



Office de la Propriété

Intellectuelle
du Canada

Un organisme
d'Industrie Canada

Canadian
Intellectual Property
Office

An agency of
Industry Canada

CA 2476989 C 2011/08/02

(11)(21) **2 476 989**

(12) **BREVET CANADIEN
CANADIAN PATENT**

(13) **C**

(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2002/08/09
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2003/09/04
(45) Date de délivrance/Issue Date: 2011/08/02
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2004/08/20
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: US 2002/025275
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2003/071980
(30) Priorité/Priority: 2002/02/20 (US10/079,186)


(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *A01K 37/00* (2006.01),
A01K 15/02 (2006.01), *A01K 27/00* (2006.01)

(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
LEE, ALBERT L., IV, US;
GROH, WILLIAM S., US

(73) Propriétaire/Owner:
RADIO SYSTEMS CORPORATION, US

(74) Agent: OYEN WIGGS GREEN & MUTALA LLP

(54) Titre : DETECTION DU NIVEAU BAS DU RESERVOIR ET DOSAGE VARIABLE POUR UN SYSTEME DE
DRESSAGE D'ANIMAUX COMPRENANT UNE SUBSTANCE DISSUASIVE EN SPRAY
(54) Title: LOW RESERVOIR DETECTION AND VARIABLE DOSING FOR A SPRAY DETERRENT ANIMAL TRAINING
SYSTEM



(57) Abrégé/Abstract:

An apparatus for controlling the barking of a dog through application of a spray deterrent, or spray control bark collar (10). The spray control bark collar (10) administers a dose of a pressurized substance in response to the barking of the dog. The spray

(57) Abrégé(suite)/Abstract(continued):

control bark collar (10) detects the barking of the dog through the measuring of vibrations produced by the dog. In addition, the spray control bark collar (10) delivers successively larger doses of the spray deterrent to the dog to deter the dog from further barking should the dog fail to respond to previous deterrent attempts. Finally, the spray control bark collar (10) monitors the usage of the spray deterrents to gauge the amount of the deterrent substance remaining in the deterrent substance reservoir (112).

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date
4 September 2003 (04.09.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 03/071980 A3

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: A01K 37/00

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US02/25275

(22) International Filing Date: 9 August 2002 (09.08.2002)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
10/079,186 20 February 2002 (20.02.2002) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **RADIO SYSTEMS CORPORATION** [US/US]; 10427 Electric Avenue, Knoxville, TN 37932 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **LEE, Albert, L., IV** [US/US]; 5616 Brandon Park Drive, Maryville, TN 37804 (US). **GROH, William, S.** [US/US]; 1341 Candlewick Road, Knoxville, TN 37932 (US).


(74) Agents: **STEPHENS, Raymond, E. et al.**; PITTS & BRITTIAN, P.C., P.O. Box 51295, Knoxville, TN 37950-1295 (US).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT (utility model), AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ (utility model), CZ, DE (utility model), DE, DK (utility model), DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE (utility model), EE, ES, FI (utility model), FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK (utility model), SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: LOW RESERVOIR DETECTION AND VARIABLE DOSING FOR A SPRAY DETERRENT ANIMAL TRAINING SYSTEM



(57) Abstract: An apparatus for controlling the barking of a dog through application of a spray deterrent, or spray control bark collar (10). The spray control bark collar (10) administers a dose of a pressurized substance in response to the barking of the dog. The spray control bark collar (10) detects the barking of the dog through the measuring of vibrations produced by the dog. In addition, the spray control bark collar (10) delivers successively larger doses of the spray deterrent to the dog to deter the dog from further barking should the dog fail to respond to previous deterrent attempts. Finally, the spray control bark collar (10) monitors the usage of the spray deterrents to gauge the amount of the deterrent substance remaining in the deterrent substance reservoir (112).

WO 03/071980 A3

WO 03/071980 A3



TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- *as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii)) for all designations*
- *as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for all designations*

Published:

- *with international search report*

— *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments*

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:

11 December 2003

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

Low Reservoir Detection and Variable Dosing for a Spray Deterrent Animal
Training System

5 CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] Not Applicable.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR
DEVELOPMENT

[0002] Not Applicable.

10 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0003] This invention relates to the field an apparatus for controlling the barking of pet dogs. More specifically, the present invention relates to a device that senses the target dog's bark using vibration detector and applies a stimulus targeted to a dog's olfactory senses to deter the dog from barking.

15 [0004] Most pet owners know the problems associated with controlling the barking of a pet dog. Dogs naturally bark for many reasons such as to signal other dogs, to define their territory, to communicate excitement or fear and to warn prior to an attack.

20 [0005] Where dogs are kept on large tracts of land, such as farms, the barking of a dog is generally not considered a nuisance. Instead, it may be regarded as a signal of an event requiring the attention of the landowner, for example, a person approaching or a strange animal in the area. However, where a dog owner lives in an area closely proximate to other people, the barking of a dog can become a nuisance to both the dog owner and the neighbors.

25 [0006] Various techniques have been developed to control the barking of a dog. First, the bark of a dog can be controlled surgically by the removal of the larynx. However, this solution is usually unacceptable to the pet owner as unnecessarily cruel and expensive. Second, standard obedience techniques can be used to train the dog to not bark, but this is time consuming and often 30 requires the presence of the owner to correct the dog. If the owner is absent from the home for long periods of time, such as at work, the dog may learn to not bark only when the owner is present, remaining a nuisance while the owner is away. Third, a muzzle can be utilized to prevent the dog from barking. However, the muzzle must be periodically removed to allow the dog to eat or drink. While

unmuzzled, the dog is not constrained from barking. The need for supervision prevents use of a muzzle for extended periods of time. Further, the intermittent bark control associated with the periodic removal of the muzzle, necessitated by feeding, provides opportunity for the barking to present a nuisance. Finally, 5 remote and automatic systems using various trigger mechanisms have been developed to control the barking of a dog.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0007] An apparatus for controlling the barking of a dog through application of a spray deterrent, or spray control bark collar is shown and 10 described. The spray control bark collar administers a dose of a substance capable of being sprayed in response to the barking of the dog. The spray control bark collar detects the barking of the dog through the measuring of vibrations produced by the dog. In addition, the spray control bark collar delivers 15 successively larger doses of the spray deterrent to the dog to deter the dog from further barking should the dog fail to respond to previous deterrent attempts. Finally, the spray control bark collar monitors the usage of the spray deterrents to gauge the amount of the deterrent substance remaining in the deterrent substance reservoir.

[0008] The spray control bark collar includes a vibration sensor responsive 20 to the bark of the dog. An amplifier amplifies the output of the vibration sensor to increase the level of the sensor output for further processing and accurate sampling. A processing device monitors the amplified output and activates the stimulus delivery mechanism as necessary. Decision-making logic within the 25 processor determines whether a stimulus is needed. The stimulus delivery mechanism is a spray mechanism that delivers a controlled dose of a deterrent substance. The spray delivery mechanism includes a solenoid connecting a reservoir containing a deterrent substance, which is held under pressure, to a valve directing the deterrent spray at the olfactory senses of the dog.

[0009] The solenoid and valve assembly includes a bobbin, which houses 30 the solenoid components. The bobbin further defines an axial through opening creating a volume for fluid transfer. A through opening is threaded at one end and receives a threaded valve. The valve includes a valve output connected to a discharge channel that opens to the internal volume of the bobbin. A plunger rests in the through opening below the valve. The plunger includes a seal 35 configured to engage end of the discharge channel that opens to the interior

volume of the bobbin. The seal is formed from a material that is flexible such that when the seal is brought into engagement with the valve, the discharge channel is effectively closed so that no fluid can escape through the valve. A spring biases the plunger to maintain the plunger in a closed position. To open the valve, the 5 bias of the spring is overcome using the solenoid by passing current through the windings. This creates an electromagnetic field that pulls the plunger back against the stopper, thereby allowing the pressurized deterrent substance to enter the discharge channel and escape through the valve. The pressurized deterrent substance enters the volume of the bobbin through inlet, which is open to the 10 reservoir.

[0010] The vibration sensor includes an exposed probe adapted to engage the throat of the dog and move in response to vibrations of the dog's vocal chords. The probe is mounted on a post that passes through an opening defined by the case. Inside the case, the post connects to a transverse member that is in 15 engagement with a piezoelectric sensor. The vibration sensor is designed with a plurality of gaskets arranged to accomplish three goals. First, the outer gasket and the inner gasket serve to seal the case and protect the internal components of the spray control bark sensor from moisture and other environmental exposure where the post passes through the wall of the case. Next, the outer gasket and 20 the inner gasket serve to isolate the vibration sensor from the case to reduce the detection of vibrations transferred through the case instead of the probe. Finally, the internal gasket is positioned between the case and the piezoelectric sensor to amplify the sensitivity of the piezoelectric sensor.

[0011] The spray control bark collar is designed to give the pet owner a 25 warning when the deterrent reservoir is getting low before the reservoir becomes empty. Obviously, if the reservoir is empty, then the spray control bark collar does not serve as an effective deterrent. The general method involves resetting a counter when the reservoir is filled. As the deterrent is applied, a counter is incremented to keep track of either the number of or the total time of the 30 application of the deterrent. The counter is monitored and when a threshold value is reached, a low reservoir warning is produced. The counter is reset by the activation of a refill switch when the reservoir is refilled.

[0012] The spray control bark collar includes a progressively increasing 35 spray deterrent designed to discourage barking using the minimum amount of spray necessary to achieve the desired deterrent effect. Generally, the spray

deterrent begins with a minimum dose of the substance applied to the dog in response to a bark. In the illustrated embodiment, the dosage is controlled by the duration the spray application. The processing device controls the timing and activates the solenoid for the desired spray duration.

5 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS

[0013] The above-mentioned features of the present invention will become more clearly understood from the following detailed description of the invention read together with the drawings in which:

10 **[0014]** Figure 1 illustrates a block diagram of the spray control bark collar of the present invention;

[0015] Figure 2 illustrates, in partial section, the solenoid and valve assembly of the present invention;

[0016] Figure 3 illustrates, in partial section, the vibration sensor of the present invention;

15 **[0017]** Figure 4 illustrates a flow diagram of the method for gauging the amount of the deterrent substance remaining in the reservoir and for indicating when the reservoir needs to be refilled; and

20 **[0018]** Figure 5 illustrates a block diagram of an alternate embodiment of the spray control bark collar of the present invention that incorporates a low reservoir warning; and

[0019] Figure 6 illustrates a timing diagram showing the relation between the successive stimuli in an embodiment of the spray control bark collar that incorporates an adaptive deterrent feature.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

25 **[0020]** An apparatus for controlling the barking of a dog through application of a spray deterrent, or spray control bark collar, is illustrated generally at **10** in the figures. The spray control bark collar **10** administers a dose of a substance capable of being sprayed in response to the barking of the dog. The spray control bark collar **10** detects the barking of the dog through the 30 measuring of vibrations produced by the dog. In addition, the spray control bark collar **10** delivers successively larger doses of the spray deterrent to the dog to deter the dog from further barking should the dog fail to respond to previous deterrent attempts. Finally, the spray control bark collar **10** monitors the usage

of the spray deterrents to gauge the amount of the deterrent substance remaining in the deterrent substance reservoir.

[0021] Figure 1 illustrates a block diagram of the spray control bark collar **10**. The apparatus includes a sensor **100** responsive to the bark of the dog. In one embodiment, the sensor **100** is a piezoelectric vibration sensor that is in contact with the dog for measuring vibrations produced by the dog. The piezoelectric sensor **100** is a low current device compared to other devices used for detecting the barking of a dog, such as a microphone. A low current device provides the spray control bark collar **10** with a longer battery life. The vibration sensor **100** is typically placed in contact with the throat of the dog so as to measure vibrations produced by the vocal cords of the dog. Due to the direct engagement of the vibration sensor **100** with the target dog and not ambient noise, the number of false corrections is reduced, i.e., inappropriate correction due to the barking of another nearby dog. An amplifier **102** amplifies the output of the vibration sensor **100** to increase the level of the sensor output for further processing and accurate sampling.

[0022] Depending upon the application and the desired level of sophistication of the bark detection circuit, the amplifier output may be processed to condition the signal by an optional signal conditioning circuit **104**. The signal conditioning circuit **104** may also serve to discriminate among the vibrations measured by the vibration sensor **100** so as to pass only measured vibrations that meet specified criteria. For example, the signal conditioning circuit **104** may be configured to pass only those vibrations occurring within a specified frequency range or those meeting certain durational limits or may be configured to remove unwanted components of the sensor output. Those skilled in the art will recognize the various filters, amplifiers and other signal conditioning devices that can be used to prepare the measured vibrations for further processing. Those skilled in the art will recognize that the type of signal conditioning depends upon the input signal, the corresponding sensor and the desired characteristics of the input signal that are to be monitored. For example, the filter may be active or passive and may be set to pass a specific frequency range or remove high or low frequency noise. Further, depending upon the various components used, the output signal may not require additional amplification. Finally, other conditioning may be required to present a useable sensor output to the remainder of the circuit.

[0023] A controller or processing device **106** monitors the amplified output and activates the stimulus delivery mechanism **108** as necessary. Decision-making logic within the processor **106** determines whether a stimulus is needed. Typically, the input to the processor **106** is compared against a reference value to 5 determine whether a stimulus is warranted. A conventional processing device includes an internal timer, memory registers and math capabilities allowing sophisticated signal processing to occur; however, those skilled in the art will recognize that these capabilities can be achieved using other components without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention. Further, those 10 skilled in the art will recognize that the components used to depend on various factors including the cost of manufacture, size and weight constraints and the complexity of the decision process. For example, the size and weight of the training collar worn by the animal is reduced by implementing the controller in a single application specific integrated circuit (ASIC) or a microprocessor, both of 15 which allow complex decision making capabilities. For lower cost, larger units implementing a simple decision tree, an array of discrete logic components can be used.

[0024] In the illustrated embodiment, the stimulus delivery mechanism **108** is a spray mechanism that delivers a controlled dose of a deterrent 20 substance, or fluid. The spray delivery mechanism **108** includes a solenoid **110** connecting a reservoir **112** containing a deterrent substance, which is held under pressure, to a valve **114** directing the deterrent spray at the olfactory senses of the dog. The deterrent substance is selected to be irritating or disturbing to the dog. A commonly used deterrent stimulus is a citronella liquid; however, those 25 skilled in the art will recognize other deterrent substances that can be used without departing from the scope and spirit of the present invention, for example compressed air or water. In addition, those skilled in the art will recognize that the sound of the substance escaping under pressure provides a secondary deterrent function.

[0025] Figure 2 illustrates a sectional view of the solenoid and valve assembly **200** of the present invention. The solenoid and valve assembly includes a bobbin **202**, which houses the solenoid components and serves as a stator. The bobbin **202** further defines an axial through opening **204** creating a volume for fluid transfer. A through opening **204** is threaded at one end and receives a 35 threaded valve **206**. Those skilled in the art will recognize that the valve can be

secured to the bobbin **202** in other ways without departing from the scope and spirit of the present invention. For example, it is contemplated that the valve can be integrally formed with the bobbin or could be secured using solder, welds, crimps, set screws, or adhesives.

5 [0026] The valve **206** includes a valve output, or nozzle, **208** connected to a discharge channel **210** that opens to the internal volume of the bobbin **202**. A plunger **212** rests in the through opening **204** below the valve **206**. The plunger **212** includes a seal **214** configured to engage end of the discharge channel **210** that opens to the interior volume of the bobbin **202**. The seal **214** is formed from 10 a material that is flexible such that when the seal **214** is brought into engagement with the valve **206**, the discharge channel **210** is effectively closed so that no fluid can escape through the valve **206**. In the illustrated embodiment, the plunger **212** is shown in a closed position. A spring **216** biases the plunger **212** to maintain the closed position. To open the valve **206**, the bias of the spring 15 **216** is overcome using the solenoid by passing current through the windings **218**. This creates an electromagnetic field that pulls the plunger **212** back against the stopper **220**, thereby allowing the pressurized deterrent substance to enter the discharge channel **210** and escape through the valve **208**.

20 [0027] The pressurized deterrent substance enters the volume of the bobbin **202** through inlet **222**, which is open to the reservoir **112**. In the illustrated embodiment, the inlet **222** appears to be partially blocked by the plunger **212**; however, the present invention does not require that the inlet **222** be sealed. The solenoid and valve assembly **200** is designed such that the 25 deterrent substance can fill the volume around the plunger and spring. A pair of gaskets **224**, **226** forms the seal that limits the expansion of the deterrent substance and maintains the fluid pressure within the solenoid and valve assembly **200**. Those skilled in the art will recognize that other configurations can be used without departing from the scope and spirit of the present invention.

30 [0028] Figure 3 illustrates one embodiment of the vibration sensor **100** of the present invention. The vibration sensor **100** includes an exposed probe **300** adapted to engage the throat of the dog and move in response to vibrations of the dog's vocal chords. The probe **300** is mounted on a post **302** that passes through an opening defined by the case **304**. Inside the case, the post connects to a transverse member **306** that is in engagement with a piezoelectric sensor **308**. 35 The vibration sensor **100** is designed with a plurality of gaskets **310**, **312**, **314**

arranged to accomplish three goals. First, the outer gasket **310** and the inner gasket **312** serve to seal the case **304** and protect the internal components of the spray control bark sensor **10** from moisture and other environmental exposure where the post **302** passes through the wall of the case **304**. Next, the outer gasket **310** and the inner gasket **312** serve to isolate the vibration sensor **100** from the case **304** to reduce the detection of vibrations transferred through the case **304** instead of the probe **300**. Finally, the internal gasket **314** is positioned between the case **304** and the piezoelectric sensor **308** to amplify the sensitivity of the piezoelectric sensor **308**.

[0029] Figure 4 illustrates a flow diagram of the method for producing a low spray warning. This feature is designed to give the pet owner a warning when the deterrent reservoir is getting low before the reservoir becomes empty. Obviously, if the reservoir is empty, then the spray control bark collar does not serve as an effective deterrent. The general method involves resetting a counter when the reservoir is filled. As the deterrent is applied, the counter is incremented until a threshold value is reached.

[0030] In step **400**, the processor determines whether the reservoir has been filled. If the reservoir has been filled, then the spray counter and the low reservoir interrupt are reset in step **402**. If the reservoir has not been filled, then the low reservoir interrupt is checked to determine if it is active in step **404**. When the low reservoir interrupt is active, then the spray control bark collar alerts the pet owner that the reservoir is nearing empty, in step **406**. Next, the spray control bark collar continues with its normal processing.

[0031] In step **408**, the processor determines whether a vibration is detected. If no vibration is detected, the spray control returns to step **400** and continues monitoring the reservoir fill state. However, when a bark is detected, the processor measures the frequency of the detected vibration. In step **410**, the frequency counter is reset and a timer is started. The frequency counter is incremented each time a peak occurs in the detected vibration signal, in step **412**. When the timer expires, in step **414**, the frequency is calculated based upon the number of peaks occurring during the measured time period, in step **416**. Those skilled in the art will recognize that the method of measuring the frequency illustrated is one exemplary method and that other methods to determine the vibration frequency can be used without departing from the scope and spirit of the present invention.

[0032] Once the vibration frequency has been determined, the processor determines whether the frequency falls within a frequency range selected to represent the frequencies of a dog's bark. If the frequency is not in the proper range, it is assumed not to be a bark and the spray control bark collar returns to step **400** and continues monitoring the reservoir fill state. When a proper bark frequency is detected, the spray deterrent is activated in step **418**. Next, the spray counter is incremented, in step **420**, and the current value of the spray counter is checked to determine whether it has reached a reference or threshold value, in step **422**. If the alert level has been reached, the low reservoir interrupt is set in step **424**. Finally, the spray control bark collar returns to step **400** to process the reservoir fill state.

[0033] One simple method for determining the low reservoir threshold value is to fill the reservoir and activate the spray control bark collar until the reservoir is empty, while counting the number of deterrent sprays produced. This process can be repeated and the results averaged to produce a baseline for the reservoir capacity. Once the baseline value is established, the threshold value is selected a value less than the baseline value, for example a percentage of the baseline value. Those skilled in the art will recognize other methods and equipment that can be used to estimate the remaining deterrent substance level without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention. For example, pressure sensitive switches or floats could be used to determine the reservoir fluid level.

[0034] Figure 5 illustrates another embodiment of the spray control bark collar **50** of the present invention incorporating the low spray warning feature. The spray control bark collar **50** includes a vibration sensor **500**, an amplifier **510**, a processor **520**, a solenoid **540**, a reservoir **550** and a value assembly **560**. The low spray warning feature is implemented by adding a counter **530**, a refill switch **570** and a low reservoir indicator **580**, all in communication with the processor **520**. Acting as a gauge, the processor tracks the number or the duration of the applications of the spray deterrent.

[0035] Those skilled in the art will recognize that refill switch **570** can be implemented in various ways without departing from the scope and spirit of the present invention. For example, the refill switch can be a magnetic reed switch or a push-button momentary switch. Further, refilling can be indicated by removing power from the spray control bark collar. In another implementation, the refill

switch is implemented where the nozzle of the spray refill makes or breaks a connection when inserted into the reservoir refill inlet.

[0036] With regard to the counter **530**, those skilled in the art will recognize that the timer can be implemented in the processor **520** or using a separate discrete component. The counter **530** can use count up or count down logic. Those skilled in the art will recognize that low reservoir indicator **580** can be implemented using a visual indicator, such as a light emitting diode, or an audible indicator. In a more sophisticated embodiment, the low reservoir warning can be remote from the collar and activated by a transmission from the spray control bark collar.

[0037] The spray control bark collar **10** of the present invention also includes a progressively increasing spray deterrent designed to discourage barking using the minimum amount of spray necessary to achieve the desired deterrent effect. Generally, the spray deterrent begins with a minimum dose of the substance applied to the dog in response to a bark. In the illustrated embodiment, the dosage is controlled by the duration the spray application. In one embodiment, the processor controls the timing and activates the solenoid **110** for the desired spray duration. Those skilled in the art will recognize that other methods for controlling the intensity of the spray deterrent are available, including changing the flow rate of the deterrent substance to increase the application amount applied during a fixed period.

[0038] Figure 6 is a timing diagram showing the relation between the successive stimuli where the adaptive deterrent feature is used. Graph **600** shows the sensor output, which represents the detection events. Graph **602** illustrates the output of the stimulus generator in the form of a varying duration output that controls the operation of the solenoid. In the illustrated timing diagram, three detected events, E_1 , E_2 and E_3 , are shown. The time required to sample and measure the input signal is represented by period t_1 . A deterrent signal control signal, D_1 , D_2 and D_3 , follows each detected event that meets predetermined criteria, which indicates that a response is necessary. In the illustrated embodiment, a time delay between sampling and the beginning of the deterrent signal control signal, represented by period t_2 , is shown. Those skilled in the art will recognize that the time delay is not required by the present invention but may be introduced as desired or as a result of characteristics or processing delays.

inherent in the components used to implement the apparatus. The duration of the deterrent signal is represented by period t_{3A} , t_{3B} , t_{3C} .

[0039] The intensity of each subsequent stimulus is greater than the preceding stimulus, as shown by the longer duration of the spray deterrent control signal. Those skilled in the art will recognize that, depending upon the activities of the animal, the objects of the electronic training device and the underlying training philosophy, the intensity of a given stimulus could be reduced from or remain constant with the intensity of the preceding stimulus. After each stimulus, the time delay that occurs before the next input signal can be sampled is represented by period t_4 . Again, this delay is not required for proper operation, but may be introduced intentionally or through properties or limitations of the components used. When the system is ready to process a new input signal, a timer begins counting the time period, represented by the period t_5 , between the end of the previous stimulus D_1 , D_2 , D_3 and the next detected event E_1 , E_2 , E_3 . The length time between the previous event and the next subsequent event determines whether the intensity is increased or remains constant. In one embodiment, when the next subsequent event occurs within a predetermined period of time relative to the previous event, the reoccurrence period, the intensity of the stimulus is increased. This occurs because the rapid repetition of the undesired behavior indicates that the previous stimulus did not have sufficient intensity to deter the animal from continuing in the unwanted behavior. However, when the next subsequent event occurs after the expiration of the reoccurrence period, the intensity of stimulus remains at the prior intensity level.

[0040] Those skilled in the art will recognize that the training methodology defines the operation parameters of the spray control bark collar. As mentioned, the system generally increases the intensity each time a new event occurs within the reoccurrence period of the last event. However, the system can implement an adaptive correction scheme customized to a particular animal. For example, the system can reset to a baseline deterrent that is established for a particular animal by analyzing historical information as to the effective intensity level. Once the effective intensity level is known, the baseline is established relative to that level, perhaps one or more levels below the effective intensity level. Alternatively, the system may reset entirely if no events occur within a significant period of time. The choice of correction scheme can affect the hardware and software implementation of the apparatus but does not alter the underlying method of

varying the intensity of the correction stimulus by changing the duty cycle of the correction signal applied over a fixed duration. Accordingly, any such modifications are considered to fall within the scope and spirit of the present invention.

5 **[0041]** When the adaptive spray feature is implemented in combination with the low reservoir monitor, a simple count of the number of deterrents applied is no longer effective in estimating the reservoir fill level. However, by modifying the low reservoir monitor to keep track of the total application time of the applied spray deterrents, the same result is achieved. In this case, the general counter 10 **530** is replaced by a timer and the reservoir capacity is measured in spray time rather than unit sprays. When a selected value of the total available spray time elapses, the low reservoir warning is produced.

15 **[0042]** Those skilled in the art will recognize that timing decisions abound depending upon the objects of the training or containment system. As mentioned, one embodiment of the spray control bark collar **10** generally increases the dosage of the spray each time a new event occurs within the reoccurrence period of the last event. However, the system can implement an adaptive correction scheme customized to a particular animal. For example, the system can reset to a baseline deterrent that is established for a particular animal 20 by analyzing historical information as to the effective spray dosage. Once the effective spray dosage is known, the baseline is established relative to that dose, perhaps one or more levels below the effective dose. Alternatively, the system may reset entirely if no events occur within a significant period of time. Accordingly, any such modifications are within the purview of those skilled in the 25 art and are considered to fall within the scope and spirit of the present invention.

30 **[0043]** While one embodiment has been shown and described, the spray deterrent features described herein can be utilized in animal training or confinement applications beyond bark control. Either or both of the low reservoir warning and the variable intensity spray features can be used with a device employing a spray deterrent to achieve the desired product. Those skilled in the art will understand that it is not intended to limit the disclosure, but rather it is intended to cover all modifications and alternate methods falling within the spirit and the scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.

CLAIMS

Having thus described the aforementioned invention, we claim:

5 1. A method for adapting an intensity of a fluid deterrent associated with an animal training apparatus, said method comprising the steps of:

- (a) providing a fluid deterrent in a dispenser carried by an animal;
- 10 (b) determining when the animal engages in undesired barking;
- (c) applying said fluid deterrent to the animal to deter undesired barking for a selected duration;
- 15 (d) timing a period between an occurrence of a first undesired bark and a second undesired bark; and
- (e) increasing said duration when said second undesired bark occurs within a selected time period in relation to said first undesired bark.

20

2. An apparatus for deterring a dog from barking, said apparatus comprising:

means for sensing vibrations produced by the dog;

25

means for classifying said vibrations occurring within a selected frequency range as a bark;

means for storing a substance used to deter the dog from barking;

means for dispensing said substance to the dog as a deterrent; and

means for activating said means for dispensing said substance in response to said means for classifying said vibrations;

5

means for determining an occurrence time between a first said bark and a second said bark; and

10

means for increasing an intensity of a subsequent said deterrent when said occurrence time is within a selected range.

3. The apparatus of Claim 2 wherein said means for increasing an intensity of a subsequent said deterrent when said occurrence time is within a selected range further includes means for increasing a duration of application for said deterrent.

15

4. An apparatus for deterring a dog from barking, said apparatus comprising:

a sensor carried by the dog, said sensor detecting a condition indicative of a bark by the dog;

20

a controller responsive to said sensor, said controller generating an activation signal when a bark is detected by said sensor;

25

a reservoir for holding a substance used to deter the dog from barking; a spray mechanism in communication with said reservoir and said controller, said spray mechanism delivering a dose of said substance to said dog upon receipt of said activation signal, said dose having a duration; and

an incident timer in communication with said controller, said incident timer measuring a time period following an occurrence of said condition indicative of a bark by said dog.

- 5 5. The apparatus of Claim 4 wherein a second said condition occurs within a predetermined time period with respect to a first said condition, said dose duration being increased.
- 10 6. The apparatus of Claim 4 wherein when said incident timer time period exceeds a selected time period without occurrence of a second said condition, said dose duration being reset to a selected value.




Fig.1




Fig.2




Fig.3




Fig.4




Fig.5




Fig.6

