

(51) International Patent Classification:
B32B 27/08 (2006.01) **B32B 27/32** (2006.01)
B32B 27/30 (2006.01)

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2013/059182(22) International Filing Date:
11 September 2013 (11.09.2013)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
13/613,975 13 September 2012 (13.09.2012) US(71) Applicant: **DOW GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES LLC** [US/US]; 2040 Dow Center, Midland, MI 48674 (US).(72) Inventors: **GARGALAKA, João**; Rua Guaré 160 Ap 93, 03187-060 São Paulo (BR). **BARRENECHE, Felipe, Martinez**; 3206 Lobolly Pines Way, Houston, TX 77082 (US). **GOMES, Jorge, Caminero**; Rua Gil Eanes 315 AP73, 04601040 São Paulo (BR). **MAZZOLA, Nicolas, C.**; Rua Aurora Germano De Lemos 333 Ap94, 13209-460 Jundiaí (BR).(74) Agent: **HOPPE, James, T.**; The Dow Chemical Company, 2030 Dow Center, Midland, MI 48674 (US).

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: POLYOLEFIN BASED FILMS SUITABLE FOR THERMOFORMING

FIG. 2

Puncture Resistance and Elongation at 100°C



(57) Abstract: The present invention relates to a film structure comprising an outer layer, a core and an inner layer (or sealant layer). The outer layer comprises a polyolefinic material having a Vicat softening temperature of 85°C or greater, most preferable 90°C or greater, and a total crystallinity in the range of 25 to 45%. The core a linear low density polyethylene having a density of 0.925 g/cm³ or less, and a melt index of 4.0 g/10 min or less. The inner layer (or sealant layer), comprises linear low density polyethylene having a density of from 0.865 to 0.926 g/cm³ and a melt index of less than 4.0 g/10 minutes. The films of the present invention are further characterized by having the total amount of polyethylene having a density of 0.930 g/cm³ or greater which makes up the film be less than 25% by weight of the entire film. Further, the films of the present invention can be characterized by the substantial absence (for example less than 5%, more preferably less than 1% by weight of the film) of polyamide, polyester, ethylene vinyl acetate, ionomers, polyvinyl chloride, and/or cyclic olefin polymers.

POLYOLEFIN BASED FILMS SUITABLE FOR THERMOFORMING

Field of the invention

The present invention relates to polyolefin-based multilayer film structures suitable for use in thermoforming applications. The films of the present invention are 5 characterized by having relatively high elongation under thermoforming conditions, without requiring the use of polyamide, polyester, ethylene vinyl acetate, ionomers, polyvinyl chloride, and/or cyclic olefin polymers.

Background and Summary of the Invention

Thermoforming is one of the most frequently used thermoplastic film-forming techniques in many packaging applications because of ease of production, low cost, high speed and high performance. There are basically two types of thermoformed packaging: rigid and flexible. For rigid thermoforming sheets, the main materials used are polystyrene (PS), polyester (including polyethylene terephthalate (PET)), and polypropylene (PP). For flexible thermoformed packaging, coextrusion is usually used due 10 to the complexity of the structure resulting from the presence of polyamide (PA) or polypropylene (PP) layers which are generally considered to be indispensable due to their 15 good thermo mechanical properties that allows good thermoformability.

Quality problems related to thermoforming can be linked directly with the structural composition of the films. Polymers must be chosen which will support the 20 thermoforming process. Frequent problems which have been reported are: high thickness variation of the thermoformed film (wall thickness distribution) (see, for example, Ayhan, Z.a.Z., H., "Wall Thickness Distribution in Thermoformed Food Containers Produced by a Benco Aseptic Packaging Machine", *Polymer Engineering and Science*, 2000. 40; rupture of the film after the thermoforming (see, for example, N. J. Macauley, E.M.A.H.-I., and W. 25 R. Murphy, "The Influence of Extrusion Parameters on the Mechanical Properties of Polypropylene Sheet", *Polymer Engineering and Science*, 1998. 38; and irregularities in the surface of the thermoformed film.

The present invention relates to flexible films for thermoforming applications which are rich in polyethylene (PE) and which do not require the use of polyamides, 30 ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA), polyesters such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), cyclic olefin polymers or ionomers in the structure composition.

Accordingly, in one aspect, the present invention is a film structure comprising at least an outer layer, a core and an inner layer (or sealant layer). The outer

layer comprises a polymeric material selected from the group consisting of propylene α-olefin copolymers, propylene homopolymers, MDPE, or blends thereof. The outer layer should have a Vicat softening temperature of 85°C or greater, most preferable 90°C or greater, and a total crystallinity in the range of 25 to 45%.

5 The core of the films of the present invention comprises a linear low density polyethylene having a density of 0.925 g/cm³ or less, and a melt index of 4.0 g/10 min or less. The core may comprise a single layer or, more preferably multiple layers, with additional layers adding functionality such as barrier properties, melt strength or additional toughness depending on the intended application for the film.

10 The inner layer (or sealant layer), of the films of the present invention comprises a polymer selected from the group consisting of non-metallocene linear low density polyethylene having a density of from 0.900 to 0.926 g/cm³ and a melt index of less than 4.0 g/10 min and/or metallocene linear low density having a density of from 0.865 to 0.926 g/cm³ and a melt index of less than 4.0 g/10 minutes.

15 The films of the present invention are further characterized by having the total amount of polyethylene having a density of 0.930 g/cm³ or greater which makes up the film be less than 25% by weight of the entire film. Further the films of the present invention can be characterized by the substantial absence (for example less than 5%, more preferably less than 1% by weight of the film) of polyamide, polyester, ethylene vinyl acetate, ionomers, polyvinyl chloride, and/or cyclic olefin polymers.

BEIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 depicts the testing equipment used to determine Puncture Resistance according to ASTM D5748.

25 Fig. 2 is a graph showing Puncture Resistance and Elongation at 100°C for the examples.

Fig. 3 is a graph showing thickness reduction distribution of an inventive Example versus a nylon comparative example.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

30 The term "polymer", as used herein, refers to a polymeric compound prepared by polymerizing monomers, whether of the same or a different type. The generic term polymer thus embraces the term "homopolymer", usually employed to refer to polymers prepared from only one type of monomer as well as "copolymer" which refers to polymers prepared from two or more different monomers.

“Polyethylene” shall mean polymers comprising greater than 50% by weight of units which have been derived from ethylene monomer. This includes polyethylene homopolymers or copolymers (meaning units derived from two or more comonomers). Common forms of polyethylene known in the art include Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE); Linear Low Density Polyethylene (LLDPE); Ultra Low Density Polyethylene (ULDPE); Very Low Density Polyethylene (VLDPE); constrained geometry catalyzed (including metallocene and post metallocene catalysts) Linear Low Density Polyethylene, including both linear and substantially linear low density resins (m-LLDPE); and High Density Polyethylene (HDPE). These polyethylene materials are generally known in the art; however the following descriptions may be helpful in understanding the differences between some of these different polyethylene resins.

The term “LDPE” may also be referred to as “high pressure ethylene polymer” or “highly branched polyethylene” and is defined to mean that the polymer is partly or entirely homopolymerized or copolymerized in autoclave or tubular reactors at pressures above 14,500 psi (100 MPa) with the use of free-radical initiators, such as peroxides (see for example US 4,599,392, herein incorporated by reference). The process results in a polymer architecture characterized by many long chain branches, including branching on branches. LDPE resins typically have a density in the range of 0.916 to 0.940 g/cm³.

The term “linear polyethylene”, is a generic term that includes both resin made using the traditional chromium or Ziegler-Natta catalyst systems as well as single-site catalysts such as metallocenes (sometimes referred to as “m-LLDPE”) and includes linear, substantially linear or heterogeneous polyethylene copolymers or homopolymers. Linear polyethylenes contain less long chain branching than LDPEs and includes the substantially linear ethylene polymers which are further defined in U.S. Patent 5,272,236, U.S. Patent 5,278,272, U.S. Patent 5,582,923 and US Patent 5,733,155; the homogeneously branched linear ethylene polymer compositions such as those in U.S. Patent No. 3,645,992; the heterogeneously branched ethylene polymers such as those prepared according to the process disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,076,698; and/or blends thereof (such as those disclosed in US 3,914,342 or US 5,854,045). The linear polyethylenes can be made via gas-phase, solution-phase or slurry polymerization or any combination thereof, using any type of reactor or reactor configuration known in the art, with gas and solution phase reactors being most preferred.

For purposes of the present invention the term linear polyethylene is subdivided into following classifications:

“LLDPE” refers to linear polyethylene having a density in the range of from about 0.855 about 0.912 g/cm³ to about 0.925 g/cm³). “LLDPE” may be made using 5 chromium, Ziegler-Natta, metallocene, constrained geometry, or single site catalysts. The term “LLDPE” includes znLLDPE, uLLDPE, and mLLDPE. “znLLDPE” refers to linear polyethylene made using Ziegler-Natta or chromium catalysts and typically has a density of from about 0.912 to about 0.925 and a molecular weight distribution greater than about 2.5, “uLLDPE” or “ultra linear low density polyethylene” refers to linear polyethylene having a 10 density of less than 0.912 g/cm³), but which is made using chromium or Ziegler-Natta catalysts and thus typically have a molecular weight distribution (“MWD”) greater than 2.5. “mLLDPE” refers to LLDPE made using metallocene, constrained geometry, or single site catalysts. These polymers typically have a molecular weight distribution (“MWD”) in the range of from 1.5 to 8.0. These resins will typically have a density in the range of from 15 about 0.855 to 0.925 g/cm³.

“MDPE” refers to linear polyethylene having a density in the range of from greater than 0.925 g/cm³ to about 0.940 g/cm³). “MDPE” is typically made using chromium or Ziegler-Natta catalysts or using metallocene, constrained geometry, or single site catalysts. and typically have a molecular weight distribution (“MWD”) greater than 2.5.

20 “HDPE” refers to linear polyethylene having a density in the range greater than or equal to 0.940 g/cm³). “HDPE” is typically made using chromium or Ziegler-Natta catalysts or using metallocene, constrained geometry, or single site catalysts and typically have a molecular weight distribution (“MWD”) greater than 2.5.

25 “Multimodal” means resin compositions which can be characterized by having at least two distinct peaks in a GPC chromatogram showing the molecular weight distribution. Multimodal includes resins having two peaks as well as resins having more than two peaks.

“Polypropylene” shall mean polymers comprising greater than 50% by weight of units which have been derived from propylene monomer. This includes 30 homopolymer polypropylene, random copolymer polypropylene, and impact copolymer polypropylene. These polypropylene materials are generally known in the art. “Polypropylene” also includes the relatively newer class of polymers known as propylene

based plastomers or elastomers ("PBE" or "PBPE"). These propylene/alpha-olefin copolymers are further described in details in the U.S. Patent Nos. 6,960,635 and 6,525,157, incorporated herein by reference. Such propylene/alpha-olefin copolymers are commercially available from The Dow Chemical Company, under the tradename 5 VERSIFY™, or from ExxonMobil Chemical Company, under the tradename VISTAMAXX™.

The following analytical methods are used in the present invention:

Density is determined in accordance with ASTM D792.

"Melt index" is used for polyethylene resins and also referred to as "I₂" is 10 determined according to ASTM D1238 (190°C, 2.16 kg). Melt Flow Rate is used for polypropylene based resins and determine according ASTM D1238 (230°C, 2.16kg).

Peak melting point is determined by Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC) where the film is conditioned at 230°C for 3 minutes prior to cooling at a rate of 10 °C per minute to a temperature of -40 °C. After the film is kept at -40°C for 3 minutes, the 15 film is heated to 200°C at a rate of 10 °C per minute.

Percent crystallinity by weight is calculated according to Equation 1:

$$\text{Crystallinity (wt %)} = \Delta H \Delta H_o \times 100 \% , \text{ (Eq . 1)}$$

where the heat of fusion (quadratureH) is divided by the heat of fusion for the perfect polymer crystal (quadratureHo) and then multiplied by 100%. For ethylene 20 crystallinity, the heat of fusion for a perfect crystal is taken to be 290 J/g. For example, an ethylene-octene copolymer which upon melting of its polyethylene crystallinity is measured to have a heat of fusion of 29 J/g; the corresponding crystallinity is 10 wt %. For propylene crystallinity, the heat of fusion for a perfect crystal is taken to be 165 J/g. For example, a propylene-ethylene copolymer which upon melting of its propylene crystallinity is 25 measured to have a heat of fusion of 20 J/g; the corresponding crystallinity is 12.1 wt %.

Heat of fusion is obtained using a DSC thermogram obtained by model Q1000 DSC from TA Instruments, Inc. (New Castle, Del.). Polymer samples are pressed into a thin film at an initial temperature of 190° C. (designated as the "initial temperature"). About 5 to 8 mg of sample is weighed out and placed in the DSC pan. The lid is crimped on 30 the pan to ensure a closed atmosphere. The DSC pan is placed in the DSC cell and then heated at a rate of about 100° C./minute to a temperature (T₀) of about 60° C. above the

melt temperature of the sample. The sample is kept at this temperature for about 3 minutes. Then the sample is cooled at a rate of 10° C./minute to -40° C., and kept isothermally at that temperature for 3 minutes. The sample is then heated at a rate of 10° C./minute until complete melting. Enthalpy curves resulting from this experiment are analyzed for peak 5 melt temperature, onset and peak crystallization temperatures, heat of fusion and heat of crystallization, and any other DSC analyses of interest.

The term molecular weight distribution or “MWD” is defined as the ratio of weight average molecular weight to number average molecular weight (M_w/M_n). M_w and M_n are determined according to methods known in the art using conventional gel 10 permeation chromatography (GPC).

“Elongation” or “Puncture Resistance” is determined according to ASTM D5748, at a chamber temperature of 100°C. Figure 1 shows a picture of puncture test set up.

Figure 1 – Puncture test set up.

15

Film Structure

The films of the present invention comprise at least 3 layers: an outer layer, a core layer and a sealant or inner layer. The outer layer comprises a polymeric material selected from the group consisting of propylene based plastomers or elastomers, propylene 20 homopolymers, MDPE, HDPE or blends thereof. The outer layer should have a Vicat softening temperature of 90°C or greater, and a total crystallinity in the range of 25 to 45%. It is preferred that the resin composition used for the outer layer have a melt index of less than 4 g/10 minutes, preferably between 2 and 4 g/10min for cast films and less than 2 g/10min for blow film extrusion processes. The ethylene propylene copolymer or the 25 MDPE can be used preferably in the outer layer because this layer will be in contact with the thermoforming mold and if the material is too soft, the film can adhere to the mold. The use of the propylene based plastomers and elastomers on an amount of from 1 to 100% by weight of the outer layer, is preferred, as it has been found that such materials have enhanced thermal resistance and helps to prevent the film from sticking in the 30 thermoforming mold. Such materials are advantageously produced using metallocene, constrained geometry, or single site catalysts, and includes VERSIFY™ polymers. These materials are preferred due to lower crystallinity level of these materials compared to

standard Ziegler-Natta polypropylene resins. The preferred subclass of these material are copolymers of propylene with from 0 to 5% by weight of comonomer, with the preferred comonomer being α -olefins such as ethylene, butene, hexene, octene or decadiene. It is believed that the use of MDPE or HDPE in the outer layer will aid in providing film

5 structured with higher stiffness, however, the overall structure of the film should be chosen such that the total amount of HDPE and MDPE (combined) is no more than about 25% by weight of the film structure, in order to maintain good thermoformability. It should be understood that minor amounts of other polymers may also be used in the outer layer


The core of the films of the present invention comprises LLDPE having a 10 density less than 0.925 g/cm³, preferably less than 0.912 g/cm³, and having a melt index of 4.0 g/10 min or less. The LLDPE may be blended with other materials such as LDPE, but the total amount of LDPE should not exceed 50% by weight of the core structure. It is preferred that at least one layer in the core comprise from 50% to 100% by weight of uLLDPE or mLLDPE.

15 The core may comprise a single layer or, more preferably, multiple layers, with additional layers adding functionality such as barrier properties, melt strength or additional toughness depending on the intended application for the film. For example a layer comprising EVOH may be added to impart barrier resistance to the film, and tie layers such as maleic anhydride grafted polyethylene may then be used between the EVOH layer 20 and the adjacent layers to help ensure structural integrity of the film.

The inner layer (or sealant layer), of the films of the present invention comprises a polymer selected from the group consisting of LLDPE and mLLDPE. The resin should have a melt index of less than 4.0 g/10 minutes. Additional materials may be blended with the LLDPE and/or mLLDPE. For example, if bubble stability is required 25 during the extrusion process, LDPE can be added (to increase melt strength) in a maximum of 30% in the layer composition.

In addition to using LDPE to increase the melt strength, it has been also been discovered that the LLDPE or mLLDPE can be reacted to a free radical generator such as peroxide, azide or with an alkoxy amine derivative in an amount less than 900 parts 30 derivative per million parts of total polyethylene resin with the polyethylene resin under conditions sufficient to increase the melt strength of the polyethylene resin. This process is more completely described in us2011/0171407 or WO2011/085377, herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. A particularly preferred species of alkoxy amine derivative is

9-(acetyloxy)-3,8,10-triethyl-7,8,10-trimethyl-1,5-dioxa-9-azaspiro[5.5]undec-3-yl]methyl octadecanoate which has the following chemical structure:



5 Preferably, at least a portion (more preferably from 1 to 90%) of the LLDPE used in the inner layer has been reacted with a free radical generator.

Using linear polyethylene with increased melt strength or blending small amounts of LDPE, (that is, less than 50%, preferably less than 40%, more preferably less than 25%), may also be advantageous for the core layer or layers of the film structure, improving 10 thermoformability and process stability during blow film extrusion.

The films of the present invention are further characterized by having the total amount of polyethylene having a density of 0.930 g/cm³ or greater which makes up the film be less than 25% by weight of the entire film. Further the films of the present invention can be characterized by the substantial absence (for example than 5%, more 15 preferably less than 1% by weight of the film) of polyamide, polyester, ethylene vinyl acetate, ionomers, polyvinyl chloride, and/or cyclic olefin polymers.

The films of the present invention preferably have a total thickness, before thermoforming, in the range of from 30µm to 250µm, preferably 100µm to 200µm, more preferably about 150µm. Individual layer thickness might vary depending on the number of 20 layers available and the total thickness of the film. The preferred outer layer thickness varies from 5 to 50 µm, if added, the core -barrier layer thickness can vary from 2 to 10 µm, the core tie layers can vary from 2 to 10 µm, the sealant layer thickness can vary from 5 to 35 µm. All core layers combined can vary in thickness from 25 to 200 µm. These films are coextruded and have at least 3 layers, preferably have 3 to 14 layers, more 25 preferably 5 to 9, still more preferably about 7 layers.

The films of the present invention should be well-suited for use in thermoforming applications. It has been found that one way to predict the applicability of the films in such applications is to subject the film to the “Puncture Resistance” test determined according to ASTM D5748, at a chamber temperature of 100°C. The films

elongation should achieve a penetration of the probe to at least about 150mm, preferably at least 190mm.

Examples

5 A series of films were produced using 11 different materials (Table 1). Resin 1 is a LLDPE produced by Ziegler-Natta catalyst, Resin 2 and 11 are a LDPE, Resin 3 is a ULLDPE produced by a Ziegler-Natta catalyst, Resin 4 is a HDPE, Resin 5 is a Propylene based plastomer or elastomer (PBPE) produced by a Metallocene catalyst, Resins 6 and 7 are MDPE, Resin 8 is a LLDPE produced by a Metallocene catalyst, Resin 9 is the Maleic 10 anhydride grafted polymer (MAH) polyolefin based and Resin 10 are the EVOH (Ethylene content = 38%).

Table 1: Materials used in this study

Name	Melt Index (190°C 2,16kg) (g/10min)	Density (g/cm3)
Resin 1	0.75	0.918
Resin 2	0.30	0.922
Resin 3	0.50	0.905
Resin 4	0.95	0.950
Resin 5	2.00*	0.888
Resin 6	0.50	0.935
Resin 7	0.20	0.937
Resin 8	1.00	0.904
Resin 9	2.00	0.958
Resin 10	1.70	1.170
Resin 11	2.00	0.922

*Melt Flow Rate at 230 °C and 2.16kg

15 The LLDPE produced by Metallocene catalyst, ULLDPE and the PBPE were used in the structures composition to enhance toughness and elongation, which is an important property in thermoforming applications.

The structures made for this study are presented in Table 2 and all the samples have thicknesses of 150µm.

20

Table 2: Film Structures

Name	Film Structure						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Inventive Example 1	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 20%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 10%	Resin 3 (100%) 10%	Resin 3 (100%) 10%	Resin 1 (70%) Resin 4 (30%) 15%	Resin 1 (70%) Resin 4 (30%) 15%	Resin 1 (70%) Resin 4 (30%) 20%
Inventive Example 2	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 20%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 10%	Resin 3 (100%) 10%	Resin 3 (100%) 10%	Resin 5 (100%) 15%	Resin 5 (100%) 15%	Resin 6 (100%) 20%
Inventive Example 3	Resin 8 (100%) 20%	Resin 8 (100%) 10%	Resin 3 (100%) 10%	Resin 3 (100%) 10%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 20%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 20%	Resin 5 (100%) 20%
Inventive Example 4	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 20%	Resin 3 (100%) 16%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 9 (20%) 8%	Resin 10 (100%) 8%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 9 (20%) 8%	Resin 1 (70%) Resin 4 (30%) 20%	Resin 1 (70%) Resin 4 (30%) 20%
Inventive Example 5	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 20%	Resin 3 (100%) 16%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 9 (20%) 8%	Resin 10 (100%) 8%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 9 (20%) 8%	Resin 5 (100%) 20%	Resin 6 (100%) 20%
Comparative Example 1	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 20%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 20%	Resin 3 (100%) 10%	Resin 3 (100%) 10%	Resin 1 (70%) Resin 4 (30%) 15%	Resin 1 (70%) Resin 4 (30%) 15%	Resin 6 (100%) 20%
Comparative Example 2	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 20%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 20%	Resin 3 (100%) 10%	Resin 7 (100%) 10%	Resin 1 (70%) Resin 4 (30%) 15%	Resin 1 (70%) Resin 4 (30%) 15%	Resin 6 (100%) 20%
Comparative Example 3	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 2 (20%) 20%	Resin 3 (100%) 16%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 9 (20%) 8%	Resin 10 (100%) 8%	Resin 1 (80%) Resin 9 (20%) 8%	Resin 1 (70%) Resin 4 (30%) 20%	Resin 6 (100%) 20%
Comparative Example 4	Resin 2 (100%) 15%	Resin 2 (100%) 15%	Resin 2 (100%) 15%	Resin 2 (100%) 10%	Resin 2 (100%) 15%	Resin 2 (100%) 15%	Resin 2 (100%) 15%
Comparative Example 5	Resin 11 (100%) 15%	Resin 11 (100%) 15%	Resin 11 (100%) 15%	Resin 11 (100%) 10%	Resin 11 (100%) 15%	Resin 11 (100%) 15%	Resin 11 (100%) 15%

Comparative Example 6	Resin 4 (100%) 15%	Resin 4 (100%) 15%	Resin 4 (100%) 15%	Resin 4 (100%) 10%	Resin 4 (100%) 15%	Resin 4 (100%) 15%	Resin 4 (100%) 15%
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

1. Film Properties

To analyze and compare all these structures, a method that simulates the thermoforming conditions is used. This test method determines the resistance of a film to the penetration of a probe at a standard low rate, a single test velocity (ASTM D5748). The only difference from the original test is that it was not perform at standard conditions, it was used a hot chamber to heat the sample at 100°C and the penetration rate was 1000 mm/min, in order to simulate thermoforming conditions. The test method imparts a biaxial stress that is representative of the type of stress encountered in many product end-use applications, including thermoforming. The maximum force, force at break, penetration distance (Elongation, measured in "mm"), and energy to break are determined. Due to the size of the hot chamber used, the maximum elongation permitted is 190mm. If, at this elongation, the sample didn't break, then the test is stopped and "≥190" is recorded.

This test can show which sample can reach the maximum elongation under high temperature without breaking, and this is what is expected from a thermoforming film. In Table 3 it is shown the maximum force and elongation achieved by all the samples and in Figure 2 it is the graphic representation of the test results, showing the maximum force achieved and the elongation. Maximum force should be lower than 90N and preferably less than 50N.

Table 3: Puncture test under 100oC

Sample	Maximum Force (N)	Elongation (mm)
Inventive Example 4	86	≥ 190
Inventive Example 1	82	≥ 190
Inventive Example 5	79	≥ 190
Inventive Example 2	73	≥ 190
Inventive Example 3	43	≥ 190
Comparative Example 3	77	156
Comparative Example 1	64	148
Comparative Example 2	75	144
Comparative Example 4	43	97
Comparative Example 5	29	90
Comparative Example 6	68	61

In Figure 1 it is possible to see that all the 5 inventive examples reach 5 the maximum elongation without breaking. All the comparative examples broke under these conditions. Comparative examples 1, 2 and 3 have the higher amount of HDPE and MDPE, more than 25% in the structure composition. When the amount of those two materials increases, the stiffness increases too, and the elongation decreases. And to prove 10 this statement, the comparative example 6 is a film composed by 100% HDPE, which gives the worst elongation result.

LDPE has a high branched molecular structure given high values of melt strength. Some studies have also shown the relationship between melt strength and thermoforming for polypropylene. But for polyethylene this relationship is not the same. 15 The comparative examples 4 and 5 are films having 100% LDPE with different melt index values and both of them broke in the puncture test, showing that the polyethylene films for thermoforming depends mainly on the LLDPE content due to its high elongation properties. LDPE can be used as a minor blend component to help on the processability, however.

The inventive example 3 was the one that had the best performance in the puncture test and in the thermoforming trials. This example achieved the maximum elongation but with the lowest force.

Inventive examples 1, 2 and 3 and comparative examples 1 and 2 (all this examples are polyethylene based films) are submitted to a thermoforming test (thermoforming temperature: 95°C / Vacuum time: 2 seconds). Both comparative examples broke in the thermoformed corners whereas the inventive examples didn't break, showing that the puncture resistance test is relevant and helps to distinguish different structures for predicting thermoforming performance.

10

The inventive example 3 was submitted to a thermoforming process and compared with a nylon based structure. After the thermoforming tests it was possible to compare the thickness variation of the thermoformed packages (Inventive and Nylon) and the thickness reduction (measured in the cross direction) is shown in Figure 3. The 15 reduction at the edges is basically the same (50%) and the thickness in the thermoformed corners were reduced in 74% for both samples, showing that the performance of this type of polyethylene film when compared to nylon based film are at the same level.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A film structure comprising:
 - a. an outer layer, where the outer layer comprises a polymeric material selected from the group consisting of propylene a-olefin copolymers, propylene homopolymers, MDPE, or blends thereof, where said polymeric material has a Vicat softening temperature of 90°C or greater, and a total crystallinity in the range of 25 to 45%
 - b. a core, where the core layer comprises a linear low density polyethylene having a density of 0.925 g/cm³ or less, and a melt index of 4.0 g/10 min or less; and
 - c. an inner layer, where the inner layer comprises a linear low density polyethylene having a density of from 0.865 to 0.925 g/cm³ and a melt index of less than 4.0 g/10 min,
wherein the total amount of polyethylene having a density of 0.930 g/cm³ or greater is less than 25% by weight of the entire film, and wherein the film structure is characterized by comprising less than 5% by weight of the film of polyamide, polyester, ethylene vinyl acetate, ionomers, polyvinyl chloride, and/or cyclic olefin polymers.
2. The film structure of claim 1 wherein the film is characterized by having a penetration of probe according to ASTM D5748 at 100°C of at least 190mm.
3. The film structure of claim 1 wherein the film structure contains from 3 to 14 distinct layers.
4. The film structure of claim 1 wherein the film structure contains from 5 to 9 distinct layers.
5. The film structure of claim 1 wherein the core comprises from 1 to 12 distinct layers.
- 25 6. The film structure of claim 5 wherein the core comprises at least one comprising ultra low density polyethylene or mLLDPE.
7. The film structure of claim 6 wherein the core further comprises LLDPE and wherein the core has an overall density less than 0.912 g/cm³.
8. The film structure of claim 1 wherein the inner layer further comprises up to 30% by weight of the inner layer of high pressure low density polyethylene.
- 30 9. The film structure of claim 3 wherein the film structure further comprises a barrier layer, said barrier layer comprising EVOH.


10. The film structure of claim 9 further comprising tie layers on either side of the barrier layer, said tie layers comprising maleic anhydride grafted polyethylene.
11. The film structure of claim 1 wherein at least a portion of the polyethylene resin used in the inner layer has been reacted with a free radical generator such as peroxide, azide or an alkoxy amine derivative in an amount less than 900 parts derivative per million parts of total polyethylene resin with the polyethylene resin under conditions sufficient to increase the melt strength of the polyethylene resin.
- 5 12. The film structure of claim 1 wherein the inner layer comprises a linear low density polyethylene having a density of from 0.865 to 0.912 g/cm³ and a melt index of less than 4.0 g/10 min.
- 10 13. The film structure of claim 1 wherein the linear low density polyethylene used in the inner layer has a lower density than the linear low density polyethylene used in the core layer.
14. A film characterized by comprising less than 5% by weight of the film of polyamide, polyester, ethylene vinyl acetate, ionomers, polyvinyl chloride, and/or cyclic olefin polymers and wherein the film is characterized by having a penetration of probe according to ASTM D5748 at 100°C of at least 190mm.
- 15 15. The film of claim 14 wherein the film has a total thickness of less than 250μm.

20


1/2

FIG. 1

Puncture test at 100°C used to simulate high temperature deformation and film drawdown

**FIG. 2**


Puncture Resistance and Elongation at 100°C



2/2

FIG. 3

Thickness reduction distribution after thermoforming



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/US2013/059182

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

INV. B32B27/08 B32B27/30 B32B27/32
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

B32B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 2 052 857 A1 (DOW GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES INC [US]) 29 April 2009 (2009-04-29) page 12, paragraph 84; example 1 page 13, paragraph 92 - page 14, paragraph 99; example 4E page 11, paragraph 79 page 8, paragraph 51	1-7,9, 10,12-15 8,11
X	----- WO 2005/103123 A1 (DOW GOLBAL TECHNOLOGIES INC [US]; PARKINSON SHAUN [ES]; MAYER ANDREAS) 3 November 2005 (2005-11-03) page 29; examples 1,2; table 1	1
Y	----- WO 2007/044544 A2 (DOW GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES INC [US]; PARKINSON SHAUN [ES]; HILL MARTIN M) 19 April 2007 (2007-04-19) page 5, line 14 - line 15	8
	----- -/-	



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

24 October 2013

06/11/2013

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Flores de Paco, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/US2013/059182

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 2011/171407 A1 (MAZZOLA NICOLAS C [BR] ET AL) 14 July 2011 (2011-07-14) page 1, paragraph 10 page 2, paragraph 18 -----	11

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/US2013/059182

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 2052857	A1 29-04-2009	AR 068951 A1 AU 2008317042 A1 CA 2703193 A1 CN 101909886 A CO 6280414 A2 EP 2052857 A1 JP 2011500390 A KR 20100089853 A RU 2010120693 A TW 200936372 A US 2009104424 A1 WO 2009055316 A2		16-12-2009 30-04-2009 30-04-2009 08-12-2010 20-05-2011 29-04-2009 06-01-2011 12-08-2010 27-11-2011 01-09-2009 23-04-2009 30-04-2009
WO 2005103123	A1 03-11-2005	AT 410467 T AU 2005236061 A1 BR PI0509443 A CA 2563043 A1 CN 1965022 A EP 1740648 A1 ES 2311221 T3 JP 4980889 B2 JP 2007533833 A KR 20060134218 A US 2007292693 A1 US 2010269455 A1 WO 2005103123 A1		15-10-2008 03-11-2005 04-09-2007 03-11-2005 16-05-2007 10-01-2007 01-02-2009 18-07-2012 22-11-2007 27-12-2006 20-12-2007 28-10-2010 03-11-2005
WO 2007044544	A2 19-04-2007	AR 058481 A1 AU 2006302355 A1 CN 101282838 A EP 1934046 A2 JP 5179366 B2 JP 2009511292 A KR 20080050469 A TW I386310 B US 2008226920 A1 WO 2007044544 A2		06-02-2008 19-04-2007 08-10-2008 25-06-2008 10-04-2013 19-03-2009 05-06-2008 21-02-2013 18-09-2008 19-04-2007
US 2011171407	A1 14-07-2011	AR 079986 A1 AR 079987 A1 AR 079988 A1 AR 079989 A1 CN 102782021 A CN 102892789 A CN 102939325 A CN 102985448 A EP 2523980 A1 EP 2523981 A1 EP 2523991 A1 EP 2523992 A1 JP 2013516543 A JP 2013516544 A JP 2013516545 A JP 2013516546 A KR 20120116432 A KR 20120120207 A KR 20120123310 A KR 20120123312 A		07-03-2012 07-03-2012 07-03-2012 07-03-2012 14-11-2012 23-01-2013 20-02-2013 20-03-2013 21-11-2012 21-11-2012 21-11-2012 21-11-2012 13-05-2013 13-05-2013 13-05-2013 13-05-2013 22-10-2012 01-11-2012 08-11-2012 08-11-2012

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/US2013/059182

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
	SG	182356 A1	30-08-2012
	SG	182357 A1	30-08-2012
	SG	182358 A1	30-08-2012
	SG	182359 A1	30-08-2012
	US	2011171407 A1	14-07-2011
	US	2012283390 A1	08-11-2012
	US	2013022804 A1	24-01-2013
	US	2013035438 A1	07-02-2013
	US	2013035444 A1	07-02-2013
	WO	2011085371 A1	14-07-2011
	WO	2011085375 A1	14-07-2011
	WO	2011085377 A1	14-07-2011
	WO	2011085379 A1	14-07-2011