



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : C11D 1/66, 3/30	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/28237 (43) International Publication Date: 7 August 1997 (07.08.97)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US97/01616 (22) International Filing Date: 29 January 1997 (29.01.97) (30) Priority Data: 010,896 31 January 1996 (31.01.96) US 08/789,932 28 January 1997 (28.01.97) US (71) Applicant: NEOZYME INTERNATIONAL, INC. [US/US]; Suite 200, 33 Journey, Aliso Viejo, CA 92656 (US). (72) Inventors: DALE, Parker; 221 Via Genoa, Newport Beach, CA 92663 (US). HILL, John, E.; 1 Shelby, Irvine, CA 92620 (US). (74) Agent: SHARP, Janice, A.; Merchant, Gould, Smith, Edell, Welter & Schmidt, Suite 400, 11150 Santa Monica Boule- vard, Los Angeles, CA 90025 (US).	(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AT (Utility model), AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, CZ (Utility model), DE, DE (Utility model), DK, DK (Utility model), EE, EE (Utility model), ES, FI, FI (Utility model), GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SK (Utility model), TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	
(54) Title: COMPOSITION FOR CLEANING GREASE-TRAPS AND SEPTIC TANKS CONTROL		
(57) Abstract		
<p>A composition and methods for cleaning grease-traps, septic tank control, discharge water from industrial meat and poultry processing and packing plants, lift stations and municipal systems. The composition comprises preservatives at a concentration of about 0.35 %, by weight, a non-ionic surfactant at a concentration of about 8 %, by weight, triethanolamine at a concentration of about 2 %, by weight and a fermentation supernatant at a concentration of about 12.14 %, by weight. In a preferred embodiment of the present invention the composition comprises a fermentation supernatant from a <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> culture, sodium benzoate, imidazolidinyl urea, diazolidinyl urea, triethanolamine and a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant.</p>		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

COMPOSITION FOR CLEANING GREASE-TRAPS AND SEPTIC TANKS CONTROL

Field of the Invention

The present invention is directed at a biologically based composition for cleaning and deodorizing grease-traps, septic tank control, discharge water from industrial meat and poultry processing and packing plants, lift stations and municipal systems.

5

Background of the Invention

Many manufacturing, food processing and industrial facilities dispose of liquid waste into sewer lines. The liquid waste often contains fats, oils and grease (FOG) and other organic contaminants which, over time, leads to clogs in pipes. The treatment of this problem is to clean pipes with caustic drain cleaners, mechanically rout the pipes or to replace the pipes completely. Even when grease-traps are included in a drainage system, the grease-traps can form a permanent, solid grease layer over the top of the water in the grease-trap which requires "pump-out" of the grease-trap.

In other situations, liquid waste is disposed into septic tanks and drain-fields. High concentrations of FOG in the waste water can lead to grease build-up on rocks in the drain-field which eventually form a seal over the rocks preventing water flowing into the drain-field. The treatment of this problem requires digging out the drain-field and replacing it with new materials.

A number of biological processes and compositions have been developed which are directed at specific contaminants, for example: *Xanthomonas maltophilia* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* have been used to degrade polar organic solvents (U.S. Patent No. 5,369,031); a combination of amylase, lipase and/or protease have been used to digest colloidal material such as starch, grease, fat and protein (U.S. Patent No. 5,882,059); and a yeast fermentation composition described in U.S. Patent No. 3,635,797 has been described as effective in deodorizing sewage and ponds and in the degradation of organic waste. However, some compositions, such as that described in U.S. Patent No. 3,635,797 have been found to be unstable and yielded variable results from one batch to another. Other compositions described above are directed at only a specific contaminant and do not address the problems presented by waste containing high FOG.

It is desirable to provide a non-toxic and non-polluting composition for emulsification and digestion of fats, oils and grease and other organic contaminants that clog pipes. It is also desirable that the use of such a composition avoids the need for pump-outs of grease-traps and septic tanks and the replacement of drain-fields. It is also

30

desirable that such a composition remove odors emitted from such grease-traps, drains, septic tanks, discharge water from industrial meat and poultry processing and packing plants, lift stations and municipal systems.

5 **Summary of the Invention**

The present invention is directed at a composition for cleaning grease-traps, septic tank control, discharge water from industrial meat and poultry processing and packing plants, lift stations and municipal systems. The composition comprises preservatives at a concentration of about 0.35%, by weight, a non-ionic surfactant at a concentration of about 8%, by weight, triethanolamine at a concentration of about 2%,
10 by weight and a fermentation supernatant at a concentration of about 12.14%, by weight.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention the composition comprises a fermentation supernatant from a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* culture, sodium benzoate, imidazolidinyl urea, diazolidinyl urea, triethanolamine and a polyoxyethylene alcohol
15 surfactant.

Detailed Description

The present invention is directed at a composition for cleaning organic material from surfaces.

20 Oxidative biological and chemical processes in aqueous environments are limited by the low solubility of oxygen in water. This physical limitation is defined by Henry's Law. It states that when the temperature is kept constant, the amount of a gas that dissolves into a liquid is proportional to the pressure exerted by the gas on the liquid.

25 The solubility of oxygen in pure water is only about 10 parts per million (ppm) at ambient temperatures and at one atmosphere pressure. The composition of the present invention has been observed to increase oxygen in water above levels which would be anticipated by Henry's Law.

For most aerobic bioprocesses, whether a wastewater treatment system or a
30 biotechnology fermentation, dissolved oxygen is quickly consumed so that replenishing it becomes the factor which limits the rate of the process. Therefore, the most critical component of a bioprocess design is the means for the mass transfer of oxygen into the liquid phase of the process. For an actively respiring culture of bacteria at a cell density of about 10^9 cells/ml, oxygen in the liquid medium must be
35 replaced about 12 times per minute to keep up with the oxygen demand of the bacteria.

Water is typically aerated by increasing the contact surfaces between the gaseous and liquid phases. This can be done either by introducing a source of oxygen into a bulk liquid phase or by flowing dispersed water through a bulk gaseous (air) phases. Regardless of whether the gaseous or liquid phases dominate the oxygenation process, the mass transfer of oxygen, or other gas, is accomplished by introducing gas bubbles into the liquid phase. The efficiency of gas-liquid mass transfer depends to a large extent on the characteristics of the bubbles. Bubble behavior strongly affects the following mass-transfer parameters:

- Transfer of oxygen from the interior of the bubble to the gas-liquid interface;
- Movement of oxygen across the gas-liquid interface; and
- Diffusion of oxygen through the relatively stagnant liquid film surrounding the bubble.

It is of fundamental importance in the study of bubbles to understand the exchange of gases across the interface between the free state within the bubble and the dissolved state outside the bubble. It is generally agreed that the most important property of air bubbles in a bioprocess is their size. For a given volume of gas, more interfacial area (a) between the gas phase and liquid phase is provided if the gas is dispersed into many small bubbles rather than a few large ones. Small bubbles, 1-3 mm, have been shown to have the following beneficial properties not shared by larger bubbles:

Small gas bubbles rise more slowly than large bubbles, allowing more time for a gas to dissolve in the aqueous phase. This property is referred to as *gas hold-up*, concentrations of oxygen in water can be more than doubled beyond Henry's Law solubility limits. For example, after a saturation limit of 10 ppm oxygen is attained; at least another 10 ppm oxygen within small bubbles would be available to replenish the oxygen.

Once a bubble has been formed, the major barrier for oxygen transfer to the liquid phase is the liquid film surrounding the bubble. Biochemical engineering studies have concluded that transport through this film becomes the rate-limiting step in the complete process, and controls the overall mass-transfer rate. However, as bubbles become smaller, this liquid film decreases so that the transfer of gas into the bulk liquid phase is no longer impeded.

Surfactants in water can lead to the formation of very small bubbles, less than 1 mm in diameter. These small bubbles, referred to as microbubbles, are the result of the reduced surface tension at the interface between the gas/liquid interface caused by surfactants.

As large concentrations of gas are introduced into a solution such as by a chemical reaction or other mechanism, the liquid phase can become supersaturated if nucleation centers for the formation of bubbles are absent. At this point microbubbles can then form spontaneously, nucleating large bubble formation, and sweeping dissolved gases from the solution until supersaturation again occurred. In the presence of surfactants, it is likely that a larger portion of gas would remain in the solution as stable bubbles.

Microbubbles exposed to a dispersion of gas in a liquid show colloidal properties and are referred to as colloidal gas aphrons (CGA). CGA differ from ordinary gas bubbles in that they contain a distinctive shell layer consisting of a low concentration of a surfactant.

The composition of the present invention exhibits desirable properties associated with surfactant microbubbles. However, the microbubbles formed with the composition of the present invention appear to increase the mass transfer of oxygen in liquids. Without being bound by scientific theory, there are several possible explanations for this difference:

The earlier described surfactant microbubbles involved the use of pure synthetic surfactants that were either anionic or cationic. The surfactants formulated into the composition of the present invention are nonionic and are blended with biosurfactants which significantly alter the properties of bubble behavior.

The composition of the present invention requires a much lower concentration of surfactants for microbubble formation. It has been suggested that surfactant concentrations must approach the critical micelles concentration (CMS) of a surfactant system. In the composition of the present invention, microbubbles are formed below estimated CMCs for the surfactants used. This suggests that the composition of the present invention microbubbles are the result of aggregates of surfactant molecules with a loose molecular packing more favorable to gas mass transfer characteristics. A surface consisting of fewer molecules would be more gas permeable than a well-organized micelle containing gas.

In addition to surfactants, the composition of the present invention contains biologically derived catalysts. Both of these components tend to be amphiphilic, that is they have pronounced hydrophobic and hydrophilic properties. Amphiphilic molecules tend to cluster in water to form low molecular weight aggregates which (as surfactant concentrations increase) result in micelle formation at concentrations ranging from 10^{-2} to 10^{14} M. Aggregates of these amphiphilic molecules are the nuclei for microbubble formation.

The composition of the present invention appears to increase oxygen levels in fluids. Without being bound by scientific theory, it is believed this effect can be explained by either or both of two mechanisms:

Increased mass transfer of gases resulting from the interactions of non-ionic surfactants and other components of the composition of the present invention; and

Delayed release of gases from microbubbles so that oxygen can be dispersed throughout a liquid rather than just at the point of introduction.

With either mechanism, it is likely that the tendency of composition of the present invention organizes into clusters, aggregates, or gas-filled bubbles provides a platform for reactions to occur by increasing localized concentrations of reactants, lowering the transition of energy required for a catalytic reaction to occur, or some other mechanism which has not yet been described. It has been established that the non-ionic surfactants used in the composition of the present invention are compatible with and enhance enzymatic reactions. The composition of the present invention has catalytic activities that is more like the catalytic activities of functionalized surfactants than conventional enzyme systems.

The composition comprises a yeast fermentation supernatant, preservatives and a non-ionic surfactant, in the absence of an anionic or cationic surfactant.

Non-ionic surfactants suitable for use in the present invention include, but are not limited to, polyether non-ionic surfactants comprising fatty alcohols, alkyl phenols, fatty acids and fatty amines which have been ethoxylated; polyhydroxyl non-ionic (polyols) typically comprising sucrose esters, sorbital esters, alkyl glucosides and polyglycerol esters which may or may not be ethoxylated. In one embodiment of the present invention the surfactant is a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant such as those sold under the tradename TERGITOL (Union Carbide Chemicals and Plastic Co., Inc.) and in particular TERGITOL 15-S-7. TERGITOL acts synergistically to enhance the action of the yeast fermentation product.

The fermentation supernatant of the present invention is similar to that described in U.S. Patent No. 3,635,797 to Battistoni *et al.*, which is incorporated herein by reference. Briefly, yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is cultured in a medium comprising: a sugar source, such as sucrose from molasses or raw sugar, soy beans or mixtures thereof, a sugar concentration of about 10 to 30%, by weight, is used; malt such as diastatic malt is used at a concentration of about 7 to 12%, by weight; a salt, such as magnesium salts, and in particular magnesium sulfate, is used at a concentration of about 1 to 3%, by weight, and yeast is added to the medium to a final concentration of about 1 to 5%, by weight.

The mixture is incubated at about 26° to 42°C until the fermentation is completed, *i.e.* until effervescence of the mixture has ceased, usually about 2 to 5 days depending on the fermentation temperature. At the end of the fermentation the yeast fermentation product is centrifuged to remove the "sludge" formed during the fermentation.

The supernatant (about 98.59%, by weight) is mixed with sodium benzoate (about 1%, by weight), imidazolidinyl urea (about 0.01%, by weight), diazolidinyl urea (about 0.15%, by weight), calcium chloride (about 0.25%, by weight) to form fermentation intermediate. The pH is adjusted to about 3.7 to about 4.2 with phosphoric acid. The composition of the fermentation intermediate is summarized in Table I.

Table I
Fermentation Intermediate

Component	%, by weight
Fermentation supernatant	98.59
Na benzoate	1
Imidazolidinyl urea	0.01
Diazolidinyl urea	0.15
Calcium chloride	0.25
Adjust pH	to about 3.7 to about 4.2 with phosphoric acid

The fermentation intermediate is prepared by filling a jacketed mixing kettle with the desired quantity of the fermentation supernatant. With moderate agitation the pH is adjusted to 3.4 to 3.6 with phosphoric acid. With continuous agitation sodium benzoate, diazolidinyl urea, imidazolidinyl urea and calcium chloride are added. The temperature of the mixture is then slowly raised to about 40°C and the mixture is agitated continuously. The temperature is maintained at about 40°C for about one hour to ensure that all the components of the mixture are dissolved. The mixture is then cooled to about 20° to 25°C.

The fermentation intermediate is then formulated into the composition of the present invention (final composition). Fermentation intermediate (about 12.31%, by weight, of the final composition) is mixed with a nitrogen containing compound such as urea, ammonium nitrate or mixtures thereof (about 9%, by weight, final composition), preservatives such as sodium benzoate (about 0.1%, by weight, of the final

-7-

composition),imidazolidinyl urea (about 0.01%, by weight, of the final composition), diazolidinyl urea (about 0.15%, by weight, of the final composition) and mixtures thereof, a surfactant such as TERGITOL 15-S-7 (about 8%, by weight, of the final composition), triethanolamine (about 2%, by weight, of the final composition), and the
5 composition is brought to 100% with water.

In a preferred embodiment the composition of the present invention comprises about 12.31%, by weight, fermentation intermediate, about 9%, by weight, ammonium nitrate, about 0.01%, by weight, about 0.1%, by weight, sodium benzoate, imidazolidinyl urea, about 0.15%, by weight, diazolidinyl urea, about 2%, by weight,
10 triethanolamine, about 8%, by weight, of a surfactant such as TERGITOL 15-S-7 and about 67.53%, by weight, water (see Table II).

Table II
Final Composition

15

Component	%, by weight
Tergitol 15-S-7	8
Sodium benzoate	0.1
Imidazolidinyl urea	0.01
Diazolidinyl urea,	0.15
Triethanolamine	2
Fermentation Intermediate	12.31

The method for preparing the final composition is to charge a mixing kettle with the desired volume of water at 20° to 25°C. The preservatives are added to the water with agitation. TERGITOL 15-S-7 is then added and the mixture is blended until the
20 solids are dissolved. Triethanolamine is then added and the mixture is blended until the solids are dissolved. The fermentation intermediate is then added with gentle agitation. The pH is adjusted to about 8.5 to 9 with phosphoric acid.

The final concentration of components in the final composition are summarized in Table III.

25

Table III
Final Composition

Component	%, by weight
Na benzoate	0.19
Imidazolidinyl urea	0.01
Diazolidinyl urea	0.15
Tergitol 15-S-7	8
Calcium chloride	0.03
Triethanolamine	2
Fermentation supernatant	12.14 (clarified)
Adjust pH	to about 8.5 to 9 with phosphoric acid

5 The final composition is diluted for use. For use in grease-traps the final composition is diluted to a final concentration in the grease-trap of about 1:150 for an initial treatment. After the initial treatment the final composition is diluted to a final concentration in the grease-trap of about 1:600. The final composition, diluted about 1:600, is then added about every two weeks to maintain the grease-trap in a free-flowing and odorless condition.

10 For use in septic tanks the final composition is diluted to a final concentration in the septic tank of about 1:800 for an initial treatment. After the initial treatment the final composition is diluted to a final concentration in the septic tank of about 1:12,000 and is then added every week to maintain the septic tank.

15 For use in drain-fields about two gallons of the final composition is diluted with sufficient water to cover about 400 square feet of field area. The area is then thoroughly watered, with plain water, to wash the final composition into the drain-field. The treatment is repeated after four days, if needed. The treatment can be repeated periodically as required.

20 For use in drains, about one quart of the final composition is added to the drains to be treated, followed by a gallon of warm water (about 40° to 50°C). Drains on lower floors should be treated first and then drains on upper floors. The treatment is repeated as required to maintain free-flowing drains.

For use in lift stations and wet wells, to dissolve and prevent formation of grease caps, the final composition is diluted to a final concentration in the lift stations or wet wells of about 1:10 for an initial treatment. After the initial treatment the final composition is diluted to a final concentration in the lift stations or wet wells of about 1:1,000 and is then added about every four weeks to maintain the lift stations or wet wells.

Those skilled in the art are aware that dilutions of such compositions can be used and that over-dilution for a particular purpose can result in a decreased rate of digestion and therefore, effectiveness of the composition and that under-dilution for a particular purpose increases cost without increasing the rate of degradation or effectiveness. Ideally, the final composition is diluted to optimize the rate of degradation or effectiveness and to minimize costs.

Example 1
Comparison of the Fermentation Intermediate of
U.S. Patent No. 3,635,797 and the Final Compound
of the Present Invention

5

The present invention is a modification of the fermentation composition described in U.S. Patent No. 3,635,797. The fermentation intermediate of U.S. Patent No. 3,635,797 and the composition of the present invention are set forth for comparison in Table IV.

10

Table IV

Component	U.S. Patent No. 3,635,797 (%, by weight)	Final Composition (%, by weight)
Na benzoate	0	0.19
Imidazolidinyl urea	0	0.01
Diazolidinyl urea	0	0.15
Anionic surfactants	20	0
Nonionic surfactants	18	8
Cationic surfactants	25	0
Lactic acid	9	0
Citric acid	1.7	0
Urea	40	0
Pine oil	3.5	0
Calcium chloride	0	0.03
Triethanolamine	0	2
Fermentation super.	22	12.14 (clarified)
Adjust pH	about 3.0 (citric acid)	about 8.5 to 9 (H ₃ PO ₄)

The elimination of anionic surfactants and cationic surfactants increased the performance of the final formulation in its ability to degrade oils, fats and grease. The addition of imidazolidinyl urea, diazolidinyl urea and sodium benzoate increased the stability of the final formulation by inhibiting degradation of the fermentation

15

supernatant. Centrifugation to form the fermentation supernatant resulted in a decrease of particulate matter which resulted in residue which can contribute to clogging of pipes.

Example 2

Treatment of Restaurant Grease Trap Collections

5

An efficacy trial was run with the composition of the present invention to treat restaurant grease trap collections. Twenty gallons of the composition of the present invention (12.31%, by weight, fermentation intermediate, 12.31%, by weight, urea, 8%, by weight, TERGITOL 15-S-7, 2%, by weight, triethanolamine) was mixed with 3,000 gallons of grease trap contents gathered from restaurants.

10

Analysis of the grease trap contents prior to treatment showed the FOG to be 18,000 mg/l and TSS (Total Suspended Solids) to be 19,400 mg/l. After the composition was added air was introduced and the solution was mixed for 24 hours. At the end of the 24 hours a sample was taken and analyzed. The results showed that the FOG decreased to 160 mg/l and the TSS reduced to 410 mg/l.

15

Example 3

Bench Scale Test of Treatment of Prepared FOG Sample

20

A FOG sample containing 33% tallow, 33% vegetable fat, and 33% lard was prepared. Two grams of the FOG sample was added to each of two 450 ml aliquots of water. Three ml of the composition of the present invention was added to one of the FOG/water samples. The FOG/water (control) and FOG/water/composition (test) samples were stirred for 24 hours at room temperature. After 24 hours each of the samples were analyzed for the fat remaining in the samples.

25

Treatment with the composition of the present invention resulted in approximately a 50% reduction in the fat content of the test sample compared to the control sample.

30

The present invention is not to be limited to the specific embodiments shown which are merely illustrative. Various and numerous other embodiments may be devised by one skilled in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of this invention.

-12-

Claims

1. A composition for cleaning grease-traps, septic tank control, discharge water from industrial meat and poultry processing and packing plants, lift stations and municipal systems comprising: preservatives at a concentration of about 0.35%, by weight, a non-ionic surfactant at a concentration of about 8%, by weight, triethanolamine at a concentration of about 2%, by weight and a fermentation supernatant at a concentration of about 12.14%, by weight.
2. A composition as recited in claim 1 wherein the composition is use at a concentration of about 1:10 to 1:800 in the water to be treated for initial treatment of the grease-traps, septic tank control, discharge water from industrial meat and poultry processing and packing plants, lift stations and municipal systems to be treated.
3. A composition as recited in claim 1 wherein the composition is used at a concentration of about 1:600 to 1:12,000 in the water to be treated for maintenance treatment of the grease-traps, septic tank control, discharge water from industrial meat and poultry processing and packing plants, lift stations and municipal systems to be treated.
4. A composition as recited in claim 1 wherein the preservatives are selected from the group consisting of sodium benzoate, imidazolidinyl urea, diazolidinyl urea, methyl paraben, propyl paraben and mixtures thereof.
5. A composition for cleaning grease-traps, septic tank control, discharge water from industrial meat and poultry processing and packing plants, lift stations and municipal systems comprising: a fermentation supernatant from a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* culture; preservatives selected from the group consisting of sodium benzoate, imidazolidinyl urea, diazolidinyl urea, methyl paraben, propyl paraben and mixtures thereof; triethanolamine; and a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant.
6. A composition as recited in claim 5 wherein the preservatives are present at a concentration of about 0.35%, by weight, the surfactant is present at a concentration of about 8%, by weight, the triethanolamine is present at a concentration of about 2%, by weight; and the fermentation supernatant is present at a concentration of about 12.14%, by weight.

7. A composition as recited in claim 5 wherein the composition is use at a concentration of about 1:10 to 1:800 in the water to be treated for initial treatment of the grease-traps, septic tank control, discharge water from industrial meat and poultry processing and packing plants, lift stations and municipal systems to be treated.

5

8. A composition as recited in claim 5 wherein the composition is use at a concentration of about 1:600 to 1:12,000 in the water to be treated for initial treatment of the grease-traps, septic tank control, discharge water from industrial meat and poultry processing and packing plants, lift stations and municipal systems to be treated.

10

9. A method of maintaining grease-traps in a free flowing and deodorized condition comprising:

adding sufficient amount of a composition comprising a fermentation supernatant of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* culture; sodium benzoate; imidazolidinyl urea; diazolidinyl urea; triethanolamine; and a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant to bringing the contents of the grease trap to a final concentration of about 0.08%, by weight, of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* culture; about 0.0013%, by weight, sodium benzoate; about 0.00007%, by weight, imidazolidinyl urea; about 0.001%, by weight, diazolidinyl urea; about 0.013%, by weight, triethanolamine and about 0.05%, by weight, of a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant to form an initial step;

20

incubating the mixture for about two weeks at ambient temperature;

adding sufficient amount of the composition to bringing the contents of the grease trap to a final concentration of 0.02%, by weight, of a fermentation supernatant from a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* culture; about 0.0004%, by weight, sodium benzoate; about 0.00002%, by weight, imidazolidinyl urea; about 0.0003%, by weight, diazolidinyl urea; about 0.004%, by weight, triethanolamine and about 0.01%, by weight, of a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant, assuming an initial concentration of zero for these components, to form a maintenance step; and

25

repeating the maintenance step about every two weeks.

30

10. A method of maintaining septic tanks in a free flowing and deodorized condition comprising:

5 adding a sufficient of a composition comprising a fermentation supernatant of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* culture; sodium benzoate; imidazolidinyl urea; diazolidinyl urea; triethanolamine; and a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant to bringing the contents of the septic tank to a final concentration of about 0.015%, by weight, of the fermentation supernatant from a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* culture; about 0.0002%, by weight, sodium benzoate; about 0.00001%, by weight, imidazolidinyl urea; about 0.0002%, by weight, diazolidinyl urea; about 0.002%, by weight, triethanolamine
10 and about 0.009%, by weight, of a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant to form an initial step;

incubating the mixture for about two weeks at ambient temperature;

15 adding a sufficient amount of the composition to bringing the contents of the septic tank to a final concentration of 0.001%, by weight, of the fermentation supernatant from a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* culture; about 0.00002%, by weight, sodium benzoate; about 0.000001%, by weight, imidazolidinyl urea; about 0.00002%, by weight, diazolidinyl urea; about 0.0002%, by weight, triethanolamine and about 0.0005%, by weight, of a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant, assuming an initial concentration of zero for these components, to form a maintenance step; and

20 repeating the maintenance step about every week.

11. A method of maintaining lift station in a free flowing and deodorized condition comprising:

25 adding a sufficient amount of a composition comprising solution of a yeast fermentation supernatant from a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* culture; sodium benzoate; imidazolidinyl urea; diazolidinyl urea; triethanolamine; and a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant to bringing the contents of the lift station to a final concentration of about 1.2 %, by weight, of the fermentation supernatant from a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* culture; about 0.02%, by weight, sodium benzoate; about 0.001%, by weight, imidazolidinyl urea; about 0.015%, by weight, diazolidinyl urea; about 0.2%, by weight, triethanolamine and about 0.8%, by weight, of a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant to form an initial step;

incubating the mixture for about two weeks at ambient temperature;

35 adding a sufficient amount of the composition to bringing the contents of the lift station to a final concentration of 0.012 %, by weight, of the fermentation supernatant from a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* culture; about 0.0002%, by weight, sodium benzoate; about 0.00001%, by weight, imidazolidinyl urea; about 0.00015%, by

-15-

weight, diazolidinyl urea; about 0.002%, by weight, triethanolamine and about 0.008%, by weight, of a polyoxyethylene alcohol surfactant, assuming an initial concentration of zero for these components, to form a maintenance step; and
repeating the maintenance step about every four weeks.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US97/01616

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) :C11D 1/66, 3/30
US CL :510/195, 418, 421, 433, 530

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 510/195, 418, 421, 433, 530

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS, CAS ONLINE
search terms: grease, septic, drain#, trap#, saccharomyces, enzyme#, fermentation supernatant, yeast#

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5,385,685 A (HUMPHREYS et al.) 31 January 1995, col.6, lines 18-21, col. 7, lines 28-35, col. 11, line 68, col. 12, lines 4-5, col. 16, lines 36-40, col. 17, line 65.	1-8
Y,P	US 5,560,872 A (RAHMAN et al.) 01 October 1996, col. 6, lines 11-12, col. 10, lines 44-45, col. 11, lines 44-46, col. 15, lines 24-25, col. 16, lines 8-9..	1-8
Y	US 5,466,396 A (MADISON et al.) 14 November 1995, col. 2, line 66-col. 3, line 5, col. 3, lines 50-51, col. 9, lines 38-39, col. 11, lines 51-54, col. 12, lines 43-44.	1-8

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search 16 APRIL 1997	Date of mailing of the international search report 30 JUN 1997
--	---

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Authorized officer

PAUL LIEBERMAN

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Telephone No. (703) 308-2523

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US97/01616

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5,389,279 A (AU et al.) 14 February 1995, col. 3, lines 64-65, col. 4, lines 25-26, col. 5, lines 38-41, col. 9, lines 33-35, col. 10, lines 32-33 col. 14, lines 33-36.	1-8
Y	US 5,352,387 A (RAHMAN et al.) 04 October 1994, col. 8, lines 26-27, col. 9, lines 23-24, col. 11, lines 33-34, col. 13, lines 21-24.	1-8
Y	US 5,352,386 A (RAHMAN et al.) 04 October 1994, col. 3, lines 20-23, col. 4, lines 11-13 and lines 16-17, col. 8, lines 10-12, col. 9, lines 8-9, col. 13, lines 8-11.	1-8
Y	US 5,358,656 A (HUMPHREYS et al.) 25 October 1994, col. 6, lines 40-43, col. 7, lines 34-35 and 48-55, col. 16, lines 23-24, col. 17, lines 47-48.	1-8
Y	US 3,635,797 A (BATTISTONI et al.) 18 January 1972, col. 1, lines 47-50, col. 2, lines 37-39, col. 3, lines 53-57, col. 4, lines 7-12.	1-8