may come into contact.

Conventional designs of telecommunications cables for installation in plenum chambers have a low smoke generating jacket material, e.g. of a PVC formulation or a Halar fluoropolymer material surrounding a core of twisted conductor pairs, each conductor individually insulated with a fluorinated ethylene propylene insulation layer. The latter is the only material currently used as conductor insulation in this type of cable, due to its flame retardant, smoke retardant and good electrical properties and which is capable of satisfying recognized plenum test requirements such as a UL or ETLCP rating and/or CSAFT6 (plenum flame test) while enabling the cable to achieve a desired electrical performance under recognized test requirements EIA/TIA-568 and TSB-36 for high frequency signal transmission.

While the above-described cable is capable of meeting all of the above design criteria, undoubtedly the use of fluorinated ethylene propylene is extremely

expensive and may account for up to 60% of the cost of a cable designed for plenum usage.

On the other hand, in another design of telecommunications cable for in-building usage, i.e. cables to be installed in risers in buildings extending from floor-to-floor, while it is recognized that flame spread in such a cable is important, nevertheless the production of corrosive smoke is not considered to be a major issue because it is unlikely that smoke from such a cable could reach equipment in a building to damage it. As a result therefore, the conductors in a riser cable are not normally insulated with fluorinated ethylene propylene but are insulated with a less expensive material such as a flame retardant polyolefin. Clearly, no thought would be given to using flame retardant polyolefin as used in a riser design cable for a plenum cable because of the problems associated with the production of smoke in plenum cable designs.

The present invention seeks to provide a cable design suitable for in plenum chamber use while meeting all of the requirements of such use and in which the cable is less expensive than conventional cables for plenum chamber usage.

According to the present invention there is provided a telecommunications cable having a cable core comprising a plurality of electrical conductors each individually insulated with a dual layer of insulation having an inner layer of a flame retardant polyolefin and an outer layer of fluorinated ethylene propylene surrounding the inner layer, the core being provided within a jacket of low smoke generating material.

The cable according to the invention has been found to be suitable for in-plenum chamber usage. This is surprising in view of the fact that flame retardant polyolefin is used in the structure and this has previously been considered unsuitable for plenum chamber usage because of its known characteristic of generating corrosive smoke

during a fire. It has been discovered, however, in the inventive concept that the fluorinated ethylene propylene layer in its flame spread resistant function, is sufficiently protective of the fire resistant polyolefin that flame contact with the flame retardant polyolefin and flame spread along the flame retardant polyolefin is dampened to such a degree that little or no corrosive smoke is generated. This is even more surprising in that the flame retardant polyolefin and the fluorinated ethylene polypropylene are incompatible materials and do not adhere easily together. As a result, a small interfacial air barrier possibly exists between the two layers of material which, it could be imagined, may assist in flame spread and smoke generation manner. However this has not been found to be case, as the fluorinated ethylene propylene effectively dampens the flame spread and smoke generation created by the flame retardant polyolefin as discussed above. The degree of protection offered by the fluorinated ethylene propylene to the fire retardant polyolefin must of course be dependent upon the thickness of the fluorinated ethylene propylene.

In preferred cables, the fluorinated ethylene propylene layer has a minimum thickness of 2 mil to afford the required protection, the remainder of the insulated thickness being provided by the flame retardant polyolefin to produce the required electrical characteristics to the cable. In a preferred arrangement, the inner layer of fire retardant polyolefin occupies at least 30% by volume of the total volume of the dual layer insulation. Cable designs having dual layer insulations for the conductors of fluorinated ethylene propylene and fire retardant polyolefin have been successfully tested at ETL for the plenum flame test. The electrical characteristics of the cables have been evaluated and meet the requirements of EIA/TIA-568 and TSB36.

One embodiment of the invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:-

Figure 1 is an isometric view of a cable according to the embodiment; and

Figure 2 is a cross-sectional view through an insulated conductor of the cable of Figure 1.

As shown in the embodiment of Figure 1 a telecommunications plenum cable 10 comprises a jacket 12 of minimal smoke emission polyvinyl chloride or Halar fluoropolymer, the jacket surrounding a cable core 14 formed by a plurality, namely four, pairs of twisted together and individually insulated conductors 16.

As shown by Figure 2, each individually insulated conductor 16 comprises a twenty-four AWG copper conductor 18 surrounded by a dual insulation. The dual insulation comprises an inner insulating layer 20 made from a flame retardant polyolefin e.g. polyethylene, and an outer layer surrounding the inner layer, the outer layer 22 formed from fluorinated ethylene propylene. In this embodiment, the inner layer has a thickness of about 4 mil and the outer layer has a thickness of approximately 2.5 mil. The two layers are provided by successive extrusion steps, possibly within a dual extrusion head of known structure. The two materials are likely incompatible and there is little or no adherence between the layers. In this embodiment it has been found that with the dual insulation thickness of 6.5 mil, the cable is entirely suitable for use in plenum chamber conditions. In the event of a fire, it has been determined that the flame spread characteristics are satisfactorily low as successfully tested at ETL and coming within their flame spread standards for plenum cable. Electrical characteristics of the cable have been evaluated and it is believed that for high frequency performance the cable satisfactorily meets EIA/TIA-568 and TSB-36 standards.

Although the cable of the embodiment does not use fluorinated ethylene propylene exclusively for its insulation but instead uses flame retardant polyethylene as an inner layer to the fluorinated ethylene propylene outer layer, nevertheless satisfactory results have been achieved. Surprisingly, although the flame retardant polyethylene conventionally is avoided for plenum cable constructions, in the invention and as shown by the embodiment it was shown that material is suitable as the inner layer insulation for plenum cables. The electrical properties were achieved as stated by the dual layer insulation as also were the flame retardant properties. Although the flame retardant polyethylene was incorporated, of necessity this incorporation was as an inner layer of the dual insulation structure and in this position, it was found that the fluorinated ethylene propylene outer layer minimized the contact of flame with the inner layer and thereby controlled the degree of flame spread along the inner layer and also inhibited the generation of corrosive smoke by the polyethylene. This is a surprising result in that it could not have been previously supposed that flame retardant polyethylene could have been satisfactory under any circumstances for use as an insulation for plenum cables. Surprising low corrosive smoke test results were also surprising in view of the fact that the two layers of insulation are not compatible and the view could have been taken that the small interfacial air gap between the layers would have assisted in the flame spread along the flame retardant polyethylene. However, this has been found not to be the case that the incompatibility of the two materials produces a negligible result.

In the above embodiment, the fluorinated polyethylene occupies approximately 46% to 48% by volume of the total volume of the dual layer insulation. It is believed that satisfactory results may be obtained while using a minimum of 30% by volume of the flame retardant polyethylene of the total volume of the insulation. In

addition for the purpose of providing a protection against flame spread of the flame retardant polyethylene, the fluorinated ethylene propylene outer layer should have a minimum thickness of 2 mil. In other constructions falling within the scope of the present invention and for 24 AWG or 22 AWG conductor sizes, an inner layer of flame retardant polyethylene may have a thickness of between 2 and 4 mil and the outer layer may have a thickness between 2 and 3.5 mil. The total thickness of the insulation is comparable to the insulation of a totally fluorinated ethylene propylene insulation provided upon a 22 or 24 AWG conductor in a conventional plenum type telecommunications cable.

## WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A telecommunications cable having a cable core comprising a plurality of electrical conductors each individually insulated with a dual layer insulation having an inner layer of a flame retardant polyolefin and an outer layer of fluorinated ethylene propylene surrounding the inner layer, the core being provided within a jacket of low smoke generating material.

2. A telecommunications cable according to claim 1 wherein the inner layer has a volume of at least 30% of the total volume of the dual layer insulation.

3. A telecommunications cable according to claim 1 wherein the outer layer has a minimum thickness of 2 mil.

4. A telecommunications cable according to claim 2 wherein the inner layer has a maximum thickness of 4 mil and the outer layer has a maximum thickness of 3.5 mil.





FIG 2

*M. Austin*

